An encoding of Interval Temporal Logic in Isabelle/HOL

Antonio Cau   Ben Moszkowski   David Smallwood

April 16, 2020

Abstract

These Isabelle theories introduce the semantics and syntax of Finite and Infinite Interval Temporal Logic (ITL). The ITL proof system, as introduced in [5, 9], has been encoded and its soundness has been checked. The encoding is shallow using the Intensional Logic technique of [3]. An extensive library of Finite and Infinite ITL theorems, taken from [8], has been checked.

We also present a theory of first occurrence and use it to derive an algebra of Runtime verification (RV) monitors. Furthermore we provide examples of using quantification over both static (rigid) and state (flexible) variables and several RV examples.

Contents

1   Finite Intervals

theory *Interval*
 imports
   Main
begin

An interval is a finite sequence of elements of a particular type. Intervals are similar to list in Isabelle/HOL, the difference is that intervals have instead of nil a single element at the end. So an interval of length zero is a single element whereas for Isabelle's list we have that an empty list is nil (no element present).

The usual operations on intervals are defined: length (*intlen*), *prefix*, *suffix*, *sub*, *nth*, *intfirst*, *intlast*, *intapp* and *intrev*.

We also introduce *index-sequence* which is a sequence of chop (fuse) points. This sequence is used in the old definition of chopstar which is an existential quantification over this sequence. The type *index-sequence* is again of type interval but the elements are natural numbers. Two functions *shift* and *shiftm* are introduced that are used to add (shift) and subtract a natural number of each element in the sequence of chop (fuse) points. The operation *upt* to produce a sequence of consecutive chop points between two natural numbers.

1.1 Definitions

datatype (*set*: $'a$) *interval* =
   St $'a$ ($\lceil$-$\rceil$)
 | *Cons* $'a$ $'a$ *interval* (**infixr** $\odot$ *65*)
for
  map: *map*
  rel: *interval-all2*
  pred: *interval-all*

type-synonym *index* = *nat interval*

syntax
  — interval Enumeration
  -interval :: *args* => $'a$ *interval*   ($\langle$(-)$\rangle$)

translations
  $\langle x, xs \rangle$ == $x \odot \langle xs \rangle$
  $\langle x \rangle$ == $\lceil x \rceil$

primrec (*nonexhaustive*) *intlen* :: $'a$ *interval* $\Rightarrow$ *nat* **where**
   intlen $\langle x \rangle$ = *0*
 | *intlen* $(x \odot xs)$ = *1*+ (*intlen xs*)

primrec (*nonexhaustive*) *nth* :: $'a$ *interval* => *nat* => $'a$ **where**
   nth $\langle x \rangle$ *n*   = *x*
 | *nth* $(x \odot xs)$ *n* = (*case n of 0* $\Rightarrow$ *x* | *Suc k* $\Rightarrow$ *nth xs k*)

primrec *prefix*:: *nat* $\Rightarrow$ $'a$ *interval* $\Rightarrow$ $'a$ *interval* **where**
   prefix n $\langle x \rangle$ = $\langle x \rangle$
 | *prefix n* $(x \odot xs)$ = (*case n of 0* $\Rightarrow$ $\langle x \rangle$ | *Suc m* $\Rightarrow$ *x* $\odot$ (*prefix m xs*))

primrec *suffix*:: *nat* $\Rightarrow$ $'a$ *interval* $\Rightarrow$ $'a$ *interval* **where**
   suffix n $\langle x \rangle$ = $\langle x \rangle$
 | *suffix n* $(x \odot xs)$ = (*case n of 0* $\Rightarrow$ $(x \odot xs)$ | *Suc m* $\Rightarrow$ *suffix m xs*)

definition *sub*:: *nat* $\Rightarrow$ *nat* $\Rightarrow$ *'a interval* $\Rightarrow$ *'a interval*
where
 sub n k xs = prefix (k−n) (suffix n xs)

definition *intfirst* :: *'a interval* $\Rightarrow$ *'a* **where**
 intfirst xs = (nth xs 0)

definition *intlast* :: *'a interval* $\Rightarrow$ *'a* **where**
 intlast xs = (nth xs (intlen xs))

primrec *intapp* :: *'a interval* $\Rightarrow$ *'a interval* $\Rightarrow$ *'a interval* (**infixr** $\ominus$ *65*) **where**
intapp-St: $\langle x \rangle \ominus ys = x \odot ys$ |
intapp-Cons: $(x \odot xs) \ominus ys = x \odot (xs \ominus ys)$

primrec *intrev* :: *'a interval* $\Rightarrow$ *'a interval* **where**
  intrev $\langle x \rangle = \langle x \rangle$
| *intrev* $(x \odot xs) = (intrev\ xs) \ominus \langle x \rangle$

definition *index-sequence* :: *nat* $\Rightarrow$ *index* $\Rightarrow$ *bool* **where**
 index-sequence x idx $\equiv$ *(nth idx 0 = x)* $\wedge$ *($\forall$ n. n<intlen idx $\longrightarrow$ nth idx n < nth idx (Suc n))*

definition *shift* :: *nat* $\Rightarrow$ *nat* $\Rightarrow$ *nat* **where**
 shift k = (λ x. x+k)

definition *shiftm* :: *nat* $\Rightarrow$ *nat* $\Rightarrow$ *nat* **where**
 shiftm k = (λ x. x−k)

primrec *upt* :: *nat* $\Rightarrow$ *nat* $\Rightarrow$ *nat interval* $((1[\text{-}..\leq/\text{-}']))$
 where
   upt-0 : $[i..\leq 0]$ $= \langle 0 \rangle$
| *upt-Suc*: $[i..\leq(Suc\ j)] = (if\ i \leq j\ then\ [i..\leq j] \ominus \langle(Suc\ j)\rangle\ else\ \langle(Suc\ j)\rangle\ )$

1.2 Lemmas

Basic lemmas are introduced for each of the above operations on intervals.

1.2.1 Shifting indexes to zero

lemma *interval-shift-index-to-zero-a*:
shows $(\forall\ (i::nat).\ a \leq i \wedge i < a+b \longrightarrow f\ (\ g1(i)\ )\ (\ g2(Suc\ i))) =$
    $(\forall\ i.\ 0 \leq i \wedge i < b \longrightarrow f\ (g1(i+a))\ (g2((Suc\ i)+a)))$

by (*metis add-diff-cancel-left′ le0 le-add2 le-add-diff-inverse2 less-diff-conv plus-nat.simps(2)*)

lemma *interval-shift-index-to-zero-b*:
shows (∀ (*i::nat*). *a* ≤ *i* ∧ *i* < *a*+*b* ⟶ *f* (*g*(*i*−*a*)) (*g2*((*Suc i*)−*a*))) =
    (∀ *i*. *0* ≤ *i* ∧ *i* < *b* ⟶ *f* (*g*(*i*)) (*g2*(*Suc i*))) (**is** *?L=?R*)
proof −
 have *1*: *?L* ⟹ *?R*
   by (*metis add-Suc add-diff-cancel-left′ add-diff-cancel-right′ le-add2 less-diff-conv*)
 have *2*: *?R* ⟹ *?L*
   by (*metis Nat.add-diff-assoc add-diff-cancel-left′ le0 le-add-diff-inverse2 less-diff-conv*
     plus-1-eq-Suc)
 show *?thesis* **using** *1 2* **by** *blast*
qed

1.2.2 Interval Length

lemma *interval-intlen-gr-zero* [*simp*]:
    intlen xs ≥ *0*
by *auto*

lemma *interval-intlen-cons* [*simp*]:
    (*intlen* (*x⊙xs*)) = (*intlen xs*) +*1*
by *simp*

lemma *interval-intlen-cons-1* :
    intlen l > *0* = (∃ *x ls*. *l* = *x⊙ls*)
by (*induct l*) *simp-all*

lemma *interval-intlen-map* [*simp*]:
    intlen (*map f xs*) = *intlen xs*
by (*induct xs*) *simp-all*

lemma *interval-intlen-intapp* [*simp*]:
  intlen (*xs* ⊖ *ys*) = (*intlen xs*) + (*intlen ys*) +*1*
by (*induct xs arbitrary*: *ys*) *simp-all*

lemma *interval-intrev-intlen* [*simp*]:
  intlen (*intrev xs*) = *intlen xs*
by (*induct xs*) *simp-all*

1.2.3 nth

lemma *interval-nth-zero* [*simp*]:
    nth (*x⊙xs*) *0* = *x*
by *simp*

lemma *interval-nth-Suc* [*simp*]:
  nth (*x⊙xs*) (*Suc n*) = *nth xs n*
by *auto*

lemma *interval-nth-last*:
$\quad$ *nth* ($x \odot xs$) (*intlen* ($x \odot xs$)) $=$ *nth* *xs* (*intlen* *xs*)
by *simp*

lemma *interval-nth-last-stutter*:
$\;$ *nth* *xs* (*intlen* *xs* $+$ *i*) $=$ *nth* *xs* (*intlen* *xs*)
proof (*induction* *xs* *arbitrary*:*i*)
case (*St* *x*)
then show *?case* **by** *simp*
next
case (*Cons* *x1a* *xs*)
then show *?case*
$\quad$ **proof** (*cases* *i*)
$\quad$ **case** *0*
$\quad$ **then show** *?thesis* **by** *simp*
$\quad$ **next**
$\quad$ **case** (*Suc* *nat*)
$\quad$ **then show** *?thesis*
$\quad$ **by** (*metis* *Cons.IH* *ab-semigroup-add-class.add-ac*(*1*) *add-Suc-right* *interval-intlen-cons*
$\qquad$ *interval-nth-Suc* *interval-nth-last*)
$\quad$ **qed**
qed

lemma *interval-nth-cons-a*:
$\;$ **assumes** $0 < i$
$\;$ **shows** $\quad$ *nth*($x \odot xs$) *i* $\quad = $ *nth* *xs* ($i - 1$)
using *assms* **by** (*metis* *Suc-diff-1* *interval-nth-Suc*)

lemma *interval-nth-cons-b*:
$\;$ **shows** $\quad$ *nth*($x \odot xs$) ($i + 1$) $=$ *nth* *xs* *i*
by *simp*

lemma *interval-nth-cons*:
$\;$ **assumes** $0 < i$
$\;$ **shows** $\quad$ *nth*($x \odot xs$) *i* $\quad = $ *nth* *xs* ($i - 1$) $\wedge$
$\qquad\quad$ *nth*($x \odot xs$) ($i + 1$) $=$ *nth* *xs* *i*
by (*meson* *assms* *interval-nth-cons-a* *interval-nth-cons-b*)

lemma *interval-nth-zero-intfirst* [*simp*]:
$\;$ *intfirst* *xs* $=$ *nth* *xs* *0*
by (*simp* *add*: *intfirst-def*)

lemma *interval-nth-intlen-intlast* [*simp*]:
$\;$ *intlast* *xs* $=$ *nth* *xs* (*intlen* *xs*)
by (*simp* *add*: *intlast-def*)

lemma *interval-st-intlen* :
$\quad$ ($xs = \langle x \rangle$) $\longleftrightarrow$ *intlen* *xs* $=$ *0* $\wedge$ *nth* *xs* *0* $=$ *x*
by (*cases* *xs*) *auto*

lemma *interval-eq-nth-eq* :
  $(xs = ys) = (intlen\ xs = intlen\ ys \land (\forall\ i \leq intlen\ xs.\ nth\ xs\ i = nth\ ys\ i))$
proof
 (*induct xs arbitrary*: *ys*)
 case (*St x*)
 then show *?case* **by** (*metis interval-st-intlen le-numeral-extra*(*3*))
 next
 case (*Cons x1a xs*)
 then show *?case*
  proof (*cases ys*)
  case (*St x1*)
  then show *?thesis* **by** *simp*
  next
  case (*Cons x21 x22*)
  then show *?thesis*
  using *Cons.hyps* **by** *fastforce*
  qed
 qed

lemma *interval-nth-map* :
  $nth\ (map\ f\ xs)\ i = f\ (nth\ xs\ i)$
proof
 (*induct xs arbitrary*: *i*)
 case (*St x*)
 then show *?case* **by** *simp*
 next
 case (*Cons x1a xs*)
 then show *?case*
  proof (*cases i*)
  case *0*
  then show *?thesis* **by** *simp*
  next
  case (*Suc nat*)
  then show *?thesis* **using** *Cons.hyps* **by** *simp*
  qed
 qed

1.2.4   prefix, suffix and sub

lemma *interval-prefix-state* [*simp*]:
  $prefix\ m\ \langle x \rangle = \langle x \rangle$
by *simp*

lemma *interval-prefix-suc* [*simp*]:
  $prefix\ (Suc\ m)\ (x{\odot}xs) = x \odot (prefix\ m\ xs)$
by *auto*

lemma *interval-prefix-zero* [*simp*]:
  $prefix\ 0\ (x{\odot}xs) = \langle x \rangle$

by *auto*

lemma *interval-prefix-zero-intfirst* [*simp*]:
  prefix 0 xs = ⟨*nth xs 0*⟩
by (*induct xs*) *simp-all*

lemma *interval-intfirst-prefix* [*simp*]:
 shows *intfirst* (*prefix i xs*) = *intfirst xs*
proof
 (*induct xs arbitrary*: *i*)
 case (*St x*)
 then show *?case* **by** *auto*
 next
 case (*Cons x1a xs*)
 then show *?case*
   proof (*cases i*)
   case *0*
   then show *?thesis* **by** *auto*
   next
   case (*Suc nat*)
   then show *?thesis* **using** *Cons.hyps* **by** *auto*
   qed
 qed

lemma *interval-intlast-suffix* [*simp*]:
 shows *intlast* (*suffix i xs*) = *intlast xs*
proof
 (*induct xs arbitrary*: *i*)
 case (*St x*)
 then show *?case* **by** *auto*
 next
 case (*Cons x1a xs*)
 then show *?case*
   proof (*cases i*)
   case *0*
   then show *?thesis* **by** *auto*
   next
   case (*Suc nat*)
   then show *?thesis* **using** *Cons.hyps* **by** *auto*
   qed
 qed

lemma *interval-prefix-intlen* [*simp*]:
    (*prefix* (*intlen xs*) *xs*) = *xs*
by (*induct xs*) *simp-all*

lemma *interval-prefix-intlen-gr-1* [*simp*]:
   (*prefix* ((*intlen xs*)+*i*) *xs*) = *xs*

by (*induct xs*) *simp-all*

lemma *interval-intlen-prefix-cons* [*simp*]:
    intlen(*prefix* (*Suc i*) (*x⊙xs*)) = *1* + *intlen*(*prefix i xs*)
using *interval-intlen-cons* **by** *auto*

lemma *interval-prefix-length-code* [*code*]:
    intlen (*prefix i xs*) = (*if i≤ intlen xs then i else intlen xs*)
proof
 (*induct xs arbitrary*: *i*)
 case (*St x*)
 then show *?case* **by** *simp*
 next
 case (*Cons x1a xs*)
 then show *?case*
  proof (*cases i*)
  case *0*
  then show *?thesis* **by** *auto*
  next
  case (*Suc nat*)
  then show *?thesis* **using** *Cons.hyps* **by** *auto*
  qed
 qed

lemma *interval-prefix-length* [*simp*]:
    intlen (*prefix i xs*) = *min i* (*intlen xs*)
by (*simp add*: *interval-prefix-length-code min-def*)

lemma *interval-prefix-length-good* [*simp*]:
  assumes *i≤ intlen xs*
  shows (*intlen* (*prefix i xs*)) = *i*
using *assms* **by** *simp*

lemma *interval-prefix-length-bad* :
  assumes *i > intlen xs*
  shows *intlen* (*prefix i xs*) = *intlen xs*
using *assms* **by** *simp*

lemma *interval-pref-intlen-bound* :
 shows *intlen* (*prefix i xs*) ≤ *intlen xs*
by *simp*

lemma *interval-suffix-length-code* [*code*]:
    intlen (*suffix i xs*) = (*if i≤ intlen xs then* (*intlen xs*)−*i else 0*)
proof
 (*induct xs arbitrary*: *i*)
 case (*St x*)
 then show *?case* **by** *simp*
 next
 case (*Cons x1a xs*)

then show *?case*
  proof (*cases i*)
  case *0*
  then show *?thesis* **by** *auto*
  next
  case (*Suc nat*)
  then show *?thesis* **using** *Cons.hyps* **by** *auto*
  qed
qed

lemma *interval-suffix-length* [*simp*]:
  intlen (*suffix i xs*) = (*intlen xs*)−*i*
by (*simp add*: *interval-suffix-length-code*)

lemma *interval-suffix-length-good* [*simp*]:
  assumes *i*≤ *intlen xs*
  shows *intlen* (*suffix i xs*) = (*intlen xs*)−*i*
using *assms* **by** *simp*

lemma *interval-suffix-length-bad*:
  assumes *i*> *intlen xs*
  shows *intlen* (*suffix i xs*) = *0*
using *assms* **by** *simp*

lemma *interval-suffix-intlen-bound*:
  intlen(*suffix i xs*) ≤ *intlen xs*
by *simp*

lemma *interval-nth-prefix* [*simp*]:
  assumes *k* ≤ *i*
  shows *nth* (*prefix i xs*) *k* = *nth xs k*
using *assms*
  proof
  (*induct i arbitrary*: *xs k*)
  case *0*
  then show *?case*
    proof (*cases xs*)
    case (*St x1*)
    then show *?thesis* **by** *auto*
    next
    case (*Cons x21 x22*)
    then show *?thesis* **using** *0.prems* **by** *auto*
    qed
  next
  case (*Suc i*)
  then show *?case*
    proof (*cases xs*)
    case (*St x1*)
    then show *?thesis* **by** *auto*
    next

case (*Cons x21 x22*)
then show *?thesis*
  proof (*cases k*)
  case *0*
  then show *?thesis* **by** (*simp add*: *local.Cons*)
  next
  case (*Suc nat*)
  then show *?thesis*
  using *Suc.hyps Suc.prems local.Cons* **by** *auto*
  qed
qed
qed

lemma *interval-nth-suffix* [*simp*]:
 assumes $k \leq intlen\ xs - i$
 shows $nth\ (suffix\ i\ xs)\ k = nth\ xs\ (i+k)$
using *assms*
proof (*induct xs arbitrary*: *i k*)
case (*St x*)
then show *?case* **by** *simp*
next
case (*Cons x1a xs*)
then show *?case*
  proof (*cases i*)
  case *0*
  then show *?thesis* **by** *auto*
  next
  case (*Suc nat*)
  then show *?thesis*
    proof *auto*
     assume *a0*: $i = Suc\ nat$
     show $Interval.nth\ (suffix\ nat\ xs)\ k = Interval.nth\ xs\ (nat + k)$
     using *a0 Cons.hyps Cons.prems* **by** *auto*
    qed
  qed
qed

lemma *interval-suffix-prefix-help-1*:
 assumes $ia+i \leq intlen\ xs$
     $k \leq ia$
 shows $nth\ (prefix\ ia\ (suffix\ i\ xs))\ k = nth\ (suffix\ i\ (prefix\ (ia+i)\ xs))\ k$
proof −
 have *1*: $nth\ (prefix\ ia\ (suffix\ i\ xs))\ k = nth\ (suffix\ i\ xs)\ k$
 using *interval-nth-prefix assms* **by** *metis*
 have *2*: $nth\ (suffix\ i\ xs)\ k = nth\ xs\ (i+k)$
 using *interval-nth-suffix assms* **by** (*simp add*: *add-le-imp-le-diff*)
 have *3*: $nth\ xs\ (i+k) = nth\ (prefix\ (ia+i)\ xs)\ (i+k)$
 using *interval-nth-prefix assms* **by** *simp*
 have *4*: $nth\ (prefix\ (ia+i)\ xs)\ (i+k) = nth\ (suffix\ i\ (prefix\ (ia+i)\ xs))\ k$
 using *interval-nth-suffix assms* **by** *simp*

from *1 2 3 4* **show** *?thesis* **by** *auto*
qed

lemma *interval-suffix-prefix-help-2*:
 assumes *ia+i $\leq$ intlen xs*
 shows   ($\forall$ *k $\leq$ ia . nth (prefix ia (suffix i xs)) k = nth (suffix i (prefix (ia +i) xs)) k*)
using *interval-suffix-prefix-help-1* **using** *assms* **by** *fastforce*

lemma *interval-suffix-prefix-help-3*:
 assumes *ia+i $\leq$ intlen xs*
 shows   *intlen (prefix ia (suffix i xs)) = intlen (suffix i (prefix (ia +i) xs))*
using *assms interval-prefix-length-good interval-suffix-length-good* **by** *auto*

lemma *interval-suffix-prefix-swap*:
 assumes *ia+i $\leq$ intlen xs*
 shows   *prefix ia (suffix i xs) = suffix i (prefix (ia +i) xs)*
using *assms* **using** *interval-eq-nth-eq* **by** *fastforce*

lemma *interval-prefix-prefix-zero* [*simp*]:
    prefix 0 (prefix 0 xs) = prefix 0 xs
by (*induct xs*) *simp-all*

lemma *interval-pref-pref* [*simp*]:
    (*prefix i (prefix i xs)*) = *prefix i xs*
by (*metis interval-prefix-intlen interval-prefix-intlen-gr-1 interval-prefix-length-good*
   less-imp-add-positive not-less)

lemma *interval-pref-pref-3* [*simp*]:
    (*prefix i (prefix (i+k) xs)*) = *prefix i xs*
proof
 (*induct xs arbitrary: i k*)
 case (*St x*)
 then show *?case* **by** *simp*
 next
 case (*Cons x1a xs*)
 then show *?case*
  proof (*cases i*)
  case *0*
  then show *?thesis*
   by (*auto simp add: Nitpick.case-nat-unfold*)
  next
  case (*Suc nat*)
  then show *?thesis*
   by (*simp add: Cons.hyps*)
  qed
qed

lemma *interval-pref-help*:
 assumes *i$\leq$intlen (prefix (intlen xs $-$ Suc 0) xs)*

shows $(prefix\ i\ (prefix\ (intlen\ xs - Suc\ 0)\ xs)) = (prefix\ i\ xs)$
using *assms*
by (*metis diff-le-self interval-pref-pref-3 interval-prefix-length-good*
   ordered-cancel-comm-monoid-diff-class.add-diff-inverse)

lemma *interval-pref-pref-help*:
 assumes *intlen xs* >0
       $ia<intlen\ (xs)$
 shows $(prefix\ ia\ (prefix\ (intlen\ xs - Suc\ 0)\ xs)) = (prefix\ ia\ \ xs)$
using *assms*
by (*metis Suc-leI Suc-le-mono Suc-pred diff-le-self interval-pref-help interval-prefix-length-good*)

lemma *interval-pref-pref-help-1*:
 assumes $i>0$
       $i\leq\ intlen\ xs$
 shows $(prefix\ (intlen\ (prefix\ i\ xs) - Suc\ 0)\ (prefix\ i\ xs)) =$
       $(prefix\ (intlen\ (prefix\ i\ xs) - Suc\ 0)\ xs)$
using *assms interval-pref-pref-3* **by** (*metis diff-le-self interval-prefix-length-good le-iff-add*)

lemma *interval-suffix-suc* [*simp*]:
   $suffix\ (Suc\ m)\ (x \odot xs) = suffix\ m\ xs$
by *auto*

lemma *interval-suffix-zero* [*simp*]:
   $suffix\ 0\ xs = xs$
by (*induct xs*) *simp-all*

lemma *interval-hd-tail*:
 assumes *intlen xs* >0
 shows $xs = (intfirst\ xs) \odot (suffix\ 1\ xs)$
by (*metis One-nat-def assms interval-intlen-cons-1 interval-nth-zero interval-nth-zero-intfirst*
   interval-suffix-suc interval-suffix-zero)

lemma *interval-suffix-intlen* [*simp*]:
   $suffix\ (intlen\ xs)\ xs = \langle(nth\ xs\ (intlen\ xs))\rangle$
by (*induct xs*) *simp-all*

lemma *interval-suffix-intlast* [*simp*]:
   $suffix\ (intlen\ xs)\ xs = \langle intlast\ xs\rangle$
by (*induct xs*) *simp-all*

lemma *interval-suffix-suffix* [*simp*]:
   $suffix\ i\ (suffix\ j\ xs) = suffix\ (i+j)\ xs$
proof
 (*induct xs arbitrary*: *i j*)
 case (*St x*)
 then show *?case* **by** *simp*
 next
 case (*Cons x1a xs*)
 then show *?case*

proof (*cases i*)
  case *0*
  then show *?thesis*
    by *auto*
  next
  case (*Suc nat*)
  then show *?thesis*
    by (*simp add*: *Nitpick.case-nat-unfold add.commute local.Cons*)
  qed
qed

lemma *interval-prefix-suffix-intlen-code* [*code*]:
  intlen (*prefix ia* (*suffix i xs*)) =
  (*if i ≤ intlen xs then*
   (*if ia≤ intlen xs −i then ia else* (*intlen xs*) −*i*)
   else 0)
using *interval-suffix-length-code* **by** *auto*

lemma *interval-prefix-suffix-intlen* [*simp*]:
  intlen (*prefix ia* (*suffix i xs*)) =
  min ia (*intlen xs −i*)

by *auto*

lemma *interval-prefix-suffix-intlen-good* [*simp*]:
  assumes *ia≤ intlen xs −i*
        i ≤ intlen xs
  shows   *intlen* (*prefix ia* (*suffix i xs*)) = *ia*
using *assms* **by** *auto*

lemma *interval-prefix-suffix-intlen-bad-0*:
  assumes *i> intlen xs*
  shows   *intlen* (*prefix ia* (*suffix i xs*)) = *0*
using *assms* **by** *simp*

lemma *interval-prefix-suffix-intlen-bad-1* :
  assumes *i ≤ intlen xs*
        ia > intlen xs −i
  shows   *intlen* (*prefix ia* (*suffix i xs*)) = (*intlen xs*) −*i*
using *assms* **by** *simp*

lemma *interval-suffix-suffix-3*:
 assumes *i>0*
       ia<i
       i≤ intlen xs
 shows   (*suffix* (*i−ia*) (*suffix* ((*intlen xs*)−*i*) *xs*)) = (*suffix* (((*intlen xs*)−*ia*)) *xs*)
using *assms* **by** *simp*

lemma *interval-sub-zero-prefix* :
  sub 0 k xs = prefix k xs

by (*simp add*: *Interval.sub-def*)

lemma *interval-sub-suffix* :
  assumes $i < j$
      $j \leq (intlen\ xs) - k$
  shows $(sub\ (i+k)\ (j+k)\ xs) = (sub\ i\ j\ (suffix\ k\ xs))$
using *assms* **by** (*simp add*: *Interval.sub-def*)

lemma *interval-sub-prefix-suffix-0*:
  assumes $0 \leq i$
      $ia + i \leq intlen\ xs$
  shows $(sub\ i\ (i+ia)\ xs) = (prefix\ (ia)\ (suffix\ i\ xs))$
using *assms* **by** (*simp add*: *Interval.sub-def*)

lemma *interval-sub-prefix-suffix*:
 assumes $0 \leq i$
     $i \leq j$
     $j \leq intlen\ xs$
 shows $(sub\ i\ j\ xs) = (prefix\ (j-i)\ (suffix\ i\ xs))$
using *assms* **by** (*simp add*: *Interval.sub-def*)

lemma *interval-intlast-prefix*:
 assumes $k \leq intlen\ xs$
 shows $intlast(prefix\ k\ xs) = (nth\ xs\ k)$
using *assms* *interval-prefix-length-good* **by** *fastforce*

lemma *interval-intfirst-suffix*:
 assumes $k \leq intlen\ xs$
 shows $intfirst(suffix\ k\ xs) = (nth\ xs\ k)$
by (*simp add*: *assms*)

lemma *interval-suffix-gr*:
 assumes $i > intlen\ xs$
 shows $suffix\ i\ xs = \langle intlast(xs)\rangle$
 by (*metis add.commute assms interval-suffix-intlast interval-suffix-suffix*
   less-imp-add-positive suffix.simps(1))

lemma *interval-intlast-intfirst*:
   $(intlast\ (prefix\ i\ xs)) = (intfirst\ (suffix\ i\ xs))$
proof $-$
  have *1*: $(intlast\ (prefix\ i\ xs)) = (nth\ (prefix\ i\ xs)\ (intlen\ (prefix\ i\ xs)))$
   by *simp*
  have *2*: $i \leq intlen\ xs \longrightarrow intlen\ (prefix\ i\ xs) = i$
   using *interval-prefix-length-good* **by** *blast*
  have *3*: $i > intlen\ xs \longrightarrow intlen\ (prefix\ i\ xs) = intlen\ xs$
   using *interval-prefix-length-bad* **by** *blast*
  have *4*: $i \leq intlen\ xs \longrightarrow$
      $(nth\ (prefix\ i\ xs)\ (intlen\ (prefix\ i\ xs))) = (nth\ xs\ i)$
   using *interval-intlast-prefix* **by** *auto*
  have *5*: $i > intlen\ xs \longrightarrow$

$(nth\ (prefix\ i\ xs)\ (intlen\ (prefix\ i\ xs))) = (nth\ xs\ (intlen\ xs))$
using *3* **by** *auto*
have *6*: $(intfirst\ (suffix\ i\ xs)) = (nth\ (suffix\ i\ xs)\ 0)$
by *simp*
have *7*: $i \leq intlen\ xs \longrightarrow$
$(nth\ (suffix\ i\ xs)\ 0) = (nth\ xs\ i)$
by *simp*
have *8*: $i > intlen\ xs \longrightarrow$
$(nth\ (suffix\ i\ xs)\ 0) = (nth\ xs\ (intlen\ xs))$
by (*simp add*: *interval-suffix-gr*)
show *?thesis* **using** *4 5 8* **by** *auto*
qed

lemma *interval-intlen-sub* [*simp*]:
assumes $k \leq n$
$n \leq intlen\ xs$
shows $intlen(sub\ k\ n\ xs\ ) = (n-k)$
using *assms*
by (*metis Interval.sub-def interval-prefix-length-good interval-suffix-length*
interval-suffix-prefix-swap le-add-diff-inverse2)

lemma *interval-nth-sub* [*simp*]:
assumes $k \leq n$
$n \leq intlen\ xs$
$j \leq n-k$
shows $nth(sub\ k\ n\ xs)\ j = (nth\ xs\ (k+j))$
proof $-$
have *1*: $nth(sub\ k\ n\ xs)\ j = nth\ (prefix\ (n-k)\ (suffix\ k\ xs))\ j$
by (*simp add*: *Interval.sub-def*)
have *2*: $n-k \leq intlen\ (suffix\ k\ xs)$
using *Interval.sub-def assms* **by** *auto*
have *3*: $j \leq (n-k)$
using *assms* **by** *auto*
have *4*: $nth\ (prefix\ (n-k)\ (suffix\ k\ xs))\ j =$
$nth\ (suffix\ k\ xs)\ j$
using *2 assms interval-nth-prefix* **by** *blast*
have *5*: $nth\ (suffix\ k\ xs)\ j = nth\ xs\ (k+j)$
using *assms* **by** *auto*
show *?thesis*
by (*simp add*: *1 4 5*)
qed

lemma *interval-intlast-sub*:
assumes $k \leq n$
$n \leq intlen\ xs$
shows $intlast\ (sub\ k\ n\ xs) = (nth\ xs\ n)$
by (*simp add*: *assms*)

lemma *interval-intfirst-sub*:

assumes $k \leq n$

   $n \leq$ *intlen xs*

 shows   *intfirst* (*sub k n xs*) = (*nth xs k*)

by (*simp add*: *assms*)

lemma *interval-sub-sub*:

 assumes $n1 \leq n2$

   $n0 \leq n4$

   $n2 \leq n4-n0$

   $n4 \leq n3$

   $n3 \leq$ *intlen xs*

 shows   (*sub n1 n2* (*sub n0 n3 xs*)) = (*sub n1 n2* (*sub n0 n4 xs*))

proof $-$

 have 1: *intlen*(*sub n0 n3 xs*) = $n3 - n0$

   by (*meson assms interval-intlen-sub le-trans*)

 have 2: *intlen* (*sub n1 n2* (*sub n0 n3 xs*)) = $n2 - n1$

   using *interval-intlen-sub assms* **by** *auto*

 have 3: *intlen*(*sub n0 n4 xs*) = $n4 - n0$

   using *assms interval-intlen-sub le-trans* **by** *blast*

 have 4: *intlen* (*sub n1 n2* (*sub n0 n4 xs*)) = $n2 - n1$

   by (*simp add*: 3 *assms*)

 have 5: $\bigwedge i.\ i \leq (n3 - n0) \longrightarrow$ (*nth* (*sub n0 n3 xs*) *i*) = (*nth xs* ($n0+i$))

   using *assms interval-nth-sub le-trans* **by** *blast*

 have 6: $\bigwedge i\ .\ i \leq (n2-n1) \longrightarrow$ (*nth* (*sub n1 n2* (*sub n0 n3 xs*)) *i*) = (*nth* (*sub n0 n3 xs*) ($n1+i$))

   using *interval-nth-sub assms*

   by (*metis* 1 *Nat.le-diff-conv2 le-trans*)

 have 7: $\bigwedge i\ .\ i \leq (n2-n1) \longrightarrow$ (*nth* (*sub n1 n2* (*sub n0 n3 xs*)) *i*) = (*nth xs* ($n0+(n1+i)$))

   using 5 6 *assms* **by** *auto*

 have 8: $n0 \leq n4 \land n4 \leq$ *intlen xs*

   using *assms le-trans* **by** *blast*

 have 9: $\bigwedge i.\ i \leq (n4 - n0) \longrightarrow$ (*nth* (*sub n0 n4 xs*) *i*) = (*nth xs* ($n0+i$))

   using 8 *interval-nth-sub* **by** *blast*

 have 10: $\bigwedge i.\ i \leq (n2-n1) \longrightarrow$ (*nth* (*sub n1 n2* (*sub n0 n4 xs*)) *i*) = (*nth* (*sub n0 n4 xs*) ($n1+i$))

   by (*simp add*: 3 *assms*)

 have 11: $\bigwedge i.\ i \leq (n2-n1) \longrightarrow$ (*nth* (*sub n1 n2* (*sub n0 n4 xs*)) *i*) = (*nth xs* ($n0 +(n1+i)$))

   by (*metis* 3 *Nat.le-diff-conv2 add.commute assms interval-nth-sub le-trans*)

 have 12: $\bigwedge i.\ i \leq (n2-n1) \longrightarrow$

      (*nth* (*sub n1 n2* (*sub n0 n3 xs*)) *i*) = (*nth* (*sub n1 n2* (*sub n0 n4 xs*)) *i*)

   by (*simp add*: 11 7)

 from 12 2 4 **show** *?thesis* **by** (*simp add*: *interval-eq-nth-eq*)

qed

lemma *interval-sub-sub-1*:

 assumes $n1 \leq n2$

   $n0 \leq n3$

   $n2 \leq n3-n0$

   $n3 \leq$ *intlen xs*

 shows   (*sub n1 n2* (*sub n0 n3 xs*)) = (*sub* ($n0+n1$) ($n0+n2$) *xs*)

proof $-$

have *1*: *intlen(sub n1 n2 (sub n0 n3 xs)) = intlen(sub (n1+n0) (n2+n0) xs)*
  using *assms* **by** *auto*
have *2*: $\bigwedge$*i. i*$\leq$ *(n2−n1)* $\longrightarrow$ *(nth (sub n1 n2 (sub n0 n3 xs)) i) = (nth xs (n0+(n1+i)))*
  by *(simp add: add.commute assms le-trans ordered-cancel-comm-monoid-diff-class.le-diff-conv2)*
have *3*: $\bigwedge$*i. i*$\leq$ *(n2−n1)* $\longrightarrow$ *(nth (sub (n0+n1) (n0+n2) xs) i) = (nth xs (n0+(n1+i)))*
    by *(metis (no-types, hide-lams) add.commute add-diff-cancel-left add-mono assms(1) assms(2)*
        assms(3) assms(4) interval-nth-sub le-refl le-trans
        ordered-cancel-comm-monoid-diff-class.le-diff-conv2 semiring-normalization-rules(25))
have *4*: $\bigwedge$*i. i*$\leq$ *(n2−n1)* $\longrightarrow$ *(nth (sub n1 n2 (sub n0 n3 xs)) i) = (nth (sub (n0+n1) (n0+n2) xs) i)*
  by *(simp add: 2 3)*
show *?thesis*
 by *(metis 1 4 add.commute assms interval-eq-nth-eq interval-intlen-sub)*
qed

lemma *interval-suf-first-upto*:
assumes $(\exists$*i<k. f (suffix i xs))*
        k $\leq$ *intlen xs +1*
shows $(\exists$*i<k. f (suffix i xs)* $\wedge$
        $(\forall$*j<i .* $\neg$ *(f (suffix j xs))))*
using *assms*
proof *(induct xs arbitrary:k)*
case *(St x)*
then show *?case* **by** *auto*
next
case *(Cons x1a xs)*
then show *?case*
  proof −
   have *0*: *k=0* $\longrightarrow$ *(* $\exists$*i<k. f (suffix i (x1a* $\odot$ *xs))* $\wedge$ *(*$\forall$*j<i.* $\neg$ *f (suffix j (x1a* $\odot$ *xs))))*
     using *Cons.prems(1)* **by** *blast*
   have *1*: $\bigwedge$*n. k = (Suc n)* $\longrightarrow$ *(*$\exists$*i< (Suc n). f (suffix i (x1a* $\odot$ *xs))* $\wedge$
        ($\forall$*j<i.* $\neg$ *f (suffix j (x1a* $\odot$ *xs)))) =*
        (f (x1a $\odot$ *xs)* $\vee$
        ($\exists$*i. 1*$\leq$ *i* $\wedge$ *i<(Suc n)* $\wedge$ *f (suffix i (x1a* $\odot$ *xs))* $\wedge$
          ($\forall$*j<i.* $\neg$ *f (suffix j (x1a* $\odot$ *xs)))))*

       by *auto*
        (metis One-nat-def less-one nat.split-sels(1) not-le-imp-less)
   have *2*: $\bigwedge$*n. k = (Suc n)* $\longrightarrow$ *(*$\exists$*i. 1*$\leq$ *i* $\wedge$ *i<(Suc n)* $\wedge$ *f (suffix i (x1a* $\odot$ *xs))* $\wedge$
          ($\forall$*j<i.* $\neg$ *f (suffix j (x1a* $\odot$ *xs)))) =*
        ($\exists$*i. i<n* $\wedge$ *f (suffix (Suc i) (x1a* $\odot$ *xs))* $\wedge$
          ($\forall$*j<(Suc i).* $\neg$ *f (suffix j (x1a* $\odot$ *xs))))*

      by *auto*
        (metis Nitpick.case-nat-unfold Suc-le-D diff-Suc-1 less-Suc-eq-0-disj)
   have *3*: $\bigwedge$*n. k= (Suc n)* $\longrightarrow$ *(*$\exists$*i. i<n* $\wedge$ *f (suffix (Suc i) (x1a* $\odot$ *xs))* $\wedge$
          ($\forall$*j<(Suc i).* $\neg$ *f (suffix j (x1a* $\odot$ *xs)))) =*
        ($\exists$*i. i<n* $\wedge$ *f (suffix i xs)* $\wedge$
          $\neg$*(f (suffix 0 (x1a*$\odot$*xs)))* $\wedge$
          ($\forall$*j. 1* $\leq$ *j* $\wedge$ *j<(Suc i)* $\longrightarrow$ $\neg$ *f (suffix j (x1a* $\odot$ *xs))))*

by (*metis interval-suffix-suc le-add1 less-Suc-eq-0-disj plus-1-eq-Suc*)

have 4: $\bigwedge n.\ k = (Suc\ n) \longrightarrow (\exists i.\ i < n\ \wedge f\ (suffix\ i\ xs)\ \wedge$
$\qquad \neg(f\ (suffix\ 0\ (x1a \odot xs)))\ \wedge$
$\qquad (\forall j.\ 1 \le j\ \wedge j < (Suc\ i) \longrightarrow \neg\ f\ (suffix\ j\ (x1a \odot xs))))) =$
$\quad (\ \neg(f\ (x1a \odot xs))\ \wedge$
$\qquad (\exists i.\ i < n\ \wedge f\ (suffix\ i\ xs)\ \wedge$
$\qquad (\forall j.\ j < i \longrightarrow \neg\ f\ (suffix\ (Suc\ j)\ (x1a \odot xs))))\ )$
$\qquad$ **using** *Cons.hyps Cons.prems* **by** (*auto, auto simp add: less-Suc-eq-0-disj*)

have 5: $\bigwedge n.\ k = (Suc\ n) \longrightarrow (\ \neg(f\ (x1a \odot xs))\ \wedge$
$\qquad (\exists i.\ i < n\ \wedge f\ (suffix\ i\ xs)\ \wedge$
$\qquad (\forall j.\ j < i \longrightarrow \neg\ f\ (suffix\ (Suc\ j)\ (x1a \odot xs))))\ ) =$
$\quad (\ \neg(f\ (x1a \odot xs))\ \wedge$
$\qquad (\exists i < n.\ \ f\ (suffix\ i\ xs)\ \wedge$
$\qquad (\forall j < i\ .\ \neg\ f\ (suffix\ j\ \ xs)))\ )$
$\quad$ **by** *auto*

have 6: $\bigwedge n.\ k = (Suc\ n) \longrightarrow (\exists i < (Suc\ n).\ f\ (suffix\ i\ (x1a \odot xs))\ \wedge$
$\qquad (\forall j < i.\ \neg\ f\ (suffix\ j\ (x1a \odot xs)))) =$
$\quad (\ f\ (x1a \odot xs)\ \vee\ (\ \neg(f\ (x1a \odot xs))\ \wedge$
$\qquad (\exists i < n.\ \ f\ (suffix\ i\ xs)\ \wedge$
$\qquad (\forall j < i\ .\ \neg\ f\ (suffix\ j\ \ xs)))\ )\ )$
$\quad$ **using** *1 2 3 4* **by** *auto*

have 7: $\bigwedge n.\ k = (Suc\ n) \longrightarrow (\ f\ (x1a \odot xs)\ \vee\ (\ \neg(f\ (x1a \odot xs))\ \wedge$
$\qquad (\exists i < n.\ \ f\ (suffix\ i\ xs)\ \wedge$
$\qquad (\forall j < i\ .\ \neg\ f\ (suffix\ j\ \ xs)))\ )\ )\ =$
$\quad (\ f\ (x1a \odot xs)\ \vee\ (\exists i < n.\ \ f\ (suffix\ i\ xs)\ \wedge$
$\qquad (\forall j < i\ .\ \neg\ f\ (suffix\ j\ \ xs))))$

$\qquad$ **by** *auto*

have 8: $\bigwedge n.\ k = (Suc\ n) \longrightarrow n \le intlen\ xs + 1$
$\quad$ **using** *Cons.prems*(2) **by** *auto*

have 9: $\bigwedge n.\ k = (Suc\ n) \longrightarrow (\ f\ (x1a \odot xs)\ \vee\ (\exists i < n.\ \ f\ (suffix\ i\ xs)\ \wedge$
$\qquad (\forall j < i\ .\ \neg\ f\ (suffix\ j\ \ xs)))) =$
$\quad (\ f\ (x1a \odot xs)\ \vee\ (\exists i < n.\ \ f\ (suffix\ i\ xs)))$
$\quad$ **using** *7 8 Cons.hyps* **by** *fastforce*

have 10: $\bigwedge n.\ k = (Suc\ n) \longrightarrow (\exists i < k.\ f\ (suffix\ i\ (x1a \odot xs))) =$
$\quad (f\ (x1a \odot xs)\ \vee\ (\exists i < n.\ f\ (suffix\ i\ (xs))))$

$\qquad$ **using** *Nitpick.case-nat-unfold less-Suc-eq-0-disj* **by** *auto*

have 11: $\bigwedge n.\ k = (Suc\ n) \longrightarrow$
$\qquad (\ \exists i < k.\ f\ (suffix\ i\ (x1a \odot xs))\ \wedge (\forall j < i.\ \neg\ f\ (suffix\ j\ (x1a \odot xs))))$
$\qquad$ **using** *9 10 6 Cons.prems*(1) **by** *force*

$\ $ **show** *?thesis*
$\ $ **using** *0 11 less-imp-Suc-add* **by** *blast*
$\ $ **qed**
qed

1.2.5   intapp

lemma *interval-intlen-snoc-1*:
$\ intlen\ l > 0 = (\exists\ x\ ls.\ l = ls \ominus \langle x \rangle)$

proof (*induct l*)
case (*St x*)
then show *?case* **by** *fastforce*
next
case (*Cons x1a l*)
then show *?case*
  by (*metis Suc-eq-plus1 intapp-Cons intapp-St interval.exhaust interval-intlen-intapp nat.simps*(*3*)
    neq0-conv)
qed

lemma *interval-prefix-intapp* [*simp*]:
 prefix (*intlen xs* −*k*) (*xs* ⊖ *ys*) = *prefix* (*intlen xs* −*k*) *xs*
proof
 (*induct xs arbitrary*:*k*)
 case (*St x*)
 then show *?case* **by** *simp*
 next
 case (*Cons x1a xs*)
 then show *?case*
  proof (*cases k*)
  case *0*
  then show *?thesis*
   by (*metis Cons.hyps diff-zero intapp-Cons interval-prefix-suc intlen.simps*(*2*) *plus-1-eq-Suc*)
  next
  case (*Suc nat*)
  then show *?thesis*
   by (*auto simp add*: *Cons.hyps Nitpick.case-nat-unfold*)
  qed
qed

lemma *interval-prefix-intapp2* [*simp*]:
 prefix (*intlen xs* + *k* +*1*) (*xs* ⊖ *ys*) = *xs* ⊖ *prefix k ys*
proof
(*induct xs arbitrary*: *k*)
case (*St x*)
then show *?case* **by** *simp*
next
case (*Cons x1a xs*)
then show *?case*
 proof (*cases k*)
 case *0*
 then show *?thesis*
  by *auto*
   (*metis Cons.hyps Suc-eq-plus1 add.right-neutral interval-prefix-zero-intfirst*)
 next
 case (*Suc nat*)
 then show *?thesis*
  by *auto*

(*metis Cons.hyps Suc-eq-plus1 add-Suc-right*)
    qed
qed

lemma *interval-suffix-intapp* [*simp*]:
 suffix (*intlen xs* +*m* +*1*) (*xs* ⊖ *ys*) = *suffix* (*m*) *ys*
proof
 (*induct xs arbitrary*:*m*)
 case (*St x*)
 then show *?case* **by** *simp*
 next
 case (*Cons x1a xs*)
 then show *?case*
  proof (*cases m*)
  case *0*
  then show *?thesis*
   by *auto*
      (*metis Cons.hyps One-nat-def Suc-eq-plus1 interval-suffix-zero plus-1-eq-Suc*
       semiring-normalization-rules(*23*))
   next
   case (*Suc nat*)
   then show *?thesis*
    by *auto*
      (*metis Cons.hyps Suc-eq-plus1 interval-suffix-suffix*)
   qed
qed

lemma *interval-suffix-intapp2* [*simp*]:
 (*suffix* (*intlen xs* − *k*) *xs*) ⊖ *ys* = *suffix* (*intlen xs* − *k*) (*xs* ⊖ *ys*)
proof
 (*induct xs*)
 case (*St x*)
 then show *?case* **by** *simp*
 next
 case (*Cons x1a xs*)
 then show *?case*
     by (*auto simp add*: *Nitpick.case-nat-unfold*)
qed

lemma *interval-intapp-assoc* [*simp*]:
 (*xs* ⊖ *ys*) ⊖ *zs* = *xs* ⊖ (*ys* ⊖ *zs*)
by (*induct xs*) *simp-all*

lemma *interval-intapp-nth*:
 nth (*xs* ⊖ *ys*) *k* = (*if k* ≤ *intlen xs*
                 then (*nth xs k*)
                 else (*nth ys* (*k* − (*intlen xs*) −*1*)))
proof
 (*induct xs arbitrary*: *k*)

case (*St x*)
then show *?case*
  proof (*cases k*)
  case *0*
  then show *?thesis* **by** *simp*
  next
  case (*Suc nat*)
  then show *?thesis* **by** *simp*
  qed
next
case (*Cons x1a xs*)
then show *?case*
  proof (*cases k*)
  case *0*
  then show *?thesis* **by** *simp*
  next
  case (*Suc nat*)
  then show *?thesis* **by** (*simp add*: *Cons.hyps*)
  qed
qed

lemma *interval-rev-intapp* [*simp*]:
 intrev (*xs* ⊖ *ys*) = (*intrev ys*) ⊖ (*intrev xs*)
by (*induct xs*) *simp-all*

lemma *interval-intlast-intapp* [*simp*]:
 intlast(*xs* ⊖ ⟨*x*⟩) = *x*
by (*induct xs*) *simp-all*

lemma *interval-intlast-intapp2* [*simp*]:
 intlast (*xs* ⊖ *ys*) = *intlast ys*
by (*induct xs arbitrary*: *ys*) *simp-all*

lemma *interval-intfirst-intapp* [*simp*]:
 intfirst (⟨*x*⟩ ⊖ *xs*) = *x*
by (*induct xs*) *simp-all*

lemma *interval-intfirst-intapp2* [*simp*]:
 intfirst(*xs* ⊖ *ys*) = *intfirst xs*
by (*induct xs arbitrary*: *ys*) *simp-all*

lemma *interval-intapp-not-state* [*simp*]:
 xs ⊖ *ys* ≠ ⟨*x*⟩
by (*induct xs arbitrary*: *ys*) *simp-all*

lemma *interval-intapp-eq-intapp-conv* [*simp*]:
 assumes *intlen xs* = *intlen ys* ∨ *intlen us* = *intlen vs*
 shows (*xs* ⊖ *us* = *ys* ⊖ *vs*) = (*xs* =*ys* ∧ *us*=*vs*)
using *assms*

proof
  (*induct xs arbitrary*: *ys*)
  case (*St x*)
  then show *?case*
    proof (*cases ys*)
    case (*St x1*)
    then show *?thesis* **by** *simp*
    next
    case (*Cons x21 x22*)
    then show *?thesis* **using** *St.prems* **by** *auto*
    qed
  next
  case (*Cons x1a xs*)
  then show *?case*
    proof (*cases ys*)
    case (*St x1*)
    then show *?thesis* **using** *Cons.prems* **by** *auto*
    next
    case (*Cons x21 x22*)
    then show *?thesis* **using** *Cons.hyps Cons.prems* **by** *auto*
    qed
qed

lemma *interval-intapp-eq-intapp-conv2*:
$(xs \ominus ys = zs \ominus ts) =$
$(\exists\ us.\ xs = zs \ominus us \wedge us \ominus ys = ts \vee$
     $xs = zs \wedge ys = ts \vee$
     $xs \ominus us = zs \wedge ys = us \ominus ts)$
proof
 (*induct xs arbitrary*: *ys zs ts*)
case (*St x*)
then show *?case*
 proof (*cases zs*)
 case (*St x1*)
 then show *?thesis* **by** *simp*
 next
 case (*Cons x21 x22*)
 then show *?thesis* **by** *simp*
 qed
next
case (*Cons x1a xs*)
then show *?case*
 proof (*cases zs*)
 case (*St x1*)
 then show *?thesis* **by** *simp*
 next
 case (*Cons x21 x22*)
 then show *?thesis* **by** (*auto simp add*: *Cons.hyps*)
 qed
qed

lemma *interval-same-intapp-eq*[*iff*, *induct-simp*]:
 $(xs \ominus ys = xs \ominus zs) = (ys = zs)$
using *interval-suffix-intapp* **by** (*metis interval-suffix-zero*)

lemma *interval-intapp-eq-conv*[*iff*]:
 $(xs \ominus \langle x \rangle = ys \ominus \langle y \rangle) = (xs = ys \wedge x = y)$
by *auto*

lemma *interval-intapp-same-eq*[*iff*, *induct-simp*]:
 $(ys \ominus xs = zs \ominus xs) = (ys = zs)$
by *auto*

lemma *interval-suffix1-intapp*:
 suffix 1 $(xs \ominus ys) = ($*case xs of* $\langle x \rangle \Rightarrow ys \mid x \odot zs \Rightarrow zs \ominus ys)$
by (*cases xs*) *simp-all*

lemma *interval-cons-eq-intapp-conv*:
 $(x \odot xs = ys \ominus zs) =$
 $(((\langle x \rangle = ys \wedge xs = zs) \vee (\exists \ ys'.\ x \odot ys' = ys \wedge xs = ys' \ominus zs))$
by (*cases ys*) *simp-all*

lemma *interval-intapp-eq-cons-conv*:
 $(ys \ominus zs = x \odot xs) =$
 $(((\langle x \rangle = ys \wedge zs = xs) \vee (\exists \ ys'.\ ys = x \odot ys' \wedge ys' \ominus zs = xs))$
by (*cases ys*) *auto*

lemma *interval-cons-eq-intappl*:
assumes $x \odot xs1 = ys$
       $xs = xs1 \ominus zs$
shows $x \odot xs = ys \ominus zs$
using *assms* **by** *auto*

lemma *interval-intapp-eq-intappl*:
assumes $xs \ominus xs1 = zs$
       $ys = xs1 \ominus us$
shows $xs \ominus ys = zs \ominus us$
using *assms* **by** *auto*

lemma *intlen-intapp-gr-zero*:
 intlen $(xs \ominus ys) > 0$
by *auto*

lemma *interval-intapp-prefix-suffix*:
assumes $i+1 \leq$ *intlen xs*
       intlen xs > 0
shows $xs = ($*prefix i xs*$) \ominus ($*suffix* $(i+1)$ *xs*$)$

using *assms*
proof (*induct xs arbitrary:i*)
case (*St x*)
then show *?case* **by** *simp*
next
case (*Cons x1a xs*)
then show *?case*
  proof (*cases i*)
  case *0*
  then show *?thesis* **by** *auto*
  next
  case (*Suc nat*)
  then show *?thesis* **using** *Cons.hyps Cons.prems(1)* **by** *auto*
  qed
qed

1.2.6   Reverse

lemma *interval-rev-rev-ident* [*simp*]:
 intrev (*intrev xs*) = *xs*
by (*induct xs*) *auto*

lemma *interval-rev-swap* :
 ((*intrev xs*) = *ys*) = (*xs* = *intrev ys*)
by *auto*

lemma *interval-rev-singleton-conv* [*simp*]:
 (*intrev xs* = ⟨*x*⟩) = (*xs* = ⟨*x*⟩)
by (*metis interval-rev-rev-ident intrev.simps(1)*)

lemma *interval-single-rev-conv* [*simp*]:
 (⟨*x*⟩ = *intrev xs*) = (⟨*x*⟩ = *xs*)
by (*metis interval-rev-rev-ident intrev.simps(1)*)

lemma *interval-rev-is-rev-conv* [*iff*]:
 (*intrev xs* = *intrev ys*) = (*xs* = *ys*)
proof
 (*induct xs arbitrary: ys*)
 case (*St x*)
 then show *?case* **by** *simp*
 next
 case (*Cons x1a xs*)
 then show *?case*
 using *interval-rev-swap* **by** *force*
qed

lemma *interval-rev-induct* [*case-names St snoc*]:
assumes $\bigwedge$ *y*. *P* ⟨*y*⟩
    $\bigwedge$*x xs*. *P xs* $\Longrightarrow$ *P*(*xs* ⊖ ⟨*x*⟩)
shows   *P xs*

using *assms*
using *interval.induct*[*of* λ *xs. P* (*intrev xs*) *intrev xs*]
by *simp*

lemma *interval-rev-exhaust* [*case-names St snoc*]:
assumes $\bigwedge x.\ xs = \langle x \rangle \Longrightarrow P$
$\qquad\quad\bigwedge ys\ y.\ xs = ys \ominus \langle y \rangle \Longrightarrow P$
shows $\quad P$
using *assms*
by (*induct xs rule*:*interval-rev-induct*) *auto*

lemmas *interval-rev-cases = interval-rev-exhaust*

lemma *interval-rev-eq-cons-iff* [*iff*]:
 (*intrev xs* $= y{\odot}ys$) $= (xs = (intrev\ ys) \ominus \langle y \rangle)$
by (*metis interval-rev-rev-ident intrev.simps*(2))

lemma *interval-intrev-intapp-cons*:
  intrev ($xs \ominus \langle x \rangle$) $= x{\odot}intrev\ xs$
by (*cases xs*) *simp-all*

lemma *interval-intlast-intrev*:
 intlast (*intrev xs*) $=$ *intfirst xs*
proof (*cases xs*)
case (*St x1*)
then show *?thesis*
 by *simp*
next
case (*Cons x21 x22*)
then show *?thesis*
 by (*metis interval-intlast-intapp interval-nth-zero interval-nth-zero-intfirst intrev.simps*(2))
qed

lemma *interval-intfirst-intrev*:
 intfirst (*intrev xs*) $=$ *intlast xs*
proof
 (*induct xs*)
 case (*St x*)
 then show *?case* **by** *simp*
 next
 case (*Cons x1a xs*)
 then show *?case*
  by (*metis interval-intfirst-intapp2 interval-nth-intlen-intlast interval-nth-last*
    intrev.simps(2))
qed

lemma *interval-intrev-nth*:

assumes $k \leq$ *intlen* (*intrev xs*)
shows   (*nth* (*intrev xs*) *k*) = (*nth xs* ((*intlen xs*) −*k*))
using *assms*
proof
  (*induct xs*)
  case (*St x*)
  then show *?case* **by** *simp*
  next
  case (*Cons x1a xs*)
  then show *?case*
    proof (*cases k*)
    case *0*
    then show *?thesis*
     by *auto*
        (*metis Cons.hyps diff-zero interval-intapp-nth interval-intlen-gr-zero*)
    next
    case (*Suc nat*)
    then show *?thesis*
     using *Cons.hyps Suc-diff-le* **by** (*auto simp add*: *interval-intapp-nth*) *fastforce*
    qed
qed

lemma *interval-intrev-prefix*:
assumes $k \leq$ *intlen xs*
shows   *intrev*(*prefix k xs*) = *suffix* ((*intlen xs*) − *k*) (*intrev xs*)
proof
 (*induct xs arbitrary*: *k*)
 case (*St x*)
 then show *?case* **by** *simp*
 next
 case (*Cons x1a xs*)
 then show *?case*
   proof (*cases k*)
   case *0*
   then show *?thesis*
    by *auto*
       (*metis Suc-eq-plus1 add.right-neutral interval-intlast-intapp interval-intlen-intapp*
        interval-intrev-intlen interval-suffix-intlast intlen.simps(1))
   next
   case (*Suc nat*)
   then show *?thesis*
    by *auto*
       (*metis Cons.hyps interval-intrev-intlen interval-suffix-intapp2*)
   qed
qed

lemma *interval-intrev-suffix*:
assumes $k \leq$ *intlen xs*

shows   *intrev(suffix k xs) = prefix ((intlen xs) − k) (intrev xs)*
using *assms*
proof
 (induct xs arbitrary: k)
 case *(St x)*
 then show *?case* **by** *simp*
 next
 case *(Cons x1a xs)*
 then show *?case* **by** *(simp add: interval-intrev-prefix interval-rev-swap)*
qed

lemma *interval-intrev-sub*:
 assumes *0 ≤ i*
        i≤j
        j ≤ intlen xs
 shows   *intrev (sub i j xs) = sub ((intlen xs) −j) ((intlen xs) − i) (intrev xs)*
using *assms*
proof −
 have *1*: *intrev (sub i j xs) = intrev (prefix (j−i) (suffix i xs))*
   using *assms interval-sub-prefix-suffix* **by** *(simp add: interval-sub-prefix-suffix)*
 have *2*: *intrev (prefix (j−i) (suffix i xs)) = suffix ((intlen xs) − j) (intrev (suffix i xs))*
   using *assms interval-intrev-prefix[of j−i suffix i xs]* **by** *auto*
 have *3*: *suffix ((intlen xs) − j) (intrev (suffix i xs)) =*
        suffix ((intlen xs) −j) (prefix ((intlen xs) − i) (intrev xs))
   using *assms interval-intrev-suffix[of i xs]* **by** *auto*
 have *4*: *suffix ((intlen xs) −j) (prefix ((intlen xs) − i) (intrev xs)) =*
        sub ((intlen xs) −j) ((intlen xs) − i) (intrev xs)
   using *assms* **by** *(simp add: diff-le-mono2 interval-sub-prefix-suffix interval-suffix-prefix-swap)*
 from *1 2 3 4* **show** *?thesis* **by** *auto*
qed

1.2.7   Induction rule

lemma *interval-length-induct*:
assumes *(⋀xs. ∀ ys. intlen ys < intlen xs ⟶ P ys ⟹ P xs)*
shows   *P xs*
using *assms* **by** *(fact measure-induct)*

lemma *interval-induct-12*:
 assumes *⋀x. P ⟨x⟩*
        ⋀x y. P ⟨x,y⟩
        ⋀x y zs. P (y⊙zs) ⟹ P (x⊙y⊙zs)
 shows   *P xs*
using *assms*
proof *(induction xs)*
case *(St x)*
then show *?case* **by** *simp*
next
case *(Cons x1a xs)*
then show *?case*

by *auto*
  (*metis add-cancel-right-right interval-intlen-cons-1 interval-st-intlen le-add1 le-eq-less-or-eq*
  less-add-same-cancel1)
qed

lemma *interval-induct2* [*consumes 1*, *case-names St Cons*]:
assumes *intlen xs = intlen ys*
      ($\bigwedge$ *x y. P* $\langle x \rangle$ $\langle y \rangle$)
      ($\bigwedge$ *x xs y ys. intlen xs = intlen ys* $\implies$ *P xs ys* $\implies$ *P* (*x⊙xs*) (*y⊙ys*))
 shows *P xs ys*
using *assms*
proof (*induction xs arbitrary: ys*)
case (*St x*)
then show *?case*
by (*metis interval-st-intlen*)
next
case (*Cons x1a xs*)
then show *?case*
by (*metis interval-intlen-cons-1 intlen.simps*(*2*) *nat.simps*(*1*) *plus-1-eq-Suc*)
qed

1.2.8   Map

lemma *map-ext*:
 assumes ($\forall$ *x. x* $\in$ *set xs* $\longrightarrow$ *f x = g x*)
 shows   *map f xs = map g xs*
using *assms*
by (*induct xs*) *simp-all*

lemma *map-ident* [*simp*]:
 map ($\lambda x.\ x$) = ($\lambda xs\ .xs$)
 proof (*rule ext*)
  show $\bigwedge xs.\ interval.map$ ($\lambda x.\ x$) *xs = xs*
   by (*simp add: interval.map-ident*)
qed

lemma *map-intapp* [*simp*]:
 map f (*xs* $\ominus$ *ys*) = *map f xs* $\ominus$ *map f ys*
by (*induct xs*) *auto*

lemma *map-map* [*simp*]:
 map f (*map g xs*) = *map* (*f* $\circ$ *g*) *xs*
by (*simp add: interval.map-comp*)

lemma *map-comp-map* [*simp*]:
 ((*map f*) $\circ$ (*map g*)) = *map*(*f* $\circ$ *g*)
by (*rule ext*) *simp*

lemma *intrev-map*:
 intrev (*map f xs*) = *map f* (*intrev xs*)
by (*induct xs*) *auto*

lemma *map-eq-conv* [*simp*]:
 (*map f xs* = *map g xs*) = (∀ *x*∈ *set xs*. (*f x*) = (*g x*))
by (*induct xs*) *auto*

lemma *map-cong* [*fundef-cong*]:
 assumes *xs* = *ys*
        (∀ *x*. *x* ∈ *set ys* ⟶ *f x* = *g x*)
 shows   *map f xs* = *map g ys*
using *assms* **by** *simp*

lemma *map-injective*:
 assumes *map f xs* = *map f ys*
        inj f
 shows   *xs* = *ys*
using *assms* **by** (*meson injD interval.inj-map*)

lemma *inj-map-eq-map* [*simp*]:
 assumes *inj f*
 shows   (*map f xs* = *map f ys*) = (*xs* = *ys*)
using *assms* **by** (*blast dest*: *map-injective*)

lemma *inj-mapI*:
 assumes *inj f*
 shows   *inj* (*map f*)
using *assms interval.inj-map* **by** *blast*

lemma *inj-mapD*:
 assumes *inj* (*map f*)
 shows   *inj f*
using *assms* **by** (*metis inj-def interval.map*(*1*) *interval.simps*(*1*))

lemma *inj-map*[*iff*]:
 inj (*map f*) = *inj f*
by (*blast dest*: *inj-mapD intro*: *inj-mapI*)

lemma *map-idI*:
 assumes (∀ *x*. *x* ∈ *set xs* ⟶ *f x* = *x*)
 shows   *map f xs* = *xs*
using *assms* **by** (*induct xs*) *auto*

lemma *map-is-state-conv*[*iff*]:
 (*map f xs* = ⟨*x*⟩) = (∃ *y*. *xs* = ⟨*y*⟩ ∧ *f y* = *x*)
proof (*cases xs*)
case (*St x1*)
then show *?thesis* **by** *simp*
next

34

case (*Cons x21 x22*)
then show *?thesis* **by** *simp*
qed

lemma *state-is-map-conv* [*iff*]:
 ($\langle x \rangle$ = *map f xs*) = ($\exists$ *y*. $\langle y \rangle$ = *xs* $\wedge$ *f y* = *x*)
proof (*cases xs*)
case (*St x1*)
then show *?thesis* **by** *auto*
next
case (*Cons x21 x22*)
then show *?thesis* **by** *auto*
qed

lemma *map-eq-cons-conv*:
 (*map f xs* = *y$\odot$ys*) = ($\exists$ *z zs. xs* = *z$\odot$zs* $\wedge$ *f z* =*y* $\wedge$ *map f zs* = *ys*)
by (*cases xs*) *auto*

lemma *cons-eq-map-conv*:
 (*y$\odot$ys*= *map f xs*) = ($\exists$ *z zs. z$\odot$zs*=*xs* $\wedge$ *f z* =*y* $\wedge$ *ys* = *map f zs*)
by (*cases xs*) *auto*

lemma *ex-map-conv*:
  ($\exists$*xs. ys* = *map f xs*) = ($\forall$ *y* $\in$ *set ys.* $\exists$*x. y* = *f x*)
by (*induct ys*) (*auto simp add*: *cons-eq-map-conv*)

functor *map*: *map*
by (*simp-all add*: *id-def*)

declare *map.id* [*simp*]

lemma *intfirst-map*:
 intfirst (*map f xs*) = *f* (*intfirst xs*)
by (*cases xs*) *simp-all*

lemma *intlast-map*:
 intlast (*map f xs*) = *f* (*intlast xs*)
proof (*cases xs rule*: *interval-rev-cases*)
case (*St x*)
then show *?thesis* **by** *simp*
next
case (*snoc ys y*)
then show *?thesis* **by** (*simp add*: *interval-intapp-nth*)
qed

lemma *map-tail*:

shows   *map f (suffix 1 xs) = (suffix 1 (map f xs))*
by (*cases xs*) *simp-all*

lemma *map-eq-imp-intlen-eq*:
 assumes *map f xs = map g ys*
 shows *intlen xs = intlen ys*
using *assms*
proof (*induct ys arbitrary*: *xs*)
case (*St x*)
then show *?case* **by** *auto*
next
case (*Cons x1a ys*)
then show *?case* **by** (*metis interval-intlen-map*)
qed

lemma *interval-set-map* [*simp*]:
  set (map f xs) = f'(set xs)
by (*induct xs*) *auto*

lemma *map-inj-on*:
 assumes *map*: *map f xs = map f ys* **and**
        inj: *inj-on f (set xs ∪ set ys)*
  shows *xs =ys*
using *map-eq-imp-intlen-eq*[*OF map*] *assms*
proof (*induction rule*: *interval-induct2*)
case (*St x y*)
then show *?case* **by** *auto*
next
case (*Cons x xs y ys*)
then show *?case*
by (*metis* (*mono-tags, hide-lams*) *UnI1 UnI2 inj-on-def interval.inj-map-strong*)
qed

lemma *inj-on-map-eq-map*:
assumes *inj-on f (set xs ∪ set ys)*
shows   (*map f xs = map f ys*) = (*xs = ys*)
using *assms* **by** (*blast dest*:*map-inj-on*)

lemma *inj-on-mapI*:
 assumes *inj-on f* (⋃ (*set ' A*))
 shows   *inj-on (map f) A*
using *assms* **by** (*blast intro*:*inj-onI dest*:*inj-onD map-inj-on*)

lemma *map-prefix*:
 assumes *k ≤ intlen xs*
 shows   *map f (prefix k xs) = prefix k (map f xs)*
using *assms*
proof (*induction xs arbitrary*: *k*)
case (*St x*)
then show *?case* **by** *simp*

next
case (*Cons x1a xs*)
then show *?case*
  proof (*cases k*)
  case *0*
  then show *?thesis* **by** *auto*
  next
  case (*Suc nat*)
  then show *?thesis* **using** *Cons.IH Cons.prems* **by** *auto*
  qed
qed

lemma *map-suffix*:
 assumes $k \leq$ *intlen xs*
 shows   *map f* (*suffix k xs*) = *suffix k* (*map f xs*)
using *assms*
proof (*induction xs arbitrary*: *k*)
case (*St x*)
then show *?case* **by** *simp*
next
case (*Cons x1a xs*)
then show *?case*
 proof (*cases k*)
 case *0*
 then show *?thesis* **by** *auto*
 next
 case (*Suc nat*)
 then show *?thesis* **using** *Cons.IH Cons.prems* **by** *auto*
 qed
qed

1.2.9   index sequence

lemma *interval-idx-less*:
   assumes   *index-sequence x idx*
           n+k<intlen idx
   shows   *nth idx n* < *nth idx* (*Suc(n+k)*)
using *index-sequence-def assms* **by** (*induct k*) *auto*

lemma *interval-idx-less-eq*:
 assumes *index-sequence x l*
      $k{\leq}j$
      $j{\leq}intlen\ l$
 shows   *nth l k* $\leq$ *nth l j*
using *assms*
proof (*cases k=j*)
 show *index-sequence x l* $\Longrightarrow$ $k \leq j$ $\Longrightarrow$ $j \leq$ *intlen l* $\Longrightarrow$ $k = j$ $\Longrightarrow$ *nth l k* $\leq$ *nth l j*
  by *blast*
 show *index-sequence x l* $\Longrightarrow$ $k \leq j$ $\Longrightarrow$ $j \leq$ *intlen l* $\Longrightarrow$ $k \neq j$ $\Longrightarrow$ *nth l k* $\leq$ *nth l j*

by (*metis Suc-le-lessD interval-idx-less le-SucE le-eq-less-or-eq le-zero-eq*
  ordered-cancel-comm-monoid-diff-class.add-diff-inverse zero-induct)
qed

lemma *interval-idx-mono*:
 assumes *index-sequence x l*
 shows   *mono* (λ *x. nth l x*)
proof $-$
 have *1*: $\forall$ *x y. x $\leq$ y $\longrightarrow$ nth l x $\leq$ nth l y*
   proof
     fix *x*
     show $\forall$ *y$\geq$x. nth l x $\leq$ nth l y*
     proof
      fix *y*
      show *x $\leq$ y $\longrightarrow$ nth l x $\leq$ nth l y*
       proof $-$
        have *2*: *x$\leq$ y $\wedge$ y $\leq$ intlen l $\Longrightarrow$ nth l x $\leq$ nth l y*
         using *assms interval-idx-less-eq* **by** *blast*
        have *3*: *x$\leq$ y $\wedge$ x>intlen l $\Longrightarrow$ nth l x $\leq$ nth l y*
           using *assms interval-nth-last-stutter*
           by (*metis 2 le-cases less-imp-add-positive*
              ordered-cancel-comm-monoid-diff-class.add-diff-inverse)
        have *4*: *x$\leq$ y $\wedge$ x$\leq$intlen l $\wedge$ y > intlen l $\Longrightarrow$ nth l x $\leq$ nth l y*
           by (*metis assms interval-idx-less-eq interval-nth-last-stutter*
              less-imp-add-positive order-refl)
        show *?thesis*
         using *2 3 4 not-less* **by** *blast*
       qed
     qed
   qed
   show *?thesis*
   by (*simp add: 1 monol*)
qed

lemma *interval-idx-less-last* :
 assumes *index-sequence x idx*
        i<intlen idx
        i+(intlen idx $-$ (i+1))< intlen idx
 shows   *nth idx i < nth idx (Suc(i+(intlen idx $-$(i+1))))*
using *assms interval-idx-less* **by** *blast*

lemma *interval-idx-less-last-1*:
 assumes *index-sequence x idx*
        i<intlen idx
 shows   *nth idx i < nth idx (intlen idx)*
using *assms interval-idx-less-last* **by** *auto*

lemma *interval-idx-greater-first*:

assumes   *index-sequence x idx*
        0 < i
        i≤ intlen idx
shows   *x < nth idx i*
using *assms*
proof −
 have *1*: *nth idx 0 = x*
   using *assms* **by** (*simp add: index-sequence-def*)
 have *2*: ∀ *i. 0 < i ∧ i≤ intlen idx* ⟶ (*nth idx 0*) < (*nth idx i*)
   proof
    fix *i*
    show *0 < i ∧ i ≤ intlen idx* ⟶ *nth idx 0 < nth idx i*
     by (*meson Suc-leI assms(1) dual-order.strict-trans1 index-sequence-def interval-idx-less-eq*)
   qed
 show *?thesis*
 using *1 2 assms(2) assms(3)* **by** *blast*
qed

lemma *interval-idx-cons*:
   index-sequence y (x⊙ls) =
   (*x=y ∧ x<nth ls 0 ∧ index-sequence (nth ls 0) ls*)
using *less-Suc-eq-0-disj* **by** (*simp add: index-sequence-def*) *auto*

lemma *interval-idx-shift-mono*:
  mono (shift k)
by (*simp add: Interval.shift-def mono-def*)

lemma *interval-idx-expand*:
 assumes *index-sequence 0 l*
       (*nth l (intlen l)*) = (*intlen xs*)
       i< (intlen l)
 shows   (*nth l i*) ≤ (*nth l (i+1)*) ∧ (*nth l (i+1)*) ≤ (*intlen xs*)
using *assms*
by (*metis Suc-eq-plus1 Suc-lessI add.right-neutral interval-idx-less interval-idx-less-last-1*
  less-imp-le-nat order-refl)

lemma *interval-idx-shift-idx* [*simp*]:
  (*index-sequence (x+k) (map (shift k) idx)*) = (*index-sequence x idx*)
by (*simp add: Interval.shift-def index-sequence-def interval-nth-map*)

lemma *interval-idx-shiftm* :
 assumes *index-sequence k lsk*
 shows   *index-sequence 0 (map (shiftm k) lsk) ∧ k ≤ (nth lsk 0)*
using *assms*
proof (*auto simp add: index-sequence-def shiftm-def interval-nth-map*)
 show ⋀*n.* ∀ *n<intlen lsk. nth lsk n < nth lsk (Suc n)* ⟹
     k = nth lsk 0 ⟹
     n < intlen lsk ⟹ *nth lsk n − nth lsk 0 < nth lsk (Suc n) − nth lsk 0*
 by (*metis assms diff-less-mono interval-idx-greater-first le-eq-less-or-eq neq0-conv*)
qed

lemma *interval-idx-shiftm-a* :
  assumes *index-sequence 0 (map (shiftm k) lsk)*
        $k \leq (nth\ lsk\ 0)$
  shows   *index-sequence k lsk*
using *assms*
by (*auto simp add*: *index-sequence-def shiftm-def interval-nth-map*)

lemma *interval-idx-shiftm-b* :
 index-sequence k lsk = (index-sequence 0 (map (shiftm k) lsk) $\land$ $k \leq (nth\ lsk\ 0))$
using *interval-idx-shiftm interval-idx-shiftm-a* **by** *blast*

lemma *interval-idx-shiftm-c* :
 index-sequence (nth lsk 0) lsk = index-sequence 0 (map (shiftm (nth lsk 0)) lsk)
using *interval-idx-shiftm-b* **by** *blast*

lemma *interval-lsk-ls* :
  (index-sequence k (lsk) $\land$ *lsk = map (shift k) ls* $\land$ *index-sequence 0 (ls))* =
   (index-sequence k (lsk) $\land$ *ls = map (shiftm k) lsk* $\land$ *index-sequence 0 (ls))*
proof (*simp add*: *interval-eq-nth-eq index-sequence-def shift-def shiftm-def interval-nth-map*)
  show (*nth lsk 0 = k* $\land$
    ($\forall$ *n*<*intlen lsk. nth lsk n < nth lsk (Suc n))* $\land$
    intlen lsk = intlen ls $\land$
    ($\forall$ *i*$\leq$*intlen lsk. nth lsk i = nth ls i + k)* $\land$
    nth ls 0 = 0 $\land$ ($\forall$ *n*<*intlen ls. nth ls n < nth ls (Suc n)))* =
    (*nth lsk 0 = k* $\land$
    ($\forall$ *n*<*intlen lsk. nth lsk n < nth lsk (Suc n))* $\land$
    intlen ls = intlen lsk $\land$
    ($\forall$ *i*$\leq$*intlen ls. nth ls i = nth lsk i − k)* $\land$
    nth ls 0 = 0 $\land$ ($\forall$ *n*<*intlen ls. nth ls n < nth ls (Suc n)))* (**is** *?L=?R*)
   proof *rule*
   show *?L* $\Longrightarrow$ *?R*
  by (*metis* (*no-types, lifting*) *add-diff-cancel-right'*)
   show *?R* $\Longrightarrow$ *?L*
   by (*metis add.commute add-diff-inverse-nat diff-is-0-eq less-eq-Suc-le less-irrefl-nat*
      less-nat-zero-code less-or-eq-imp-le old.nat.exhaust)
  qed
qed

lemma *interval-idx-link-shiftm*:
  (index-sequence k (lsk) $\land$ *ls = map (shiftm k) lsk)* =
  (index-sequence k (lsk) $\land$ *ls = map (shiftm k) lsk* $\land$
   index-sequence 0 (ls) $\land$ *(intlen ls) =(intlen lsk))*
using *interval-idx-shiftm* **using** *interval-intlen-map* **by** *blast*

lemma *interval-idx-link*:
  (lsk = map (shift k) ls $\land$ *index-sequence 0 (ls))* =
  (lsk = map (shift k) ls $\land$ *index-sequence k (lsk)* $\land$ *index-sequence 0 (ls)*$\land$
   (intlen ls) =(intlen lsk))
by (*metis add.left-neutral interval-idx-shift-idx interval-intlen-map*)

lemma *interval-idx-bound-0* :
 assumes *index-sequence 0 ls*
        nth ls (intlen ls) = intlen (suffix k xs)
        i≤intlen ls
 shows   *nth ls i ≤   intlen (suffix k xs)*
using *assms*
by (*metis eq-iff interval-idx-less-last-1 le-neq-implies-less less-imp-le-nat*)

lemma *interval-idx-bound-1*:
  (*index-sequence 0 (ls) ∧ (nth (ls) (intlen (ls))) = (intlen (suffix k xs))) =*
    (*index-sequence 0 (ls) ∧ (nth (ls) (intlen (ls))) = (intlen (suffix k xs)) ∧*
    (∀ *i. (i≤intlen ls)* ⟶ ((*nth ls (i)) ≤ (intlen (suffix k xs)))))*
using *interval-idx-bound-0* **by** *blast*

lemma *interval-idx-less-equal*:
assumes *index-sequence 0 l*
        (*nth l (intlen l)) = intlen xs*
        i ≤ intlen l
        n ≤ intlen l
shows   ∀ *j . j≤n* ⟶ *nth l j ≤ nth l n*
using *assms*
using *interval-idx-less-eq* **by** *blast*

lemma *interval-idx-less-than*:
assumes *index-sequence 0 l*
        (*nth l (intlen l)) = intlen xs*
        i ≤ intlen l
        n ≤ intlen l
shows   ∀ *j. j>n ∧ j ≤ intlen l*⟶ *nth l j > nth l n*
by (*meson Suc-leI assms interval-idx-less-equal index-sequence-def less-le-trans*)

lemma *interval-idx-sub*:
 assumes *k≤n*
        n ≤ intlen l
        index-sequence 0 l
 shows   *index-sequence (nth l k) (sub k n l)*
proof −
 have *1*: *index-sequence (nth l k) (sub k n l) =*
        ((*nth (sub k n l) 0) = (nth l k) ∧*
        (∀ *i. i<intlen(sub k n l)* ⟶ (*nth (sub k n l) i) < (nth (sub k n l) (Suc i))))*
    using *index-sequence-def* **by** *auto*
 have *2*: (*nth (sub k n l) 0) = (nth l k)*
      using *assms interval-intfirst-sub* **by** *auto*
 have *3*: *intlen(sub k n l) = (n −k)*
   by (*simp add: assms*)
 have *4*: (∀ *i. i<intlen(sub k n l)* ⟶ (*nth (sub k n l) i) < (nth (sub k n l) (Suc i)))*

proof
 fix *i*
 show *i < intlen (sub k n l) ⟶ nth (sub k n l) i < nth (sub k n l) (Suc i)*
 proof −
  have *41*: *i < (n−k) ⟶ nth (sub k n l) i = nth l (k+i)*
  by (*simp add*: *assms*)
  have *42*: *i < (n−k) ⟶ nth (sub k n l) (Suc i) = nth l (k+(Suc i))*
   by (*simp add*: *assms*)
  have *43*: *i < (n−k) ⟶ nth l (k+i) < nth l (k+(Suc i))*
    using *assms*
    using *index-sequence-def* **by** *auto*
   show *?thesis* **using** *3 41 42 43* **by** *auto*
  qed
 qed
 show *?thesis* **by** (*simp add*: *1 2 4*)
qed

lemma *interval-idx-split*:
 assumes *n≤ intlen l*
 shows *index-sequence 0 l =*
        (index-sequence 0 (prefix n l) ∧ index-sequence (nth l n) (suffix n l))
proof −
 have *1*: *index-sequence 0 l ⟶*
        index-sequence 0 (prefix n l) ∧ index-sequence (nth l n) (suffix n l)
   using *interval-idx-sub* **using** *assms index-sequence-def* **by** *auto*
 have *2*: *index-sequence 0 (prefix n l) =*
        ((nth (prefix n l) 0) = 0 ∧
         (∀ i. i< intlen(prefix n l) ⟶ (nth (prefix n l) i) < (nth (prefix n l) (Suc i))))
   using *index-sequence-def* **by** *blast*
 have *3*: *((nth (prefix n l) 0) = 0 ∧*
        (∀ i. i< intlen(prefix n l) ⟶ (nth (prefix n l) i) < (nth (prefix n l) (Suc i)))) =
        ((nth l 0) = 0 ∧
         (∀ i. i<n ⟶ (nth l i) < (nth l (Suc i))))
    using *assms* **by** *auto*
 have *4*: *index-sequence (nth l n) (suffix n l) =*
        ((nth (suffix n l) 0) = (nth l n) ∧
         (∀ i. i<intlen(suffix n l) ⟶ (nth (suffix n l) i) < (nth (suffix n l) (Suc i))))
    using *index-sequence-def* **by** *blast*
 have *5*: *((nth (suffix n l) 0) = (nth l n) ∧*
        (∀ i. i<intlen(suffix n l) ⟶ (nth (suffix n l) i) < (nth (suffix n l) (Suc i)))) =
        ((nth l n) = (nth l n) ∧
        (∀ i. i<intlen l − n ⟶ (nth l (i+n)) < (nth l ((Suc i)+n))))
    by (*metis* (*no-types*, *lifting*) *Suc-leI add.commute assms interval-intlast-intfirst*
        interval-intlast-prefix interval-nth-suffix interval-nth-zero-intfirst
        interval-suffix-length-good less-imp-le-nat)
 have *6*: *(∀ i. i<intlen l − n ⟶ (nth l (i+n)) < (nth l ((Suc i)+n))) =*
        (∀ i. n ≤ (i+n) ∧ (i+n)<intlen l ⟶ (nth l (i+n)) < (nth l ((Suc i)+n)))
     by *auto*
 have *7*: *(index-sequence 0 (prefix n l) ∧ index-sequence (nth l n) (suffix n l)) ⟶*
        (nth l 0) = 0 ∧ (∀ i. i<n ⟶ (nth l i) < (nth l (Suc i)))

42

using *2 3* **by** *blast*
have *8*: (*index-sequence 0* (*prefix n l*) ∧ *index-sequence* (*nth l n*) (*suffix n l*)) ⟶
    (∀ *i*. *n* ≤ *i* ∧ *i*<*intlen l* ⟶ (*nth l* (*i*)) < (*nth l* ((*Suc i*))))
    by (*metis* (*no-types, lifting*) *4 5 6 add-Suc diff-add*)
have *9*: (*index-sequence 0* (*prefix n l*) ∧ *index-sequence* (*nth l n*) (*suffix n l*)) ⟶
    (*nth l 0*) = *0* ∧ (∀*i*. *i*<*intlen l* ⟶ (*nth l i*) < (*nth l* (*Suc i*)))
 using *7 8 not-le* **by** *blast*
have *10*: (*index-sequence 0* (*prefix n l*) ∧ *index-sequence* (*nth l n*) (*suffix n l*)) ⟶
    index-sequence 0 l
   using *9 index-sequence-def* **by** *blast*
from *10 1* **show** *?thesis* **by** *blast*
qed

lemma *interval-idx-suffixa*:
 assumes *n*≤ *intlen l*
    index-sequence (*nth l n*) (*suffix n l*)
 shows   *index-sequence 0* ((*map* (*shiftm* (*nth l n*)) (*suffix n l*)))
using *assms interval-idx-shiftm* **by** *blast*

lemma *interval-idx-greater*:
 assumes *index-sequence k l*
 shows   (∀ *i*. *i*≤ *intlen l* ⟶ *k* ≤ (*nth l i*))
by (*metis assms eq-iff index-sequence-def interval-idx-greater-first less-imp-le neq0-conv*)

lemma *interval-idx-suffixb*:
 assumes *n*≤ *intlen l*
    index-sequence 0 ((*map* (*shiftm* (*nth l n*)) (*suffix n l*)))
 shows   *index-sequence* (*nth l n*) (*suffix n l*)
by (*metis assms interval-idx-shiftm-c interval-intlast-intfirst interval-intlast-prefix*
   interval-nth-zero-intfirst)

lemma *interval-idx-suffix*:
 assumes *n*≤ *intlen l*
 shows   *index-sequence* (*nth l n*) (*suffix n l*) =
    index-sequence 0 ((*map* (*shiftm* (*nth l n*)) (*suffix n l*)))
using *assms interval-idx-shiftm interval-idx-suffixb* **by** *blast*

lemma *interval-idx-intfirst*:
 assumes *index-sequence 0* (*x1a*⊙ *l*)
  shows   *x1a* < *intfirst*(*l*)
by (*metis assms interval-idx-cons interval-nth-zero-intfirst*)

lemma *interval-idx-expand1*:
 (*index-sequence x1a* (*x1a* ⊙ *l*)) = (*x1a* < *intfirst l* ∧ *index-sequence* (*intfirst l*) *l*)
using *interval-nth-zero-intfirst less-Suc-eq-0-disj* **by** (*auto simp add*: *index-sequence-def*)

lemma *interval-idx-intlen-leq-intlast-intfirst*:
 assumes *index-sequence* (*intfirst l*) *l*
 shows   *intlen* (*l*) ≤ (*intlast l* − *intfirst l*)

using *assms*
proof
(*induct l*)
case (*St x*)
then show *?case* **by** *simp*
next
case (*Cons x1a l*)
then show *?case*
  proof −
  have *1*: *intlen* (*x1a* ⊙ *l*) = *intlen l* +*1*
   by *simp*
  have *2*: *index-sequence* (*intfirst l*) *l*
   using *Cons.prems interval-idx-expand1* **by** *auto*
  have *3*: *intlast* (*x1a* ⊙ *l*) = *intlast l*
   by *simp*
  have *4*: *intfirst* (*x1a* ⊙ *l*) = *x1a*
   by *simp*
  have *5*: *x1a* < *intfirst l*
   using *Cons.prems interval-idx-expand1* **by** *auto*
  have *6*: *intlen l* ≤ *intlast l* − *intfirst l*
   using *2 Cons.hyps* **by** *blast*
  have *7*: *intlen l* +*1* ≤ (*intlast l* − *intfirst l*)+*1*
    using *6 add-le-cancel-right* **by** *blast*
  have *8*: (*intlast l* − *intfirst l*) +*1* ≤ *intlast l* − *x1a*
   by (*metis 5 6 Suc-eq-plus1 diff-is-0-eq diff-less-mono diff-less-mono2*
     interval-nth-intlen-intlast interval-nth-zero-intfirst le-antisym less-eq-Suc-le
     nat-le-linear not-le not-less0)
  show *?thesis* **using** *7 8* **by** *auto*
  qed
qed

lemma *interval-idx-intlen-leq*:
assumes *index-sequence* (*intfirst l*) *l*
    intlast(*l*) ≤ *intlen xs*
shows *intlen* (*l*) ≤ *intlen* (*sub* (*intfirst l*) (*intlast l*) *xs*)
proof −
 have *1*: *intfirst l* ≤ *intlast l*
  using *assms* **by** (*metis eq-iff gr0I index-sequence-def interval-idx-less-last-1*
   interval-nth-intlen-intlast less-imp-le-nat)
 have *2*: *intlen* (*sub* (*intfirst l*) (*intlast l*) *xs*) = (*intlast l* − *intfirst l*)
   using *1 assms interval-intlen-sub* **by** *blast*
 have *3*: *intlen* (*l*) ≤ (*intlast l* − *intfirst l*)
   using *assms interval-idx-intlen-leq-intlast-intfirst* **by** *blast*
 show *?thesis* **using** *2 3* **by** *linarith*
qed

lemma *interval-idx-shiftm-sub-nth*:
assumes *index-sequence 0 l*
    (*nth l* (*intlen l*)) = *intlen xs*

$$k \leq n$$
$$n \leq intlen\ l$$
shows $\forall\ j.\ j \leq n-k \longrightarrow$
$$nth\ (map\ (shiftm\ (nth\ l\ k))\ (sub\ k\ n\ l))\ j = nth\ l\ (k+j) - (nth\ l\ k)$$
by (*simp add*: *Nat.le-diff-conv2 assms interval-nth-map shiftm-def*)

lemma *interval-idx-shiftm-suffix-nth*:
assumes *index-sequence 0 l*
$$(nth\ l\ (intlen\ l)) = intlen\ xs$$
$$n \leq intlen\ l$$
shows $\forall\ j.\ j \leq intlen\ l - n \longrightarrow$
$$nth\ (map\ (shiftm\ (nth\ l\ n))\ (suffix\ n\ l))\ j = nth\ l\ (n+j) - (nth\ l\ n)$$
using *assms* **by** (*metis interval-nth-map interval-nth-suffix shiftm-def*)

1.2.10   upt

lemma *upt-rec*[*code*]:
$[i..\leq j] = (if\ i{<}j\ then\ i \odot [Suc\ i..\leq j]\ else\ \langle j \rangle)$
by (*induct j*) *auto*

lemma *upt-conv-st* [*simp*]:
assumes $j{<}\ i$
shows $[i..\leq j] = \langle j \rangle$
using *assms*
by (*metis Interval.upt.simps(1) Interval.upt.simps(2) Suc-leD less-Suc-eq-0-disj not-le*)

lemma *upt-same*:
$[i..\leq i] = \langle i \rangle$
by (*metis Interval.upt.simps(1) Interval.upt.simps(2) less-Suc-eq less-Suc-eq-0-disj not-le*)

lemma *upt-eq-st-conv*[*simp*]:
$([i..\leq j] = \langle j \rangle) = (j \leq i)$
by (*simp add*: *Interval.upt-rec*)

lemma *upt-eq-cons-conv*:
$([i..\leq j] = x \odot xs) = (i{<}j \wedge i{=}x \wedge [Suc\ i..\leq j] = xs)$
using *Interval.upt-rec* **by** (*induct j arbitrary*: *x xs*) *auto*

lemma *upt-suc-append*:
assumes $i \leq j$
shows $[i..\leq(Suc\ j)] = [i..\leq j] \ominus \langle(Suc\ j)\rangle$
using *assms* **by** *simp*

lemma *upt-conv-cons*:
assumes $i{<}j$
shows $[i..\leq j] = i \odot [(Suc\ i)..\leq j]$
using *assms* **by** (*simp add*: *upt-rec*)

lemma *upt-conv-cons-cons*:

$(m \odot n \odot ns = [m..\leq q]) = (n \odot ns = [(Suc\ m)..\leq q])$
proof (*cases* $m \leq q$)
case *True*
then show *?thesis* **by** (*simp add*: *Interval.upt-rec*)
next
case *False*
then show *?thesis* **by** *auto*
qed

lemma *upt-add-eq-append*:
assumes $i \leq j$
$\qquad k > 0$
shows $[i..\leq j+k] = [i..\leq j] \ominus [Suc\ j..\leq j+k]$
using *assms*
proof
 (*induct* k)
 case *0*
 then show *?case* **by** *blast*
 next
 case (*Suc* k)
 then show *?case* **using** *Suc-less-eq le-simps*(2) **by** *auto*
qed

lemma *upt-length*:
 intlen $[i..\leq j] = j - i$
by (*induct* j) (*auto simp add*: *Suc-diff-le*)

lemma *upt-nth-help*:
 Interval.nth $[i..\leq i + k]\ k = i + k$
proof
  (*induct* k *arbitrary*: i)
  case *0*
  then show *?case* **by** (*simp add*: *upt-same*)
  next
  case (*Suc* k)
  then show *?case*
  by (*metis Interval.upt-rec add-Suc-shift interval-nth-Suc less-add-same-cancel1*
       zero-less-Suc)
qed

lemma *upt-nth*:
assumes $i + k \leq j$
shows (*nth* $[i..\leq j]\ k$) $= i + k$
using *assms*
proof
 (*induct* j *arbitrary*: $k\ i$)
 case *0*
 then show *?case* **by** *simp*
 next
 case (*Suc* j)

then show *?case*
  by (*metis Interval.upt.upt-Suc Nat.le-diff-conv2 add.commute add-leD1*
    interval-intapp-nth le-SucE upt-length upt-nth-help)
qed

lemma *upt-intfirst*:
assumes $i \leq j$
shows   *intfirst* $[i..\leq j] = i$
using *assms* **by** (*simp add*: *Interval.upt-rec*)

lemma *upt-intlast*:
  intlast $[i..\leq j] = j$
by (*metis add-diff-inverse-nat interval-nth-intlen-intlast interval-st-intlen order-refl*
    upt-conv-st upt-length upt-nth)

lemma *prefix-upt*:
assumes $i+m \leq n$
shows   *prefix m* $[i..\leq n] = [i..\leq i+m]$
using *assms*
proof
 (*induct m arbitrary*: *i*)
 case *0*
 then show *?case* **by** (*simp add*: *upt-nth upt-same*)
 next
 case (*Suc m*)
 then show *?case* **using** *Interval.upt-rec* **by** *auto*
qed

lemma *suffix-upt*:
  suffix m $[i..\leq j] = [i+m..\leq j]$
proof
 (*induct m arbitrary*: *i j*)
 case *0*
 then show *?case* **by** *simp*
 next
 case (*Suc j*)
 then show *?case* **using** *Interval.upt-rec*
 by (*metis add-Suc-shift interval-suffix-suc not-less-eq not-less-iff-gr-or-eq suffix.simps(1)*)
qed

lemma *map-suc-upt*:
  map Suc $[m..\leq n] = [Suc\ m..\leq Suc\ n]$
proof
 (*induct n arbitrary*: *m*)
 case *0*
 then show *?case* **by** *simp*
 next
 case (*Suc n*)
 then show *?case* **by** *simp*
qed

lemma *map-add-upt*:
 map ($\lambda i.\ i\ +\ n$) [$0..\leq m$] = [$n..\leq m+n$]
proof
 (*induct m*)
 case *0*
 then show *?case* **by** (*simp add*: *upt-same*)
 next
 case (*Suc m*)
 then show *?case* **by** *simp*
qed

1.2.11   Set

lemma *interval-set-intapp* [*simp*]:
 set (*xs* $\ominus$ *ys*) = (*set xs* $\cup$ *set ys*)
by (*induct xs*) *auto*

lemma *interval-finite-set* [*iff*]:
  finite (*set* (*xs*:: $'a$ *interval*))
by (*induct xs*) *auto*

lemma *interval-hd-in-set* [*simp*]:
   $x \in$ *set* (*x$\odot$xs*)
by *simp*

lemma *interval-set-subset-Cons*:
  set xs $\subseteq$ *set* ($x \odot$ *xs*)
by *auto*

lemma *interval-set-ConsD*:
 assumes $y \in$ *set* ($x \odot$ *xs*)
 shows y=$x \lor y \in$ *set xs*
using *assms* **by** *auto*

lemma *interval-exists-cons*:
 ($\exists$ *ys* $\in$ *set* ((*x$\odot$xs*)). *P ys*) $\longleftrightarrow$
  ($P\ x \land$ ($\exists$ *ys* $\in$ *set xs*. *P ys*)) $\lor$ ($\neg\ P\ x \land$ ($\exists$ *ys* $\in$ *set xs*. *P ys*)) $\lor$
  ($P\ x \land$ ($\forall$ *ys* $\in$ *set xs*. $\neg\ P$ *ys*))
by *auto*

lemma *interval-set-nonempty*:
  set xs $\neq$ {}
by (*induct xs*) *auto*

lemma *interval-set-intrev* [*simp*]:
  set (*intrev xs*) = *set xs*
by (*induct xs*) *auto*

lemma *interval-split-interval*:

assumes $x \in set\ xs$

shows $\quad \exists\ ys\ zs.\ xs = ys \ominus (x \odot zs) \lor xs = \langle x \rangle \lor xs = x \odot zs \lor xs = ys \ominus \langle x \rangle$

using *assms*

proof (*induct xs*)

case (*St x*)

then show *?case* **by** *simp*

next

case (*Cons x1a xs*)

then show *?case*

by (*metis intapp-St interval.inject*(2) *interval.set-cases interval-intapp-assoc*)

qed

lemma *interval-in-set-conv-decomp*:

$\quad x \in set\ xs =$

$\quad (\exists\ ys\ zs.\ xs = ys \ominus (x \odot zs) \lor xs = \langle x \rangle \lor xs = x \odot zs \lor xs = ys \ominus \langle x \rangle)$

by (*auto elim*: *interval-split-interval*)

lemma *interval-split-interval-first*:

assumes $x \in set\ xs$

shows $\quad \exists\ ys\ zs.\ xs = ys \ominus (x \odot zs) \land x \notin set\ ys \lor xs = \langle x \rangle \lor xs = x \odot zs \lor$

$\qquad\qquad xs = ys \ominus \langle x \rangle \land x \notin set\ ys$

using *assms*

proof (*induct xs*)

case (*St x*)

then show *?case* **by** *simp*

next

case (*Cons x1a xs*)

then show *?case*

$\quad$ **proof** (*cases x = x1a*)

$\quad$ **case** *True*

$\quad$ **then show** *?thesis* **by** *blast*

$\quad$ **next**

$\quad$ **case** *False*

$\quad$ **then show** *?thesis*

$\quad\quad$ **using** *Cons interval-cons-eq-intappl*

$\quad\quad$ **proof** *auto*

$\quad\quad$ **show** $\bigwedge ys\ zs.$

$\quad\quad\quad x \neq x1a \Longrightarrow$

$\quad\quad\quad (\bigwedge x\ xs1\ ys\ xs\ zs.\ x \odot xs1 = ys \Longrightarrow xs = xs1 \ominus zs \Longrightarrow x \odot xs = ys \ominus zs) \Longrightarrow$

$\quad\quad\quad x \notin interval.set\ ys \Longrightarrow$

$\quad\quad\quad xs = ys \ominus x \odot zs \Longrightarrow$

$\quad\quad\quad \exists ysa.\ (\exists zsa.\ x1a \odot ys \ominus x \odot zs = ysa \ominus x \odot zsa) \land x \notin interval.set\ ysa \lor$

$\quad\quad\quad\quad x1a \odot ys \ominus x \odot zs = ysa \ominus \langle x \rangle \land x \notin interval.set\ ysa$

$\quad\quad$ **by** (*metis Un-iff empty-iff insert-iff intapp-St interval.simps*(15) *interval-intapp-assoc*

$\quad\quad\quad$ *interval-set-intapp*)

$\quad\quad$ **show** $x \neq x1a \Longrightarrow$

$\quad\quad\quad (\bigwedge x\ xs1\ ys\ xs\ zs.\ x \odot xs1 = ys \Longrightarrow xs = xs1 \ominus zs \Longrightarrow x \odot xs = ys \ominus zs) \Longrightarrow$

$\quad\quad\quad xs = \langle x \rangle \Longrightarrow$

$\exists\, ys.\ (\exists\, zs.\ \langle x1a, x\rangle = ys \ominus x \odot zs) \wedge x \notin interval.set\ ys \vee \langle x1a, x\rangle = ys \ominus \langle x\rangle\ \wedge$
$\qquad x \notin interval.set\ ys$
 by (*metis insert-absorb insert-iff interval.simps*(15) *interval-intrev-intapp-cons*
  interval-rev-intapp interval-set-nonempty intrev.simps(1))
 show $\bigwedge zs.\ x \neq x1a \Longrightarrow$
  $(\bigwedge x\ xs1\ ys\ xs\ zs.\ x \odot xs1 = ys \Longrightarrow xs = xs1 \ominus zs \Longrightarrow x \odot xs = ys \ominus zs) \Longrightarrow$
  $xs = x \odot zs \Longrightarrow$
  $\exists\, ys.\ (\exists\, zsa.\ x1a \odot x \odot zs = ys \ominus x \odot zsa) \wedge x \notin interval.set\ ys \vee$
   $x1a \odot x \odot zs = ys \ominus \langle x\rangle \wedge x \notin interval.set\ ys$
  by (*metis Interval.nth.simps*(1) *intapp-St interval.set-cases interval-intapp-not-state*)
 show $\bigwedge ys.\ x \neq x1a \Longrightarrow$
  $(\bigwedge x\ xs1\ ys\ xs\ zs.\ x \odot xs1 = ys \Longrightarrow xs = xs1 \ominus zs \Longrightarrow x \odot xs = ys \ominus zs) \Longrightarrow$
  $x \notin interval.set\ ys \Longrightarrow$
  $xs = ys \ominus \langle x\rangle \Longrightarrow$
  $\exists\, ysa.\ (\exists\, zs.\ x1a \odot ys \ominus \langle x\rangle = ysa \ominus x \odot zs) \wedge x \notin interval.set\ ysa \vee$
   $x1a \odot ys \ominus \langle x\rangle = ysa \ominus \langle x\rangle \wedge x \notin interval.set\ ysa$
  by (*metis insert-iff intapp-Cons interval.simps*(16))
 qed
 qed
qed

lemma *in-set-conv-decomp-first*:
$x \in set\ xs =$
 $(\exists\ ys\ zs.\ xs = ys \ominus (x \odot zs) \wedge x \notin set\ ys \vee xs = \langle x\rangle \vee xs = x \odot zs \vee$
  $xs = ys \ominus \langle x\rangle \wedge x \notin set\ ys)$
by (*auto dest!: interval-split-interval-first*)

lemma *interval-split-interval-last*:
 assumes $x \in set\ xs$
 shows $\exists\ ys\ zs.\ xs = ys \ominus (x \odot zs) \wedge x \notin set\ zs \vee xs = \langle x\rangle \vee xs = x \odot zs \wedge x \notin set\ zs \vee$
  $xs = ys \ominus \langle x\rangle$
using *assms*
proof (*induct xs rule: interval-rev-induct*)
case (*St y*)
then show *?case* **by** *simp*
next
case (*snoc x1a xs*)
 then show *?case* **proof** (*cases x = x1a*)
 case *True*
 then show *?thesis* **by** *blast*
 next
 case *False*
 then show *?thesis* **using** *snoc* **by** *fastforce*
qed
qed

lemma *interval-in-set-conv-decomp-last*:
$x \in set\ xs =$
 $(\exists\ ys\ zs.\ xs = ys \ominus (x \odot zs) \wedge x \notin set\ zs \vee xs = \langle x\rangle \vee xs = x \odot zs \wedge x \notin set\ zs \vee$
  $xs = ys \ominus \langle x\rangle)$

by (*auto dest*!: *interval-split-interval-last*)

lemma *interval-list-prop*:
assumes $\exists\ x \in set\ xs.\ P\ x$
shows $(\exists\ ys\ x\ zs.\ (xs = ys \ominus (x \odot zs) \lor xs = \langle x \rangle \lor xs = x \odot zs \lor$
　　　$xs = ys \ominus \langle x \rangle) \land P\ x)$
using *assms*
proof (*induct xs*)
case (*St x*)
then show *?case* **by** *auto*
next
　case (*Cons x1a xs*)
　then show *?case*
　by (*meson interval-split-interval*)
qed

lemma *interval-split-interval-propE*:
　assumes $\exists\ x \in set\ xs.\ P\ x$
　obtains *ys x zs* **where** $xs = ys \ominus (x \odot zs) \lor xs = \langle x \rangle \lor xs = x \odot zs \lor$
　　　$xs = ys \ominus \langle x \rangle$ **and** $P\ x$
　using *interval-list-prop* [*OF assms*] **by** *blast*

lemma *interval-split-interval-first-prop*:
assumes $\exists\ x \in set\ xs.\ P\ x$
　shows $(\exists\ ys\ x\ zs.\ ((xs = ys \ominus (x \odot zs) \land (\forall\, y \in set\ ys.\ \neg\ P\ y)) \lor$
　　　$xs = \langle x \rangle \lor xs = x \odot zs \lor$
　　　$(xs = ys \ominus \langle x \rangle \land (\forall\, y \in set\ ys.\ \neg\ P\ y))) \land P\ x$
　)
using *assms*
proof (*induct xs*)
case (*St x*)
then show *?case* **by** *auto*
next
　case (*Cons x1a xs*)
　then show *?case* **proof** (*cases P x1a*)
　case *True*
　then show *?thesis* **by** *blast*
　next
　case *False*
　then show *?thesis*
　　proof −
　　have *1*: $\exists\ x \in set\ xs.\ P\ x$
　　　using *Cons.prems False* **by** *auto*
　　have *2*: $\neg\ P\ x1a$
　　　by (*simp add*: *False*)
　　have *3*: $\exists ys\ x\ zs.$
　　　$(xs = ys \ominus x \odot zs \land (\forall\, y \in interval.set\ ys.\ \neg\ P\ y) \lor$
　　　$xs = \langle x \rangle \lor xs = x \odot zs \lor xs = ys \ominus \langle x \rangle \land (\forall\, y \in interval.set\ ys.\ \neg\ P\ y)) \land$
　　　$P\ x$
　　　using *1 Cons.hyps* **by** *blast*

obtain *ys x zs* **where** *4*: $(xs = ys \ominus x \odot zs \wedge (\forall y \in interval.set\ ys.\ \neg\ P\ y) \vee$
    $xs = \langle x \rangle \vee xs = x \odot zs \vee xs = ys \ominus \langle x \rangle \wedge (\forall y \in interval.set\ ys.\ \neg\ P\ y)) \wedge$
    $P\ x$
     using *3* **by** *auto*
  have *5*: $(xs = ys \ominus x \odot zs \wedge (\forall y \in interval.set\ ys.\ \neg\ P\ y)) \longrightarrow$
        $(x1a \odot xs = (x1a \odot ys) \ominus x \odot zs \wedge (\forall y \in interval.set\ (x1a{\odot}ys).\ \neg\ P\ y))$
     $\vee (x1a{\odot}\ xs) = \langle x \rangle \vee (x1a{\odot}\ xs) = x \odot zs \vee$
        $x1a \odot xs = (x1a{\odot}ys) \ominus \langle x \rangle \wedge (\forall y \in interval.set\ (x1a{\odot}ys).\ \neg\ P\ y)$
     using *False* **by** *auto*
  have *6*: $xs = ys \ominus \langle x \rangle \wedge (\forall y \in interval.set\ ys.\ \neg\ P\ y) \longrightarrow$
        $(x1a \odot xs = (x1a \odot ys) \ominus x \odot zs \wedge (\forall y \in interval.set\ (x1a{\odot}ys).\ \neg\ P\ y)) \vee$
        $x1a \odot xs = (x1a{\odot}ys) \ominus \langle x \rangle \wedge (\forall y \in interval.set\ (x1a{\odot}ys).\ \neg\ P\ y) \vee$
     $(x1a{\odot}\ xs) = \langle x \rangle \vee (x1a{\odot}\ xs) = x \odot zs$
     using *False* **by** *auto*
  have *7*: $P\ x$
   using *4* **by** *auto*
  have *8*: $xs = \langle x \rangle \longrightarrow$
        $(x1a \odot xs = (x1a \odot ys) \ominus x \odot zs \wedge (\forall y \in interval.set\ (x1a{\odot}ys).\ \neg\ P\ y)) \vee$
        $x1a \odot xs = \langle x1a \rangle \ominus \langle x \rangle \wedge (\forall y \in interval.set\ (\langle x1a \rangle).\ \neg\ P\ y) \vee$
     $(x1a{\odot}\ xs) = \langle x \rangle \vee (x1a{\odot}\ xs) = x \odot zs$

        by (*simp add*: *False*)
  have *9*: $xs = x \odot zs \longrightarrow$
        $(x1a \odot xs = (\langle x1a \rangle) \ominus x \odot zs \wedge (\forall y \in interval.set\ (\langle x1a \rangle).\ \neg\ P\ y)) \vee$
        $x1a \odot xs = (x1a{\odot}ys) \ominus \langle x \rangle \wedge (\forall y \in interval.set\ (x1a{\odot}ys).\ \neg\ P\ y) \vee$
     $(x1a{\odot}\ xs) = \langle x \rangle \vee (x1a{\odot}\ xs) = x \odot zs$
     by (*simp add*: *False*)
  show *?thesis*
   using *4 5 6 8 9* **by** *blast*
  qed
 qed
qed

lemma *interval-split-interval-first-propE*:
 assumes $\exists\ x \in set\ xs.\ P\ x$
 obtains *ys x zs* **where** $((xs = ys \ominus (x \odot zs) \wedge (\forall y \in set\ ys.\ \neg\ P\ y)) \vee$
        $xs = \langle x \rangle \vee xs = x \odot zs \vee$
        $(xs = ys \ominus \langle x \rangle \wedge (\forall y \in set\ ys.\ \neg\ P\ y)))$ **and** $P\ x$
 using *interval-split-interval-first-prop* [*OF assms*] **by** *blast*

lemma *interval-split-first-prop-iff*:
 $(\exists\ x \in set\ xs.\ P\ x) \longleftrightarrow$
 $(\exists\ ys\ x\ zs.\ ((xs = ys \ominus (x \odot zs) \wedge (\forall y \in set\ ys.\ \neg\ P\ y)) \vee$
        $xs = \langle x \rangle \vee xs = x \odot zs \vee$
        $(xs = ys \ominus \langle x \rangle \wedge (\forall y \in set\ ys.\ \neg\ P\ y))) \wedge P\ x$
 $)$
by (*rule*, *erule interval-split-interval-first-prop*) *auto*

lemma *interval-split-interval-last-prop*:
assumes $\exists\ x \in set\ xs.\ P\ x$

shows $(\exists\ ys\ x\ zs.\ ((xs = ys \ominus (x \odot zs) \wedge (\forall y \in set\ zs.\ \neg P\ y)) \vee$
$\qquad\qquad xs = \langle x \rangle \vee xs = x \odot zs \wedge (\forall y \in set\ zs.\ \neg P\ y) \vee$
$\qquad\qquad (xs = ys \ominus \langle x \rangle)) \wedge P\ x$
$\quad)$
using *assms*
proof (*induct xs rule*: *interval-rev-induct*)
case (*St y*)
then show *?case* **by** *auto*
next
case (*snoc x1a xs*)
  then show *?case* **proof** (*cases P x1a*)
  case *True*
  then show *?thesis* **by** *blast*
  next
  case *False*
  then show *?thesis*
   proof $-$
    have 1: $\exists\ x \in set\ xs.\ P\ x$
     using *False snoc.prems* **by** *auto*
    have 2: $\neg P\ x1a$
     by (*simp add*: *False*)
    have 3: $\exists ys\ x\ zs.$
     $(xs = ys \ominus x \odot zs \wedge (\forall y \in interval.set\ zs.\ \neg P\ y) \vee$
     $xs = \langle x \rangle \vee xs = x \odot zs \wedge (\forall y \in interval.set\ zs.\ \neg P\ y) \vee xs = ys \ominus \langle x \rangle) \wedge$
     $P\ x$
     using *1 snoc.hyps* **by** *blast*
    obtain *ys x zs* **where** 4: $(xs = ys \ominus x \odot zs \wedge (\forall y \in interval.set\ zs.\ \neg P\ y) \vee$
     $xs = \langle x \rangle \vee xs = x \odot zs \wedge (\forall y \in interval.set\ zs.\ \neg P\ y) \vee xs = ys \ominus \langle x \rangle) \wedge$
     $P\ x$
     using *3* **by** *auto*
    have 5: $P\ x$
     using *4* **by** *auto*
    have 6: $(xs = ys \ominus x \odot zs \wedge (\forall y \in interval.set\ zs.\ \neg P\ y)) \longrightarrow$
      $(xs \ominus \langle x1a \rangle = ys \ominus x \odot (zs \ominus \langle x1a \rangle) \wedge (\forall y \in interval.set\ (zs \ominus \langle x1a \rangle).\ \neg P\ y) \vee$
      $xs \ominus \langle x1a \rangle = \langle x \rangle \vee$
      $xs \ominus \langle x1a \rangle = x \odot (zs \ominus \langle x1a \rangle) \wedge (\forall y \in interval.set\ (zs \ominus \langle x1a \rangle).\ \neg P\ y) \vee$
      $xs \ominus \langle x1a \rangle = ys \ominus \langle x \rangle)$

      by (*simp add*: *False*)
    have 7: $xs = \langle x \rangle \longrightarrow$
      $(xs \ominus \langle x1a \rangle = ys \ominus x \odot (\ \langle x1a \rangle) \wedge (\forall y \in interval.set\ (\ \langle x1a \rangle).\ \neg P\ y) \vee$
      $xs \ominus \langle x1a \rangle = \langle x \rangle \vee$
      $xs \ominus \langle x1a \rangle = x \odot (\ \langle x1a \rangle) \wedge (\forall y \in interval.set\ (\ \langle x1a \rangle).\ \neg P\ y) \vee$
      $xs \ominus \langle x1a \rangle = ys \ominus \langle x \rangle)$

      using *False* **by** *auto*
    have 8: $xs = x \odot zs \wedge (\forall y \in interval.set\ zs.\ \neg P\ y) \longrightarrow$
      $(xs \ominus \langle x1a \rangle = ys \ominus x \odot (zs \ominus \langle x1a \rangle) \wedge (\forall y \in interval.set\ (zs \ominus \langle x1a \rangle).\ \neg P\ y) \vee$
      $xs \ominus \langle x1a \rangle = \langle x \rangle \vee$
      $xs \ominus \langle x1a \rangle = x \odot (zs \ominus \langle x1a \rangle) \wedge (\forall y \in interval.set\ (zs \ominus \langle x1a \rangle).\ \neg P\ y) \vee$

$$xs \ominus \langle x1a \rangle = ys \ominus \langle x \rangle)$$

by (*simp add*: *False*)
have *9*: $xs = ys \ominus \langle x \rangle \longrightarrow$
$(xs \ominus \langle x1a \rangle = ys \ominus x \odot (\langle x1a \rangle) \wedge (\forall y \in interval.set (\langle x1a \rangle). \neg P y) \vee$
$xs \ominus \langle x1a \rangle = \langle x \rangle \vee$
$xs \ominus \langle x1a \rangle = x \odot (\langle x1a \rangle) \wedge (\forall y \in interval.set (\langle x1a \rangle). \neg P y) \vee$
$xs \ominus \langle x1a \rangle = ys \ominus \langle x \rangle)$

by (*simp add*: *False*)
show *?thesis*
using *4 6 7 8 9* **by** (*metis* (*full-types*))
qed
qed
qed

lemma *interval-split-interval-last-propE*:
assumes $\exists x \in set\ xs.\ P\ x$
obtains *ys x zs* **where** $((xs = ys \ominus (x \odot zs) \wedge (\forall y \in set\ zs. \neg P y)) \vee$
$xs = \langle x \rangle \vee xs = x \odot zs \wedge (\forall y \in set\ zs. \neg P y) \vee$
$(xs = ys \ominus \langle x \rangle))$ **and** $P\ x$
using *interval-split-interval-last-prop* [*OF assms*] **by** *blast*

lemma *interval-split-interval-last-prop-iff*:
$(\exists x \in set\ xs.\ P\ x) \longleftrightarrow$
$(\exists\ ys\ x\ zs.\ ((xs = ys \ominus (x \odot zs) \wedge (\forall y \in set\ zs. \neg P y)) \vee$
$xs = \langle x \rangle \vee xs = x \odot zs \wedge (\forall y \in set\ zs. \neg P y) \vee$
$(xs = ys \ominus \langle x \rangle)) \wedge P\ x$
$)$
by (*rule*, *erule interval-split-interval-last-prop*, *auto*)

lemma *interval-nth-and-set*:
$x \in set\ xs = (\exists\ i \leq intlen\ xs.\ (nth\ xs\ i) = x)$
proof (*induct xs*)
case (*St x*)
then show *?case* **by** *auto*
next
case (*Cons x1a xs*)
then show *?case*
by (*metis Suc-le-mono insert-iff interval.simps*(*16*) *interval-intlen-cons interval-intlen-gr-zero*
interval-nth-Suc interval-nth-cons interval-nth-zero intlen.simps(*2*) *le-diff-conv*
neq0-conv plus-1-eq-Suc)
qed

lemma *interval-card-intlen*:
$card\ (set\ xs) \leq intlen\ xs + 1$
proof (*induct xs*)
case (*St x*)
then show *?case* **by** *simp*
next

54

case (*Cons x1a xs*)
then show *?case* **by** (*simp add*: *card-insert-le-m1*)
qed

lemma *set-nth*:
 set xs = { (*nth xs k*) | *k. k*≤ *intlen xs*}
proof (*induct xs*)
case (*St x*)
then show *?case* **by** *simp*
next
case (*Cons x1a xs*)
then show *?case*
  proof *auto*
   show *set xs* = {*nth xs k* |*k. k* ≤ *intlen xs*} ⟹
    ∃ *k. x1a* = (*case k of 0* ⟹ *x1a* | *Suc x* ⟹ *nth xs x*) ∧ *k* ≤ *Suc* (*intlen xs*)
   by *force*
   show ⋀*k. set xs* = {*nth xs k* |*k. k* ≤ *intlen xs*} ⟹
      k ≤ *intlen xs* ⟹
      ∃ *ka. nth xs k* = (*case ka of 0* ⟹ *x1a* | *Suc x* ⟹ *nth xs x*) ∧ *ka* ≤ *Suc* (*intlen xs*)
   by *force*
   show ⋀*k. set xs* = {*nth xs k* |*k. k* ≤ *intlen xs*} ⟹
      (*case k of 0* ⟹ *x1a* | *Suc x* ⟹ *nth xs x*) ≠ *x1a* ⟹
      k ≤ *Suc* (*intlen xs*) ⟹
      ∃ *ka.* (*case k of 0* ⟹ *x1a* | *Suc x* ⟹ *nth xs x*) = *nth xs ka* ∧ *ka* ≤ *intlen xs*
   by (*metis* (*mono-tags*, *lifting*) *Nitpick.case-nat-unfold Suc-eq-plus1 le-diff-conv*)
  qed
qed

lemma *prefix-set*:
assumes *k*≤ *intlen xs*
 shows   *set* (*prefix k xs*) = {(*nth xs i*) |*i. i*≤*k*}
proof −
 have *1*: *set* (*prefix k xs*) = { (*nth* (*prefix k xs*) *i*) | *i. i*≤ *intlen* (*prefix k xs*)}
  by (*simp add*: *set-nth*)
 have *2*: *k*≤ *intlen xs*
  using *assms* **by** *auto*
 have *3*: { (*nth* (*prefix k xs*) *i*) | *i. i*≤ *intlen* (*prefix k xs*)} =
        { (*nth xs i*) | *i. i*≤ *k*}
  using *2* **by** (*metis interval-nth-prefix interval-prefix-length-good*)
 show *?thesis* **using** *1 3* **by** *auto*
qed

 lemma *suffix-set*:
assumes *k*≤ *intlen xs*
 shows   *set* (*suffix k xs*) = {(*nth xs* (*k+i*)) |*i. i*≤*intlen xs* − *k*}
proof −
 have *1*: *set* (*suffix k xs*) = { (*nth* (*suffix k xs*) *i*) | *i. i*≤ *intlen* (*suffix k xs*)}
  by (*simp add*: *set-nth*)
 have *2*: *k* ≤ *intlen xs*
  using *assms* **by** *auto*

have *3*: { (*nth* (*suffix k xs*) *i*) | *i*. *i*≤ *intlen* (*suffix k xs*)} =
    { (*nth xs* (*k*+*i*)) | *i*. *i*≤ *intlen xs* − *k*}
  using *2* **by** (*metis interval-nth-suffix interval-suffix-length-good*)
 show *?thesis* **using** *1 3* **by** *auto*
qed

lemma *suffix-set-a*:
assumes *k*≤ *intlen xs*
 shows   *set* (*suffix k xs*) = {(*nth xs i*) |*i*. *k*≤ *i* ∧ *i*≤*intlen xs* }
proof −
 have *1*: *set* (*suffix k xs*) = { (*nth xs* (*k*+*i*)) | *i*. *i*≤ *intlen xs* − *k*}
   using *assms suffix-set* **by** *blast*
 have *2*: ∀ *x* ∈ { (*nth xs* (*k*+*i*)) | *i*. *i*≤ *intlen xs* − *k*} .
        x ∈ {(*nth xs i*) |*i*. *k*≤ *i* ∧ *i*≤*intlen xs* }
   using *assms* **by** *auto*
 have *3*: ∀ *x* ∈ {(*nth xs i*) |*i*. *k*≤ *i* ∧ *i*≤*intlen xs* } .
        x ∈ { (*nth xs* (*k*+*i*)) | *i*. *i*≤ *intlen xs* − *k*}
    using *nat-le-iff-add* **by** *force*
 have *4*: { (*nth xs* (*k*+*i*)) | *i*. *i*≤ *intlen xs* − *k*} =
        {(*nth xs i*) |*i*. *k*≤ *i* ∧ *i*≤*intlen xs* }
  using *2 3* **by** *blast*
 show *?thesis* **by** (*simp add*: *1 4*)
qed

lemma *sub-interval-set*:
 assumes *k* ≤ *n*
       n ≤ *intlen xs*
 shows   *set* (*sub k n xs*) = { (*nth xs* (*k*+*i*)) | *i*. *i* ≤ *n*−*k* }
proof −
 have *1*: *set* (*sub k n xs*) = { (*nth* (*sub k n xs*) *i*) | *i*. *i* ≤ *intlen* (*sub k n xs*) }
   by (*simp add*: *set-nth*)
 have *2*: *k*≤ *n*
  using *assms* **by** *auto*
 have *3*: *n* ≤ *intlen xs*
  using *assms* **by** *auto*
 have *4*: { (*nth* (*sub k n xs*) *i*) | *i*. *i* ≤ *intlen* (*sub k n xs*) } =
        { (*nth xs* (*k*+*i*)) | *i*. *i* ≤ *n*−*k* }
  using *2 3* **by** *force*
 show *?thesis* **by** (*simp add*: *1 4*)
qed

lemma *sub-interval-set-a*:
 assumes *k* ≤ *n*
       n ≤ *intlen xs*
 shows   *set* (*sub k n xs*) = { (*nth xs i*) | *i*. *k* ≤ *i* ∧ *i* ≤ *n* }
proof −
 have *1*: *set* (*sub k n xs*) = { (*nth xs* (*k*+*i*)) | *i*. *i* ≤ *n*−*k* }
   using *assms sub-interval-set* **by** *blast*
 have *2*: ∀ *x* ∈ { (*nth xs* (*k*+*i*)) | *i*. *i* ≤ *n*−*k* } .

```
        x ∈ { (nth xs i) | i. k ≤ i ∧ i ≤ n }
  using assms by auto
 have 3: ∀ x ∈ { (nth xs i) | i. k ≤ i ∧ i ≤ n }.
         x ∈ { (nth xs (k+i)) | i. i ≤ n−k }
   using assms using le-Suc-ex by auto fastforce
 have 4: { (nth xs (k+i)) | i. i ≤ n−k } = { (nth xs i) | i. k ≤ i ∧ i ≤ n }
     using 2 3 by blast
  show ?thesis by (simp add: 1 4)
qed

lemma nth-set:
 assumes k≤ intlen xs
 shows    (nth xs k) ∈ set xs
using assms
by (meson interval-nth-and-set)
```

end

2   Finite ITL Semantics

theory *Semantics*
imports *Interval HOL−TLA.Intensional*
begin

This theory mechanises a *shallow* embedding of finite ITL using the *Interval* and *Intensional* theories. A shallow embedding represents ITL using Isabelle/HOL predicates, while a *deep* embedding [1] would represent ITL formulas as mutually inductive datatypes. See, e.g., [12] for a discussion about deep vs. shallow embeddings in Isabelle/HOL. The choice of a shallow over a deep embedding is motivated [3, 2] by the following factors: a shallow embedding is usually less involved, and existing Isabelle theories and tools can be applied more directly to enhance automation; due to the lifting in the *Intensional* theory, a shallow embedding can reuse standard logical operators, whilst a deep embedding requires a different set of operators for formulas. Finally, since our target is system verification rather than proving meta-properties of the logic, which requires a deep embedding, a shallow embedding is more fit for purpose.

2.1   Types of Formulas

To mechanise the ITL semantics, the following type abbreviations are used:

type-synonym $('a,'b)$ *formfun* $= 'a$ *interval* $\Rightarrow 'b$
type-synonym $'a$ *formula* $= ('a,bool)$ *formfun*
type-synonym $('a,'b)$ *stfun* $= 'a \Rightarrow 'b$
type-synonym $'a$ *stpred* $= ('a,bool)$ *stfun*

instance
 fun :: $(type,type)$ *world* **..**

instance

prod :: (*type*,*type*) *world* **..**

instance
 interval :: (*type*) *world* **..**

Pair, function, and interval are instantiated to be of type class world. This allows use of the lifted Intensional logic for formulas, and standard logical connectives can therefore be used.

2.2   Semantics of ITL

The semantics of ITL is defined. Note chopstar is a derived operator, i.e., it is defined recursively in terms of chop.

definition *skip-d* :: ($'a$ ::*world*) *formula*
where *skip-d* $\equiv$ $\lambda s.$ *intlen s* $=1$

definition *chop-d* :: ($'a$ ::*world*) *formula* $\Rightarrow$ ($'a$ ::*world*) *formula* $\Rightarrow$ ($'a$ ::*world*) *formula*
where *chop-d F1 F2* $\equiv$ λ *s.* $\exists n.$ $0\leq n \wedge n \leq$ *intlen s* $\wedge$ ((*prefix n s*) $\models$ *F1*) $\wedge$ ((*suffix n s*) $\models$ *F2*)

definition *current-val-d* :: ($'a$::*world*,$'b$) *stfun* $\Rightarrow$ ($'a$,$'b$) *formfun*
where *current-val-d f* $\equiv$ λ *s.* (*nth s 0*) $\models$ *f*

definition *next-val-d* :: ($'a$::*world*,$'b$) *stfun* $\Rightarrow$ ($'a$,$'b$) *formfun*
where *next-val-d f* $\equiv$ λ *s.* if *intlen s* >0 then ((*nth s 1*) $\models$ *f*) else (ϵ (*x*::$'b$). *x*=*x*)

definition *fin-val-d* :: ($'a$::*world*,$'b$) *stfun* $\Rightarrow$ ($'a$,$'b$) *formfun*
where *fin-val-d f* $\equiv$ λ *s.* (*nth s* (*intlen s*)) $\models$ *f*

definition *penult-val-d* :: ($'a$::*world*,$'b$) *stfun* $\Rightarrow$ ($'a$,$'b$) *formfun*
where *penult-val-d f* $\equiv$ λ *s.* if *intlen s* >0 then (*nth s* ((*intlen s*)-1) $\models$ *f*) else (ϵ (*x*::$'b$). *x*=*x*)

This is the concrete syntax for the (abstract) operators above.

syntax
 -skip-d   :: *lift*   ((*skip*))
 -chop-d   :: [*lift*,*lift*] $\Rightarrow$ *lift* ((-;-) [*84,84*] *83*)
 -current-val-d :: *lift* $\Rightarrow$ *lift*   (($-) [*100*] *99*)
 -next-val-d   :: *lift* $\Rightarrow$ *lift*   ((-$) [*100*] *99*)
 -fin-val-d   :: *lift* $\Rightarrow$ *lift*   ((!-) [*100*] *99*)
 -penult-val-d   :: *lift* $\Rightarrow$ *lift*   ((-!) [*100*] *99*)
 TEMP   :: *lift* $\Rightarrow$ $'b$ ((*TEMP* -))

syntax (*ASCII*)
 -skip-d   :: *lift*   ((*skip*))
 -chop-d   :: [*lift*,*lift*] $\Rightarrow$ *lift* ((-;-) [*84,84*] *83*)
 -current-val-d :: *lift* $\Rightarrow$ *lift*   (($-) [*100*] *99*)
 -next-val-d   :: *lift* $\Rightarrow$ *lift*   ((-$) [*100*] *99*)
 -fin-val-d   :: *lift* $\Rightarrow$ *lift*   ((!-) [*100*] *99*)
 -penult-val-d   :: *lift* $\Rightarrow$ *lift*   ((-!) [*100*] *99*)
translations
 -skip-d $\rightleftharpoons$ *CONST skip-d*

```
-chop-d         ⇌ CONST chop-d
-current-val-d ⇌ CONST current-val-d
-next-val-d     ⇌ CONST next-val-d
-fin-val-d      ⇌ CONST fin-val-d
-penult-val-d  ⇌ CONST penult-val-d
TEMP F          ⇀ (F:: (- interval) ⇒ -)
```

2.3 Abbreviations

Some standard temporal abbreviations, with their concrete syntax.

definition *sometimes-d* :: (*'a*::*world*) *formula* ⇒ *'a formula*
where *sometimes-d F* ≡ *LIFT*(#*True*;*F*)

definition *di-d* :: (*'a*::*world*) *formula* ⇒ *'a formula*
where *di-d F* ≡ *LIFT*(*F*;#*True*)

definition *da-d* :: (*'a*::*world*) *formula* ⇒ *'a formula*
where *da-d F* ≡ *LIFT*(#*True*;(*F*;#*True*))

definition *next-d* :: (*'a*::*world*) *formula* ⇒ *'a formula*
where *next-d F* ≡ *LIFT*(*skip*;*F*)

definition *prev-d* :: (*'a*::*world*) *formula* ⇒ *'a formula*
where *prev-d F* ≡ *LIFT*(*F*;*skip*)

syntax
```
 -sometimes-d :: lift ⇒ lift ((◇-) [88] 87)
 -di-d        :: lift ⇒ lift ((di -) [88] 87)
 -da-d        :: lift ⇒ lift ((da -) [88] 87)
 -next-d      :: lift ⇒ lift ((○ -) [88] 87)
 -prev-d      :: lift ⇒ lift ((prev -) [88] 87)
```

syntax (*ASCII*)
```
 -sometimes-d :: lift ⇒ lift ((<>-) [88] 87)
 -di-d        :: lift ⇒ lift ((di -) [88] 87)
 -da-d        :: lift ⇒ lift ((da -) [88] 87)
 -next-d      :: lift ⇒ lift ((next -) [88] 87)
 -prev-d      :: lift ⇒ lift ((prev -) [88] 87)
```

translations
```
 -sometimes-d ⇌ CONST sometimes-d
 -di-d        ⇌ CONST di-d
 -da-d        ⇌ CONST da-d
 -next-d      ⇌ CONST next-d
 -prev-d      ⇌ CONST prev-d
```

definition *always-d* :: (*'a*::*world*) *formula* ⇒ *'a formula*

where *always-d F ≡ LIFT(¬(◇(¬F)))*

definition *bi-d* :: (*'a*::*world*) *formula ⇒ 'a formula*
where *bi-d F ≡ LIFT(¬(di(¬F)))*

definition *ba-d* :: (*'a*::*world*) *formula ⇒ 'a formula*
where *ba-d F ≡ LIFT(¬(da(¬F)))*

definition *wnext-d* :: (*'a*::*world*) *formula ⇒ 'a formula*
where *wnext-d F ≡ LIFT(¬(○(¬F)))*

definition *wprev-d* :: (*'a*::*world*) *formula ⇒ 'a formula*
where *wprev-d F ≡ LIFT(¬(prev(¬F)))*

definition *more-d* :: (*'a*::*world*) *formula*
where *more-d ≡ LIFT(○(#True))*

syntax
 -always-d :: *lift ⇒ lift* ((□-) [88] 87)
 -bi-d   :: *lift ⇒ lift* ((*bi* -) [88] 87)
 -ba-d   :: *lift ⇒ lift* ((*ba* -) [88] 87)
 -wnext-d :: *lift ⇒ lift* ((*wnext* -) [88] 87)
 -wprev-d :: *lift ⇒ lift* ((*wprev* -) [88] 87)
 -more-d :: *lift* ((*more*))

syntax (*ASCII*)
 -always-d :: *lift ⇒ lift* (([]-) [88] 87)
 -bi-d   :: *lift ⇒ lift* ((*bi* -) [88] 87)
 -ba-d   :: *lift ⇒ lift* ((*ba* -) [88] 87)
 -wnext-d :: *lift ⇒ lift* ((*wnext* -) [88] 87)
 -wprev-d :: *lift ⇒ lift* ((*wprev* -) [88] 87)
 -more-d :: *lift* ((*more*))

translations
 -always-d ⇌ CONST always-d
 -bi-d   ⇌ CONST bi-d
 -ba-d   ⇌ CONST ba-d
 -wnext-d ⇌ CONST wnext-d
 -wprev-d ⇌ CONST wprev-d
 -more-d ⇌ CONST more-d

definition *empty-d* :: (*'a*::*world*) *formula*
where *empty-d ≡ LIFT(¬(more))*

definition *dm-d* :: (*'a*::*world*) *formula ⇒ 'a formula*
where *dm-d F ≡ LIFT(#True;(more ∧ F))*

syntax
  -empty-d   :: lift   ((empty))
  -dm-d   :: lift ⇒ lift ((dm -) [88] 87)

syntax (ASCII)
  -empty-d   :: lift   ((empty))
  -dm-d   :: lift ⇒ lift ((dm -) [88] 87)

translations
  -empty-d ⇌ CONST empty-d
  -dm-d   ⇌ CONST dm-d

definition bm-d :: ('a::world) formula ⇒ 'a formula
where bm-d F ≡ LIFT(¬(dm(¬F)))

definition init-d :: ('a::world) formula ⇒ 'a formula
where init-d F ≡ LIFT((empty ∧ F);#True)

definition fin-d :: ('a::world) formula ⇒ 'a formula
where fin-d F ≡ LIFT(□(empty ⟶ F))

definition halt-d :: ('a::world) formula ⇒ 'a formula
where halt-d F ≡ LIFT(□(empty = F))

definition initonly-d :: ('a::world) formula ⇒ 'a formula
where initonly-d F ≡ LIFT(bi(empty = F))

definition keep-d :: ('a::world) formula ⇒ 'a formula
where keep-d F ≡ LIFT(ba(skip ⟶ F))

definition yields-d :: ('a::world) formula ⇒ 'a formula ⇒ 'a formula
where yields-d F1 F2 ≡ LIFT(¬(F1;(¬F2)))

definition ifthenelse-d :: ('a::world) formula ⇒ 'a formula ⇒ 'a formula ⇒ 'a formula
where ifthenelse-d F G H ≡ LIFT((F ∧ G) ∨ (¬F ∧ H))

primrec power-d :: ('a::world) formula ⇒ nat ⇒ 'a formula
where pow-0 : (power-d F 0) = LIFT(empty)
    | pow-Suc: (power-d F (Suc n)) = LIFT((F);(power-d F n))

syntax
  -bm-d   :: lift ⇒ lift   ((bm -) [88] 87)
  -init-d   :: lift ⇒ lift   ((init -) [88] 87)
  -fin-d   :: lift ⇒ lift   ((fin -) [88] 87)
  -halt-d   :: lift ⇒ lift   ((halt -) [88] 87)
  -initonly-d   :: lift ⇒ lift   ((initonly -) [88] 87)
  -keep-d   :: lift ⇒ lift   ((keep -) [88] 87)
  -yields-d   :: [lift,lift] ⇒ lift   ((- yields -) [88,88] 87)

61

-ifthenelse-d :: [lift,lift,lift] ⇒ lift ((if $_i$ - then - else -) [88,88,88] 87)
-power-d   :: [lift,nat] ⇒ lift   ((power - -) [88,88] 87)

syntax (*ASCII*)
-bm-d   :: lift ⇒ lift   ((bm -) [88] 87)
-init-d   :: lift ⇒ lift   ((init -) [88] 87)
-fin-d   :: lift ⇒ lift   ((fin -) [88] 87)
-halt-d   :: lift ⇒ lift   ((halt -) [88] 87)
-initonly-d   :: lift ⇒ lift   ((initonly -) [88] 87)
-keep-d   :: lift ⇒ lift   ((keep -) [88] 87)
-yields-d   :: [lift,lift] ⇒ lift   ((- yields -) [88,88] 87)
-ifthenelse-d :: [lift,lift,lift] ⇒ lift ((if $_i$ - then - else -) [88,88,88] 87)
-power-d   :: [lift,nat] ⇒ lift   ((power - -) [88,88] 87)

translations
-bm-d   ⇌ CONST bm-d
-init-d   ⇌ CONST init-d
-fin-d   ⇌ CONST fin-d
-halt-d   ⇌ CONST halt-d
-initonly-d   ⇌ CONST initonly-d
-keep-d   ⇌ CONST keep-d
-yields-d   ⇌ CONST yields-d
-ifthenelse-d ⇌ CONST ifthenelse-d
-power-d   ⇌ CONST power-d

definition *len-d* :: *nat* ⇒ (*'a*::*world*) *formula*
where *len-d n* ≡ *LIFT*(*power skip n*)

definition *powerstar-d* :: (*'a*::*world*) *formula* ⇒ *'a formula*
where *powerstar-d F* ≡ *LIFT*(∃ *k. power F k*)

syntax
-len-d   :: nat ⇒ lift   ((len -) [88] 87)
-powerstar-d   :: lift ⇒ lift   ((powerstar -) [85] 85)

syntax (*ASCII*)
-len-d   :: nat ⇒ lift   ((len -) [88] 87)
-powerstar-d   :: lift ⇒ lift   ((powerstar -) [85] 85)

translations
-len-d   ⇌ CONST len-d
-powerstar-d   ⇌ CONST powerstar-d

definition *chopstar-d* :: (*'a*::*world*) *formula* ⇒ *'a formula*
where *chopstar-d F* ≡ *LIFT*(*powerstar* (*F* ∧ *more*))

syntax
-chopstar-d   :: lift ⇒ lift   ((-*) [85] 85)

syntax (*ASCII*)

-*chopstar-d*   :: *lift* ⇒ *lift*   ((*chopstar* -) [85] 85)

translations
 -*chopstar-d*   ⇌ *CONST chopstar-d*

definition *ifthen-d* :: (′*a*::*world*) *formula* ⇒ ′*a formula* ⇒ ′*a formula*
where *ifthen-d F G* ≡ *LIFT*(*if* ; *F then G else* #*True*)

definition *while-d* :: (′*a*::*world*) *formula* ⇒ ′*a formula* ⇒ ′*a formula*
where *while-d F G* ≡ *LIFT*((*F* ∧ *G*)⋆ ∧ (*fin* ((¬*F*))))

syntax
 -*ifthen-d* :: [*lift*,*lift*] ⇒ *lift* ((*if* ; - *then* -) [88,88] 87)
 -*while-d* :: [*lift*,*lift*] ⇒ *lift* ((*while* - *do* -) [88,88] 87)

syntax (*ASCII*)
 -*ifthen-d* :: [*lift*,*lift*] ⇒ *lift* ((*if* ; - *then* -) [88,88] 87)
 -*while-d* :: [*lift*,*lift*] ⇒ *lift* ((*while* - *do* -) [88,88] 87)

translations
 -*ifthen-d* ⇌ *CONST ifthen-d*
 -*while-d* ⇌ *CONST while-d*

definition *repeat-d* :: (′*a*::*world*) *formula* ⇒ ′*a formula* ⇒ ′*a formula*
where *repeat-d F G* ≡ *LIFT*(*F*;*while* (¬ *G*) *do F*)

syntax
 -*repeat-d* :: [*lift*,*lift*] ⇒ *lift* ((*repeat* - *until* -) [88,88] 87)

syntax (*ASCII*)
 -*repeat-d* :: [*lift*,*lift*] ⇒ *lift* ((*repeat* - *until* -) [88,88] 87)

translations
 -*repeat-d* ⇌ *CONST repeat-d*

definition *next-assign-d* :: (′*a*::*world*,′*b*) *stfun* ⇒ (′*a*,′*b*) *formfun* ⇒ ′*a formula*
where *next-assign-d v e* ≡ *LIFT*(*v*$ = *e*)

definition *prev-assign-d* :: (′*a*::*world*,′*b*) *stfun* ⇒ (′*a*,′*b*) *formfun* ⇒ ′*a formula*
where *prev-assign-d v e* ≡ *LIFT*(*v*! = *e*)

definition *always-eq-d* :: (′*a*::*world*,′*b*) *stfun* ⇒ (′*a*,′*b*) *formfun* ⇒ ′*a formula*
where *always-eq-d v e* ≡ λ *s*. *s* ⊨ □($*v* = *e*)

definition *temporal-assign-d* :: (′*a*::*world*,′*b*) *stfun* ⇒ (′*a*,′*b*) *formfun* ⇒ ′*a formula*
where *temporal-assign-d v e* ≡ λ *s*. *s* ⊨ !*v* = *e*

definition *gets-d* :: (′*a*::*world*,′*b*) *stfun* ⇒ (′*a*,′*b*) *formfun* ⇒ ′*a formula*

where *gets-d v e* ≡ λ *s*. *s* ⊨ *keep*(*temporal-assign-d v e*)

definition *stable-d* :: (′*a*::*world*,′*b*) *stfun* ⇒ ′*a formula*
where *stable-d v* ≡ λ *s*. *s* ⊨ *gets-d v* (*current-val-d v*)

definition *padded-d* :: (′*a*::*world*,′*b*) *stfun* ⇒ ′*a formula*
where *padded-d v* ≡ λ *s*. *s* ⊨ (*stable-d v*);*skip* ∨ *empty*

definition *padded-temp-assign-d* :: (′*a*::*world*,′*b*) *stfun* ⇒ (′*a*,′*b*) *formfun* ⇒ ′*a formula*
where *padded-temp-assign-d v e* ≡ λ *s*. *s* ⊨ (*temporal-assign-d v e*) ∧ (*padded-d v*)

syntax
-*next-assign-d*   :: [*lift*,*lift*] ⇒ *lift* ((- := -) [50,51] 50)
-*prev-assign-d*   :: [*lift*,*lift*] ⇒ *lift* ((- =: -) [50,51] 50)
-*always-eq-d*   :: [*lift*,*lift*] ⇒ *lift* ((- ≈ -) [50,51] 50)
-*temporal-assign-d*   :: [*lift*,*lift*] ⇒ *lift* ((- ← -) [50,51] 50)
-*gets-d*   :: [*lift*,*lift*] ⇒ *lift* ((- gets -) [50,51] 50)
-*stable-d*   :: *lift* ⇒ *lift*   ((stable -) [51] 50)
-*padded-d*   :: *lift* ⇒ *lift*   ((padded -) [51] 50)
-*padded-temp-assign-d* :: [*lift*,*lift*] ⇒ *lift* ((- <∼ -) [50,51] 50)

syntax (*ASCII*)
-*next-assign-d*   :: [*lift*,*lift*] ⇒ *lift* ((- := -) [50,51] 50)
-*prev-assign-d*   :: [*lift*,*lift*] ⇒ *lift* ((- =: -) [50,51] 50)
-*always-eq-d*   :: [*lift*,*lift*] ⇒ *lift* ((- alweqv -) [50,51] 50)
-*temporal-assign-d*   :: [*lift*,*lift*] ⇒ *lift* ((- <-- -) [50,51] 50)
-*gets-d*   :: [*lift*,*lift*] ⇒ *lift* ((- gets -) [50,51] 50)
-*stable-d*   :: *lift* ⇒ *lift*   ((stable -) [51] 50)
-*padded-d*   :: *lift* ⇒ *lift*   ((padded -) [51] 50)
-*padded-temp-assign-d* :: [*lift*,*lift*] ⇒ *lift* ((- <∼ -) [50,51] 50)

translations
-*next-assign-d*   ⇌ CONST *next-assign-d*
-*prev-assign-d*   ⇌ CONST *prev-assign-d*
-*always-eq-d*   ⇌ CONST *always-eq-d*
-*temporal-assign-d*   ⇌ CONST *temporal-assign-d*
-*gets-d*   ⇌ CONST *gets-d*
-*stable-d*   ⇌ CONST *stable-d*
-*padded-d*   ⇌ CONST *padded-d*
-*padded-temp-assign-d* ⇌ CONST *padded-temp-assign-d*

2.4   Properties of Operators

The following lemmas show that above operators have the expected semantics.

lemma *skip-defs* :
 (*w* ⊨ *skip*) = (*intlen w* =1)
by (*simp add*: *skip-d-def*)

lemma *chop-defs* :

$(w \models F1 ; F2) = (\exists\ n\ .\ n{\leq}intlen\ w \wedge ((prefix\ n\ w){\models} F1) \wedge (\ (suffix\ n\ w) \models F2)\ )$
by (*simp add*: *chop-d-def*)

lemma *sometimes-defs* :
  $(w \models \Diamond\ F) = (\exists\ n.\ n{\leq}intlen\ w \wedge ((suffix\ n\ w) \models F))$
by (*simp add*: *Semantics.sometimes-d-def chop-defs*)

lemma *always-defs* :
  $(w \models \Box\ F) = (\forall\ n.\ n{\leq}intlen\ w \longrightarrow ((suffix\ n\ w) \models F))$
by (*simp add*: *always-d-def sometimes-defs*)

lemma *di-defs* :
  $(w \models di\ F) = (\exists\ n.\ n{\leq}intlen\ w \wedge ((prefix\ n\ w) \models F))$
by (*simp add*: *Semantics.di-d-def chop-defs*)

lemma *bi-defs* :
  $(w \models bi\ F) = (\forall\ n.\ n{\leq}intlen\ w \longrightarrow ((prefix\ n\ w) \models F))$
by (*simp add*: *Semantics.bi-d-def di-defs*)

lemma *da-defs* :
  $(w \models da\ F) = (\exists\ n\ na.\ n{+}na \leq intlen\ w \wedge ((sub\ n\ (n{+}na)\ w) \models F))$
proof (*auto simp add*: *Semantics.da-d-def chop-defs*)
 show $\bigwedge n\ na.$
     $n \leq intlen\ w \Longrightarrow$
     $na \leq intlen\ w - n \Longrightarrow F\ (prefix\ na\ (suffix\ n\ w)) \Longrightarrow$
     $\exists n\ na.\ n + na \leq intlen\ w \wedge F\ (sub\ n\ (n + na)\ w)$
 by (*metis Interval.sub-def Nat.le-diff-conv2 add.commute add-diff-cancel-left'*)
 show $\bigwedge n\ na.$
     $n + na \leq intlen\ w \Longrightarrow F\ (sub\ n\ (n + na)\ w) \Longrightarrow$
     $\exists n{\leq}intlen\ w.\ \exists na{\leq}intlen\ w - n.\ F\ (prefix\ na\ (suffix\ n\ w))$
 by (*metis Interval.sub-def add-leD1 interval-intlen-sub interval-pref-intlen-bound*
    interval-suffix-length le-add1)
qed

lemma *ba-defs* :
 $(w \models ba\ F) = (\forall\ n\ na.\ na{+}n \leq intlen\ w \longrightarrow ((sub\ n\ (n{+}na)\ w) \models F))$
by (*auto simp add*: *ba-d-def da-defs*)

lemma *next-defs* :
  $(w \models \bigcirc\ F) = (intlen\ w > 0 \wedge ((suffix\ 1\ w){\models} F)\ )$
using *Suc-le-eq min.absorb1* **by** (*simp add*: *next-d-def chop-defs skip-defs*)   *force*

lemma *wnext-defs* :
 $(w \models wnext\ F) = (intlen\ w = 0 \vee ((suffix\ 1\ w){\models} F)\ )$
by (*simp add*: *wnext-d-def next-defs*)

lemma *prev-defs* :
  $(w \models prev\ F) = (intlen\ w > 0 \wedge ((prefix\ ((intlen\ w){-}1)\ w){\models} F)\ )$
by (*simp add*: *prev-d-def chop-defs skip-defs*)
 (*metis One-nat-def Suc-leI diff-diff-cancel diff-is-0-eq' diff-le-self*

```
        neq0-conv zero-neq-one)
```

lemma *wprev-defs* :
  $(w \models wprev\ F) = (intlen\ w = 0 \lor ((prefix\ ((intlen\ w)-1)\ w)\models F)\ )$
by (*metis* (*mono-tags*, *lifting*) *less-le prev-defs unl-lift wprev-d-def zero-le*)

lemma *more-defs* :
  $(w \models more) = (intlen\ w > 0)$
by (*simp add*: *more-d-def next-defs*)

lemma *empty-defs* :
  $(w \models empty) = (intlen\ w = 0)$
by (*simp add*: *empty-d-def more-defs*)

lemma *init-defs* :
  $(w \models init\ F) = (\ (prefix\ 0\ w) \models F\ )$
using *min.absorb1* **by** (*simp add*: *init-d-def empty-defs chop-defs*) *force*

lemma *initalt-defs* :
  $(w \models bi(\ empty \longrightarrow F)) = (\ (prefix\ 0\ w) \models F\ )$
using *min.absorb1* **by** (*simp add*: *bi-defs empty-defs*) *force*

lemma *fin-defs* :
  $(w \models fin\ F) = (\ (suffix\ (intlen\ w)\ w) \models F)$
by (*simp add*: *fin-d-def empty-defs always-defs*)

lemma *finalt-defs* :
  $(w \models \#True;(F \land empty)) = (\ (suffix\ (intlen\ w)\ w) \models F)$
by (*simp add*: *chop-defs empty-defs*) *fastforce*

lemma *halt-defs* :
  $(w \models halt(F)) = (\forall\ n \leq intlen\ w.\ (intlen\ w = n) = F\ (suffix\ n\ w))$
by (*simp add*: *halt-d-def empty-defs always-defs*)

lemma *initonly-defs* :
  $(w \models initonly(F)) = (\forall\ n \leq intlen\ w.\ (n = 0) = F\ (prefix\ n\ w))$
using *min.absorb1* **by** (*simp add*: *initonly-d-def bi-defs empty-defs*) *force*

lemma *ifthenelse-defs*:
  $(w \models if_i\ F\ then\ G\ else\ H) =$
  $(\ ((w \models F) \land (w \models G)) \lor ((\ \neg(w \models F) \land (w \models H)))\ )$
by (*simp add*: *ifthenelse-d-def*)

lemma *len-defs* :
  $(w \models len\ n) = (intlen\ w = n)$
proof
 (*induct n arbitrary*: *w*)
 case *0*
 then show *?case* **by** (*simp add*: *len-d-def empty-defs*)
 next

case (*Suc n*)
 then show *?case* **by** (*simp add*: *len-d-def chop-defs skip-defs*) *fastforce*
qed

lemma *currentval-defs* :
 $(s \models \$v) = (v \ (nth \ s \ 0))$
by (*simp add*: *current-val-d-def*)

lemma *nextval-defs* :
 $(s \models v\$) = (if \ intlen \ s > 0 \ then \ (v \ (nth \ s \ 1)) \ else \ (\epsilon \ x. \ x=x))$
by (*simp add*: *next-val-d-def*)

lemma *finval-defs* :
 $(s \models !v) = (v \ (nth \ s \ (intlen \ s)))$
by (*simp add*: *fin-val-d-def*)

lemma *penultval-defs* :
 $(s \models v!) = (if \ intlen \ s > 0 \ then \ (v \ (nth \ s \ ((intlen \ s)-1))) \ else \ (\epsilon \ x. \ x=x))$
by (*simp add*: *penult-val-d-def*)

lemma *next-assign-defs* :
assumes *intlen s* > 0
shows $(s \models v := e) = v \ (Interval.nth \ s \ 1) = e \ s$
using *assms* **by** (*auto simp*: *next-assign-d-def next-val-d-def*)

lemma *prev-assign-defs* :
assumes *intlen s* > 0
 shows $(s \models v =: e) = v \ (Interval.nth \ s \ ((intlen \ s)-1)) = e \ s$
using *assms* **by** (*auto simp*: *prev-assign-d-def penult-val-d-def*)

lemma *always-eqv-defs* :
 $(s \models v \approx e) = (\forall \ i \leq intlen \ s. \ v \ (Interval.nth \ s \ i) = e \ (suffix \ i \ s))$
by (*simp add*: *always-eq-d-def always-defs current-val-d-def*)

lemma *temporal-assign-defs* :
 $(s \models v \leftarrow e) = (v \ (Interval.nth \ s \ (intlen \ s)) = e \ s)$
by (*simp add*: *temporal-assign-d-def fin-val-d-def*)

lemma *gets-defs* :
 $(s \models v \ gets \ e) = (\forall \ i < intlen \ s. \ v \ (Interval.nth \ s \ (Suc \ i)) = e \ (sub \ i \ (i+1) \ s))$
using *Suc-le-eq min.absorb1 add-le-imp-le-diff interval-prefix-suffix-intlen-good*
by (*auto simp add*: *gets-d-def keep-d-def ba-defs skip-defs sub-def temporal-assign-defs*)
   fastforce

lemma *stable-defs-helpa*:
assumes $(\forall i < intlen \ s. \ v \ (Interval.nth \ s \ (Suc \ i)) = v \ (Interval.nth \ s \ i))$
       $i \leq intlen \ s$
 shows $(v \ (Interval.nth \ s \ i) = v \ (Interval.nth \ s \ 0))$
using *assms*

proof (*induct s arbitrary*:*i*)
case (*St x*)
then show *?case* **by** *simp*
next
case (*Cons x1a s*)
then show *?case*
  proof (*cases i*)
  case *0*
  then show *?thesis* **by** *blast*
  next
  case (*Suc nat*)
  then show *?thesis*
    by (*metis Cons.hyps Cons.prems*(*1*) *Cons.prems*(*2*) *Suc-le-mono Suc-mono interval-nth-Suc*
       interval-nth-zero intlen.simps(*2*) *plus-1-eq-Suc zero-less-Suc*)
  qed
qed

lemma *stable-defs-helpb*:
assumes ($\forall i \leq$*intlen s*. *v* (*Interval.nth s i*) = *v* (*Interval.nth s 0*))
        i < *intlen s*
 shows *v* (*Interval.nth s* (*Suc i*)) = *v* (*Interval.nth s i*)
using *assms*
proof (*induct s arbitrary*:*i*)
case (*St x*)
then show *?case* **by** *simp*
next
case (*Cons x1a s*)
then show *?case*
  proof (*cases i*)
  case *0*
  then show *?thesis* **using** *Suc-leI Cons.prems*(*1*) *Cons.prems*(*2*) **by** *blast*
  next
  case (*Suc nat*)
  then show *?thesis* **using** *Cons.prems*(*1*) *Cons.prems*(*2*) *Suc-leI less-imp-le-nat* **by** *presburger*
  qed
qed

lemma *stable-defs-help*:
 ($\forall i <$*intlen s*. *v* (*Interval.nth s* (*Suc i*)) = *v* (*Interval.nth s i*)) $\longleftrightarrow$
 ($\forall i \leq$*intlen s*. *v* (*Interval.nth s i*) = *v* (*Interval.nth s 0*))
proof $-$
 have *1*: ($\forall i <$*intlen s*. *v* (*Interval.nth s* (*Suc i*)) = *v* (*Interval.nth s i*)) $\longrightarrow$
        ($\forall i \leq$*intlen s*. *v* (*Interval.nth s i*) = *v* (*Interval.nth s 0*))
  using *stable-defs-helpa* **by** *auto*
 have *2*: ($\forall i \leq$*intlen s*. *v* (*Interval.nth s i*) = *v* (*Interval.nth s 0*)) $\longrightarrow$
        ($\forall i <$*intlen s*. *v* (*Interval.nth s* (*Suc i*)) = *v* (*Interval.nth s i*))
  using *stable-defs-helpb* **by** *blast*
 show *?thesis* **using** *1 2* **by** *blast*
qed

lemma *stable-defs*:
 $(s \models stable\ v) = (\forall\ i{\leq}intlen\ s.\ (v\ (nth\ s\ i)) = (v\ (nth\ s\ 0)))$
by (*simp add*: *stable-d-def gets-defs current-val-d-def sub-def stable-defs-help*)

lemma *padded-defs* :
 $(s \models padded\ v) = ((\forall\ i{<}\ intlen\ s.\ (v\ (nth\ s\ i)) = (v\ (nth\ s\ 0))) \lor intlen\ s = 0)$
proof (*simp add*: *padded-d-def stable-defs chop-defs skip-defs empty-defs*)
  show $((\exists\ n{\leq}intlen\ s.$
      $(\forall i.\ i \leq n \land i \leq intlen\ s \longrightarrow v\ (nth\ s\ i) = v\ (nth\ s\ 0)) \land intlen\ s - n = Suc\ 0) \lor$
    $intlen\ s = 0) =$
  $((\forall i{<}intlen\ s.\ v\ (Interval.nth\ s\ i) = v\ (Interval.nth\ s\ 0)) \lor intlen\ s = 0)$
  proof *rule+*
   show $\bigwedge i.\ (\exists\ n{\leq}intlen\ s.$
        $(\forall i.\ i \leq n \land i \leq intlen\ s \longrightarrow v\ (nth\ s\ i) = v\ (nth\ s\ 0)) \land intlen\ s - n = Suc\ 0) \lor$
      $intlen\ s = 0 \implies$
      $i < intlen\ s \implies v\ (nth\ s\ i) = v\ (nth\ s\ 0)$
   by (*metis One-nat-def Suc-leI Suc-le-mono le-add-diff-inverse2 less-imp-le-nat not-less-zero*
      plus-1-eq-Suc)
   show $(\forall i{<}intlen\ s.\ v\ (nth\ s\ i) = v\ (nth\ s\ 0)) \lor intlen\ s = 0 \implies$
    $(\exists\ n{\leq}intlen\ s.$
      $(\forall i.\ i \leq n \land i \leq intlen\ s \longrightarrow v\ (nth\ s\ i) = v\ (nth\ s\ 0)) \land intlen\ s - n = Suc\ 0) \lor$
    $intlen\ s = 0$
   by (*metis Suc-leI Suc-pred diff-diff-cancel diff-le-self gr-zeroI le-imp-less-Suc*)
  qed
qed

lemma *padded-temporal-assign-defs* :
 $(s \models v <\sim e) =$
 $((s \models padded\ v) \land (v\ (Interval.nth\ s\ (intlen\ s)) = e\ s\ ))$
by (*auto simp add*: *padded-temp-assign-d-def padded-defs temporal-assign-defs*)

2.5 Soundness of Finite ITL Axioms

2.5.1 ChopAssoc

lemma *ChopAssocSemHelpa*:
assumes $(\exists\ i\ ia\ .\ i{\leq}intlen\ \sigma \land ia \leq intlen\ \sigma - i \land (prefix\ i\ \sigma \models f) \land$
   $(prefix\ ia\ (suffix\ i\ \sigma) \models g) \land (suffix\ (ia + i)\ \sigma \models h))$
shows $(\exists\ j\ ja\ .\ j \leq intlen\ \sigma \land ja \leq j \land (prefix\ ja\ (prefix\ j\ \sigma) \models f) \land$
   $(suffix\ ja\ (prefix\ j\ \sigma) \models g) \land (suffix\ j\ \sigma \models h))$
proof $-$
 have *1*: $(\exists\ i\ ia\ .\ i{\leq}intlen\ \sigma \land ia \leq intlen\ \sigma - i \land (prefix\ i\ \sigma \models f) \land$
   $(prefix\ ia\ (suffix\ i\ \sigma) \models g) \land (suffix\ (ia + i)\ \sigma \models h))$
 using *assms* **by** *auto*
 obtain *i ia* **where** *2*: $i{\leq}intlen\ \sigma \land ia \leq intlen\ \sigma - i \land (prefix\ i\ \sigma \models f) \land$
   $(prefix\ ia\ (suffix\ i\ \sigma) \models g) \land (suffix\ (ia + i)\ \sigma \models h)$
  using *1* **by** *auto*
 have *3*: $(suffix\ (ia+i)\ \sigma \models h)$
   using *2* **by** *auto*

have *4*: *ia* +*i* ≤*intlen* σ
  using *2 Nat.le-diff-conv2* **by** *blast*
have *5*: *i* ≤ *ia+i*
  by *simp*
have *6*: (*suffix i* (*prefix* (*ia* +*i*) σ) ⊨ *g*)
  using *2 4 interval-suffix-prefix-swap* **by** *force*
have *7*: (*prefix i* (*prefix* (*ia* +*i*) σ) ⊨ *f*)
  by (*simp add*: *2 add.commute*)
show *?thesis* **using** *2 4 5 6 7* **by** *blast*
qed

lemma *ChopAssocSemHelpb*:
assumes (∃*j ja* . *j* ≤*intlen* σ ∧ *ja* ≤ *j* ∧ (*prefix ja* (*prefix j* σ) ⊨ *f*) ∧
  (*suffix ja* (*prefix j* σ) ⊨ *g*) ∧ (*suffix j* σ ⊨ *h*))
shows (∃*i ia* . *i*≤*intlen* σ ∧ *ia* ≤ *intlen* σ −*i* ∧ (*prefix i* σ ⊨ *f*) ∧
  (*prefix ia* (*suffix i* σ) ⊨ *g*) ∧ (*suffix* (*ia* + *i*) σ ⊨ *h*))
proof −
 have *1*: (∃*j ja* . *j* ≤*intlen* σ ∧ *ja* ≤ *j* ∧ (*prefix ja* (*prefix j* σ) ⊨ *f*) ∧
  (*suffix ja* (*prefix j* σ) ⊨ *g*) ∧ (*suffix j* σ ⊨ *h*))
  using *assms* **by** *auto*
 obtain *j ja* **where** *2*: *j* ≤*intlen* σ ∧ *ja* ≤ *j* ∧ (*prefix ja* (*prefix j* σ) ⊨ *f*) ∧
  (*suffix ja* (*prefix j* σ) ⊨ *g*) ∧ (*suffix j* σ ⊨ *h*)
 using *1* **by** *auto*
 have *3*: *ja*≤*intlen* σ
  using *2 le-trans* **by** *blast*
 have *4*: *j* −*ja* ≤ *intlen* σ −*ja*
  by (*simp add*: *2 diff-le-mono*)
 have *5*: (*prefix ja* σ ⊨ *f*)
  by (*metis 2 interval-pref-pref-3 le-add-diff-inverse*)
 have *6*: (*prefix* (*j* −*ja*) (*suffix ja* σ) ⊨ *g*)
  by (*simp add*: *2 interval-suffix-prefix-swap*)
 have *7*: (*suffix* ((*j* −*ja*) + *ja*) σ ⊨ *h*)
  by (*simp add*: *2*)
 show *?thesis* **using** *3 4 5 6 7* **by** *blast*
qed

lemma *ChopAssocSemHelp*:
(∃*i ia* . *i*≤*intlen* σ ∧ *ia* ≤ *intlen* σ −*i* ∧ (*prefix i* σ ⊨ *f*) ∧
  (*prefix ia* (*suffix i* σ) ⊨ *g*) ∧ (*suffix* (*ia* + *i*) σ ⊨ *h*)) =
(∃*j ja* . *j* ≤*intlen* σ ∧ *ja* ≤ *j* ∧ (*prefix ja* (*prefix j* σ) ⊨ *f*) ∧
  (*suffix ja* (*prefix j* σ) ⊨ *g*) ∧ (*suffix j* σ ⊨ *h*))
using *ChopAssocSemHelpa*[*of* σ *f g h*]
  ChopAssocSemHelpb[*of* σ *f g h*] **by** *auto*

lemma *ChopAssocSemHelp2*:
(σ ⊨ *f* ; (*g* ; *h*)) = (σ ⊨ (*f*;*g*);*h*)
proof −
 have (σ ⊨ *f* ; (*g* ; *h*)) =
  ((∃*i*≤*intlen* σ. (*prefix i* σ ⊨ *f*) ∧ (∃*ia*≤*intlen* (*suffix i* σ).
   (*prefix ia* (*suffix i* σ) ⊨ *g*) ∧ (*suffix* (*ia* + *i*) σ ⊨ *h*))))

by (*simp add*: *chop-defs*)
also have ... =
$$(\exists i\ ia\ .\ i{\leq}intlen\ \sigma \wedge ia \leq intlen\ \sigma - i \wedge (prefix\ i\ \sigma \models f) \wedge$$
$$(prefix\ ia\ (suffix\ i\ \sigma) \models g) \wedge (suffix\ (ia + i)\ \sigma \models h))$$
by *fastforce*
also have ... =
$$(\exists j\ ja\ .\ j \leq intlen\ \sigma \wedge ja \leq j \wedge (prefix\ ja\ (prefix\ j\ \sigma) \models f) \wedge$$
$$(suffix\ ja\ (prefix\ j\ \sigma) \models g) \wedge (suffix\ j\ \sigma \models h))$$
using *ChopAssocSemHelp*[*of σ f g h*] **by** *blast*
also have ... =
$$(\exists i{\leq}intlen\ \sigma.\ (\exists ia{\leq}intlen\ (prefix\ i\ \sigma).\ (prefix\ ia\ (prefix\ i\ \sigma) \models f) \wedge$$
$$(suffix\ ia\ (prefix\ i\ \sigma) \models g)) \wedge (suffix\ i\ \sigma \models h))$$
by *fastforce*
also have ... =
$$(\sigma \models (f;g);h)\ \textbf{by}\ (\textit{simp add}:\ \textit{chop-defs})$$
finally show $(\sigma \models f\ ;\ (g\ ;\ h)) = (\sigma \models (f;g);h)$.
qed

lemma *ChopAssocSem*:
$(\sigma \models f\ ;\ (g\ ;\ h) = (f;g);h)$
using *ChopAssocSemHelp2* **using** *unl-lift2* **by** *blast*

2.5.2   OrChopImp

lemma *OrChopImpSem*:
$(\sigma \models (f \vee g);h \longrightarrow f;h \vee g;h)$
by (*simp add*: *chop-defs*) *blast*

2.5.3   ChopOrImp

lemma *ChopOrImpSem*:
$(\sigma \models f;(g \vee h) \longrightarrow f;g \vee f;h)$
by (*simp add*: *chop-defs*) *blast*

2.5.4   EmptyChop

lemma *EmptyChopSem*:
$(\sigma \models empty\ ;\ f = f)$
using *min.absorb1* **by** (*simp add*: *empty-defs chop-defs*) *force*

2.5.5   ChopEmpty

lemma *ChopEmptySem*:
$(\sigma \models f;empty = f)$
by (*simp add*: *empty-defs chop-defs*) *auto*

2.5.6   StateImpBi

lemma *StateImpBiSem*:
$(\sigma \models init\ f \longrightarrow bi\ (init\ f))$
by (*simp add*: *init-defs bi-defs*)

2.5.7 NextImpNotNextNot

lemma *NextImpNotNextNotSem*:
  $(\sigma \models \bigcirc f \longrightarrow \neg (\bigcirc (\neg f)))$
by (*simp add*: *next-defs*)

2.5.8 BiBoxChopImpChop

lemma *BiBoxChopImpChopSem*:
  $(\sigma \models bi\ (\ f \longrightarrow f1\ ) \wedge \square(g \longrightarrow g1) \longrightarrow f;g \longrightarrow f1;g1\ )$
by (*simp add*: *bi-defs always-defs chop-defs*) *fastforce*

2.5.9 BoxInduct

lemma *box-induct-help-1* :
assumes $(\sigma \models f)$
         $(\forall i.\ Suc\ 0 \leq intlen\ \sigma - i \longrightarrow$
         $i \leq intlen\ \sigma \longrightarrow (suffix\ i\ \sigma \models f) \longrightarrow (suffix\ (Suc\ i)\ \sigma \models f))$
shows $(\forall\ j.\ j \leq intlen\ \sigma \longrightarrow (suffix\ j\ \sigma \models f))$
proof
   fix *j*
   show $j \leq intlen\ \sigma \longrightarrow (suffix\ j\ \sigma \models f)$
    using *assms*
    proof
      (*induct j arbitrary*: σ)
      case *0*
      then show *?case* **by** *simp*
      next
      case (*Suc j*)
      then show *?case*
      by (*metis Nat.le-diff-conv2 One-nat-def Suc-eq-plus1-left Suc-leD*)
      qed
qed

lemma *BoxInductSem*:
  $(\sigma \models \square\ (f \longrightarrow wnext\ f) \wedge f \longrightarrow \square\ f)$
proof $-$
 have *1*: $(\sigma \models \square\ (f \longrightarrow wnext\ f) \wedge f \longrightarrow \square\ f) =$
         $((\forall\ n{\leq}intlen\ \sigma.\ f\ (suffix\ n\ \sigma) \longrightarrow intlen\ \sigma = n \vee f\ (suffix\ (Suc\ n)\ \sigma)) \wedge f\ \sigma \longrightarrow$
         $(\forall\ n{\leq}intlen\ \sigma.\ f\ (suffix\ n\ \sigma)))$
     by (*simp add*: *always-defs wnext-defs*)
 from *1* **show** *?thesis* **using** *box-induct-help-1*
  by (*metis One-nat-def diff-self-eq-0 not-one-le-zero*)
qed

2.5.10 ChopStarEqv

lemma *ChopExist*:
 $\vdash (\exists\ k.\ f;g\ k) = f;(\exists\ k.\ g\ k)$
by (*auto simp add*: *chop-defs Valid-def*)

lemma *ExistChop*:
⊢ (∃ k. (g k);f) = (∃ k. g k);f
by (*auto simp add*: *chop-defs Valid-def*)

lemma *powersem1*:
(σ ⊨ (∃ k. power f k) = (empty ∨ (∃ k. power f (Suc k))))
proof *auto*
  show ⋀x. σ ⊨ (power f x) ⟹ ∀ k. ¬(σ ⊨ (f;power f k)) ⟹ σ ⊨ empty
  by (*metis not0-implies-Suc pow-0 pow-Suc*)
  show σ ⊨ empty ⟹ ∃x. σ ⊨ (power f x)
  by (*metis pow-0*)
  show ⋀k. σ ⊨ (f;power f k) ⟹ ∃x. σ ⊨ (power f x)
  by (*metis pow-Suc*)
qed

lemma *powersem*:
 ⊢ (∃ k. power f k) = (empty ∨ (f);(∃ k. (power f k)))
proof −
 have *1*: ⊢ (∃ k. power f k) = (empty ∨ (∃ k. power f (Suc k)))
 using *powersem1* **by** *blast*
 have *2*: ⊢ (∃ k. power f (Suc k)) = (∃ k. (f);power f k)
 by *simp*
 have *3*: ⊢ (∃ k. (f);(power f k)) = (f);(∃ k. (power f k))
 using *ChopExist* **by** *blast*
 from *1 2 3* **show** *?thesis* **by** *fastforce*
qed

lemma *PowerstarEqvSem*:
  (σ ⊨ (powerstar f) = (empty ∨ f;(powerstar f)))
proof −
 have *1*: (σ ⊨ (powerstar f)) =
     (σ ⊨ (∃ k. power f k))
 by (*simp add*: *powerstar-d-def*)
 have *2*: (σ ⊨ (∃ k. power f k)) =
     (σ ⊨ (empty ∨ f;(∃ k. (power f k))))
 using *powersem* **by** (*metis inteq-reflection*)
 from *1 2* **show** *?thesis* **by** (*simp add*: *powerstar-d-def*)
qed

lemma *ChopstarEqvSem*:
  (σ ⊨ f⋆ = (empty ∨ (f ∧ more); f⋆))
by (*metis PowerstarEqvSem chopstar-d-def*)

2.6   Quantification over State (Flexible) Variables

The hidden state approach, as used in the embedding of TLA in Isabelle/HOL TLA embedding [3, 2], is used. Here [3, 2], a state space is defined by its projections, and everything else is unknown. Thus, a variable is a projection of the state space, and has the same type as a state function. Moreover, strong typing is achieved, since the projection function may have any result type. To achieve this, the state space is represented by an undefined type, which is an instance of the *world* class to enable use with the

Intensional theory.

typedecl *state*

instance *state* :: *world* **..**

type-synonym $'a$ *statefun* = (*state*,$'a$) *stfun*
type-synonym *statepred* = *bool statefun*
type-synonym $'a$ *tempfun* = (*state*,$'a$) *formfun*
type-synonym *temporal* = *state formula*

Similar to [3, 2] we define a state to be an anonymous type whose only purpose is to provide Skolem constants. Similarly, we do not define a type of state variables separate from that of arbitrary state functions, again in order to simplify the definition of flexible quantification later on. Nevertheless, we need to distinguish state variables. Note we deviate from [3, 2] in that we do not use axioms but use definitions and lemmas.

2.7 Temporal Quantifiers

definition *exist-state-d* :: ($'a$ *statefun* $\Rightarrow$ *temporal*)$\Rightarrow$ *temporal* (**binder** *Eex* 10)
where *exist-state-d* F $\equiv$ (λs. ($\exists$ x. $s \models F x$))

syntax
-*Eex* :: [*idts*, *lift*] $\Rightarrow$ *lift* (($3\exists\exists$ -./ -) [0,10] 10)

translations
-*Eex* v A == *Eex* v. A

definition *forall-state-d* :: ($'a$ *statefun* $\Rightarrow$ *temporal*)$\Rightarrow$ *temporal* (**binder** *Aall* 10)
where *forall-state-d* F $\equiv$ *LIFT*($\neg$($\exists\exists$ x. $\neg$($F x$)))

syntax
-*Aall* :: [*idts*, *lift*] $\Rightarrow$ *lift* (($3\forall\forall$ -./ -) [0,10] 10)

translations
-*Aall* v A == *Aall* v. A

end

3 Fuse operator

theory *Fuse*
imports *Semantics*
begin

This theory introduces the fuse operator.

3.1 Definitions

primrec *fuse* :: *'a interval* $\Rightarrow$ *'a interval* $\Rightarrow$ *'a interval*
 where *fuse-St* : *fuse* $\langle x \rangle$ *ys* = *ys*
   | *fuse-Cons* : *fuse* $(x \odot xs)$ *ys* = $x \odot$ (*fuse xs ys*)

primrec *lfuse* :: *'a interval interval* $\Rightarrow$ *'a interval*
where *lfuse-St* : *lfuse* $\langle xs \rangle$ = *xs*
   | *lfuse-Cons* : *lfuse* $(x \odot xs)$ = *fuse x* (*lfuse xs*)

primrec *lastfirst* :: *'a interval interval* $\Rightarrow$ *bool*
 where *lastfirst* $\langle xs \rangle$ = *True*
   | *lastfirst* $(xs \odot xxs)$ =
     (((*intlast xs*) = (*intfirst* (*intfirst xxs*))) $\wedge$ (*lastfirst xxs*))

3.2 Lemmas

lemma *interval-fuse-intlen* :
  assumes *intlast xs* = *intfirst ys*
  shows *intlen* (*fuse xs ys*) = (*intlen xs*) + (*intlen ys*)
using *assms* **by** (*induct xs*) *simp-all*

lemma *interval-fuse-intlen-a*:
 intlen(*fuse xs ys*) = *intlen xs* + *intlen ys*
proof
 (*induct xs arbitrary*: *ys*)
 case (*St x*)
 then show *?case* **by** *simp*
 next
 case (*Cons x1a xs*)
 then show *?case* **by** *simp*
qed

lemma *interval-fuse-nth*:
assumes $i \leq$ *intlen* (*fuse xs ys*)
       intlast xs = *intfirst ys*
shows $(i \leq$ *intlen xs* $\longrightarrow$ *nth* (*fuse xs ys*) *i* = *nth xs i*)
      $\wedge$
      (*intlen xs* $\leq i \wedge i \leq$ *intlen* (*fuse xs ys*) $\longrightarrow$ *nth* (*fuse xs ys*) *i* = *nth ys* $(i -$ *intlen xs*))

using *assms*
proof
 (*induct xs arbitrary*: *i*)
 case (*St x*)
 then show *?case* **by** *simp*
 next
 case (*Cons x1a xs*)
 then show *?case*
 using *less-Suc-eq-0-disj less-Suc-eq-le* **by** *fastforce*
qed

lemma *interval-fuse-nth-a*:
 assumes $j \leq$ *intlen ys*
       intlast xs = *intfirst ys*
 shows   *nth* (*fuse xs ys*) (*intlen xs* +*j*) = (*nth ys j*)
using *assms*
by (*simp add*: *interval-fuse-intlen-a interval-fuse-nth*)

lemma *interval-fuse-leftneutral* :
   fuse (*St* (*intfirst xs*)) *xs* = *xs*
by *simp*

lemma *interval-fuse-rightneutral* :
   fuse xs (*St* (*intlast xs*)) = *xs*
by (*induct xs*) *simp-all*

lemma *interval-intfirst-fuse* :
 assumes *intlast xs* = *intfirst ys*
 shows   *intfirst* (*fuse xs ys*) = *intfirst xs*
using *assms* **by** (*induct xs*) *simp-all*

lemma *interval-intlast-fuse* :
 assumes *intlast xs* = *intfirst ys*
 shows   *intlast* (*fuse xs ys*) = *intlast ys*
using *assms* **by** (*induct xs*) *simp-all*

lemma *interval-FusionAssoc* :
 assumes (*intlast xs*) = (*intfirst ys*)
      (*intlast ys*) = (*intfirst zs*)
 shows   (*fuse xs* (*fuse ys zs*)) = (*fuse* (*fuse xs ys*) *zs*)
using *assms* **by** (*induct xs*) *simp-all*

lemma *interval-intlast-intfirst*:
   (*intlast* (*prefix i xs*)) = (*intfirst* (*suffix i xs*))
using *interval-intlast-intfirst* **by** *blast*

lemma *interval-prefix-fuse* :
 assumes   *intlast xs* = *intfirst ys*
 shows   (*prefix* (*intlen xs*) (*fuse xs ys*)) = *xs*
using *assms* **by** (*induct xs arbitrary*: *ys*) *simp-all*

lemma *interval-suffix-fuse* :
 assumes   *intlast xs* = *intfirst ys*
 shows   (*suffix* (*intlen xs*) (*fuse xs ys*)) = *ys*
using *assms* **by** (*induct xs arbitrary*: *ys*) *simp-all*

lemma *interval-fuse-prefix-suffix-intlen* :
assumes $n \leq$ *intlen xs*
shows   *intlen* (*fuse* (*prefix n xs*) (*suffix n xs*)) = *intlen xs*

using *assms*
by (*metis interval-fuse-intlen-a interval-prefix-length-good interval-suffix-length-good*
le-add-diff-inverse)

lemma *interval-fuse-prefix-suffix-nth* :
assumes $n \leq intlen\ xs$
      $i \leq intlen\ xs$
shows $nth\ (fuse\ (prefix\ n\ xs)\ (suffix\ n\ xs))\ i = nth\ xs\ i$
using *assms*
by (*metis interval-fuse-prefix-suffix-intlen interval-fuse-nth interval-intlast-intfirst*
        interval-nth-prefix interval-nth-suffix interval-prefix-length-good le-cases
        nat-add-left-cancel-le ordered-cancel-comm-monoid-diff-class.add-diff-inverse)

lemma *interval-fuse-prefix-suffix*:
assumes $n \leq intlen\ xs$
shows $fuse\ (prefix\ n\ xs)\ (suffix\ n\ xs) = xs$
using *assms*
by (*simp add*: *interval-fuse-prefix-suffix-intlen interval-fuse-prefix-suffix-nth interval-eq-nth-eq*)

lemma *interval-chop-fuse-1* :
  $(\exists\ \sigma 1\ \sigma 2.\ \sigma = fuse\ \sigma 1\ \sigma 2\ \wedge$
    $(\sigma 1\ \models\ f) \wedge (\sigma 2\ \models\ g)\ \wedge$
    $(intlast\ \sigma 1 = intfirst\ \sigma 2)) =$
    $(\exists\ i.\ 0 \leq i \wedge i \leq intlen\ \sigma \wedge (\ prefix\ i\ \sigma\ \models\ f) \wedge (suffix\ i\ \sigma\ \models\ g))$
by (*metis interval-fuse-intlen interval-fuse-prefix-suffix interval-intlast-intfirst*
        interval-intlen-gr-zero interval-prefix-fuse interval-suffix-fuse le-add-same-cancel1)

lemma *interval-chop-fuse-2* :
  $(\exists\ \sigma 1\ \sigma 2.\ \sigma = fuse\ \sigma 1\ \sigma 2\ \wedge$
    $(\sigma 1 \in X) \wedge (\sigma 2 \in Y)\ \wedge$
    $(intlast\ \sigma 1 = intfirst\ \sigma 2)) =$
    $(\exists\ i \leq intlen\ \sigma.\ (prefix\ i\ \sigma) \in X \wedge (suffix\ i\ \sigma) \in Y)$
by (*metis interval-fuse-intlen interval-fuse-prefix-suffix interval-intlast-intfirst*
        interval-prefix-fuse interval-suffix-fuse le-add1)

lemma *interval-chop-fuse*:
  $(\exists\ \sigma 1\ \sigma 2.\ \sigma = fuse\ \sigma 1\ \sigma 2\ \wedge$
    $(\sigma 1\ \models\ f) \wedge (\sigma 2\ \models\ g)\ \wedge$
    $(intlast\ \sigma 1 = intfirst\ \sigma 2)) =$
    $(\sigma\ \models\ f;g)$
by (*metis chop-defs interval-fuse-intlen-a interval-fuse-prefix-suffix interval-intlast-intfirst*
       interval-prefix-fuse interval-suffix-fuse le-add1)

lemma *interval-sub-fuse*:
 assumes $k \leq n$
      $n \leq m$
      $m \leq intlen\ xs$
 shows $fuse\ (sub\ k\ n\ xs)\ (sub\ n\ m\ xs) = (sub\ k\ m\ xs)$
proof $-$
 have *1*: $intlast(sub\ k\ n\ xs) = (nth\ xs\ n)$

```
      using assms interval-intlast-sub le-trans less-imp-le-nat by blast
  have 2:  intfirst(sub n m xs) = (nth xs n)
      using assms interval-intfirst-sub less-imp-le-nat by blast
  have 3:  intlen(fuse (sub k n xs) (sub n m xs)) = intlen(sub k m xs)
    by (metis Nat.add-diff-assoc2 assms(1) assms(2) assms(3) interval-fuse-intlen-a
        interval-intlen-sub le-trans ordered-cancel-comm-monoid-diff-class.add-diff-inverse)
  have 4:  (∀ i. i ≤ intlen(sub k m xs) ⟶
            (nth (fuse (sub k n xs) (sub n m xs)) i) = (nth xs (k+i)))
    proof
      fix i
      show i ≤ intlen (sub k m xs) ⟶ nth (fuse (sub k n xs) (sub n m xs)) i = nth xs (k + i)
      proof −
       have 41: intlen (sub k m xs) = (m−k)
          using assms interval-intlen-sub le-trans less-imp-le-nat by metis
       have 42: i ≤ intlen (sub k m xs) ⟶ nth (fuse (sub k n xs) (sub n m xs)) i =
                (if i ≤ intlen(sub k n xs) then (nth (sub k n xs) i)
                                    else (nth (sub n m xs) (i−intlen(sub k n xs))))
        by (metis 1 2 3 interval-fuse-nth le-cases)
       have 43:  i ≤ (m−k) ⟶ nth (fuse (sub k n xs) (sub n m xs)) i =
                (if i≤ (n−k) then (nth xs (k+i)) else (nth xs (n+(i−(n−k)))))
            using 42 Nat.le-diff-conv2 assms(1) assms(2) assms(3) by auto
       have 44:  i ≤ (m−k) ⟶ nth (fuse (sub k n xs) (sub n m xs)) i =
                (nth xs (k+i))
            by (simp add: 43 add.commute assms less-imp-le-nat)
       show ?thesis
        by (simp add: 41 44)
    qed
  qed
  have 5: (∀ i. i ≤ intlen(sub k m xs) ⟶ (nth (sub k m xs) i) = (nth xs (k+i)))
      using assms(1) assms(2) assms(3) by auto
  show ?thesis
  by (simp add: 3 4 5 interval-eq-nth-eq)
qed

lemma interval-sub-fuse-idx:
 assumes index-sequence 0 l
         nth l (intlen l) = intlen σ
         (Suc i) < intlen l
 shows    fuse (sub (nth l i) (nth l (Suc i)) σ) (sub (nth l (Suc i)) (nth l (intlen l)) σ) =
          (sub (nth l i) (nth l (intlen l)) σ)
proof −
 have 1:  intlast(sub (nth l i) (nth l (Suc i)) σ) = (nth σ (nth l (Suc i)))
    by (metis assms interval-idx-less-equal interval-idx-less-last-1 interval-intlast-sub le-add2
        less-imp-le-nat plus-1-eq-Suc)
 have 2:  intfirst(sub (nth l (Suc i)) (nth l (intlen l)) σ ) = (nth σ (nth l (Suc i)))
    by (metis assms dual-order.strict-iff-order eq-imp-le interval-idx-less-last-1
      interval-intfirst-sub)
 have 3:  (nth l i) < (nth l (Suc i))
    using Suc-lessD assms interval-idx-less-than less-imp-le-nat by blast
 have 4: (nth l (Suc i)) < (nth l (intlen l))
```

using *assms interval-idx-less-last-1* **by** *blast*
 show *?thesis* **using** *3 4 assms* **by** (*simp add: interval-sub-fuse*)
qed

lemma *interval-idx-fuse-intfirst-intlast*:
 assumes *index-sequence 0 l1*
         index-sequence 0 l2
         nth l1 (*intlen l1*) = *cp*
         nth l2 (*intlen l2*) = *intlen σ* −*cp*
         cp ≤ *intlen σ*
         l = *fuse l1* (*map* (*shift cp*) *l2*)
 shows   *intlast l1* = *intfirst* (*map* (*shift cp*) *l2*)
using *assms*
 by (*metis Interval.shift-def add.left-neutral index-sequence-def*
          interval-nth-intlen-intlast interval-nth-map interval-nth-zero-intfirst)

lemma *interval-idx-fuse-nth-cp*:
 assumes *index-sequence 0 l1*
         index-sequence 0 l2
         nth l1 (*intlen l1*) = *cp*
         nth l2 (*intlen l2*) = *intlen σ* −*cp*
         cp ≤ *intlen σ*
         l = *fuse l1* (*map* (*shift cp*) *l2*)
         i ≤ *intlen l2*
 shows   *nth l* (*intlen l1* + *i*) = *cp* + *nth l2 i*
proof −
 have *1*: *intlast l1* = *intfirst* (*map* (*shift cp*) *l2*)
    using *assms interval-idx-fuse-intfirst-intlast* **by** *blast*
 have *2*: *nth l* (*intlen l1* + *i*) = *nth* (*map* (*shift cp*) *l2*) *i*
    using *assms* **by** (*metis 1 interval-fuse-nth-a interval-intlen-map*)
 have *3*: *nth* (*map* (*shift cp*) *l2*) *i* = *nth l2 i* +*cp*
    by (*simp add: Interval.shift-def interval-nth-map*)
  show *?thesis* **using** *2 3* **by** *auto*
qed

lemma *interval-idx-fuse-idx*:
 assumes *index-sequence 0 l1*
         index-sequence 0 l2
         nth l1 (*intlen l1*) = *cp*
         nth l2 (*intlen l2*) = *intlen σ* −*cp*
         cp ≤ *intlen σ*
         l = *fuse l1* (*map* (*shift cp*) *l2*)
         i ≤ *intlen l2*
 shows   *index-sequence 0 l*
proof −
 have *1*: *intlast l1* = *intfirst* (*map* (*shift cp*) *l2*)
    using *assms interval-idx-fuse-intfirst-intlast* **by** *blast*
 have *2*: *nth* (*fuse l1* (*map* (*shift cp*) *l2*)) *0* = *nth l1 0*

using *1 interval-fuse-nth* **by** *blast*

have *3*: *intlen (fuse l1 (map (shift cp) l2)) = intlen l1 + intlen l2*

  by *(simp add: interval-fuse-intlen-a)*

have *4*: $\forall i.\ 0 \leq i\ \wedge\ i \leq intlen\ l1 \longrightarrow$

     nth (fuse l1 (map (shift cp) l2)) i =

     nth l1 i

  by *(metis 1 interval-nth-prefix interval-prefix-fuse)*

have *5*: $\forall i.\ intlen\ l1 \leq i\ \wedge\ i \leq intlen\ l1 + intlen\ l2 \longrightarrow$

     nth (fuse l1 (map (shift cp) l2)) i =

     $cp + nth\ l2\ (i - intlen\ l1)$

  by *(metis (no-types, lifting) 1 3 Interval.shift-def add.commute interval-fuse-nth*

    interval-nth-map)

have *6*: $\forall i.\ 0 \leq i\ \wedge\ i < intlen\ l1 + intlen\ l2 \longrightarrow$

     nth (fuse l1 (map (shift cp) l2)) i < nth (fuse l1 (map (shift cp) l2)) (Suc i)

  using *assms* **by** *(metis 1 3 4 add.right-neutral index-sequence-def interval-idx-link*

    interval-idx-split interval-intlen-gr-zero interval-prefix-fuse interval-suffix-fuse

    le-add1)

have *7*: *index-sequence 0 l =*

    ((nth l1 0) = 0 $\wedge$

    $(\forall i.\ 0 \leq i\ \wedge\ i < intlen\ l1 + intlen\ l2 \longrightarrow$

     nth (fuse l1 (map (shift cp) l2)) i < nth (fuse l1 (map (shift cp) l2)) (Suc i)))

  by *(simp add: 2 3 assms index-sequence-def)*

from *7 6 2* **show** *?thesis*

using *assms index-sequence-def* **by** *auto*

qed

lemma *interval-idx-fuse-intlen*:

 assumes *index-sequence 0 l1*

    index-sequence 0 l2

    nth l1 (intlen l1) = cp

    $nth\ l2\ (intlen\ l2) = intlen\ \sigma - cp$

    $cp \leq intlen\ \sigma$

    l = fuse l1 (map (shift cp) l2)

    $i \leq intlen\ l2$

 shows $nth\ l\ (intlen\ l) = intlen\ \sigma$

using *assms interval-idx-fuse-nth-cp*$[of\ l1\ l2\ cp\ \sigma]$ **by** *(simp add: interval-fuse-intlen-a)*

lemma *interval-intfirst-lfuse-intfirst*:

assumes *lastfirst (xs $\odot$ xxs)*

shows *intfirst(lfuse xxs) = intfirst(intfirst xxs)*

using *assms*

proof

 (induct xxs)

 case *(St x)*

 then show *?case* **by** *simp*

 next

 case *(Cons x1a xxs)*

 then show *?case*

   proof *(cases x1a)*

case (*St x1*)
then show *?thesis* **using** *Cons.hyps Cons.prems* **by** *auto*
next
case (*Cons x21 x22*)
then show *?thesis* **by** *simp*
qed
qed

lemma *interval-intfirst-lfuse*:
assumes *lastfirst* (*xs ⊙ xxs*)
shows (*intfirst* (*lfuse* (*xs ⊙ xxs*))) = (*intfirst xs*)
proof −
 have *1*: *lastfirst* (*xs ⊙ xxs*)
  using *assms* **by** *auto*
 have *2*: (((*intlast xs*) = (*intfirst* (*intfirst xxs*))) ∧ (*lastfirst xxs*))
  using *1* **by** *simp*
 have *3*: (*intlast xs*) = (*intfirst* (*intfirst xxs*))
  using *2* **by** *auto*
 have *4*: *intfirst* (*lfuse* (*xs ⊙ xxs*)) = *intfirst*(*fuse xs* (*lfuse xxs*))
  by *simp*
 have *5*: *intfirst*(*lfuse xxs*) = *intfirst*(*intfirst xxs*)
  using *assms interval-intfirst-lfuse-intfirst* **by** *blast*
 have *6*: *intfirst*(*fuse xs* (*lfuse xxs*)) = *intfirst xs*
  by (*metis 3 5 interval-intfirst-fuse*)
 show *?thesis* **using** *6* **by** *auto*
qed

lemma *interval-lastfirst-lfuse-intlast*:
 assumes *lastfirst xxs*
 shows   *intlast*(*lfuse xxs*) = *intlast*(*intlast xxs*)
using *assms*
 proof
 (*induct xxs*)
 case (*St x*)
 then show *?case* **by** *simp*
 next
 case (*Cons x1a xxs*)
 then show *?case*
 by (*metis interval-fuse-intlen-a interval-fuse-nth-a interval-intfirst-lfuse-intfirst*
       interval-nth-intlen-intlast interval-nth-last lastfirst.simps(*2*) *lfuse-Cons*
       order.order-iff-strict)
qed

lemma *interval-lastfirst-lfuse*:
assumes *lastfirst xxs*
shows *intfirst* (*lfuse xxs*) = *intfirst*(*intfirst*(*xxs*))
using *assms*
proof
 (*cases xxs*)
 case (*St x1*)

then show *?thesis* **by** *simp*
next
case (*Cons x21 x22*)
then show *?thesis*
using *assms interval-intfirst-lfuse* **by** *auto*
qed

lemma *interval-lfuse-intlen* :
 assumes *lastfirst xxs*
 shows   *intlen (lfuse xxs) =* ($\sum$ *k::nat= 0..(intlen xxs). intlen(nth xxs k))*
using *assms*
proof
 (*induct xxs*)
 case (*St x*)
 then show *?case* **by** *simp*
 next
 case (*Cons x1a xxs*)
 then show *?case* **proof** $-$
  have *1* : *intlen (lfuse (x1a ⊙ xxs)) = intlen (fuse x1a (lfuse xxs))*
   by *simp*
  have *2* : *lastfirst (x1a ⊙ xxs)* **using** *Cons.prems* **by** *auto*
  have *3* : *intlast x1a = intfirst(lfuse xxs)*
    using *Cons.prems interval-intfirst-lfuse-intfirst* **by** *fastforce*
  have *4* : *intlen (fuse x1a (lfuse xxs)) = (intlen x1a) + intlen(lfuse xxs)*
   using *3 interval-fuse-intlen* **by** *blast*
  have *5*: *(intlen x1a) + intlen(lfuse xxs) =*
         (intlen x1a) + ($\sum$ *k::nat= 0..(intlen xxs). intlen(nth xxs k))*
   using *Cons.hyps Cons.prems* **by** *auto*
  have *6*: *(*$\sum$ *k = 0..intlen (x1a ⊙ xxs). intlen (Interval.nth (x1a ⊙ xxs) k)) =*
         (intlen(nth (x1a ⊙ xxs) 0)   ) +
         ($\sum$ *k = 1..1+intlen (xxs). intlen (Interval.nth (x1a ⊙ xxs) k))*
     by (*simp add*: *sum.atLeast-Suc-atMost*)
  have *7*: *(intlen(nth (x1a ⊙ xxs) 0)   ) = intlen(x1a)*
   by *simp*
  have *8*: *(*$\sum$ *k = 1..1+intlen (xxs). intlen (Interval.nth (x1a ⊙ xxs) k)) =*
         ($\sum$ *k = 0..intlen (xxs). intlen (Interval.nth (x1a ⊙ xxs) (k+1)))*
   by (*metis* (*mono-tags, lifting*) *Nat.add-0-right add.commute sum.cong sum.shift-bounds-cl-nat-ivl*)
  have *9*: *(*$\sum$ *k = 0..intlen (xxs). intlen (Interval.nth (x1a ⊙ xxs) (k+1))) =*
          ($\sum$ *k = 0..intlen (xxs). intlen (Interval.nth (xxs) (k)))*
   by *auto*
  have *10*: *(intlen x1a) + (*$\sum$ *k::nat= 0..(intlen xxs). intlen(nth xxs k)) =*
          ($\sum$ *k = 0..intlen (x1a ⊙ xxs). intlen (Interval.nth (x1a ⊙ xxs) k))*
  using *6 7 8 9* **by** *linarith*
  show *?thesis*
   by (*simp add*: *10 4 5*)
 qed
qed

lemma *interval-idx-fuse*:
 assumes *intlast l1 = intfirst l2*

shows
 (*index-sequence* (*intfirst l1*) (*fuse l1 l2*)) =
   (*index-sequence* (*intfirst l1*) *l1* ∧ *index-sequence* (*intfirst l2*) *l2*)
using *assms*
 proof
 (*induct l1 arbitrary*: *l2*)
 case (*St x*)
 then show *?case* **by** (*simp add*: *index-sequence-def*)
 next
 case (*Cons x1a l1*)
 then show *?case*
  using *interval-idx-expand1 interval-intfirst-fuse* **by** *force*
qed

lemma *interval-idx-lfuse-help1*:
assumes (∀ *k*. *k*<*intlen* (*lfuse l*) ⟶
     nth (*fuse x1a* (*lfuse l*)) (*intlen x1a* + *k*) <
     nth (*fuse x1a* (*lfuse l*)) (*intlen x1a* + *Suc k*))

     intlen x1a ≤ *n*
     n< *intlen x1a* +*intlen* (*lfuse l*)
shows *nth* (*fuse x1a* (*lfuse l*)) *n* < *nth* (*fuse x1a* (*lfuse l*)) (*Suc n*)
using *assms*
by (*metis add-Suc-right add-less-imp-less-left le-Suc-ex*)

lemma *interval-idx-lfuse*:
 assumes *lastfirst l*
 shows   (*index-sequence* (*intfirst* (*lfuse l*)) (*lfuse l*)) =
        (∀ *i*≤*intlen l*. *index-sequence* (*intfirst* (*nth l i*)) (*nth l i*))
using *assms*
 proof
  (*induct l*)
  case (*St x*)
  then show *?case* **by** (*simp add*: *index-sequence-def*)
  next
  case (*Cons x1a l*)
  then show *?case*
   proof −
   have *0*: *lastfirst l*
     using *Cons.prems lastfirst.simps(2)* **by** *blast*
   have *1*: *index-sequence* (*intfirst* (*lfuse* (*x1a* ⊙ *l*))) (*lfuse* (*x1a* ⊙ *l*)) =
         (*nth* (*fuse x1a* (*lfuse l*)) *0* = *intfirst* (*fuse x1a* (*lfuse l*)) ∧
         (∀ *n*<*intlen* (*fuse x1a* (*lfuse l*)).
            nth (*fuse x1a* (*lfuse l*)) *n* < *nth* (*fuse x1a* (*lfuse l*)) (*Suc n*)))
     by (*simp add*: *index-sequence-def*)
   have *2*: (*nth* (*fuse x1a* (*lfuse l*)) *0*) = *intfirst* (*fuse x1a* (*lfuse l*))
     by *simp*
   have *3*: *intlen* (*fuse x1a* (*lfuse l*)) = *intlen x1a* + *intlen*(*lfuse l*)
     by (*simp add*: *interval-fuse-intlen-a*)
   have *4*: (∀ *n*<*intlen* (*fuse x1a* (*lfuse l*)).

$$nth\ (fuse\ x1a\ (lfuse\ l))\ n < nth\ (fuse\ x1a\ (lfuse\ l))\ (Suc\ n)) =$$
$$(\forall\, n{<}intlen\ x1a + intlen\ (lfuse\ l).$$
$$nth\ (fuse\ x1a\ (lfuse\ l))\ n < nth\ (fuse\ x1a\ (lfuse\ l))\ (Suc\ n))$$
by (*simp add*: *3*)

have *5*: $(\forall\, n{<}intlen\ x1a + intlen\ (lfuse\ l).$
$$nth\ (fuse\ x1a\ (lfuse\ l))\ n < nth\ (fuse\ x1a\ (lfuse\ l))\ (Suc\ n)) =$$
$$((\forall\, n{<}intlen\ x1a.\ nth\ (fuse\ x1a\ (lfuse\ l))\ n < nth\ (fuse\ x1a\ (lfuse\ l))\ (Suc\ n))\ \wedge$$
$$(\forall\, n.\ 0 \le n{-}intlen\ x1a \wedge n - intlen\ x1a{<}intlen\ (lfuse\ l) \longrightarrow$$
$$nth\ (fuse\ x1a\ (lfuse\ l))\ n < nth\ (fuse\ x1a\ (lfuse\ l))\ (Suc\ n)))$$
by *auto*
(*metis add.commute less-diff-conv2 not-less*)

have *6*: $(\forall\, n{<}intlen\ x1a.\ nth\ (fuse\ x1a\ (lfuse\ l))\ n < nth\ (fuse\ x1a\ (lfuse\ l))\ (Suc\ n)) =$
$$index\text{-}sequence\ (intfirst\ x1a)\ x1a$$
by (*simp add*: *index-sequence-def*)
(*metis Cons.prems Suc-leI interval-intfirst-lfuse-intfirst interval-nth-prefix
interval-prefix-fuse lastfirst.simps(2) le-simps(1)*)

have *7*: $(\forall\, n.\ intlen\ x1a \le n \wedge n < intlen\ x1a + intlen\ (lfuse\ l) \longrightarrow$
$$nth\ (fuse\ x1a\ (lfuse\ l))\ n < nth\ (fuse\ x1a\ (lfuse\ l))\ (Suc\ n)) =$$
$$(\forall\, k.\ \ k{<}intlen\ (lfuse\ l) \longrightarrow$$
$$nth\ (fuse\ x1a\ (lfuse\ l))\ (intlen\ x1a + k) <$$
$$nth\ (fuse\ x1a\ (lfuse\ l))\ (intlen\ x1a + Suc\ k))$$
using *interval-idx-lfuse-help1* **by** *auto*

have *8*: $(\forall\, k.\ \ k{<}intlen\ (lfuse\ l) \longrightarrow$
$$nth\ (fuse\ x1a\ (lfuse\ l))\ (intlen\ x1a + k) <$$
$$nth\ (fuse\ x1a\ (lfuse\ l))\ (intlen\ x1a + Suc\ k)) =$$
$$(\forall\, k.\ \ k{<}intlen\ (lfuse\ l) \longrightarrow$$
$$nth\ (\ (lfuse\ l))\ (k) <$$
$$nth\ (\ (lfuse\ l))\ (Suc\ k))\quad (\textbf{is } ?L{=}?R)$$
proof
show *?L* $\Longrightarrow$ *?R*
by (*metis Cons.prems Suc-leI add-Suc-right interval-fuse-nth-a
interval-intfirst-lfuse-intfirst lastfirst.simps(2) le-simps(1)*)
show *?R* $\Longrightarrow$ *?L*
by (*metis Cons.prems Suc-leI add-Suc-right interval-fuse-nth-a
interval-intfirst-lfuse-intfirst lastfirst.simps(2) less-imp-le-nat*)
qed

have *9*: $(\forall\, k.\ \ k{<}intlen\ (lfuse\ l) \longrightarrow$
$$nth\ (\ (lfuse\ l))\ (k) <$$
$$nth\ (\ (lfuse\ l))\ (Suc\ k)) = index\text{-}sequence\ (intfirst\ (lfuse\ l))\ (lfuse\ l)$$
by (*simp add*: *index-sequence-def*)

have *91*: $index\text{-}sequence\ (intfirst\ (lfuse\ (x1a \odot l)))\ (lfuse\ (x1a \odot l)) =$
$$(index\text{-}sequence\ (intfirst\ x1a)\ x1a \wedge index\text{-}sequence\ (intfirst\ (lfuse\ l))\ (lfuse\ l))$$
using *1 2 4 5 6 7 8 9*
by (*metis Cons.prems interval-idx-fuse interval-intfirst-lfuse
interval-intfirst-lfuse-intfirst lastfirst.simps(2) lfuse-Cons*)

have *10*: $index\text{-}sequence\ (intfirst\ (lfuse\ l))\ (lfuse\ l) =$
$$(\forall\, i{\le}intlen\ l.\ index\text{-}sequence\ (intfirst\ (nth\ l\ i))\ (nth\ l\ i))$$
using *0 Cons.hyps* **by** *blast*

have *11*: $(\forall\, i\le intlen(x1a{\odot}l).\ index\text{-}sequence\ (intfirst\ (nth\ (x1a{\odot}l)\ i))\ (nth\ (x1a{\odot}l)\ i)) =$
$$(\forall\, i\le 1\ {+}intlen\ l.\ index\text{-}sequence\ (intfirst\ (nth\ (x1a{\odot}l)\ i))\ (nth\ (x1a{\odot}l)\ i))$$

by *auto*

have *12*: ($\forall$ *i*$\leq$ *1* +*intlen l*. *index-sequence* (*intfirst* (*nth* (*x1a*⊙*l*) *i*)) (*nth* (*x1a*⊙*l*) *i*)) =
  (*index-sequence* (*intfirst* (*x1a*)) (*x1a*) $\wedge$
  ($\forall$ *i*. *1* $\leq$ *i* $\wedge$ *i*$\leq$ *1* +*intlen l* $\longrightarrow$
   index-sequence (*intfirst* (*nth* (*x1a* ⊙ *l*) *i*)) (*nth* (*x1a* ⊙ *l*) *i*)))
  by (*metis One-nat-def Suc-leI interval-intlen-gr-zero interval-nth-zero*
   interval-prefix-length-good intlen.simps(*2*) *order.strict-iff-order*)

have *13*: ($\forall$ *i*. *1* $\leq$ *i* $\wedge$ *i*$\leq$ *1* +*intlen l* $\longrightarrow$
  index-sequence (*intfirst* (*nth* (*x1a* ⊙ *l*) *i*)) (*nth* (*x1a* ⊙ *l*) *i*)) =
  ($\forall$ *j*. *j*$\leq$ *intlen l* $\longrightarrow$
  index-sequence (*intfirst* (*nth* (*x1a* ⊙ *l*) (*1*+*j*))) (*nth* (*x1a* ⊙ *l*) (*1*+*j*)))
  by *auto*
  (*simp add*: *Nitpick.case-nat-unfold*)

have *14*: ($\forall$ *j*. *j*$\leq$ *intlen l* $\longrightarrow$
  index-sequence (*intfirst* (*nth* (*x1a* ⊙ *l*) (*1*+*j*))) (*nth* (*x1a* ⊙ *l*) (*1*+*j*))) =
  ($\forall$ *j*. *j*$\leq$ *intlen l* $\longrightarrow$
  index-sequence (*intfirst* (*nth* (*l*) (*j*))) (*nth* (*l*) (*j*)))
  by *simp*

show *?thesis*
using *10 12 13 91* **by** *auto*
 qed
qed

lemma *interval-lfuse-intlen-a*:
 assumes *lastfirst xxs*
  shows ($\forall$ *i*. *i* $\leq$*intlen* (*xxs*) $\longrightarrow$
   ($\forall$ *j*$\leq$*intlen* (*nth* (*xxs*) (*i*)) . *j*$\leq$ *intlen*(*lfuse xxs*)))
using *assms*
 proof (*induct xxs*)
 case (*St x*)
 then show *?case* **by** *simp*
 next
 case (*Cons x1a xxs*)
 then show *?case*
 proof −
 have *0*: *intlast x1a* = *intfirst*(*intfirst xxs*)
  using *Cons.prems lastfirst.simps*(*2*) **by** *blast*
 have *1*: ($\forall$ *i*. *i* $\leq$ *intlen* (*x1a* ⊙ *xxs*) $\longrightarrow$
  ($\forall$ *j*$\leq$*intlen* (*nth* (*x1a* ⊙ *xxs*) *i*). *j* $\leq$ *intlen* (*lfuse* (*x1a* ⊙ *xxs*))))
  =
  (($\forall$ *j*$\leq$*intlen* (*Interval.nth* (*x1a* ⊙ *xxs*) *0*). *j* $\leq$ *intlen* (*lfuse* (*x1a* ⊙ *xxs*))) $\wedge$
  ($\forall$ *i*. *1* $\leq$ *i* $\wedge$ *i*−*1* $\leq$ *intlen* (*xxs*) $\longrightarrow$
  ($\forall$ *j*$\leq$*intlen* (*nth* (*x1a* ⊙ *xxs*) *i*). *j* $\leq$ *intlen* (*lfuse* (*x1a* ⊙ *xxs*)))))
  by *auto*
  (*metis One-nat-def add.commute le-diff-conv le-zero-eq not-less-eq-eq old.nat.simps*(*4*)
  plus-1-eq-Suc)
 have *2*: ($\forall$ *j*$\leq$*intlen* (*Interval.nth* (*x1a* ⊙ *xxs*) *0*). *j* $\leq$ *intlen* (*lfuse* (*x1a* ⊙ *xxs*))) =
  ($\forall$ *j*$\leq$*intlen* (*x1a*). *j* $\leq$ *intlen* (*fuse x1a* (*lfuse xxs*)))

by *simp*

have *3*: $(\forall j \leq intlen\ (x1a).\ j \leq intlen\ (fuse\ x1a\ (lfuse\ xxs)))) =$
$\qquad (\forall j \leq intlen\ (x1a).\ j \leq intlen\ (x1a) + intlen\ (lfuse\ xxs))$
$\quad$ **by** (*simp add: interval-fuse-intlen-a*)

have *4*: $(\forall j \leq intlen\ (x1a).\ j \leq intlen\ (x1a) + intlen\ (lfuse\ xxs))$
$\quad$ **by** *linarith*

have *5*: $(\forall i.\ 1 \leq i \land i{-}1 \leq intlen\ (xxs) \longrightarrow$
$\qquad (\forall j \leq intlen\ (nth\ (x1a \odot xxs)\ i).\ j \leq intlen\ (lfuse\ (x1a \odot xxs))))$
$\qquad =$
$\qquad (\forall i.\ 0 \leq i \land i \leq intlen\ (xxs) \longrightarrow$
$\qquad (\forall j \leq intlen\ (nth\ (x1a \odot xxs)\ (Suc\ i)).\ j \leq intlen\ (lfuse\ (x1a \odot xxs))))$
$\quad$ **by** (*metis add-diff-cancel-left' interval-intlen-gr-zero interval-prefix-length-good le-add1*
$\qquad$ *ordered-cancel-comm-monoid-diff-class.add-diff-inverse plus-1-eq-Suc*)

have *6*: $(\forall i.\ 0 \leq i \land i \leq intlen\ (xxs) \longrightarrow$
$\qquad (\forall j \leq intlen\ (nth\ (x1a \odot xxs)\ (Suc\ i)).\ j \leq intlen\ (lfuse\ (x1a \odot xxs)))) =$
$\qquad (\forall i.\ 0 \leq i \land i \leq intlen\ (xxs) \longrightarrow$
$\qquad (\forall j \leq intlen\ (nth\ (\ xxs)\ (i)).\ j \leq intlen\ x1a + intlen\ (lfuse\ (\ xxs))))$
$\quad$ **by** (*simp add: interval-fuse-intlen-a*)

have *7*: $\forall i.\ 0 \leq i \land i \leq intlen\ xxs \longrightarrow (\forall j \leq intlen\ (Interval.nth\ xxs\ i).\ j \leq intlen\ (lfuse\ xxs))$
$\quad$ **using** *Cons.hyps Cons.prems lastfirst.simps(2)* **by** *blast*

have *8*: $(\forall i.\ 0 \leq i \land i \leq intlen\ (xxs) \longrightarrow$
$\qquad (\forall j \leq intlen\ (nth\ (\ xxs)\ (i)).\ j \leq intlen\ x1a + intlen\ (lfuse\ (\ xxs))))$
$\quad$ **by** (*simp add: 7 trans-le-add2*)

show *?thesis* **using** *1 3 5 6 8* **by** *auto*
qed
qed

lemma *interval-lfuse-split*:
assumes *lastfirst xxs* $\land$ $(\forall\ j \leq intlen\ (xxs).\ intlen(nth\ (xxs)\ j) > 0)$
shows $(\forall i \leq intlen(xxs).$
$\qquad (\forall ia < intlen\ ((nth\ (xxs)\ i)).$
$\qquad\quad f\ (sub\ (nth\ (nth\ (xxs)\ i)\ ia)$
$\qquad\qquad (nth\ (nth\ (xxs)\ i)\ (Suc\ ia))$
$\qquad\qquad \sigma))) =$
$\qquad (\forall j < intlen\ (lfuse\ (xxs)).$
$\qquad\quad f\ (sub\ (nth\ (lfuse\ (xxs))\ j)$
$\qquad\qquad (nth\ (lfuse\ (xxs))\ (Suc\ j))$
$\qquad\qquad \sigma))$
using *assms*
proof (*induct xxs*)
case (*St x*)
then show *?case* **by** *auto*
next
case (*Cons x1a xxs*)
then show *?case*
$\quad$ **proof** $-$
$\quad$ **have** *1*: $(\forall i \leq intlen\ (x1a \odot xxs).$
$\qquad \forall ia < intlen\ (nth\ (x1a \odot xxs)\ i).$
$\qquad\quad f\ (sub\ (nth\ (nth\ (x1a \odot xxs)\ i)\ ia)\ (nth\ (nth\ (x1a \odot xxs)\ i)\ (Suc\ ia))\ \sigma)) =$

$(\forall\, i{\leq}intlen\ (xxs){+}1.$
$\quad \forall\, ia{<}intlen\ (nth\ (x1a \odot xxs)\ i).$
$\quad\quad f\ (sub\ (nth\ (nth\ (x1a \odot xxs)\ i)\ ia)\ (nth\ (nth\ (x1a \odot xxs)\ i)\ (Suc\ ia))\ \sigma))$
by *simp*

have *2*: ... =
$\quad(\ (\forall\, ia{<}intlen\ (nth\ (x1a \odot xxs)\ 0).$
$\quad\quad f\ (sub\ (nth\ (nth\ (x1a \odot xxs)\ 0)\ ia)\ (nth\ (nth\ (x1a \odot xxs)\ 0)\ (Suc\ ia))\ \sigma))$
$\quad\quad \land$
$\quad(\forall\, i.\ 1 \leq i \land i{\leq}intlen\ (xxs){+}1 \longrightarrow$
$\quad\ (\forall\, ia{<}intlen\ (nth\ (x1a \odot xxs)\ i).$
$\quad\ f\ (sub\ (nth\ (nth\ (x1a \odot xxs)\ i)\ ia)\ (nth\ (Interval.nth\ (x1a \odot xxs)\ i)\ (Suc\ ia))\ \sigma))))$
by (*metis One-nat-def Suc-leI add-nonneg-nonneg gr-zeroI interval-intlen-gr-zero zero-le-one*)

have *3*: $(\forall\, ia{<}intlen\ (nth\ (x1a \odot xxs)\ 0).$
$\quad\quad f\ (sub\ (nth\ (nth\ (x1a \odot xxs)\ 0)\ ia)\ (nth\ (nth\ (x1a \odot xxs)\ 0)\ (Suc\ ia))\ \sigma)) =$
$\quad(\forall\, ia{<}intlen\ x1a.$
$\quad\quad f\ (sub\ (nth\ (x1a)\ ia)\ (nth\ (x1a)\ (Suc\ ia))\ \sigma))$
by *simp*

have *4*: $(\forall\, i.\ 1 \leq i \land i{\leq}intlen\ (xxs){+}1 \longrightarrow$
$\quad\ (\forall\, ia{<}intlen\ (nth\ (x1a \odot xxs)\ i).$
$\quad\ f\ (sub\ (nth\ (nth\ (x1a \odot xxs)\ i)\ ia)\ (nth\ (nth\ (x1a \odot xxs)\ i)\ (Suc\ ia))\ \sigma))) =$
$\quad(\forall\, i.\ 0 \leq i{-}1 \land i{-}1{\leq}intlen\ (xxs) \longrightarrow$
$\quad\ (\forall\, ia{<}intlen\ (nth\ (x1a \odot xxs)\ ((i{-}1){+}1)).$
$\quad\ f\ (sub\ (nth\ (nth\ (x1a \odot xxs)\ ((i{-}1){+}1))\ ia)$
$\quad\quad\quad (nth\ (nth\ (x1a \odot xxs)\ ((i{-}1){+}1))\ (Suc\ ia))\ \sigma)))$
by (*auto simp add*: *Nitpick.case-nat-unfold*)

have *5*: ... =
$\quad(\forall\, i.\ 0 \leq i \land i{\leq}intlen\ (xxs) \longrightarrow$
$\quad\ (\forall\, ia{<}intlen\ (nth\ (x1a \odot xxs)\ ((i){+}1)).$
$\quad\ f\ (sub\ (nth\ (nth\ (x1a \odot xxs)\ ((i){+}1))\ ia)$
$\quad\quad\quad (nth\ (nth\ (x1a \odot xxs)\ ((i){+}1))\ (Suc\ ia))\ \sigma)))$
$\quad$ **using** *4* **by** *auto*

have *6*: ... =
$\quad(\forall\, i.\ 0 \leq i \land i{\leq}intlen\ (xxs) \longrightarrow$
$\quad\ (\forall\, ia{<}intlen\ (nth\ (xxs)\ ((i))).$
$\quad\ f\ (sub\ (nth\ (nth\ (xxs)\ ((i)))\ ia)\ (nth\ (nth\ (xxs)\ ((i)))\ (Suc\ ia))\ \sigma)))$

$\quad\quad$ **by** *simp*

have *7*: *lastfirst xxs*
$\quad$ **using** *Cons.prems lastfirst.simps(2)* **by** *blast*

have *8*: *intlast x1a* = *intfirst(intfirst xxs)*
$\quad$ **using** *Cons.prems lastfirst.simps(2)* **by** *blast*

have *9*: $(\forall\ j \leq intlen\ (x1a \odot xxs).\ intlen(nth\ (x1a \odot xxs)\ j) > 0)$
$\quad$ **using** *Cons.prems* **by** *blast*

have *10*: $intlen(nth\ (x1a \odot xxs)\ 0) > 0$
$\quad$ **using** *Cons.prems* **by** *blast*

have *11*: $(\forall\, j.\ 1 \leq j \land j \leq intlen\ (xxs){+}1 \longrightarrow intlen(nth\ (x1a \odot xxs)\ j) > 0)$
$\quad\quad$ **using** *Cons.prems* **by** *auto*

have *12*: $(\forall\, j.\ 0 \leq j{-}1 \land j{-}1 \leq intlen\ (xxs) \longrightarrow intlen(nth\ (x1a \odot xxs)\ ((j{-}1){+}1)) > 0)$
$\quad\quad$ **using** *Cons.prems* **by** *auto*

have *13*: $(\forall\, j.\ j \leq intlen\ (xxs) \longrightarrow intlen(nth\ (x1a \odot xxs)\ ((j){+}1)) > 0)$

using *Cons.prems* **by** *auto*

have *14*: $(\forall j. \ j \le intlen \ (xxs) \longrightarrow intlen(nth \ (xxs) \ ((j))) > 0)$

  using *13* **by** *simp*

have *15*: $(\forall i. \ i{\le}intlen \ (xxs){\longrightarrow}$

    $(\forall ia{<}intlen \ (nth \ (xxs) \ ((i))).$

    $f \ (sub \ (nth \ (nth \ (xxs) \ ((i))) \ ia) \ (nth \ (nth \ (xxs) \ ((i))) \ (Suc \ ia) \ \sigma))) =$

    $(\forall j{<}intlen \ (lfuse \ (xxs)).$

      $f \ (sub \ (nth \ (lfuse \ (xxs)) \ j)$

        $(nth \ (lfuse \ (xxs)) \ (Suc \ j))$

        $\sigma))$

  by *(simp add: 14 7 Cons.hyps)*

have *16*: $(\forall j{<}intlen \ (lfuse \ (x1a \odot xxs)).$

    $f \ (sub \ (nth \ (lfuse \ (x1a \odot xxs)) \ j) \ (nth \ (lfuse \ (x1a \odot xxs)) \ (Suc \ j)) \ \sigma)) =$

    $(\forall j{<}intlen \ (fuse \ x1a \ (lfuse \ (xxs))).$

    $f \ (sub \ (nth \ (lfuse \ (x1a \odot xxs)) \ j) \ (nth \ (lfuse \ (x1a \odot xxs)) \ (Suc \ j)) \ \sigma))$

    by *simp*

have *17*: $... =$

    $(\forall j{<}intlen \ x1a + intlen \ ((lfuse \ (xxs))).$

    $f \ (sub \ (nth \ (lfuse \ (x1a \odot xxs)) \ j) \ (nth \ (lfuse \ (x1a \odot xxs)) \ (Suc \ j)) \ \sigma))$

  by *(simp add: interval-fuse-intlen-a)*

have *18*: $... =$

    $((\forall j{<}intlen \ x1a.$

    $f \ (sub \ (nth \ (lfuse \ (x1a \odot xxs)) \ j) \ (nth \ (lfuse \ (x1a \odot xxs)) \ (Suc \ j)) \ \sigma)) \ \wedge$

    $(\forall j. \ intlen \ x1a \le j \ \wedge \ j{<}intlen \ x1a + intlen \ ((lfuse \ (xxs))) \longrightarrow$

    $f \ (sub \ (nth \ (lfuse \ (x1a \odot xxs)) \ j) \ (nth \ (lfuse \ (x1a \odot xxs)) \ (Suc \ j)) \ \sigma)))$

  using *le-add1 less-le-trans not-less* **by** *blast*

have *19*: $(\forall j{<}intlen \ x1a.$

    $f \ (sub \ (nth \ (lfuse \ (x1a \odot xxs)) \ j) \ (nth \ (lfuse \ (x1a \odot xxs)) \ (Suc \ j)) \ \sigma)) =$

    $(\forall j{<}intlen \ x1a.$

    $f \ (sub \ (nth \ (fuse \ x1a \ (lfuse \ (xxs))) \ j) \ (nth \ (fuse \ x1a \ (lfuse \ (xxs))) \ (Suc \ j)) \ \sigma))$

    by *simp*

have *20*: $... =$

    $(\forall j{<}intlen \ x1a.$

    $f \ (sub \ (nth \ (x1a) \ j) \ (nth \ (x1a) \ (Suc \ j)) \ \sigma))$

  by *(metis Cons.prems Suc-leI interval-intfirst-lfuse-intfirst*

    interval-nth-prefix interval-prefix-fuse lastfirst.simps(2) less-imp-le-nat)

have *21*: $(\forall j. \ intlen \ x1a \le j \ \wedge \ j{<}intlen \ x1a + intlen \ ((lfuse \ (xxs))) \longrightarrow$

    $f \ (sub \ (nth \ (lfuse \ (x1a \odot xxs)) \ j) \ (nth \ (lfuse \ (x1a \odot xxs)) \ (Suc \ j)) \ \sigma)) =$

    $(\forall j. \ intlen \ x1a \le j \ \wedge \ j{<}intlen \ x1a + intlen \ ((lfuse \ (xxs))) \longrightarrow$

    $f \ (sub \ (nth \ (fuse \ x1a \ (lfuse \ (xxs))) \ j) \ (nth \ (fuse \ x1a \ (lfuse \ (xxs))) \ (Suc \ j)) \ \sigma))$

  by *simp*

have *22*: $... =$

    $(\forall j. \ intlen \ x1a \le j \ \wedge \ j{<}intlen \ x1a + intlen \ ((lfuse \ (xxs))) \longrightarrow$

    $f \ (sub \ (nth \ ((lfuse \ (xxs))) \ (j -intlen \ x1a))$

      $(nth \ (fuse \ x1a \ (lfuse \ (xxs))) \ ((j)+1)) \ \sigma))$ **(is** *?L=?R*)

    proof *rule*

     show *?L$\Longrightarrow$?R*

      by *auto*

      (metis 8 Cons.prems interval-fuse-intlen-a interval-fuse-nth

      interval-intfirst-lfuse-intfirst less-imp-le-nat)

```
              show ?R ⟹ ?L
                by auto
                (metis 8 Cons.prems interval-fuse-intlen-a interval-fuse-nth
                 interval-intfirst-lfuse-intfirst less-imp-le-nat)
              qed
      have 23: ... =
              (∀ j. intlen x1a ≤ j ∧ j<intlen x1a + intlen ((lfuse (xxs))) ⟶
               f (sub (nth ((lfuse (xxs))) (j −intlen x1a))
                      (nth ( (lfuse (xxs))) (((Suc j)−intlen x1a))) σ)) (is ?L=?R)
              proof
                show ?L⟹?R
                by auto
                  (metis 8 Cons.prems Suc-leI interval-fuse-intlen-a interval-fuse-nth
                   interval-intfirst-lfuse-intfirst le-SucI)
                show ?R ⟹?L
                by auto
                  (metis 8 Cons.prems Suc-leI interval-fuse-intlen-a interval-fuse-nth
                   interval-intfirst-lfuse-intfirst le-SucI)
              qed
      have 24: ... =
              (∀ j. 0 ≤ j ∧ j < intlen ((lfuse (xxs))) ⟶
               f (sub (nth ((lfuse (xxs))) (j) ) (nth ( (lfuse (xxs))) (((Suc j) ))) σ))
              by (rule interval-shift-index-to-zero-b)
      show ?thesis
       using 15 17 18 2 20 22 23 24 4 5 by auto
     qed
    qed


  end
```

4   Infinite Intervals

theory *InfiniteInterval*
 imports
   Interval
begin

An infinite interval is a mapping from the natural numbers to a particular type. This is similar as the
theory *Omega-Words-Fun* of the Isabelle/HOL distribution. The difference is that our version has no
empty (no symbols) word. This is needed as an finite interval has at least one state. So we have to
adapt the definition of *conc* and *upt*. We also define the usual *isuffix*, *iprefix* and *subinterval* on infinite
intervals.

4.1   Definitions

type-synonym
 'a infinterval = nat ⇒ 'a

type-synonym
  infiniteindex = nat infinterval

definition
 conc :: [*'a interval, 'a infinterval*] $\Rightarrow$ *'a infinterval*
where *conc w x* = ($\lambda n.$ *if* $n \leq$ *intlen w then nth w n else x* (n − *intlen w* −*1*))

definition
 isuffix :: [*nat, 'a infinterval*] $\Rightarrow$ *'a infinterval*
 where *isuffix k x* = ($\lambda n.$ *x* ($k+n$))

definition
 subinterval :: *'a infinterval* $\Rightarrow$ *nat* $\Rightarrow$ *nat* $\Rightarrow$ *'a interval*
 where
  subinterval w i j = *map w* [$i..\leq j$]

definition
 iprefix :: *nat* $\Rightarrow$ *'a infinterval* $\Rightarrow$ *'a interval*
 where
  iprefix n w $\equiv$ *subinterval w 0 n*

definition *infinite-index-sequence* :: *nat* $\Rightarrow$ *infiniteindex* $\Rightarrow$ *bool* **where**
  infinite-index-sequence x idx $\equiv$ (*idx 0* = *x*) $\wedge$ ($\forall$ *n.* *idx n* < *idx* (*Suc n*))

4.2 Lemmas

4.2.1 isuffix

lemma *isuffix-nth*:
 (*isuffix k x*) *n* = *x* ($k+n$)
by (*simp add*: *isuffix-def*)

lemma *isuffix-0*:
 isuffix 0 x = *x*
by (*simp add*: *isuffix-def*)

lemma *isuffix-isuffix*:
 (*isuffix m* (*isuffix n x*)) = *isuffix* ($n+m$) *x*
by (*rule ext*) (*simp add*: *isuffix-def add.assoc*)

4.2.2 iprefix

lemma *iprefix-0*:
 (*iprefix 0 x*) = $\langle$(*x 0*)$\rangle$
by (*simp add*: *iprefix-def subinterval-def*)

lemma *iprefix-nth*:
assumes $k \leq m$
shows   (*nth* (*iprefix m x*) *k*) = (*x k*)
using *assms*

by (*simp add*: *interval-nth-map iprefix-def subinterval-def upt-nth*)

lemma *iprefix-length*:
 intlen (*iprefix n x*) = *n*
by (*simp add*: *iprefix-def subinterval-def upt-length*)

4.2.3 subinterval

lemma *subinterval-length*:
 intlen (*subinterval x i j*) = *j* −*i*
by (*simp add*: *subinterval-def upt-length*)

lemma *subinterval-nth*:
assumes *i*+*k* ≤ *j*
shows   *nth* (*subinterval x i j*) *k* = *x* (*i*+*k*)
unfolding *subinterval-def*
using *assms* **by** (*simp add*: *interval-nth-map upt-nth*)

lemma *iprefix-isuffix*:
 iprefix n (*isuffix k x*) = *subinterval x k* (*n*+*k*)
proof −
 have *0*: *iprefix n* (*isuffix k x*) = *map* (λ*n*. *x* (*k* + *n*)) [*0*..≤*n*]
   by (*simp add*: *iprefix-def isuffix-def subinterval-def*)
 have *1*: [*k*..≤*n*+*k*] = (*map* (λ*i*. *i*+*k*) [*0*..≤*n*])
 using *map-add-upt* **by** *simp*
 hence *2*: *map x* [*k*..≤*n*+*k*] = *map x* (*map* (λ*i*. *i*+*k*) [*0*..≤*n*])
 by *simp*
 have *3*: *map x* (*map* (λ*i*. *i*+*k*) [*0*..≤*n*]) = *map* (*x* ∘ (λ*i*. *i*+*k*)) [*0*..≤*n*]
 by *simp*
 have *4*: (*x* ∘ (λ*i*. *i*+*k*)) = (λ*n*. *x* (*k* + *n*)) **by** (*metis add*.*commute comp-apply*)
 hence *5*: *map* (*x* ∘ (λ*i*. *i*+*k*)) [*0*..≤*n*] = *map* (λ*n*. *x* (*k* + *n*)) [*0*..≤*n*]
 by *simp*
 have *6*: *subinterval x k* (*n*+*k*) = *map x* [*k*..≤*n*+*k*]
  by (*simp add*: *subinterval-def*)
 from *0 2 3 5 6* **show** *?thesis* **by** *auto*
qed

lemma *subinterval-sub-isuffix*:
 assumes *i* < *j*
 shows   (*subinterval xs* (*i*+*k*) (*j*+*k*)) = (*subinterval* (*isuffix k xs*) *i j*)
 proof −
 have *1*: (*subinterval xs* (*i*+*k*) (*j*+*k*)) =
        iprefix (*j*−*i*) (*isuffix* (*i*+*k*) *xs*)
  by (*simp add*: *iprefix-isuffix assms less-imp-le-nat*)
 have *2*: *iprefix* (*j*−*i*) (*isuffix* (*i*+*k*) *xs*) =
        iprefix (*j*−*i*) (*isuffix* (*i*) (*isuffix k xs*))
 by (*simp add*: *isuffix-isuffix add*.*commute*)
 have *3*: *iprefix* (*j*−*i*) (*isuffix* (*i*) (*isuffix k xs*)) =
        (*subinterval* (*isuffix k xs*) *i j*)
  by (*simp add*: *iprefix-isuffix assms less-imp-le-nat*)

from *1 2 3* **show** *?thesis* **by** *auto*
qed

lemma *subinterval-sub-isuffix-iidx*:
assumes *infinite-index-sequence 0 lsk* $\wedge$ *n>0*
shows   (*subinterval* σ ((*lsk i*) +*n*) ((*lsk (Suc i*))+*n*)) =
  (*subinterval (isuffix n* σ) (*lsk i*) (*lsk (Suc i*)))
using *assms* **by** (*simp add*: *infinite-index-sequence-def subinterval-sub-isuffix*)

lemma *interval-pref-ipref-3-intlen*:
  intlen (prefix i (iprefix (i+k) xs)) = *intlen (iprefix i xs*)
by (*simp add*: *iprefix-length*)

lemma *interval-pref-ipref-3-nth*:
  (*nth (prefix i (iprefix (i+k) xs*)) *m*) = (*nth (iprefix i xs*) *m*)
proof $-$
obtain *nn* :: $'a$ *interval* $\Rightarrow$ $'a$ *interval* $\Rightarrow$ *nat* **where**
$\forall$ *i ia*. (*i* $\neq$ *ia* $\vee$ *intlen i = intlen ia* $\wedge$
  ($\forall$ *n*. $\neg$ *n* $\leq$ *intlen i* $\vee$ *nth i n = nth ia n*)) $\wedge$
      (*i = ia* $\vee$ *intlen i* $\neq$ *intlen ia* $\vee$ *nn ia i* $\leq$ *intlen i* $\wedge$ *nth i (nn ia i)* $\neq$ *nth ia (nn ia i)*))
by (*metis (no-types) interval-eq-nth-eq*)
moreover
{ **assume** *nth (iprefix i xs) (nn (prefix i (iprefix (i + k) xs*)) (*iprefix i xs*)) $\neq$
      nth (prefix i (iprefix (i + k) xs)) (*nn (prefix i (iprefix (i + k) xs*)) (*iprefix i xs*))
  have $\neg$ *nn (prefix i (iprefix (i + k) xs*)) (*iprefix i xs*) $\leq$ *intlen (iprefix i xs*) $\vee$
      nth (iprefix i xs) (nn (prefix i (iprefix (i + k) xs)) (*iprefix i xs*)) =
      nth (prefix i (iprefix (i + k) xs)) (*nn (prefix i (iprefix (i + k) xs*)) (*iprefix i xs*))
  by (*simp add*: *iprefix-length iprefix-nth*) }
ultimately show *?thesis*
by (*metis interval-pref-ipref-3-intlen*)
qed

lemma *interval-pref-ipref-3* [*simp*]:
    (*prefix i (iprefix (i+k) xs*)) = *iprefix i xs*
by (*meson interval-eq-nth-eq interval-pref-ipref-3-intlen interval-pref-ipref-3-nth*)

lemma *interval-iprefix-isuffix-swap-intlen*:
 intlen (iprefix ia (isuffix i xs)) = *intlen (suffix i (iprefix (ia+i) xs*))
by (*simp add*: *iprefix-length*)

lemma *interval-iprefix-isuffix-swap-nth*:
 assumes *m* $\leq$ *ia*
  shows   (*nth (iprefix ia (isuffix i xs*)) *m*) = (*nth (suffix i (iprefix (ia+i) xs*)) *m*)
using *assms* **by** (*simp add*: *iprefix-length iprefix-nth isuffix-def*)

lemma *interval-iprefix-isuffix-swap*:
  iprefix ia (isuffix i xs) = *suffix i (iprefix (ia+i) xs*)
 by (*simp add*: *interval-eq-nth-eq interval-iprefix-isuffix-swap-nth iprefix-length*)

4.2.4 Conc

lemma *conc-empty-zero*:
 (*conc* ⟨*s*⟩ *x*) *0* = *s*
unfolding *conc-def* **by** *auto*

lemma *conc-empty-suc*:
 (*conc* ⟨*s*⟩ *x*) (*Suc i*) = *x i*
unfolding *conc-def* **by** *auto*

lemma *conc-conc*:
 conc x (*conc y w*) = *conc* (*x* ⊖ *y*) *w* (**is** *?lhs* = *?rhs*)
proof
 fix *n*
 have *x*: *n* ≤ *intlen x* ⟶ *?lhs n* = *?rhs n*
  by (*simp add*: *conc-def interval-intapp-nth*)
 have *y*: *n* > *intlen x* ∧ *n* ≤ (*intlen x*) + (*intlen y*) ⟶ *?lhs n* = *?rhs n*
  by (*simp add*: *conc-def interval-intapp-nth*) *arith*
 have *w*: *n* > (*intlen x*) + (*intlen y*) ⟶ *?lhs n* = *?rhs n*
  by (*simp add*: *conc-def interval-intapp-nth*) *arith*
 from *x y w* **show** *?lhs n* = *?rhs n* **using** *not-less* **by** *blast*
qed

lemma *conc-iprefix-isuffix-help*:
 x a = *conc* (*iprefix n x*) (*isuffix* (*Suc n*) *x*) *a*
proof (*induct n*)
case *0*
then show *?case*
by (*simp add*: *conc-def iprefix-length iprefix-nth isuffix-nth*)
next
case (*Suc n*)
then show *?case*
 by (*simp add*: *conc-def iprefix-length iprefix-nth*)
 (*metis isuffix-nth le-SucI not-less-eq-eq ordered-cancel-comm-monoid-diff-class.add-diff-inverse*)
qed

lemma *conc-iprefix-isuffix*:
 x = *conc* (*iprefix n x*) (*isuffix* (*Suc n*) *x*)

proof
 (*rule ext*)
 show ⋀*xa*. *x xa* = *conc* (*iprefix n x*) (*isuffix* (*Suc n*) *x*) *xa*
 by (*simp add*: *conc-iprefix-isuffix-help*)
qed

4.2.5 Infinite index sequence

lemma *iidx-1*:
 l = (*conc* ⟨(*l 0*)⟩ (λ*x*. (*l* (*x+1*))))
  (**is** *?lhs* = *?rhs*)
proof

fix *n*
have *x*: *n* ≤ *intlen* ⟨(*l* 0)⟩ ⟶ *?lhs n = ?rhs n*
by (*simp add*: *conc-def interval-intapp-nth*)
have *ls*: *n* > *intlen* ⟨(*l* 0)⟩ ⟶ *?lhs n = ?rhs n*
by (*simp add*: *conc-def interval-intapp-nth*)
from *x ls* **show** *?lhs n = ?rhs n* **by** *auto*
qed

lemma *iidx-2*:
 infinite-index-sequence 0 (*conc* ⟨(*l* 0)⟩ (λ*x*. (*l* (*x*+1)))) =
  ((*l* 0) = 0 ∧ (*l* 0) < (*l* 1) ∧
  infinite-index-sequence (*l* 1) (λ*x*. (*l* (*x*+1))))
by (*auto simp add*: *infinite-index-sequence-def conc-def*)
   (*metis Suc-diff-Suc add-diff-cancel-left′ gr0I plus-1-eq-Suc zero-less-diff*)

lemma *iidx-less-plus*:
 assumes *infinite-index-sequence n ls*
 shows (*ls i*) < (*ls* (*Suc* (*i*+*k*)))
using *assms*
by (*simp add*: *infinite-index-sequence-def lift-Suc-mono-less*)

lemma *iidx-greater*:
 assumes *infinite-index-sequence n ls*
 shows *i>0* ⟶ *n* < *ls i*
using *assms*
proof (*induct i*)
case *0*
then show *?case* **by** *simp*
next
case (*Suc i*)
then show *?case* **by** (*metis iidx-less-plus infinite-index-sequence-def less-imp-Suc-add*)
qed

lemma *iidx-3*:
 assumes *infinite-index-sequence n ls*
 shows *infinite-index-sequence 0* ((*shiftm n*)∘*ls*)
using *assms*
by (*simp add*: *infinite-index-sequence-def shiftm-def*)
   (*metis One-nat-def add-Suc assms diff-less-mono iidx-less-plus*
     less-le neq0-conv plus-1-eq-Suc zero-less-diff)

lemma *iidx-4*:
  (*infinite-index-sequence* (*x*+*k*) ((*shift k*)∘*ls*))=
    infinite-index-sequence x ls
by (*simp add*: *shift-def infinite-index-sequence-def*)

lemma *iidx-5*:
 assumes (*infinite-index-sequence k* (*lsk*) ∧ *ls* = (*shiftm k*)∘*lsk*)
 shows *infinite-index-sequence 0 ls*

using *assms*
by (*simp add*: *infinite-index-sequence-def shiftm-def*)
  (*metis add-less-same-cancel1 diff-less-mono lift-Suc-mono-less-iff not-add-less1 not-le-imp-less*)

lemma *iidx-ext*:
  $((xs :: infiniteindex) = ys) = (\forall i.\ xs\ i = ys\ i)$
by *auto*

lemma *iidx-6*:
 (*infinite-index-sequence k lsk* $\wedge$ *lsk* = (*shift k*)∘*ls* $\wedge$ *infinite-index-sequence 0 ls*) =
 (*infinite-index-sequence k lsk* $\wedge$ *ls* = (*shiftm k*)∘*lsk* $\wedge$ *infinite-index-sequence 0 ls*)
proof (*simp add*: *iidx-ext infinite-index-sequence-def shift-def shiftm-def*)
  show ($lsk\ 0 = k \wedge (\forall n.\ lsk\ n < lsk\ (Suc\ n)) \wedge$
                   $(\forall i.\ lsk\ i = ls\ i + k) \wedge ls\ 0 = 0 \wedge (\forall n.\ ls\ n < ls\ (Suc\ n))) =$
      ($lsk\ 0 = k \wedge (\forall n.\ lsk\ n < lsk\ (Suc\ n)) \wedge$
                   $(\forall i.\ ls\ i = lsk\ i - k) \wedge ls\ 0 = 0 \wedge (\forall n.\ ls\ n < ls\ (Suc\ n)))$
   proof
    show $lsk\ 0 = k \wedge (\forall n.\ lsk\ n < lsk\ (Suc\ n)) \wedge$
                    $(\forall i.\ lsk\ i = ls\ i + k) \wedge ls\ 0 = 0 \wedge (\forall n.\ ls\ n < ls\ (Suc\ n)) \implies$
        $lsk\ 0 = k \wedge (\forall n.\ lsk\ n < lsk\ (Suc\ n)) \wedge$
                    $(\forall i.\ ls\ i = lsk\ i - k) \wedge ls\ 0 = 0 \wedge (\forall n.\ ls\ n < ls\ (Suc\ n))$
     by *auto*
    show $lsk\ 0 = k \wedge (\forall n.\ lsk\ n < lsk\ (Suc\ n)) \wedge$
                    $(\forall i.\ ls\ i = lsk\ i - k) \wedge ls\ 0 = 0 \wedge (\forall n.\ ls\ n < ls\ (Suc\ n)) \implies$
        $lsk\ 0 = k \wedge (\forall n.\ lsk\ n < lsk\ (Suc\ n)) \wedge$
                    $(\forall i.\ lsk\ i = ls\ i + k) \wedge ls\ 0 = 0 \wedge (\forall n.\ ls\ n < ls\ (Suc\ n))$
     by (*metis add.commute add-diff-inverse-nat add-less-same-cancel1 lift-Suc-mono-less-iff*
         not-add-less1)
   qed
qed

lemma *iidx-7*:
  (*infinite-index-sequence k lsk* $\wedge$ *ls*= (*shiftm k*) ∘ *lsk*) =
  (*infinite-index-sequence k lsk* $\wedge$ *ls*= (*shiftm k*) ∘ *lsk* $\wedge$
   infinite-index-sequence 0 ls)
using *iidx-5* **by** *blast*

lemma *iidx-8*:
  (*lsk* = (*shift k*) ∘ *ls* $\wedge$ *infinite-index-sequence 0 ls*) =
  (*lsk* = (*shift k*) ∘ *ls* $\wedge$ *infinite-index-sequence k lsk* $\wedge$
    infinite-index-sequence 0 ls)
by (*metis Interval.shift-def add-left-imp-eq diff-is-0-eq' interval-idx-shift-mono*
        le-add-diff-inverse2 mono-def iidx-4 rel-simps(*46*))

lemma *iidx-0-a*:
assumes *infinite-index-sequence 0 l*
 shows $(l\ 0) = 0 \wedge (l\ 0) < (l\ 1) \wedge$ *infinite-index-sequence* $(l\ 1)\ (\lambda x.\ l(x+1))$
using *assms* **by** (*simp add*: *infinite-index-sequence-def*) *metis*

lemma *iidx-0-b*:
assumes *x =0*

      x < (l 0)

      infinite-index-sequence (l 0) l

 shows   *infinite-index-sequence 0 (conc ⟨x⟩ l)*
using *assms diff-Suc-less infinite-index-sequence-def*
by (*simp add*: *conc-def lift-Suc-mono-less*)

lemma *iidx-0*:
 (∃ *l. infinite-index-sequence 0 l*) =
  (∃ *ls x. x =0 ∧ x< (ls 0) ∧ infinite-index-sequence (ls 0) ls*)
using *infinite-index-sequence-def lessI iidx-0-b* **by** *metis*

end

5   Old vs new definition of Chopstar

theory *AltChopstarSem*
imports *Semantics*
begin

We show that the old and new definition of chopstar are the same.

5.1   Definition

definition *chopstar-d-old* :: (*'a*::*world*) *formula* ⇒ *'a formula*
where *chopstar-d-old F ≡ λs.* (∃ (*l*::*index*). *index-sequence 0 l ∧ (nth l (intlen l)) = (intlen s) ∧*

         (∀ *i.* (*0≤i ∧ i< (intlen l)*) ⟶

           ((*sub (nth l i) (nth l (i+1)) s*) ⊨ *F*)

)

)

syntax
 -chopstar-d-old   :: *lift* ⇒ *lift*   ((*chopstarold -*) [*85*] *85*)

syntax (*ASCII*)
 -chopstar-d-old   :: *lift* ⇒ *lift*   ((*chopstarold -*) [*85*] *85*)

translations
 -chopstar-d-old   ⇌ *CONST chopstar-d-old*

5.2   Lemmas

lemma *chopstar-help-1*:
 (∃*l. l= ⟨0⟩ ∧ index-sequence 0 l ∧*

     Interval.nth l (intlen l) = (intlen σ) ∧

     (∀ *i.* (*0≤i ∧ i< (intlen l)*) ⟶

               ((*sub (nth l i) (nth l (i+1)) σ*) ⊨ *f*)

          )) ⟷ (*intlen σ =0*)

by (*simp add*: *index-sequence-def*)

lemma *chopstar-help-2*:
$(\forall\, i.\ (0<i \wedge i< 1+(intlen\ ls)) \longrightarrow$
$\qquad\qquad ((sub\ (nth\ ls\ (i-1))\ (nth\ ls\ (i))\ \sigma) \models f)$
$\qquad) =$
$(\forall\, i.\ (0{\leq}i \wedge i< (intlen\ ls)) \longrightarrow$
$\qquad\qquad ((sub\ (nth\ ls\ (i))\ (nth\ ls\ ((i)+1))\ \sigma) \models f)$
$\qquad)$
by (*metis Suc-eq-plus1 Suc-pred add-diff-cancel-right′ add-less-cancel-left*
$\qquad$ *add-nonneg-pos le-add2 le-add-same-cancel2 plus-1-eq-Suc zero-less-one*)

lemma *chop-power-chain*:
$(\exists\ (l::index).\ (intlen\ l) = (Suc\ n) \wedge index\text{-}sequence\ 0\ l \wedge (nth\ l\ (intlen\ l)) = (intlen\ \sigma) \wedge$
$\qquad\qquad (\forall\, i.\ (0{\leq}i \wedge i< (intlen\ l)) \longrightarrow$
$\qquad\qquad\quad ((sub\ (nth\ l\ i)\ (nth\ l\ (i+1))\ \sigma) \models f)$
$\qquad\qquad)$
$\qquad\quad) =$
$(\exists\ k.\ 0 {\leq}k \wedge k \leq intlen\ \sigma \wedge k > 0 \wedge$
$\qquad\quad (sub\ 0\ k\ \sigma \models f) \wedge$
$\qquad\quad (\exists\ ls.\ (intlen\ ls) = n \wedge index\text{-}sequence\ 0\ (ls) \wedge$
$\qquad\qquad\quad (nth\ (ls)\ (intlen\ (ls))) = (intlen\ (suffix\ k\ \sigma))$
$\qquad\qquad \wedge (\forall\, i.\ (0{\leq}i \wedge i< (intlen\ ls)) \longrightarrow$
$\qquad\qquad\qquad\quad ((sub\ (nth\ ls\ (i))\ (nth\ ls\ ((i)+1))\ (suffix\ k\ \sigma)) \models f)$
$\qquad\qquad\quad))$
$\qquad)$
proof $-$
have $(\exists\ (l::index).\ (intlen\ l) = (Suc\ n) \wedge index\text{-}sequence\ 0\ l \wedge$
$\qquad\qquad (nth\ l\ (intlen\ l)) = (intlen\ \sigma) \wedge$
$\qquad\qquad\quad (\forall\, i.\ (0{\leq}i \wedge i< (intlen\ l)) \longrightarrow$
$\qquad\qquad\qquad ((sub\ (nth\ l\ i)\ (nth\ l\ (i+1))\ \sigma) \models f)$
$\qquad\qquad\quad)$
$\qquad\qquad)$
$\quad =$
$\quad (\exists\ x\ ls\ l.\ (intlen\ l) = (Suc\ n) \wedge l{=}x{\odot}ls \wedge index\text{-}sequence\ 0\ l \wedge$
$\qquad\qquad (nth\ l\ (intlen\ l)) = (intlen\ \sigma) \wedge$
$\qquad\qquad\quad (\forall\, i.\ (0{\leq}i \wedge i< (intlen\ l)) \longrightarrow$
$\qquad\qquad\qquad ((sub\ (nth\ l\ i)\ (nth\ l\ (i+1))\ \sigma) \models f)$
$\qquad\qquad\quad)$
$\qquad\qquad)$
by (*metis interval-intlen-cons-1 zero-less-Suc*)
also have $\ ... =$
$\qquad (\exists\ x\ ls\ l.\ (intlen\ l) = (Suc\ n) \wedge l{=}x{\odot}ls \wedge index\text{-}sequence\ 0\ (x{\odot}ls) \wedge$
$\qquad\qquad (nth\ (x{\odot}ls)\ (intlen\ (x{\odot}ls))) = (intlen\ \sigma) \wedge$
$\qquad\qquad\quad (\forall\, i.\ (0{\leq}i \wedge i< (intlen\ (x{\odot}ls))) \longrightarrow$
$\qquad\qquad\qquad ((sub\ (nth\ (x{\odot}ls)\ i)\ (nth\ (x{\odot}ls)\ (i+1))\ \sigma) \models f)$
$\qquad\qquad\quad)$
$\qquad\qquad)$
by *auto*
also have $\ ... =$

$(\exists\ x\ ls\ .\ (intlen\ ls) = n \wedge\ index\text{-}sequence\ 0\ (x{\odot}ls) \wedge$
    $(nth\ (x{\odot}ls)\ (intlen\ (x{\odot}ls))) = (intlen\ \sigma) \wedge$
        $(\forall\ i.\ (0{\leq}i \wedge\ i{<}\ (intlen\ (x{\odot}ls))) \longrightarrow$
            $((sub\ (nth\ (x{\odot}ls)\ i)\ (nth\ (x{\odot}ls)\ (i{+}1))\ \sigma) \models f)$
        $)$
    $)$

by *auto*
also have $...\ =$
    $(\exists\ x\ ls\ .\ (intlen\ ls) = n \wedge\ x = 0 \wedge\ index\text{-}sequence\ 0\ (x\ \odot\ ls) \wedge$
        $(nth\ (ls)\ (intlen\ (ls))) = (intlen\ \sigma) \wedge$
            $((\forall\ i.\ (0{\leq}i \wedge\ i{<}\ (intlen\ (x{\odot}ls))) \longrightarrow$
                $((sub\ (nth\ (x{\odot}ls)\ i)\ (nth\ (x{\odot}ls)\ (i{+}1))\ \sigma) \models f))$
            $)$
    $)$

by (*simp add*: *index-sequence-def*)
also have $...\ =$
    $(\exists\ x\ ls\ .\ (intlen\ ls) = n \wedge\ x = 0 \wedge\ index\text{-}sequence\ (nth\ ls\ 0)\ (ls) \wedge$
        $(nth\ (ls)\ (intlen\ (ls))) = (intlen\ \sigma) \wedge$
        $(x < (nth\ ls\ 0) \wedge$
        $((\forall\ i.\ (0{\leq}i \wedge\ i{<}\ (intlen\ (x{\odot}ls))) \longrightarrow$
            $((sub\ (nth\ (x{\odot}ls)\ i)\ (nth\ (x{\odot}ls)\ (i{+}1))\ \sigma) \models f))$
        $)$
    $)$
    $)$

using *interval-idx-cons* **by** *auto*
also have $...\ =$
    $(\exists\ x\ ls\ .\ (intlen\ ls) = n \wedge\ x = 0 \wedge\ index\text{-}sequence\ (nth\ ls\ 0)\ (ls) \wedge$
        $(nth\ (ls)\ (intlen\ (ls))) = (intlen\ \sigma) \wedge$
        $(x < (nth\ ls\ 0) \wedge$
        $((sub\ (nth\ (x{\odot}ls)\ 0)\ (nth\ (x{\odot}ls)\ (1))\ \sigma) \models f)$
        $\wedge$
        $((\forall\ i.\ (0{<}i \wedge\ i{<}\ 1{+}\ (intlen\ (ls))) \longrightarrow$
            $((sub\ (nth\ (x{\odot}ls)\ i)\ (nth\ (x{\odot}ls)\ (i{+}1))\ \sigma) \models f))$
        $)$
    $)$
    $)$

by (*metis* (*no-types*, *lifting*) *One-nat-def add.right-neutral add-Suc add-Suc-right*
        add-cancel-right-left interval-intlen-cons not-gr-zero zero-le zero-less-Suc)
also have $...\ =$
    $(\exists\ x\ ls\ .\ (intlen\ ls) = n \wedge\ x = 0 \wedge\ index\text{-}sequence\ (nth\ ls\ 0)\ (ls) \wedge$
        $(nth\ (ls)\ (intlen\ (ls))) = (intlen\ \sigma) \wedge$
        $(x < (nth\ ls\ 0) \wedge\ (nth\ (x{\odot}ls)\ 0) = x \wedge\ (nth\ (x{\odot}ls)\ (1)) = (nth\ ls\ 0) \wedge$
        $((sub\ (nth\ (x{\odot}ls)\ 0)\ (nth\ (x{\odot}ls)\ (1))\ \sigma) \models f)$
        $\wedge$
        $((\forall\ i.\ (0{<}i \wedge\ i{<}\ 1{+}\ (intlen\ (ls))) \longrightarrow$
            $((sub\ (nth\ (x{\odot}ls)\ i)\ (nth\ (x{\odot}ls)\ (i{+}1))\ \sigma) \models f))$
        $)$
    $)$
    $)$

by *auto*

also have ... =
$$(\exists\ x\ ls\ .\ (intlen\ ls) = n \land x = 0 \land index\text{-}sequence\ (nth\ ls\ 0)\ (ls) \land$$
$$(nth\ (ls)\ (intlen\ (ls))) = (intlen\ \sigma) \land$$
$$(x < (nth\ ls\ 0) \land (nth\ (x \odot ls)\ 0) = x \land (nth\ (x \odot ls)\ (1)) = (nth\ ls\ 0) \land$$
$$((sub\ x\ (nth\ ls\ 0)\ \sigma) \models f)$$
$$\land$$
$$((\forall i.\ (0 < i \land i < 1 + (intlen\ (ls))) \longrightarrow$$
$$((sub\ (nth\ (x \odot ls)\ i)\ (nth\ (x \odot ls)\ (i+1))\ \sigma) \models f))$$
$$)$$
$$)$$
$$)$$
by *auto*

also have ... =
$$(\exists\ x\ ls\ .\ (intlen\ ls) = n \land x = 0 \land index\text{-}sequence\ (nth\ ls\ 0)\ (ls) \land$$
$$(nth\ (ls)\ (intlen\ (ls))) = (intlen\ \sigma) \land$$
$$(x < (nth\ ls\ 0) \land$$
$$((sub\ x\ (nth\ ls\ 0)\ \sigma) \models f)$$
$$\land$$
$$((\forall i.\ (0 < i \land i < 1 + (intlen\ (ls))) \longrightarrow$$
$$((sub\ (nth\ (x \odot ls)\ i)\ (nth\ (x \odot ls)\ (i+1))\ \sigma) \models f))$$
$$)$$
$$)$$
$$)$$
by *auto*

also have ... =
$$(\exists\ x\ ls\ .\ (intlen\ ls) = n \land x = 0 \land index\text{-}sequence\ (nth\ ls\ 0)\ (ls) \land$$
$$(nth\ (ls)\ (intlen\ (ls))) = (intlen\ \sigma) \land$$
$$(\ x < (nth\ ls\ 0) \land$$
$$((sub\ x\ (nth\ ls\ 0)\ \sigma) \models f)$$
$$\land$$
$$(\forall i.\ (0 < i \land i < 1 + (intlen\ ls)) \longrightarrow$$
$$((sub\ (nth\ ls\ (i-1))\ (nth\ ls\ (i))\ \sigma) \models f)$$
$$)))$$
using *interval-nth-cons* **by** (*metis* (*no-types, lifting*))

also have ... =
$$(\exists\ x\ ls\ .\ (intlen\ ls) = n \land x = 0 \land index\text{-}sequence\ (nth\ ls\ 0)\ (ls) \land$$
$$(nth\ (ls)\ (intlen\ (ls))) = (intlen\ \sigma) \land$$
$$(x < (nth\ ls\ 0) \land$$
$$((sub\ x\ (nth\ ls\ 0)\ \sigma) \models f))$$
$$\land (\forall i.\ (0 \le i \land i < (intlen\ ls)) \longrightarrow$$
$$((sub\ (nth\ ls\ (i))\ (nth\ ls\ ((i)+1))\ \sigma) \models f)$$
$$)$$
$$)$$
using *chopstar-help-2* **by** (*metis* (*mono-tags*))

also have ... =
$$(\exists\ ls\ .\ (intlen\ ls) = n \land index\text{-}sequence\ (nth\ ls\ 0)\ (ls) \land$$
$$(nth\ (ls)\ (intlen\ (ls))) = (intlen\ \sigma) \land$$
$$(0 < (nth\ ls\ 0) \land$$
$$((sub\ 0\ (nth\ ls\ 0)\ \sigma) \models f))$$
$$\land (\forall i.\ (0 \le i \land i < (intlen\ ls)) \longrightarrow$$

$$((sub\ (nth\ ls\ (i))\ (nth\ ls\ ((i)+1))\ \sigma) \models f)$$
$$)$$
$$)$$
by *simp*
also have ... =
$$(\exists\ lsk\ .\ (intlen\ lsk) = n \wedge (nth\ lsk\ 0) \leq intlen\ \sigma \wedge (nth\ lsk\ 0) > 0 \wedge$$
$$((sub\ 0\ (nth\ lsk\ 0)\ \sigma) \models f) \wedge$$
$$index\text{-}sequence\ (nth\ lsk\ 0)\ (lsk) \wedge$$
$$(nth\ (lsk)\ (intlen\ (lsk))) = (intlen\ \sigma) \wedge$$
$$(\forall\ i.\ (0 \leq i \wedge i < (intlen\ lsk)) \longrightarrow$$
$$((sub\ (nth\ lsk\ (i))\ (nth\ lsk\ ((i)+1))\ \sigma) \models f)$$
$$)$$
$$)$$
by (*metis Suc-eq-plus1 Suc-pred add.left-neutral eq-iff interval-idx-less-last*
        interval-intlen-gr-zero le-neq-implies-less lessI less-imp-le-nat)
also have ... =
$$(\exists\ k\ lsk.\ (intlen\ lsk) = n \wedge (nth\ lsk\ 0) \leq intlen\ \sigma \wedge$$
$$(nth\ lsk\ 0) > 0 \wedge k=(nth\ lsk\ 0) \wedge$$
$$(sub\ 0\ (nth\ lsk\ 0)\ \sigma \models f) \wedge$$
$$index\text{-}sequence\ (nth\ lsk\ 0)\ (lsk) \wedge$$
$$(nth\ (lsk)\ (intlen\ (lsk))) = (intlen\ (\sigma)) \wedge$$
$$(\forall\ i.\ (0 \leq i \wedge i < (intlen\ lsk)) \longrightarrow$$
$$((sub\ ((nth\ lsk\ (i)))\ ((nth\ lsk\ ((i)+1)))\ (\sigma)) \models f)$$
$$)$$
$$)$$
by *auto*
also have ... =
$$(\exists\ k\ lsk.\ (intlen\ lsk) = n \wedge 0 \leq k \wedge k \leq intlen\ \sigma \wedge k > 0 \wedge k=(nth\ lsk\ 0) \wedge$$
$$(sub\ 0\ k\ \sigma \models f) \wedge$$
$$(index\text{-}sequence\ k\ (lsk) \wedge$$
$$(nth\ (lsk)\ (intlen\ (lsk))) = ((intlen\ (suffix\ k\ \sigma))+k) \wedge$$
$$(\forall\ i.\ (0 \leq i \wedge i < (intlen\ lsk)) \longrightarrow$$
$$((sub\ ((nth\ lsk\ (i)))\ ((nth\ lsk\ ((i)+1)))\ (\sigma)) \models f)$$
$$))$$
$$)$$
by *auto*
also have ... =
$$(\exists\ k\ lsk.\ (intlen\ lsk) = n \wedge 0 \leq k \wedge k \leq intlen\ \sigma \wedge k > 0 \wedge$$
$$(sub\ 0\ k\ \sigma \models f) \wedge$$
$$(index\text{-}sequence\ k\ (lsk) \wedge$$
$$(nth\ (lsk)\ (intlen\ (lsk))) = ((intlen\ (suffix\ k\ \sigma))+k) \wedge$$
$$(\forall\ i.\ (0 \leq i \wedge i < (intlen\ lsk)) \longrightarrow$$
$$((sub\ ((nth\ lsk\ (i)))\ ((nth\ lsk\ ((i)+1)))\ (\sigma)) \models f)$$
$$))$$
$$)$$
using *index-sequence-def* **by** *auto*
also have ... =
$$(\exists\ k.\ 0 \leq k \wedge k \leq intlen\ \sigma \wedge k > 0 \wedge$$
$$(sub\ 0\ k\ \sigma \models f) \wedge$$
$$(\exists\ ls\ lsk.\ (intlen\ lsk) = n \wedge index\text{-}sequence\ k\ (lsk) \wedge$$

$ls = map\ (shiftm\ k)\ lsk\ \wedge$
 $(nth\ (lsk)\ (intlen\ (lsk))) = ((intlen\ (suffix\ k\ \sigma))+k)\ \wedge$
 $(\forall\ i.\ (0{\le}i \wedge i< (intlen\ lsk)) \longrightarrow$
  $((sub\ ((nth\ lsk\ (i)))\ ((nth\ lsk\ ((i)+1)))\ (\sigma)) \models f)$
 $))$
$)$

by *blast*
also have $...\ =$
 $(\exists\ k.\quad 0{\le}k \wedge k \le intlen\ \sigma \wedge k > 0\ \wedge$
  $(sub\ 0\ k\ \sigma \models f)\ \wedge$
  $(\exists\ ls\ lsk.\ (intlen\ lsk) = n \wedge index\text{-}sequence\ k\ (lsk)\ \wedge$
   $ls = map\ (shiftm\ k)\ lsk\ \wedge$
   $index\text{-}sequence\ 0\ (ls) \wedge (intlen\ ls) = n\ \wedge$
   $(nth\ (lsk)\ (intlen\ (lsk))) = ((intlen\ (suffix\ k\ \sigma))+k)\ \wedge$
  $(\forall\ i.\ (0{\le}i \wedge i< (intlen\ lsk)) \longrightarrow$
   $((sub\ ((nth\ lsk\ (i)))\ ((nth\ lsk\ ((i)+1)))\ (\sigma)) \models f)$
  $))$
 $)$
using *interval-idx-link-shiftm* **by** *blast*
also have $...\ =$
 $(\exists\ k.\quad 0{\le}k \wedge k \le intlen\ \sigma \wedge k > 0\ \wedge$
  $(sub\ 0\ k\ \sigma \models f)\ \wedge$
  $(\exists\ ls\ lsk.\ (intlen\ lsk) = n\ \wedge index\text{-}sequence\ k\ (lsk)\ \wedge$
   $lsk = map\ (shift\ k)\ ls\ \wedge$
   $index\text{-}sequence\ 0\ (ls) \wedge (intlen\ ls) = n\ \wedge$
   $(nth\ (lsk)\ (intlen\ (lsk))) = ((intlen\ (suffix\ k\ \sigma))+k)\ \wedge$
  $(\forall\ i.\ (0{\le}i \wedge i< (intlen\ lsk)) \longrightarrow$
   $((sub\ ((nth\ lsk\ (i)))\ ((nth\ lsk\ ((i)+1)))\ (\sigma)) \models f)$
  $))$
 $)$
using *interval-lsk-ls* **by** *blast*
also have $...\ =$
 $(\exists\ k\ ls\ lsk\ .\quad 0{\le}k \wedge k \le intlen\ \sigma \wedge k > 0\ \wedge$
  $(sub\ 0\ k\ \sigma \models f)\ \wedge$
  $(\ (intlen\ lsk) = n\ \wedge lsk = map\ (shift\ k)\ ls\ \wedge$
   $index\text{-}sequence\ 0\ (ls)\ \wedge$
   $index\text{-}sequence\ k\ (lsk)\ \wedge$
   $(nth\ (ls)\ (intlen\ (ls))) = (intlen\ (suffix\ k\ \sigma))\ \wedge$
  $(\forall\ i.\ (0{\le}i \wedge i< (intlen\ ls)) \longrightarrow$
   $((sub\ ((nth\ ls\ (i))+k)\ ((nth\ ls\ ((i)+1))+k)\ (\sigma)) \models f)$
  $))$
 $)$
by $(simp\ add:\ Interval.shift\text{-}def\ interval\text{-}nth\text{-}map,\ blast)$
also have $...\ =$
 $(\exists\ k\ ls\ lsk.\quad 0{\le}k \wedge k \le intlen\ \sigma \wedge k > 0\ \wedge$
  $(sub\ 0\ k\ \sigma \models f)\ \wedge$
  $(\ (intlen\ lsk) = n\ \wedge lsk = map\ (shift\ k)\ ls\ \wedge$
   $(intlen\ ls) = n\ \wedge index\text{-}sequence\ 0\ (ls)\ \wedge$
   $(nth\ (ls)\ (intlen\ (ls))) = (intlen\ (suffix\ k\ \sigma))\ \wedge$
  $(\forall\ i.\ (0{\le}i \wedge i< (intlen\ ls)) \longrightarrow$

$$((sub ((nth\ ls\ (i))+k)\ ((nth\ ls\ ((i)+1))+k)\ (\sigma)) \models f)$$
))
)
using *interval-idx-link* **by** *blast*
also have ... =
        ($\exists$ k. $0 \leq k \wedge k \leq$ intlen $\sigma \wedge k > 0 \wedge$
          (sub 0 k $\sigma \models f$) $\wedge$
          ($\exists$ ls. (intlen ls) = n $\wedge$ index-sequence 0 (ls) $\wedge$
              (nth (ls) (intlen (ls))) = (intlen (suffix k σ)) $\wedge$
              ($\forall$ i. ($0 \leq i \wedge i <$ (intlen ls)) $\longrightarrow$
                    ((sub ((nth ls (i))+k) ((nth ls ((i)+1))+k) (σ)) $\models$ f)
              ))
)
by (*simp*)
also have ... =
        ($\exists$ k . $0 \leq k \wedge k \leq$ intlen $\sigma \wedge k > 0 \wedge$
          (sub 0 k $\sigma \models f$) $\wedge$
          ($\exists$ ls. (intlen ls) = n $\wedge$ index-sequence 0 (ls) $\wedge$
              (nth (ls) (intlen (ls))) = (intlen (suffix k σ)) $\wedge$
              ($\forall$ i $\leq$ intlen ls. Interval.nth ls i $\leq$ intlen (suffix k σ)) $\wedge$
              ($\forall$ i. ($0 \leq i \wedge i <$ (intlen ls)) $\longrightarrow$
                    ((sub ((nth ls (i))+k) ((nth ls ((i)+1))+k) (σ)) $\models$ f)
)
)
)
using *interval-idx-bound-1* **by** *blast*
also have ... =
        ($\exists$ k. $0 \leq k \wedge k \leq$ intlen $\sigma \wedge k > 0 \wedge$
          (sub 0 k $\sigma \models f$) $\wedge$
          ($\exists$ ls. (intlen ls) = n $\wedge$ index-sequence 0 (ls) $\wedge$
              (nth (ls) (intlen (ls))) = (intlen (suffix k σ)) $\wedge$
              ($\forall$ i $\leq$ intlen ls. Interval.nth ls i $\leq$ intlen (suffix k σ))
            $\wedge$ ($\forall$ i. ($0 \leq i \wedge i <$ (intlen ls)) $\longrightarrow$
                    ((sub (nth ls (i)) (nth ls ((i)+1)) (suffix k σ)) $\models$ f)
)
)
)
by (*simp add*: *Interval.sub-def*)
also have ... =
        ($\exists$ k. $0 \leq k \wedge k \leq$ intlen $\sigma \wedge k > 0 \wedge$
          (sub 0 k $\sigma \models f$) $\wedge$
          ($\exists$ ls. (intlen ls) = n $\wedge$ index-sequence 0 (ls) $\wedge$
              (nth (ls) (intlen (ls))) = (intlen (suffix k σ))
            $\wedge$ ($\forall$ i. ($0 \leq i \wedge i <$ (intlen ls)) $\longrightarrow$
                    ((sub (nth ls (i)) (nth ls ((i)+1)) (suffix k σ)) $\models$ f)
              ))
)
using *interval-idx-bound-1* **by** *blast*
finally show ($\exists$ (l::index). (intlen l) = (Suc n) $\wedge$ index-sequence 0 l $\wedge$
              (nth l (intlen l)) = (intlen σ) $\wedge$

$$(\forall\, i.\ (0{\le}i \wedge i{<}\ (intlen\ l)) \longrightarrow$$
$$((sub\ (nth\ l\ i)\ (nth\ l\ (i{+}1))\ \sigma) \models f)$$
$$)$$
$$) =$$
$$(\exists\ k.\ 0 {\le} k \wedge k \le intlen\ \sigma \wedge k > 0 \wedge (sub\ 0\ k\ \sigma \models f) \wedge$$
$$(\exists\ ls.\ (intlen\ ls) = n \wedge index\text{-}sequence\ 0\ (ls) \wedge$$
$$(nth\ (ls)\ (intlen\ (ls))) = (intlen\ (suffix\ k\ \sigma)) \wedge$$
$$(\forall\, i.\ (0{\le}i \wedge i{<}\ (intlen\ ls)) \longrightarrow$$
$$((sub\ (nth\ ls\ (i))\ (nth\ ls\ ((i){+}1))\ (suffix\ k\ \sigma)) \models f)$$
$$)$$
$$)$$
$$)$$
$$.$$

qed

lemma *chop-power-eqv-sem*:
 ($(\sigma \models (\exists\ n.\ (power\ (f \wedge more)\ n)))) =$
 $((\sigma \models empty) \vee (\ (\sigma \models (f \wedge more));(\exists\ n.\ (power\ (f \wedge more)\ n)))))))$
using *ChopstarEqvSem powerstar-d-def chopstar-d-def*
by (*metis* (*mono-tags*, *lifting*) *unl-lift2*)

lemma *chopstar-eqv-power-chop-help*:
 ($\sigma \models power\ (f \wedge more)\ n) =$
 $(\exists\ (l::index).\ intlen(l) = n \wedge\ index\text{-}sequence\ 0\ l\ \wedge$
 $(nth\ l\ (intlen\ l)) = (intlen\ (\ \sigma)) \wedge$
 $(\forall\, i.\ (0{\le}i \wedge i{<}\ (intlen\ l)) \longrightarrow$
 $((sub\ (nth\ l\ i)\ (nth\ l\ (i{+}1))\ (\sigma)) \models f)$
 $)$
 $)$
proof
 (*induct n arbitrary*: σ)
 case *0*
 then show *?case* **using** *index-sequence-def chopstar-help-1 empty-defs*
 by (*metis* (*mono-tags*, *lifting*) *intlen.simps(1) pow-0*)
 next
 case (*Suc n*)
 then show *?case*
  proof $-$
   have *1*: $(\sigma \models power\ (f \wedge more)\ (Suc\ n)) = (\sigma \models ((f \wedge more);(power\ (f \wedge more)\ n)))$
   by *simp*
   have *2*: $(\sigma \models ((f \wedge more);(power\ (f \wedge more)\ n))) =$
       $(\exists\ k.\ 0 {\le} k \wedge k \le intlen\ (\sigma) \wedge k > 0 \wedge$
        $(prefix\ k\ (\sigma) \models f) \wedge$
        $(suffix\ k\ (\sigma) \models power\ (f \wedge more)\ n)$
       $)$

   by (*simp add*: *more-defs chop-defs*) *auto*
   have *3*: $(\exists\ k.\ 0 {\le} k \wedge k \le intlen\ (\sigma) \wedge k > 0 \wedge$
        $(prefix\ k\ (\sigma) \models f) \wedge$
        $(suffix\ k\ (\sigma) \models power\ (f \wedge more)\ n)$

```
      ) =
      (∃ k. 0 ≤k ∧ k ≤ intlen (σ) ∧ k > 0 ∧
         (sub 0 k (σ) ⊨ f ) ∧
         (suffix k (σ) ⊨ power (f ∧ more) n)
      )
  by (simp add: interval-sub-zero-prefix)
  have 31: ⋀ k. ((suffix k σ) ⊨ power (f ∧ more) n) =
         (∃ (l::index). intlen(l) = n ∧  index-sequence 0 l  ∧
            (nth l (intlen l)) = (intlen (suffix k σ)) ∧
            (∀ i. (0≤i ∧ i< (intlen l)) ⟶
               ((sub (nth l i) (nth l (i+1)) (suffix k σ)) ⊨ f )
            )
         )
  by (simp add: Suc.hyps)
  have 4: (∃ k. 0 ≤k ∧ k ≤ intlen (σ) ∧ k > 0 ∧
            (sub 0 k (σ) ⊨ f ) ∧
            (suffix k (σ) ⊨ power (f ∧ more) n)
         ) =
         (∃ k. 0 ≤k ∧ k ≤ intlen (σ) ∧ k > 0 ∧
            (sub 0 k (σ) ⊨ f ) ∧
            (∃ (l::index). intlen(l) = n ∧  index-sequence 0 l  ∧
               (nth l (intlen l)) = (intlen (suffix k σ)) ∧
               (∀ i. (0≤i ∧ i< (intlen l)) ⟶
                  ((sub (nth l i) (nth l (i+1)) (suffix k σ)) ⊨ f )
               )
            )
         )
  using 31 by simp
  have 5:
         (∃ (l::index). (intlen l) = (Suc n) ∧ index-sequence 0 l ∧
            (nth l (intlen l)) = (intlen σ) ∧
            (∀ i. (0≤i ∧ i< (intlen l)) ⟶
               ((sub (nth l i) (nth l (i+1)) σ) ⊨ f )
            )
         ) =
         (∃ k. 0 ≤k ∧ k ≤ intlen σ ∧ k > 0 ∧
            (sub 0 k σ ⊨ f ) ∧
            (∃ ls. (intlen ls) = n ∧index-sequence 0 (ls) ∧
               (nth (ls) (intlen (ls))) = (intlen (suffix k σ)) ∧
               (∀ i. (0≤i ∧ i< (intlen ls)) ⟶
                  ((sub (nth ls (i)) (nth ls ((i)+1)) (suffix k σ)) ⊨ f )
               )
            )
         )
  using chop-power-chain by simp
  from 1 2 3 4 5 show ?thesis by blast
 qed
qed


lemma chopstar-eqv-power-chop:
```

$(\sigma \models \text{chopstarold } f) = (\ (\sigma \models (\exists\ k.\ \text{power } (f \wedge \text{more}) k)))$
by (*simp add*: *chopstar-d-old-def chopstar-eqv-power-chop-help*)

lemma *OldChopstarEqvSem*:
 $(\sigma \models (\text{chopstarold } f = (\text{empty} \vee (f \wedge \text{more}); (\text{chopstarold } f))))\ )$
proof −
 have *1*: $(\sigma \models \text{chopstarold } f) = (\ (\sigma \models (\exists\ k.\ \text{power } (f \wedge \text{more}) k)))$
 using *chopstar-eqv-power-chop* **by** *simp*
 have *2*: $(\ (\sigma \models (\exists\ k.\ \text{power } (f \wedge \text{more}) k))) =$
       $(\ (\sigma \models \text{empty}) \vee (\sigma \models (f \wedge \text{more}); (\exists\, n.\ \text{power } (f \wedge \text{more}) n)))$
 using *chop-power-eqv-sem* **by** *simp*
 have *3*: $(\sigma \models (f \wedge \text{more}); (\exists\, n.\ \text{power } (f \wedge \text{more}) n)) =$
       $(\ \exists\, n{\le}\text{intlen } \sigma.\ ((\text{prefix } n\ \sigma) \models f \wedge \text{more}) \wedge$
                    $((\text{suffix } n\ \sigma) \models (\exists\, x.\ (\text{power } (f \wedge \text{more}) x)\ )))$
  by (*simp add*: *chop-defs*)
 have *4*: $(\ \exists\, n{\le}\text{intlen } \sigma.\ ((\text{prefix } n\ \sigma) \models f \wedge \text{more}) \wedge$
                    $((\text{suffix } n\ \sigma) \models (\exists\, x.\ (\text{power } (f \wedge \text{more}) x)\ ))) =$
       $(\ \exists\, n{\le}\text{intlen } \sigma.\ ((\text{prefix } n\ \sigma) \models f \wedge \text{more}) \wedge ((\text{suffix } n\ \sigma) \models \text{chopstarold } f\ ))$
  by (*simp add*: *chopstar-eqv-power-chop*)
 have *5*: $(\ \exists\, n{\le}\text{intlen } \sigma.\ ((\text{prefix } n\ \sigma) \models f \wedge \text{more}) \wedge ((\text{suffix } n\ \sigma) \models \text{chopstarold } f\ )) =$
       $(\sigma \models (f \wedge \text{more}); (\text{chopstarold } f)\ )$
    by (*simp add*: *chop-defs*)
 have *6*: $(\ (\sigma \models \text{empty}) \vee (\sigma \models (f \wedge \text{more}); (\exists\, n.\ \text{power } (f \wedge \text{more}) n))) =$
       $(\ \sigma \models (\text{empty} \vee (f \wedge \text{more}); (\text{chopstarold } f)))$
   using *3 4 5* **by** *auto*
 show *?thesis* **using** *1 2 6* **by** *auto*
qed

lemma *OldChopstarEqvChopstar*:
 $\vdash (\text{chopstarold } f) = f^\star$
by (*simp add*: *Valid-def chopstar-d-def chopstar-eqv-power-chop powerstar-d-def*)

end

6 Finite ITL: Axioms and Rules

theory *ITL*
imports
  Semantics
begin

The Finite ITL axiom and proof rules are introduced (taken from [5]). The soundness of the rules and axioms are checked using the lemmas of Semantics.thy.

6.1 Rules

lemma *MP* :
 assumes $\vdash f \longrightarrow g$

$\vdash f$

shows $\vdash g$

using *assms*(1) *assms*(2) **by** *fastforce*

lemma *BoxGen* :
 assumes $\vdash f$
 shows $\vdash \square f$
using *assms* **by** (*auto simp*: *always-defs*)

lemma *BiGen*:
 assumes $\vdash f$
 shows $\vdash bi\ f$
using *assms* **by** (*auto simp*: *bi-defs*)

6.2   Axioms

lemma *ChopAssoc* :
  $\vdash f\ ;\ (g\ ;\ h) = (f;g);h$
using *ChopAssocSem Valid-def* **by** *blast*

lemma *OrChopImp* :
  $\vdash (f \vee g);h \longrightarrow f;h \vee g;h$
using *OrChopImpSem Valid-def* **by** *blast*

lemma *ChopOrImp* :
  $\vdash f;(g \vee h) \longrightarrow f;g \vee f;h$
using *ChopOrImpSem Valid-def* **by** *blast*

lemma *EmptyChop* :
  $\vdash empty\ ;\ f = f$
using *EmptyChopSem Valid-def* **by** *blast*

lemma *ChopEmpty* :
  $\vdash f;empty = f$
using *ChopEmptySem Valid-def* **by** *blast*

lemma *StateImpBi* :
  $\vdash init\ f \longrightarrow bi\ (init\ f)$
using *StateImpBiSem Valid-def* **by** *blast*

lemma *NextImpNotNextNot* :
  $\vdash \bigcirc f \longrightarrow \neg (\bigcirc (\neg f))$
using *NextImpNotNextNotSem Valid-def* **by** *blast*

lemma *BiBoxChopImpChop* :
  $\vdash bi\ (f \longrightarrow f1) \wedge \square(g \longrightarrow g1) \longrightarrow f;g \longrightarrow f1;g1$
using *BiBoxChopImpChopSem Valid-def* **by** *blast*

lemma *BoxInduct* :
  $\vdash \square\ (f \longrightarrow wnext\ f) \wedge f \longrightarrow \square\ f$

using *BoxInductSem Valid-def* **by** *blast*

lemma *ChopstarEqv* :
  $\vdash\ f^{\star} = (empty \lor (f \land more); f^{\star})$
using *ChopstarEqvSem Valid-def* **by** *blast*

6.3   Additional Lemmas

The following is again from [3, 2] but adapted for our need.

lemma *int-eq-true*:
 assumes $\vdash P$
 shows $\vdash P = \#True$
  using *assms* **by** *auto*

lemma *int-eq*:
 assumes $\vdash X = Y$
 shows $X = Y$
  using *assms* **by** (*auto simp*: *inteq-reflection*)

lemma *int-iffI*:
  assumes $\vdash F \longrightarrow G$
      $\vdash G \longrightarrow F$
  shows $\vdash F = G$
  using *assms* **by** *force*

lemma *int-iffD1*:
  assumes h: $\vdash F = G$
  shows $\vdash F \longrightarrow G$
  using h **by** *auto*

lemma *int-iffD2*:
  assumes h: $\vdash F = G$
  shows $\vdash G \longrightarrow F$
  using h **by** *auto*

lemma *lift-imp-trans*:
  assumes $\vdash A \longrightarrow B$
      $\vdash B \longrightarrow C$
  shows $\vdash A \longrightarrow C$
  using *assms* **by** *force*

lemma *lift-imp-neg*:
  assumes $\vdash A \longrightarrow B$
  shows $\vdash \neg B \longrightarrow \neg A$
  using *assms* **by** *auto*

lemma *lift-and-com*: $\vdash (A \land B) = (B \land A)$
  by *auto*

6.4 Quantification

lemma *EExI* :
$\vdash F\ y \longrightarrow (\exists\exists\ x\ .\ F\ x)$
by (*auto simp add*: *exist-state-d-def Valid-def*)

lemma *EExE*:
 assumes $\bigwedge x. \vdash F\ x \longrightarrow G$
 shows　$\vdash (\exists\exists\ x.\ F\ x) \longrightarrow G$
using *assms* **by** (*metis* (*mono-tags*, *lifting*) *Valid-def exist-state-d-def unl-lift2*)

lemma *EExVal*:
 $(w \models (\exists\exists\ x.\ F\ x)) =$
　$(\exists\ x\ (val :: {}'a\ interval).\ (\ (\ val = (map\ x\ w) \wedge\ (w \models F\ x))))$
by (*simp add*: *exist-state-d-def*)

lemma *AAxDef*:
$\vdash (\forall\forall\ x.\ F\ x) = (\neg(\exists\exists\ x.\ \neg(F\ x)))$
by (*simp add*: *Valid-def forall-state-d-def exist-state-d-def*)

lemma *ExEqvRule*:
assumes $\bigwedge\ x. \vdash (f\ x) = (g\ x)$
　shows $\vdash (\exists\ x.\ f\ x) = (\exists\ x.\ g\ x)$
using *assms* **by** *fastforce*

6.5 Lemmas about *current-val*

lemma *current-const*: $\vdash \$(\#c) = \#c$
　by (*auto simp*: *current-val-d-def*)

lemma *current-fun1*: $\vdash \$(f<x>) = f\ <\$x>$
　by (*auto simp*: *current-val-d-def*)

lemma *current-fun2*: $\vdash \$(f<x,y>) = f\ <\$x,\$y>$
　by (*auto simp*: *current-val-d-def*)

lemma *current-fun3*: $\vdash \$(f<x,y,z>) = f\ <\$x,\$y,\$z>$
　by (*auto simp*: *current-val-d-def*)

lemma *current-forall*: $\vdash \$(\forall\ x.\ P\ x) = (\forall\ x.\ \$(P\ x))$
　by (*auto simp*: *current-val-d-def*)

lemma *current-exists*: $\vdash \$(\exists\ x.\ P\ x) = (\exists\ x.\ \$(P\ x))$
　by (*auto simp*: *current-val-d-def*)

lemma *current-exists1*: $\vdash \$(\exists!\ x.\ P\ x) = (\exists!\ x.\ \$(P\ x))$
　by (*auto simp*: *current-val-d-def*)

lemmas *all-current* $=$ *current-const current-fun1 current-fun2 current-fun3*
　current-forall current-exists current-exists1

lemmas *all-current-unl* = *all-current*[*THEN intD*]
lemmas *all-current-eq* = *all-current*[*THEN inteq-reflection*]

6.6   Lemmas about *next-val*

lemma *next-const*: ⊢ *more* ⟶ (#c)$ = #c
  by (*auto simp*: *next-val-d-def more-defs*)

lemma *next-fun1*: ⊢ *more* ⟶ f<x>$ = f<x$>
  by (*auto simp*: *next-val-d-def more-defs*)

lemma *next-fun2*: ⊢ *more* ⟶ f<x,y>$ = f <x$,y$>
  by (*auto simp*: *next-val-d-def more-defs*)

lemma *next-fun3*: ⊢ *more* ⟶ f<x,y,z>$ = f <x$,y$,z$>
  by (*auto simp*: *next-val-d-def more-defs*)

lemma *next-forall*: ⊢ *more* ⟶ (∀ x. P x)$ = (∀ x. (P x)$)
  by (*auto simp*: *next-val-d-def*)

lemma *next-exists*: ⊢ *more* ⟶ (∃ x. P x)$ = (∃ x. (P x)$)
  by (*auto simp*: *next-val-d-def*)

lemma *next-exists1*: ⊢ *more* ⟶ (∃! x. P x)$ = (∃! x. (P x)$)
  by (*auto simp*: *next-val-d-def more-defs*)

lemmas *all-next* = *next-const next-fun1 next-fun2 next-fun3*
  next-forall next-exists next-exists1

lemmas *all-next-unl* = *all-next*[*THEN intD*]

6.7   Lemmas about *fin-val*

lemma *fin-const*: ⊢ !(#c) = #c
  by (*auto simp*: *fin-val-d-def*)

lemma *fin-fun1*: ⊢ !(f<x>) = f <!x>
  by (*auto simp*: *fin-val-d-def*)

lemma *fin-fun2*: ⊢ !(f<x,y>) = f <!x, !y>
  by (*auto simp*: *fin-val-d-def*)

lemma *fin-fun3*: ⊢ !(f<x,y,z>) = f <!x,!y,!z>
  by (*auto simp*: *fin-val-d-def*)

lemma *fin-forall*: ⊢ !(∀ x. P x) = (∀ x. !(P x))
  by (*auto simp*: *fin-val-d-def*)

lemma *fin-exists*: ⊢ !(∃ x. P x) = (∃ x. !(P x))

by (*auto simp*: *fin-val-d-def*)

lemma *fin-exists1*: ⊢ !(∃! *x*. *P x*) = (∃! *x*. !(*P x*))
  by (*auto simp*: *fin-val-d-def*)

lemmas *all-fin* = *fin-const fin-fun1 fin-fun2 fin-fun3*
  fin-forall fin-exists fin-exists1

lemmas *all-fin-unl* = *all-fin*[*THEN intD*]
lemmas *all-fin-eq* = *all-fin*[*THEN inteq-reflection*]

6.8 Lemmas about *penult-val*

lemma *penult-const*: ⊢ *more* ⟶ (#*c*)! = #*c*
  by (*auto simp*: *penult-val-d-def more-defs*)

lemma *penult-fun1*: ⊢ *more* ⟶ *f*<*x*>! = *f*<*x*!>
  by (*auto simp*: *penult-val-d-def more-defs*)

lemma *penult-fun2*: ⊢ *more* ⟶ *f*<*x,y*>! = *f* <*x*!,*y*!>
  by (*auto simp*: *penult-val-d-def more-defs*)

lemma *penult-fun3*: ⊢ *more* ⟶ *f*<*x,y,z*>! = *f* <*x*!,*y*!,*z*!>
  by (*auto simp*: *penult-val-d-def more-defs*)

lemma *penult-forall*: ⊢ *more* ⟶ (∀ *x*. *P x*)! = (∀ *x*. (*P x*)!)
  by (*auto simp*: *penult-val-d-def*)

lemma *penult-exists*: ⊢ *more* ⟶ (∃ *x*. *P x*)! = (∃ *x*. (*P x*)!)
  by (*auto simp*: *penult-val-d-def*)

lemma *penult-exists1*: ⊢ *more* ⟶ (∃! *x*. *P x*)! = (∃! *x*. (*P x*)!)
  by (*auto simp*: *penult-val-d-def more-defs*)

lemmas *all-penult* = *penult-const penult-fun1 penult-fun2 penult-fun3*
  penult-forall penult-exists penult-exists1

lemmas *all-penult-unl* = *all-penult*[*THEN intD*]

6.9 Basic temporal variables properties

lemma *empty-imp-fin-eqv-curr*:
⊢ *empty* ⟶ !*v* = $*v*
by (*simp add*: *Valid-def current-val-d-def empty-defs finval-defs*)

lemma *skip-imp-fin-eqv-next*:
⊢ *skip* ⟶ !*v* = *v*$
by (*simp add*: *Valid-def skip-defs next-val-d-def finval-defs*)

lemma *skip-imp-penult-eqv-curr*:

$\vdash$ *skip* $\longrightarrow$ *v*! = v
by (*simp add*: *Valid-def skip-defs penultval-defs current-val-d-def*)

end

7 Finite ITL theorems

theory *Theorems*
 imports
   ITL
begin

We give the proofs of a list of Finite ITL theorems. These proofs and theorems were from [8].

7.1 Propositional reasoning

This is a list of propositional logic theorems used in the proofs of the ITL theorems.

lemma *IfThenElseImp*:
$\vdash$ (*if* $_i$ *g* *then* *f* *else* *f1*) $\longrightarrow$ ((*g* $\longrightarrow$ *f*) $\wedge$ ($\neg g$ $\longrightarrow$ *f1*))
by (*simp add*: *ifthenelse-defs Valid-def*)

lemma *Prop01*:
 assumes $\vdash$ *f* $\longrightarrow$ $\neg$ *g* $\vee$ *h*
 shows $\vdash$ *g* $\wedge$ *f* $\longrightarrow$ *h*
using *assms* **by** *auto*

lemma *Prop02*:
 assumes $\vdash$ *f* $\longrightarrow$ *g*
       $\vdash$ *f1* $\longrightarrow$ *g*
  shows $\vdash$ *f* $\vee$ *f1* $\longrightarrow$ *g*
using *assms*(1) *assms*(2) **by** *fastforce*

lemma *Prop03*:
  assumes $\vdash$ *f* = (*g* $\vee$ *h*)
  shows $\vdash$ *h* $\longrightarrow$ *f*
using *assms* **by** *auto*

lemma *Prop04*:
 assumes $\vdash$ *f* = *h*
       $\vdash$ *f* = *h1*
 shows $\vdash$ *h1* = *h*
using *assms*(1) *assms*(2) **using** *int-eq* **by** *auto*

lemma *Prop05*:
 assumes $\vdash$ *f* $\longrightarrow$ *g*

shows $\vdash f \longrightarrow h \vee g$
using *assms* **by** *auto*

lemma *Prop06*:
 assumes $\vdash f = (g \vee h)$
      $\vdash h = h1$
 shows $\vdash f = (g \vee h1)$
using *assms(1)* *assms(2)* **by** *fastforce*

lemma *Prop07*:
 assumes $\vdash f \longrightarrow g \vee h$
 shows $\vdash f \wedge \neg\, g \longrightarrow h$
using *assms* **by** *auto*

lemma *Prop08*:
 assumes $\vdash f \longrightarrow g \vee h$
      $\vdash h \longrightarrow h1$
 shows $\vdash f \longrightarrow g \vee h1$
using *assms(1)* *assms(2)* **by** *fastforce*

lemma *Prop09*:
 assumes $\vdash f \wedge g \longrightarrow h$
 shows $\vdash f \longrightarrow (g \longrightarrow h)$
using *assms* **by** *auto*

lemma *Prop10*:
 assumes $\vdash f \longrightarrow g$
 shows $\vdash f = (f \wedge g)$
using *assms* **by** *auto*

lemma *Prop11*:
 $(\vdash f = f1) = (\,(\vdash f \longrightarrow f1) \wedge (\vdash f1 \longrightarrow f)\,)$
by (*auto simp*: *Valid-def*)

lemma *Prop12*:
 $(\vdash f \longrightarrow (\, f1 \wedge f2)) = (\,(\vdash f \longrightarrow f1) \wedge (\vdash f \longrightarrow f2))$
by (*auto simp*: *Valid-def*)

7.2   State formulas

The *init* operator denotes state formulas, i.e., ITL formula that only constrain the first state of an interval.

lemma *Initprop* :
 $\vdash ((init\ f) \wedge (init\ g)) = init(f \wedge g)$
 $\vdash (\neg\, (init\ f)) = init\ (\,\neg\, f\,)$
 $\vdash ((init\ f) \vee (init\ g)) = init\ (f \vee g)$
 $\vdash init\ \#\mathit{True}$
by (*auto simp*: *init-defs*)

lemma *Finprop* :
 $\vdash ((\#\mathit{True};(f \wedge empty)) \wedge (\#\mathit{True};(g \wedge empty))) = (\#\mathit{True};((f \wedge g) \wedge empty))$

$\vdash ((\# \textit{True};(f \land \textit{empty})) \lor (\# \textit{True};(g \land \textit{empty}))) = (\# \textit{True};((f \lor g) \land \textit{empty}))$
$\vdash (\# \textit{True};((\# \textit{True}) \land \textit{empty}))$
$\vdash (\neg (\# \textit{True};(f \land \textit{empty}))) = (\# \textit{True};(\neg f \land \textit{empty}))$
by (*auto simp*: *finalt-defs*) (*simp add*: *chop-defs empty-defs*, *fastforce*)

7.3 Basic Theorems

lemma *BiChopImpChop* :
    $\vdash bi\ (f \longrightarrow f1) \longrightarrow f;g \longrightarrow f1;g$
 proof $-$
 have $1: \vdash g \longrightarrow g$ **by** *auto*
 hence $2: \vdash \Box (\ g \longrightarrow g)$ **by** (*rule BoxGen*)
 have $3: \vdash bi\ (\ f \longrightarrow f1) \land \Box(g \longrightarrow g) \longrightarrow f;g \longrightarrow f1;g$ **by** (*rule BiBoxChopImpChop*)
 from *2 3* **show** *?thesis* **by** *fastforce*
 qed

lemma *AndChopA*:
    $\vdash (f \land f1);g \longrightarrow f;g$
 proof $-$
 have $1: \vdash f \land f1 \longrightarrow f$ **by** *auto*
 hence $2: \vdash bi\ (f \land f1 \longrightarrow f)$ **by** (*rule BiGen*)
 have $3: \vdash bi\ (f \land f1 \longrightarrow f) \longrightarrow (f \land f1);g \longrightarrow f;g$ **by** (*rule BiChopImpChop*)
 from *2 3* **show** *?thesis* **using** *MP* **by** *blast*
 qed

lemma *AndChopB*:
    $\vdash (f \land f1);g \longrightarrow f1;g$
 proof $-$
 have $1: \vdash f \land f1 \longrightarrow f1$ **by** *auto*
 hence $2: \vdash\ bi\ (f \land f1 \longrightarrow f1)$ **by** (*rule BiGen*)
 have $3: \vdash\ bi\ (f \land f1 \longrightarrow f1) \longrightarrow (f \land f1);g \longrightarrow f1;g$ **by** (*rule BiChopImpChop*)
 from *2 3* **show** *?thesis* **using** *MP* **by** *blast*
 qed

lemma *NextChop*:
  $\vdash (\bigcirc f);g = \bigcirc(f;g)$
 proof $-$
 have $1: \vdash skip;(f;g) = (skip;f);g$ **by** (*rule ChopAssoc*)
 show *?thesis* **by** (*metis 1 int-eq next-d-def*)
 qed

lemma *BoxChopImpChop* :
    $\vdash \Box (g \longrightarrow g1) \longrightarrow f;g \longrightarrow f;g1$
 proof $-$
 have $1: \vdash g \longrightarrow g$ **by** *auto*
 hence $2: \vdash bi\ (\ g \longrightarrow g)$ **by** (*rule BiGen*)
 have $3: \vdash bi\ (\ f \longrightarrow f) \land \Box(g \longrightarrow g1) \longrightarrow f;g \longrightarrow f;g1$ **by** (*rule BiBoxChopImpChop*)
 from *2 3* **show** *?thesis* **by** *fastforce*
 qed

lemma *LeftChopImpChop*:
 assumes $\vdash f \longrightarrow f1$
 shows $\vdash f;g \longrightarrow f1;g$
proof −
 have 1: $\vdash f \longrightarrow f1$ **using** *assms* **by** *auto*
 hence 2: $\vdash bi\ (f \longrightarrow f1)$ **by** (*rule BiGen*)
 have 3: $\vdash bi\ (f \longrightarrow f1) \longrightarrow f;g \longrightarrow f1;g$ **by** (*rule BiChopImpChop*)
 from 2 3 **show** *?thesis* **using** *MP* **by** *blast*
qed

lemma *RightChopImpChop*:
 assumes $\vdash g \longrightarrow g1$
 shows $\vdash f;g \longrightarrow f;g1$
proof −
 have 1: $\vdash g \longrightarrow g1$ **using** *assms* **by** *auto*
 hence 2: $\vdash \Box\ (g \longrightarrow g1)$ **by** (*rule BoxGen*)
 have 3: $\vdash \Box\ (g \longrightarrow g1) \longrightarrow f;g \longrightarrow f;g1$ **by** (*rule BoxChopImpChop*)
 from 2 3 **show** *?thesis* **using** *MP* **by** *blast*
qed

lemma *RightChopEqvChop*:
 assumes $\vdash g = g1$
 shows $\vdash (f;g) = (f;g1)$
using *assms RightChopImpChop*[*of g g1 f*] *RightChopImpChop*[*of g1 g f*]
  by *fastforce*

lemma *ChopOrEqv*:
 $\vdash f;(g \lor g1) = (f;g \lor f;g1)$
proof −
 have 1: $\vdash g \longrightarrow g \lor g1$ **by** *auto*
 hence 2: $\vdash f;g \longrightarrow f;(g \lor g1)$ **by** (*rule RightChopImpChop*)
 have 3: $\vdash g1 \longrightarrow g \lor g1$ **by** *auto*
 hence 4: $\vdash f;g1 \longrightarrow f;(g \lor g1)$ **by** (*rule RightChopImpChop*)
 from 2 4 **show** *?thesis* **by** (*meson ChopOrImp Prop02 Prop11*)
qed

lemma *OrChopEqv*:
 $\vdash (f \lor f1);g = (f;g \lor f1;g)$
proof −
 have 1: $\vdash f \longrightarrow f \lor f1$ **by** *auto*
 hence 2: $\vdash f;g \longrightarrow (f \lor f1);g$ **by** (*rule LeftChopImpChop*)
 have 3: $\vdash f1 \longrightarrow f \lor f1$ **by** *auto*
 hence 4: $\vdash f1;g \longrightarrow (f \lor f1);g$ **by** (*rule LeftChopImpChop*)
 from 2 4 **show** *?thesis*
 by (*meson OrChopImp int-iffI Prop02*)
qed

lemma *OrChopImpRule*:
 assumes $\vdash f \longrightarrow f1 \lor f2$

shows   ⊢ *f*;*g* ⟶ (*f1*;*g*) ∨ (*f2*;*g*)
proof −
 have   1: ⊢ *f* ⟶ *f1* ∨ *f2* **using** *assms* **by** *auto*
 hence 2: ⊢ *f*;*g* ⟶ (*f1* ∨ *f2*);*g* **by** (*rule LeftChopImpChop*)
 have   3: ⊢ (*f1* ∨ *f2*); *g* = (*f1*;*g* ∨ *f2*;*g*) **by** (*rule OrChopEqv*)
 from 2 3 **show** *?thesis* **by** *fastforce*
qed

lemma *LeftChopEqvChop*:
 assumes ⊢ *f* = *f1*
 shows   ⊢ *f*;*g* = (*f1*;*g*)
proof −
 have   1: ⊢ *f* = *f1* **using** *assms* **by** *auto*
 hence 2: ⊢ *f* ⟶ *f1* **by** *auto*
 hence 3: ⊢ *f*;*g* ⟶ *f1*;*g* **by** (*rule LeftChopImpChop*)
 have ⊢ *f1* ⟶ *f* **using** 1 **by** *auto*
 hence 4: ⊢ *f1*;*g* ⟶ *f*;*g* **by** (*rule LeftChopImpChop*)
 from 3 4 **show** *?thesis* **by** (*simp add*: *int-iffI*)
qed

lemma *OrChopEqvRule*:
 assumes ⊢ *f* = (*f1* ∨ *f2*)
 shows   ⊢ *f*;*g* = ((*f1*;*g*) ∨ (*f2*;*g*))
proof −
 have   1: ⊢ *f* = (*f1* ∨ *f2*) **using** *assms* **by** *auto*
 hence 2: ⊢ *f*;*g* = ((*f1* ∨ *f2*);*g*) **by** (*rule LeftChopEqvChop*)
 have   3: ⊢ (*f1* ∨ *f2*);*g* = (*f1*;*g* ∨ *f2*;*g*) **by** (*rule OrChopEqv*)
 from 2 3 **show** *?thesis* **by** *fastforce*
qed

lemma *NextImpNext*:
 assumes ⊢ *f* ⟶ *g*
 shows   ⊢ ○ *f* ⟶ ○ *g*
proof −
 have   1: ⊢ *f* ⟶ *g* **using** *assms* **by** *auto*
 hence 2: ⊢ □ (*f* ⟶ *g*) **by** (*rule BoxGen*)
 have   3: ⊢ □ (*f* ⟶ *g*) ⟶ (*skip*;*f*) ⟶ (*skip*;*g*) **by** (*rule BoxChopImpChop*)
 have   4: ⊢(*skip*;*f*) ⟶ (*skip*;*g*) **by** (*metis* 2 3 *MP*)
 from 4 **show** *?thesis* **by** (*metis next-d-def*)
qed

lemma *ChopOrImpRule*:
 assumes   ⊢ *g* ⟶ *g1* ∨ *g2*
 shows   ⊢ *f*;*g* ⟶ (*f*;*g1*) ∨ (*f*;*g2*)
proof −
 have   1: ⊢ *g* ⟶ *g1* ∨ *g2* **using** *assms* **by** *auto*
 hence 2: ⊢ *f*;*g* ⟶ *f*;(*g1* ∨ *g2*) **by** (*rule RightChopImpChop*)
 have   3: ⊢ *f*;(*g1* ∨ *g2*) = (*f*;*g1* ∨ *f*;*g2*) **by** (*rule ChopOrEqv*)
 from 2 3 **show** *?thesis* **by** *fastforce*
qed

lemma *NextImpDist*:

$\vdash \bigcirc (f \longrightarrow g) \longrightarrow \bigcirc f \longrightarrow \bigcirc g$

proof $-$

  have *1*: $\vdash (\neg (f \longrightarrow g)) = (f \wedge \neg g)$ **by** *auto*

  hence *2*: $\vdash skip;(\neg (f \longrightarrow g)) = skip;(f \wedge \neg g)$ **by** (*rule RightChopEqvChop*)

  have *3*: $\vdash f \longrightarrow g \vee (f \wedge \neg g)$ **by** *auto*

  hence *4*: $\vdash skip;f \longrightarrow (skip;g) \vee (skip;(f \wedge \neg g))$ **by** (*rule ChopOrImpRule*)

  hence *5*: $\vdash \neg (skip;(f \wedge \neg g)) \longrightarrow (skip;f) \longrightarrow (skip;g)$ **by** *auto*

  have *6*: $\vdash \neg (skip;(\neg(f \longrightarrow g))) \longrightarrow (skip;f) \longrightarrow (skip;g)$ **using** *2 5* **by** *fastforce*

  hence *7*: $\vdash \neg (\bigcirc(\neg(f \longrightarrow g))) \longrightarrow (\bigcirc f) \longrightarrow (\bigcirc g)$ **by** (*simp add: next-d-def*)

  have *8*: $\vdash \bigcirc(f \longrightarrow g) \longrightarrow \neg (\bigcirc(\neg(f \longrightarrow g)))$ **by** (*rule NextImpNotNextNot*)

  from *7 8* **show** *?thesis* **using** *lift-imp-trans* **by** *blast*

qed

lemma *ChopImpDiamond*:

$\vdash f;g \longrightarrow \diamond g$

proof $-$

  have *1*: $\vdash f \longrightarrow \# True$ **by** *auto*

  hence *2*: $\vdash f;g \longrightarrow \# True;g$ **by** (*rule LeftChopImpChop*)

  from *2* **show** *?thesis* **by** (*simp add: sometimes-d-def*)

qed

lemma *NowImpDiamond*:

$\vdash f \longrightarrow \diamond f$

proof $-$

  have *1*: $\vdash empty;f = f$ **by** (*rule EmptyChop*)

  have *2*: $\vdash empty \longrightarrow \# True$ **by** *auto*

  hence *3*: $\vdash empty;f \longrightarrow \# True;f$ **by** (*rule LeftChopImpChop*)

  have *4*: $\vdash f \longrightarrow \# True;f$ **using** *1 3* **by** *fastforce*

  from *4* **show** *?thesis* **by** (*simp add: sometimes-d-def*)

qed

lemma *BoxElim*:

$\vdash \square f \longrightarrow f$

proof $-$

  have *1*: $\vdash \neg f \longrightarrow \diamond (\neg f)$ **by** (*rule NowImpDiamond*)

  hence *2*: $\vdash \neg (\diamond (\neg f)) \longrightarrow f$ **by** *auto*

  from *2* **show** *?thesis* **by** (*metis always-d-def*)

qed

lemma *NextDiamondImpDiamond*:

$\vdash \bigcirc (\diamond f) \longrightarrow \diamond f$

proof $-$

  have *1*: $\vdash skip;(\# True;f) = ((skip;\# True);f)$ **by** (*rule ChopAssoc*)

  hence *2*: $\vdash (skip;\# True);f = skip;(\# True;f)$ **by** *auto*

  hence *3*: $\vdash (skip;\# True);f = \bigcirc(\diamond f)$ **by** (*simp add: next-d-def sometimes-d-def*)

  have *4*: $\vdash (skip;\# True);f \longrightarrow \diamond f$ **by** (*rule ChopImpDiamond*)

  from *3 4* **show** *?thesis* **by** *fastforce*

qed

lemma *BoxImpNowAndWeakNext*:
 $\vdash \Box\ f \longrightarrow (f \land wnext\ (\ \Box\ f)\ )$
proof −
  have $1: \vdash \neg\ f \longrightarrow \Diamond\ (\neg\ f)$ **by** (*rule NowImpDiamond*)
  hence $2: \vdash \neg\ (\Diamond\ (\neg\ f)) \longrightarrow f$ **by** *auto*
  hence $3: \vdash \Box\ f \longrightarrow f$ **by** (*metis always-d-def*)
  have $4: \vdash \bigcirc\ (\ \Diamond\ (\neg\ f)) \longrightarrow \Diamond\ (\ \neg\ f\ )$ **by** (*rule NextDiamondImpDiamond*)
  have $5: \vdash \neg\ \neg\ (\Diamond\ (\neg\ f)) \longrightarrow \Diamond(\ \neg\ f\ )$ **by** *auto*
  hence $6: \vdash \bigcirc\ (\ \neg\ \neg\ (\Diamond\ (\neg\ f))\ ) \longrightarrow \bigcirc\ (\Diamond(\ \neg\ f\ ))$ **by** (*rule NextImpNext*)
  have $7: \vdash \bigcirc\ (\ \neg\ \neg\ (\Diamond\ (\neg\ f))\ ) \longrightarrow \Diamond\ (\ \neg\ f\ )$ **using** $4\ 6$ **by** *auto*
  hence $8: \vdash \bigcirc\ (\ \neg(\ \Box\ f)) \longrightarrow \Diamond\ (\ \neg\ f\ )$ **by** (*simp add: always-d-def*)
  hence $9: \vdash \neg\ (\Diamond\ (\ \neg\ f\ )) \longrightarrow \neg\ (\ \bigcirc\ (\ \neg(\ \Box\ f))) $ **by** *auto*
  hence $10: \vdash \Box f \longrightarrow wnext\ (\ \Box\ f\ )$ **by** (*simp add: always-d-def wnext-d-def*)
  from $3\ 10$ **show** *?thesis* **by** *fastforce*
qed

lemma *BoxImpBoxRule*:
 assumes $\vdash f \longrightarrow g$
 shows $\vdash \Box\ f \longrightarrow \Box\ g$
proof −
 have $1: \vdash f \longrightarrow g$ **using** *assms* **by** *auto*
 hence $2: \vdash \neg\ g \longrightarrow \neg\ f$ **by** *auto*
 hence $3: \vdash \Box(\neg\ g \longrightarrow \neg\ f)$ **by** (*rule BoxGen*)
 have $4: \vdash \Box(\neg\ g \longrightarrow \neg\ f) \longrightarrow (\#True;(\neg g)) \longrightarrow (\#True;(\neg f))$ **by** (*rule BoxChopImpChop*)
 have $5: \vdash (\#True;(\neg g)) \longrightarrow (\#True;(\neg f))$ **using** $3\ 4\ MP$ **by** *blast*
 hence $6: \vdash \Diamond\ (\neg g) \longrightarrow \Diamond(\neg f)$ **by** (*simp add: sometimes-d-def*)
 hence $7: \vdash \neg\ (\Diamond(\neg f)\ ) \longrightarrow \neg(\ \Diamond\ (\neg g))$ **by** *auto*
 from 7 **show** *?thesis* **by** (*simp add: always-d-def*)
qed

lemma *BoxImpDist*:
 $\vdash \Box(f \longrightarrow g) \longrightarrow \Box\ f \longrightarrow \Box\ g$
proof −
 have $1: \vdash (f \longrightarrow g) \longrightarrow (\neg\ g \longrightarrow \neg\ f)$ **by** *auto*
 hence $2: \vdash \Box(f \longrightarrow g) \longrightarrow \Box(\neg\ g \longrightarrow \neg\ f)$ **by** (*rule BoxImpBoxRule*)
 have $3: \vdash \Box((\neg\ g) \longrightarrow \neg\ f) \longrightarrow (\#True; (\neg\ g)) \longrightarrow (\#True; (\neg\ f))$ **by** (*rule BoxChopImpChop*)
 have $4: \vdash \Box(f \longrightarrow g) \longrightarrow (\#True; (\neg\ g)) \longrightarrow (\#True; (\neg\ f))$
  using $2\ 3\ lift\text{-}imp\text{-}trans$ **by** *blast*
 hence $5: \vdash \Box(f \longrightarrow g) \longrightarrow \Diamond(\neg\ g) \longrightarrow \Diamond(\neg\ f)$ **by** (*simp add: sometimes-d-def*)
 hence $6: \vdash \Box(f \longrightarrow g) \longrightarrow \neg(\ \Diamond(\neg\ f)) \longrightarrow \neg(\ \Diamond(\neg\ g))$ **by** *auto*
 from 6 **show** *?thesis* **by** (*simp add: always-d-def*)
qed

lemma *DiamondEmpty*:
 $\vdash \Diamond\ empty$
proof −
 have $1: \vdash \#True$ **by** *auto*
 have $2: \vdash \#True;\ empty = \#True$ **by** (*rule ChopEmpty*)

have 3: $\vdash$ #*True*; *empty* **using** 1 2 **by** *auto*
from 3 **show** *?thesis* **by** (*simp add*: *sometimes-d-def*)
qed

lemma *NextEqvNext*:
 assumes $\vdash$ $f = g$
 shows $\vdash \bigcirc f = \bigcirc g$
proof $-$
 have 1: $\vdash f = g$ **using** *assms* **by** *auto*
 hence 2: $\vdash$ *skip*;f = *skip*;g **by** (*rule RightChopEqvChop*)
 from 1 **show** *?thesis* **by** (*metis 2 next-d-def*)
qed

lemma *NextAndNextImpNextRule*:
 assumes $\vdash (f \wedge g) \longrightarrow h$
 shows $\vdash (\bigcirc f \wedge \bigcirc g) \longrightarrow \bigcirc h$
using *assms* **by** (*auto simp*: *next-defs*)

lemma *NextAndNextEqvNextRule*:
 assumes $\vdash (f \wedge g) = h$
 shows $\vdash (\bigcirc f \wedge \bigcirc g) = \bigcirc h$
using *assms* **by** (*metis NextAndNextImpNextRule Prop11 Prop12 int-eq int-simps*(20))

lemma *WeakNextEqvWeakNext*:
 assumes $\vdash f = g$
 shows $\vdash$ *wnext* f = *wnext* g
using *assms* **using** *inteq-reflection* **by** *force*

lemma *DiamondImpDiamond*:
 assumes $\vdash f \longrightarrow g$
 shows $\vdash \diamond f \longrightarrow \diamond g$
using *assms* **by** (*simp add*: *RightChopImpChop sometimes-d-def*)

lemma *DiamondEqvDiamond*:
 assumes $\vdash f = g$
 shows $\vdash \diamond f = \diamond g$
using *assms* **using** *int-eq* **by** *force*

lemma *BoxEqvBox*:
 assumes $\vdash f = g$
 shows $\vdash \square f = \square g$
using *assms* **using** *inteq-reflection* **by** *force*

lemma *BoxAndBoxImpBoxRule*:
 assumes $\vdash f \wedge g \longrightarrow h$
 shows $\vdash \square f \wedge \square g \longrightarrow \square h$
using *assms* **by** (*auto simp*: *always-defs Valid-def*)

lemma *BoxAndBoxEqvBoxRule*:
 assumes $\vdash (f \wedge g) = h$

118

shows $\vdash (\Box\ f \land \Box\ g) = \Box\ h$
using *assms BoxAndBoxImpBoxRule BoxImpBoxRule* **by** (*metis int-iffD1 int-iffD2 int-iffI Prop12*)

lemma *ImpBoxRule*:
 assumes $\vdash\ f \longrightarrow g$
 shows $\vdash \Box\ f \longrightarrow \Box\ g$
using *assms* **by** (*simp add*: *BoxImpBoxRule*)

lemma *BoxIntro*:
 assumes $\vdash\ f \longrightarrow g$
      $\vdash\ more\ \land\ f \longrightarrow \bigcirc\ f$
 shows $\vdash f \longrightarrow \Box\ g$
proof $-$
 have $1 : \vdash\ more\ \land\ f \longrightarrow \bigcirc\ f$ **using** *assms* **by** *auto*
 hence $2 : \vdash f \longrightarrow (empty \lor \bigcirc f)$ **by** (*auto simp*: *next-defs empty-defs more-defs*)
 hence $3 : \vdash f \longrightarrow wnext\ f$ **by** (*auto simp*: *wnext-defs empty-defs next-defs*)
 hence $4 : \vdash \Box(f \longrightarrow wnext\ f)$ **by** (*rule BoxGen*)
 have $5 : \vdash (\Box\ (f \longrightarrow wnext\ f)) \land f \longrightarrow \Box\ f$ **by** (*rule BoxInduct*)
 hence $6 : \vdash (\Box\ (f \longrightarrow wnext\ f)) \longrightarrow (f \longrightarrow \Box f)$ **by** *fastforce*
 have $7 : \vdash f \longrightarrow \Box f$ **using** *4 6 MP* **by** *blast*
 have $8 : \vdash \Box f \longrightarrow f$ **by** (*rule BoxElim*)
 have $9 : \vdash f = \Box\ f$ **using** *7 8* **by** *fastforce*
 have $10 : \vdash f \longrightarrow g$ **using** *assms* **by** *auto*
 hence $11 : \vdash \Box f \longrightarrow \Box\ g$ **by** (*rule ImpBoxRule*)
 from *7 9 11* **show** *?thesis* **using** *lift-imp-trans* **by** *blast*
qed

lemma *NextLoop*:
 assumes $\vdash f \longrightarrow \bigcirc\ f$
 shows $\vdash \neg\ f$
proof $-$
 have $1 : \vdash f \longrightarrow \bigcirc\ f$ **using** *assms* **by** *auto*
 hence $2 : \vdash f \longrightarrow (more \land wnext\ f)$ **by** (*auto simp*: *more-defs wnext-defs next-defs*)
 hence $3 : \vdash f \longrightarrow wnext\ f$ **by** *auto*
 hence $4 : \vdash \Box(f \longrightarrow wnext\ f)$ **by** (*rule BoxGen*)
 have $5 : \vdash \Box\ (f \longrightarrow wnext\ f) \land f \longrightarrow \Box\ f$ **by** (*rule BoxInduct*)
 hence $6 : \vdash \Box\ (f \longrightarrow wnext\ f) \longrightarrow (f \longrightarrow \Box f)$ **by** *fastforce*
 have $7 : \vdash f \longrightarrow \Box f$ **using** *4 6 MP* **by** *blast*
 have $8 : \vdash \Box f \longrightarrow f$ **by** (*rule BoxElim*)
 have $9 : \vdash f = \Box\ f$ **using** *7 8* **by** *fastforce*
 have $10 : \vdash f \longrightarrow more$ **using** *2* **by** *auto*
 hence $11 : \vdash \Box\ f \longrightarrow \Box\ more$ **by** (*rule ImpBoxRule*)
 have $12 : \vdash \neg(\Box\ more)$ **by** (*auto simp*: *always-defs more-defs*)
 from *7 9 11 12* **show** *?thesis* **by** *fastforce*
qed

lemma *WnextEqvEmptyOrNext*:
 $\vdash wnext\ f = (empty \lor \bigcirc\ f)$
by (*auto simp*: *empty-defs wnext-defs next-defs*)

lemma *NotEmptyAndNext*:
$\vdash \neg(empty \land \bigcirc f)$
by (*auto simp*: *empty-defs next-defs*)

lemma *BoxEqvAndWnextBox*:
$\vdash \Box f = (f \land wnext (\Box f))$
proof −
  have $1 : \vdash \Box f \longrightarrow f \land wnext (\Box f)$
    using *BoxImpNowAndWeakNext* **by** *blast*
  have $2 : \vdash f \land wnext (\Box f) \longrightarrow f$
    by *auto*
  have $3 : \vdash more \land (f \land wnext (\Box f)) \longrightarrow \bigcirc (f \land wnext (\Box f))$
    using *1 NextImpNext WnextEqvEmptyOrNext empty-d-def int-iffD1*
    by (*metis Prop01 Prop05 Prop08*)
  have $4 : \vdash f \land wnext (\Box f) \longrightarrow \Box f$
    using *2 3 BoxIntro* **by** *blast*
  from *1 4* **show** *?thesis* **by** *fastforce*
qed

lemma *BoxEqvAndEmptyOrNextBox*:
$\vdash \Box f = (f \land (empty \lor \bigcirc(\Box f)))$
using *BoxEqvAndWnextBox WnextEqvEmptyOrNext* **by** (*metis int-eq*)

lemma *BoxEqvBoxBox*:
$\vdash \Box f = \Box (\Box f)$
using *BoxGen BoxInduct*
by (*metis BoxImpNowAndWeakNext MP int-iffI Prop09 Prop12*)

lemma *BoxBoxImpBox*:
$\vdash \Box(\Box h) \longrightarrow \Box h$
by (*simp add*: *BoxElim*)

lemma *BoxImpBoxBox*:
$\vdash \Box h \longrightarrow \Box(\Box h)$
by (*auto simp*: *always-defs*)

lemma *DiamondIntro*:
  assumes $\vdash (f \land \neg g) \longrightarrow \bigcirc f$
  shows $\vdash f \longrightarrow \Diamond g$
proof −
  have $1 : \vdash f \land \neg g \longrightarrow \bigcirc f$
    using *assms* **by** *auto*
  hence $2 : \vdash f \land \neg g \land (\Box (\neg g)) \longrightarrow (\bigcirc f) \land (\Box (\neg g))$
    by *auto*
  have $3 : \vdash (\Box (\neg g)) \longrightarrow \neg g$
    by (*rule BoxElim*)
  hence $4 : \vdash \Box (\neg g) = ((\Box (\neg g)) \land \neg g)$
    using *BoxImpBoxBox BoxBoxImpBox* **by** *fastforce*
  have $5 : \vdash f \land (\Box (\neg g)) \longrightarrow \bigcirc f \land \Box (\neg g)$
    using *2 4* **by** *fastforce*

have $6 : \vdash \square (\neg g) = ((\neg g) \wedge wnext(\square (\neg g)))$
  using *BoxEqvAndWnextBox* **by** *metis*
have $7 : \vdash \bigcirc f \wedge \square (\neg g) \longrightarrow \bigcirc f \wedge wnext(\square (\neg g))$
  using *6* **by** *auto*
have $8 : \vdash f \wedge (\square (\neg g)) \longrightarrow \bigcirc f \wedge wnext(\square (\neg g))$
  using *5 7* **using** *lift-imp-trans* **by** *blast*
hence $9 : \vdash f \wedge (\square (\neg g)) \longrightarrow more \wedge wnext\, f \wedge wnext(\square (\neg g))$
  by (*auto simp*: *always-defs more-defs next-defs wnext-defs*)
hence $10 : \vdash f \wedge (\square (\neg g)) \longrightarrow wnext\, f \wedge wnext(\square (\neg g))$
  by *auto*
hence $11 : \vdash f \wedge (\square (\neg g)) \longrightarrow wnext\, (f \wedge \square (\neg g))$
  by (*auto simp*: *wnext-defs always-defs next-defs*)
hence $12 : \vdash \square(f \wedge (\square (\neg g)) \longrightarrow wnext\, (f \wedge \square (\neg g)))$
  by (*rule BoxGen*)
have $13 : \vdash \square(f \wedge (\square (\neg g)) \longrightarrow wnext\, (f \wedge \square (\neg g))) \wedge f \wedge (\square (\neg g)) \longrightarrow \square(f \wedge (\square (\neg g)))$
  by (*rule BoxInduct*)
hence $14 : \vdash \square(f \wedge (\square (\neg g)) \longrightarrow wnext\, (f \wedge \square (\neg g))) \longrightarrow ((f \wedge (\square (\neg g))) \longrightarrow \square(f \wedge (\square (\neg g))))$
  by *fastforce*
have $15 : \vdash ((f \wedge (\square (\neg g))) \longrightarrow \square(f \wedge (\square (\neg g))))$
  using *12 14 MP* **by** *blast*
have $16 : \vdash \square(f \wedge (\square (\neg g))) \longrightarrow (f \wedge (\square (\neg g)))$
  by (*rule BoxElim*)
have $17 : \vdash \square(f \wedge (\square (\neg g))) = (f \wedge (\square (\neg g)))$
  using *16 15* **by** *fastforce*
have $18 : \vdash (f \wedge (\square (\neg g))) \longrightarrow more$
  using *9* **by** *auto*
hence $19 : \vdash \square(f \wedge (\square (\neg g))) \longrightarrow \square\, more$
  by (*rule ImpBoxRule*)
have $20 : \vdash \neg(\square\, more)$
  by (*auto simp*: *always-defs more-defs*)
have $21 : \vdash \neg(f \wedge (\square (\neg g)))$
  using *17 19 20* **by** *fastforce*
hence $22 : \vdash \neg f \vee \neg (\square (\neg g))$
  by *auto*
have $23 : \vdash (\neg (\square (\neg g))) = \diamond g$
  by (*auto simp*: *always-d-def*)
from *22 23* **show** *?thesis* **by** *fastforce*
qed

lemma *DiamondIntroB*:
 assumes $\vdash (f \wedge \neg g) \longrightarrow \bigcirc (f \wedge \neg g)$
 shows $\vdash f \longrightarrow \diamond g$
proof $-$
 have $1 : \vdash (f \wedge \neg g) \longrightarrow \bigcirc (f \wedge \neg g)$ **using** *assms* **by** *auto*
 hence $2 : \vdash \neg(f \wedge \neg g)$ **by** (*rule NextLoop*)
 hence $3 : \vdash f \longrightarrow g$ **by** *auto*
 have $4 : \vdash g \longrightarrow \diamond g$ **by** (*rule NowImpDiamond*)
 from *3 4* **show** *?thesis* **using** *lift-imp-trans* **by** *blast*
qed

lemma *NextContra* :
 assumes $\vdash (f \wedge \neg\ g) \longrightarrow (\bigcirc f \wedge \neg(\ \bigcirc g))$
 shows $\vdash f \longrightarrow g$
proof $-$
 have *1*: $\vdash (f \wedge \neg\ g) \longrightarrow (\bigcirc f \wedge \neg(\ \bigcirc g))$ **using** *assms* **by** *auto*
 hence *2*: $\vdash \neg(\ f \longrightarrow g) \longrightarrow \bigcirc (\ \neg(f \longrightarrow g))$ **by** (*auto simp*: *next-defs Valid-def*)
 hence *3*: $\vdash \neg\ \neg(\ f \longrightarrow g)$ **by** (*rule NextLoop*)
 from *3* **show** *?thesis* **by** *auto*
qed

lemma *DiamondDiamondEqvDiamond*:
 $\vdash \Diamond(\Diamond f) = \Diamond f$
proof $-$
 have *1*: $\vdash \# True; \# True = \# True$ **by** (*auto simp*: *chop-defs*)
 hence *2*: $\vdash (\# True; \# True); f = \# True; f$ **using** *LeftChopEqvChop* **by** *blast*
 have *3*: $\vdash (\# True; \# True); f = \# True; (\# True; f)$ **using** *ChopAssoc* **by** *fastforce*
 from *2 3* **show** *?thesis* **by** (*metis inteq-reflection sometimes-d-def*)
qed

lemma *WeakNextDiamondInduct*:
 assumes $\vdash wnext\ (\Diamond f) \longrightarrow f$
 shows $\vdash f$
proof $-$
 have *1*: $\vdash wnext\ (\Diamond f) \longrightarrow f$ **using** *assms* **by** *blast*
 hence *2*: $\vdash \neg\ f \longrightarrow \neg(\ wnext\ (\Diamond f))$ **by** *fastforce*
 hence *3*: $\vdash \neg\ f \longrightarrow \bigcirc(\ \neg\ (\Diamond f))$ **by** (*simp add*: *wnext-d-def*)
 have *4*: $\vdash f \longrightarrow \Diamond f$ **by** (*rule NowImpDiamond*)
 hence *5*: $\vdash \neg(\ \Diamond f) \longrightarrow \neg\ f$ **by** *auto*
 have *6*: $\vdash \neg f \longrightarrow \bigcirc(\ \neg f)$ **using** *3 5* **using** *NextImpNext lift-imp-trans* **by** *blast*
 hence *7*: $\vdash \neg\neg\ f$ **by** (*rule NextLoop*)
 from *7* **show** *?thesis* **by** *auto*
qed

lemma *EmptyNextInducta*:
 assumes $\vdash empty\ \longrightarrow f$
       $\vdash \bigcirc f \longrightarrow f$
 shows $\vdash f$
proof $-$
 have *1*: $\vdash empty\ \longrightarrow f$ **using** *assms* **by** *auto*
 have *2*: $\vdash \bigcirc f \longrightarrow f$ **using** *assms* **by** *blast*
 have *3*: $\vdash (empty \vee \bigcirc f) \longrightarrow f$ **using** *1 2* **by** *fastforce*
 have *4*: $\vdash wnext\ f = (empty \vee \bigcirc f)$ **by** (*rule WnextEqvEmptyOrNext*)
 hence *5*: $\vdash wnext\ f \longrightarrow f$ **using** *3* **by** *fastforce*
 hence *6*: $\vdash \neg f \longrightarrow \neg\ (wnext\ f)$ **by** *auto*
 hence *7*: $\vdash \neg f \longrightarrow \bigcirc(\neg\ f)$ **by** (*auto simp*: *wnext-d-def*)
 hence *8*: $\vdash \neg\ \neg\ f$ **by** (*rule NextLoop*)
 from *8* **show** *?thesis* **by** *auto*
qed

lemma *EmptyNextInductb*:
 assumes $\vdash$ *empty* $\wedge$ *f* $\longrightarrow$ *g*
        $\vdash$ $\bigcirc(f \longrightarrow g) \wedge f \longrightarrow g$
 shows $\vdash f \longrightarrow g$
proof $-$
 have *1*: $\vdash$ *empty* $\wedge$ *f* $\longrightarrow$ *g* **using** *assms* **by** *auto*
 have *2*: $\vdash \bigcirc(f \longrightarrow g) \wedge f \longrightarrow g$ **using** *assms* **by** *blast*
 have *3*: $\vdash (empty \vee \bigcirc(f \longrightarrow g)) \wedge f \longrightarrow g$ **using** *1 2* **by** *fastforce*
 hence *4*: $\vdash$ *wnext* $(f \longrightarrow g) \wedge f \longrightarrow g$ **using** *WnextEqvEmptyOrNext* **by** *fastforce*
 hence *5*: $\vdash$ *wnext* $(f \longrightarrow g) \longrightarrow (f \longrightarrow g)$ **by** *fastforce*
 hence *6*: $\vdash \neg (f \longrightarrow g) \longrightarrow \neg (wnext (f \longrightarrow g))$ **by** *fastforce*
 hence *7*: $\vdash \neg (f \longrightarrow g) \longrightarrow \bigcirc (\neg(f \longrightarrow g))$ **by** (*simp add*: *wnext-d-def*)
 hence *8*: $\vdash \neg \neg (f \longrightarrow g)$ **by** (*rule NextLoop*)
 from *8* **show** *?thesis* **by** *auto*
qed

lemma *FinImpFin*:
 assumes $\vdash f \longrightarrow g$
 shows $\vdash$ *fin* *f* $\longrightarrow$ *fin* *g*
using *ImpBoxRule*[*of LIFT* (*empty* $\longrightarrow$ *f*) *LIFT* (*empty* $\longrightarrow$ *g*)] *assms*
     fin-d-def[*of f*] *fin-d-def*[*of g*] **by** *fastforce*

lemma *FinEqvFin*:
 assumes $\vdash f = g$
 shows $\vdash$ *fin* *f* $=$ *fin* *g*
using *assms* **by** (*simp add*: *FinImpFin Prop11*)

lemma *FinAndFinImpFinRule*:
 assumes $\vdash f \wedge g \longrightarrow h$
 shows $\vdash$ *fin* *f* $\wedge$ *fin* *g* $\longrightarrow$ *fin* *h*
proof $-$
  have $\vdash f \wedge g \longrightarrow h$ **using** *assms* **by** *auto*
  then show *?thesis* **by** (*simp add*: *fin-defs Valid-def*)
qed

lemma *FinAndFinEqvFinRule*:
 assumes $\vdash (f \wedge g) = h$
 shows $\vdash$ (*fin* *f* $\wedge$ *fin* *g*) $=$ *fin* *h*
using *assms*
by (*simp add*: *FinAndFinImpFinRule FinImpFin Prop11 Prop12*)

lemma *HaltEqvHalt*:
 assumes $\vdash f = g$
 shows $\vdash$ *halt* *f* $=$ *halt* *g*
proof $-$
 have *1*: $\vdash f = g$ **using** *assms* **by** *auto*
 hence *2*: $\vdash (empty = f) = (empty = g)$ **by** *auto*
 hence *3*: $\vdash \Box(empty = f) = \Box (empty = g)$ **by** (*rule BoxEqvBox*)
 from *3* **show** *?thesis* **by** (*simp add*: *halt-d-def*)

qed

lemma *BiImpDiImpDi*:
 $\vdash bi\ (f \longrightarrow g) \longrightarrow\ di\ f \longrightarrow\ di\ g$
proof $-$
 have *1*: $\vdash bi\ (f \longrightarrow g) \longrightarrow (f; \#True) \longrightarrow (g; \#True)$ **by** (*rule BiChopImpChop*)
 from *1* **show** *?thesis*   **by** (*simp add*: *di-d-def*)
qed

lemma *DiImpDi*:
 assumes $\vdash f \longrightarrow g$
 shows $\vdash\ di\ f \longrightarrow\ di\ g$
proof $-$
 have *1*: $\vdash f \longrightarrow g$ **using** *assms* **by** *auto*
 hence *2*: $\vdash f; \#True \longrightarrow g; \#True$ **by** (*rule LeftChopImpChop*)
 from *2* **show** *?thesis* **by** (*simp add*: *di-d-def*)
qed

lemma *BiImpBiRule*:
 assumes $\vdash f \longrightarrow g$
 shows $\vdash bi\ f \longrightarrow bi\ g$
proof $-$
 have *1*: $\vdash f \longrightarrow g$ **using** *assms* **by** *auto*
 hence *2*: $\vdash \neg\ g \longrightarrow \neg\ f$ **by** *auto*
 hence *3*: $\vdash\ di\ (\neg\ g) \longrightarrow\ di\ (\neg\ f)$ **by** (*rule DiImpDi*)
 hence *4*: $\vdash \neg\ (\ di\ (\neg\ f)) \longrightarrow \neg\ (\ di\ (\neg\ g))$ **by** *auto*
 from *4* **show** *?thesis* **by** (*simp add*: *bi-d-def*)
qed

lemma *DiEqvDi*:
 assumes $\vdash f = g$
 shows $\vdash\ di\ f =\ di\ g$
proof $-$
 have *1*: $\vdash f = g$ **using** *assms* **by** *auto*
 hence *2*: $\vdash f; \#True = g; \#True$ **by** (*rule LeftChopEqvChop*)
 from *2* **show** *?thesis* **by** (*simp add*: *di-d-def*)
qed

lemma *BiEqvBi*:
 assumes $\vdash f = g$
 shows $\vdash\ bi\ f =\ bi\ g$
proof $-$
 have *1*: $\vdash f = g$ **using** *assms* **by** *auto*
 hence *2*: $\vdash (\neg\ f) = (\neg\ g)$ **by** *auto*
 hence *3*: $\vdash\ di\ (\neg\ f) =\ di\ (\neg\ g)$ **by** (*rule DiEqvDi*)
 hence *4*: $\vdash (\neg\ (di\ (\neg\ f))) = (\neg\ (\ di\ (\neg\ g)))$ **by** *auto*
 from *4* **show** *?thesis* **by** (*simp add*: *bi-d-def*)
qed

lemma *LeftChopChopImpChopRule*:

assumes $\vdash (f; g) \longrightarrow g$
shows $\vdash (f; g); h \longrightarrow (g; h)$
proof $-$
 have $1: \vdash (f; g) \longrightarrow g$ **using** *assms* **by** *blast*
 hence $2: \vdash (f; g); h \longrightarrow g; h$ **by** (*rule LeftChopImpChop*)
 have $3: \vdash f; (g; h) = (f; g); h$ **by** (*rule ChopAssoc*)
 from $2\ 3$ **show** *?thesis* **by** *auto*
qed

lemma *AndChopCommute* :
$\vdash (f \wedge f1); g = (f1 \wedge f); g$
proof $-$
 have $1: \vdash (f \wedge f1) = (f1 \wedge f)$ **by** *auto*
 from 1 **show** *?thesis* **by** (*rule LeftChopEqvChop*)
qed

lemma *BiAndChopImport*:
$\vdash bi\ f \wedge (f1; g) \longrightarrow (f \wedge f1); g$
proof $-$
 have $1: \vdash f \longrightarrow (f1 \longrightarrow f \wedge f1)$ **by** *auto*
 hence $2: \vdash bi\ f \longrightarrow bi\ (f1 \longrightarrow f \wedge f1)$ **by** (*rule BiImpBiRule*)
 have $3: \vdash bi\ (f1 \longrightarrow (f \wedge f1)) \longrightarrow f1; g \longrightarrow (f \wedge f1); g$ **by** (*rule BiChopImpChop*)
 from $2\ 3$ **show** *?thesis* **using** *MP* **by** *fastforce*
qed

lemma *StateAndChopImport*:
$\vdash (init\ w) \wedge (f; g) \longrightarrow ((init\ w) \wedge f); g$
proof $-$
 have $1: \vdash (init\ w) \longrightarrow bi\ (init\ w)$ **by** (*rule StateImpBi*)
 hence $2: \vdash (init\ w) \wedge (f; g) \longrightarrow bi\ (init\ w) \wedge (f; g)$ **by** *auto*
 have $3: \vdash bi\ (init\ w) \wedge (f; g) \longrightarrow ((init\ w) \wedge f); g$ **by** (*rule BiAndChopImport*)
 from $2\ 3$ **show** *?thesis* **using** *MP* **by** *fastforce*
qed

7.4   Further Properties Di and Bi

lemma *ImpDi*:
$\vdash f \longrightarrow di\ f$
proof $-$
 have $1: \vdash f; empty = f$ **by** (*rule ChopEmpty*)
 have $2: \vdash empty \longrightarrow \#True$ **by** *auto*
 hence $3: \vdash f; empty \longrightarrow f; \#True$ **by** (*rule RightChopImpChop*)
 have $4 : \vdash f \longrightarrow f; \#True$ **using** $1\ 3$ **by** *fastforce*
 from 4 **show** *?thesis* **by** (*simp add*: *di-d-def*)
qed

lemma *DiState*:
$\vdash di\ (init\ w) = (init\ w)$
proof $-$
 have $0: \vdash (init\ (\neg w)) \longrightarrow bi\ (init\ (\neg w))$ **using** *StateImpBi* **by** *fastforce*

hence $1: \vdash \neg(init\ w) \longrightarrow bi\ (\neg\ (init\ w))$ **using** $Initprop(2)$ **by** ($metis\ inteq\text{-}reflection$)
hence $2: \vdash (\neg\ (init\ w)) \longrightarrow \neg\ (\ di\ (\neg\neg\ (init\ w)))$ **by** ($simp\ add:\ bi\text{-}d\text{-}def$)
have $3: \vdash (\neg\ (init\ w) \longrightarrow \neg\ (di\ (\neg\neg\ (init\ w)))) \longrightarrow (\ di\ (\neg\neg\ (init\ w)) \longrightarrow (init\ w))$ **by** $auto$
have $4: \vdash\ di\ (\neg\neg\ (init\ w)) \longrightarrow (init\ w)$ **using** $2\ 3\ MP$ **by** $blast$
have $5: \vdash (init\ w) \longrightarrow \neg\neg\ (init\ w)$ **by** $auto$
hence $6: \vdash\ di\ (init\ w) \longrightarrow\ di\ (\neg\neg\ (init\ w))$ **by** ($rule\ DiImpDi$)
have $7: \vdash\ di\ (init\ w) \longrightarrow (init\ w)$ **using** $6\ 4$ **using** $lift\text{-}imp\text{-}trans$ **by** $metis$
have $8: \vdash (init\ w) \longrightarrow\ di\ (init\ w)$ **by** ($rule\ ImpDi$)
from $7\ 8$ **show** $?thesis$ **by** $fastforce$
qed

lemma $StateChop$:
$\vdash (init\ w);\ f \longrightarrow (init\ w)$
using $DiState$ **by** ($auto\ simp:\ di\text{-}defs\ init\text{-}defs\ chop\text{-}defs$)

lemma $StateChopExportA$:
$\vdash ((init\ w) \land f);\ g \longrightarrow (init\ w)$
using $DiState$ **by** ($auto\ simp:\ init\text{-}defs\ chop\text{-}defs$)

lemma $StateAndChop$:
$\vdash ((init\ w) \land f);\ g = ((init\ w) \land (f;\ g))$
by ($simp\ add:\ AndChopB\ StateAndChopImport\ StateChopExportA\ Prop11\ Prop12$)

lemma $StateAndChopImpChopRule$:
assumes $\vdash (init\ w) \land f \longrightarrow f1$
shows $\vdash (init\ w) \land (f;\ g) \longrightarrow (f1;\ g)$
proof $-$
have $1: \vdash (init\ w) \land f \longrightarrow f1$ **using** $assms$ **by** $auto$
hence $2: \vdash ((init\ w) \land f);\ g \longrightarrow f1;\ g$ **by** ($rule\ LeftChopImpChop$)
have $3: \vdash ((init\ w) \land f);\ g = ((init\ w) \land (f;\ g))$ **by** ($rule\ StateAndChop$)
from $2\ 3$ **show** $?thesis$ **by** $fastforce$
qed

lemma $StateImpChopEqvChop$:
assumes $\vdash(init\ w) \longrightarrow (f = f1)$
shows $\vdash (init\ w) \longrightarrow ((f;\ g) = (f1;\ g))$
proof $-$
have $1: \vdash (init\ w) \longrightarrow (f = f1)$ **using** $assms$ **by** $auto$
hence $2: \vdash (init\ w) \land f \longrightarrow f1$ **by** $auto$
hence $3: \vdash (init\ w) \land (f;\ g) \longrightarrow (f1;\ g)$ **by** ($rule\ StateAndChopImpChopRule$)
have $4: \vdash (init\ w) \land f1 \longrightarrow f$ **using** 1 **by** $auto$
hence $5: \vdash (init\ w) \land (f1;\ g) \longrightarrow (f;\ g)$ **by** ($rule\ StateAndChopImpChopRule$)
from $3\ 5$ **show** $?thesis$ **by** $fastforce$
qed

lemma $ChopEqvStateAndChop$:
assumes $\vdash f = (init\ w) \land f1$
shows $\vdash (f;\ g) = ((init\ w) \land (f1;\ g))$
proof $-$
have $1: \vdash f = ((init\ w) \land f1)$ **using** $assms$ **by** $auto$

hence 2: $\vdash\ f;\ g\ =\ (((init\ w)\ \wedge\ f1);\ g)$ **by** $(rule\ LeftChopEqvChop)$
have 3: $\vdash\ ((init\ w)\ \wedge\ f1);\ g\ =\ ((init\ w)\ \wedge\ (f1;\ g))$ **by** $(rule\ StateAndChop)$
from $2\ 3$ **show** $?thesis$ **by** $fastforce$
qed

lemma $DiIntro$:
$\vdash f\ \longrightarrow\ di\ f$
proof $-$
  have 1: $\vdash f;\ empty\ =\ f$ **by** $(rule\ ChopEmpty)$
  have 2: $\vdash\ empty\ \longrightarrow\ \#True$ **by** $auto$
  hence 3: $\vdash \Box(\ empty\ \longrightarrow\ \#True)$ **by** $(rule\ BoxGen)$
  have 4: $\vdash \Box(\ empty\ \longrightarrow\ \#True)\ \longrightarrow\ (f;\ empty\ \longrightarrow\ f;\ \#True)$ **by** $(rule\ BoxChopImpChop)$
  have 5: $\vdash f;\ empty\ \longrightarrow\ f;\ \#True$ **using** $3\ 4\ MP$ **by** $fastforce$
  hence 6: $\vdash f;\ empty\ \longrightarrow\ di\ f$ **by** $(simp\ add:\ di\text{-}d\text{-}def)$
  from $1\ 6$ **show** $?thesis$ **by** $fastforce$
qed

lemma $BiElim$:
$\vdash\ bi\ f\ \longrightarrow f$
proof $-$
  have 1: $\vdash\neg\ f\ \longrightarrow\ di\ (\neg\ f)$ **by** $(rule\ DiIntro)$
  have 2: $\vdash (\neg\ f\ \longrightarrow\ di\ (\neg\ f))\ \longrightarrow\ (\neg\ (\ di\ (\neg\ f))\ \longrightarrow f)$ **by** $auto$
  have 3: $\vdash\neg\ (di\ (\neg\ f))\ \longrightarrow f$ **using** $1\ 2\ MP$ **by** $blast$
  from 3 **show** $?thesis$ **by** $(metis\ bi\text{-}d\text{-}def)$
qed

lemma $BiContraPosImpDist$:
$\vdash bi\ (\neg\ g\ \longrightarrow\neg\ f)\ \longrightarrow (bi\ f)\ \longrightarrow (bi\ g)$
proof $-$
  have 1: $\vdash bi\ (\neg\ g\ \longrightarrow\neg\ f)\ \longrightarrow (\ di\ (\neg\ g))\ \longrightarrow (\ di\ (\neg\ f))$ **by** $(rule\ BiImpDiImpDi)$
  hence 2: $\vdash bi\ (\neg\ g\ \longrightarrow\neg\ f)\ \longrightarrow (\neg\ (\ di\ (\neg\ f)))\ \longrightarrow (\neg\ (\ di\ (\neg\ g)))$ **by** $auto$
  from 2 **show** $?thesis$ **by** $(metis\ bi\text{-}d\text{-}def)$
qed

lemma $BiImpDist$:
$\vdash bi\ (f\longrightarrow g)\ \longrightarrow (bi\ f)\ \longrightarrow (bi\ g)$
proof $-$
 have 1: $\vdash (f\ \longrightarrow g)\ \longrightarrow (\neg\ g\ \longrightarrow\neg\ f)$ **by** $auto$
 hence 2: $\vdash\neg\ (\neg\ g\ \longrightarrow\neg\ f)\ \longrightarrow\neg\ (f\ \longrightarrow g)$ **by** $auto$
 hence 3: $\vdash bi\ (\neg\ (\neg\ g\ \longrightarrow\neg\ f)\ \longrightarrow\neg\ (f\ \longrightarrow g))$ **by** $(rule\ BiGen)$
 have 4: $\vdash bi\ (\neg\ (\neg\ g\ \longrightarrow\neg\ f)\ \longrightarrow\neg\ (f\ \longrightarrow g))$
     $\longrightarrow$
      $bi\ (f\ \longrightarrow g)\ \longrightarrow bi\ (\neg\ g\ \longrightarrow\neg\ f)$ **by** $(rule\ BiContraPosImpDist)$
 have 5: $\vdash bi\ (f\ \longrightarrow g)\ \longrightarrow bi\ (\neg\ g\ \longrightarrow\neg\ f)$ **using** $3\ 4\ MP$ **by** $blast$
 have 6: $\vdash bi\ (\neg\ g\ \longrightarrow\neg\ f)\ \longrightarrow (bi\ f)\ \longrightarrow (bi\ g)$ **by** $(rule\ BiContraPosImpDist)$
 from $5\ 6$ **show** $?thesis$ **using** $lift\text{-}imp\text{-}trans$ **by** $blast$
qed

lemma $IfChopEqvRule$:
 assumes $\vdash f\ =\ if_i\ (init\ w)\ then\ f1\ else\ f2$

shows $\vdash f ; g \;=\; \mathit{if}_i \;\; (\mathit{init}\; w) \;\;\mathit{then}\;\; (f1 ; g) \;\;\mathit{else}\;\; (f2 ; g)$
proof $-$
 have $1 \colon \vdash f \;=\; \mathit{if}_i \;\; (\mathit{init}\; w) \;\;\mathit{then}\;\; f1 \;\;\mathit{else}\;\; f2$
     using *assms* **by** *auto*
 hence $2 \colon \vdash f \;=\; (((\mathit{init}\; w) \wedge f1) \;\vee\; (\,(\mathit{init}\; (\neg\; w)) \wedge f2))$
     by (*simp add*: *ifthenelse-d-def init-defs Valid-def*)
 hence $3 \colon \vdash f ; g \;=\; (((\mathit{init}\; w) \wedge f1) ; g \;\vee\; (\;(\mathit{init}\; (\neg\; w)) \wedge f2) ; g)$
     by (*rule OrChopEqvRule*)
 have $4 \colon \vdash ((\mathit{init}\; w) \wedge f1) ; g \;=\; ((\mathit{init}\; w) \wedge (f1 ; g))$
     by (*rule StateAndChop*)
 have $5 \colon \vdash (\;(\mathit{init}\; (\neg\; w)) \wedge f2) ; g \;=\; ((\mathit{init}\; (\neg\; w)) \wedge (f2 ; g))$
     by (*rule StateAndChop*)
 have $6 \colon \vdash f ; g \;=\; (((\mathit{init}\; w) \wedge f1 ; g) \;\vee\; (\;(\mathit{init}\; (\neg\; w)) \wedge f2 ; g))$
     using *3 4 5* **by** *fastforce*
 from *6* **show** *?thesis* **by** (*simp add*: *ifthenelse-d-def init-defs Valid-def*)
qed

lemma *ChopOrEqvRule*:
 assumes $\vdash g \;=\; (g1 \;\vee\; g2)$
 shows $\vdash f ; g \;=\; ((f ; g1) \;\vee\; (f ; g2))$
proof $-$
 have $1 \colon \vdash g \;=\; (g1 \;\vee\; g2)$ **using** *assms* **by** *auto*
 hence $2 \colon \vdash f ; g \;=\; (f ; (g1 \;\vee\; g2))$ **by** (*rule RightChopEqvChop*)
 have $3 \colon \vdash f ; (g1 \;\vee\; g2) \;=\; (f ; g1 \;\vee\; f ; g2)$ **by** (*rule ChopOrEqv*)
 from *2 3* **show** *?thesis* **by** *fastforce*
qed

lemma *EmptyOrChopEqv*:
 $\vdash (\;\mathit{empty}\; \vee\; f) ; g \;=\; (g \;\vee\; (f ; g))$
proof $-$
 have $1 \colon \vdash (\;\mathit{empty}\; \vee\; f) ; g \;=\; ((\;\mathit{empty}\; ; g) \;\vee\; (f ; g))$ **by** (*rule OrChopEqv*)
 have $2 \colon \vdash \mathit{empty}\; ; g \;=\; g$ **by** (*rule EmptyChop*)
 from *1 2* **show** *?thesis* **by** *fastforce*
qed

lemma *EmptyOrNextChopEqv*:
 $\vdash (\;\mathit{empty}\; \vee\; \bigcirc f) ; g \;=\; (g \;\vee\; \bigcirc(f ; g))$
proof $-$
 have $1 \colon \vdash (\;\mathit{empty}\; \vee\; \bigcirc f) ; g \;=\; (g \;\vee\; ((\bigcirc f) ; g))$ **by** (*rule EmptyOrChopEqv*)
 have $2 \colon \vdash (\bigcirc f) ; g \;=\; \bigcirc(f ; g)$ **by** (*rule NextChop*)
 from *1 2* **show** *?thesis* **by** *fastforce*
qed

lemma *EmptyOrChopImpRule*:
 assumes $\vdash f \;\longrightarrow\; \mathit{empty}\; \vee\; f1$
 shows $\vdash f ; g \;\longrightarrow\; g \;\vee\; (f1 ; g)$
proof $-$
 have $1 \colon \vdash f \;\longrightarrow\; \mathit{empty}\; \vee\; f1$ **using** *assms* **by** *auto*
 hence $2 \colon \vdash f ; g \;\longrightarrow\; (\;\mathit{empty}\; \vee\; f1) ; g$ **by** (*rule LeftChopImpChop*)
 have $3 \colon \vdash (\;\mathit{empty}\; \vee\; f1) ; g \;=\; (g \;\vee\; (f1 ; g))$ **by** (*rule EmptyOrChopEqv*)

from *2 3* **show** *?thesis* **by** *fastforce*
qed

lemma *EmptyOrChopEqvRule*:
 assumes ⊢ *f* = (*empty* ∨ *f1*)
 shows ⊢ *f*; *g* = (*g* ∨ (*f1*; *g*))
proof −
 have *1*: ⊢ *f* = (*empty* ∨ *f1*) **using** *assms* **by** *auto*
 hence *2*: ⊢ *f*; *g* = ((*empty* ∨ *f1*); *g*) **by** (*rule LeftChopEqvChop*)
 have *3*: ⊢ (*empty* ∨ *f1*); *g* = (*g* ∨ (*f1*; *g*)) **by** (*rule EmptyOrChopEqv*)
 from *2 3* **show** *?thesis* **by** *fastforce*
qed

lemma *EmptyOrNextChopImpRule*:
 assumes ⊢ *f* ⟶ *empty* ∨ ○ *f1*
 shows ⊢ *f*; *g* ⟶ *g* ∨ ○(*f1*; *g*)
proof −
 have *1*: ⊢ *f* ⟶ *empty* ∨ ○ *f1* **using** *assms* **by** *auto*
 hence *2*: ⊢ *f*; *g* ⟶ (*empty* ∨ ○ *f1*); *g* **by** (*rule LeftChopImpChop*)
 have *3*: ⊢ (*empty* ∨ ○ *f1*); *g* = (*g* ∨ ○(*f1*; *g*)) **by** (*rule EmptyOrNextChopEqv*)
 from *2 3* **show** *?thesis* **by** *fastforce*
qed

lemma *EmptyOrNextChopEqvRule*:
 assumes ⊢ *f* = (*empty* ∨ ○ *f1*)
 shows ⊢ *f*; *g* = (*g* ∨ ○(*f1*; *g*))
proof −
 have *1*: ⊢ *f* = (*empty* ∨ ○ *f1*) **using** *assms* **by** *auto*
 hence *2*: ⊢ *f*; *g* = ((*empty* ∨ ○ *f1*); *g*) **by** (*rule LeftChopEqvChop*)
 have *3*: ⊢ (*empty* ∨ ○ *f1*); *g* = (*g* ∨ ○(*f1*; *g*)) **by** (*rule EmptyOrNextChopEqv*)
 from *2 3* **show** *?thesis* **by** *fastforce*
qed

lemma *ChopEmptyOrImpRule*:
 assumes ⊢ *g* ⟶ *empty* ∨ *g1*
 shows ⊢ *f*; *g* ⟶ *f* ∨ (*f*; *g1*)
proof −
 have *1*: ⊢ *g* ⟶ *empty* ∨ *g1* **using** *assms* **by** *auto*
 hence *2*: ⊢ *f*; *g* ⟶ (*f*; *empty*) ∨ (*f*; *g1*) **by** (*rule ChopOrImpRule*)
 have *3*: ⊢ *f*; *empty* = *f* **by** (*rule ChopEmpty*)
 from *2 3* **show** *?thesis* **by** *fastforce*
qed

lemma *StateAndEmptyImpBoxState*:
⊢ (*init w*) ∧ *empty* ⟶ □ (*init w*)
by (*simp add*: *init-defs empty-defs always-defs Valid-def*)

lemma *BoxEqvAndBox*:
⊢ □ *f* = (*f* ∧ □ *f*)
by (*simp add*: *always-defs Valid-def*) *fastforce*

lemma *NotBoxImpNotOrNotNextBox*:

$\vdash \neg(\square \ f) \longrightarrow \neg f \ \vee \ \neg(\bigcirc (\square \ f))$

proof $-$

  have $1: \vdash f \wedge (\bigcirc (\square \ f)) \longrightarrow \square \ f$

    using *BoxEqvAndEmptyOrNextBox* **by** *fastforce*

  hence $2: \vdash \neg(\square \ f) \longrightarrow \neg(f \wedge (\bigcirc (\square \ f)))$ **by** *fastforce*

  have $3: \vdash (\neg(f \wedge (\bigcirc (\square \ f)))) = (\neg f \ \vee \ \neg(\bigcirc (\square \ f)) \quad)$ **by** *auto*

  from *2 3* **show** *?thesis* **by** *auto*

qed

lemma *BoxStateChopBoxEqvBox*:

$\vdash \quad \square \ (init \ w); \square \ (init \ w) = \square \ (init \ w)$

proof $-$

  have $\quad 1: \vdash (\square \ (init \ w)) = ((init \ w) \wedge (\ empty \ \vee \ \bigcirc(\square \ (init \ w))))$

    by (*rule BoxEqvAndEmptyOrNextBox*)

  hence $\quad 2: \vdash (\square \ (init \ w); \square \ (init \ w)) =$

        $((init \ w) \wedge ((\ empty \ \vee \ \bigcirc(\square \ (init \ w))); \square \ (init \ w)))$

    by (*metis StateAndChop inteq-reflection*)

  have $\quad 3: \vdash ((\ empty \ \vee \ \bigcirc(\square \ (init \ w))); \square \ (init \ w)) =$

        $(\square \ (init \ w) \ \vee \ \bigcirc(\square \ (init \ w); \square \ (init \ w)))$

    by (*rule EmptyOrNextChopEqv*)

  have $\quad 4: \vdash (\square \ (init \ w); \square \ (init \ w)) =$

        $((init \ w) \wedge (\square \ (init \ w) \ \vee \ \bigcirc(\square \ (init \ w); \square \ (init \ w))))$

    using *2 3* **by** *fastforce*

  have $\quad 5: \vdash \neg \ (\square \ (init \ w)) \longrightarrow \neg \ (init \ w) \ \vee \ \neg(\bigcirc(\square \ (init \ w)))$

    by (*rule NotBoxImpNotOrNotNextBox*)

  have $\quad 6: \vdash (\square \ (init \ w); \square \ (init \ w)) \wedge \neg(\square \ (init \ w)) \longrightarrow$

        $\bigcirc(\square \ (init \ w); \square \ (init \ w)) \wedge \neg(\bigcirc(\square \ (init \ w)))$

    using *4 5* **by** *fastforce*

  hence $\quad 7: \vdash \square \ (init \ w); \square \ (init \ w) \longrightarrow \square \ (init \ w)$

    by (*rule NextContra*)

  have $\quad 11: \vdash \square \ (init \ w) = ((init \ w) \wedge \square \ (init \ w))$

    by (*rule BoxEqvAndBox*)

  have $\quad 12: \vdash empty \ ; \square \ (init \ w) = \square \ (init \ w)$

    by (*rule EmptyChop*)

  have $\quad 13: \vdash ((init \ w) \wedge \ empty \ ); \square \ (init \ w) = ((init \ w) \wedge (\ empty \ ; \square \ (init \ w)))$

    by (*rule StateAndChop*)

  have $\quad 14: \vdash \square \ (init \ w) = ((init \ w) \wedge \ empty \ ); \square \ (init \ w)$

    using *11 12 13* **by** *fastforce*

  have $\quad 15: \vdash (init \ w) \wedge \ empty \ \longrightarrow \square \ (init \ w)$

    by (*rule StateAndEmptyImpBoxState*)

  hence $16: \vdash ((init \ w) \wedge \ empty \ ); \square \ (init \ w) \longrightarrow \square \ (init \ w); \square \ (init \ w)$

    by (*rule LeftChopImpChop*)

  have $\quad 17: \vdash \square \ (init \ w) \longrightarrow \square \ (init \ w); \square \ (init \ w)$

    using *14 16* **by** *fastforce*

  from *7 17* **show** *?thesis* **by** *fastforce*

qed

lemma *NotBoxStateImpBoxYieldsNotBox*:

⊢ ¬(□ (*init w*)) ⟶ (□ (*init w*)) *yields* (¬(□ (*init w*)))
proof −
 have *1*: ⊢ □ (*init w*); □ (*init w*) = □ (*init w*) **by** (*rule BoxStateChopBoxEqvBox*)
 have *2*: ⊢ □ (*init w*) = (¬ ¬(□ (*init w*))) **by** *auto*
 hence *3*: ⊢ □ (*init w*); □ (*init w*) = □ (*init w*); (¬ ¬(□ (*init w*))) **by** (*rule RightChopEqvChop*)
 have *4*: ⊢ ¬(□ (*init w*)) ⟶ ¬ (□ (*init w*); (¬ ¬ (□ (*init w*)))) **using** *1 3* **by** *auto*
 from *4* **show** *?thesis* **by** (*simp add*: *yields-d-def*)
qed

lemma *StateEqvBi*:
⊢ (*init w*) = *bi* (*init w*)
proof −
 have *1*: ⊢ (*init w*) ⟶ *bi* (*init w*) **by** (*rule StateImpBi*)
 have *2*: ⊢ *bi* (*init w*) ⟶ (*init w*) **by** (*rule BiElim*)
 from *1 2* **show** *?thesis* **by** *fastforce*
qed

lemma *TrueChopEqvDiamond*:
⊢ #*True*; *f* = ◇ *f*
by (*simp add*: *sometimes-d-def*)

7.5 Properties of Da and Ba

lemma *DaEqvDtDi*:
⊢ *da f* = ◇ (*di f*)
proof −
 have *1*: ⊢ #*True*; (*f*; #*True*) = #*True*; (*f*; #*True*) **by** *auto*
 hence *2*: ⊢ #*True*; (*f*; #*True*) = #*True*; *di f* **by** (*simp add*: *di-d-def*)
 have *3*: ⊢ #*True*; *di f* = ◇(*di f*) **by** (*rule TrueChopEqvDiamond*)
 have *4*: ⊢ #*True*; (*f*; #*True*) = ◇(*di f*) **using** *2 3* **by** *fastforce*
 from *4* **show** *?thesis* **by** (*simp add*:*da-d-def*)
qed

lemma *DaEqvDiDt*:
⊢ *da f* = *di* (◇ *f*)
proof −
 have *1*: ⊢ #*True*; *f* = ◇ *f* **by** (*rule TrueChopEqvDiamond*)
 hence *2*: ⊢ (#*True*; *f*); #*True* = (◇ *f*); #*True* **by** (*rule LeftChopEqvChop*)
 hence *3*: ⊢ (#*True*; *f*); #*True* = *di*(◇ *f*) **by** (*simp add*: *di-d-def*)
 have *4*: ⊢ #*True*; (*f*; #*True*) = (#*True*; *f*); #*True* **by** (*rule ChopAssoc*)
 have *5*: ⊢ #*True*; (*f*; #*True*) = *di* (◇ *f*) **using** *3 4* **by** *fastforce*
 from *5* **show** *?thesis* **by** (*simp add*: *da-d-def*)
qed

lemma *DtDiEqvDiDt*:
⊢ ◇ (*di f*) = *di* (◇ *f*)
by (*metis ChopAssoc di-d-def sometimes-d-def*)

lemma *DiamondNotEqvNotBox*:

$\vdash \diamondsuit (\neg\ f) = (\neg\ (\square\ f))$
by (*simp add*: *always-d-def*)

lemma *BaEqvBiBt*:
$\vdash\quad ba\ \ f = bi(\ \square\ f)$
proof $-$
 have $1: \vdash\ da\ (\neg\ f) = di(\ \diamondsuit\ (\neg\ f))$ **by** (*rule DaEqvDiDt*)
 have $2: \vdash \diamondsuit\ (\neg\ f) = (\neg(\ \square\ f))$ **by** (*rule DiamondNotEqvNotBox*)
 hence $3: \vdash\ di\ (\diamondsuit(\neg\ f)) =\ di\ (\neg\ (\square\ f))$ **by** (*rule DiEqvDi*)
 have $4: \vdash\ da\ (\neg\ f) =\ di\ (\neg(\ \square\ f))$ **using** *1 3* **by** *fastforce*
 hence $5: \vdash (\neg\ (da\ (\neg\ f))) = (\neg\ (\ di\ (\neg(\ \square\ f))))$ **by** *auto*
 hence $6: \vdash (\neg\ (\ da\ (\neg\ f))) = bi(\ \square\ f)$ **by** (*simp add*: *bi-d-def*)
 from *6* **show** *?thesis* **by** (*simp add*: *ba-d-def*)
qed

lemma *DiNotEqvNotBi*:
$\vdash\quad di\ (\neg\ f) = (\neg(\ bi\ f))$
proof $-$
 have $1: \vdash bi\ f = (\neg\ (\ di\ (\neg\ f)))$ **by** (*simp add*: *bi-d-def*)
 from *1* **show** *?thesis* **by** *auto*
qed

lemma *NotDiamondNotEqvBox*:
$\vdash (\neg\ (\diamondsuit(\neg\ f))) = \square\ f$
by (*simp add*: *always-d-def*)

lemma *BaEqvBtBi*:
$\vdash\quad ba\ \ f = \square\ (bi\ f)$
proof $-$
 have $1: \vdash\ da\ (\neg\ f) = \diamondsuit\ (di\ (\neg\ f))$ **by** (*rule DaEqvDtDi*)
 have $2: \vdash\ di\ (\neg\ f) = (\neg\ (bi\ f))$ **by** (*rule DiNotEqvNotBi*)
 hence $3: \vdash \diamondsuit\ (di\ (\neg\ f)) = \diamondsuit(\neg\ (bi\ f))$ **by** (*rule DiamondEqvDiamond*)
 have $4: \vdash (\neg\ (\diamondsuit(\neg\ (bi\ f)))) = \square(bi\ f)$ **by** (*rule NotDiamondNotEqvBox*)
 have $5: \vdash (\neg\ (\ da\ (\neg\ f))) = \square(bi\ f)$ **using** *1 2 3 4* **by** *fastforce*
 from *5* **show** *?thesis* **by** (*simp add*: *ba-d-def*)
qed

lemma *BtBiEqvBiBt*:
$\vdash\quad \square\ (bi\ f) = bi(\ \square\ f)$
proof $-$
 have $1: \vdash\quad ba\ \ f = \square\ (bi\ f)$ **by** (*rule BaEqvBtBi*)
 have $2: \vdash\quad ba\ \ f = bi(\ \square\ f)$ **by** (*rule BaEqvBiBt*)
 from *1 2* **show** *?thesis* **by** *fastforce*
qed

lemma *BoxStateEqvBaBoxState*:
$\vdash\quad \square\ (init\ w) =\ ba\ (\square\ (init\ w))$
proof $-$
 have $1: \vdash (init\ w) = bi\ (init\ w)$ **by** (*rule StateEqvBi*)
 hence $2: \vdash \square\ (init\ w) = \square\ (bi\ (init\ w))$ **by** (*rule BoxEqvBox*)

have 3: ⊢ □ (bi (init w)) = bi(□ (init w)) **by** (rule BtBiEqvBiBt)
have 4: ⊢ □ (init w) = □(□ (init w)) **by** (rule BoxEqvBoxBox)
hence 5: ⊢ bi(□ (init w)) = bi (□(□ (init w))) **by** (rule BiEqvBi)
have 6: ⊢ ba(□ (init w)) = bi(□(□ (init w))) **by** (rule BaEqvBiBt)
from 2 3 5 6 **show** ?thesis **by** fastforce
qed

lemma BaImpBi:
⊢ ba f ⟶ bi f
proof −
have 1: ⊢ ba f = □(bi f) **by** (rule BaEqvBtBi)
have 2: ⊢ □(bi f) ⟶ bi f **by** (rule BoxElim)
from 1 2 **show** ?thesis **using** lift-imp-trans **by** fastforce
qed

lemma BaImpBt:
⊢ ba f ⟶ □ f
proof −
have 1: ⊢ ba f = bi(□ f) **by** (rule BaEqvBiBt)
have 2: ⊢ bi(□ f) ⟶ □ f **by** (rule BiElim)
from 1 2 **show** ?thesis **using** lift-imp-trans **by** fastforce
qed

lemma DiamondImpDa:
⊢ ◇ f ⟶ da f
by (metis DiIntro DiamondImpDiamond da-d-def di-d-def sometimes-d-def)

lemma DiImpDa:
⊢ di f ⟶ da f
by (metis NowImpDiamond da-d-def di-d-def sometimes-d-def)

lemma BoxAndChopImport:
⊢ □ h ∧ f; g ⟶ f; (h ∧ g)
proof −
have 1: ⊢ h ⟶ g ⟶ (h∧ g) **by** auto
hence 2: ⊢ □ h ⟶ □(g ⟶ (h∧ g)) **by** (rule ImpBoxRule)
have 3: ⊢ □(g ⟶ (h∧ g)) ⟶ f; g ⟶ f; (h∧ g) **by** (rule BoxChopImpChop)
from 2 3 **show** ?thesis **by** fastforce
qed

lemma BaAndChopImport:
⊢ ba f ∧ (g; g1) ⟶ (f ∧ g); (f ∧ g1)
proof −
have 1: ⊢ ba f ⟶ bi f **by** (rule BaImpBi)
have 2: ⊢ bi f ∧ (g; g1) ⟶ (f ∧ g); g1 **by** (rule BiAndChopImport)
have 3: ⊢ ba f ⟶ □ f **by** (rule BaImpBt)
have 4: ⊢ □ f ∧ (f ∧ g); g1 ⟶ (f ∧ g); (f ∧ g1) **by** (rule BoxAndChopImport)
from 1 2 3 4 **show** ?thesis **by** fastforce
qed

lemma *ChopAndCommute*:
⊢ *f*; (*g* ∧ *g1*) = *f*; (*g1* ∧ *g*)
proof −
 have *1*: ⊢ (*g* ∧ *g1*) = (*g1* ∧ *g*) **by** *auto*
 from *1* **show** *?thesis* **by** (*rule RightChopEqvChop*)
qed

lemma *ChopAndA*:
⊢ *f*; (*g* ∧ *g1*) ⟶ *f*; *g*
proof −
 have *1*: ⊢ (*g* ∧ *g1*) ⟶ *g* **by** *auto*
 from *1* **show** *?thesis* **by** (*rule RightChopImpChop*)
qed

lemma *ChopAndB*:
⊢ *f*; (*g* ∧ *g1*) ⟶ *f*; *g1*
proof −
 have *1*: ⊢ (*g* ∧ *g1*) ⟶ *g1* **by** *auto*
from *1* **show** *?thesis* **by** (*rule RightChopImpChop*)
qed

lemma *BoxStateAndChopEqvChop*:
⊢ (□ (*init w*) ∧ (*f*; *g*)) = ((□ (*init w*) ∧ *f*); (□ (*init w*) ∧ *g*))
proof −
 have *1*: ⊢ □ (*init w*) = *ba*(□ (*init w*))
    by (*rule BoxStateEqvBaBoxState*)
 have *2*: ⊢ *ba*(□ (*init w*)) ∧ (*f*; *g*) ⟶ (□ (*init w*) ∧ *f*); (□ (*init w*) ∧ *g*)
    by (*rule BaAndChopImport*)
 have *3*: ⊢ □ (*init w*) ∧ (*f*; *g*) ⟶ (□ (*init w*) ∧ *f*); (□ (*init w*) ∧ *g*)
    using *1 2* **by** *fastforce*
 have *11*: ⊢ (□ (*init w*) ∧ *f*); (□ (*init w*) ∧ *g*) ⟶ (□ (*init w*)); (□ (*init w*) ∧ *g*)
    by (*rule AndChopA*)
 have *12*: ⊢ (□ (*init w*)); (□ (*init w*) ∧ *g*) ⟶ (□ (*init w*)); (□ (*init w*))
    by (*rule ChopAndA*)
 have *13*: ⊢ (□ (*init w*)); (□ (*init w*)) = □ (*init w*)
    by (*rule BoxStateChopBoxEqvBox*)
 have *14*: ⊢ (□ (*init w*) ∧ *f*); (□ (*init w*) ∧ *g*) ⟶ *f*; (□ (*init w*) ∧ *g*)
    by (*rule AndChopB*)
 have *15*: ⊢ *f*; (□ (*init w*) ∧ *g*) ⟶ *f*; *g*
    by (*rule ChopAndB*)
 have *16*: ⊢ (□ (*init w*) ∧ *f*); (□ (*init w*) ∧ *g*) ⟶ □ (*init w*) ∧ (*f*; *g*)
    using *11 12 13 14 15* **by** *fastforce*
 from *3 16* **show** *?thesis* **by** *fastforce*
qed

lemma *DiEqvNotBiNot*:
 ⊢ *di f* = (¬(*bi* (¬ *f*)))
proof −
 have *1*: ⊢ *bi* (¬ *f*) = (¬ (*di* (¬ ¬ *f*))) **by** (*simp add*: *bi-d-def*)
 hence *2*: ⊢ *di* (¬ ¬ *f*) = (¬(*bi* (¬ *f*))) **by** *auto*

have $3 : \vdash f = (\neg\,\neg\,f)$ **by** *auto*
hence $4 : \vdash di\ f = di\ (\neg\,\neg\,f)$ **by** (*rule DiEqvDi*)
from $2\ 4$ **show** *?thesis* **by** *auto*
qed

lemma *ChopAndBoxImport*:
$\vdash\ f; g \wedge \Box\ h \longrightarrow f; (g \wedge h)$
proof $-$
have $1 : \vdash \Box\ h \wedge f; g \longrightarrow f; (h \wedge g)$ **by** (*rule BoxAndChopImport*)
have $2 : \vdash f; (h \wedge g) = f; (g \wedge h)$ **by** (*rule ChopAndCommute*)
from $1\ 2$ **show** *?thesis* **by** *fastforce*
qed

lemma *AndChopAndCommute*:
$\vdash\ (f \wedge g); (f1 \wedge g1) = (g \wedge f); (g1 \wedge f1)$
proof $-$
have $1 : \vdash (f \wedge g); (f1 \wedge g1) = (g \wedge f); (f1 \wedge g1)$ **by** (*rule AndChopCommute*)
have $2 : \vdash (g \wedge f); (f1 \wedge g1) = (g \wedge f); (g1 \wedge f1)$ **by** (*rule ChopAndCommute*)
from $1\ 2$ **show** *?thesis* **by** *fastforce*
qed

lemma *ChopImpChop*:
assumes $\vdash\ f \longrightarrow f1 \vdash g \longrightarrow g1$
shows $\vdash f; g \longrightarrow f1; g1$
proof $-$
have $1 : \vdash f \longrightarrow f1$ **using** *assms* **by** *auto*
hence $2 : \vdash f; g \longrightarrow f1; g$ **by** (*rule LeftChopImpChop*)
have $3 : \vdash g \longrightarrow g1$ **using** *assms* **by** *auto*
hence $4 : \vdash f1; g \longrightarrow f1; g1$ **by** (*rule RightChopImpChop*)
from $2\ 4$ **show** *?thesis* **by** *fastforce*
qed

lemma *ChopEqvChop*:
assumes $\vdash\ f = f1 \vdash g = g1$
shows $\vdash f; g = f1; g1$
proof $-$
have $1 : \vdash f = f1$ **using** *assms* **by** *auto*
hence $2 : \vdash f; g = f1; g$ **by** (*rule LeftChopEqvChop*)
have $3 : \vdash g = g1$ **using** *assms* **by** *auto*
hence $4 : \vdash f1; g = f1; g1$ **by** (*rule RightChopEqvChop*)
from $2\ 4$ **show** *?thesis* **by** *fastforce*
qed

lemma *BoxImpBoxImpBox*:
$\vdash \Box\ h \longrightarrow \Box(g \longrightarrow \Box\ h \wedge g\ )$
proof $-$
have $1 : \vdash \Box\ h \longrightarrow (g \longrightarrow \Box\ h \wedge g\ )$ **by** *auto*
hence $2 : \vdash \Box(\Box\ h) \longrightarrow \Box(g \longrightarrow \Box\ h \wedge g\ )$ **by** (*rule ImpBoxRule*)
have $3 : \vdash \Box\ h = \Box(\Box h)$ **by** (*rule BoxEqvBoxBox*)
from $2\ 3$ **show** *?thesis* **by** *fastforce*

qed

lemma *BoxChopImpChopBox*:
$\vdash \ \Box\ h \longrightarrow f;\ g \longrightarrow f;\ (\Box\ h \wedge g)$
proof −
 have *1*: $\vdash \Box\ h \longrightarrow \Box(g \longrightarrow \Box\ h \wedge g\ )$ **by** (*rule BoxImpBoxImpBox*)
 have *2*: $\vdash \Box(g \longrightarrow \Box\ h \wedge g\ ) \longrightarrow f;\ g \longrightarrow f;\ (\Box\ h \wedge g)$ **by** (*rule BoxChopImpChop*)
 from *1 2* **show** *?thesis* **by** *fastforce*
qed

lemma *NotChopEqvYieldsNot*:
$\vdash \ (\neg\ (f;\ g)) = f\ yields\ (\neg\ g)$
proof −
 have *1*: $\vdash g = (\neg\ \neg\ g)$ **by** *auto*
 hence *2*: $\vdash f;\ g = f;\ (\neg\ \neg\ g)$ **by** (*rule RightChopEqvChop*)
 hence *3*: $\vdash (\neg\ (f;\ g)) = (\neg\ (f;\ (\neg\ \neg\ g)))$ **by** *auto*
 from *3* **show** *?thesis* **by** (*simp add: yields-d-def*)
qed

lemma *NotDiFalse*:
$\vdash \ \neg\ (\ di\ \#False)$
proof −
 have *1*: $\vdash (init\ \#True) \longrightarrow bi\ (init\ \#True)$ **by** (*rule StateImpBi*)
 hence *2*: $\vdash \#True \longrightarrow bi\ \#True$ **by** (*auto simp: bi-defs*)
 have *3*: $\vdash \#True$ **by** *auto*
 have *4*: $\vdash bi\ \#True$ **using** *2 3 MP* **by** *auto*
 hence *5*: $\vdash \neg\ (\ di\ (\neg\ \#True))$ **by** (*simp add: bi-d-def*)
 have *6*: $\vdash (\neg\ \#True) = \#False$ **by** *auto*
 hence *7*: $\vdash \ di\ (\neg\ \#True) = \ di\ \#False$ **by** (*rule DiEqvDi*)
 from *5 7* **show** *?thesis* **by** *auto*
qed

lemma *StateAndEmptyChop*:
$\vdash \ ((init\ w) \wedge\ empty\ );\ f = ((init\ w) \wedge f)$
proof −
 have *1*: $\vdash ((init\ w) \wedge\ empty\ );\ f = ((init\ w) \wedge\ empty\ ;\ f)$ **by** (*rule StateAndChop*)
 have *2*: $\vdash\ empty\ ;\ f = f$ **by** (*rule EmptyChop*)
 from *1 2* **show** *?thesis* **by** *fastforce*
qed

lemma *StateAndNextChop*:
$\vdash \ ((init\ w) \wedge \bigcirc\ f);\ g = ((init\ w) \wedge \bigcirc(f;\ g))$
proof −
 have *1*: $\vdash ((init\ w) \wedge \bigcirc\ f);\ g = ((init\ w) \wedge (\bigcirc\ f);\ g)$ **by** (*rule StateAndChop*)
 have *2*: $\vdash (\bigcirc\ f);\ g = \bigcirc(f;\ g)$ **by** (*rule NextChop*)
 from *1 2* **show** *?thesis* **by** *fastforce*
qed

lemma *NextAndEqvNextAndNext*:
$\vdash \bigcirc\ (f \wedge g) = (\bigcirc\ f \wedge \bigcirc\ g)$

by (*auto simp*: *next-defs*)

lemma *NextStateAndChop*:
$\vdash \bigcirc(((init\ w) \wedge f); g) = (\bigcirc(init\ w) \wedge \bigcirc(f; g))$
proof −
 have *1*: $\vdash ((init\ w) \wedge f); g = ((init\ w) \wedge f; g)$ **by** (*rule StateAndChop*)
 hence *2*: $\vdash \bigcirc(((init\ w) \wedge f); g) = \bigcirc((init\ w) \wedge f; g)$ **by** (*rule NextEqvNext*)
 have *3*: $\vdash \bigcirc((init\ w) \wedge f; g) = (\bigcirc(init\ w) \wedge \bigcirc(f; g))$ **by** (*rule NextAndEqvNextAndNext*)
 from *2 3* **show** *?thesis* **by** *fastforce*
qed

lemma *StateYieldsEqv*:
$\vdash ((init\ w) \longrightarrow (f\ yields\ g)) = ((init\ w) \wedge f)\ yields\ g$
proof −
 have *1*: $\vdash ((init\ w) \wedge f); (\neg\ g) = ((init\ w) \wedge f; (\neg\ g))$ **by** (*rule StateAndChop*)
 hence *2*: $\vdash ((init\ w) \longrightarrow \neg (f; (\neg\ g))) = (\neg (((init\ w) \wedge f); (\neg\ g)))$ **by** *auto*
 from *2* **show** *?thesis* **by** (*simp add*: *yields-d-def*)
qed

lemma *StateAndDi*:
$\vdash ((init\ w) \wedge di\ f) = di\ ((init\ w) \wedge f)$
proof −
 have *1*: $\vdash ((init\ w) \wedge f); \#True = ((init\ w) \wedge f; \#True)$ **by** (*rule StateAndChop*)
 from *1* **show** *?thesis* **by** (*metis di-d-def inteq-reflection*)
qed

lemma *DiNext*:
$\vdash di(\bigcirc f) = \bigcirc(di\ f)$
proof −
 have *1*: $\vdash (\bigcirc f); \#True = \bigcirc(f; \#True)$ **by** (*rule NextChop*)
 from *1* **show** *?thesis* **by** (*simp add*: *di-d-def*)
qed

lemma *DiNextState*:
$\vdash di(\bigcirc(init\ w)) = \bigcirc(init\ w)$
proof −
 have *1*: $\vdash di(\bigcirc(init\ w)) = \bigcirc(di\ (init\ w))$ **by** (*rule DiNext*)
 have *2*: $\vdash di\ (init\ w) = (init\ w)$ **by** (*rule DiState*)
 hence *3*: $\vdash \bigcirc(di\ (init\ w)) = \bigcirc(init\ w)$ **by** (*rule NextEqvNext*)
 from *1 3* **show** *?thesis* **by** *fastforce*
qed

lemma *StateImpBiGen*:
 assumes $\vdash (init\ w) \longrightarrow f$
 shows $\vdash (init\ w) \longrightarrow bi\ f$
proof −
 have *1*: $\vdash (init\ w) \longrightarrow f$ **using** *assms* **by** *auto*
 hence *2*: $\vdash \neg\ f \longrightarrow \neg\ (init\ w)$ **by** *auto*
 hence *3*: $\vdash di\ (\neg\ f) \longrightarrow di\ (\neg\ (init\ w))$ **by** (*rule DiImpDi*)
 hence *4*: $\vdash di\ (\neg\ f) \longrightarrow di\ (init\ (\neg w))$ **by** (*metis Initprop(2) inteq-reflection*)

137

have $5: \vdash \ di\ (init\ (\neg\ w)) = \ (init\ (\neg\ w))$ **by** $(rule\ DiState)$
have $6: \vdash \ di\ (\neg\ f) \longrightarrow \neg\ (init\ w)$ **using** $4\ 5$ **using** $Initprop(2)$ **by** $fastforce$
hence $7: \vdash (init\ w) \longrightarrow \neg\ (\ di\ (\neg\ f))$ **by** $auto$
from 7 **show** $?thesis$ **by** $(simp\ add:\ bi\text{-}d\text{-}def)$
qed

lemma $ChopAndNotChopImp$:
$\vdash \ f; g \wedge \neg\ (f; g1) \longrightarrow f; (g \wedge \neg\ g1)$
proof $-$
have $1: \vdash g \longrightarrow (g \wedge \neg\ g1) \vee\ g1$ **by** $auto$
hence $2: \vdash f; g \longrightarrow f; ((g \wedge \neg\ g1) \vee\ g1)$ **by** $(rule\ RightChopImpChop)$
have $3: \vdash f; ((g \wedge \neg\ g1) \vee\ g1) \longrightarrow (f; (g \wedge \neg\ g1)) \vee\ (f; g1)$ **by** $(rule\ ChopOrImp)$
have $4: \vdash f; g \longrightarrow f; (g \wedge \neg\ g1) \vee\ f; g1$ **using** $2\ 3\ MP$ **by** $fastforce$
from 4 **show** $?thesis$ **by** $auto$
qed

lemma $ChopAndYieldsImp$:
$\vdash \ f; g \wedge f\ yields\ g1 \longrightarrow f; (g \wedge g1)$
proof $-$
have $1: \vdash g \longrightarrow (g \wedge g1) \vee\ \neg\ g1$ **by** $auto$
hence $2: \vdash f; g \longrightarrow f; ((g \wedge\ g1) \vee\ \neg\ g1)$ **by** $(rule\ RightChopImpChop)$
have $3: \vdash f; ((g \wedge\ g1) \vee\ \neg\ g1) \longrightarrow (f; (g \wedge\ g1)) \vee\ (f; (\neg\ g1))$ **by** $(rule\ ChopOrImp)$
have $4: \vdash f; g \longrightarrow f; (g \wedge\ g1) \vee\ f; (\neg\ g1)$ **using** $2\ 3\ MP$ **by** $fastforce$
hence $5: \vdash f; g \wedge \neg\ (f; (\neg\ g1)) \longrightarrow f; (g \wedge g1)$ **by** $auto$
from 5 **show** $?thesis$ **by** $(simp\ add:\ yields\text{-}d\text{-}def)$
qed

lemma $ChopAndYieldsMP$:
$\vdash \ f; g \wedge f\ yields\ (g \longrightarrow g1) \longrightarrow f; g1$
proof $-$
have $1: \vdash f; g \wedge f\ yields\ (g \longrightarrow g1) \longrightarrow f; (g \wedge (g \longrightarrow g1))$ **by** $(rule\ ChopAndYieldsImp)$
have $2: \vdash g \wedge (g \longrightarrow g1) \longrightarrow g1$ **by** $auto$
hence $3: \vdash f; (g \wedge (g \longrightarrow g1)) \longrightarrow f; g1$ **by** $(rule\ RightChopImpChop)$
from $1\ 3$ **show** $?thesis$ **by** $fastforce$
qed

lemma $OrYieldsImp$:
$\vdash \ (f \vee\ f1)\ yields\ g = ((f\ yields\ g) \wedge (f1\ yields\ g))$
proof $-$
have $1: \vdash ((f \vee\ f1); (\neg\ g)) = ((f; (\neg\ g)) \vee\ (f1; (\neg\ g)))$ **by** $(rule\ OrChopEqv)$
hence $2: \vdash (\neg\ ((f \vee\ f1); (\neg\ g))) = (\neg\ (f; (\neg\ g)) \wedge \neg(f1; (\neg\ g)))$ **by** $auto$
from 2 **show** $?thesis$ **by** $(simp\ add:\ yields\text{-}d\text{-}def)$
qed

lemma $LeftYieldsImpYields$:
assumes $\vdash \ f \longrightarrow f1$
shows $\vdash (f1\ yields\ g) \longrightarrow (f\ yields\ g)$
proof $-$
have $1: \vdash f \longrightarrow f1$ **using** $assms$ **by** $auto$
hence $2: \vdash f; (\neg\ g) \longrightarrow f1; (\neg\ g)$ **by** $(rule\ LeftChopImpChop)$

hence *3*: ⊢ ¬ (*f1*; (¬ *g*)) ⟶ ¬ (*f*; (¬ *g*)) **by** *auto*
from *3* **show** *?thesis* **by** (*simp add*: *yields-d-def*)
qed

lemma *LeftYieldsEqvYields*:
 assumes ⊢ *f* = *f1*
 shows ⊢ (*f yields g*) = (*f1 yields g*)
proof −
 have *1*: ⊢ *f* = *f1* **using** *assms* **by** *auto*
 hence *2*: ⊢ *f*; (¬ *g*) = *f1*; (¬ *g*) **by** (*rule LeftChopEqvChop*)
 hence *3*: ⊢ (¬ (*f*; (¬ *g*))) = (¬ (*f1*; (¬ *g*))) **by** *auto*
 from *3* **show** *?thesis* **by** (*simp add*: *yields-d-def*)
qed

7.6   Properties of Fin

lemma *FinEqvTrueChopAndEmpty*:
  ⊢ *fin f* = #*True*;(*f* ∧ *empty*)
proof −
 have *1*: ⊢*fin f* = □(*empty* ⟶ *f*)
    by (*simp add*: *fin-d-def*)
 have *2*: ⊢ □(*empty* ⟶ *f*) = (¬(◇(¬(*empty* ⟶ *f*))))
    by (*simp add*: *always-d-def*)
 have *3*: ⊢ (¬(*empty* ⟶ *f*)) = (¬ *f* ∧ *empty*)
    by *auto*
 hence *4*: ⊢ ◇(¬(*empty* ⟶ *f*)) = ◇(¬ *f* ∧ *empty*)
    using *DiamondEqvDiamond* **by** *blast*
 hence *5*: ⊢ ¬(◇(¬(*empty* ⟶ *f*))) = (¬(◇(¬ *f* ∧ *empty*)))
    by *auto*
 have *6*: ⊢ (¬(◇(¬ *f* ∧ *empty*))) = #*True*;(*f* ∧ *empty*)
    using *Finprop(4) sometimes-d-def* **by** (*metis int-eq int-simps(4)*)
 from *1 2 5 6* **show** *?thesis* **by** *fastforce*
qed

lemma *DiamondFin*:
⊢ ◇(*fin w*) = *fin w*
by (*metis DiamondDiamondEqvDiamond FinEqvTrueChopAndEmpty TrueChopEqvDiamond inteq-reflection*)

lemma *ChopFinExportA*:
⊢ *f*;(*g* ∧ *fin w*) ⟶ *fin w*
using *DiamondFin*
by (*metis ChopAndB ChopImpDiamond inteq-reflection lift-imp-trans*)

lemma *FinImpBox*:
⊢ *fin w* ⟶ □(*fin w*)
by (*metis BoxImpBoxBox fin-d-def*)

lemma *FinAndChopImport*:
⊢ (*fin w*) ∧ (*f*;*g*) ⟶ *f*;((*fin w*) ∧ *g*)

proof −
  have _1_: ⊢ _fin w_ ⟶ □(_fin w_) **by** (_rule FinImpBox_)
  hence _2_: ⊢ _fin w_ ∧ _f_;_g_ ⟶ □(_fin w_) ∧ (_f_;_g_) **by** _auto_
  have _3_: ⊢ □(_fin w_) ∧ (_f_;_g_) ⟶ _f_;((_fin w_) ∧ _g_) **using** _BoxAndChopImport_ **by** _blast_
  from _2 3_ **show** _?thesis_ **using** _MP_ **by** _fastforce_
qed

lemma _FinAndChop_:
⊢ (_f_;(_g_ ∧ _fin w_)) = (_fin w_ ∧ _f_;_g_)
using _FinAndChopImport ChopFinExportA ChopAndA ChopAndCommute_ **by** _fastforce_

lemma _ChopAndEmptyEqvEmptyChopEmpty_:
⊢ ((_f_;_g_) ∧ _empty_) = (_f_ ∧ _empty_);(_g_ ∧ _empty_)
by (_auto simp_: _empty-defs chop-defs_)

lemma _FinAndEmpty_:
⊢ ((_fin w_) ∧ _empty_) = (_w_ ∧ _empty_)
proof −
  have _1_: ⊢ ((_fin w_) ∧ _empty_) = (#_True_;(_w_ ∧ _empty_) ∧ _empty_)
      using _FinEqvTrueChopAndEmpty_ **by** _fastforce_
  have _2_: ⊢ (#_True_;(_w_ ∧ _empty_) ∧ _empty_) = ((#_True_ ∧ _empty_);(_w_ ∧ _empty_))
      using _ChopAndEmptyEqvEmptyChopEmpty_[_of LIFT_(#_True_) _LIFT_(_w_ ∧ _empty_)]
      by (_metis AndChopA ChopAndA ChopAndEmptyEqvEmptyChopEmpty Prop11 Prop12 int-eq_)
  have _3_: ⊢ (#_True_ ∧ _empty_);(_w_ ∧ _empty_) = (_empty_;(_w_ ∧ _empty_))
      using _LeftChopEqvChop_ **by** _fastforce_
  have _4_: ⊢ (_empty_;(_w_ ∧ _empty_)) = (_w_ ∧ _empty_)
      using _EmptyChop_ **by** _blast_
  from _1 2 3 4_ **show** _?thesis_ **by** _fastforce_
qed

lemma _AndFinEqvChopAndEmpty_:
⊢ (_f_ ∧ _fin g_) = _f_; (_g_ ∧ _empty_)
proof −
  have _1_: ⊢ (_f_ ∧ _fin g_) = (_f_;_empty_ ∧ _fin g_)
      using _ChopEmpty_ **by** (_metis int-eq_)
  have _2_: ⊢ (_fin g_ ∧ _f_;_empty_) = (_f_;(_empty_ ∧ _fin g_))
      using _FinAndChop_ **by** _fastforce_
  have _3_: ⊢ (_empty_ ∧ _fin g_) = (_fin g_ ∧ _empty_)
      by _auto_
  have _4_: ⊢ (_fin g_ ∧ _empty_) = (_g_ ∧ _empty_)
      using _FinAndEmpty_ **by** _metis_
  have _5_: ⊢ (_empty_ ∧ _fin g_) = (_g_ ∧ _empty_)
      using _3 4_ **by** _auto_
  hence _6_: ⊢ _f_;(_empty_ ∧ _fin g_) = _f_;(_g_ ∧ _empty_)
      using _RightChopEqvChop_ **by** _blast_
  from _1 2 5_ **show** _?thesis_ **by** (_metis inteq-reflection lift-and-com_)
qed

lemma _AndFinEqvChopStateAndEmpty_:
⊢ (_f_ ∧ _fin_ (_init w_)) = _f_; ((_init w_) ∧ _empty_)

using *AndFinEqvChopAndEmpty* **by** *blast*

lemma *FinStateEqvStateAndEmptyOrNextFinState*:
$\vdash$ *fin* (*init w*) = (((*init w*) $\wedge$ *empty*) $\vee$ $\bigcirc$(*fin* (*init w*)))
proof −
 have *1*: $\vdash$ *fin* (*init w*) = $\Box$(*empty* $\longrightarrow$ *init w*)
    by (*simp add*: *fin-d-def*)
 have *2* : $\vdash$ $\Box$(*empty* $\longrightarrow$ *init w*) =
          ((*empty* $\longrightarrow$ *init w*) $\wedge$ *wnext* ($\Box$ (*empty* $\longrightarrow$ *init w*)))
    by (*rule BoxEqvAndWnextBox*)
 have *3*: $\vdash$ *fin* (*init w*) = ((*empty* $\longrightarrow$ *init w*) $\wedge$ *wnext* (*fin* (*init w*)))
    using *1 2* **by** (*simp add*: *fin-d-def*)
 have *4*: $\vdash$ *wnext* (*fin* (*init w*)) = (*empty* $\vee$ $\bigcirc$ (*fin* (*init w*)))
    by (*rule WnextEqvEmptyOrNext*)
 have *5*: $\vdash$ *fin* (*init w*) = ((*empty* $\longrightarrow$ *init w*) $\wedge$ (*empty* $\vee$ $\bigcirc$ (*fin* (*init w*))))
    using *3 4* **by** *fastforce*
 have *6*: $\vdash$ ((*empty* $\longrightarrow$ *init w*) $\wedge$ (*empty* $\vee$ $\bigcirc$ (*fin* (*init w*)))) =
          (((*empty* $\longrightarrow$ *init w*) $\wedge$ *empty*) $\vee$ ((*empty* $\longrightarrow$ *init w*) $\wedge$ $\bigcirc$ (*fin* (*init w*))))
    by *auto*
 have *7*: $\vdash$ ((*empty* $\longrightarrow$ *init w*) $\wedge$ *empty*) = ((*init w*) $\wedge$ *empty*)
    by *auto*
 have *8*: $\vdash$ ((*empty* $\longrightarrow$ *init w*) $\wedge$ $\bigcirc$ (*fin* (*init w*))) = $\bigcirc$ (*fin* (*init w*))
    by (*metis 1 BoxElim DiamondFin NextDiamondImpDiamond int-eq lift-and-com*
       lift-imp-trans Prop10)
 have *9*: $\vdash$ (((*empty* $\longrightarrow$ *init w*) $\wedge$ *empty*) $\vee$ ((*empty* $\longrightarrow$ *init w*) $\wedge$ $\bigcirc$ (*fin* (*init w*)))) =
          ((*init w*) $\wedge$ *empty*) $\vee$ $\bigcirc$(*fin* (*init w*))
    using *7 8* **by** *auto*
 from *5 6 8 9* **show** *?thesis* **by** *fastforce*
qed

lemma *FinChopEqvOr*:
 $\vdash$ (*fin* (*init w*)); *f* = (((*init w*) $\wedge$ *f*) $\vee$ $\bigcirc$((*fin* (*init w*)); *f*))
proof −
 have *1*: $\vdash$ *fin* (*init w*) = (((*init w*) $\wedge$ *empty*) $\vee$ $\bigcirc$(*fin* (*init w*)))
    by (*rule FinStateEqvStateAndEmptyOrNextFinState*)
 hence *2*: $\vdash$ (*fin* (*init w*)); *f* = (((*init w*) $\wedge$ *empty*)$\vee$ $\bigcirc$(*fin* (*init w*))); *f*
    by (*rule LeftChopEqvChop*)
 have *3*: $\vdash$ (((*init w*) $\wedge$ *empty*)$\vee$ $\bigcirc$ (*fin* (*init w*))); *f*
         = (((*init w*) $\wedge$ *empty*); *f* $\vee$ ($\bigcirc$ (*fin* (*init w*))); *f*)
    by (*rule OrChopEqv*)
 have *4*: $\vdash$ ((*init w*) $\wedge$ *empty*); *f* = ((*init w*) $\wedge$ *f*)
    by (*rule StateAndEmptyChop*)
 have *5*: $\vdash$ ($\bigcirc$ (*fin* (*init w*))); *f* = $\bigcirc$((*fin* (*init w*)); *f*)
    by (*rule NextChop*)
 from *2 3 4 5* **show** *?thesis* **by** *fastforce*
qed

lemma *FinChopEqvDiamond*:
 $\vdash$ (*fin* (*init w*)); *f* = $\Diamond$ ((*init w*) $\wedge$ *f*)
proof −

have *1*: ⊢ (*fin* (*init w*)) = (#*True*;((*init w*) ∧ *empty*))
   by (*rule FinEqvTrueChopAndEmpty*)
hence *2*: ⊢ (*fin* (*init w*));*f* = (#*True*;((*init w*) ∧ *empty*));*f*
   by (*rule LeftChopEqvChop*)
have *3*: ⊢ #*True*;(((*init w*) ∧ *empty*);*f*) = (#*True*;((*init w*) ∧ *empty*));*f*
   by (*rule ChopAssoc*)
have *4*: ⊢ #*True*;(((*init w*) ∧ *empty*);*f*)= ◇ (((*init w*) ∧ *empty*);*f*)
   by (*simp add*: *sometimes-d-def*)
have *5*: ⊢ ((*init w*) ∧ *empty*);*f* = ((*init w*) ∧ *f*)
   using *StateAndEmptyChop* **by** *blast*
hence *6*: ⊢ ◇ (((*init w*) ∧ *empty*);*f*) = ◇ ((*init w*) ∧ *f*)
   by (*rule DiamondEqvDiamond*)
from *2 3 4 6* **show** *?thesis* **by** *fastforce*
qed

lemma *NotDiamondAndNot*:
⊢ ¬(◇ (*f* ∧ ¬ *f*))
proof −
 have *1*: ⊢ (¬(◇ (*f* ∧ ¬ *f*))) = □(¬(*f* ∧ ¬*f*)) **using** *NotDiamondNotEqvBox* **by** *fastforce*
 have *2*: ⊢ ¬(*f* ∧ ¬*f*) **by** *simp*
 have *3*: ⊢ □(¬(*f* ∧ ¬*f*)) **using** *2* **by** (*simp add*: *BoxGen*)
 from *1 3* **show** *?thesis* **by** *fastforce*
qed

lemma *FinYields*:
⊢ (*fin* (*init w*)) *yields* (*init w*)
proof −
 have *1*: ⊢ (*fin* (*init w*)); (¬(*init w*)) = ◇((*init w*) ∧ ¬(*init w*)) **by** (*rule FinChopEqvDiamond*)
 have *2*: ⊢ ¬(◇((*init w*) ∧ ¬ (*init w*))) **by** (*rule NotDiamondAndNot*)
 have *3*: ⊢ ¬ ((*fin* (*init w*)); (¬ (*init w*))) **using** *1 2* **by** *fastforce*
 from *3* **show** *?thesis* **by** (*simp add*: *yields-d-def*)
qed

lemma *ImpAndFinStateOrFinNotState*:
⊢ *f* ⟶ (*f* ∧ *fin* (*init w*)) ∨ *fin* (¬ (*init w*))
by (*simp add*: *fin-defs Valid-def*)

lemma *AndFinChopEqvStateAndChop*:
⊢ (*f* ∧ *fin* (*init w*)); *g* = *f*; ((*init w*) ∧ *g*)
proof −
 have *1*: ⊢ (*fin* (*init w*)) *yields* (*init w*)
   by (*rule FinYields*)
 have *2*: ⊢ *f* ∧ *fin* (*init w*) ⟶ *fin* (*init w*)
   by *auto*
 hence *3*: ⊢ (*fin* (*init w*)) *yields* (*init w*) ⟶ (*f* ∧ *fin* (*init w*)) *yields* (*init w*)
   by (*rule LeftYieldsImpYields*)
 have *4*: ⊢ (*f* ∧ *fin* (*init w*)) *yields* (*init w*)
   using *1 3 MP* **by** *fastforce*
 have *5*: ⊢ (*f* ∧ *fin* (*init w*)); *g* ∧ (*f* ∧ *fin* (*init w*)) *yields* (*init w*)
       ⟶ (*f* ∧ *fin* (*init w*)); (*g* ∧ (*init w*))

by (*rule ChopAndYieldsImp*)
have   6: ⊢ (*f* ∧   *fin* (*init w*)); *g* ⟶ (*f* ∧   *fin* (*init w*)); (*g* ∧ (*init w*))
    using *4 5* **by** *fastforce*
have   7: ⊢ (*f* ∧   *fin* (*init w*)); (*g* ∧ (*init w*)) ⟶ *f*; (*g* ∧ (*init w*))
    by (*rule AndChopA*)
have   8: ⊢ *g* ∧ (*init w*) ⟶ (*init w*) ∧ *g*
    by *auto*
hence   9: ⊢ *f*; (*g* ∧ (*init w*)) ⟶ *f*; ((*init w*) ∧ *g*)
    by (*rule RightChopImpChop*)
have 10: ⊢ (*f* ∧   *fin* (*init w*)); *g* ⟶ *f*; ((*init w*) ∧ *g*)
    using *6 7 9* **by** *fastforce*
have 11: ⊢ *f* ⟶ (*f* ∧   *fin* (*init w*)) ∨   *fin* (¬ (*init w*))
    by (*rule ImpAndFinStateOrFinNotState*)
hence 12: ⊢ *f*; ((*init w*) ∧ *g*) ⟶
      ((*f* ∧   *fin* (*init w*))∨   *fin* (¬ (*init w*))); ((*init w*) ∧ *g*)
    by (*rule LeftChopImpChop*)
have 13: ⊢ ((*f* ∧   *fin* (*init w*))∨   *fin* (¬ (*init w*))); ((*init w*) ∧ *g*)
      =
      ((*f* ∧   *fin* (*init w*)); ((*init w*) ∧ *g*) ∨ (*fin* (¬ (*init w*))); ((*init w*) ∧ *g*))
    by (*rule OrChopEqv*)
have 14: ⊢ (*fin*   (*init* (¬ *w*))); ((*init w*) ∧ *g*) ⟶ ◇((*init* (¬ *w*)) ∧ ((*init w*) ∧ *g*))
    using *FinChopEqvDiamond* **by** *fastforce*
have 141: ⊢ ¬(◇((*init* (¬ *w*)) ∧ ((*init w*) ∧ *g*))) ⟶
      ¬ ((*fin*   (*init* (¬ *w*))); ((*init w*) ∧ *g*))
    using *14* **by** *fastforce*
have 15: ⊢ ¬(◇((*init* (¬ *w*)) ∧ ((*init w*) ∧ *g*)))
    using *NotDiamondAndNot Initprop*(*2*) **by** (*auto simp: sometimes-defs init-defs*)
have 151: ⊢ ¬ ((*fin*   (*init* (¬ *w*))); ((*init w*) ∧ *g*))
    using *15 141* **by** *fastforce*
have 1511: ⊢ (*fin* (¬ (*init w*))); ((*init w*) ∧ *g*) ⟶ #*False*
    using *151* **by** (*metis Initprop*(*2*) *int-simps*(*14*) *inteq-reflection*)
have 152: ⊢ (*f* ∧   *fin* (*init w*)); ((*init w*) ∧ *g*) ∨ (*fin* (¬ (*init w*))); ((*init w*) ∧ *g*) ⟶
      (*f* ∧   *fin* (*init w*)); ((*init w*) ∧ *g*)
    using *1511* **by** *fastforce*
have 16: ⊢ *f*; ((*init w*) ∧ *g*) ⟶ (*f* ∧   *fin* (*init w*)); ((*init w*) ∧ *g*)
    using *12 13 152* **by** *fastforce*
have 17: ⊢ (*f* ∧   *fin* (*init w*)); ((*init w*) ∧ *g*) ⟶ (*f*∧   *fin* (*init w*)); *g*
    by (*rule ChopAndB*)
have 18: ⊢ *f*; ((*init w*) ∧ *g*) ⟶ (*f* ∧   *fin* (*init w*)); *g*
    using *16 17* **by** *fastforce*
from *10 18* **show** *?thesis* **by** *fastforce*
qed

lemma *DiAndFinEqvChopState*:
⊢   *di* (*f* ∧   *fin* (*init w*)) = *f*; (*init w*)
proof −
have   1: ⊢ (*f* ∧ *fin*(*init w*)); #*True* = *f*;((*init w*) ∧ #*True*) **by** (*rule AndFinChopEqvStateAndChop*)
have   2: ⊢ ((*init w*) ∧ #*True*) = (*init w*) **by** *auto*
hence   3: ⊢ (*f*; ((*init w*) ∧ #*True*)) = (*f*; (*init w*)) **by** (*rule RightChopEqvChop*)
have   4: ⊢ (*f* ∧   *fin* (*init w*)); #*True* = *f*; (*init w*) **using** *1 3* **by** *auto*

from 4 **show** *?thesis* **by** (*simp add*: *di-d-def*)
qed

lemma *FinNotStateEqvNotFinState*:
⊢ *fin* (*init* (¬ *w*)) = (¬(*fin* (*init w*)))
using *FinEqvTrueChopAndEmpty*
by (*metis* (*no-types*, *hide-lams*) *Finprop*(4) *Initprop*(2) *int-eq int-simps*(4) *int-simps*(7) *sometimes-d-def*)

lemma *BiImpFinEqvYieldsState*:
⊢ *bi* (*f* ⟶ *fin* (*init w*)) = *f yields* (*init w*)
proof −
 have 1: ⊢ *di* (*f* ∧ *fin* (*init* (¬ *w*))) = *f*; (*init* (¬ *w*))
    by (*rule DiAndFinEqvChopState*)
 have 2: ⊢ (*f* ∧ *fin*(*init* (¬ *w*))) = (*f* ∧ ¬(*fin*(*init w*)))
    using *FinNotStateEqvNotFinState* **by** *fastforce*
 have 3: ⊢ (*f* ∧ ¬ (*fin*(*init w*))) = (¬ (*f* ⟶ *fin* (*init w*)))
    by *auto*
 have 4: ⊢ (*f* ∧ *fin*(*init* (¬ *w*))) = (¬ (*f* ⟶ *fin*(*init w*)))
    using 2 3 **by** *fastforce*
 hence 5: ⊢ *di* (*f* ∧ *fin* (*init* (¬ *w*))) = *di* (¬ (*f* ⟶ *fin*(*init w*)))
    by (*rule DiEqvDi*)
 have 6: ⊢ *di* (¬ (*f* ⟶ *fin* (*init w*))) = (¬(*bi* (*f* ⟶ *fin*(*init w*))))
    by (*rule DiNotEqvNotBi*)
 have 7: ⊢ ¬ (*bi* (*f* ⟶ *fin* (*init w*))) = *f*;(*init* (¬ *w*))
    using 1 5 6 *Initprop* **by** *fastforce*
 hence 8: ⊢ *bi* (*f* ⟶ *fin* (*init w*)) = (¬ (*f*; (¬ (*init w*))))
    by (*metis Initprop*(2) *int-eq int-simps*(7))
 from 8 **show** *?thesis* **by** (*simp add*: *yields-d-def*)
qed

lemma *StateImpYields*:
 assumes ⊢ (*init w*) ∧ *f* ⟶ *fin* (*init w1*)
 shows ⊢ (*init w*) ⟶ (*f yields* (*init w1*))
proof −
 have 1: ⊢ (*init w*) ∧ *f* ⟶ *fin* (*init w1*) **using** *assms* **by** *auto*
 hence 2: ⊢ (*init w*) ⟶ (*f* ⟶ *fin* (*init w1*)) **by** *auto*
 hence 3: ⊢ (*init w*) ⟶ *bi* (*f* ⟶ *fin* (*init w1*)) **by** (*rule StateImpBiGen*)
 have 4: ⊢ *bi* (*f* ⟶ *fin* (*init w1*)) = *f yields* (*init w1*) **by** (*rule BiImpFinEqvYieldsState*)
 from 3 4 **show** *?thesis* **by** *fastforce*
qed

lemma *StateAndYieldsImpYields*:
 assumes ⊢ (*init w*) ∧ *f* ⟶ *f1*
 shows ⊢ (*init w*) ∧ (*f1 yields g*) ⟶ (*f yields g*)
proof −
 have 1: ⊢ (*init w*) ∧ *f* ⟶ *f1* **using** *assms* **by** *auto*
 hence 2: ⊢ (*init w*) ∧ (*f*; (¬ *g*)) ⟶ *f1*; (¬ *g*) **by** (*rule StateAndChopImpChopRule*)
 hence 3: ⊢ (*init w*) ∧ ¬ (*f1*; (¬ *g*)) ⟶ ¬ (*f*; (¬ *g*)) **by** *auto*
 from 3 **show** *?thesis* **by** (*simp add*: *yields-d-def*)
qed

lemma *AndYieldsA*:
⊢ *f yields g* ⟶ (*f* ∧ *f1*) *yields g*
proof −
 have *1*: ⊢ *f* ∧ *f1* ⟶ *f* **by** *auto*
 from *1* **show** *?thesis* **by** (*rule LeftYieldsImpYields*)
qed

lemma *AndYieldsB*:
⊢ *f1 yields g* ⟶ (*f* ∧ *f1*) *yields g*
proof −
 have *1*: ⊢ *f* ∧ *f1* ⟶ *f1* **by** *auto*
 from *1* **show** *?thesis* **by** (*rule LeftYieldsImpYields*)
qed

lemma *RightYieldsImpYields*:
 assumes ⊢ *g* ⟶ *g1*
 shows ⊢ (*f yields g*) ⟶ (*f yields g1*)
proof −
 have *1*: ⊢ *g* ⟶ *g1* **using** *assms* **by** *auto*
 hence *2*: ⊢ ¬ *g1* ⟶ ¬ *g* **by** *auto*
 hence *3*: ⊢ *f*; (¬ *g1*) ⟶ *f*; (¬ *g*) **by** (*rule RightChopImpChop*)
 hence *4*: ⊢ ¬ (*f*; (¬ *g*)) ⟶ ¬ (*f*; (¬ *g1*)) **by** *auto*
 from *4* **show** *?thesis* **by** (*simp add*: *yields-d-def*)
qed

lemma *RightYieldsEqvYields*:
 assumes ⊢ *g* = *g1*
 shows ⊢ (*f yields g*) = (*f yields g1*)
proof −
 have *1*: ⊢ *g* = *g1* **using** *assms* **by** *auto*
 hence *2*: ⊢ (¬ *g*) = (¬ *g1*) **by** *auto*
 hence *3*: ⊢ *f*; (¬ *g*) = *f*; (¬ *g1*) **by** (*rule RightChopEqvChop*)
 hence *4*: ⊢ (¬ (*f*; (¬ *g*))) = (¬ (*f*; (¬ *g1*))) **by** *auto*
 from *4* **show** *?thesis* **by** (*simp add*: *yields-d-def*)
qed

lemma *BoxImpYields*:
⊢ □ *g* ⟶ *f yields g*
proof −
 have *1*: ⊢ *f*; (¬ *g*) ⟶ ◇(¬ *g*) **by** (*rule ChopImpDiamond*)
 hence *2*: ⊢ ¬ (◇(¬ *g*)) ⟶ ¬ (*f*; (¬ *g*)) **by** *auto*
 from *2* **show** *?thesis* **by** (*simp add*: *yields-d-def always-d-def*)
qed

lemma *BoxEqvTrueYields*:
⊢ □ *f* = #*True yields f*
proof −
 have *1*: ⊢ #*True*; (¬ *f*) = ◇ (¬ *f*) **by** (*rule TrueChopEqvDiamond*)
 hence *2*: ⊢ (¬ (#*True*; (¬ *f*))) = (¬(◇ (¬ *f*))) **by** *auto*

have $3 : \vdash \Box f = (\neg (\Diamond (\neg f)))$ **by** (*simp add*: *always-d-def*)
have $4 : \vdash \Box f = (\neg (\#\mathit{True}; (\neg f)))$ **using** $2\ 3$ **by** *fastforce*
from 4 **show** *?thesis* **by** (*simp add*: *yields-d-def*)
qed

lemma *YieldsGen*:
 assumes $\vdash g$
 shows $\vdash f\ \mathit{yields}\ g$
proof $-$
  have $1 : \vdash g$ **using** *assms* **by** *auto*
  hence $2 : \vdash \Box g$ **by** (*rule BoxGen*)
  have $3 : \vdash \Box g \longrightarrow f\ \mathit{yields}\ g$ **by** (*rule BoxImpYields*)
  from $2\ 3$ **show** *?thesis* **using** *MP* **by** *fastforce*
qed

lemma *YieldsAndYieldsEqvYieldsAnd*:
 $\vdash ((f\ \mathit{yields}\ g) \land (f\ \mathit{yields}\ g1)) = f\ \mathit{yields}\ (g \land g1)$
proof $-$
have $1 : \vdash f; (\neg g \lor \neg g1) = ((f; (\neg g)) \lor (f; (\neg g1)))$ **by** (*rule ChopOrEqv*)
hence $2 : \vdash ((f; (\neg g)) \lor (f; (\neg g1))) = f; (\neg g \lor \neg g1)$ **by** *auto*
have $3 : \vdash (\neg g \lor \neg g1) = (\neg (g \land g1))$ **by** *auto*
hence $4 : \vdash f; (\neg g \lor \neg g1) = f; (\neg (g \land g1))$ **by** (*rule RightChopEqvChop*)
have $5 : \vdash (f; (\neg g)) \lor (f; (\neg g1)) = f; (\neg (g \land g1))$ **using** $2\ 4$ **by** *fastforce*
hence $6 : \vdash (\neg (f; (\neg g)) \land \neg (f; (\neg g1))) = (\neg (f; (\neg (g \land g1))))$ **by** (*auto simp*: *chop-defs*)
from 6 **show** *?thesis* **by** (*simp add*: *yields-d-def*)
qed

lemma *YieldsAndYieldsImpAndYieldsAnd*:
 $\vdash (f\ \mathit{yields}\ g) \land (f1\ \mathit{yields}\ g1) \longrightarrow (f \land f1)\ \mathit{yields}\ (g \land g1)$
proof $-$
have $1 : \vdash f\ \mathit{yields}\ g \longrightarrow (f \land f1)\ \mathit{yields}\ g$
    by (*rule AndYieldsA*)
have $2 : \vdash f1\ \mathit{yields}\ g1 \longrightarrow (f \land f1)\ \mathit{yields}\ g1$
    by (*rule AndYieldsB*)
have $3 : \vdash ((f \land f1)\ \mathit{yields}\ g \land (f \land f1)\ \mathit{yields}\ g1) = (f \land f1)\ \mathit{yields}\ (g \land g1)$
    by (*rule YieldsAndYieldsEqvYieldsAnd*)
from $1\ 2\ 3$ **show** *?thesis* **by** *fastforce*
qed

lemma *YieldsYieldsEqvChopYields*:
 $\vdash f\ \mathit{yields}\ (g\ \mathit{yields}\ h) = (f; g)\ \mathit{yields}\ h$
proof $-$
have $1 : \vdash f; (g; (\neg h)) = (f; g); (\neg h)$ **by** (*rule ChopAssoc*)
hence $2 : \vdash f; (g; (\neg h)) = (f; g); (\neg h)$ **by** *auto*
have $3 : \vdash g; (\neg h) = (\neg \neg (g; (\neg h)))$ **by** *auto*
hence $4 : \vdash f; (g; (\neg h)) = f; (\neg \neg (g; (\neg h)))$ **by** (*rule RightChopEqvChop*)
have $5 : \vdash f; (\neg \neg (g; (\neg h))) = (f; g); (\neg h)$ **using** $2\ 4$ **by** *auto*
hence $6 : \vdash f; (\neg (g\ \mathit{yields}\ h)) = (f; g); (\neg h)$ **by** (*simp add*: *yields-d-def*)
hence $7 : \vdash (\neg (f; (\neg (g\ \mathit{yields}\ h)))) = (\neg ((f; g); (\neg h)))$ **by** *auto*
from 7 **show** *?thesis* **by** (*simp add*: *yields-d-def*)

qed

lemma *EmptyYields*:
⊢   *empty*   *yields* $f = f$
proof −
 have *1*: ⊢ *empty* ; (¬ *f*) = (¬ *f*) **by** (*rule EmptyChop*)
 hence *2*: ⊢ (¬ (*empty* ; (¬ *f*))) = *f* **by** *auto*
 from *2* **show** *?thesis* **by** (*simp add*: *yields-d-def*)
qed

lemma *NextYields*:
⊢   (○ *f*) *yields* $g = wnext$ (*f yields* *g*)
proof −
 have *1*: ⊢ (○ *f*); (¬ *g*) = ○(*f*; (¬ *g*)) **by** (*rule NextChop*)
 hence *2*: ⊢ (¬ ((○ *f*); (¬ *g*))) = (¬ (○(*f*; (¬ *g*)))) **by** *auto*
 hence *3*: ⊢ (○ *f*) *yields* g = (¬ (○(*f*; (¬ *g*)))) **by** (*simp add*: *yields-d-def*)
 have *4*: ⊢ (¬(○(*f*; (¬ *g*)))) = *wnext* (¬ (*f*; (¬ *g*))) **by** (*auto simp*: *wnext-d-def*)
 have *5*: ⊢ (○ *f*) *yields* g = *wnext* (¬ (*f*; (¬ *g*))) **using** *3 4* **by** *fastforce*
 from *5* **show** *?thesis* **by** (*simp add*: *yields-d-def*)
qed

lemma *SkipChopEqvNext*:
 ⊢   *skip* ; f = ○ *f*
by (*simp add*: *next-d-def*)

lemma *SkipYieldsEqvWeakNext*:
⊢   *skip* *yields* $f = wnext$ *f*
proof −
 have *1*: ⊢ *skip* ; (¬ *f*) = ○(¬ *f*) **by** (*rule SkipChopEqvNext*)
 hence *2*: ⊢ (¬ (*skip* ; (¬ *f*))) = (¬(○(¬ *f*))) **by** *auto*
 have *3*: ⊢ (¬ (○(¬ *f*))) = *wnext* *f* **by** (*auto simp*: *wnext-d-def*)
 have *4*: ⊢ (¬ (*skip* ; (¬ *f*))) = *wnext* *f* **using** *2 3* **by** *fastforce*
 from *4* **show** *?thesis* **by** (*simp add*: *yields-d-def*)
qed

lemma *NextImpSkipYields*:
⊢ ○ *f* ⟶ *skip* *yields* *f*
proof −
 have *1*: ⊢ ○ *f* ⟶ *wnext* *f* **using** *WnextEqvEmptyOrNext* **by** *fastforce*
 have *2*: ⊢ *skip* *yields* f = *wnext* *f* **by** (*rule SkipYieldsEqvWeakNext*)
 from *1 2* **show** *?thesis* **by** *fastforce*
qed

lemma *MoreEqvSkipChopTrue*:
⊢   *more* = *skip* ; #*True*
proof −
 have *1*: ⊢ *skip* ; #*True* = ○#*True* **by** (*rule SkipChopEqvNext*)
 hence *2*: ⊢ ○#*True* = *skip* ; #*True* **by** *auto*
 from *2* **show** *?thesis* **by** (*simp add*: *more-d-def*)
qed

lemma *MoreChopImpMore*:

$\vdash$ *more* ; $f \longrightarrow$ *more*

proof $-$

have *1*: $\vdash (\bigcirc \# True)$; $f = \bigcirc(\# True; f)$ **by** (*rule NextChop*)

have *2*: $\vdash \bigcirc(\# True; f) \longrightarrow$ *more* **by** (*auto simp*: *more-defs next-defs*)

have *3*: $\vdash (\bigcirc \# True; f) \longrightarrow$ *more* **using** *1 2* **by** *fastforce*

from *3* **show** *?thesis* **by** (*metis more-d-def*)

qed

lemma *ChopMoreImpMore*:

$\vdash$ f; *more* $\longrightarrow$ *more*

proof $-$

have *1*: $\vdash f$; *more* $\longrightarrow \Diamond$ *more* **by** (*rule ChopImpDiamond*)

have *2*: $\vdash \Diamond$ *more* $\longrightarrow$ *more* **by** (*auto simp*: *more-defs sometimes-defs*)

from *1 2* **show** *?thesis* **by** *fastforce*

qed

lemma *MoreChopEqvNextDiamond*:

$\vdash$ *more* ; $f = \bigcirc(\Diamond f)$

proof $-$

have *1*: $\vdash$ *more* ; $f = (\bigcirc \# True)$; f **by** (*simp add*: *more-d-def*)

have *2*: $\vdash (\bigcirc \# True)$; $f = \bigcirc(\# True; f)$ **by** (*rule NextChop*)

have *3*: $\vdash$ *more* ; $f = \bigcirc(\# True; f)$ **using** *1 2* **by** *fastforce*

from *3* **show** *?thesis* **by** (*simp add*: *sometimes-d-def*)

qed

lemma *WeakNextBoxImpMoreYields*:

$\vdash$ *more yields* $f = wnext(\Box f)$

proof $-$

have *1*: $\vdash$ *more* ; $(\neg f) = \bigcirc(\Diamond (\neg f))$ **by** (*rule MoreChopEqvNextDiamond*)

have *2*: $\vdash \bigcirc(\Diamond (\neg f)) = \bigcirc(\neg(\Box f))$ **by** (*auto simp*: *always-d-def*)

have *3*: $\vdash \bigcirc(\neg(\Box f)) = (\neg (wnext(\Box f)))$ **by** (*auto simp*: *wnext-d-def*)

have *4*: $\vdash$ *more* ; $(\neg f) = (\neg(more\ yields\ f))$ **by** (*simp add*: *yields-d-def*)

from *1 2 3 4* **show** *?thesis* **by** *fastforce*

qed

lemma *NotEqvYieldsMore*:

$\vdash (\neg f) = f\ yields\ more$

proof $-$

have *1*: $\vdash f$; *empty* $= f$ **by** (*rule ChopEmpty*)

hence *2*: $\vdash (\neg (f; empty)) = (\neg f)$ **by** *auto*

have *3*: $\vdash$ *empty* $= (\neg more)$ **by** (*auto simp*: *empty-d-def*)

hence *4*: $\vdash f$; *empty* $= f$; $(\neg more)$ **by** (*rule RightChopEqvChop*)

hence *5*: $\vdash (\neg (f; empty)) = (\neg (f; (\neg more)))$ **by** *auto*

have *6*: $\vdash (\neg f) = (\neg (f; (\neg more)))$ **using** *2 5* **by** *fastforce*

from *6* **show** *?thesis* **by** (*metis yields-d-def*)

qed

lemma *LeftChopImpMoreRule*:

assumes ⊢ $f \longrightarrow$ *more*
shows ⊢ f ; $g \longrightarrow$ *more*
proof −
 have 1: ⊢ $f \longrightarrow$ *more* **using** *assms* **by** *auto*
 hence 2: ⊢ f ; $g \longrightarrow$ *more* ; g **by** (*rule LeftChopImpChop*)
 have 3: ⊢   *more* ; $g \longrightarrow$ *more*   **by** (*rule MoreChopImpMore*)
 from 2 3 **show** *?thesis* **using** *lift-imp-trans* **by** *blast*
qed

lemma *RightChopImpMoreRule*:
 assumes ⊢ $g \longrightarrow$ *more*
 shows   ⊢ f ; $g \longrightarrow$ *more*
proof −
 have 1: ⊢ $g \longrightarrow$ *more* **using** *assms* **by** *auto*
 hence 2: ⊢ f ; $g \longrightarrow f$;   *more*   **by** (*rule RightChopImpChop*)
 have 3: ⊢ f ;   *more* $\longrightarrow$ *more*   **by** (*rule ChopMoreImpMore*)
 from 2 3 **show** *?thesis* **using** *lift-imp-trans* **by** *blast*
qed

lemma *NotDiEqvBiNot*:
 ⊢ $(\neg \, (\; di \;\; f)) = bi \, (\neg \;\; f)$
proof −
 have 1: ⊢ $f = (\neg \, \neg \;\; f)$ **by** *auto*
 hence 2: ⊢ $di \;\; f = \;\; di \, (\neg \, \neg \;\; f)$ **by** (*rule DiEqvDi*)
 hence 3: ⊢ $(\neg \, (\; di \;\; f)) = (\neg \, (\; di \, (\neg \, \neg \;\; f)))$ **by** *auto*
 from 3 **show** *?thesis* **by** (*simp add*: *bi-d-def*)
qed

lemma *ChopImpDi*:
 ⊢ f ; $g \longrightarrow \; di \;\; f$
proof −
 have 1: ⊢ $g \longrightarrow \# True$ **by** *auto*
 hence 2: ⊢ f ; $g \longrightarrow f$; $\# True$ **by** (*rule RightChopImpChop*)
 from 2 **show** *?thesis* **by** (*simp add*: *di-d-def*)
qed

lemma *TrueEqvTrueChopTrue*:
 ⊢ $\# True = \# True$; $\# True$
proof −
 have 1: ⊢ $\# True$; $\# True \longrightarrow \# True$ **by** *auto*
 have 2: ⊢ $\# True \longrightarrow \; di \; \# True$ **by** (*rule DiIntro*)
 hence 3: ⊢ $\# True \longrightarrow \# True$; $\# True$ **by** (*simp add*: *di-d-def*)
 from 1 3 **show** *?thesis* **by** *auto*
qed

lemma *DiEqvDiDi*:
 ⊢ $di \;\; f = \; di \, (\; di \;\; f)$
proof −
 have 1: ⊢ $\# True = \# True$; $\# True$ **by** (*rule TrueEqvTrueChopTrue*)
 hence 2: ⊢ f ; $\# True = f$; $(\# True$; $\# True)$ **by** (*rule RightChopEqvChop*)

have *3*: ⊢ *f*; (#*True*; #*True*)= (*f*; #*True*); #*True*   **by** (*rule ChopAssoc*)
have *4*: ⊢ *f*; #*True* = (*f*; #*True*); #*True* **using** *2 3* **by** *fastforce*
from *4* **show** *?thesis* **by** (*metis di-d-def*)
qed

lemma *BiEqvBiBi*:
 ⊢   *bi f* = *bi*(*bi f*)
proof −
have *1*: ⊢ *di* (¬ *f*) = *di*(*di* (¬ *f*)) **by** (*rule DiEqvDiDi*)
have *2*: ⊢ *di* (¬ *f*) = (¬ (*bi f*)) **by** (*rule DiNotEqvNotBi*)
hence *3*: ⊢ *di* (*di* (¬ *f*)) = *di* (¬ (*bi f*)) **by** (*rule DiEqvDi*)
have *4*: ⊢ *di* (¬ *f*) = *di* (¬(*bi f*)) **using** *1 3* **by** *fastforce*
hence *5*: ⊢ (¬ (*di* (¬ *f*))) = (¬ (*di* (¬ (*bi f*)))) **by** *fastforce*
from *5* **show** *?thesis* **by** (*metis bi-d-def*)
qed

lemma *DiOrEqv*:
 ⊢   *di* (*f* ∨ *g*) = (*di f* ∨   *di g*)
proof −
have *1*: ⊢ (*f*∨ *g*); #*True* = (*f*; #*True* ∨ *g*; #*True*) **by** (*rule OrChopEqv*)
from *1* **show** *?thesis* **by** (*simp add*: *di-d-def*)
qed

lemma *DiAndA*:
 ⊢   *di* (*f* ∧ *g*) ⟶ *di f*
proof −
have *1*: ⊢ (*f* ∧ *g*); #*True* ⟶ *f*; #*True* **by** (*rule AndChopA*)
from *1* **show** *?thesis* **by** (*simp add*: *di-d-def*)
qed

lemma *DiAndB*:
 ⊢   *di* (*f* ∧ *g*) ⟶ *di g*
proof −
have *1*: ⊢ (*f* ∧ *g*); #*True* ⟶ *g*; #*True* **by** (*rule AndChopB*)
from *1* **show** *?thesis* **by** (*simp add*: *di-d-def*)
qed

lemma *DiAndImpAnd*:
 ⊢   *di* (*f* ∧ *g*) ⟶ *di f* ∧ *di g*
proof −
have *1*: ⊢ *di* (*f* ∧ *g*) ⟶ *di f* **by** (*rule DiAndA*)
have *2*: ⊢ *di* (*f* ∧ *g*) ⟶ *di g* **by** (*rule DiAndB*)
from *1 2* **show** *?thesis* **by** *fastforce*
qed

lemma *DiSkipEqvMore*:
 ⊢   *di skip* = *more*
proof −
have *1*: ⊢ *skip* ; #*True* = ○#*True* **by** (*rule SkipChopEqvNext*)
have *2*: ⊢ ○#*True* = *more* **by** (*auto simp*: *more-d-def*)

have *3*: ⊢ *skip* ; #*True* = *more* **using** *1 2* **by** *fastforce*
from *3* **show** *?thesis* **by** (*simp add*: *di-d-def*)
qed

lemma *DiMoreEqvMore*:
⊢ *di more* = *more*
proof −
have *1*: ⊢ *di* (○ #*True*) = ○(*di* #*True*) **by** (*rule DiNext*)
have *2*: ⊢ ○(*di* #*True*) ⟶ *more* **by** (*auto simp*: *next-defs di-defs more-defs*)
have *3*: ⊢ *di*(○ #*True*) ⟶ *more* **using** *1 2* **by** *fastforce*
hence *4*: ⊢ *di more* ⟶ *more* **by** (*simp add*: *more-d-def*)
have *5*: ⊢ *more* ⟶ *di more* **by** (*rule ImpDi*)
from *4 5* **show** *?thesis* **by** *fastforce*
qed

lemma *DiIfEqvRule*:
assumes ⊢ *f* = *if*$_i$ (*init w*) *then g else h*
shows ⊢ *di f* = *if*$_i$ (*init w*) *then* (*di g*) *else* (*di h*)
proof −
have *1*: ⊢ *f* = *if*$_i$ (*init w*) *then g else h* **using** *assms* **by** *auto*
hence *2*: ⊢ *f*; #*True* = *if*$_i$ (*init w*) *then* (*g*; #*True*) *else* (*h*; #*True*) **by** (*rule IfChopEqvRule*)
from *2* **show** *?thesis* **by** (*simp add*: *di-d-def*)
qed

lemma *DiEmpty*:
⊢ *di empty*
proof −
have *1*: ⊢ #*True* **by** *auto*
have *2*: ⊢ *empty* ; #*True* = #*True* **by** (*rule EmptyChop*)
have *3*: ⊢ *empty* ; #*True* **using** *1 2* **by** *auto*
from *3* **show** *?thesis* **by** (*simp add*: *di-d-def*)
qed

lemma *DaNotEqvNotBa*:
⊢ *da* (¬ *f*) = (¬ (*ba f*))
proof −
have *1*: ⊢ *ba f* = (¬ (*da* (¬ *f*))) **by** (*simp add*: *ba-d-def*)
from *1* **show** *?thesis* **by** *fastforce*
qed

lemma *DaEqvDa*:
assumes ⊢ *f* = *g*
shows ⊢ *da f* = *da g*
using *assms* **using** *int-eq* **by** *force*

lemma *DaEqvNotBaNot*:
⊢ *da f* = (¬ (*ba* (¬ *f*)))
proof −
have *1*: ⊢ *ba* (¬ *f*) = (¬ (*da* (¬ ¬ *f*))) **by** (*simp add*: *ba-d-def*)

hence 2: $\vdash \ da \ (\neg\ \neg \ f) = (\neg(\ ba \ (\neg\ f)))$ **by** *fastforce*
have 3: $\vdash f = (\neg\ \neg\ f)$ **by** *simp*
hence 4: $\vdash \ da \ f = \ da \ (\neg\ \neg\ f)$ **by** (*rule DaEqvDa*)
from $2 \ 4$ **show** *?thesis* **by** *simp*
qed

lemma *BaElim*:
$\vdash \quad ba \ f \longrightarrow f$
proof $-$
have 1: $\vdash \ ba \ f = \Box(bi \ f)$ **by** (*rule BaEqvBtBi*)
have 2: $\vdash bi \ f \longrightarrow f$ **by** (*rule BiElim*)
hence 3: $\vdash \Box(bi \ f \longrightarrow f)$ **by** (*rule BoxGen*)
have 4: $\vdash \Box(bi \ f \longrightarrow f) \longrightarrow \Box(bi \ f) \longrightarrow \Box f$ **by** (*rule BoxImpDist*)
have 5: $\vdash \Box(bi \ f) \longrightarrow \Box f$ **using** $3 \ 4$ *MP* **by** *fastforce*
have 6: $\vdash \Box f \longrightarrow f$ **by** (*rule BoxElim*)
from $1 \ 5 \ 6$ **show** *?thesis* **using** *BaImpBt lift-imp-trans* **by** *metis*
qed

lemma *DaIntro*:
$\vdash \quad f \longrightarrow \ da \ f$
proof $-$
have 1: $\vdash \ ba \ (\neg\ f) \longrightarrow (\neg\ f)$ **by** (*rule BaElim*)
hence 2: $\vdash \neg\ \neg\ f \longrightarrow \neg\ (\ ba \ (\neg\ f))$ **by** *fastforce*
have 3: $\vdash f = (\neg\ \neg\ f)$ **by** *simp*
have 4: $\vdash \ da \ f = (\neg\ (\ ba \ (\neg\ f)))$ **by** (*rule DaEqvNotBaNot*)
from $2 \ 3 \ 4$ **show** *?thesis* **by** *fastforce*
qed

lemma *BaGen*:
assumes $\vdash \quad f$
shows $\vdash \ ba \ f$
proof $-$
have 1: $\vdash \quad f$ **using** *assms* **by** *auto*
hence 2: $\vdash \Box f$ **by** (*rule BoxGen*)
hence 3: $\vdash bi(\ \Box f)$ **by** (*rule BiGen*)
have 4: $\vdash \ ba \ f = bi \ (\Box f)$ **by** (*rule BaEqvBiBt*)
from $3 \ 4$ **show** *?thesis* **by** *fastforce*
qed

lemma *BaImpDist*:
$\vdash \ ba \ (f \longrightarrow g) \longrightarrow \ ba \ f \longrightarrow \ ba \ g$
proof $-$
have 1: $\vdash bi \ (f \longrightarrow g) \longrightarrow (bi \ f \longrightarrow bi \ g)$ **by** (*rule BiImpDist*)
hence 2: $\vdash \Box(bi \ (f \longrightarrow g) \longrightarrow (bi \ f \longrightarrow bi \ g))$ **by** (*rule BoxGen*)
have 3: $\vdash \Box(bi \ (f \longrightarrow g) \longrightarrow (bi \ f \longrightarrow bi \ g))$
$\qquad \longrightarrow$
$\qquad (\Box \ (bi \ (f \longrightarrow g)) \longrightarrow (\Box(bi \ f) \longrightarrow \Box(bi \ g)))$
$\qquad$ **by** (*meson 2 BoxImpDist MP lift-imp-trans Prop01 Prop05 Prop09*)
have 4: $\vdash \Box(bi \ (f \longrightarrow g)) \longrightarrow (\Box(bi \ f) \longrightarrow \Box(bi \ g))$ **using** $2 \ 3$ *MP* **by** *fastforce*
have 5: $\vdash \ ba \ (f \longrightarrow g) = \Box(bi \ (f \longrightarrow g))$ **by** (*rule BaEqvBtBi*)

have 6: ⊢ ba f = □(bi f) **by** (*rule BaEqvBtBi*)
have 7: ⊢ ba g = □(bi g) **by** (*rule BaEqvBtBi*)
from 4 5 6 7 **show** ?thesis **by** fastforce
qed

lemma *BaAndEqv*:
⊢ ba (f ∧ g) = (ba f ∧ ba g)
proof −
have 1: ⊢ ba (f ∧ g) = □(bi (f ∧ g))
    by (*rule BaEqvBtBi*)
have 2: ⊢ bi (f ∧ g) = (bi f ∧ bi g)
    by (*auto simp*: bi-defs)
hence 3: ⊢ □(bi (f ∧ g)) = □(bi f ∧ bi g)
    using *BoxEqvBox* **by** blast
have 4: ⊢ □(bi f ∧ bi g)= (□(bi f) ∧ □(bi g))
    by (*metis 2 BoxAndBoxEqvBoxRule inteq-reflection*)
have 5: ⊢ba f = □(bi f)
    by (*rule BaEqvBtBi*)
have 6: ⊢ ba g = □(bi g)
    by (*rule BaEqvBtBi*)
from 1 3 4 5 6 **show** ?thesis **by** fastforce
qed

lemma *BaImpBaEqvBa*:
⊢ ba (f = g) ⟶ (ba f = ba g)
proof −
have 1: ⊢ ba (f ⟶ g) ⟶ ba f ⟶ ba g **by** (*rule BaImpDist*)
have 2: ⊢ ba (g ⟶ f) ⟶ ba g ⟶ ba f **by** (*rule BaImpDist*)
have 3: ⊢ ba (f = g) = ba ((f ⟶ g) ∧ (g ⟶ f)) **by** (*auto simp*: ba-defs)
have 4: ⊢ ba ((f ⟶ g) ∧ (g ⟶ f)) = (ba((f ⟶ g)) ∧ ba((g ⟶ f))) **by** (*rule BaAndEqv*)
have 5: ⊢ ((ba f ⟶ ba g) ∧ (ba g ⟶ ba f)) = (ba f = ba g) **by** auto
from 1 2 3 4 5 **show** ?thesis **by** fastforce
qed

lemma *BaImpBa*:
assumes ⊢ f ⟶ g
shows ⊢ba f ⟶ ba g
using *BaGen BaImpDist MP assms* **by** metis

lemma *BaEqvBa*:
assumes ⊢ f = g
shows ⊢ ba f = ba g
using *BaGen BaImpBaEqvBa MP assms* **by** metis

lemma *DaImpDa*:
assumes ⊢ f ⟶ g
shows ⊢ da f ⟶ da g
using *assms* **by** (*metis DaEqvDtDi DiAndB DiamondImpDiamond inteq-reflection Prop10*)

lemma *DiamondEqvDiamondDiamond*:

$\vdash \diamond f = \diamond (\diamond f)$
proof $-$
 have $1: \vdash \diamond (\diamond f) = \#True;(\#True;f)$
    by (*simp add*: *sometimes-d-def*)
 have $2: \vdash \#True;(\#True;f) = (\#True;\#True);f$
    by (*rule ChopAssoc*)
 have $3: \vdash (\#True;\#True);f = \#True;f$
    using *LeftChopEqvChop TrueEqvTrueChopTrue* **by** (*metis int-eq*)
 have $4: \vdash \#True;f = \diamond f$
    by (*simp add*: *sometimes-d-def*)
 from *1 2 3 4* **show** *?thesis* **by** *fastforce*
qed

lemma *DaEqvDaDa*:
 $\vdash da\ f = da\ (\ da\ f)$
proof $-$
 have $1: \vdash da\ f = \diamond(\ di\ f)$
    by (*rule DaEqvDtDi*)
 have $2: \vdash di\ f = (di\ (\ di\ f))$
    by (*rule DiEqvDiDi*)
 hence $3: \vdash \diamond\ (\ di\ f) = \diamond (di\ (di\ f))$
    by (*rule DiamondEqvDiamond*)
 have $4: \vdash \diamond\ (di\ f) = \diamond(\diamond (di\ (di\ f)))$
    using *DiamondEqvDiamondDiamond DiEqvDiDi* **using** *3* **by** *fastforce*
 have $5: \vdash \diamond\ (di\ (di\ f)) = di\ (\diamond\ (di\ f))$
    by (*rule DtDiEqvDiDt*)
 hence $6: \vdash \diamond(\diamond\ (di\ (di\ f))) = \diamond (di\ (\diamond\ (di\ f)))$
    by (*rule DiamondEqvDiamond*)
 have $7: \vdash da\ f = \diamond (di(\ \diamond\ (\ di\ f)))$
    using *1 3 4 6* **by** *fastforce*
 have $8: \vdash da\ (\diamond\ (\ di\ f)) = \diamond(\ di\ (\diamond\ (di\ f)))$
    by (*rule DaEqvDtDi*)
 have $9: \vdash da\ (\ da\ f) = da\ (\diamond\ (di\ f))$
    using *1* **by** (*rule DaEqvDa*)
 from *7 8 9* **show** *?thesis* **by** *fastforce*
qed

lemma *BaEqvBaBa*:
 $\vdash ba\ f = ba\ (ba\ f)$
proof $-$
 have $1: \vdash da\ (\neg\ f) = da\ (da\ (\neg\ f))$ **by** (*rule DaEqvDaDa*)
 have $2: \vdash da\ (da\ (\neg\ f)) = (\neg\ (ba\ (\neg\ (da\ (\neg\ f)))))$ **by** (*rule DaEqvNotBaNot*)
 have $3: \vdash (\neg\ (da\ (da\ (\neg\ f)))) = ba\ (\neg\ (da\ (\neg\ f)))$ **by** (*auto simp*: *ba-d-def*)
 have $4: \vdash (\neg\ (da\ (\neg\ f))) = ba\ (\neg\ (da\ (\neg\ f)))$ **using** *1 2 3* **by** *fastforce*
 from *4* **show** *?thesis* **by** (*metis ba-d-def*)
qed

lemma *BaLeftChopImpChop*:
$\vdash\ \ ba\ (f \longrightarrow f1) \longrightarrow f; g \longrightarrow f1; g$
proof $-$
 have *1*: $\vdash\ \ ba\ (f \longrightarrow f1) \longrightarrow bi\ (f \longrightarrow f1)$ **by** (*rule BaImpBi*)
 have *2*: $\vdash bi\ (f \longrightarrow f1) \longrightarrow f; g \longrightarrow f1; g$ **by** (*rule BiChopImpChop*)
 from *1 2* **show** *?thesis* **by** *fastforce*
qed

lemma *BaRightChopImpChop*:
$\vdash\ \ ba\ (g \longrightarrow g1) \longrightarrow f; g \longrightarrow f; g1$
proof $-$
 have *1*: $\vdash\ \ ba\ (g \longrightarrow g1) \longrightarrow \Box(g \longrightarrow g1)$ **by** (*rule BaImpBt*)
 have *2*: $\vdash \Box(g \longrightarrow g1) \longrightarrow f; g \longrightarrow f; g1$ **by** (*rule BoxChopImpChop*)
 from *1 2* **show** *?thesis* **by** *fastforce*
qed

lemma *ChopAndBaImport*:
 $\vdash\ (f; f1) \wedge\ ba\ g \longrightarrow (f \wedge g); (f1 \wedge g)$
proof $-$
 have *1*: $\vdash\ \ ba\ g \wedge (f; f1) \longrightarrow (g \wedge f); (g \wedge f1)$ **by** (*rule BaAndChopImport*)
 have *2*: $\vdash (g \wedge f); (g \wedge f1) = (f \wedge g); (f1 \wedge g)$ **by** (*rule AndChopAndCommute*)
 from *1 2* **show** *?thesis* **by** *fastforce*
qed

lemma *BaImpBaImpBaAnd*:
$\vdash ba\ h \longrightarrow ba(g \longrightarrow ba\ h \wedge g\ )$
proof $-$
 have *1*: $\vdash ba\ h \longrightarrow (g \longrightarrow ba\ h \wedge g\ )$ **by** *fastforce*
 hence *2*: $\vdash ba(ba\ h) \longrightarrow ba(g \longrightarrow ba\ h \wedge g\ )$ **by** (*rule BaImpBa*)
 have *3*: $\vdash ba\ h = ba(ba\ h)$ **by** (*rule BaEqvBaBa*)
 from *2 3* **show** *?thesis* **by** *fastforce*
qed

lemma *BaChopImpChopBa*:
$\vdash\ \ ba\ \ f \longrightarrow g; g1 \longrightarrow g; ((\ ba\ \ f) \wedge g1)$
proof $-$
 have *1*: $\vdash\ \ ba\ \ f \longrightarrow\ ba\ (g1 \longrightarrow (ba\ f) \wedge g1\ )$ **by** (*rule BaImpBaImpBaAnd*)
 have *2*: $\vdash\ \ ba\ (g1 \longrightarrow\ ba\ \ f \wedge g1\ ) \longrightarrow g; g1 \longrightarrow g; (\ ba\ \ f \wedge g1)$ **by** (*rule BaRightChopImpChop*)
 from *1 2* **show** *?thesis* **by** *fastforce*
qed

lemma *DiNotBaImpNotBa*:
 $\vdash\ \ di\ (\neg\ (\ ba\ \ f)) \longrightarrow \neg\ (\ ba\ \ f)$
proof $-$
 have *1*: $\vdash\ \ ba\ \ f = ba(\ ba\ \ f)$ **by** (*rule BaEqvBaBa*)
 have *2*: $\vdash\ \ ba\ (\ ba\ \ f) \longrightarrow bi\ (\ ba\ \ f)$ **by** (*rule BaImpBi*)
 have *3*: $\vdash\ \ ba\ \ f \longrightarrow bi\ (\ ba\ \ f)$ **using** *1 2* **by** *fastforce*
 hence *4*: $\vdash\ \ ba\ \ f \longrightarrow \neg\ (\ di\ (\neg\ (\ ba\ \ f)))$ **by** (*simp add*: *bi-d-def*)
 from *4* **show** *?thesis* **by** *fastforce*

qed

lemma *NotBaChopImpNotBa*:
⊢ (¬ (*ba* *f*)); *g* ⟶ ¬ (*ba* *f*)
proof −
 have *1*: ⊢ (¬ (*ba* *f*)); *g* ⟶ *di* (¬ (*ba* *f*)) **by** (*rule ChopImpDi*)
 have *2*: ⊢ *di* (¬ (*ba* *f*)) ⟶ ¬ (*ba* *f*) **by** (*rule DiNotBaImpNotBa*)
 from *1 2* **show** *?thesis* **using** *lift-imp-trans* **by** *blast*
qed

lemma *DiamondFinImpFin*:
 ⊢ ◇ (*fin f*) ⟶ *fin f*
proof −
 have *1*: ⊢ *fin f* = #*True*;(*f* ∧ *empty*)
     by (*rule FinEqvTrueChopAndEmpty*)
 hence *2*: ⊢ ◇ (*fin f*) = #*True*;(#*True*;(*f* ∧ *empty*))
     by (*metis ChopEqvChop TrueEqvTrueChopTrue inteq-reflection sometimes-d-def*)
 have *3*: ⊢ #*True*;(#*True*;(*f* ∧ *empty*)) = (#*True*;#*True*);(*f* ∧ *empty*)
     by (*rule ChopAssoc*)
 have *4*: ⊢ (#*True*;#*True*);(*f* ∧ *empty*) = #*True*;(*f* ∧ *empty*)
     using *TrueEqvTrueChopTrue* **using** *LeftChopEqvChop* **by** (*metis int-eq*)
 from *1 2 3 4* **show** *?thesis* **by** *fastforce*
qed

lemma *ChopFinImpFin*:
 ⊢ *f*; *fin* (*init w*) ⟶ *fin* (*init w*)
proof −
 have *1*: ⊢ *f*; *fin* (*init w*) ⟶ ◇ (*fin* (*init w*)) **by** (*rule ChopImpDiamond*)
 have *2*: ⊢ ◇ (*fin* (*init w*)) ⟶ *fin* (*init w*) **by** (*rule DiamondFinImpFin*)
 from *1 2* **show** *?thesis* **using** *lift-imp-trans* **by** *blast*
qed

lemma *FinImpYieldsFin*:
⊢ *fin* (*init w*) ⟶ *f yields* (*fin* (*init w*))
proof −
 have *1*: ⊢ *f*; *fin* (*init* (¬ *w*)) ⟶ *fin* (*init* (¬ *w*))
     by (*rule ChopFinImpFin*)
 have *2*: ⊢ *fin* (*init* (¬ *w*)) = (¬ (*fin* (*init w*)))
     using *FinNotStateEqvNotFinState* **by** *blast*
 hence *3*: ⊢ *f*; *fin* (*init* (¬ *w*)) = *f*; (¬ (*fin* (*init w*)))
     by (*rule RightChopEqvChop*)
 have *4*: ⊢ *f*; (¬ (*fin* (*init w*))) ⟶ ¬ (*fin* (*init w*))
     using *1 2 3* **by** *fastforce*
 hence *5*: ⊢ *fin* (*init w*) ⟶ ¬ (*f*; (¬ (*fin* (*init w*))))
     by *fastforce*
 from *5* **show** *?thesis* **by** (*simp add*: *yields-d-def*)
qed

lemma *ChopAndFin*:
$\vdash ((f; g) \wedge \; fin \; (init \; w)) = f; (g \wedge \; fin \; (init \; w))$
proof $-$
 have *1*: $\vdash \; fin \; (init \; w) \longrightarrow f \; yields \; (\; fin \; (init \; w))$
    by (*rule FinImpYieldsFin*)
 hence *2*: $\vdash (f; g) \wedge \; fin \; (init \; w) \longrightarrow (f; g) \wedge f \; yields \; (\; fin \; (init \; w))$
    by *auto*
 have *3*: $\vdash (f; g) \wedge f \; yields \; (\; fin \; (init \; w)) \longrightarrow f; (g \wedge \; fin \; (init \; w))$
    by (*rule ChopAndYieldsImp*)
 have *4*: $\vdash (f; g) \wedge \; fin \; (init \; w) \longrightarrow f; (g \wedge \; fin \; (init \; w))$
    using *2 3* **by** *fastforce*
 have *11*: $\vdash f; (g \wedge \; fin \; (init \; w)) \longrightarrow f; g$
    by (*rule ChopAndA*)
 have *12*: $\vdash f; (g \wedge \; fin \; (init \; w)) \longrightarrow f; \; fin \; (init \; w)$
    by (*rule ChopAndB*)
 have *13*: $\vdash f; \; fin \; (init \; w) \longrightarrow \diamond (\; fin \; (init \; w))$
    by (*rule ChopImpDiamond*)
 have *14*: $\vdash \diamond(\; fin \; (init \; w)) \longrightarrow \; fin \; (init \; w)$
    by (*rule DiamondFinImpFin*)
 have *15*: $\vdash f; (g \wedge \; fin \; (init \; w)) \longrightarrow (f; g) \wedge \; fin \; (init \; w)$
    using *11 12 13 14* **by** *fastforce*
 from *4 15* **show** *?thesis* **by** *fastforce*
qed

lemma *ChopAndNotFin*:
 $\vdash (f; g \wedge \neg (\; fin \; (init \; w))) = f; (g \wedge \neg (\; fin \; (init \; w)))$
proof $-$
 have *1*: $\vdash (f; g \wedge \; fin \; (init \; (\neg \; w))) = f; (g \wedge \; fin \; (init \; (\neg \; w)))$
    by (*rule ChopAndFin*)
 have *2*: $\vdash \; fin \; (init \; (\neg \; w)) = (\neg \; (\; fin \; (init \; w) \;))$
    using *FinNotStateEqvNotFinState* **by** *blast*
 hence *3*: $\vdash (g \wedge \; fin \; (init \; (\neg \; w))) = (g \wedge \neg(\; fin \; (init \; w)))$
    by *auto*
 hence *4*: $\vdash f; (g \wedge \; fin \; (init \; (\neg \; w))) = f; (g \wedge \neg (\; fin \; (init \; w)))$
    by (*rule RightChopEqvChop*)
 from *1 2 4* **show** *?thesis* **by** *fastforce*
qed

lemma *FinChopChain*:
$\vdash ((init \; w) \longrightarrow \; fin \; (init \; w1)); ((init \; w1) \longrightarrow \; fin \; (init \; w2))$
    $\longrightarrow ((init \; w) \longrightarrow \; fin \; (init \; w2))$
proof $-$
 have *1*: $\vdash (init \; w) \wedge ((init \; w) \longrightarrow \; fin \; (init \; w1)); ((init \; w1) \longrightarrow \; fin \; (init \; w2))$
       $\longrightarrow$
       $((init \; w) \wedge ((init \; w) \longrightarrow fin \; (init \; w1))); ((init \; w1) \longrightarrow \; fin \; (init \; w2))$
    by (*rule StateAndChopImport*)
 have *2*: $\vdash (init \; w) \wedge ((init \; w) \longrightarrow \; fin \; (init \; w1)) \longrightarrow \; fin \; (init \; w1)$
    by *auto*
 have *3*: $\vdash ((init \; w) \wedge ((init \; w) \longrightarrow \; fin \; (init \; w1))); ((init \; w1) \longrightarrow \; fin \; (init \; w2))$
       $\longrightarrow$

$(\ fin\ (init\ w1))$; $((init\ w1) \longrightarrow\ fin\ (init\ w2))$
  using *2* **by** (*rule LeftChopImpChop*)
have *4*: $\vdash\ (\ fin\ (init\ w1))$; $((init\ w1) \longrightarrow\ fin\ (init\ w2)) =$
  $\Diamond((init\ w1) \wedge ((init\ w1) \longrightarrow\ fin\ (init\ w2)))$
  by (*rule FinChopEqvDiamond*)
have *41*: $\vdash ((init\ w1) \wedge ((init\ w1) \longrightarrow\ fin\ (init\ w2))) \longrightarrow fin\ (init\ w2)$
  by *auto*
have *42*: $\vdash \Diamond((init\ w1) \wedge ((init\ w1) \longrightarrow\ fin\ (init\ w2))) \longrightarrow \Diamond\ (\ fin\ (init\ w2))$
  using *41 DiamondImpDiamond* **by** *blast*
have *5*: $\vdash \Diamond(\ fin(\ init\ w2)) \longrightarrow\ fin\ (init\ w2)$
  using *DiamondFinImpFin* **by** *blast*
have *6*: $\vdash (init\ w) \wedge ((init\ w) \longrightarrow\ fin\ (init\ w1))$; $((init\ w1) \longrightarrow\ fin\ (init\ w2))$
  $\longrightarrow\ fin\ (init\ w2)$
  using *1 3 4 5 42* **by** *fastforce*
from *6* **show** *?thesis* **by** *fastforce*
qed

lemma *ChopRule*:
 assumes $\vdash\ (init\ w) \wedge f \longrightarrow\ fin\ (init\ w1)$
  $\vdash\ (init\ w1) \wedge f1 \longrightarrow\ fin\ (init\ w2)$
 shows $\vdash\ (init\ w) \wedge (f; f1) \longrightarrow\ fin\ (init\ w2)$
proof $-$
 have *1*: $\vdash (init\ w) \wedge (f; f1) \longrightarrow ((init\ w) \wedge f)$; *f1* **by** (*rule StateAndChopImport*)
 have *2*: $\vdash (init\ w) \wedge f \longrightarrow\ fin\ (init\ w1)$ **using** *assms* **by** *auto*
 hence *3*: $\vdash ((init\ w) \wedge f)$; $f1 \longrightarrow (\ fin\ (init\ w1))$; *f1* **by** (*rule LeftChopImpChop*)
 have *4*: $\vdash (\ fin\ (init\ w1))$; $f1 = \Diamond((init\ w1) \wedge f1)$ **by** (*rule FinChopEqvDiamond*)
 have *5*: $\vdash (init\ w1) \wedge f1 \longrightarrow\ fin\ (init\ w2)$ **using** *assms* **by** *auto*
 hence *6*: $\vdash \Diamond((init\ w1) \wedge f1) \longrightarrow \Diamond\ (fin\ (init\ w2))$ **by** (*rule DiamondImpDiamond*)
 have *7*: $\vdash \Diamond(\ fin\ (init\ w2)) \longrightarrow\ fin\ (init\ w2)$ **using** *DiamondFinImpFin* **by** *blast*
 from *1 3 4 6 7* **show** *?thesis* **by** *fastforce*
qed

lemma *ChopRep*:
 assumes $\vdash\ (init\ w) \wedge f \longrightarrow f1 \wedge\ fin\ (init\ w1)$
  $\vdash\ (init\ w1) \wedge g \longrightarrow g1$
 shows $\vdash\ (init\ w) \wedge (f; g) \longrightarrow (f1; g1)$
proof $-$
 have *1*: $\vdash (init\ w) \wedge f \longrightarrow f1 \wedge\ fin\ (init\ w1)$ **using** *assms* **by** *auto*
 hence *2*: $\vdash (init\ w) \wedge (f; g) \longrightarrow (f1 \wedge\ fin\ (init\ w1))$; *g* **by** (*rule StateAndChopImpChopRule*)
 have *3*: $\vdash (f1 \wedge\ fin\ (init\ w1))$; $g = f1$; $((init\ w1) \wedge g)$ **by** (*rule AndFinChopEqvStateAndChop*)
 have *4*: $\vdash (init\ w1) \wedge g \longrightarrow g1$ **using** *assms* **by** *auto*
 hence *5*: $\vdash f1$; $((init\ w1) \wedge g) \longrightarrow f1$; *g1* **by** (*rule RightChopImpChop*)
 from *2 3 5* **show** *?thesis* **by** *fastforce*
qed

lemma *ChopRepAndFin*:
 assumes $\vdash\ (init\ w) \wedge f \longrightarrow f1 \wedge\ fin\ (init\ w1)$
  $\vdash\ (init\ w1) \wedge g \longrightarrow g1 \wedge\ fin\ (init\ w2)$
 shows $\vdash\ (init\ w) \wedge (f; g) \longrightarrow (f1; g1) \wedge\ fin\ (init\ w2)$

158

proof −
 have 1: ⊢ (*init w*) ∧ *f* ⟶ *f1* ∧ *fin* (*init w1*) **using** *assms* **by** *auto*
 have 2: ⊢ (*init w1*) ∧ *g* ⟶ *g1* ∧ *fin* (*init w2*) **using** *assms* **by** *auto*
 have 3: ⊢ (*init w*) ∧ (*f* ; *g*) ⟶ *f1* ; (*g1* ∧ *fin* (*init w2*)) **using** *1 2* **by** (*rule ChopRep*)
 have 4: ⊢ *f1* ; (*g1* ∧ *fin* (*init w2*)) ⟶ *f1* ; *g1* **by** (*rule ChopAndA*)
 have 5: ⊢ *f1* ; (*g1* ∧ *fin* (*init w2*)) ⟶ *f1* ; *fin* (*init w2*) **by** (*rule ChopAndB*)
 have 6: ⊢ *f1* ; *fin* (*init w2*) ⟶ *fin* (*init w2*) **by** (*rule ChopFinImpFin*)
 from *1 2 3 4 5 6* **show** *?thesis* **using** *ChopRep ChopRule* **by** *fastforce*
qed

lemma *TrueChopMoreEqvMore*:
 ⊢ #*True* ; *more* = *more*
by (*metis ChopMoreImpMore NowImpDiamond TrueChopEqvDiamond int-eq int-iffI*)

lemma *MoreChopLoop*:
 assumes ⊢ *f* ⟶ *more* ; *f*
 shows ⊢ ¬ *f*
proof −
 have 1: ⊢ *f* ⟶ *more* ; *f*
    using *assms* **by** *auto*
 hence 11: ⊢ ◇ *f* ⟶ ◇ (*more*;*f*)
    by (*rule DiamondImpDiamond*)
 have 12: ⊢ ◇ (*more*;*f*) = #*True*;(*more*;*f*)
    by (*simp add*: *sometimes-d-def*)
 have 13: ⊢ #*True*;(*more*;*f*) = (#*True*;*more*);*f*
    by (*rule ChopAssoc*)
 have 14: ⊢ ◇ (*more*;*f*) = *more*;*f*
    using *TrueChopMoreEqvMore 12 13* **by** (*metis int-eq*)
 have 2: ⊢ *more* ; *f* = ○(◇ *f*)
    by (*rule MoreChopEqvNextDiamond*)
 have 3: ⊢ ◇ *f* ⟶ ○(◇ *f*)
    using *11 14 2* **by** *fastforce*
 hence 4: ⊢ ¬ (◇ *f*)
    by (*rule NextLoop*)
 have 5: ⊢ ¬ (◇ *f*) ⟶ ¬ *f*
    using *NowImpDiamond* **by** *fastforce*
 from *4 5* **show** *?thesis* **using** *MP* **by** *blast*
qed

lemma *MoreChopContra*:
 assumes ⊢ *f* ∧ ¬ *g* ⟶ (*more* ; (*f* ∧ ¬ *g*))
 shows ⊢ *f* ⟶ *g*
proof −
 have 1: ⊢ *f* ∧ ¬ *g* ⟶ (*more* ; (*f* ∧ ¬ *g*)) **using** *assms* **by** *auto*
 hence 2: ⊢ ¬ (*f* ∧ ¬ *g*) **by** (*rule MoreChopLoop*)
 from *2* **show** *?thesis* **by** *auto*
qed

lemma *ChopLoop*:

assumes ⊢ $f \longrightarrow g;f$

       ⊢ $g \longrightarrow$ *more*

shows ⊢ ¬ f

proof −

have 1: ⊢ $f \longrightarrow g; f$ **using** *assms* **by** *auto*

have 2: ⊢ $g \longrightarrow$ *more* **using** *assms* **by** *auto*

hence 3: ⊢ $g; f \longrightarrow$ *more* ; f **by** (*rule LeftChopImpChop*)

have 4: ⊢ $f \longrightarrow$ *more* ; f **using** *1 3* **by** *fastforce*

from 4 **show** *?thesis* **using** *MoreChopLoop* **by** *auto*

qed

lemma *ChopContra*:

 assumes ⊢ $f \wedge \neg \ g \longrightarrow h$; $f \wedge \neg (h; g)$

       ⊢ $h \longrightarrow$ *more*

 shows ⊢ $f \longrightarrow g$

proof −

have 1: ⊢ $f \wedge \neg \ g \longrightarrow h$; $f \wedge \neg (h; g)$ **using** *assms* **by** *auto*

have 2: ⊢ $h \longrightarrow$ *more* **using** *assms* **by** *auto*

have 3: ⊢ $h; f \wedge \neg (h; g) \longrightarrow h; (f \wedge \neg \ g)$ **by** (*rule ChopAndNotChopImp*)

have 4: ⊢ $h; (f \wedge \neg \ g) \longrightarrow$ *more* ; $(f \wedge \neg \ g)$ **using** *2* **by** (*rule LeftChopImpChop*)

have 5: ⊢ $f \wedge \neg \ g \longrightarrow$ *more* ; $(f \wedge \neg \ g)$ **using** *1 3 4* **by** *fastforce*

from 5 **show** *?thesis* **using** *MoreChopContra* **by** *auto*

qed

7.7 Properties of Chopstar and Chopplus

lemma *Chopstardef* :

⊢ *chopstar f* = *powerstar* $(f \wedge$ *more*$)$

by (*simp add*: *chopstar-d-def*)

lemma *AndEmptyChopAndEmptyEqvAndEmpty*:

⊢ $(f \wedge$ *empty*$);(f \wedge$ *empty*$) = (f \wedge$ *empty*$)$

by (*auto simp add*: *Valid-def empty-defs chop-defs sum.case-eq-if*) (*metis interval-st-intlen*)

lemma *PowerCommute*:

⊢ f ;*power f n* = *power f n*;f

proof

 (*induct n*)

 case *0*

 then show *?case*

 by (*metis ChopEmpty EmptyChop inteq-reflection power-d.pow-0*)

 next

 case (*Suc n*)

 then show *?case*

 by (*metis ChopAssoc inteq-reflection power-d.pow-Suc*)

qed

lemma *ChopInductL*:

 assumes ⊢ $g \vee f;h \longrightarrow h$

 shows ⊢ (*power f n*);$g \longrightarrow h$

proof
 (*induct n*)
 case *0*
 then show *?case* **using** *EmptyChop assms*
 by (*metis MP Prop12 int-eq int-iffD1 int-simps(33) pow-0*)
 next
 case (*Suc n*)
 then show *?case* **using** *assms*
  by (*metis ChopAndA ChopAssoc Prop03 Prop10 Prop12 inteq-reflection lift-and-com pow-Suc*)
qed

lemma *ChopInductMoreL*:
 assumes ⊢ *g* ∨ ((*f* ∧ *more*));*h* ⟶ *h*
 shows ⊢ (*power f n*);*g* ⟶ *h*
proof
 (*induct n*)
 case *0*
 then show *?case* **using** *assms* **by** (*metis ChopInductL pow-0*)
 next
 case (*Suc n*)
 then show *?case*
 proof −
 have *1*: ⊢ *power f* (*Suc n*);*g* = (*f*;*power f n*);*g*
  by *simp*
 have *2*: ⊢ (*f*;*power f n*);*g* = *f*;((*power f n*);*g*)
  by (*meson ChopAssoc Prop11*)
 have *3*: ⊢ *f*;((*power f n*);*g*) ⟶ *f*;*h*
 by (*simp add: RightChopImpChop Suc.hyps*)
 have *4*: ⊢ *f*;*h* = (((*f* ∧ *more*)); *h* ∨ ((*f* ∧ *empty*));*h*)
  by (*auto simp add: Valid-def chop-defs more-defs empty-defs sum.case-eq-if*) *blast*
 have *5*: ⊢ ((*f* ∧ *more*)); *h* ⟶ *h* **using** *assms* **by** *auto*
 have *6*: ⊢ ((*f* ∧ *empty*)); *h* ⟶ *h*
  by (*meson AndChopB EmptyChop Prop11 lift-imp-trans*)
 from *5 6 4 3 2 1* **show** *?thesis* **by** *fastforce*
 qed
qed

lemma *ChopInductR*:
 assumes ⊢ *g* ∨ *h*;*f* ⟶ *h*
 shows ⊢ *g*;(*power f n*) ⟶ *h*
proof
 (*induct n*)
 case *0*
 then show *?case* **using** *ChopEmpty assms*
 by (*metis MP Prop12 int-iffD2 int-simps(33) inteq-reflection pow-0*)
 next
 case (*Suc n*)
 then show *?case* **using** *assms*
  by (*metis AndChopA ChopAssoc PowerCommute Prop03 Prop10 Prop12 inteq-reflection lift-and-com*
    pow-Suc)

qed

lemma *ChopExistPower*:
⊢ (*g*;(∃ *n*. *power f n*)) = (∃ *n*. *g*;*power f n*)
using *ChopExist* **by** *fastforce*

lemma *ExistChopPower*:
⊢ (∃ *n*. (*power f n*);*g*) = (∃ *n*. *power f n*);*g*
using *ExistChop* **by** *fastforce*

lemma *PowerStarCommute*:
⊢ *f*;(∃ *n*. *power f n*) = (∃ *n*. *power f n*);*f*
proof −
 have *1*: ⊢ *f* ;(∃ *n*. *power f n*) =
         (∃ *n*. *f* ;*power f n*)
  using *ChopExistPower* **by** *blast*
 have *2*: ⊢ (∃ *n*. *f* ;*power f n*) =
         (∃ *n*. (*power f n*);*f*)
  using *PowerCommute* **by** *fastforce*
 have *3*: ⊢ (∃ *n*. (*power f n*);*f*) =
         (∃ *n*. (*power f n*));*f*
  using *ExistChopPower* **by** *blast*
 from *1 2 3* **show** *?thesis* **by** *fastforce*
qed

lemma *PowerSucAndEmptyEqvAndEmpty*:
⊢ (*power* (*f* ∧ *empty*) (*Suc n*)) = (*f* ∧ *empty*)
proof
 (*induct n*)
 case *0*
 then show *?case* **using** *ChopEmpty*
 by (*metis pow-0 pow-Suc*)
 next
 case (*Suc n*)
 then show *?case*
 by (*metis AndEmptyChopAndEmptyEqvAndEmpty inteq-reflection pow-Suc*)
qed

lemma *PowerOr*:
⊢ (*power* (*f* ∨ *g*) (*Suc n*)) = ((*f*;*power* (*f* ∨ *g*) *n*) ∨
                         (*g*;*power* (*f* ∨ *g*) *n*))
 by (*simp add*: *OrChopEqvRule*)

lemma *PowerEmptyOrMore*:
⊢ (*power* ((*f* ∧ *empty*) ∨ (*f* ∧ *more*)) (*Suc n*)) =
  ((*f* ∧ *empty*);(*power* ((*f* ∧ *empty*) ∨ (*f* ∧ *more*)) *n*) ∨
   (*f* ∧ *more*);(*power* ((*f* ∧ *empty*) ∨ (*f* ∧ *more*)) *n*))
using *PowerOr* **by** *auto*

lemma *PSEqvEmptyOrChopPS*:

$\vdash\ powerstar\ f = (empty \lor f;powerstar\ f)$
using *PowerstarEqvSem Valid-def* **by** *blast*

lemma *EmptyImpCS*:
$\vdash\ empty \longrightarrow f^\star$
proof −
 have *1*: $\vdash f^\star = (empty \lor (f \land more);f^\star)$ **by** (*rule ChopstarEqv*)
 have *2*: $\vdash empty \longrightarrow empty \lor (f \land more);f^\star$ **by** *auto*
 from *1 2* **show** *?thesis* **by** *fastforce*
qed

lemma *CSEqvOrChopCS*:
$\vdash\ \ f^\star = (empty \lor (f; f^\star))$
proof −
 have *1*: $\vdash f^\star = (empty \lor (f \land more);f^\star)$ **by** (*rule ChopstarEqv*)
 have *2*: $\vdash (f \land more);f^\star \longrightarrow f;f^\star$ **by** (*rule AndChopA*)
 have *3*: $\vdash f^\star \longrightarrow empty \lor f; f^\star$ **using** *1 2* **by** (*metis int-iffD1 Prop08*)
 have *4*: $\vdash empty \longrightarrow f^\star$ **by** (*rule EmptyImpCS*)
 have *5*: $\vdash f \longrightarrow empty \lor (f \land more)$ **by** (*auto simp: empty-d-def*)
 have *6*: $\vdash f; f^\star \longrightarrow f^\star \lor (f \land more); f^\star$ **using** *5* **by** (*rule EmptyOrChopImpRule*)
 have *7*: $\vdash f^\star \longrightarrow empty \lor (f \land more);f^\star$ **using** *1* **by** *fastforce*
 have *8*: $\vdash f; f^\star \longrightarrow empty \lor (f \land more); f^\star$ **using** *6 7* **by** *fastforce*
 hence *9*: $\vdash f; f^\star \longrightarrow f^\star$ **using** *1* **by** *fastforce*
 have *10*: $\vdash empty \lor f; f^\star \longrightarrow f^\star$ **using** *9 4* **by** *fastforce*
 from *3 10* **show** *?thesis* **by** *fastforce*
qed

lemma *PowerChopCommute*:
$\vdash ((f \land more));power\ (f \land more)\ n = power\ (f \land more)\ n;((f \land more))$
using *PowerCommute* **by** *auto*

lemma *ChopExist*:
$\vdash (g;(\exists n.\ power\ (f \land more)\ n)) = (\exists\ n.\ g;power\ (f \land more)\ n)$
using *ChopExistPower* **by** *auto*

lemma *ExistChop*:
$\vdash (\exists n.\ (power\ (f \land more)\ n);g) = (\exists n.\ power\ (f \land more)\ n);g$
using *ExistChopPower* **by** *auto*

lemma *PowerstarInductL*:
 assumes $\vdash g \lor f;h \longrightarrow h$
 shows $\vdash (powerstar\ f);g \longrightarrow h$
proof −
 have *1*: $\vdash (powerstar\ f);g = (\exists\ n.\ power\ f\ n);g$
 by (*simp add: powerstar-d-def LeftChopEqvChop*)
 have *2*: $\vdash (\exists\ n.\ power\ f\ n);g =$
        $(\exists\ n.\ (power\ f\ n);g)$
 using *ExistChopPower* **by** *fastforce*
 have *3*: $\bigwedge n. \vdash (power\ f\ n);g \longrightarrow h$

using *ChopInductL assms* **by** *blast*
have *4*: ⊢ (∃ *n*. ((*power f n*));*g*) ⟶ *h*
using *3* **by** (*auto simp add*: *Valid-def*)
from *1 2 4* **show** *?thesis* **by** (*metis inteq-reflection*)
qed

lemma *PowerstarInductMoreL*:
 assumes ⊢ *g* ∨ ((*f* ∧ *more*));*h* ⟶ *h*
 shows   ⊢ (*powerstar f*);*g* ⟶ *h*
proof −
 have *1*: ⊢ (*powerstar f*);*g* = (∃ *n*. *power f n*);*g*
 by (*simp add*: *powerstar-d-def LeftChopEqvChop*)
 have *2*: ⊢ (∃ *n*. *power f n*);*g* =
         (∃ *n*. (*power f n*);*g*)
 using *ExistChopPower* **by** *fastforce*
 have *3*: ⋀ *n*. ⊢ (*power f n*);*g* ⟶ *h*
 using *ChopInductMoreL assms* **by** *blast*
 have *4*: ⊢ (∃ *n*. ((*power f n*));*g*) ⟶ *h*
 using *3* **by** (*auto simp add*: *Valid-def*)
 from *1 2 4* **show** *?thesis* **by** (*metis inteq-reflection*)
qed

lemma *ChopstarInductL*:
 assumes ⊢ *g* ∨ *f*;*h* ⟶ *h*
 shows   ⊢ (*chopstar f*);*g* ⟶ *h*
proof −
 have *1*: ⊢ (*chopstar f*);*g* = ((∃ *n*. *power* (*f* ∧ *more*) *n*));*g*
 by (*simp add*: *chopstar-d-def powerstar-d-def LeftChopEqvChop*)
 have *2*: ⊢ (∃ *n*. *power* (*f* ∧ *more*) *n*);*g* =
         (∃ *n*. (*power* (*f* ∧ *more*) *n*);*g*)
 using *ExistChopPower* **by** *fastforce*
 have *21*: ⊢ *g* ∨ (*f* ∧ *more*);*h* ⟶ *h*
   using *AndChopA Prop03 Prop10 assms int-simps*(*33*) *inteq-reflection* **by** *fastforce*
 have *3*: ⋀ *n*. ⊢ (*power* (*f* ∧ *more*) *n*);*g* ⟶ *h*
   using *21 ChopInductL*[*of g LIFT*(*f* ∧ *more*) *h*] *assms* **by** *auto*
 have *4*: ⊢ (∃ *n*. (*power* (*f* ∧ *more*) *n*);*g*) ⟶ *h*
 using *3* **by** *fastforce*
 from *1 2 4* **show** *?thesis* **by** (*metis inteq-reflection*)
qed

lemma *ChopstarInductMoreL*:
 assumes ⊢ *g* ∨ (*f* ∧ *more*);*h* ⟶ *h*
 shows   ⊢ (*chopstar f*);*g* ⟶ *h*
proof −
 have *1*: ⊢ (*chopstar f*);*g* = ((∃ *n*. *power* (*f* ∧ *more*) *n*));*g*
 by (*simp add*: *chopstar-d-def powerstar-d-def LeftChopEqvChop*)
 have *2*: ⊢ (∃ *n*. *power* (*f* ∧ *more*) *n*);*g* =
         (∃ *n*. (*power* (*f* ∧ *more*) *n*);*g*)
 using *ExistChopPower* **by** *fastforce*
 have *3*: ⋀ *n*. ⊢ (*power* (*f* ∧ *more*) *n*);*g* ⟶ *h*

164

using *ChopInductL assms* **by** (*metis*)
have *4*: ⊢ (∃ *n*. (*power* (*f* ∧ *more*) *n*);*g*) ⟶ *h*
using *3* **by** *fastforce*
from *1 2 4* **show** *?thesis* **by** (*metis inteq-reflection*)
qed

lemma *PowerstarInductR*:
 assumes ⊢ *g* ∨ *h*;*f* ⟶ *h*
 shows ⊢ *g*;(*powerstar f*) ⟶ *h*
proof −
 have *1*: ⊢ *g*;(*powerstar f*) = *g*;(∃ *n*. *power f n*)
 by (*simp add*: *powerstar-d-def*)
 have *2*: ⊢ (*g*;(∃ *n*. *power f n*)) = (∃ *n*. *g*;(*power f n*))
 using *ChopExistPower* **by** *blast*
 have *3*: ⋀ *n*. ⊢ *g*;(*power f n*) ⟶ *h*
 using *ChopInductR assms* **by** *blast*
 have *4*: ⊢ (∃ *n*. *g*;(*power f n*)) ⟶ *h*
 using *3* **by** (*auto simp add*: *Valid-def*)
 from *1 2 4* **show** *?thesis* **by** (*metis inteq-reflection*)
qed

lemma *ChopstarInductR*:
 assumes ⊢ *g* ∨ *h*;*f* ⟶ *h*
 shows ⊢ *g*;(*chopstar f*) ⟶ *h*
proof −
 have *1*: ⊢ *g*;(*chopstar f*) =
        g;((∃ *n*. *power* (*f* ∧ *more*) *n*))
 by (*simp add*: *chopstar-d-def powerstar-d-def*)
 have *2*: ⊢ (*g*;(∃ *n*. *power* (*f* ∧ *more*) *n*)) =
        ((∃ *n*. *g*;*power* (*f* ∧ *more*) *n*))
 using *ChopExistPower LeftChopEqvChop* **by** *fastforce*
 have *21*: ⊢ *g* ∨ *h*;(*f* ∧ *more*) ⟶ *h*
   using *ChopAndA assms* **by** *fastforce*
 have *3*: ⋀ *n*. ⊢ *g*;(*power* (*f* ∧ *more*) *n*) ⟶ *h*
 using *21 ChopInductR*[*of g h LIFT*(*f* ∧ *more*)] *assms* **by** *auto*
 have *4*: ⊢ (∃ *n*. *g*;((*power* (*f* ∧ *more*) *n*))) ⟶ *h*
 using *3* **by** (*auto simp add*: *Valid-def*)
 from *1 2 4* **show** *?thesis* **by** *fastforce*
qed

lemma *ChopstarInductMoreR*:
 assumes ⊢ *g* ∨ *h*;(*f* ∧ *more*) ⟶ *h*
 shows ⊢ *g*;(*chopstar f*) ⟶ *h*
proof −
 have *1*: ⊢ *g*;(*chopstar f*) = *g*;((∃ *n*. *power* (*f* ∧ *more*) *n*))
 by (*simp add*: *chopstar-d-def powerstar-d-def*)
 have *2*: ⊢ (*g*;(∃ *n*. *power* (*f* ∧ *more*) *n*)) =
        ((∃ *n*. *g*;*power* (*f* ∧ *more*) *n*))
 using *ChopExistPower LeftChopEqvChop* **by** *fastforce*
 have *3*: ⋀ *n*. ⊢ *g*;(*power* (*f* ∧ *more*) *n*) ⟶ *h*

using *ChopInductR assms* **by** (*metis*)
have *4*: ⊢ (∃ *n. g*;((*power* (*f* ∧ *more*) *n*))) ⟶ *h*
using *3* **by** (*auto simp add: Valid-def*)
from *1 2 4* **show** *?thesis* **by** *fastforce*
qed

lemma *PSAndMoreImpPS*:
⊢ *powerstar* (*f* ∧ *more*) ⟶ *powerstar f*
proof −
have *2*: ⊢ *empty* ∨ ((*f* ∧ *more*));*powerstar f* ⟶ *powerstar f*
  using *AndChopA PSEqvEmptyOrChopPS* **by** *fastforce*
have *3*: ⊢ *powerstar* (*f* ∧ *more*);*empty* ⟶ *powerstar f*
using *2 PowerstarInductL* **by** *blast*
 from *2 3* **show** *?thesis* **by** (*metis ChopEmpty int-eq*)
qed

lemma *PSImpAndMorePS*:
⊢ *powerstar f* ⟶ *powerstar* (*f* ∧ *more*)
by (*meson ChopEmpty PSEqvEmptyOrChopPS PowerstarInductMoreL int-iffD2 lift-imp-trans*)

lemma *FPSAndMoreEqvFPS*:
⊢ *powerstar* (*f* ∧ *more*) = *powerstar f*
using *PSAndMoreImpPS PSImpAndMorePS* **by** *fastforce*

lemma *ChopstarImpPowerstar*:
⊢ *f*⋆ ⟶ *powerstar f*
by (*metis ChopEmpty ChopstarInductL PSEqvEmptyOrChopPS int-eq int-iffD2*)

lemma *PowerstarImpChopstar*:
⊢ *powerstar f* ⟶ *f*⋆
by (*metis CSEqvOrChopCS ChopEmpty PowerstarInductL int-iffD2 inteq-reflection*)

lemma *ChopstarEqvPowerstar*:
⊢ *f*⋆ = *powerstar f*
using *ChopstarImpPowerstar PowerstarImpChopstar* **by** *fastforce*

lemma *PowerchopAndMore*:
⊢ ((*power* (*f* ∧ *more*) (*Suc n*)) ∧ *more*) = (*power* (*f* ∧ *more*) (*Suc n*))
proof
(*induct n*)
case *0*
then show *?case*
by (*metis* (*no-types, lifting*) *AndChopB DiamondEmpty MoreChopEqvNextDiamond Prop10 int-eq-true
    inteq-reflection more-d-def pow-0 pow-Suc*)
next
case (*Suc n*)
then show *?case*
by (*metis Prop10 Prop11 Prop12 RightChopImpMoreRule pow-Suc*)
qed

lemma *ExistPowerAndMoreExpand*:
⊢ (∃ *n. power* (*f* ∧ *more*) *n*) = (*empty* ∨ (∃ *n.* (*power* (*f* ∧ *more*) (*Suc n*))))
using *powersem1*[*of LIFT* (*f* ∧ *more*)] **by** *auto*

lemma *CSAndMoreEqvAndMoreChop*:
⊢ (*f*⋆ ∧ *more*) = (*f* ∧ *more*); *f*⋆
proof −
 have *1*: ⊢ (*empty* ∨ (*f* ∧ *more*); *f*⋆) ∧ *more* ⟶ (*f* ∧ *more*); *f*⋆
     by (*auto simp*: *empty-d-def*)
 have *2*: ⊢ *f*⋆ = (*empty* ∨ (*f* ∧ *more*); *f*⋆)
     by (*rule ChopstarEqv*)
 have *3*: ⊢ *f*⋆ ∧ *more* ⟶ (*f* ∧ *more*); *f*⋆
     using *1 2* **by** *fastforce*
 have *4*: ⊢ (*f* ∧ *more*); *f*⋆ ⟶ *f*⋆
     using *2* **by** *fastforce*
 have *5*: ⊢ (*f* ∧ *more*) ⟶ *more*
     by *auto*
 hence *6*: ⊢ (*f* ∧ *more*); *f*⋆ ⟶ *more*
     by (*rule LeftChopImpMoreRule*)
 have *7*: ⊢ (*f* ∧ *more*); *f*⋆ ⟶ *f*⋆ ∧ *more*
     using *4 6* **by** *fastforce*
 from *3 7* **show** *?thesis* **by** *fastforce*
qed

lemma *CSAndMoreImpChopCS*:
⊢ *f*⋆ ∧ *more* ⟶ *f*; *f*⋆
proof −
 have *1*: ⊢ (*f*⋆ ∧ *more*) = (*f* ∧ *more*); *f*⋆ **by** (*rule CSAndMoreEqvAndMoreChop*)
 have *2*: ⊢ (*f* ∧ *more*); *f*⋆ ⟶ *f*; *f*⋆ **by** (*rule AndChopA*)
 from *1 2* **show** *?thesis* **by** *fastforce*
qed

lemma *NotAndMoreEqvEmptyOr*:
⊢ ¬ (*f* ∧ *more*) = (*empty* ∨ ¬*f*)
by (*auto simp*: *empty-d-def*)

lemma *MoreAndEmptyOrEqvMoreAnd*:
⊢ (*more* ∧ (*empty* ∨ ¬*f*)) = (*more* ∧ ¬ *f*)
by (*auto simp*: *empty-d-def*)

lemma *CSMoreNotImpChopCSAndMore*:
⊢ *f*⋆ ∧ *more* ∧ ¬ *f* ⟶ (*f* ∧ *more*); (*f*⋆ ∧ *more*)
proof −
 have *1*: ⊢ (*f*⋆ ∧ *more*) = (*f* ∧ *more*); *f*⋆
     by (*rule CSAndMoreEqvAndMoreChop*)
 have *2*: ⊢ *empty* ∨ *more*
     by (*auto simp*: *empty-d-def*)

hence *3*: ⊢ *f*⋆ ⟶ *empty* ∨ (*f*⋆ ∧ *more*)
    by *auto*
hence *4*: ⊢ (*f* ∧ *more*); *f*⋆ ⟶ (*f* ∧ *more*) ∨ ((*f* ∧ *more*); (*f*⋆ ∧ *more*))
    by (*rule ChopEmptyOrImpRule*)
hence *5*: ⊢ (*f* ∧ *more*); *f*⋆ ∧ ¬(*f* ∧ *more*) ⟶ ((*f* ∧ *more*); (*f*⋆ ∧ *more*))
    by *fastforce*
have *6*: ⊢ (*f* ∧ *more*); *f*⋆ = ((*f* ∧ *more*); *f*⋆ ∧ *more*)   **using** *1*
    by *auto*
have *7*: ⊢ ((*f* ∧ *more*); *f*⋆ ∧ ¬(*f* ∧ *more*)) = ((*f* ∧ *more*); *f*⋆ ∧ *more* ∧ ¬(*f* ∧ *more*))
    using *6* **by** *auto*
have *8*: ⊢ (*f* ∧ *more*); *f*⋆ ∧ *more* ∧ ¬ *f* ⟶ (*f* ∧ *more*); (*f*⋆ ∧ *more*)
    using *5 7* **by** *auto*
have *9*: ⊢ (*f*⋆ ∧ *more* ∧ ¬ *f*) = ((*f*⋆ ∧ *more*) ∧ (*more* ∧ ¬ *f*))
    by *auto*
have *10*: ⊢ ((*f*⋆ ∧ *more*) ∧ (*more* ∧ ¬ *f*)) = ((*f* ∧ *more*); *f*⋆ ∧ (*more* ∧ ¬ *f*))
    using *1* **by** *fastforce*
 from *1 8 9 10* **show** *?thesis* **by** *fastforce*
qed

lemma *ChopplusCommuteImpA*:
⊢ *f*⋆;*f* ⟶ *f*;*f*⋆
by (*metis CSEqvOrChopCS ChopAndB ChopEmpty ChopstarInductL EmptyImpCS Prop02 Prop03 Prop10*
      inteq-reflection)

lemma *ChopplusCommuteImpB*:
⊢ *f*;*f*⋆ ⟶ *f*⋆;*f*
by (*metis ChopstarEqvPowerstar PowerStarCommute int-iffD1 inteq-reflection powerstar-d-def*)

lemma *ChopplusCommute*:
⊢ *f*;*f*⋆ = *f*⋆;*f*
using *ChopplusCommuteImpA ChopplusCommuteImpB*   **by** *fastforce*

lemma *CSEqvOrChopCSB*:
⊢ *f*⋆ = (*empty* ∨ (*f*⋆;*f*))
by (*meson CSEqvOrChopCS ChopplusCommute Prop06*)

lemma *CSAndMoreImpCSChop*:
⊢ *f*⋆ ∧ *more* ⟶ *f*⋆; *f*
proof −
 have *1*: ⊢ (*f*⋆ ∧ *more*) = (*f* ∧ *more*); *f*⋆
    by (*rule CSAndMoreEqvAndMoreChop*)
 have *2*: ⊢ *empty* ∨ *more*
    by (*auto simp*: *empty-d-def*)
 hence *3*: ⊢ *f*⋆ ⟶ *empty* ∨ (*f*⋆ ∧ *more*)
    by *auto*
 hence *4*: ⊢ (*f* ∧ *more*); *f*⋆ ⟶
      (*f* ∧ *more*) ∨ ((*f* ∧ *more*); (*f*⋆∧ *more*))

168

by (*rule ChopEmptyOrImpRule*)
have 5: ⊢ f* ∧ more ∧ ¬ f ⟶ (f ∧ more); (f*∧ more)
    by (*rule CSMoreNotImpChopCSAndMore*)
have 6: ⊢ f* = (empty ∨ (f ∧ more); f*)
    by (*rule ChopstarEqv*)
hence 7: ⊢ f*; f = (f ∨ ((f ∧ more); f*); f)
    by (*rule EmptyOrChopEqvRule*)
have 8: ⊢ (f ∧ more); (f*; f)= ((f ∧ more); f*); f
    by (*rule ChopAssoc*)
have 9: ⊢ (f* ∧ more) ∧ ¬ (f*; f) ⟶
       (f ∧ more); (f*∧ more) ∧ ¬ ((f ∧ more); (f*; f))
    using 5 7 8 **by** *fastforce*
have 10: ⊢ f ∧ more ⟶ more
    by *auto*
from 9 10 **show** *?thesis* **by** (*rule ChopContra*)
qed

lemma *PowerChopPower*:
⊢ (power (f ∧ more) n); (power (f ∧ more) k) = (power (f ∧ more) (n+k))
proof
(*induct n arbitrary*: *k*)
case 0
then show *?case* **using** *EmptyChopSem* **by** *auto*
next
case (*Suc n*)
then show *?case*
by (*metis* (*no-types, lifting*) *ChopAssoc add-Suc inteq-reflection pow-Suc*)
qed

lemma *CSChopCS*:
⊢ f* ; f* = f*
by (*metis CSEqvOrChopCS ChopEmpty ChopstarInductL EmptyImpCS Prop02 Prop03 Prop11 RightChopImp-Chop*
   inteq-reflection)

lemma *NotEmptyEqvMore*:
⊢ (¬ empty) = more
by (*simp add*: *empty-d-def*)

lemma *NotCSImpMore*:
⊢   ¬ (f*) ⟶ more
proof −
have 1: ⊢ empty ⟶ (f*)   **using** *EmptyImpCS* **by** *blast*
hence 2: ⊢ ¬ empty ∨ (f*) **by** *fastforce*
from 2 **show** *?thesis* **using** 1 *NotEmptyEqvMore* **by** *fastforce*
qed

lemma *CSChopCSImpCS*:
⊢   f*; f* ⟶ f*

proof $-$
 have $1: \vdash f^\star = (empty \lor (f \land more); f^\star)$
     by (*rule ChopstarEqv*)
 hence $2: \vdash f^\star; f^\star = (f^\star \lor ((f \land more); f^\star); f^\star)$
     by (*rule EmptyOrChopEqvRule*)
 have $21: \vdash f^\star; f^\star \land \neg (f^\star) \longrightarrow ((f \land more); f^\star); f^\star$
     using 2 **by** *auto*
 have $22: \vdash \neg (f^\star) = (\neg empty \land \neg ((f \land more); f^\star))$
     using 1 **by** *fastforce*
 have $23: \vdash \neg (f^\star) \longrightarrow \neg ((f \land more); f^\star)$
     using 2 22 **by** *fastforce*
 have $24: \vdash f^\star; f^\star \land \neg (f^\star) \longrightarrow \neg (f^\star)$
     by *auto*
 have $25: \vdash f^\star; f^\star \land \neg (f^\star) \longrightarrow \neg ((f \land more); f^\star)$
     using 23 24 MP **by** *auto*
 have $3: \vdash f^\star; f^\star \land \neg (f^\star) \longrightarrow ((f \land more); f^\star); f^\star \land \neg ((f \land more); f^\star)$
     using 21 25 **by** *fastforce*
 have $4: \vdash (f \land more); (f^\star; f^\star) = ((f \land more); f^\star); f^\star$
     by (*rule ChopAssoc*)
 have $5: \vdash f^\star; f^\star \land \neg (f^\star) \longrightarrow (f \land more); (f^\star; f^\star) \land \neg ((f \land more); f^\star)$
     using 3 4 **by** *fastforce*
 have $6: \vdash f \land more \longrightarrow more$
     by *auto*
 from 5 6 **show** *?thesis* **using** *ChopContra* **by** *blast*
qed

lemma *ImpChopPlus*:
 $\vdash f \longrightarrow f; f^\star$
proof $-$
 have $1: \vdash f^\star = (empty \lor f; f^\star)$ **by** (*rule CSEqvOrChopCS*)
 hence $2: \vdash f; f^\star = (f; empty \lor f; (f; f^\star))$ **using** *ChopOrEqvRule* **by** *blast*
 have $3: \vdash f; empty = f$ **using** *ChopEmpty* **by** *blast*
 from 2 3 **show** *?thesis* **by** *fastforce*
qed

lemma *ImpCS*:
 $\vdash f \longrightarrow f^\star$
proof $-$
 have $1: \vdash f \longrightarrow f; f^\star$ **by** (*rule ImpChopPlus*)
 hence $2: \vdash f \longrightarrow empty \lor f; f^\star$ **by** *auto*
 from 2 **show** *?thesis* **using** *CSEqvOrChopCS* **by** *fastforce*
qed

lemma *CSChopImpCS*:
 $\vdash f^\star; f \longrightarrow f^\star$
proof $-$
 have $1: \vdash f \longrightarrow f^\star$ **by** (*rule ImpCS*)
 hence $2: \vdash f^\star; f \longrightarrow f^\star; f^\star$ **by** (*rule RightChopImpChop*)
 have $3: \vdash f^\star; f^\star \longrightarrow f^\star$ **by** (*rule CSChopCSImpCS*)
 from 2 3 **show** *?thesis* **using** *lift-imp-trans* **by** *blast*

qed

lemma *ChopPlusImpCS*:
 ⊢ $f;f^\star \longrightarrow f^\star$
proof $-$
 have *1*:⊢ $f;f^\star \longrightarrow empty \lor f;f^\star$ **by** *auto*
 from *1* **show** *?thesis* **using** *CSEqvOrChopCS* **by** *fastforce*
qed

lemma *CSChopEqvOrChopPlusChop*:
 ⊢ $f^\star; g = (g \lor (f;f^\star) ; g)$
proof $-$
 have *1*:⊢ $f^\star = (empty \lor f;f^\star)$ **by** (*rule CSEqvOrChopCS*)
 from *1* **show** *?thesis* **using** *EmptyOrChopEqvRule* **by** *blast*
qed

lemma *CSElim*:
 assumes ⊢ $empty \longrightarrow g$
      ⊢ $(f \land more); g \longrightarrow g$
 shows ⊢ $f^\star \longrightarrow g$
proof $-$
 have *1*:⊢ $f^\star = (empty \lor (f \land more); f^\star)$
    by (*rule ChopstarEqv*)
 have *2*:⊢ $empty \longrightarrow g$
    using *assms* **by** *blast*
 have *3*:⊢ $(f \land more); g \longrightarrow g$
    using *assms* **by** *blast*
 have *31*:⊢ $\lnot g \longrightarrow more$
    using *2* **by** (*auto simp: empty-d-def*)
 have *32*:⊢ $\lnot g \longrightarrow \lnot ((f \land more); g)$
    using *3* **by** *fastforce*
 have *33*:⊢ $f^\star \land more \longrightarrow (f \land more); f^\star$
    using *1* **using** *CSAndMoreEqvAndMoreChop* **by** *fastforce*
 have *34*:⊢ $f^\star \land \lnot g \longrightarrow f^\star \land more$
    using *31* **by** *auto*
 have *35*:⊢ $f^\star \land \lnot g \longrightarrow (f \land more); f^\star$
    using *33 34* **by** *fastforce*
 have *36*:⊢ $f^\star \land \lnot g \longrightarrow \lnot ((f \land more); g)$
    using *32* **by** *auto*
 have *4*:⊢ $f^\star \land \lnot g \longrightarrow (f \land more); f^\star \land \lnot ((f \land more); g)$
    using *35 36* **by** *fastforce*
 have *5*:⊢ $f \land more \longrightarrow more$
    by *auto*
 from *4 5* **show** *?thesis* **using** *ChopContra* **by** *blast*
qed

lemma *ChopstarImp*:
 assumes ⊢ $f;(chopstar\ g) \lor empty \longrightarrow (chopstar\ g)$
 shows ⊢ $(chopstar\ f) \longrightarrow (chopstar\ g)$

using *assms ChopstarInductL ChopEmpty*
by (*metis int-eq int-simps*(*33*) *lift-and-com*)

lemma *CSCSImpCS*:
⊢ $(f^\star)^\star \longrightarrow f^\star$
proof −
 have *1*:⊢ *empty* $\longrightarrow f^\star$ **by** (*rule EmptyImpCS*)
 have *2*:⊢ $(f^\star \wedge$ *more*); $f^\star \longrightarrow f^\star$; $f^\star$ **by** (*rule AndChopA*)
 have *3*:⊢ $f^\star$; $f^\star \longrightarrow f^\star$ **by** (*rule CSChopCSImpCS*)
 have *4*:⊢ $(f^\star \wedge$ *more*); $f^\star \longrightarrow f^\star$ **using** *2 3 lift-imp-trans* **by** *blast*
 from *1 4* **show** *?thesis* **using** *CSElim* **by** *blast*
qed

lemma *CSImpCSCS*:
⊢ $f^\star \longrightarrow (f^\star)^\star$
using *ImpCS* **by** *auto*

lemma *CSCSEqvCS*:
⊢ $(f^\star)^\star = f^\star$
by (*simp add*: *CSCSImpCS CSImpCSCS int-iffI*)

lemma *RightEmptyOrChopEqv*:
⊢ g;(*empty* $\vee$ f) = $(g \vee (g; f))$
proof −
 have *1*:⊢ g;(*empty* $\vee$ f) = $(g$;*empty* $\vee$ g;f) **by** (*rule ChopOrEqv*)
 have *2*:⊢ g;*empty* = g **by** (*rule ChopEmpty*)
 from *1 2* **show** *?thesis* **by** *fastforce*
qed

lemma *RightEmptyOrChopEqvRule*:
 assumes ⊢ $f = ($*empty* $\vee$ $f1$)
 shows ⊢ g;$f = (g \vee (g$;$f1))$
proof −
 have *1*:⊢ $f = ($*empty* $\vee$ $f1$) **using** *assms* **by** *auto*
 hence *2*:⊢ g;$f = g$;(*empty* $\vee$ $f1$) **by** (*rule RightChopEqvChop*)
 have *3*:⊢ g;(*empty* $\vee$ $f1$) = $(g \vee (g$;$f1))$ **by** (*rule RightEmptyOrChopEqv*)
 from *2 3* **show** *?thesis* **by** *fastforce*
qed

lemma *ChopPlusEqvOrChopChopPlus*:
⊢ $(f$;$f^\star) = (f \vee f; (f$;$f^\star))$
proof −
 have *1*:⊢ $f^\star = ($*empty* $\vee$ f;$f^\star)$ **by** (*rule CSEqvOrChopCS*)
 from *1* **show** *?thesis* **by** (*rule RightEmptyOrChopEqvRule*)
qed

lemma *CSAndEmptyEqvEmpty*:
⊢ $((f^\star) \wedge$ *empty*) = *empty*
using *EmptyImpCS* **by** *fastforce*

lemma *NotAndMoreChopAndEmpty*:

$\vdash \neg(((f \wedge more);g) \wedge empty)$

by (*metis AndChopA ChopEmpty LeftChopImpMoreRule Prop01 empty-d-def int-simps*(14)
      int-simps(25) *int-simps*(4) *inteq-reflection lift-and-com*)

lemma *NotChopAndMoreAndEmpty*:

$\vdash \neg((f;(g \wedge more)) \wedge empty)$

by (*metis* (*no-types, lifting*) *ChopAndEmptyEqvEmptyChopEmpty ChopEmpty ChopImpDiamond DiamondFin*
   Finprop(1) *NotEmptyEqvMore Prop12 always-d-def empty-d-def fin-d-def int-simps*(14) *int-simps*(2)
   int-simps(21) *inteq-reflection sometimes-d-def*)

lemma *ChopCSAndEmptyEqvAndEmpty*:

$\vdash ((f;f^\star) \wedge empty) = (f \wedge empty)$

proof −

 have *1*: $\vdash ((f;f^\star) \wedge empty) = (f \wedge empty);(f^\star \wedge empty)$

   using *ChopAndEmptyEqvEmptyChopEmpty* **by** *blast*

 have *2*: $\vdash (f \wedge empty);(f^\star \wedge empty) = (f \wedge empty);empty$

   using *CSAndEmptyEqvEmpty* **using** *RightChopEqvChop* **by** *blast*

 have *3*: $\vdash (f \wedge empty);empty = (f \wedge empty)$

   by (*rule ChopEmpty*)

 from *1 2 3* **show** *?thesis* **by** *fastforce*

qed

lemma *AndMoreChopAndMoreEqvAndMoreChop*:

$\vdash ((f \wedge more);g \wedge more) = (f \wedge more);g$

using *ChopImpDi DiAndB DiMoreEqvMore* **by** *fastforce*

lemma *ChopPlusEqv*:

$\vdash (f;f^\star) = (f \vee (f \wedge more); (f;f^\star))$

proof −

 have *1*: $\vdash f^\star = (empty \vee (f \wedge more); f^\star)$

   by (*rule ChopstarEqv*)

 have *2*: $\vdash f^\star = (empty \vee f;f^\star)$

   by (*rule CSEqvOrChopCS*)

 hence *3*: $\vdash (empty \vee f;f^\star) = (empty \vee (f \wedge more);f^\star)$

   using *1 2* **by** *fastforce*

 have *4*: $\vdash (f \wedge more);(f^\star) = (f \wedge more);(empty \vee f;f^\star)$

   using *2* **using** *RightChopEqvChop* **by** *blast*

 hence *5*: $\vdash empty \vee f;f^\star = empty \vee (f \wedge more);(empty \vee f;f^\star)$

   using *3 4* **by** *fastforce*

 have *6*: $\vdash (f \wedge more); (empty \vee f;f^\star) =$
       $((f \wedge more); empty \vee (f \wedge more); (f;f^\star))$

   using *ChopOrEqv* **by** *blast*

 have *7*: $\vdash (f \wedge more); empty = (f \wedge more)$

   using *ChopEmpty* **by** *blast*

 have *8*: $\vdash (empty \vee f;f^\star) =$
       $(empty \vee (f \wedge more) \vee (f \wedge more); (f;f^\star))$

   using *5 6 7* **by** (*metis 2 3 inteq-reflection*)

 have *9*: $\vdash ((empty \vee f;f^\star) \wedge more) = (f;f^\star \wedge more)$

    by (*auto simp: empty-d-def*)
have *10*: ⊢ ((empty ∨ (f ∧ more) ∨ (f ∧ more); (f;f⋆)) ∧ more) =
      (((f ∧ more) ∨ (f ∧ more); (f;f⋆)) ∧ more)
    by (*auto simp: empty-d-def*)
have *11*: ⊢ (((f ∧ more) ∨ (f ∧ more); (f;f⋆)) ∧ more) =
      ((f ∧ more) ∨ (f ∧ more); (f;f⋆))
    using *10 6 7 int-eq*
    using *AndMoreChopAndMoreEqvAndMoreChop* **by** *fastforce*
have *12*: ⊢ (f;f⋆ ∧ more) = ((f ∧ more) ∨ (f ∧ more); (f;f⋆))
    using *8 9 10 11* **by** *fastforce*
have *13*: ⊢ (f;f⋆ ∧ empty) = (f ∧ empty)
    by (*rule ChopCSAndEmptyEqvAndEmpty*)
have *14*: ⊢ ((f ∧ more) ∨ (f ∧ more); (f;f⋆) ∨ (f ∧ empty)) =
      (f ∨ (f ∧ more);(f;f⋆))
    by (*auto simp: empty-d-def*)
have *15*: ⊢ f;f⋆ = ((f;f⋆ ∧ empty) ∨ (f;f⋆ ∧ more))
    by (*auto simp: empty-d-def*)
from *12 13 14 15* **show** *?thesis* **by** *fastforce*
qed

lemma *ChopPlusImpChopPlus*:
 assumes ⊢ f ⟶ g
 shows ⊢ f;f⋆ ⟶ g;g⋆
proof −
 have *1*: ⊢ f ⟶ g
    using *assms* **by** *auto*
 have *2*: ⊢ f;f⋆ = (f ∨ (f∧ more); (f;f⋆))
    by (*rule ChopPlusEqv*)
 have *3*: ⊢ g;g⋆ = (g ∨ (g ∧ more);(g;g⋆))
    by (*rule ChopPlusEqv*)
 have *4*: ⊢ f;f⋆ ∧ ¬ (g;g⋆) ⟶ ((f∧ more); (f;f⋆)) ∧ ¬ ((g ∧ more); (g;g⋆))
    using *1 2 3* **by** *fastforce*
 have *5*: ⊢ f ∧ more ⟶ g ∧ more **using** *1*
    by *auto*
 have *6*: ⊢ (f∧ more); (f;f⋆) ⟶ (g ∧ more); (f;f⋆)
    using *5* **by** (*rule LeftChopImpChop*)
 have *7*: ⊢ f;f⋆ ∧ ¬ (g;g⋆) ⟶
      ((g∧ more); (f;f⋆)) ∧ ¬ ((g ∧ more); (g;g⋆))
    using *4 6* **by** *fastforce*
 have *8*: ⊢ g ∧ more ⟶ more
    by *auto*
 from *7 8* **show** *?thesis* **using** *ChopContra* **by** *blast*
qed

lemma *ChopChopPlusImpChopPlus*:
 ⊢ f; (f;f⋆) ⟶ f;f⋆
proof −
 have *1*: ⊢ empty ∨ more **by** (*auto simp: empty-d-def*)
 hence *2*: ⊢ f ⟶ empty ∨ (f ∧ more) **by** *auto*

174

hence *3*: ⊢ *f*; (*f*;*f*⋆) ⟶ (*f*;*f*⋆) ∨ (*f* ∧ *more*);(*f*;*f*⋆) **by** (*rule EmptyOrChopImpRule*)
have *4*: ⊢ *f*;*f*⋆ = (*f* ∨ (*f*∧ *more*); (*f*;*f*⋆)) **by** (*rule ChopPlusEqv*)
hence *5*: ⊢ (*f*∧ *more*); (*f*;*f*⋆) ⟶ *f*;*f*⋆ **by** *auto*
from *3 5* **show** *?thesis* **using** *ChopPlusImpCS RightChopImpChop* **by** *blast*
qed

lemma *CSImpCS*:
 assumes ⊢ *f* ⟶ *g*
 shows ⊢ *f*⋆ ⟶ *g*⋆
proof −
 have *1*: ⊢ *f* ⟶ *g* **using** *assms* **by** *auto*
 hence *2*: ⊢ *f*;*f*⋆ ⟶ *g*;*g*⋆ **by** (*rule ChopPlusImpChopPlus*)
 hence *3*: ⊢ *empty* ∨ *f*;*f*⋆ ⟶ *empty* ∨ *g*;*g*⋆ **by** *auto*
 from *2 3* **show** *?thesis* **using** *CSEqvOrChopCS* **by** (*metis inteq-reflection*)
qed

lemma *ChopPlusIntro*:
 assumes ⊢ *f* ∧ ¬ *g* ⟶ (*g* ∧ *more*); *f*
 shows ⊢ *f* ⟶ *g*;*g*⋆
proof −
 have *1*: ⊢ *f* ∧ ¬ *g* ⟶ (*g* ∧ *more*); *f* **using** *assms* **by** *auto*
 have *2*: ⊢ *g*;*g*⋆ = (*g* ∨ (*g* ∧ *more*); (*g*;*g*⋆)) **by** (*rule ChopPlusEqv*)
 have *3*: ⊢ *f* ∧ ¬ (*g*;*g*⋆) ⟶
        (*g* ∧ *more*); *f* ∧ ¬ ((*g* ∧ *more*); (*g*;*g*⋆)) **using** *1 2* **by** *fastforce*
 have *4*: ⊢ *g* ∧ *more* ⟶ *more* **by** *auto*
 from *3 4* **show** *?thesis* **using** *ChopContra* **by** *blast*
qed

lemma *ChopPlusElim*:
 assumes ⊢ *f* ⟶ *g*
      ⊢ (*f*∧ *more*); *g* ⟶ *g*
 shows ⊢ *f*;*f*⋆ ⟶ *g*
proof −
 have *1*: ⊢ *f*;*f*⋆ = (*f* ∨ (*f*∧ *more*); (*f*;*f*⋆)) **by** (*rule ChopPlusEqv*)
 have *2*: ⊢ *f* ⟶ *g* **using** *assms* **by** *blast*
 hence *21*: ⊢ ¬ *g* ⟶ ¬ *f* **by** *auto*
 have *3*: ⊢ (*f*∧ *more*); *g* ⟶ *g* **using** *assms* **by** *blast*
 hence *31*: ⊢ ¬ *g* ⟶ ¬ ((*f*∧ *more*); *g*) **by** *fastforce*
 hence *32*: ⊢ *f*;*f*⋆ ∧ ¬ *g* ⟶ ¬ ((*f*∧ *more*); *g*) **by** *auto*
 have *33*: ⊢*f*;*f*⋆ ∧ ¬ *g* ⟶ (*f* ∧ *more*); (*f*;*f*⋆) **using** *1 21* **by** *fastforce*
 have *4*: ⊢ *f*;*f*⋆ ∧ ¬ *g* ⟶
          (*f* ∧ *more*); (*f*;*f*⋆) ∧ ¬ ((*f*∧ *more*); *g*) **using** *31 33* **by** *fastforce*
 have *5*: ⊢ *f* ∧ *more* ⟶ *more* **by** *auto*
 from *4 5* **show** *?thesis* **using** *ChopContra* **by** *blast*
qed

lemma *ChopPlusElimWithoutMore*:
 assumes ⊢ *f* ⟶ *g*
      ⊢ *f*; *g* ⟶ *g*
 shows ⊢ *f*;*f*⋆ ⟶ *g*

proof −
 have 1: ⊢ f ⟶ g **using** *assms* **by** *blast*
 have 2: ⊢ (f; g) ⟶ g **using** *assms* **by** *blast*
 have 3: ⊢ (f ∧ more); g ⟶ f; g **by** (*rule AndChopA*)
 have 4: ⊢ (f ∧ more); g ⟶ g **using** *2 3 lift-imp-trans* **by** *blast*
 from 1 4 **show** *?thesis* **using** *ChopPlusElim* **by** *blast*
qed

lemma *ChopPlusEqvChopPlus*:
 assumes ⊢ f = g
 shows ⊢ f;f⋆ = g;g⋆
proof −
 have 1: ⊢ f = g **using** *assms* **by** *auto*
 hence 2: ⊢ f ⟶ g **by** *auto*
 hence 3: ⊢ f;f⋆ ⟶ g;g⋆ **by** (*rule ChopPlusImpChopPlus*)
 have 4: ⊢ g ⟶ f **using** *1* **by** *auto*
 hence 5: ⊢ g;g⋆ ⟶ f;f⋆ **by** (*rule ChopPlusImpChopPlus*)
 from 3 5 **show** *?thesis* **by** *fastforce*
qed

lemma *CSEqvCS*:
 assumes ⊢ f = g
 shows ⊢ f⋆ = g⋆
proof −
 have 1: ⊢ f = g **using** *assms* **by** *auto*
 hence 2: ⊢ f;f⋆ = g;g⋆ **by** (*rule ChopPlusEqvChopPlus*)
 hence 3: ⊢ (empty ∨ f;f⋆) = (empty ∨ g;g⋆) **by** *auto*
 from 3 **show** *?thesis* **using** *CSEqvOrChopCS* **by** (*metis int-eq*)
qed

lemma *AndCSA*:
 ⊢ (f ∧ g)⋆ ⟶ f⋆
proof −
 have 1: ⊢ f ∧ g ⟶ f **by** *auto*
 from 1 **show** *?thesis* **using** *CSImpCS* **by** *blast*
qed

lemma *AndCSB*:
 ⊢ (f ∧ g)⋆ ⟶ g⋆
proof −
 have 1: ⊢ f ∧ g ⟶ g **by** *auto*
 from 1 **show** *?thesis* **using** *CSImpCS* **by** *blast*
qed

lemma *CSIntro*:
 assumes ⊢ f ∧ more ⟶ (g ∧ more); f
 shows ⊢ f ⟶ g⋆
proof −
 have 1: ⊢ f ∧ more ⟶ (g ∧ more); f
       using *assms* **by** *auto*

176

have 2: ⊢ *more* = (¬ *empty*)
    by (*auto simp*: *empty-d-def*)
have 3: ⊢ *f* ∧ ¬ *empty* ⟶ (*g* ∧ *more*); *f*
    using *1 2* **by** *fastforce*
have 4: ⊢ *g*⋆ = (*empty* ∨ (*g* ∧ *more*); *g*⋆)
    by (*rule ChopstarEqv*)
hence 41: ⊢ (¬(*empty* ∨ (*g* ∧ *more*); *g*⋆)) = (¬*empty* ∧ ¬((*g* ∧ *more*); *g*⋆))
    by *fastforce*
have 411: ⊢ (¬*empty* ∧ ¬((*g* ∧ *more*); *g*⋆)) = (*more* ∧ ¬((*g* ∧ *more*); *g*⋆))
    using *NotEmptyEqvMore* **by** *fastforce*
have 42: ⊢ ¬(*g*⋆) = (*more* ∧ ¬((*g* ∧ *more*); *g*⋆))
    using *4 41 411* **by** *fastforce*
have 43: ⊢ *f* ∧ ¬(*g*⋆) ⟶ *f* ∧ *more* ∧ ¬((*g* ∧ *more*); *g*⋆)
    using *42* **by** *fastforce*
have 44: ⊢ *f* ∧ *more* ∧ ¬((*g* ∧ *more*); *g*⋆) ⟶ (*g* ∧ *more*); *f* ∧ ¬((*g* ∧ *more*); *g*⋆)
    using *3 43 1* **by** *auto*
have 5: ⊢ *f* ∧ ¬(*g*⋆) ⟶
      (*g* ∧ *more*); *f* ∧ ¬((*g* ∧ *more*); *g*⋆)
    using *43 44 lift-imp-trans* **by** *fastforce*
have 6: ⊢ *g* ∧ *more* ⟶ *more*
    by *auto*
from *5 6* **show** *?thesis* **using** *ChopContra* **by** *blast*
qed

lemma *CSElimWithoutMore*:
 assumes ⊢ *empty* ⟶ *g*
    ⊢ *f*; *g* ⟶ *g*
 shows ⊢ *f*⋆ ⟶ *g*
proof −
 have 1: ⊢ *empty* ⟶ *g* **using** *assms* **by** *blast*
 have 2: ⊢ *f*; *g* ⟶ *g* **using** *assms* **by** *blast*
 have 3: ⊢ (*f* ∧ *more*); *g* ⟶ *f*; *g* **by** (*rule AndChopA*)
 have 4: ⊢ (*f* ∧ *more*); *g* ⟶ *g* **using** *2 3 lift-imp-trans* **by** *blast*
 from *1 4* **show** *?thesis* **using** *CSElim* **by** *blast*
qed

lemma *ChopAssocB*:
⊢ (*f*;*g*);*h* = *f*;(*g*;*h*)
using *ChopAssoc* **by** *fastforce*

lemma *CSChopEqvChopOrRule*:
 assumes ⊢ *f* = (*g*⋆; *h*)
 shows ⊢ *f* = ((*g*; *f*) ∨ *h*)
proof −
 have 1: ⊢ *f* = (*g*⋆; *h*) **using** *assms* **by** *auto*
 have 2: ⊢ *g*⋆ = (*empty* ∨ (*g*; *g*⋆)) **by** (*rule CSEqvOrChopCS*)
 hence 3: ⊢ *g*⋆; *h* = (*h* ∨ ((*g*; *g*⋆); *h*)) **by** (*rule EmptyOrChopEqvRule*)
 have 4: ⊢ (*g*; *g*⋆); *h* = *g*; (*g*⋆; *h*) **by** (*rule ChopAssocB*)
 hence 41: ⊢ *g*⋆; *h* = (*h* ∨ *g*; (*g*⋆; *h*)) **using** *3* **by** *fastforce*
 have 5: ⊢ *g*; *f* = *g*; (*g*⋆; *h*) **using** *1* **by** (*rule RightChopEqvChop*)

hence $6: \vdash (g^\star; h) = (h \lor g; f)$ **using** _41_ **by** _fastforce_
hence $61: \vdash (g^\star; h) = ((g; f) \lor h)$ **by** _auto_
from _1 61_ **show** _?thesis_ **by** _fastforce_
qed

lemma _CSChopIntroRule_:
 assumes $\vdash f \land \neg h \longrightarrow g; f$
       $\vdash g \longrightarrow more$
 shows $\vdash f \longrightarrow g^\star; h$
proof $-$
 have $1: \vdash f \land \neg h \longrightarrow g; f$
      using _assms_ **by** _blast_
 have $2: \vdash g \longrightarrow more$
      using _assms_ **by** _blast_
 hence $3: \vdash g \longrightarrow g \land more$
      by _auto_
 hence $4: \vdash g; f \longrightarrow (g \land more); f$
      by (_rule LeftChopImpChop_)
 have $5: \vdash f \longrightarrow (g \land more); f \lor h$
      using _1 4_ **by** _fastforce_
 have $6: \vdash g^\star = (empty \lor (g \land more); g^\star)$
      by (_rule ChopstarEqv_)
 hence $7: \vdash (g^\star); h = (h \lor ((g \land more); g^\star); h)$
      by (_rule EmptyOrChopEqvRule_)
 have $8: \vdash ((g \land more); g^\star); h = (g \land more); (g^\star; h)$
      by (_rule ChopAssocB_)
 have $9: \vdash (g^\star); h = (h \lor (g \land more); (g^\star; h))$
      using _7 8_ **by** _fastforce_
 have $10: \vdash f \land \neg (g^\star; h) \longrightarrow (g \land more); f \land \neg ((g \land more); (g^\star; h))$
      using _5 9_ **by** _fastforce_
 have $11: \vdash g \land more \longrightarrow more$
      by _fastforce_
 from _10 11_ **show** _?thesis_ **using** _ChopContra_ **by** _blast_
qed

lemma _DiamondAndEmptyEqvAndEmpty_:
$\vdash (\diamond f \land empty) = (f \land empty)$
by (_auto simp: sometimes-defs empty-defs_)

lemma _InitAndEmptyEqvAndEmpty_:
$\vdash ((init \; w) \land empty) = (w \land empty)$
proof $-$
 have $1: \vdash ((init \; w) \land empty) = ((w \land empty); \# True \land empty)$
   by (_metis init-d-def int-eq lift-and-com_)
 have $2: \vdash ((w \land empty); \# True \land empty) = (w \land empty); (\# True \land empty)$
    by (_meson AndChopA ChopAndA ChopAndEmptyEqvEmptyChopEmpty lift-imp-trans Prop11 Prop12_)
 have $3: \vdash (w \land empty); (\# True \land empty) = (w \land empty); empty$
    using _RightChopEqvChop_ **by** _fastforce_

have *4*: ⊢ (*w* ∧ *empty*);*empty* = (*w* ∧ *empty*)
　　using *ChopEmpty* **by** *blast*
　from *1 2 3 4* **show** *?thesis* **by** *fastforce*
qed

lemma *InitAndNotBoxInitImpNotEmpty*:
⊢ *init w* ∧ ¬(□ (*init w*)) ⟶ ¬ *empty*
proof −
　have *1*: ⊢ ((*init w*) ∧ *empty*) = (*w* ∧ *empty*)
　　by (*rule InitAndEmptyEqvAndEmpty*)
　have *2*: ⊢ (¬(□ (*init w*)) ∧ *empty*) = (◇ (¬ (*init w*)) ∧ *empty*)
　　by (*auto simp*: *always-d-def*)
　have *3*: ⊢ (◇ (¬ (*init w*)) ∧ *empty*) = (¬ (*init w*) ∧ *empty*)
　　by (*simp add*: *DiamondAndEmptyEqvAndEmpty*)
　have *4*: ⊢ (¬ (*init w*)) = (*init* (¬ *w*)) **using** *Initprop*(*2*) **by** *blast*
　have *5*: ⊢ (¬ (*init w*) ∧ *empty*) = (¬ *w* ∧ *empty*)
　　using *4 InitAndEmptyEqvAndEmpty* **by** (*metis inteq-reflection*)
　have *6*: ⊢ (¬(□ (*init w*)) ∧ *empty*) = (¬ *w* ∧ *empty*)
　　using *2 3 5* **by** *fastforce*
　have *7*: ⊢ ¬(*init w* ∧ ¬(□ (*init w*)) ∧ *empty*)
　　using *1 6* **by** *fastforce*
　from *7* **show** *?thesis* **by** *auto*
qed

lemma *BoxImpTrueChopAndEmpty*:
⊢ □ *f* ⟶ #*True*;(*f* ∧ *empty*)
using *BoxAndChopImport Finprop*(*3*) **by** *fastforce*

lemma *BoxInitAndMoreImpBoxInitAndMoreAndFinInit*:
⊢ □(*init w*) ∧ *more* ⟶ (□ (*init w*) ∧ *more*) ∧ *fin* (*init w*)
proof −
　have *1*: ⊢ *fin* (*init w*) = #*True* ; (*init w* ∧ *empty*) **using** *FinEqvTrueChopAndEmpty* **by** *blast*
　have *2*: ⊢ □(*init w*) ⟶ #*True*;(*init w* ∧ *empty*) **by** (*rule BoxImpTrueChopAndEmpty*)
　from *1 2* **show** *?thesis* **by** *fastforce*
qed

lemma *CSImpBox*:
　assumes ⊢ *f* ⟶ *empty* ∨ (□ (*init w*) ∧ *more*); *f*
　shows ⊢ *init w* ∧ *f* ⟶ □ (*init w*)
proof −
　have *1*: ⊢ *f* ⟶ *empty* ∨ (□(*init w*) ∧ *more*); *f*
　　using *assms* **by** *auto*
　have *2*: ⊢ *init w* ∧ ¬(□ (*init w*)) ⟶ ¬ *empty*
　　by (*rule InitAndNotBoxInitImpNotEmpty*)
　have *3*: ⊢ *init w* ∧ *f* ∧ ¬(□ (*init w*)) ⟶ (□ (*init w*) ∧ *more*); *f*
　　using *1 2* **by** *fastforce*
　have *4*: ⊢ □ (*init w*) ∧ *more* ⟶ (□ (*init w*) ∧ *more*) ∧ *fin* (*init w*)
　　by (*rule BoxInitAndMoreImpBoxInitAndMoreAndFinInit*)
　hence *5*: ⊢ (□(*init w*) ∧ *more*); *f* ⟶ ((□ (*init w*) ∧ *more*) ∧ *fin* (*init w*)); *f*
　　by (*rule LeftChopImpChop*)

have 6 : ⊢ ((□ (*init w*) ∧ *more*) ∧ *fin* (*init w*)); *f* =
    (□(*init w*) ∧ *more*); (*init w* ∧ *f*)
  by (*rule AndFinChopEqvStateAndChop*)
have 7 : ⊢ ¬(□(*init w*)) ⟶ (□ (*init w*)) *yields* (¬(□ (*init w*)))
    by (*rule NotBoxStateImpBoxYieldsNotBox*)
have 8 : ⊢ (□(*init w*)) *yields* (¬ (□ (*init w*))) ⟶
    (□ (*init w*) ∧ *more*) *yields* (¬(□(*init w*)))
  by (*rule AndYieldsA*)
have 9 : ⊢ (□(*init w*) ∧ *more*); (*init w* ∧ *f*) ∧ (□(*init w*) ∧ *more*) *yields* (¬(□ (*init w*)))
    ⟶
    (□ (*init w*) ∧ *more*); ((*init w* ∧ *f*) ∧ ¬ (□ (*init w*)))
  by (*rule ChopAndYieldsImp*)
have 10 : ⊢ (*init w* ∧ *f*) ∧ ¬(□ (*init w*)) ⟶
    (□(*init w*) ∧ *more*); ((*init w* ∧ *f*) ∧ ¬(□ (*init w*)))
  using 3 5 6 7 8 9 **by** *fastforce*
have 11 : ⊢ (□(*init w*) ∧ *more*); ((*init w* ∧ *f*) ∧ ¬(□ (*init w*))) ⟶
    more ; ((*init w* ∧ *f*) ∧ ¬(□ (*init w*)))
  by (*rule AndChopB*)
have 12 : ⊢ (*init w* ∧ *f*) ∧ ¬(□ (*init w*)) ⟶
    more ; ((*init w* ∧ *f*) ∧ ¬(□ (*init w*)))
  using 10 11 **by** *fastforce*
from 12 **show** *?thesis* **using** *MoreChopContra* **by** *blast*
qed

lemma *BoxCSEqvBox*:
⊢ (*init w* ∧ (□(*init w*))⋆) = □ (*init w*)
proof −
have 1 : ⊢ (□ (*init w*))⋆ = (*empty* ∨ (□ (*init w*) ∧ *more*); (□ (*init w*))⋆)
    by (*rule ChopstarEqv*)
hence 2 : ⊢ (□ (*init w*))⋆ ⟶ *empty* ∨ (□ (*init w*) ∧ *more*); (□ (*init w*))⋆
    by *fastforce*
hence 3 : ⊢ *init w* ∧ (□ (*init w*))⋆ ⟶ □ (*init w*)
    by (*rule CSImpBox*)
have 11 : ⊢ □ (*init w*) ⟶ (*init w*)
    using *BoxElim* **by** *blast*
have 12 : ⊢ □(*init w*) ⟶ (□ (*init w*))⋆
    by (*rule ImpCS*)
have 13 : ⊢ □ (*init w*) ⟶ *init w* ∧ (□ (*init w*))⋆
    using 11 12 **by** *fastforce*
from 3 13 **show** *?thesis* **by** *fastforce*
qed

lemma *BoxStateAndCSEqvCS*:
⊢ (□(*init w*) ∧ *f*⋆) = (*init w* ∧ (□(*init w*) ∧ *f*)⋆)
proof −
have 1 : ⊢ □ (*init w*) ⟶ *init w*
    using *BoxElim* **by** *blast*
have 2 : ⊢ (*f*⋆ ∧ *more*) = (*f* ∧ *more*); *f*⋆
    by (*rule CSAndMoreEqvAndMoreChop*)
have 3 : ⊢ (□(*init w*) ∧ ((*f* ∧ *more*); *f*⋆)) =

$$((\Box\ (init\ w)\ \wedge\ f\ \wedge\ more\ );\ (\Box\ (init\ w)\ \wedge\ f^\star))$$
    by (*rule BoxStateAndChopEqvChop*)

have $4: \vdash \Box\ (init\ w)\ \wedge\ f\ \wedge\ more\ \longrightarrow (\Box\ (init\ w)\ \wedge\ f)\ \wedge\ more$
    by *auto*

hence $5: \vdash (\Box\ (init\ w)\ \wedge\ f\ \wedge\ more\ );\ (\Box\ (init\ w)\ \wedge\ f^\star)\ \longrightarrow$
$$((\Box\ (init\ w)\ \wedge\ f)\ \wedge\ more\ );\ (\Box\ (init\ w)\ \wedge\ f^\star)$$
    by (*rule LeftChopImpChop*)

have $6: \vdash (\Box(\ init\ w)\ \wedge\ f^\star)\ \wedge\ more\ \longrightarrow$
$$((\Box\ (init\ w)\ \wedge\ f)\ \wedge\ more\ );\ (\Box\ (init\ w)\ \wedge\ f^\star)$$
    using *2 3 5* **by** *fastforce*

hence $7: \vdash \Box\ (init\ w)\ \wedge\ f^\star\ \longrightarrow (\Box\ (init\ w)\ \wedge\ f)^\star$
    by (*rule CSIntro*)

have $71: \vdash init\ w\ \wedge\ \Box\ (init\ w)\ \wedge\ f^\star\ \longrightarrow init\ w\ \wedge\ (\Box\ (init\ w)\ \wedge\ f)^\star$
    using *7* **by** *fastforce*

have $8: \vdash \Box(\ init\ w)\ \wedge\ f^\star\ \longrightarrow init\ w\ \wedge\ (\Box\ (init\ w)\ \wedge\ f)^\star$
    using *1 71* **by** *fastforce*

have $11: \vdash (\Box\ (init\ w)\ \wedge\ f)^\star\ \longrightarrow (\Box\ (init\ w))^\star$
    by (*rule AndCSA*)

have $12: \vdash (init\ w\ \wedge\ (\Box\ (init\ w))^\star) = \Box\ (init\ w)$
    by (*rule BoxCSEqvBox*)

have $13: \vdash (\Box\ (init\ w)\ \wedge\ f)^\star\ \longrightarrow f^\star$
    by (*rule AndCSB*)

have $14: \vdash init\ w\ \wedge\ (\Box\ (init\ w)\ \wedge\ f)^\star\ \longrightarrow init\ w\ \wedge\ (\Box\ (init\ w))^\star\ \wedge\ f^\star$
    using *11 13* **by** *fastforce*

have $15: \vdash init\ w\ \wedge\ (\Box\ (init\ w))^\star\ \wedge\ f^\star\ \longrightarrow \Box\ (init\ w)\ \wedge\ f^\star$
    using *12* **by** *auto*

have $16: \vdash init\ w\ \wedge\ (\Box\ (init\ w)\ \wedge\ f)^\star\ \longrightarrow \Box\ (init\ w)\ \wedge\ f^\star$
    using *14 15 lift-imp-trans* **by** *blast*

 from *8 16* **show** *?thesis* **by** *fastforce*
qed

lemma *BaCSImpCS*:
$\vdash\ \ ba\ (f\ \longrightarrow g)\ \longrightarrow f^\star\ \longrightarrow g^\star$
proof $-$

 have $\ \ 1: \vdash f^\star = (empty\ \vee\ (f\ \wedge\ more\ );\ f^\star)$
    by (*rule ChopstarEqv*)

 have $\ \ 2: \vdash g^\star = (empty\ \vee\ (g\ \wedge\ more\ );\ g^\star)$
    by (*rule ChopstarEqv*)

 have $\ \ 21: \vdash \neg(g^\star) = (\neg empty\ \wedge\ \neg(\ (g\ \wedge\ more\ );\ g^\star))$
    using *2* **by** *fastforce*

 hence $22: \vdash \neg(g^\star) = (more\ \wedge\ \neg(\ (g\ \wedge\ more\ );\ g^\star))$
    using *NotEmptyEqvMore* **by** *fastforce*

 have $\ \ 3: \vdash f^\star\ \wedge\ \neg\ (g^\star)\ \longrightarrow$
$$(empty\ \vee\ (f\ \wedge\ more\ );\ f^\star)\ \wedge\ more\ \wedge\ \neg\ ((g\ \wedge\ more\ );\ g^\star)$$
    using *1 22* **by** *fastforce*

 have $\ \ 31: \vdash ((empty\ \vee\ (f\ \wedge\ more\ );\ f^\star)\ \wedge\ more) = ((f\ \wedge\ more\ );\ f^\star\ \wedge\ more)$
    by (*auto simp: empty-d-def*)

 have $\ \ 32: \vdash f^\star\ \wedge\ \neg\ (g^\star)\ \longrightarrow (f\ \wedge\ more\ );\ f^\star\ \wedge\ \neg\ ((g\ \wedge\ more\ );\ g^\star)$
    using *3 31* **by** *fastforce*

 have $\ \ 4: \vdash (f\ \longrightarrow g)\ \longrightarrow (f\ \wedge\ more\ \longrightarrow g\ \wedge\ more\ )$

      by *auto*
 hence *5*: ⊢ *ba* (*f* ⟶ *g*) ⟶ *ba* (*f* ∧ *more* ⟶ *g* ∧ *more*)
      by (*rule BaImpBa*)
 have   *6*: ⊢ *ba* (*f* ∧ *more* ⟶ *g* ∧ *more*) ⟶
         (*f* ∧ *more*); *f*⋆ ⟶ (*g* ∧ *more*); *f*⋆
      by (*rule BaLeftChopImpChop*)
 have   *7*: ⊢ *ba* (*f* ⟶ *g*) ∧ (*f* ∧ *more*); *f*⋆ ⟶ (*g* ∧ *more*); *f*⋆
      using *5 6* **by** *fastforce*
 have   *8*: ⊢ (*g* ∧ *more*); *f*⋆ ∧ ¬ ((*g* ∧ *more*); *g*⋆)
        ⟶ (*g* ∧ *more*); (*f*⋆ ∧ ¬ (*g*⋆))
      by (*rule ChopAndNotChopImp*)
 have   *9*: ⊢ (*g* ∧ *more*); (*f*⋆ ∧ ¬ (*g*⋆)) ⟶ *more* ; (*f*⋆ ∧ ¬ (*g*⋆))
      by (*rule AndChopB*)
 have *10*: ⊢ *ba* (*f* ⟶ *g*) ⟶ *more* ; (*f*⋆ ∧ ¬ (*g*⋆)) ⟶
         more ; (*ba* (*f* ⟶ *g*) ∧ *f*⋆ ∧ ¬ (*g*⋆))
      by (*rule BaChopImpChopBa*)
 have *11*: ⊢ *ba* (*f* ⟶ *g*) ∧ *f*⋆ ∧ ¬ (*g*⋆) ⟶
         more ; (*ba* (*f* ⟶ *g*) ∧ *f*⋆ ∧ ¬ (*g*⋆))
      using *32 7 8 9 10* **by** *fastforce*
 hence *12*: ⊢ ¬ ((*ba* (*f* ⟶ *g*)) ∧ (*f*⋆) ∧ (¬ (*g*⋆)))
      using *MoreChopLoop* **by** *blast*
 from *12* **show** *?thesis* **using** *MP* **by** *fastforce*
qed

lemma *BaCSEqvCS*:
⊢ *ba* (*f* = *g*) ⟶ (*f*⋆ = *g*⋆)
proof −
 have *1*: ⊢ *ba* (*f* = *g*) = (*ba* (*f* ⟶ *g*) ∧ *ba* (*g* ⟶ *f*)) **by** (*auto simp*: *ba-defs*)
 have *2*: ⊢ *ba* (*f* ⟶ *g*) ⟶ (*f*⋆ ⟶ *g*⋆) **by** (*rule BaCSImpCS*)
 have *3*: ⊢ *ba* (*g* ⟶ *f*) ⟶ (*g*⋆ ⟶ *f*⋆) **by** (*rule BaCSImpCS*)
 have *4*: ⊢ *ba* (*f* = *g*) ⟶ (*f*⋆ ⟶ *g*⋆) ∧ (*g*⋆ ⟶ *f*⋆) **using** *1 2 3* **by** *fastforce*
 have *5*: ⊢ ((*f*⋆ ⟶ *g*⋆) ∧ (*g*⋆ ⟶ *f*⋆)) = (*f*⋆ = *g*⋆) **by** *auto*
 from *4 5* **show** *?thesis* **by** *auto*
qed

lemma *BaAndCSImport*:
⊢ *ba* *f* ∧ *g*⋆ ⟶ (*f* ∧ *g*)⋆
proof −
 have *1*: ⊢ *f* ⟶ (*g* ⟶ *f* ∧ *g*) **by** *auto*
 hence *2*: ⊢ *ba* *f* ⟶ *ba* (*g* ⟶ *f* ∧ *g*) **by** (*rule BaImpBa*)
 have *3*: ⊢ *ba* (*g* ⟶ *f* ∧ *g*) ⟶ *g*⋆ ⟶ (*f* ∧ *g*)⋆ **by** (*rule BaCSImpCS*)
 from *2 3* **show** *?thesis* **by** *fastforce*
qed

lemma *CSSkip*:
⊢ *skip*⋆
by (*metis ChopPlusImpCS EmptyImpCS EmptyNextInducta next-d-def*)

7.8 Properties of While

lemma *WhileEqvIf*:
$\vdash$ *while* (*init w*) *do f* = *if$_i$* (*init w*) *then* (*f*; (*while* (*init w*) *do f*)) *else empty*
proof $-$
have *1*: $\vdash$ *while* (*init w*) *do f* = (((*init w*) $\wedge$ *f*)* $\wedge$ *fin* ($\neg$ (*init w*)))
     by (*simp add*: *while-d-def*)
have *2*: $\vdash$ (*init w* $\wedge$ *f*)* = (*empty* $\vee$ ((*init w* $\wedge$ *f*); (*init w* $\wedge$ *f*)*))
     by (*rule CSEqvOrChopCS*)
have *21*: $\vdash$ (((*init w*) $\wedge$ *f*)* $\wedge$ *fin* ($\neg$ (*init w*))) =
        ((*empty* $\vee$ ((*init w* $\wedge$ *f*); (*init w* $\wedge$ *f*)*)) $\wedge$ *fin* ($\neg$ (*init w*)))
     using *2* **by** *fastforce*
have *22*: $\vdash$ ((*empty* $\vee$ ((*init w* $\wedge$ *f*); (*init w* $\wedge$ *f*)*)) $\wedge$ *fin* ($\neg$ (*init w*))) =
        ((*empty* $\wedge$ *fin* ($\neg$(*init w*))) $\vee$ (((*init w* $\wedge$ *f*); (*init w* $\wedge$ *f*)*) $\wedge$ *fin* ($\neg$ (*init w*))))
     by *auto*
have *3*: $\vdash$ (*empty* $\wedge$ *fin* ($\neg$ (*init w*))) = ($\neg$ (*init w*) $\wedge$ *empty*)
     using *AndFinEqvChopAndEmpty EmptyChop* **by** (*metis int-eq*)
have *4*: $\vdash$ (*init w* $\wedge$ *f*); (*init w* $\wedge$ *f*)* = (*init w* $\wedge$ (*f*; (*init w* $\wedge$ *f*)*))
     by (*rule StateAndChop*)
have *41*: $\vdash$ (((*init w* $\wedge$ *f*); (*init w* $\wedge$ *f*)*) $\wedge$ *fin* ($\neg$ (*init w*))) =
        (*init w* $\wedge$ (*f*; (*init w* $\wedge$ *f*)*) $\wedge$ *fin* ($\neg$ (*init w*)))
     using *4* **by** *auto*
have *42*: $\vdash$ (*init w* $\wedge$ (*f*; (*init w* $\wedge$ *f*)*) $\wedge$ *fin* ($\neg$ (*init w*))) =
        (*init w* $\wedge$ (*f*; (*init w* $\wedge$ *f*)*) $\wedge$ *fin* (*init* ($\neg$ *w*)))
     using *Initprop*(*2*) **by** (*metis StateAndEmptyChop int-eq*)
have *5*: $\vdash$ ((*f*; ((*init w* $\wedge$ *f*)*)) $\wedge$ (*fin* (*init* ($\neg$ *w*))))
        = (*f*; ((*init w* $\wedge$ *f*)* $\wedge$ (*fin* (*init* ($\neg$ *w*)))))
     by (*rule ChopAndFin*)
have *51*: $\vdash$ (*f*; ((*init w* $\wedge$ *f*)* $\wedge$ (*fin* (*init* ($\neg$ *w*))))) =
        (*f*; ((*init w* $\wedge$ *f*)* $\wedge$ (*fin* ($\neg$ (*init w*)))))
     using *Initprop*(*2*) **by** (*metis FinAndChop int-eq*)
have *52*: $\vdash$ (*init w* $\wedge$ (*f*; (*init w* $\wedge$ *f*)*) $\wedge$ *fin* ($\neg$ (*init w*))) =
       (*init w* $\wedge$ (*f*; ((*init w* $\wedge$ *f*)* $\wedge$ *fin* ($\neg$ (*init w*)))))
     using *42 5 51* **by** *fastforce*
have *6*: $\vdash$ (*f*; ((*init w* $\wedge$ *f*)* $\wedge$ *fin* ($\neg$ (*init w*)))) = *f*; *while* (*init w*) *do f*
     by (*simp add*: *while-d-def*)
have *61*: $\vdash$ (*init w* $\wedge$ (*f*; ((*init w* $\wedge$ *f*)* $\wedge$ *fin* ($\neg$ (*init w*))))) =
        (*init w* $\wedge$ (*f*; *while* (*init w*) *do f*)) **using** *6*
     by *auto*
have *62*: $\vdash$ (*empty* $\wedge$ *fin* ($\neg$ (*init w*))) $\vee$ (((*init w* $\wedge$ *f*); (*init w* $\wedge$ *f*)*) $\wedge$ *fin* ($\neg$ (*init w*)))
        = ($\neg$ (*init w*) $\wedge$ *empty*) $\vee$ (*init w* $\wedge$ (*f*; *while* (*init w*) *do f*))
     using *21 22 3 4 52 61* **by** *fastforce*
have *7*: $\vdash$ *while* (*init w*) *do f*
        = (($\neg$ (*init w*) $\wedge$ *empty*) $\vee$ (*init w* $\wedge$ (*f*; *while* (*init w*) *do f*)))
     using *1 21 22 62*
     by (*metis 3 41 42 5 51 inteq-reflection*)
have *71*: $\vdash$ *if$_i$* (*init w*) *then* (*f*; (*while* (*init w*) *do f*)) *else empty* =
        (($\neg$ (*init w*) $\wedge$ *empty*) $\vee$ (*init w* $\wedge$ (*f*; *while* (*init w*) *do f*)))
     by (*auto simp*: *ifthenelse-d-def*)
from *7 71* **show** *?thesis* **by** *fastforce*
qed

lemma *WhileChopEqvIf* :
⊢ (while (init w) do f); g = if_i(init w) then (f; ((while (init w) do f); g)) else g
proof −
 have 1:⊢ while (init w) do f =
            if_i (init w) then (f; (while (init w) do f)) else empty
      by (rule WhileEqvIf)
 hence 2:⊢ (while (init w) do f); g =
            if_i (init w) then ((f; while (init w) do f); g) else (empty ; g)
      by (rule IfChopEqvRule)
 have 3:⊢ empty ; g = g
      by (rule EmptyChop)
 have 4:⊢ if_i (init w) then ((f; while (init w) do f); g) else (empty ; g) =
            if_i (init w) then ((f; while (init w) do f); g) else g
      using 3 **using** inteq-reflection **by** fastforce
 have 5:⊢ ((f; while (init w) do f); g) = (f; (while (init w) do f; g))
      by (rule ChopAssocB)
 have 6:⊢ if_i (init w) then ((f; while (init w) do f); g) else g =
             if_i (init w) then (f; ((while (init w) do f); g)) else g
      using 5 **using** inteq-reflection **by** fastforce
 from 1 2 4 6 **show** ?thesis **by** fastforce
qed

lemma *WhileChopEqvIfRule*:
 assumes ⊢ f = (while (init w) do g); h
 shows ⊢ f = if_i (init w) then (g; f) else h
proof −
 have 1:⊢ f = (while (init w) do g); h
     using assms **by** auto
 have 2:⊢ (while (init w) do g); h =
            if_i (init w) then (g; ((while (init w) do g); h)) else h
      by (rule WhileChopEqvIf)
 have 3:⊢ (g; f) = (g; ((while (init w) do g); h))
      using 1 **by** (rule RightChopEqvChop)
 have 4:⊢ (g; ((while (init w) do g); h)) = (g; f)
      using 3 **by** auto
 have 5:⊢ if_i (init w) then (g; ((while (init w) do g); h)) else h =
             if_i (init w) then (g; f) else h
      using 4 **using** inteq-reflection **by** fastforce
 from 1 2 5 **show** ?thesis **by** fastforce
qed

lemma *WhileImpFin*:
⊢ while (init w) do f ⟶ fin (¬ (init w))
proof −
 have 1:⊢ (init w ∧ f)⋆ ∧ fin (¬ (init w)) ⟶ fin (¬ (init w)) **by** auto
 from 1 **show** ?thesis **by** (simp add: while-d-def)
qed

lemma *WhileEqvEmptyOrChopWhile*:

$\vdash$ *while* (*init w*) *do f* = ((¬ (*init w*) ∧ *empty*) ∨ (*init w* ∧ (*f* ∧ *more*); *while* (*init w*) *do f*))

proof −

have 1 : $\vdash$ (*init w* ∧ *f*)* = (*empty* ∨ ((*init w* ∧ *f*)∧ *more*); (*init w* ∧ *f*)*)
    by (*rule ChopstarEqv*)

have 2 : $\vdash$ ((*init w* ∧ *f*) ∧ *more*) = (*init w* ∧ (*f* ∧ *more*))
    by *auto*

hence 3 : $\vdash$ ((*init w* ∧ *f*)∧ *more*); (*init w* ∧ *f*)* = (*init w* ∧ *f* ∧ *more*); (*init w* ∧ *f*)*
    by (*rule LeftChopEqvChop*)

have 4 : $\vdash$ (*init w* ∧ *f*)* = (*empty* ∨ (*init w* ∧ *f* ∧ *more*); (*init w* ∧ *f*)*)
    using 1 3 **by** *fastforce*

have 5 : $\vdash$ ((*init w* ∧ *f*)* ∧ *fin* (¬ (*init w*))) =
        ((*empty* ∧ *fin* (¬ (*init w*))) ∨
        ((*init w* ∧ *f* ∧ *more*); (*init w* ∧ *f*)*∧ *fin* (¬ (*init w*))))
    using 1 4 **by** *fastforce*

have 6 : $\vdash$ (*empty* ∧ *fin* (¬ (*init w*))) = (¬ (*init w*) ∧ *empty*)
    using *AndFinEqvChopAndEmpty EmptyChop* **by** (*metis int-eq*)

have 7 : $\vdash$ (*init w* ∧ *f* ∧ *more*); (*init w* ∧ *f*)* = (*init w* ∧ (*f* ∧ *more*); (*init w* ∧ *f*)*)
    by (*rule StateAndChop*)

have 8 : $\vdash$ (((*f* ∧ *more*); (*init w* ∧ *f*)*) ∧ *fin* (*init* (¬ *w*))) =
        ((*f* ∧ *more*); ((*init w* ∧ *f*)* ∧ *fin* (*init* (¬ *w*))))
    by (*rule ChopAndFin*)

have 81 : $\vdash$ *fin* (*init* (¬ *w*)) = *fin* (¬ (*init w*))
    using *FinEqvFin Initprop*(2) **by** *fastforce*

have 82 : $\vdash$ ((*f* ∧ *more*); (*init w* ∧ *f*)* ∧ *fin* (¬ (*init w*))) =
        ((*f* ∧ *more*); ((*init w* ∧ *f*)* ∧ *fin* (¬ (*init w*))))
    using 8 81
    by (*metis inteq-reflection*)

have 9 : $\vdash$ ((*init w* ∧ *f*)* ∧ *fin* (¬ (*init w*))) =
        ((¬ (*init w*) ∧ *empty*) ∨
            (*init w* ∧ (*f* ∧ *more*); ((*init w* ∧ *f*)* ∧ *fin* (¬ (*init w*)))))
    using 5 6 7 82 **by** *fastforce*

from 9 **show** *?thesis* **by** (*simp add*: *while-d-def*)
qed

lemma *WhileIntro*:

assumes $\vdash$ ¬ (*init w*) ∧ *f* ⟶ *empty*
        $\vdash$ *init w* ∧ *f* ⟶ (*g* ∧ *more*); *f*

shows $\vdash$ *f* ⟶ *while* (*init w*) *do g*

proof −

have 1 : $\vdash$ ¬ (*init w*) ∧ *f* ⟶ *empty*
    using *assms* **by** *blast*

have 2 : $\vdash$ *init w* ∧ *f* ⟶ (*g* ∧ *more*); *f*
    using *assms* **by** *blast*

have 3 : $\vdash$ *while* (*init w*) *do g* =
        ((¬ (*init w*) ∧ *empty*) ∨ (*init w* ∧ (*g* ∧ *more*); *while* (*init w*) *do g*))
    by (*rule WhileEqvEmptyOrChopWhile*)

hence 31 : $\vdash$ ¬ (*while* (*init w*) *do g*) =
        (¬((¬ (*init w*) ∧ *empty*) ∨ (*init w* ∧ (*g* ∧ *more*); *while* (*init w*) *do g*)))
    by *fastforce*

hence 32 : $\vdash$ (*f* ∧ ¬ (*while* (*init w*) *do g*)) =

$(f \wedge \neg ((\neg(init\ w) \wedge empty) \vee (init\ w \wedge (g \wedge\ more\ );\ while\ (init\ w)\ do\ g)))$
by *fastforce*

have *33*: $\vdash (f \wedge \neg ((\neg(init\ w) \wedge empty) \vee (init\ w \wedge (g \wedge more);\ while\ (init\ w)\ do\ g))) =$
$(f \wedge \neg(\neg(init\ w) \wedge\ empty\ ) \wedge \neg(init\ w \wedge (g \wedge\ more\ );\ while\ (init\ w)\ do\ g))$
by *auto*

have *34*: $\vdash (f \wedge \neg(\neg(init\ w) \wedge empty) \wedge \neg((init\ w) \wedge ((g \wedge\ more\ );\ while\ (init\ w)\ do\ g))) =$
$(f \wedge\ (\ (init\ w) \vee more\ ) \wedge (\neg(init\ w) \vee \neg((g \wedge more);\ while\ (init\ w)\ do\ g)))$
by (*auto simp: empty-d-def*)

have *35*: $\vdash (f \wedge ((init\ w) \vee more) \wedge (\neg(init\ w) \vee \neg((g \wedge more);while\ (init\ w)\ do\ g))) =$
$((f \wedge (init\ w) \wedge \neg ((g \wedge\ more\ );\ while\ (\ init\ w)\ do\ g)) \vee$
$(f \wedge (init\ w) \wedge \neg(init\ w)) \vee$
$(f \wedge more \wedge \neg ((g \wedge\ more\ );\ while\ (\ init\ w)\ do\ g)) \vee$
$(f \wedge more \wedge \neg(init\ w)))$
by *auto*

have *36*: $\vdash (f \wedge \neg\ (\ while\ (init\ w)\ do\ g)) =$
$((f \wedge (init\ w) \wedge \neg ((g \wedge\ more\ );\ while\ (\ init\ w)\ do\ g)) \vee$
$(f \wedge (init\ w) \wedge \neg(init\ w)) \vee$
$(f \wedge more \wedge \neg ((g \wedge\ more\ );\ while\ (\ init\ w)\ do\ g)) \vee$
$(f \wedge more \wedge \neg(init\ w)))$ **using** *32 33 34 35* **by** *fastforce*

have *37*: $\vdash \neg(f \wedge more \wedge \neg(init\ w))$
using *1* **by** (*auto simp: empty-d-def*)

have *38*: $\vdash (f \wedge more \wedge \neg ((g \wedge\ more\ );\ while\ (\ init\ w)\ do\ g)) \longrightarrow$
$((g \wedge\ more\ );\ f \wedge \neg ((g \wedge\ more\ );\ while\ (\ init\ w)\ do\ g))$
using *1 2* **by** (*auto simp: empty-d-def Valid-def*)

have *39*: $\vdash (f \wedge (init\ w) \wedge \neg ((g \wedge\ more\ );\ while\ (\ init\ w)\ do\ g)) \longrightarrow$
$((g \wedge\ more\ );\ f \wedge \neg ((g \wedge\ more\ );\ while\ (\ init\ w)\ do\ g))$
using *2* **by** *auto*

have *40*: $\vdash ((f \wedge (init\ w) \wedge \neg ((g \wedge\ more\ );\ while\ (\ init\ w)\ do\ g)) \vee$
$(f \wedge (init\ w) \wedge \neg(init\ w)) \vee$
$(f \wedge more \wedge \neg ((g \wedge\ more\ );\ while\ (\ init\ w)\ do\ g)) \vee$
$(f \wedge more \wedge \neg(init\ w))) \longrightarrow$
$(g \wedge\ more\ );\ f \wedge \neg ((g \wedge\ more\ );\ while\ (\ init\ w)\ do\ g)$
using *39 38 37 38* **by** *fastforce*

have *4*: $\vdash f \wedge \neg\ (\ while\ (init\ w)\ do\ g) \longrightarrow$
$(g \wedge\ more\ );\ f \wedge \neg ((g \wedge\ more\ );\ while\ (\ init\ w)\ do\ g)$
using *36 40* **by** *fastforce*

have *5*: $\vdash g \wedge\ more \longrightarrow\ more$
by *auto*

from *4 5* **show** *?thesis* **using** *ChopContra* **by** *blast*
qed

lemma *WhileElim*:
 assumes $\vdash \neg\ (\ init\ w) \wedge\ empty \longrightarrow g$
$\vdash init\ w \wedge (f \wedge\ more\ );\ g \longrightarrow g$
 shows $\vdash while\ (init\ w)\ do\ f \longrightarrow g$
proof $-$
 have *1*: $\vdash while\ (\ init\ w)\ do\ f =$
$((\neg\ (\ init\ w) \wedge\ empty\ ) \vee (init\ w \wedge (f \wedge\ more\ );\ while\ (\ init\ w)\ do\ f))$
 by (*rule WhileEqvEmptyOrChopWhile*)
 hence *11*: $\vdash ((while\ (\ init\ w)\ do\ f) \wedge \neg\ g) =$

$$(((\neg(init\ w) \land empty) \lor (init\ w \land (f \land more);while\ (init\ w)\ do\ f)) \land \neg\ g)$$
    by *auto*
have 2: $\vdash \neg\ (\ init\ w) \land\ empty\ \longrightarrow g$
    using *assms* **by** *blast*
hence 21: $\vdash \neg\ g \longrightarrow \neg(\neg\ (\ init\ w) \land\ empty)$
    by *auto*
have 22: $\vdash ((\neg\ (init\ w) \land empty) \lor (init\ w \land (f \land more);while\ (init\ w)\ do\ f)) \land \neg\ g \longrightarrow$
          $(init\ w \land (f \land\ more\ );\ while\ (\ init\ w)\ do\ f)$
    using *21* **by** *auto*
have 23: $\vdash (while\ (\ init\ w)\ do\ f) \land \neg\ g \longrightarrow$
          $(init\ w \land (f \land\ more\ );\ while\ (\ init\ w)\ do\ f) \land \neg\ g$
    using *11 21* **by** *fastforce*
have 3: $\vdash (init\ w) \land ((f \land\ more\ );\ g) \longrightarrow g$
    using *assms* **by** *blast*
hence 31: $\vdash \neg\ g \longrightarrow \neg((init\ w) \land ((f \land\ more\ );\ g))$
    by *fastforce*
have 32: $\vdash (init\ w \land (f \land\ more\ );\ while\ (\ init\ w)\ do\ f) \land \neg\ g \longrightarrow$
        $(((f \land\ more\ );\ (while\ (init\ w)\ do\ f)) \land \neg\ ((f \land\ more\ );\ g)) \land \neg g$
    using *31* **by** *auto*
have 4: $\vdash (while\ (init\ w)\ do\ f) \land \neg\ g \longrightarrow$
        $((f \land\ more\ );\ (while\ (init\ w)\ do\ f)) \land \neg\ ((f \land\ more\ );\ g)$
    using *23 32* **by** *fastforce*
have 5: $\vdash f \land\ more\ \longrightarrow\ more$
    by *auto*
from *4 5* **show** *?thesis* **using** *ChopContra* **by** *blast*
qed

lemma *BaWhileImpWhile*:
$\vdash\ ba\ (f \longrightarrow g) \longrightarrow (\ while\ (init\ w)\ do\ f) \longrightarrow (\ while\ (init\ w)\ do\ g)$
proof $-$
have 1: $\vdash (f \longrightarrow g) \longrightarrow ((init\ w \land f) \longrightarrow (init\ w \land g))$
    by *auto*
hence 2: $\vdash\ ba\ (f \longrightarrow g) \longrightarrow\ ba\ ((init\ w \land f) \longrightarrow (init\ w \land g))$
    by (*rule BaImpBa*)
have 3: $\vdash\ ba\ ((init\ w \land f) \longrightarrow (init\ w \land g)) \longrightarrow ((init\ w \land f)^\star \longrightarrow (init\ w \land g)^\star)$
    by (*rule BaCSImpCS*)
have 4: $\vdash\ ba\ (f \longrightarrow g) \longrightarrow ((init\ w \land f)^\star \land\ fin\ (\neg\ (\ init\ w)) \longrightarrow (init\ w \land g)^\star \land\ fin\ (\neg\ (init\ w)))$
    using *2 3* **by** *fastforce*
from *4* **show** *?thesis* **by** (*simp add*: *while-d-def*)
qed

lemma *WhileImpWhile*:
 assumes $\vdash\ f \longrightarrow g$
 shows $\vdash (\ while\ (init\ w)\ do\ f) \longrightarrow (\ while\ (init\ w)\ do\ g)$
proof $-$
have 1: $\vdash f \longrightarrow g$
    using *assms* **by** *auto*
hence 2: $\vdash\ ba\ (f \longrightarrow g)$
    by (*rule BaGen*)
have 3: $\vdash\ ba\ (f \longrightarrow g) \longrightarrow (\ while\ (init\ w)\ do\ f) \longrightarrow (\ while\ (init\ w)\ do\ g)$

187

by (*rule BaWhileImpWhile*)
 from *2 3* **show** *?thesis* **using** *MP* **by** *blast*
qed

7.9 Properties of Halt

lemma *WnextAndMoreEqvNext*:
$\vdash (wnext\ f \wedge more) = \bigcirc f$
by (*auto simp*: *wnext-defs more-defs next-defs*)

lemma *BoxStateAndEmptyEqvStateAndEmpty*:
$\vdash (\square(empty = (init\ w)) \wedge empty) = ((init\ w) \wedge empty)$
by (*auto simp*: *always-defs init-defs empty-defs*)

lemma *BoxEmptyEqvIStateqvEmptyAndStateOrNotStateNext*:
$\vdash \square(empty = (init\ w)) = ((empty \wedge init\ w) \vee (\neg(init\ w) \wedge \bigcirc(\square(empty = (init\ w)))))$
proof $-$
 have 1: $\vdash \square(empty = (init\ w)) =$
        $((\square(empty = (init\ w)) \wedge empty) \vee (\square(empty = (init\ w)) \wedge more))$
     by (*auto simp*: *empty-d-def*)
 have 2: $\vdash (\square(empty = (init\ w)) \wedge empty) = ((init\ w) \wedge empty)$
     using *BoxStateAndEmptyEqvStateAndEmpty* **by** *blast*
 have 3: $\vdash \square(empty = (init\ w)) = ((empty = (init\ w)) \wedge wnext(\square(empty = (init\ w))))$
     using *BoxEqvAndWnextBox* **by** *blast*
 hence 4: $\vdash (\square(empty = (init\ w)) \wedge more) =$
          $(((empty = (init\ w)) \wedge more) \wedge (wnext(\square(empty = (init\ w))) \wedge more))$
     by *auto*
 have 5: $\vdash ((empty = (init\ w)) \wedge more) = (\neg(init\ w) \wedge more)$
     by (*auto simp*: *empty-d-def*)
 have 6: $\vdash (wnext(\square(empty = (init\ w))) \wedge more) = \bigcirc(\square(empty = (init\ w)))$
     using *WnextAndMoreEqvNext* **by** *metis*
 have 7: $\vdash (\square(empty = (init\ w)) \wedge more) =$
          $((\neg (init\ w) \wedge more) \wedge (wnext(\square(empty = (init\ w))) \wedge more))$
     using *4 5* **by** *fastforce*
 have 8: $\vdash ((\neg (init\ w) \wedge more) \wedge (wnext(\square(empty = (init\ w))) \wedge more)) =$
          $((\neg (init\ w)) \wedge (wnext(\square(empty = (init\ w))) \wedge more))$ **by** *auto*
 have 9: $\vdash ((\neg (init\ w)) \wedge (wnext(\square(empty = (init\ w))) \wedge more)) =$
          $((\neg (init\ w)) \wedge \bigcirc(\square(empty = (init\ w))))$ **using** *8 6* **by** *auto*
 have 10: $\vdash \square(empty = (init\ w)) = (((init\ w) \wedge empty) \vee (\square(empty = (init\ w)) \wedge more))$
     using *1 2* **by** *fastforce*
 from *7 9 10* **show** *?thesis* **by** *fastforce*
qed

lemma *HaltStateEqvIfStateThenEmptyElseNext*:
$\vdash halt(\ init\ w) = if_i\ (init\ w)\ then\ empty\ else\ (\bigcirc(\ halt\ (\ init\ w)))$
proof $-$
 have 1: $\vdash halt(\ init\ w) = \square(empty = (init\ w))$
     by (*simp add*: *halt-d-def*)
 have 2: $\vdash \square(empty = (init\ w)) =$
          $((empty \wedge init\ w) \vee (\neg(init\ w) \wedge \bigcirc(\square(empty = (init\ w)))))$

    by (*rule BoxEmptyEqvIStateqvEmptyAndStateOrNotStateNext*)

have 21: ⊢ ((*empty* ∧ *init w*) ∨ (¬(*init w*) ∧ ○(□(*empty* = (*init w*))))) =

        ((*init w* ∧ *empty*) ∨ (¬(*init w*) ∧ ○(□(*empty* = (*init w*)))))

    by *auto*

have 22: ⊢ ○(*halt* (*init w*)) = ○(□(*empty* = (*init w*)))

    using *NextEqvNext* **using** *1* **by** *blast*

have 3: ⊢ *if*$_i$ (*init w*) *then* *empty* *else* (○(*halt* (*init w*))) =

       ((*init w* ∧ *empty*) ∨ (¬(*init w*) ∧ ○(*halt* (*init w*))))

    by (*simp add*: *ifthenelse-d-def*)

from *1 2 21 22 3* **show** *?thesis* **by** *fastforce*

qed

lemma *HaltChopEqv*:

⊢ ((*halt* (*init w*)) ; *f*) = (*if*$_i$ (*init w*) *then* (*f*) *else* (○((*halt* (*init w*)); *f*)))

proof −

have 1: ⊢ *halt*(*init w*) =

       (*if*$_i$ (*init w*) *then* *empty* *else* (○(*halt* (*init w*))))

    by (*rule HaltStateEqvIfStateThenEmptyElseNext*)

hence 2: ⊢ ((*halt*(*init w*));*f*) =

       (*if*$_i$ (*init w*) *then* (*empty*;*f*) *else* (○(*halt* (*init w*));*f*))

    by (*rule IfChopEqvRule*)

have 3: ⊢ *empty* ; *f* = *f*

    by (*rule EmptyChop*)

have 4: ⊢ (○ (*halt* (*init w*))); *f* = ○(*halt* (*init w*); *f*)

    by (*rule NextChop*)

from *2 3 4* **show** *?thesis* **by** (*metis inteq-reflection*)

qed

lemma *AndHaltChopImp*:

⊢ *init w* ∧ (*halt* (*init w*); *f*) ⟶ *f*

proof −

have 1: ⊢ *halt* (*init w*); *f* = *if*$_i$ (*init w*) *then* *f* *else* (○(*halt* (*init w*); *f*))

    by (*rule HaltChopEqv*)

have 2: ⊢ *init w* ∧ *if*$_i$ (*init w*) *then* *f* *else* (○(*halt* (*init w*); *f*)) ⟶ *f*

    by (*auto simp*: *ifthenelse-d-def*)

from *1 2* **show** *?thesis* **by** *fastforce*

qed

lemma *NotAndHaltChopImpNext*:

⊢ ¬ (*init w*) ∧ (*halt* (*init w*); *f*) ⟶ ○(*halt* (*init w*); *f*)

proof −

have 1: ⊢ *halt* (*init w*); *f* = *if*$_i$ (*init w*) *then* *f* *else* (○(*halt* (*init w*); *f*))

    by (*rule HaltChopEqv*)

have 2: ⊢ ¬ (*init w*) ∧ *if*$_i$ (*init w*) *then* *f* *else* (○(*halt* (*init w*); *f*)) ⟶

       ○(*halt* (*init w*); *f*)

    by (*auto simp*: *ifthenelse-d-def*)

from *1 2* **show** *?thesis* **by** *fastforce*

qed

lemma *NotAndHaltChopImpSkipYields*:

$\vdash \neg (\ init\ w) \wedge (\ halt\ (\ init\ w);\ f) \longrightarrow skip\ yields\ (\ halt\ (init\ w);\ f)$
proof $-$
 have $1: \vdash \neg (\ init\ w) \wedge (\ halt\ (\ init\ w);\ f) \longrightarrow \bigcirc(\ halt\ (\ init\ w);\ f)$
    by (*rule NotAndHaltChopImpNext*)
 have $2: \vdash \bigcirc(\ halt\ (\ init\ w);\ f) \longrightarrow skip\ yields\ (\ halt\ (\ init\ w);\ f)$
    by (*rule NextImpSkipYields*)
 from *1 2* **show** *?thesis* **by** *fastforce*
qed

lemma *TrueChopAndEmptyEqvChopAndEmpty*:
 $\vdash ((\#\,True;(f \wedge empty)) \wedge g) = (g;(f \wedge empty))$
using *AndFinEqvChopAndEmpty FinEqvTrueChopAndEmpty* **by** (*metis int-eq lift-and-com*)

lemma *WprevEqvEmptyOrPrev*:
 $\vdash wprev\ f = (empty \vee prev\ f)$
by (*auto simp*: *wprev-defs empty-defs prev-defs*)

lemma *NotChopSkipEqvMoreAndNotChopSkip*:
 $\vdash (\neg\ f);skip = (more \wedge \neg(f;skip))$
proof $-$
 have $1: \vdash wprev\ f = (empty \vee prev\ f)$ **using** *WprevEqvEmptyOrPrev* **by** *auto*
 hence $2: \vdash (\neg(wprev\ f)) = (\neg(empty \vee prev\ f))$ **by** *auto*
 have $3: \vdash \neg(wprev\ f) = ((\neg\ f);skip)$ **by** (*simp add*: *wprev-d-def prev-d-def*)
 have $31: \vdash (empty \vee prev\ f) = (empty \vee (f;skip))$ **by** (*simp add*: *prev-d-def*)
 have $32: \vdash (empty \vee (f;skip)) = (\neg more \vee \neg\neg(f;skip))$ **by** (*simp add*: *empty-d-def*)
 have $33: \vdash (\neg more \vee \neg\neg(f;skip)) = (\neg(more \wedge \neg(f;skip)))$ **by** *fastforce*
 have $34: \vdash (empty \vee prev\ f) = (\neg(more \wedge \neg(f;skip)))$ **using** *31 32 33* **by** (*metis int-eq*)
 have $4: \vdash \neg(empty \vee prev\ f) = (more \wedge \neg(f;skip))$ **using** *34* **by** *fastforce*
 from *2 3 4* **show** *?thesis* **by** *fastforce*
qed

lemma *HaltChopImpNotHaltChopNot*:
 $\vdash halt\ (\ init\ w);\ f \longrightarrow \neg (\ halt\ (\ init\ w);\ (\neg\ f))$
proof $-$
 have $1: \vdash halt\ (init\ w);\ f = if_i\ (init\ w)\ then\ f\ else\ (\bigcirc(\ halt\ (\ init\ w);\ f))$
    by (*rule HaltChopEqv*)
 have $2: \vdash if_i\ (init\ w)\ then\ f\ else\ (\bigcirc(\ halt\ (\ init\ w);\ f)) \longrightarrow$
       $(\ ((init\ w) \longrightarrow f) \wedge (\neg(init\ w) \longrightarrow (\bigcirc(\ halt\ (\ init\ w);\ f))))$
    by (*rule IfThenElseImp*)
 have $3: \vdash halt\ (init\ w);\ (\neg f) =$
       $if_i\ (init\ w)\ then\ (\neg f)\ else\ (\bigcirc(\ halt\ (\ init\ w);\ (\neg f)))$
    by (*rule HaltChopEqv*)
 have $4: \vdash if_i\ (init\ w)\ then\ (\neg f)\ else\ (\bigcirc(\ halt\ (\ init\ w);\ (\neg f))) \longrightarrow$
       $(\ ((init\ w) \longrightarrow \neg f) \wedge (\neg(init\ w) \longrightarrow (\bigcirc(\ halt\ (\ init\ w);\ (\neg f)))))$
    by (*rule IfThenElseImp*)
 have $5: \vdash halt\ (init\ w);\ f \wedge halt\ (init\ w);\ (\neg f) \longrightarrow$
       $(\ ((init\ w) \longrightarrow f) \wedge (\neg(init\ w) \longrightarrow (\bigcirc(\ halt\ (\ init\ w);\ f)))) \wedge$
       $(\ ((init\ w) \longrightarrow \neg f) \wedge (\neg(init\ w) \longrightarrow (\bigcirc(\ halt\ (\ init\ w);\ (\neg f)))))$

190

using *1 2 3 4* **by** *fastforce*
have *6*: ⊢ ((((*init w*) ⟶ *f*) ∧ (¬(*init w*) ⟶ (○(*halt* (*init w*); *f*)))) ∧
  ((((*init w*) ⟶ ¬*f*) ∧ (¬(*init w*) ⟶ (○(*halt* (*init w*); (¬*f*)))))) ⟶
  (○(*halt* (*init w*); *f*)) ∧ (○(*halt* (*init w*); (¬*f*)))
  by *auto*
have *7*: ⊢ *halt* (*init w*); *f* ∧ *halt* (*init w*); (¬*f*) ⟶
  (○(*halt* (*init w*); *f*)) ∧ (○(*halt* (*init w*); (¬*f*)))
  using *5 6 lift-imp-trans* **by** *blast*
have *8*: ⊢ ((○(*halt* (*init w*); *f*)) ∧ (○(*halt* (*init w*); (¬*f*)))) =
  ○ (*halt* (*init w*); *f* ∧ *halt* (*init w*); (¬*f*))
  using *NextAndEqvNextAndNext* **by** *fastforce*
have *9*: ⊢ *halt* (*init w*); *f* ∧ *halt* (*init w*); (¬*f*) ⟶
  ○ (*halt* (*init w*); *f* ∧ *halt* (*init w*); (¬*f*))
  using *7 8* **by** *fastforce*
hence *10*: ⊢ ¬(*halt* (*init w*); *f* ∧ *halt* (*init w*); (¬*f*))
  using *NextLoop* **by** *blast*
from *10* **show** *?thesis* **by** *auto*
qed

lemma *HaltChopImpHaltYields*:
⊢ *halt* (*init w*); *f* ⟶ (*halt* (*init w*)) *yields* *f*
proof −
have *1*: ⊢ *halt* (*init w*); *f* ⟶ ¬ (*halt* (*init w*); (¬ *f*)) **by** (*rule HaltChopImpNotHaltChopNot*)
from *1* **show** *?thesis* **by** (*simp add*: *yields-d-def*)
qed

lemma *HaltChopAnd*:
⊢ (*halt* (*init w*)); *f* ∧ (*halt* (*init w*)); *g* ⟶ (*halt* (*init w*)); (*f* ∧ *g*)
proof −
have *1*: ⊢ (*halt* (*init w*)); *g* ⟶ (*halt* (*init w*)) *yields* *g* **by** (*rule HaltChopImpHaltYields*)
hence *2*: ⊢ (*halt* (*init w*)); *f* ∧ (*halt* (*init w*)); *g* ⟶
  (*halt* (*init w*)); *f* ∧ (*halt* (*init w*)) *yields* *g* **by** *auto*
have *3*: ⊢ (*halt* (*init w*)); *f* ∧ (*halt* (*init w*)) *yields* *g* ⟶
  (*halt* (*init w*)); (*f* ∧ *g*) **by** (*rule ChopAndYieldsImp*)
from *2 3* **show** *?thesis* **by** *fastforce*
qed

lemma *HaltAndChopAndHaltChopImpHaltAndChopAnd*:
⊢ (*halt* (*init w*) ∧ *f*); *f1* ∧ (*halt* (*init w*); *g*) ⟶ (*halt* (*init w*) ∧ *f*); (*f1* ∧ *g*)
proof −
have *1*: ⊢ *f1* ⟶ ¬ *g* ∨ (*f1* ∧ *g*)
  by *auto*
hence *2*: ⊢ (*halt* (*init w*) ∧ *f*); *f1* ⟶
  (*halt* (*init w*) ∧ *f*); (¬ *g*) ∨ ((*halt* (*init w*) ∧ *f*); (*f1* ∧ *g*))
  by (*rule ChopOrImpRule*)
have *3*: ⊢ (*halt* (*init w*) ∧ *f*); (¬ *g*) ⟶ *halt* (*init w*); (¬ *g*)
  by (*rule AndChopA*)
have *31*: ⊢ (*halt* (*init w*) ∧ *f*); *f1* ⟶
  halt (*init w*); (¬ *g*) ∨ ((*halt* (*init w*) ∧ *f*); (*f1* ∧ *g*))
  using *23* **by** *fastforce*

191

have 4: ⊢ *halt* (*init w*); *g* ⟶ ¬ (*halt* (*init w*); (¬ *g*))
    by (*rule HaltChopImpNotHaltChopNot*)
hence 41: ⊢ (*halt* (*init w*); (¬ *g*)) ⟶ ¬(*halt* (*init w*); *g*)
    by *auto*
have 42: ⊢ (*halt* (*init w*) ∧ *f*); *f1* ⟶
        ¬(*halt* (*init w*); *g*) ∨ ((*halt* (*init w*) ∧ *f*); (*f1* ∧ *g*))
    using *31 41* **by** *fastforce*
from *42* **show** *?thesis* **by** *auto*
qed

lemma *HaltImpBoxYields*:
⊢ (*halt* (*init w*)); *f* ⟶ (□(¬ (*init w*))) *yields* ((*halt* (*init w*)); *f*)
proof −
have 1: ⊢ (□ (¬ (*init w*))); (¬ (*halt* (*init w*); *f*)) ⟶ *di* (□ (¬ (*init w*)))
    by (*rule ChopImpDi*)
have 2: ⊢ □ (¬ (*init w*)) ⟶ ¬ (*init w*)
    by (*rule BoxElim*)
hence 3: ⊢ *di* (□ (¬ (*init w*))) ⟶ *di* (¬ (*init w*))
    by (*rule DiImpDi*)
have 4: ⊢ *di* (*init* (¬ *w*)) = (*init* (¬*w*))
    by (*rule DiState*)
have 41: ⊢ (*init* (¬ *w*)) = (¬ (*init w*))
    using *Initprop*(*2*) **by** *fastforce*
have 42: ⊢ *di* (¬ (*init w*)) = (¬(*init w*))
    using *4 41* **by** (*metis inteq-reflection*)
have 5: ⊢ ((□(¬ (*init w*))); (¬ (*halt* (*init w*); *f*))) ⟶ ¬ (*init w*)
    using *1 2 42* **using** *3* **by** *fastforce*
hence 51: ⊢ (*halt* (*init w*); *f*) ∧ ((□(¬ (*init w*))); (¬ (*halt* (*init w*); *f*))) ⟶
        (*halt* (*init w*); *f*) ∧ ¬ (*init w*)
    by *fastforce*
have 6: ⊢ *halt* (*init w*); *f* = *if*ᵢ (*init w*) *then* *f* *else* (○(*halt* (*init w*); *f*))
    by (*rule HaltChopEqv*)
hence 61: ⊢ (*halt* (*init w*); *f* ∧ ¬ (*init w*)) =
        ((*if*ᵢ (*init w*) *then* *f* *else* (○(*halt* (*init w*); *f*))) ∧ ¬ (*init w*))
    using *6* **by** *auto*
have 62: ⊢ (*if*ᵢ (*init w*) *then* *f* *else* (○(*halt* (*init w*); *f*))) ∧
        ¬ (*init w*) ⟶ (○(*halt* (*init w*); *f*))
    by (*auto simp*: *ifthenelse-d-def*)
have 63: ⊢ *halt* (*init w*); *f* ∧ ¬ (*init w*) ⟶ (○(*halt* (*init w*); *f*))
    using *61 62* **by** *fastforce*
have 7: ⊢ (*halt* (*init w*); *f*) ∧ (□(¬ (*init w*))); (¬ (*halt* (*init w*); *f*)) ⟶
        ○((*halt* (*init w*)); *f*)
    using *51 63* **using** *lift-imp-trans* **by** *blast*
have 8: ⊢ □ (¬ (*init w*)) ⟶ *empty* ∨ ○(□(¬(*init w*)))
    using *BoxBoxImpBox BoxEqvAndEmptyOrNextBox* **by** *fastforce*
hence 9: ⊢ ((□ (¬ (*init w*))); (¬ (*halt* (*init w*); *f*))) ⟶
        ¬ (*halt* (*init w*); *f*) ∨ ○((□(¬ (*init w*))); (¬ (*halt* (*init w*); *f*)))
    by (*rule EmptyOrNextChopImpRule*)
hence 10: ⊢ ((*halt* (*init w*)); *f*) ∧ (□ (¬ (*init w*))); (¬ (*halt* (*init w*); *f*)) ⟶
        ○((□(¬ (*init w*))); (¬ (*halt* (*init w*); *f*)))

by *fastforce*

have *11*: ⊢ (*halt* (*init w*)); *f* ∧ (□ (¬ (*init w*))); (¬ (*halt* (*init w*); *f*)) ⟶
    ○((*halt* (*init w*)); *f*) ∧ ○((□(¬ (*init w*))); (¬ (*halt* (*init w*); *f*)))
    using *7 10* **by** *fastforce*

have *12*: ⊢ ○((*halt* (*init w*)); *f*) ∧ ○((□(¬ (*init w*))); (¬ (*halt* (*init w*); *f*)))
    ⟶ ○(((*halt* (*init w*)); *f*) ∧ ((□(¬ (*init w*))); (¬ (*halt* (*init w*); *f*))))
    using *NextAndEqvNextAndNext* **by** *fastforce*

have *13*: ⊢ (*halt* (*init w*)); *f* ∧ (□ (¬ (*init w*))); (¬ (*halt* (*init w*); *f*)) ⟶
    ○(((*halt* (*init w*)); *f*) ∧ ((□(¬ (*init w*))); (¬ (*halt* (*init w*); *f*))))
    using *11 12* **by** *fastforce*

hence *14*: ⊢ ¬ ((*halt* (*init w*)); *f* ∧ (□ (¬ (*init w*))); (¬ (*halt* (*init w*); *f*)))
    using *NextLoop* **by** *blast*

hence *15*: ⊢ (*halt* (*init w*)); *f* ⟶ ¬ ((□ (¬ (*init w*))); (¬ (*halt* (*init w*); *f*)))
    by *auto*

from *15* **show** *?thesis* **by** (*simp add*: *yields-d-def*)
qed

7.10   Properties of Groups of chops

lemma *NestedChopImpChop*:
 assumes ⊢ *init w* ∧ *f* ⟶ *g*; (*init w1* ∧ *f1*)
      ⊢ *init w1* ∧ *f1* ⟶ *g1*; (*init w2* ∧ *f2*)
 shows ⊢ *init w* ∧ *f* ⟶ *g*; (*g1*; (*init w2* ∧ *f2*))
 proof −
 have *1*: ⊢ *init w* ∧ *f* ⟶ *g*; (*init w1* ∧ *f1*) **using** *assms*(*1*) **by** *auto*
 have *2*: ⊢ *init w1* ∧ *f1* ⟶ *g1*; (*init w2* ∧ *f2*) **using** *assms*(*2*) **by** *auto*
 hence *3*: ⊢ *g*; (*init w1* ∧ *f1*) ⟶ *g*; (*g1*; (*init w2* ∧ *f2*)) **by** (*rule RightChopImpChop*)
 from *1 3* **show** *?thesis* **by** *fastforce*
qed

end

8   First Order Finite ITL theorems

theory *FOTheorems*
 imports
  Theorems
begin

We give the proofs of a list of first order Finite ITL theorems.

lemma *EExl-unl*:
 w ⊨ *f x* ⟹ *w* ⊨ (∃∃ *x*. *f x*)
 using *EExVal* **by** *auto*

lemma *EExNoDep*:

$\vdash (\exists\exists\ x.\ g) = g$

proof $-$
  have *1*: $\vdash g \longrightarrow (\exists\exists\ x.\ g)$ **by** (*meson EExI*)
  have *2*: $\bigwedge x. \vdash g \longrightarrow g$ **by** *simp*
  have *3*: $\vdash (\exists\exists\ x.\ g) \longrightarrow g$ **using** *2* **by** (*meson EExE*)
  from *1 3* **show** *?thesis* **using** *int-iffI* **by** *blast*
qed

lemma *AAxNoDep*:
$\vdash (\forall\forall\ x.\ g) = g$
using *EExNoDep*[*of LIFT*($\neg\ g$)] *AAxDef EExE EExI*
by (*simp add*: *exist-state-d-def forall-state-d-def intI*)

lemma *EExEqvRule*:
  assumes $\bigwedge x. \vdash f\ x = g\ x$
  shows $\vdash (\exists\exists\ x.\ f\ x) = (\exists\exists\ x.\ g\ x)$
by (*metis EExE EExI assms int-iffD1 int-iffD2 int-iffI lift-imp-trans*)

lemma *AAxImpEEx*:
$\vdash (\forall\forall\ x.\ f\ x) \longrightarrow (\exists\exists\ x.\ f\ x)$
by (*simp add*: *exist-state-d-def forall-state-d-def intI*)

lemma *EExImpRule*:
  assumes $\vdash f\ x \longrightarrow g\ x$
  shows $\vdash (\exists\exists\ x.\ f\ x \longrightarrow\ g\ x)$
using *assms* **by** (*meson MP EExI*)

lemma *EExImpRuleDist*:
  assumes $\vdash f\ x \longrightarrow g\ x$
  shows $\vdash (\forall\forall\ x.\ f\ x) \longrightarrow (\exists\exists\ x.\ g\ x)$
proof $-$
 have *1*: $\vdash (f\ x) \longrightarrow (\exists\exists\ x.\ g\ x)$ **using** *EExI assms lift-imp-trans* **by** *blast*
 have *2*: $\vdash \neg(f\ x) \vee (\exists\exists\ x.\ g\ x)$ **using** *1* **by** *auto*
 have *3*: $\vdash \neg(f\ x) \longrightarrow (\exists\exists\ x.\ \neg(f\ x))$ **by** (*meson EExI*)
 have *4*: $\vdash (\exists\exists\ x.\ \neg(f\ x)) = (\neg(\forall\forall\ x.\ f\ x))$ **using** *AAxDef* **by** *fastforce*
 from *2 3 4* **show** *?thesis* **by** *fastforce*
qed

lemma *EExImpNoDepDist*:
  assumes $\vdash f \longrightarrow g\ x$
  shows $\vdash f \longrightarrow (\exists\exists\ x.\ g\ x)$
using *assms* **by** (*metis EExI lift-imp-trans*)

lemma *EExOrDist-1*:
$\vdash (\exists\exists\ x.\ h\ x) \longrightarrow (\exists\exists\ x.\ (f\ x) \vee (h\ x))$
proof $-$
 have *1*: $\bigwedge x. \vdash h\ x \longrightarrow f\ x \vee h\ x$ **by** (*simp add*: *Valid-def*)
 have *2*: $\bigwedge x. \vdash f\ x \vee h\ x \longrightarrow (\exists\exists\ x.\ (f\ x) \vee (h\ x))$ **by** (*meson EExI*)
 have *3*: $\bigwedge x. \vdash h\ x \longrightarrow (\exists\exists\ x.\ (f\ x) \vee (h\ x))$ **using** *1 2* **by** (*meson lift-imp-trans*)
 from *3* **show** *?thesis* **using** *EExE* **by** *blast*

qed

lemma *EExOrDist-2*:
⊢ (∃∃ x. f x) ⟶ (∃∃ x. (f x) ∨ (h x))
proof −
 have *1*: ⋀ x. ⊢ f x ⟶ f x ∨ h x **by** (*simp add*: *Valid-def*)
 have *2*: ⋀ x. ⊢ f x ∨ h x ⟶ (∃∃ x. (f x) ∨ (h x)) **by** (*meson EExI*)
 have *3*: ⋀ x. ⊢ f x ⟶ (∃∃ x. (f x) ∨ (h x)) **using** *1 2* **by** (*meson lift-imp-trans*)
 from *3* **show** *?thesis* **using** *EExE* **by** *blast*
qed

lemma *EExOrDist-3*:
⊢ (∃∃ x. f x) ∨ (∃∃ x. h x) ⟶ (∃∃ x. (f x) ∨ (h x))
using *EExOrDist-2 EExOrDist-1* **by** *fastforce*

lemma *EExOrDist-4*:
 ⊢ (∃∃ x. (f x) ∨ (h x)) ⟶ (∃∃ x. f x) ∨ (∃∃ x. h x)
proof −
 have *1*: ⋀ x. ⊢ (f x) ∨ (h x) ⟶ (∃∃ x. f x) ∨ (∃∃ x. h x)
 by (*simp add*: *EExI-unl intI*)
 from *1* **show** *?thesis* **by** (*simp add*: *EExE*)
qed

lemma *EExOrDist*:
⊢ ((∃∃ x. f x) ∨ (∃∃ x. h x)) = (∃∃ x. (f x) ∨ (h x))
using *EExOrDist-3 EExOrDist-4* **by** *fastforce*

lemma *EExOrImport-1*:
⊢ g ⟶ (∃∃ x. g ∨ (f x))
by (*simp add*: *EExI-unl Valid-def*)

lemma *EExOrImport-2*:
⊢ (∃∃ x. f x) ⟶ (∃∃ x. g ∨ (f x))
by (*simp add*: *EExOrDist-1*)

lemma *EExOrImport-3*:
⊢ (g ∨ (∃∃ x. f x)) ⟶ (∃∃ x. g ∨ (f x))
using *EExOrImport-1 EExOrImport-2* **by** *fastforce*

lemma *EExOrImport-4*:
⊢ (∃∃ x. g ∨ f x) ⟶ (g ∨ (∃∃ x. f x))
proof −
 have *1*: ⋀ x. ⊢ g ∨ f x ⟶ g ∨ (∃∃ x. f x) **by** (*meson EExI int-iffD2 int-simps*(*27*) *Prop04 Prop08*)
 from *1* **show** *?thesis* **by** (*simp add*: *EExE*)
qed

lemma *EExOrImport*:
⊢ (g ∨ (∃∃ x. f x)) = (∃∃ x. g ∨ f x)
by (*metis EExOrImport-3 EExOrImport-4 int-iffI*)

lemma *EExAndImport-1*:
⊢ $g \land (\exists\exists\ x.\ f\ x) \longrightarrow (\exists\exists\ x.\ g \land f\ x)$
proof −
 have *1*: ⊢ $(g \land (\exists\exists\ x.\ f\ x) \longrightarrow (\exists\exists\ x.\ g \land f\ x))=$
          $((\exists\exists\ x.\ f\ x) \longrightarrow (g \longrightarrow (\exists\exists\ x.\ g \land f\ x)))$ **by** *fastforce*
 have *2*: ⋀ *x*. ⊢ $f\ x \longrightarrow (g \longrightarrow (\exists\exists\ x.\ g \land f\ x))$ **by** (*metis EExI int-eq lift-and-com Prop09*)
 hence *3*: ⊢ $(\exists\exists\ x.\ f\ x) \longrightarrow (g \longrightarrow (\exists\exists\ x.\ g \land f\ x))$ **by** (*simp add: EExE*)
 from *1 3* **show** *?thesis* **by** *auto*
qed

lemma *EExAndImport-2*:
⊢ $(\exists\exists\ x.\ g \land f\ x) \longrightarrow g \land (\exists\exists\ x.\ f\ x)$
proof −
 have *1*: ⋀ *x*. ⊢ $g \land f\ x \longrightarrow g \land (\exists\exists\ x.\ f\ x)$
 by (*metis EExI int-iffD2 lift-and-com lift-imp-trans Prop12*)
 from *1* **show** *?thesis* **by** (*simp add: EExE*)
qed

lemma *EExAndImport*:
⊢ $(g \land (\exists\exists\ x.\ f\ x)) = (\exists\exists\ x.\ g \land f\ x)$
by (*simp add: EExAndImport-1 EExAndImport-2 int-iffI*)

lemma *EExAndDist*:
 assumes ⊢ $f\ x \land g\ x$
 shows ⊢ $(\exists\exists\ x.\ f\ x) \land (\exists\exists\ x.\ g\ x)$
proof −
 have *1*: ⊢ $f\ x$ **using** *assms* **by** *fastforce*
 have *2*: ⊢ $g\ x$ **using** *assms* **by** *fastforce*
 have *3*: ⊢ $(\exists\exists\ x.\ f\ x)$ **using** *1* **by** (*meson EExI MP*)
 have *4*: ⊢ $(\exists\exists\ x.\ g\ x)$ **using** *2* **by** (*meson EExI MP*)
 from *3 4* **show** *?thesis* **by** *fastforce*
qed

lemma *EExAndNoDepDist*:
 assumes ⊢ $f \land g\ x$
 shows ⊢ $f \land (\exists\exists\ x.\ g\ x)$
proof −
 have *1*: ⊢ f **using** *assms* **by** *fastforce*
 have *2*: ⊢ $g\ x$ **using** *assms* **by** *fastforce*
 have *3*: ⊢ $(\exists\exists\ x.\ g\ x)$ **using** *2* **by** (*meson EExI MP*)
 from *1 3* **show** *?thesis* **by** *fastforce*
qed

lemma *Spec*:
⊢ $(\forall\forall\ x.\ f\ x) \longrightarrow f\ x$
proof −
 have *1*: ⊢ $\neg(f\ x) \longrightarrow (\exists\exists\ x.\ \neg(f\ x))$ **by** (*meson EExI*)
 have *2*: ⊢ $\neg(\exists\exists\ x.\ \neg(f\ x)) \longrightarrow f\ x$ **using** *1* **by** *auto*

from *2* **show** *?thesis* **using** *AAxDef* **by** *fastforce*
qed

lemma *AAxE*:
 assumes ⊢ (∀∀ *x. f x*)
      ⊢ *f x* ⟶ *g*
 shows ⊢ *g*
using *MP Spec assms*(*1*) *assms*(*2*) **by** *blast*

lemma *AAxI*:
 assumes ⋀ *x.* ⊢ *f x*
 shows ⊢ (∀∀ *x. f x*)
using *assms* **by** (*simp add*: *Valid-def exist-state-d-def forall-state-d-def*)

lemma *AAxEqvRule*:
 assumes ⋀ *x.* ⊢ *f x* = *g x*
 shows ⊢ (∀∀ *x. f x*) = (∀∀ *x. g x*)
by (*metis* (*mono-tags*, *lifting*) *AAxDef EExEqvRule assms int-iffD1 int-iffI*
   inteq-reflection lift-imp-neg)

lemma *AAxAndDist*:
 ⊢ (∀∀ *x.* (*f x*) ∧ (*g x*)) = ((∀∀ *x. f x*) ∧ (∀∀ *x. g x*))
proof −
 have *1*: ⊢ ((∃∃ *x.* ¬(*f x*)) ∨ (∃∃ *x.* ¬(*g x*))) = (∃∃ *x.* ¬(*f x*) ∨ ¬(*g x*)) **by** (*simp add*:*EExOrDist*)
 have *2*: ⊢ ((∃∃ *x.* ¬(*f x*))) = (¬(∀∀ *x. f x*)) **using** *AAxDef* **by** *fastforce*
 have *3*: ⊢ ((∃∃ *x.* ¬(*g x*))) = (¬(∀∀ *x. g x*)) **using** *AAxDef* **by** *fastforce*
 have *4*: ⊢ ((∃∃ *x.* ¬(*f x*)) ∨ (∃∃ *x.* ¬(*g x*))) = (¬(∀∀ *x. f x*) ∨ ¬(∀∀ *x. g x*))
     using *2 3* **by** *fastforce*
 have *5*: ⋀ *x .* ⊢ (¬(*f x*) ∨ ¬(*g x*)) = (¬((*f x*) ∧ (*g x*))) **by** *auto*
 have *6*: ⊢ (∃∃ *x.* ¬(*f x*) ∨ ¬(*g x*)) = (∃∃ *x.* ¬((*f x*) ∧ (*g x*))) **using** *5* **by** (*simp add*: *EExEqvRule*)
 have *7*: ⊢ (∃∃ *x.* ¬((*f x*) ∧ (*g x*))) = (¬(∀∀ *x.* (*f x*) ∧ (*g x*))) **using** *AAxDef* **by** *fastforce*
 have *8*: ⊢ (¬(∀∀ *x. f x*) ∨ ¬(∀∀ *x. g x*)) = (¬((∀∀ *x. f x*) ∧ (∀∀ *x. g x*))) **by** *fastforce*
 have *9*: ⊢ (¬((∀∀ *x. f x*) ∧ (∀∀ *x. g x*))) = (¬(∀∀ *x.* (*f x*) ∧ (*g x*)))
     using *1 4 6 7 8* **by** *fastforce*
 from *9* **show** *?thesis* **by** *fastforce*
qed

lemma *AAxAndImport*:
 ⊢ (*g* ∧ (∀∀ *x. f x*)) = (∀∀ *x. g* ∧ *f x*)
proof −
 have *1*: ⊢ (¬ *g* ∨ (∃∃ *x.* ¬(*f x*))) = (∃∃ *x.* ¬ *g* ∨ ¬(*f x*)) **by** (*simp add*: *EExOrImport*)
 have *2*: ⊢ ((∃∃ *x.* ¬(*f x*))) = (¬((∀∀ *x. f x*))) **using** *AAxDef* **by** *fastforce*
 have *3*: ⊢ (¬ *g* ∨ (∃∃ *x.* ¬(*f x*))) = (¬(*g* ∧ (∀∀ *x. f x*))) **using** *2* **by** *fastforce*
 have *4*: ⋀ *x.* ⊢ (¬ *g* ∨ ¬(*f x*)) = (¬(*g* ∧ *f x*)) **by** *auto*
 have *5*: ⊢ (∃∃ *x.* ¬ *g* ∨ ¬(*f x*)) = (∃∃ *x.* ¬(*g* ∧ *f x*)) **using** *4* **by** (*simp add*: *EExEqvRule*)
 have *6*: ⊢ (∃∃ *x.* ¬(*g* ∧ *f x*)) = (¬(∀∀ *x. g* ∧ *f x*)) **using** *AAxDef* **by** *fastforce*
 have *7*: ⊢ (¬(*g* ∧ (∀∀ *x. f x*))) = (¬(∀∀ *x. g* ∧ *f x*)) **using** *1 3 5 6* **by** *fastforce*
 from *7* **show** *?thesis* **by** *fastforce*
qed

lemma *AAxOrImport*:
$\vdash (g \lor (\forall\forall\ x.\ f\,x)) = (\forall\forall\ x.\ g \lor f\,x)$
proof $-$
 have *1*: $\vdash (\neg\,g \land (\exists\exists\ x.\ \neg(f\,x))) = (\exists\exists\ x.\ \neg\,g \land \neg(f\,x))$ **by** (*simp add*: *EExAndImport*)
 have *2*: $\vdash (\exists\exists\ x.\ \neg(f\,x)) = (\neg((\forall\forall\ x.\ f\,x)))$ **using** *AAxDef* **by** *fastforce*
 have *3*: $\vdash (\ \neg\,g \land (\exists\exists\ x.\ \neg(f\,x))) = (\neg(g \lor (\forall\forall\ x.\ f\,x)))$ **using** *2* **by** *fastforce*
 have *4*: $\bigwedge x.\ \vdash (\neg\,g \land \neg(f\,x)) = (\neg(g \lor f\,x))$ **by** *auto*
 have *5*: $\vdash (\exists\exists\ x.\ \neg\,g \land \neg(f\,x)) = (\exists\exists\ x.\ \neg(g \lor f\,x))$ **using** *4* **by** (*simp add*: *EExEqvRule*)
 have *6*: $\vdash (\exists\exists\ x.\ \neg(g \lor f\,x)) = (\neg(\forall\forall\ x.\ g \lor f\,x))$ **using** *AAxDef* **by** *fastforce*
 have *7*: $\vdash (\neg(g \lor (\forall\forall\ x.\ f\,x))) = (\neg(\forall\forall\ x.\ g \lor f\,x))$ **using** *1 3 5 6* **by** *fastforce*
 from *7* **show** *?thesis* **by** *auto*
qed

lemma *EExImpChopRule*:
 assumes $\vdash f\,x \longrightarrow g\,x$
 shows　$\vdash (\exists\exists\ x.\ h;(f\,x) \longrightarrow h;(g\,x))$
using *RightChopImpChop*[*of f x g x h*]
　　EExImpRule[*of $\lambda x.\ LIFT(h;(f\,x))\ x\ \lambda x.\ LIFT(h;(g\,x))$*]　*assms* **by** *auto*

lemma *EExChopRight*:
$\vdash (\exists\exists\ x.\ (f\,x);g) \longrightarrow (\exists\exists\ x.\ f\,x);g$
proof $-$
 have *1*: $\bigwedge x.\ \vdash (f\,x);g \longrightarrow (\exists\exists\ x.\ f\,x);g$ **by** (*simp add*: *EExI LeftChopImpChop*)
 from *1* **show** *?thesis* **by** (*simp add*: *EExE*)
qed

lemma *EExChopRightNoDep*:
$\vdash (\exists\exists\ x.\ (f\,x);g) = (\exists\exists\ x.\ (f\,x));g$
by (*auto simp add*: *exist-state-d-def Valid-def chop-defs*)

lemma *EExChopLeft* :
 $\vdash (\exists\exists\ x.\ g;(f\,x)\ ) \longrightarrow g;(\exists\exists\ x.\ f\,x)$
proof $-$
 have *1*: $\bigwedge\ x.\ \vdash g;(f\,x) \longrightarrow g;(\exists\exists\ x.\ f\,x)$ **by** (*simp add*: *EExI RightChopImpChop*)
 from *1* **show** *?thesis* **by** (*simp add*: *EExE*)
qed

lemma *EExChopLeftNoDep*:
$\vdash (\exists\exists\ x.\ g;(f\,x)\ ) = g;(\exists\exists\ x.\ f\,x)$
by (*auto simp add*: *exist-state-d-def Valid-def chop-defs*)

lemma　*EExEExChopEqvEExEExChop*:
$\vdash (\exists\exists\ v.\ (\exists\exists\ y.\ (f\,v);(g\,y)\ )) = (\exists\exists\ y.\ (\exists\exists\ v.\ (f\,v);(g\,y)\ ))$
by (*simp add*: *exist-state-d-def Valid-def chop-defs*) *blast*

lemma　*EExEExChopEqvEExChopEExA*:
$\vdash (\exists\exists\ v.\ (\exists\exists\ y.\ (f\,v);(g\,y)\ )) = (\exists\exists\ v.\ (f\,v);(\exists\exists\ y.\ (g\,y)\ )\ )$
by (*simp add*: *exist-state-d-def Valid-def chop-defs*) *blast*

lemma *EExEExChopEqvEExChopEExB*:

$\vdash (\exists\exists\ y.\ (\exists\exists\ v.\ (f\ v);(g\ y)\ )) = (\exists\exists\ y.\ (\exists\exists\ v.\ (f\ v));\ (g\ y))$
by (*simp add*: *exist-state-d-def Valid-def chop-defs*) *blast*

lemma *EExEExChopEqvEExChopEExC*:
$\vdash (\exists\exists\ v.\ (\exists\exists\ y.\ (f\ v);(g\ y)\ )) = (\exists\exists\ v.\ (f\ v));(\exists\exists\ y.\ (g\ y))$
by (*metis EExChopRightNoDep EExEExChopEqvEExChopEExA EExNoDep Prop04*)

lemma *ExLen*:
$\vdash \exists\ n.\ len(n)$
by (*simp add*: *Valid-def len-defs*)

lemma *CSPowerChop*:
$\vdash (f^\star) = (\exists n.\ power\ (f \wedge more)\ n)$
by (*simp add*: *chopstar-d-def powerstar-d-def Valid-def*)

lemma *ExChopRightNoDep*:
$\vdash (\exists\ x.\ (f\ x);g) = (\exists\ x.\ (f\ x));g$
by (*auto simp add*: *Valid-def chop-defs*)

lemma *ExChopLeftNoDep*:
$\vdash (\exists\ x.\ g;(f\ x)\ ) = g;(\exists\ x.\ f\ x)$
by (*auto simp add*: *Valid-def chop-defs*)

lemma *ExExEqvExEx*:
$\vdash (\exists\ x.\ (\exists\ y.\ (f\ x);(g\ y))) = (\exists\ y.\ (\exists\ x.\ (f\ x);(g\ y)))$
by (*auto simp add*: *Valid-def chop-defs*)

lemma *TassignEqvExFin*:
$\vdash v \leftarrow e = (\exists\ c.\ \#c{=}e \wedge fin(\$v = \#c))$
by (*simp add*: *Valid-def temporal-assign-defs currentval-defs fin-defs*)

lemma *MoreImpNextassignEqvExNext*:
$\vdash more \longrightarrow (v := e) = (\exists c.\ \#c{=}e \wedge \bigcirc(\$v = \#c))$
by (*simp add*: *Valid-def next-assign-d-def next-val-d-def next-defs currentval-defs more-defs*)

lemma *MoreImpPrevassignEqvExPrevFin*:
$\vdash more \longrightarrow (v =: e) = (\exists c.\ \#c{=}e \wedge prev(fin(\$v{=}\ \#c))\ )$
by (*auto simp add*: *min.absorb1 Valid-def prev-assign-d-def fin-defs penult-val-d-def*
prev-defs currentval-defs more-defs)

end

9 Time Reversal

theory *TimeReversal*
imports

Theorems FOTheorems
begin

Time reversal operator is defined in [6].

9.1 Definition

definition *reverse-d* :: $('a::world, 'b)$ *formfun* $\Rightarrow$ $('a, 'b)$ *formfun*
where *reverse-d F* $\equiv$ λ *s. intrev s* $\models$ *F*

syntax
 -reverse-d   :: *lift* $\Rightarrow$ *lift* $((-^r) \, [85] \, 85)$

syntax $(ASCII)$
 -reverse-d   :: *lift* $\Rightarrow$ *lift* $((reverse \, -) \, [85] \, 85)$

translations
 -reverse-d $\rightleftharpoons$ *CONST reverse-d*

9.2 Time reversal Rules

lemma *EExRev* :
 $\vdash (\exists\exists \, x. \, F \, x)^r = (\exists\exists \, x. \, (F \, x)^r)$
by $(simp \, add$: *Valid-def exist-state-d-def reverse-d-def* $)$

lemma *rev-const* :
 $\vdash (\#c)^r = \#c$
by $(auto \, simp$: *reverse-d-def* $)$

lemma *rev-fun1* :
 $\vdash (f{<}x{>})^r = f{<}x^r{>}$
by $(auto \, simp$: *reverse-d-def* $)$

lemma *rev-fun2*:
 $\vdash (f{<}x,y{>})^r = f{<}x^r,y^r{>}$
by $(auto \, simp$: *reverse-d-def* $)$

lemma *rev-fun3*:
 $\vdash (f{<}x,y,z{>})^r = f{<}x^r,y^r,z^r{>}$
by $(auto \, simp$: *reverse-d-def* $)$

lemma *rev-forall*:
 $\vdash (\forall \, x. \, P \, x)^r = (\forall \, x. \, (P \, x)^r)$
by $(auto \, simp$: *reverse-d-def* $)$

lemma *rev-exists*:
 $\vdash (\exists \, x. \, P \, x)^r = (\exists \, x. \, (P \, x)^r)$
by $(auto \, simp$: *reverse-d-def* $)$

lemma *rev-exists1*:

$\vdash (\exists! \ x. \ P \ x)^r = (\exists! \ x. \ (P \ x)^r)$
by (*auto simp*: *reverse-d-def*)

lemma *rev-current*:
$\vdash (\$v)^r = (!v)$
by (*auto simp*: *interval-intrev-nth current-val-d-def fin-val-d-def reverse-d-def*)

lemma *rev-next*:
$\vdash (v\$)^r = (v!)$
by (*auto simp*: *interval-intrev-nth next-val-d-def penult-val-d-def reverse-d-def*)

lemma *rev-penult*:
$\vdash (v!)^r = (v\$)$
by (*auto simp*: *interval-intrev-nth next-val-d-def penult-val-d-def reverse-d-def*)

lemma *rev-fin*:
$\vdash (!v)^r = (\$v)$
by (*auto simp*: *interval-intrev-nth fin-val-d-def current-val-d-def reverse-d-def*)

lemma *EqvReverseReverse*:
$\vdash (f^r)^r = f$
by (*simp add*: *Valid-def reverse-d-def*)

lemma *ReverseEqv*:
$(\vdash f) \longleftrightarrow (\vdash f^r)$
by (*metis Valid-def interval-rev-swap reverse-d-def*)

lemma *RevSkip*:
$\vdash skip^r = skip$
by (*simp add*: *Valid-def reverse-d-def skip-defs*)

lemma *RevChop*:
$\vdash (f;g)^r = (g^r;f^r)$
proof (*auto simp add*: *Valid-def chop-d-def reverse-d-def*)
 show $\bigwedge w \ n. \ n \le intlen \ w \Longrightarrow$
    $f \ (prefix \ n \ (intrev \ w)) \Longrightarrow$
    $g \ (suffix \ n \ (intrev \ w)) \Longrightarrow$
   $\exists \ n{\le}intlen \ w. \ g \ (intrev \ (prefix \ n \ w)) \wedge f \ (intrev \ (suffix \ n \ w))$
 by (*metis diff-diff-cancel interval-intrev-prefix interval-intrev-suffix*
   interval-suffix-intlen-bound interval-suffix-length)
 show $\bigwedge w \ n. \ n \le intlen \ w \Longrightarrow$
    $g \ (intrev \ (prefix \ n \ w)) \Longrightarrow$
    $f \ (intrev \ (suffix \ n \ w)) \Longrightarrow$
   $\exists \ n{\le}intlen \ w. \ f \ (prefix \ n \ (intrev \ w)) \wedge g \ (suffix \ n \ (intrev \ w))$
 by (*metis interval-intrev-prefix interval-intrev-suffix interval-suffix-intlen-bound*
   interval-suffix-length)
qed

lemma *RMoreEqvMore*:
$\vdash more^r = more$

by (*simp add: Valid-def more-d-def next-d-def chop-d-def skip-d-def reverse-d-def*)

lemma *REmptyEqvEmpty*:
⊢ *empty^r = empty*
by (*metis RMoreEqvMore empty-d-def int-eq rev-fun1*)

lemma *PowerCommute*:
⊢ $((f \wedge more);(power (f \wedge more) n)) = (power (f \wedge more) n);(f \wedge more)$
proof
 (*induct n*)
 case *0*
 then show *?case* **by** (*metis ChopEmpty EmptyChop inteq-reflection pow-0*)
 next
 case (*Suc n*)
 then show *?case* **by** (*metis ChopAssoc inteq-reflection pow-Suc*)
qed

lemma *REqvRule*:
 assumes ⊢ *f =g*
 shows ⊢ $(f^r) = (g^r)$
using *assms*
using *inteq-reflection* **by** *force*

lemma *RevPowerChop*:
⊢ $(power (f \wedge more)\ n)^r = (power ((f \wedge more)^r) n)$
proof
 (*induct n*)
 case *0*
 then show *?case* **using** *REmptyEqvEmpty* **by** *auto*
 next
 case (*Suc n*)
 then show *?case*
 by (*metis PowerCommute RevChop inteq-reflection pow-Suc*)
qed

lemma *RevChopstar*:
⊢ $(f^\star)^r = (f^r)^\star$
proof −
 have *1*: ⊢ $(f^\star) = (\exists n.\ power (f \wedge more) n)$
     by (*simp add: chopstar-d-def powerstar-d-def Valid-def*)
 have *2*: ⊢ $(f^\star)^r = (\exists\ n.\ power (f \wedge more) n)^r$
     using *REqvRule 1* **by** *blast*
 have *3*: ⊢ $(\exists\ n.\ power (f \wedge more) n)^r = (\exists\ n.\ (power (f \wedge more) n)^r)$
     by (*simp add: rev-exists*)
 have *4*: ⊢ $(\exists\ n.\ (power (f \wedge more) n)^r) = (\exists\ n.\ (power ((f \wedge more)^r) n))$
     by (*simp add: RevPowerChop ExEqvRule*)
 have *5*: ⊢ $(f \wedge more)^r = (f^r \wedge more)$
  by (*metis RMoreEqvMore inteq-reflection rev-fun2*)
 hence *6*: ⊢ $(\exists\ n.\ (power ((f \wedge more)^r) n)) = (\exists\ n.\ (power ((f^r \wedge more)) n))$
   by (*metis 4 inteq-reflection*)

have *7*: ⊢ (∃ *n*. (*power* ((*f* *^{r}* ∧ *more*)) *n*))) = (*f* *^{r}*)*
    by (*simp add*: *chopstar-d-def powerstar-d-def Valid-def*)
 from *2 3 4 6 7* **show** *?thesis* **by** *fastforce*
qed

lemmas *all-rev* = *rev-const rev-fun1 rev-fun2 rev-fun3 rev-forall rev-exists*
  rev-exists1 rev-current rev-next rev-penult rev-fin RevSkip RevChop RevChopstar

lemmas *all-rev-unl* = *all-rev*[*THEN intD*]
lemmas *all-rev-eq* = *all-rev*[*THEN inteq-reflection*]

9.3   Properties of Time Reversal

lemma *RNot*:
⊢ (¬*f*)^r = (¬ *f*^{r})
by (*simp add*: *rev-fun1*)

lemma *RRNot*:
⊢ (¬(*f*^{r}))^r = (¬*f*)
by (*metis EqvReverseReverse int-eq rev-fun1*)

lemma *RTrue*:
⊢ (#*True*)^r = #*True*
using *rev-const* **by** *fastforce*

lemma *ROr*:
⊢ (*f* ∨ *g*)^r = (*f*^{r} ∨ *g*^{r})
by (*simp add*: *rev-fun2*)

lemma *RROr*:
⊢ (*f*^{r} ∨ *g*^{r})^r = (*f* ∨ *g*)
proof −
 have *1*: ⊢ (*f*^{r} ∨ *g*^{r})^r = ((*f*^{r})^r ∨ (*g*^{r})^r) **using** *ROr* **by** *blast*
 have *2*: ⊢ ((*f*^{r})^r ∨ (*g*^{r})^r) = (*f* ∨ *g*) **using** *EqvReverseReverse* **by** (*metis inteq-reflection*)
 from *1 2* **show** *?thesis* **by** *fastforce*
qed

lemma *RAnd*:
 ⊢ (*f* ∧ *g*)^r = (*f*^{r} ∧ *g*^{r})
by (*simp add*: *rev-fun2*)

lemma *RRAnd*:
 ⊢ (*f*^{r} ∧ *g*^{r})^r = (*f* ∧ *g*)
proof −
 have *1*: ⊢ (*f*^{r} ∧ *g*^{r})^r = ((*f*^{r})^r ∧ (*g*^{r})^r) **using** *RAnd* **by** *blast*
 have *2*: ⊢ ((*f*^{r})^r ∧ (*g*^{r})^r) = (*f* ∧ *g*) **using** *EqvReverseReverse* **by** (*metis inteq-reflection*)
 from *1 2* **show** *?thesis* **by** *fastforce*
qed

lemma *RImpRule*:

assumes $\vdash f \longrightarrow g$
shows $\vdash f^r \longrightarrow g^r$
using *assms* **by** (*simp add*: *Valid-def reverse-d-def*)

lemma *RAndEmptyEqvAndEmpty*:
$\vdash (f \wedge empty)^r = (f \wedge empty)$
by (*simp add*: *Valid-def empty-defs reverse-d-def*,
   metis interval-st-intlen intrev.simps(1))

lemma *RNextEqvPrev*:
$\vdash (\bigcirc f)^r = prev (f^r)$
by (*metis RevChop RevSkip inteq-reflection next-d-def prev-d-def*)

lemma *RRNextEqvPrev*:
$\vdash (\bigcirc (f^r))^r = prev (f)$
proof $-$
 have *1*: $\vdash (\bigcirc (f^r))^r = prev ((f^r)^r)$ **using** *RNextEqvPrev* **by** *blast*
 have *2*: $\vdash prev ((f^r)^r) = prev\ f$ **using** *EqvReverseReverse* **by** (*metis inteq-reflection*)
 from *1 2* **show** *?thesis* **by** *fastforce*
qed

lemma *RWNextEqvWPrev*:
$\vdash (wnext\ f)^r = wprev(f^r)$
by (*simp add*: *all-rev-eq*(12) *all-rev-eq*(13) *all-rev-eq*(2) *next-d-def prev-d-def wnext-d-def*
   wprev-d-def)

lemma *RRWNextEqvWPrev*:
$\vdash (wnext\ (f^r))^r = wprev(f)$
proof $-$
 have *1*: $\vdash (wnext\ (f^r))^r = wprev ((f^r)^r)$ **using** *RWNextEqvWPrev* **by** *blast*
 have *2*: $\vdash wprev ((f^r)^r) = wprev\ f$ **using** *EqvReverseReverse* **by** (*metis inteq-reflection*)
 from *1 2* **show** *?thesis* **by** *fastforce*
qed

lemma *RPrevEqvNext*:
$\vdash (prev\ f)^r = \bigcirc (f^r)$
by (*metis RevChop RevSkip inteq-reflection next-d-def prev-d-def*)

lemma *RRPrevEqvNext*:
$\vdash (prev\ (f^r))^r = \bigcirc (f)$
proof $-$
 have *1*: $\vdash (prev\ (f^r))^r = \bigcirc ((f^r)^r)$ **using** *RPrevEqvNext* **by** *blast*
 have *2*: $\vdash \bigcirc ((f^r)^r) = \bigcirc\ f$ **using** *EqvReverseReverse* **by** (*metis inteq-reflection*)
 from *1 2* **show** *?thesis* **by** *fastforce*
qed

lemma *RWPrevEqvWNext*:
$\vdash (wprev\ f)^r = wnext(f^r)$

by (*metis EqvReverseReverse RRWNextEqvWPrev int-eq*)

lemma *RRWPrevEqvWNext*:
$\vdash (wprev\ (f^r))^r = wnext(f)$
proof $-$
 have *1*: $\vdash (wprev\ (f^r))^r = wnext\ ((f^r)^r)$ **using** *RWPrevEqvWNext* **by** *blast*
 have *2*: $\vdash wnext\ ((f^r)^r) = wnext\ f$ **using** *EqvReverseReverse* **by** (*metis inteq-reflection*)
 from *1 2* **show** *?thesis* **by** *fastforce*
qed

lemma *RDiamondEqvDi*:
$\vdash (\Diamond f)^r = di\ (f^r)$
by (*simp add*: *di-d-def sometimes-d-def*, *metis RevChop RTrue inteq-reflection*)

lemma *RRDiamondEqvDi*:
$\vdash (\Diamond(f^r))^r = di\ (f)$
proof $-$
 have *1*: $\vdash (\Diamond\ (f^r))^r = di\ ((f^r)^r)$ **using** *RDiamondEqvDi* **by** *blast*
 have *2*: $\vdash di\ ((f^r)^r) = di\ f$ **using** *EqvReverseReverse* **by** (*metis inteq-reflection*)
 from *1 2* **show** *?thesis* **by** *fastforce*
qed

lemma *RBoxEqvBi*:
$\vdash (\Box\ f)^r = bi\ (f^r)$
by (*simp add*: *always-d-def bi-d-def*, *metis RDiamondEqvDi int-eq rev-fun1*)

lemma *RRBoxEqvBi*:
$\vdash (\Box\ (f^r))^r = bi\ (f)$
proof $-$
 have *1*: $\vdash (\Box\ (f^r))^r = bi\ ((f^r)^r)$ **using** *RBoxEqvBi* **by** *blast*
 have *2*: $\vdash bi\ ((f^r)^r) = bi\ f$ **using** *EqvReverseReverse* **by** (*metis inteq-reflection*)
 from *1 2* **show** *?thesis* **by** *fastforce*
qed

lemma *RDiEqvDiamond*:
$\vdash (di\ f)^r = \Diamond\ (f^r)$
by (*simp add*: *di-d-def sometimes-d-def*, *metis RevChop RTrue inteq-reflection*)

lemma *RRDiEqvDiamond*:
$\vdash (di\ (f^r))^r = \Diamond\ (f)$
proof $-$
 have *1*: $\vdash (di\ (f^r))^r = \Diamond\ ((f^r)^r)$ **using** *RDiEqvDiamond* **by** *blast*
 have *2*: $\vdash \Diamond\ ((f^r)^r) = \Diamond\ f$ **using** *EqvReverseReverse* **by** (*metis inteq-reflection*)
 from *1 2* **show** *?thesis* **by** *fastforce*
qed

lemma *RBiEqvBox*:
$\vdash (bi\ f)^r = \Box\ (f^r)$
by (*simp add*: *always-d-def bi-d-def*, *metis RDiEqvDiamond rev-fun1 int-eq*)

lemma *RRBiEqvBox*:

$\vdash (bi\ (f^r))^r = \square\ (f)$

proof −

  have *1*: $\vdash (bi\ (f^r))^r = \square\ ((f^r)^r)$ **using** *RBiEqvBox* **by** *blast*

  have *2*: $\vdash \square\ ((f^r)^r) = \square\ f$ **using** *EqvReverseReverse* **by** (*metis inteq-reflection*)

  from *1 2* **show** *?thesis* **by** *fastforce*

qed

lemma *RDaEqvDa*:

$\vdash (da\ f)^r = da(f^r)$

proof −

  have *1*: $\vdash (\#True;(f;\#True))^r = (f;\#True)^r;\#True^r$ **using** *RevChop* **by** *blast*

  have *2*: $\vdash (f;\#True)^r;\#True^r = (f;\#True)^r;\#True$ **using** *RTrue RightChopEqvChop* **by** *blast*

  have *3*: $\vdash (f;\#True)^r;\#True = (\#True^r;f^r);\#True$ **by** (*simp add: RevChop LeftChopEqvChop*)

  have *4*: $\vdash (\#True^r;f^r);\#True = (\#True;f^r);\#True$ **by** (*metis 3 RTrue int-eq*)

  have *5*: $\vdash (\#True;f^r);\#True = \#True;(f^r;\#True)$ **using** *ChopAssocB* **by** *blast*

  have *6*: $\vdash (\#True;(f;\#True))^r = \#True;(f^r;\#True)$ **using** *1 2 3 4 5* **by** *fastforce*

  from *6* **show** *?thesis* **by** (*simp add: da-d-def*)

qed

lemma *RRDaEqvDa*:

$\vdash (da\ (f^r))^r = da(f)$

proof −

  have *1*: $\vdash (da\ (f^r))^r = da\ ((f^r)^r)$ **using** *RDaEqvDa* **by** *blast*

  have *2*: $\vdash da\ ((f^r)^r) = da\ f$ **using** *EqvReverseReverse* **by** (*metis inteq-reflection*)

  from *1 2* **show** *?thesis* **by** *fastforce*

qed

lemma *RBaEqvBa*:

$\vdash (ba\ f)^r = ba(f^r)$

by (*simp add: ba-d-def, metis RDaEqvDa int-eq rev-fun1*)

lemma *RRBaEqvBa*:

$\vdash (ba\ (f^r))^r = ba(f)$

proof −

  have *1*: $\vdash (ba\ (f^r))^r = ba\ ((f^r)^r)$ **using** *RBaEqvBa* **by** *blast*

  have *2*: $\vdash ba\ ((f^r)^r) = ba\ f$ **using** *EqvReverseReverse* **by** (*metis inteq-reflection*)

  from *1 2* **show** *?thesis* **by** *fastforce*

qed

lemma *ChopCsImpCSChop*:

$\vdash f;f^\star \longrightarrow f^\star;f$

by (*meson CSChopEqvChopOrRule CSChopEqvOrChopPlusChop ChopAssocB*

      ChopPlusElimWithoutMore EmptyYields Prop03 Prop04 Prop06)

lemma *CSChopImpChopCS*:

$\vdash f^\star;f \longrightarrow f;f^\star$

proof −

have *1*: $\vdash (f^r);(f^r)^\star \longrightarrow (f^r)^\star;(f^r)$
  using *ChopCsImpCSChop* **by** *blast*
hence *2*: $\vdash ((f^r);(f^r)^\star \longrightarrow (f^r)^\star;(f^r)\ )^r$
  using *ReverseEqv* **by** *blast*
have *3*: $\vdash (((f^r);(f^r)^\star \longrightarrow (f^r)^\star;(f^r)\ )^r) = (\ ((f^r);(f^r)^\star)^r \longrightarrow ((f^r)^\star;(f^r))^r)$
  by (*simp add*: *rev-fun2*)
have *4*: $\vdash ((f^r);(f^r)^\star)^r = ((f^r)^\star\ )^r; (f^r)^r$
  by (*simp add*: *RevChop*)
have *5*: $\vdash ((f^r)^\star\ )^r; (f^r)^r = ((f^r)^r)^\star;(f^r)^r$
  by (*simp add*: *LeftChopEqvChop RevChopstar*)
have *6*: $\vdash (f^r)^r = f$
  using *EqvReverseReverse* **by** *blast*
have *7*: $\vdash ((f^r)^r)^\star;(f^r)^r = f^\star;f$
  using *6 CSEqvCS ChopEqvChop* **by** *blast*
have *8*: $\vdash ((f^r);(f^r)^\star)^r = f^\star;f$
  using *7 5* **using** *4* **by** *fastforce*
have *9*: $\vdash ((f^r)^\star;(f^r))^r = (f^r)^r;((f^r)^\star)^r$
  by (*simp add*: *RevChop*)
have *10*: $\vdash (f^r)^r;((f^r)^\star)^r = (f^r)^r; ((f^r)^r)^\star$
  by (*simp add*: *RevChopstar RightChopEqvChop*)
have *11*: $\vdash (f^r)^r; ((f^r)^r)^\star = f;f^\star$
  using *6 ChopPlusEqvChopPlus* **by** *blast*
have *12*: $\vdash ((f^r);(f^r)^\star)^r = f;f^\star$
  using *9 10 11* **by** (*metis 4 5 ChopCsImpCSChop RImpRule int-eq int-iffI*)
from *2 3 8 12* **show** *?thesis* **by** *fastforce*
qed

lemma *CSChopEqvChopCS*:
$\vdash f;f^\star = f^\star;f$
using *ChopCsImpCSChop CSChopImpChopCS* **by** *fastforce*

lemma *TrueChopSkipEqvSkipChopTrue*:
$\vdash \#True;skip = skip;\#True$
proof $-$
 have *1*: $\vdash skip;skip^\star = skip^\star;skip$ **using** *CSChopEqvChopCS* **by** *blast*
 have *2*: $\vdash skip^\star = \#True$ **using** *CSSkip* **by** *simp*
 have *3*: $\vdash skip;skip^\star = skip;\#True$ **using** *2* **using** *RightChopEqvChop* **by** *blast*
 have *4*: $\vdash skip^\star;skip = \#True;skip$ **using** *2* **using** *LeftChopEqvChop* **by** *blast*
 from *1 3 4* **show** *?thesis* **by** *fastforce*
qed

lemma *RInitEqvFin*:
$\vdash (init\ f)^r = fin(f)$
proof $-$
 have *1*: $\vdash (init\ f)^r = ((f \wedge empty);\#True)^r$
  by (*metis AndChopCommute REqvRule init-d-def*)
 have *2*: $\vdash ((f \wedge empty);\#True)^r = (\#True;(f \wedge empty)^r)$
  using *RTrue* **by** (*metis RevChop int-eq*)
 have *3*: $\vdash \#True;(f \wedge empty)^r = \#True;(f^r \wedge empty)$
  by (*metis RAnd REmptyEqvEmpty RightChopEqvChop int-eq*)

have 4: $\vdash$ #$True$;($f^r \wedge empty$) $=$ #$True$;($f \wedge empty$)
  using $RAndEmptyEqvAndEmpty$
  by ($metis$ $REmptyEqvEmpty$ $RightChopEqvChop$ $all\text{-}rev\text{-}eq(3)$ $int\text{-}eq$)
have 5: $\vdash$ #$True$;($f \wedge empty$) $=$ $fin(f)$
  using $FinEqvTrueChopAndEmpty$ **by** $fastforce$
from 1 2 3 4 5 **show** $?thesis$ **by** $fastforce$
qed

lemma $RFinEqvInit$:
$\vdash$ (fin f)^r $=$ $init$ (f)
proof $-$
have 1: $\vdash$ fin f $=$ #$True$;($f \wedge empty$)
  using $FinEqvTrueChopAndEmpty$ **by** $auto$
have 2: $\vdash$ (fin f)^r $=$ (#$True$;($f \wedge empty$))^r
  using 1 $REqvRule$ **by** $blast$
have 3: $\vdash$ (#$True$;($f \wedge empty$))^r $=$ ($f \wedge empty$)^r;#$True$
  using $RTrue$ **by** ($metis$ $RevChop$ $int\text{-}eq$)
have 4: $\vdash$ ($f \wedge empty$)^r;#$True$ $=$ ($f^r \wedge empty$);#$True$
  using $LeftChopEqvChop$ $RAnd$ $REmptyEqvEmpty$ **by** ($metis$ $int\text{-}eq$)
have 5: $\vdash$ ($f \wedge empty$)^r;#$True$ $=$ ($f \wedge empty$);#$True$
  by ($simp$ add: $RAndEmptyEqvAndEmpty$ $LeftChopEqvChop$)
have 6: $\vdash$ ($f \wedge empty$);#$True$ $=$ $init(f)$
  by ($simp$ add: $AndChopCommute$ $init\text{-}d\text{-}def$)
from 1 2 3 4 5 6 **show** $?thesis$ **by** $fastforce$
qed

lemma $RHaltEqvInitonly$:
$\vdash$ ($halt$ f)^r $=$ $initonly$ (f^r)
proof $-$
have 1: $\vdash$ ($halt$ f)^r $=$ ($\square$ ($empty$ $=$ f))^r **by** ($simp$ add: $halt\text{-}d\text{-}def$)
have 2: $\vdash$ ($\square$ ($empty$ $=$ f))^r $=$ bi (($empty$ $=$ f)^r) **by** ($simp$ add: $RBoxEqvBi$)
have 3: $\vdash$ ($empty$ $=$ f)^r $=$ ($empty$ $=$ f^r) **by** ($metis$ $REmptyEqvEmpty$ $inteq\text{-}reflection$ $rev\text{-}fun2$)
hence 4: $\vdash$ bi (($empty$ $=$ f)^r) $=$ $bi(empty$ $=$ f^r) **by** ($simp$ add: $BiEqvBi$)
have 5: $\vdash$ $bi(empty$ $=$ f^r) $=$ $initonly(f^r$) **by** ($simp$ add: $initonly\text{-}d\text{-}def$)
from 1 2 4 5 **show** $?thesis$ **by** $fastforce$
qed

lemma $RInitonlyEqvHalt$:
$\vdash$ ($initonly$ f)^r $=$ $halt(f^r$)
proof $-$
have 1: $\vdash$ ($initonly$ f)^r $=$ (bi ($empty$ $=$ f))^r **by** ($simp$ add: $initonly\text{-}d\text{-}def$)
have 2: $\vdash$ (bi ($empty$ $=$ f))^r $=$ $\square$(($empty$ $=$ f)^r) **by** ($simp$ add: $RBiEqvBox$)
have 3: $\vdash$ ($empty$ $=$ f)^r $=$ ($empty$ $=$ f^r) **by** ($metis$ $REmptyEqvEmpty$ $inteq\text{-}reflection$ $rev\text{-}fun2$)
hence 4: $\vdash$ $\square$ (($empty$ $=$ f)^r) $=$ $\square(empty$ $=$ f^r) **by** ($simp$ add: $BoxEqvBox$)
have 5: $\vdash$ $\square(empty$ $=$ f^r) $=$ $halt(f^r$) **by** ($simp$ add: $halt\text{-}d\text{-}def$)
from 1 2 4 5 **show** $?thesis$ **by** $fastforce$

qed

lemma *RRHaltEqvInitonly*:
$\vdash (halt\ (f^r))^r = initonly\ (f)$
proof $-$
 have *1*: $\vdash (halt\ (f^r))^r = initonly\ ((f^r)^r)$ **using** *RHaltEqvInitonly* **by** *blast*
 have *2*: $\vdash initonly\ ((f^r)^r) = initonly(f)$ **using** *EqvReverseReverse* **by** (*metis inteq-reflection*)
 from *1 2* **show** *?thesis* **by** *fastforce*
qed

lemma *RRInitonlyEqvHalt* :
$\vdash (initonly\ (f^r))^r = halt(f)$
proof $-$
 have *1*: $\vdash (initonly\ (f^r))^r = halt((f^r)^r)$ **using** *RInitonlyEqvHalt* **by** *blast*
 have *2*: $\vdash halt((f^r)^r) = halt(f)$ **using** *EqvReverseReverse* **by** (*metis inteq-reflection*)
 from *1 2* **show** *?thesis* **by** *fastforce*
qed

lemma *RKeepEqvKeep* :
$\vdash (keep\ f)^r = keep(f^r)$
proof $-$
 have *1*: $\vdash (keep\ f)^r = (ba(skip \longrightarrow f))^r$ **by** (*simp add: keep-d-def*)
 have *2*: $\vdash (ba(skip \longrightarrow f))^r = ba((skip \longrightarrow f)^r)$ **by** (*simp add:RBaEqvBa*)
 have *3*: $\vdash (skip \longrightarrow f)^r = (skip \longrightarrow f^r)$ **by** (*metis all-rev-eq(12) rev-fun2*)
 hence *4*: $\vdash ba((skip \longrightarrow f)^r) = ba(skip \longrightarrow f^r)$ **by** (*simp add: BaEqvBa*)
 have *5*: $\vdash ba(skip \longrightarrow f^r) = keep(f^r)$ **by** (*simp add: keep-d-def*)
 from *1 2 4 5* **show** *?thesis* **by** *fastforce*
qed

lemma *RRKeepEqvKeep* :
$\vdash (keep\ (f^r))^r = keep(f)$
proof $-$
 have *1*: $\vdash (keep\ (f^r))^r = keep(\ (f^r)^r\ )$ **using** *RKeepEqvKeep* **by** *blast*
 have *2*: $\vdash keep(\ (f^r)^r\ ) = keep(f)$ **using** *EqvReverseReverse* **by** (*metis inteq-reflection*)
 from *1 2* **show** *?thesis* **by** *fastforce*
qed

lemma *NextDiamondEqvDiamondNext*:
$\vdash \bigcirc(\ \Diamond\ f) = \Diamond(\bigcirc f)$
proof $-$
 have *1*: $\vdash \#True;skip = skip;\#True$ **by** (*rule TrueChopSkipEqvSkipChopTrue*)
 hence *2*: $\vdash (\#True;skip);f =(skip;\#True);f$ **using** *LeftChopEqvChop* **by** *blast*
 have *3*: $\vdash (\#True;skip);f = \#True;(skip;f)$ **by** (*simp add: ChopAssocB*)
 have *4*: $\vdash (\ skip;\#True);f = skip;(\#True;f)$ **by** (*simp add: ChopAssocB*)
 from *2 3 4* **show** *?thesis* **by** (*metis int-eq next-d-def sometimes-d-def*)
qed

lemma *WeakNextBoxInduct*:
 assumes $\vdash wnext\ (\Box\ f) \longrightarrow f$
 shows $\vdash f$

proof −
have $1 : \vdash wnext \ (\Box \ f) \longrightarrow f$ **using** *assms* **by** *blast*
hence $2 : \vdash \neg \ f \longrightarrow \neg \ (\ wnext \ (\Box \ f))$ **by** *fastforce*
hence $3 : \vdash \neg \ f \longrightarrow \ \bigcirc \ (\neg \ (\Box \ f))$ **by** (*simp add*: *wnext-d-def*)
have $4 : \vdash (\neg \ (\Box \ f)) = \ (\Diamond \ (\neg \ f))$ **by** (*auto simp*: *always-d-def*)
hence $5 : \vdash \bigcirc (\neg \ (\Box \ f)) = \ \bigcirc \ (\Diamond \ (\neg \ f))$ **using** *NextEqvNext* **by** *blast*
have $6 : \vdash \neg \ f \longrightarrow \ \bigcirc \ (\Diamond \ (\neg \ f))$ **using** *3 5* **by** *fastforce*
have $7 : \vdash \bigcirc \ (\Diamond \ (\neg \ f)) = \Diamond(\ \bigcirc \ (\neg \ f))$ **using** *NextDiamondEqvDiamondNext* **by** *blast*
have $8 : \vdash \neg \ f \longrightarrow \ \Diamond(\ \bigcirc \ (\neg \ f))$ **using** *6 7* **by** *fastforce*
have $9 : \vdash \Diamond(\neg \ f) \longrightarrow \ \Diamond(\Diamond(\ \bigcirc \ (\neg \ f)))$ **using** *8 DiamondImpDiamond* **by** *blast*
have $10 : \vdash \Diamond(\Diamond(\ \bigcirc \ (\neg \ f))) = \Diamond(\ \bigcirc \ (\neg \ f))$ **using** *DiamondDiamondEqvDiamond* **by** *blast*
have $11 : \vdash \Diamond(\neg \ f) \longrightarrow \Diamond(\ \bigcirc \ (\neg \ f))$ **using** *9 10* **by** *fastforce*
have $12 : \vdash \Diamond(\neg \ f) \longrightarrow \bigcirc \ (\Diamond \ (\neg \ f))$ **using** *7 11* **by** *fastforce*
hence $13 : \vdash \neg(\ \Diamond(\neg \ f))$ **using** *NextLoop* **by** *blast*
hence $14 : \vdash \Box f$ **by** (*simp add*: *always-d-def*)
have $15 : \vdash \Box f \longrightarrow f$ **using** *BoxElim* **by** *blast*
from *14 15* **show** *?thesis* **using** *MP* **by** *blast*
qed

lemma *RassignEqvTAssign*:
$\vdash (\$v = e)^r = (v \leftarrow e^r)$
proof −
have $1 : \vdash (\$v = e)^r = ((\$v)^r = e^r)$ **by** (*simp add*: *rev-fun2*)
have $2 : \vdash ((\$v)^r = e^r) = ((!v) = e^r)$ **by** (*simp add*: *all-rev-eq(8)*)
have $3 : \vdash ((!v) = e^r) = (v \leftarrow e^r)$ **by** (*simp add*: *intI temporal-assign-d-def*)
from *1 2 3* **show** *?thesis* **by** *fastforce*
qed

lemma *RTAssignEqvAssign*:
$\vdash (v \leftarrow e)^r = (\$v = e^r)$
proof −
have $1 : \vdash (v \leftarrow e)^r = (!v = e)^r$ **by** (*simp add*: *REqvRule intI temporal-assign-d-def*)
have $2 : \vdash (!v = e)^r = (\$v = e^r)$ **by** (*metis all-rev-eq(11) rev-fun2*)
from *1 2* **show** *?thesis* **by** *fastforce*
qed

lemma *RNextAssignEqvPrevAssign*:
$\vdash (v := e)^r = (v =: e^r)$
proof −
have $1 : \vdash (v := e)^r = (v\$ = e)^r$ **by** (*simp add*: *REqvRule intI next-assign-d-def*)
have $2 : \vdash (v\$ = e)^r = (v! = e^r)$ **by** (*metis all-rev-eq(9) rev-fun2*)
have $3 : \vdash (v! = e^r) = (v =: e^r)$ **by** (*simp add*: *prev-assign-d-def*)
from *1 2 3* **show** *?thesis* **by** *fastforce*
qed

lemma *RPrevAssignEqvNextAssign*:
$\vdash (v =: e)^r = (v := e^r)$
proof −
have $1 : \vdash (v =: e)^r = (v! = e)^r$ **by** (*simp add*: *REqvRule intI prev-assign-d-def*)
have $2 : \vdash (v! = e)^r = (v\$ = e^r)$ **by** (*metis all-rev-eq(10) rev-fun2*)

have $3: \vdash (v\$ = e^r) = (v := e^r)$ **by** (*simp add*: *next-assign-d-def*)
from *1 2 3* **show** *?thesis* **by** *fastforce*
qed

lemma *RGetsEqvBaSkipImp*:
$\vdash (v \text{ gets } e)^r = ba(skip \longrightarrow (\$v = e^r))$
proof $-$
have $1: \vdash (v \text{ gets } e)^r = (ba(skip \longrightarrow (!v = e)))^r$
    using *gets-d-def temporal-assign-d-def keep-d-def REqvRule*
    by (*metis Prop04 ba-d-def int-simps*(15))
have $2: \vdash (ba(skip \longrightarrow (!v = e)))^r = ba ((skip \longrightarrow (!v = e))^r)$
    by (*simp add*: *RBaEqvBa*)
have $3: \vdash (skip \longrightarrow (!v = e))^r = (skip \longrightarrow (\$v = e^r))$
    by (*simp add*: *all-rev-eq*(11) *all-rev-eq*(12) *all-rev-eq*(3))
hence $4: \vdash ba ((skip \longrightarrow (!v = e))^r) = ba (skip \longrightarrow (\$v = e^r))$
    by (*simp add*: *BaEqvBa*)
from *1 2 4* **show** *?thesis* **by** *fastforce*
qed

lemma *RIfThenElse*:
$\vdash (if_i \text{ } f0 \text{ then } f1 \text{ else } f2)^r = if_i (f0^r) \text{ then } (f1^r) \text{ else } (f2^r)$
by (*simp add*: *all-rev-eq*(2) *all-rev-eq*(3) *ifthenelse-d-def*)

lemma *RWhile*:
$\vdash (init \text{ } f \wedge while \text{ } f0 \text{ } do \text{ } f1)^r = (fin(f) \wedge ((f0^r) \wedge (f1^r))^\star \wedge init (\neg(f0)))$
proof $-$
have $1: \vdash (init \text{ } f \wedge while \text{ } f0 \text{ } do \text{ } f1)^r = (init \text{ } f \wedge (f0 \wedge f1)^\star \wedge fin (\neg f0))^r$
    by (*simp add*: *while-d-def*)
have $2: \vdash (init \text{ } f \wedge (f0 \wedge f1)^\star \wedge fin (\neg f0))^r = ((init \text{ } f)^r \wedge ((f0 \wedge f1)^\star)^r \wedge (fin (\neg f0))^r)$
    by (*simp add*: *all-rev-eq*(3))
have $3: \vdash (init \text{ } f)^r = fin(f)$
    by (*simp add*: *RInitEqvFin*)
have $4: \vdash ((f0 \wedge f1)^\star)^r = ((f0^r) \wedge (f1^r))^\star$
    by (*metis RevChopstar all-rev-eq*(3))
have $5: \vdash (fin (\neg f0))^r = init (\neg(f0))$
    by (*metis RFinEqvInit*)
have $6: \vdash ((init \text{ } f)^r \wedge ((f0 \wedge f1)^\star)^r \wedge (fin (\neg f0))^r) =$
      $(fin(f) \wedge ((f0^r) \wedge (f1^r))^\star \wedge init (\neg(f0)))$ **using** *3 4 5* **by** *fastforce*
from *1 2 6* **show** *?thesis* **by** *fastforce*
qed

lemma *AAxRev*:
$\vdash (\forall\forall \text{ } x. \text{ } F \text{ } x)^r = (\forall\forall \text{ } x. \text{ } (F \text{ } x)^r)$
proof $-$
have $1: \vdash (\forall\forall \text{ } x. \text{ } F \text{ } x) = (\neg(\exists\exists \text{ } x. \text{ } \neg(F \text{ } x)))$ **using** *AAxDef* **by** *blast*
have $2: \vdash (\forall\forall \text{ } x. \text{ } F \text{ } x)^r = (\neg(\exists\exists \text{ } x. \text{ } \neg(F \text{ } x)))^r$ **using** *REqvRule 1* **by** *blast*
have $3: \vdash (\neg(\exists\exists \text{ } x. \text{ } \neg(F \text{ } x)))^r = (\neg((\exists\exists \text{ } x.(\neg (F \text{ } x)))^r))$ **by** (*simp add*: *rev-fun1*)
have $4: \vdash ((\exists\exists \text{ } x.(\neg (F \text{ } x)))^r) = ((\exists\exists \text{ } x.(\neg (F \text{ } x))^r))$ **by** (*simp add*: *EExRev*)
hence $5: \vdash (\neg((\exists\exists \text{ } x.(\neg (F \text{ } x)))^r)) = (\neg(\exists\exists \text{ } x.(\neg (F \text{ } x))^r))$ **by** *auto*
have $51: \bigwedge x. \vdash (\neg (F \text{ } x))^r = (\neg((F \text{ } x)^r))$ **by** (*simp add*: *rev-fun1*)

hence *52*: ⊢ (∃∃ x.(¬ (F x))^r) = (∃∃ x.¬((F x)^r)) **using** *EExEqvRule* **by** *fastforce*
hence *6*: ⊢ (¬(∃∃ x.(¬ (F x))^r)) = (¬(∃∃ x.¬((F x)^r))) **by** *fastforce*
have *7*: ⊢ (¬(∃∃ x.¬((F x)^r))) = (∀∀ x. (F x)^r) **using** *AAxDef* **by** *fastforce*
from *1 2 3 5 6 7* **show** *?thesis* **by** *fastforce*
qed

end

10   Projection operator

theory *Projection*
imports *Fuse TimeReversal*
begin

This theory introduces the projection operator [4]. The projection operator is defined and we prove the soundness of the rules and axiom system.

10.1   Definitions

primrec *filt* :: *'a interval ⇒ index ⇒ 'a interval*
 where   *filt σ ⟨l⟩   = ⟨nth σ l⟩*
      | filt σ (x⊙ls) = (nth σ x) ⊙ filt σ ls

primrec *lsum* :: *'a interval interval ⇒ nat ⇒ index*
 where   *lsum ⟨xs⟩ a = ⟨a+(intlen xs)⟩*
     | lsum (xs ⊙ xxs) a = (a+(intlen xs)) ⊙ (lsum xxs (a+(intlen xs)))

definition *addzero* :: *index ⇒ index*
 where *addzero ls = (if intlen ls = 0 then*
      (if intfirst ls = 0 then ls else 0 ⊙ ls) else 0 ⊙ ls)

definition *powerinterval* :: *('a::world) formula ⇒ 'a interval ⇒ index ⇒ bool*
 where *powerinterval F σ l = (∀ i. i<intlen l ⟶ ((sub (nth l i) (nth l (Suc i)) σ) ⊨ F))*

definition *cpl* :: *('a::world) formula ⇒ 'a formula ⇒ 'a interval ⇒ index*
 where *cpl f g σ = (ε l. index-sequence 0 l ∧ powerinterval f σ l ∧*
                   (nth l (intlen l)) = intlen σ ∧ ((filt σ l) ⊨ g))

primrec *lcpl* :: *('a ::world) formula ⇒ 'a formula ⇒ 'a interval ⇒ index ⇒ nat interval interval*
 where   *lcpl f g σ ⟨x⟩ = ⟨(map (shift x) (cpl f g (sub x x σ)))⟩*
     | lcpl f g σ (x ⊙ xs) =
         (case xs of ⟨y⟩ ⇒ ⟨(map (shift x) (cpl f g (sub x y σ)))⟩
          | y ⊙ ys ⇒ (map (shift x) (cpl f g (sub x y σ))) ⊙ (lcpl f g σ xs))

definition *projection-d* :: *('a:: world) formula ⇒ 'a formula ⇒ 'a formula*
where *projection-d F G ≡ λs. (∃ l. index-sequence 0 l ∧ (nth l (intlen l)) = (intlen s) ∧*
                   powerinterval F s l ∧ ((filt s l) ⊨ G)
)

syntax
 -projection-d   :: *[lift,lift]* $\Rightarrow$ *lift* $((- \triangle -)$ *[84,84] 83*$)$

syntax (*ASCII*)
 -projection-d   :: *[lift,lift]* $\Rightarrow$ *lift* $((-$ *proj* $-)$ *[84,84] 83*$)$

translations
 -projection-d $\rightleftharpoons$ *CONST projection-d*

definition *uprojection-d* :: $('a$:: *world*) *formula* $\Rightarrow$ *'a formula* $\Rightarrow$ *'a formula*
where *uprojection-d F G* $\equiv$ *LIFT*$(\neg(F \triangle (\neg G)))$

syntax
 -uprojection-d   :: *[lift,lift]* $\Rightarrow$ *lift* $((- \nabla -)$ *[84,84] 83*$)$

syntax (*ASCII*)
 -uprojection-d   :: *[lift,lift]* $\Rightarrow$ *lift* $((-$ *uproj* $-)$ *[84,84] 83*$)$

translations
 -uprojection-d $\rightleftharpoons$ *CONST uprojection-d*

definition *dp-d* :: $('a$:: *world*) *formula* $\Rightarrow$ *'a formula*
where *dp-d F* $\equiv$ *LIFT*$(\#True \triangle F)$

definition *bp-d* :: $('a$:: *world*) *formula* $\Rightarrow$ *'a formula*
where *bp-d F* $\equiv$ *LIFT*$(\#True \nabla F)$

syntax
 -dp-d   :: *lift* $\Rightarrow$ *lift* $((dp -)$ *[88] 87*$)$
 -bp-d   :: *lift* $\Rightarrow$ *lift* $((bp -)$ *[88] 87*$)$

syntax (*ASCII*)
 -dp-d   :: *lift* $\Rightarrow$ *lift* $((dp -)$ *[88] 87*$)$
 -bp-d   :: *lift* $\Rightarrow$ *lift* $((bp -)$ *[88] 87*$)$

translations
 -dp-d $\rightleftharpoons$ *CONST dp-d*
 -bp-d $\rightleftharpoons$ *CONST bp-d*

10.2   Lemmas

10.2.1   filt Lemmas

lemma *filt-intlen*:
 intlen(*filt s0 l*) = *intlen l*
by (*induct l, simp, simp*)

lemma *filt-nth*:
 assumes $i \leq$ *intlen* (*filt s0 l*)

shows $(nth\ (filt\ s0\ l)\ i) = (nth\ s0\ (nth\ l\ i))$
using *assms*
proof (*induct l arbitrary: i*)
case (*St x*)
then show *?case* **by** *simp*
next
case (*Cons x1a l*)
then show *?case* **by** *simp* (*metis Nitpick.case-nat-unfold Suc-eq-plus1 le-diff-conv*)
qed

lemma *filt-expand*:
  $(s1 = (filt\ s0\ l)) =$
  $(\ intlen\ s1 = intlen\ l\ \wedge$
    $(\forall\ i \le intlen\ s1.\ (nth\ s1\ i) = (nth\ s0\ (nth\ l\ i)))))$
by (*metis filt-intlen filt-nth interval-eq-nth-eq*)

lemma *filt-fuse*:
   $filt\ xs\ (fuse\ l1\ l2) = (fuse\ (filt\ xs\ l1)\ (filt\ xs\ l2))$
by (*induct l1 arbitrary: l2 xs*) *simp-all*

lemma *fuse-filt-intlen*:
assumes *index-sequence 0 l*
    $(nth\ l\ (intlen\ l)) = intlen\ xs$
shows $intlen\ (filt\ (fuse\ (prefix\ (nth\ l\ n)\ xs)\ (suffix\ (nth\ l\ n)\ xs))\ l) =$
    $intlen\ (fuse\ (filt\ (prefix\ (nth\ l\ n)\ xs)\ (prefix\ n\ l))$
       $(filt\ (suffix\ (nth\ l\ n)\ xs)\ (map\ (shiftm\ (nth\ l\ n))\ (suffix\ n\ l))))$
proof $-$
 have *1*: $intlen\ (filt\ (fuse\ (prefix\ (nth\ l\ n)\ xs)\ (suffix\ (nth\ l\ n)\ xs))\ l) = intlen\ l$
  by (*simp add: filt-intlen*)
 have *2*: $intlen\ (fuse\ (filt\ (prefix\ (nth\ l\ n)\ xs)\ (prefix\ n\ l))$
           $(filt\ (suffix\ (nth\ l\ n)\ xs)\ (map\ (shiftm\ (nth\ l\ n))\ (suffix\ n\ l))))) =$
    $(intlen((filt\ (prefix\ (nth\ l\ n)\ xs)\ (prefix\ n\ l))) +$
     $intlen(filt\ (suffix\ (nth\ l\ n)\ xs)\ (map\ (shiftm\ (nth\ l\ n))\ (suffix\ n\ l))))$
  using *interval-fuse-intlen-a* **by** *blast*
 have *3*: $intlen((filt\ (prefix\ (nth\ l\ n)\ xs)\ (prefix\ n\ l))) = intlen(prefix\ n\ l)$
  using *filt-intlen* **by** *blast*
 have *4*: $intlen(filt\ (suffix\ (nth\ l\ n)\ xs)\ (map\ (shiftm\ (nth\ l\ n))\ (suffix\ n\ l))) =$
     $intlen(suffix\ n\ l)$
  by (*simp add: filt-intlen*)
 have *5*: $intlen(prefix\ n\ l) + intlen(suffix\ n\ l) = intlen\ l$
  by (*simp*)
 from *1 2 3 4 5* **show** *?thesis* **by** *auto*
qed

lemma *fuse-filt-nth-a*:
 assumes *index-sequence 0 l*

214

$(nth\ l\ (intlen\ l)) = intlen\ xs$

$i \leq intlen\ l$

$n \leq intlen\ l$

shows $nth\ (filt\ (fuse\ (prefix\ (nth\ l\ n)\ xs)\ (suffix\ (nth\ l\ n)\ xs))\ l)\ i =$

$nth\ xs\ (nth\ l\ i)$

proof $-$

  have 1: $filt\ (fuse\ (prefix\ (nth\ l\ n)\ xs)\ (suffix\ (nth\ l\ n)\ xs))\ l =$

$filt\ xs\ l$

   using *assms* **by** (*metis interval-fuse-prefix-suffix interval-idx-less-last-1 le-neq-implies-less*

less-imp-le-nat not-less)

  have 2: $nth\ (filt\ xs\ l)\ i = nth\ xs\ (nth\ l\ i)$

   using *assms* **by** (*metis filt-nth filt-intlen*   )

  from 1 2 **show** *?thesis* **by** *auto*

qed

lemma *fuse-filt-nth-b*:

 assumes *index-sequence 0 l*

$(nth\ l\ (intlen\ l)) = intlen\ xs$

$i \leq intlen\ l$

$n \leq intlen\ l$

 shows $nth\ (fuse\ (filt\ (prefix\ (nth\ l\ n)\ xs)\ (prefix\ n\ l))$

$(filt\ (suffix\ (nth\ l\ n)\ xs)\ (map\ (shiftm\ (nth\ l\ n))\ (suffix\ n\ l)\ )))\ i =$

$nth\ xs\ (nth\ l\ i)$

proof $-$

 have 1: $i \leq intlen(fuse\ (filt\ (prefix\ (nth\ l\ n)\ xs)\ (prefix\ n\ l))$

$(filt\ (suffix\ (nth\ l\ n)\ xs)\ (map\ (shiftm\ (nth\ l\ n))\ (suffix\ n\ l)\ )))$

   using *assms*

   by (*metis filt-intlen interval-fuse-intlen-a interval-fuse-prefix-suffix-intlen*

interval-intlen-map)

 have 2: $intlast(filt\ (prefix\ (nth\ l\ n)\ xs)\ (prefix\ n\ l)) = nth\ xs\ (nth\ l\ n)$

  using *assms filt-nth*[*of - (prefix (nth l n) xs) (prefix n l)*]

  by (*metis filt-intlen interval-idx-less-equal interval-intlast-prefix interval-nth-intlen-intlast*

interval-prefix-length-good order-refl)

 have 3: $intfirst(filt\ (suffix\ (nth\ l\ n)\ xs)\ (map\ (shiftm\ (nth\ l\ n))\ (suffix\ n\ l)\ )) =$

$nth\ (filt\ (suffix\ (nth\ l\ n)\ xs)\ (map\ (shiftm\ (nth\ l\ n))\ (suffix\ n\ l)\ ))\ 0$

   by *simp*

 have 4: $nth\ (filt\ (suffix\ (nth\ l\ n)\ xs)\ (map\ (shiftm\ (nth\ l\ n))\ (suffix\ n\ l)\ ))\ 0 =$

$nth\ (suffix\ (nth\ l\ n)\ xs)\ (nth\ (map\ (shiftm\ (nth\ l\ n))\ (suffix\ n\ l)\ )\ 0)$

   using *filt-nth* **by** *blast*

 have 5: $nth\ (suffix\ (nth\ l\ n)\ xs)\ (nth\ (map\ (shiftm\ (nth\ l\ n))\ (suffix\ n\ l)\ )\ 0) =$

$nth\ \ (suffix\ (nth\ l\ n)\ xs)\ (nth\ l\ (n+0) - (nth\ l\ n))$

   by (*simp add: assms interval-nth-map shiftm-def*)

 have 6: $nth\ \ (suffix\ (nth\ l\ n)\ xs)\ (nth\ l\ (n+0) - (nth\ l\ n)) =$

$nth\ \ (suffix\ (nth\ l\ n)\ xs)\ 0$

   by *simp*

 have 7: $nth\ \ (suffix\ (nth\ l\ n)\ xs)\ 0 = nth\ xs\ (nth\ l\ n)$

   using *assms* **by** (*metis Nat.add-0-right interval-nth-suffix le0*   )

 have 8: $intlast(filt\ (prefix\ (nth\ l\ n)\ xs)\ (prefix\ n\ l)) =$

$intfirst(filt\ (suffix\ (nth\ l\ n)\ xs)\ (map\ (shiftm\ (nth\ l\ n))\ (suffix\ n\ l)\ ))$

```
    using 2 4 5 7 by auto
  have 10: nth (fuse (filt (prefix (nth l n) xs) (prefix n l))
              (filt (suffix (nth l n) xs) (map (shiftm (nth l n)) (suffix n l) ))) i =
      (if i ≤ intlen (filt (prefix (nth l n) xs) (prefix n l))
       then nth (filt (prefix (nth l n) xs) (prefix n l)) i
       else nth (filt (suffix (nth l n) xs) (map (shiftm (nth l n)) (suffix n l) ))
              (i − intlen (filt (prefix (nth l n) xs) (prefix n l))))
    using 1 8 interval-fuse-nth by auto
  have 11: intlen (filt (prefix (nth l n) xs) (prefix n l)) = n
    using assms by (metis filt-intlen interval-prefix-length-good)
  have 12: i≤n ⟶ nth (filt (prefix (nth l n) xs) (prefix n l)) i =
              nth (prefix (nth l n) xs) (nth (prefix n l ) i)
    by (simp add: 11 filt-nth)
  have 13: i≤n ⟶ nth (prefix (nth l n) xs) (nth (prefix n l ) i) =
              nth (prefix (nth l n) xs) (nth l i)
    by (simp add: assms)
  have 15: i≤n ⟶ nth (prefix (nth l n) xs) (nth l i) = nth xs (nth l i)
      using assms(1) assms(2) assms(4) interval-idx-less-equal interval-nth-prefix by blast
  have 16: nth (filt (suffix (nth l n) xs) (map (shiftm (nth l n)) (suffix n l) ))
              (i − intlen (filt (prefix (nth l n) xs) (prefix n l)))  =
      nth (filt (suffix (nth l n) xs) (map (shiftm (nth l n)) (suffix n l) ))
              (i − n)
    by (simp add: 11)
  have 17: nth (filt (suffix (nth l n) xs) (map (shiftm (nth l n)) (suffix n l) )) (i − n) =
      nth (suffix (nth l n) xs) (nth (map (shiftm (nth l n)) (suffix n l) ) (i −n))
    using assms filt-nth[of i−n (suffix (nth l n) xs) (map (shiftm (nth l n)) (suffix n l) ) ]
      by (simp add: filt-intlen)
  have 18: i> n ⟶ nth (map (shiftm (nth l n)) (suffix n l) ) (i −n) =
          nth l (n+(i−n)) − (nth l n)
    using assms
    by (simp add: interval-idx-shiftm-suffix-nth)
  have 19: i>n ⟶ nth (suffix (nth l n) xs) (nth (map (shiftm (nth l n)) (suffix n l) ) (i −n))
              = nth (suffix (nth l n) xs) ((nth l i) − (nth l n))
    by (simp add: 18)
  have 20 : i>n ⟶ nth (suffix (nth l n) xs) ((nth l i) − (nth l n)) =
              nth xs ((nth l n) + ((nth l i) − (nth l n)))
    using assms by (metis diff-le-mono interval-idx-less-last-1 interval-nth-suffix
      le-neq-implies-less less-imp-le-nat nat-le-linear)
  have 22: i>n ⟶ (nth l n) + ((nth l i) − (nth l n)) =  (nth l i)
    using assms using interval-idx-less-equal by fastforce
  have 23: i>n ⟶ nth xs ((nth l n) + ((nth l i) − (nth l n))) = nth xs (nth l i)
    by (simp add: 22)
  from 10 show ?thesis by (simp add: 11 12 13 15 17 19 20 23)
qed

lemma fuse-filt-nth:
assumes index-sequence 0 l
      (nth l (intlen l)) = intlen xs
      i ≤ intlen l
      n ≤ intlen l
```

shows *nth (filt (fuse (prefix (nth l n) xs) (suffix (nth l n) xs)) l) i =*
       nth (fuse (filt (prefix (nth l n) xs) (prefix n l))
                (filt (suffix (nth l n) xs) (map (shiftm (nth l n)) (suffix n l)))) i
using *assms fuse-filt-nth-a[of l xs i n]*
         fuse-filt-nth-b[of l xs i n] **by** *simp*

lemma *fuse-filt*:
assumes *index-sequence 0 l*
       (nth l (intlen l)) = intlen xs
       n ≤ intlen l
shows *filt (fuse (prefix (nth l n) xs) (suffix (nth l n) xs)) l =*
       fuse (filt (prefix (nth l n) xs) (prefix n l))
            (filt (suffix (nth l n) xs) (map (shiftm (nth l n)) (suffix n l)))
using *assms fuse-filt-intlen fuse-filt-nth interval-eq-nth-eq* **by** (*metis filt-intlen*)

lemma *fuse-filt-a*:
 assumes *index-sequence 0 l1*
        index-sequence (intlast l1) l2
        intlast l2 = intlen xs
 shows   *filt (fuse (prefix (intlast l1) xs) (suffix (intfirst l2) xs)) (fuse l1 l2) =*
          fuse (filt (prefix (intlast l1) xs) l1)
               (filt (suffix (intfirst l2) xs) (map (shiftm (intfirst l2)) l2))
proof −
 have *1*: *intlast l1 = intfirst l2*
  using *assms* **by** (*metis index-sequence-def interval-nth-zero-intfirst*)
 have *2*: *index-sequence 0 (fuse l1 l2)*
   using *assms* **by** (*metis index-sequence-def interval-idx-fuse interval-nth-zero-intfirst*)
 have *3*: *intlast(fuse l1 l2) = intlen xs*
   using *assms* **by** (*metis 1 add.left-neutral interval-fuse-nth-a interval-fuse-intlen-a*
      interval-nth-intlen-intlast le-add2)
 have *4*: *intlen l1 ≤ intlen (fuse l1 l2)*
      by (*simp add: interval-fuse-intlen-a*)
 have *5*: *filt (fuse (prefix (nth (fuse l1 l2) (intlen l1)) xs)*
                (suffix (nth (fuse l1 l2) (intlen l1)) xs))
             (fuse l1 l2)
          =
        fuse (filt (prefix (nth (fuse l1 l2) (intlen l1)) xs) (prefix (intlen l1) (fuse l1 l2)))
            (filt (suffix (nth (fuse l1 l2) (intlen l1)) xs)
                (map (shiftm (nth (fuse l1 l2) (intlen l1))) (suffix (intlen l1) (fuse l1 l2))))
   using *2 3 4 fuse-filt* **by** *auto*
 have *6*: *filt (fuse (prefix (intlast l1) xs) (suffix (intfirst l2) xs)) (fuse l1 l2) =*
        filt (fuse (prefix (nth (fuse l1 l2) (intlen l1)) xs)
                (suffix (nth (fuse l1 l2) (intlen l1)) xs))
             (fuse l1 l2)
    using *1 4 interval-intlast-prefix interval-prefix-fuse* **by** *fastforce*
 have *7*: *(filt (prefix (intlast l1) xs) l1) =*
        (filt (prefix (nth (fuse l1 l2) (intlen l1)) xs) (prefix (intlen l1) (fuse l1 l2)))
    using *1 4 interval-intlast-prefix interval-prefix-fuse* **by** *fastforce*
 have *8*: *(filt (suffix (intfirst l2) xs) (map (shiftm (intfirst l2)) l2)) =*

217

```
          (filt (suffix (nth (fuse l1 l2) (intlen l1)) xs)
                (map (shiftm (nth (fuse l1 l2) (intlen l1))) (suffix (intlen l1) (fuse l1 l2)) ))
    using 1 4 interval-intlast-prefix interval-prefix-fuse interval-suffix-fuse by metis
 show ?thesis using 5 6 7 8 by auto
qed
```

lemma *filt-prefix*:
assumes $n \leq intlen\ l$
shows   *prefix n (filt σ l) = filt σ (prefix n l)*
proof −
 have *1*: *intlen (prefix n (filt σ l)) = intlen (filt σ (prefix n l))*
   by (*simp add*: *assms filt-intlen*)
 have *2*: $\forall\ i{\leq}intlen(prefix\ n\ (filt\ σ\ l)).$
         (nth (prefix n (filt σ l)) i) = (nth (filt σ (prefix n l)) i)
   by (*simp add*: *assms filt-nth filt-intlen le-trans*)
 show *?thesis* **using** *1 2 interval-eq-nth-eq* **by** *blast*
qed

lemma *filt-prefix-idx*:
assumes $n \leq intlen\ σ$
       index-sequence 0 l
       nth l (intlen l) = n
shows   *filt σ l = filt (prefix n σ) l*
proof −
 have *1*: *intlen(filt σ l) = intlen (filt (prefix n σ) l)*
   by (*simp add*: *filt-intlen*)
 have *2*: $\forall i{\leq}intlen(filt\ σ\ l). (nth\ (filt\ σ\ l)\ i) = (nth\ (filt\ (prefix\ n\ σ)\ l)\ i)$
   by (*metis assms filt-nth filt-intlen interval-idx-less-equal*
        interval-nth-prefix interval-prefix-length-good order-refl)
 show *?thesis*
 by (*simp add*: *1 2 interval-eq-nth-eq*)
qed

lemma *filt-suffix*:
assumes $n \leq intlen\ l$
shows   *suffix n (filt σ l) = filt σ (suffix n l)*
proof −
 have *1*: *intlen (suffix n (filt σ l)) = intlen (filt σ (suffix n l))*
   by (*simp add*: *assms filt-intlen*)
 have *2*: $\forall i{\leq}intlen\ (suffix\ n\ (filt\ σ\ l)).$
   (nth (suffix n (filt σ l)) i) = (nth (filt σ (suffix n l)) i)
   by (*simp add*: *assms filt-nth filt-intlen*
      ordered-cancel-comm-monoid-diff-class.le-diff-conv2)
 show *?thesis* **using** *1 2 interval-eq-nth-eq* **by** *blast*
qed

lemma *filt-suffix-idx-intlen*:

assumes *n* ≤ *intlen σ*
    index-sequence 0 l
    nth l (intlen l) = intlen σ − n
shows *intlen (filt σ (map (shift n) l)) = intlen (filt (suffix n σ) l)*
using *assms* **by** (*simp add*: *filt-intlen*)

lemma *filt-suffix-idx-nth*:
assumes *n* ≤ *intlen σ*
    index-sequence 0 l
    i≤*intlen l*
    nth l (intlen l) = intlen σ − n
shows *nth (filt σ (map (shift n) l)) i = nth (filt (suffix n σ) l) i*
proof −
 have *1*: *nth (filt σ (map (shift n) l)) i = nth σ (nth (map (shift n) l) i)*
 by (*simp add*: *assms filt-nth filt-intlen*)
 have *2*: *nth σ (nth (map (shift n) l) i) = (nth σ ((nth l i) + n))*
 by (*simp add*: *Interval.shift-def interval-nth-map*)
 have *3*: *nth (filt (suffix n σ) l) i = nth (suffix n σ) (nth l i)*
  by (*simp add*: *assms filt-nth filt-intlen*)
 have *4*: *nth (suffix n σ) (nth l i) = (nth σ ((nth l i) + n))*
   by (*metis add.commute assms eq-iff interval-idx-less-equal interval-nth-suffix*
    interval-suffix-length-good)
 show *?thesis* **by** (*simp add*: *1 2 3 4*)
qed

lemma *filt-suffix-idx*:
assumes *n* ≤ *intlen σ*
    index-sequence 0 l
    nth l (intlen l) = intlen σ − n
shows *filt σ (map (shift n) l) = filt (suffix n σ) l*
using *assms filt-suffix-idx-intlen filt-suffix-idx-nth interval-eq-nth-eq*
by (*simp add*: *filt-suffix-idx-nth interval-eq-nth-eq filt-intlen*)

lemma *filt-filt*:
 (nth (filt (filt xxs l1) l2) k) =
 (nth xxs (nth l1 (nth l2 k)))
by (*metis filt-expand interval-intlen-map interval-nth-map*)

lemma *filt-filt-map*:
 (filt (filt xxs l1) l2) = (filt xxs (map (λ x. (nth l1 x)) l2))
by (*metis filt-expand interval-intlen-map interval-nth-map*)

lemma *filt-map*:
 filt xs l = map (λx. nth xs x) l
 by (*metis filt-expand interval-intlen-map interval-nth-map*)

lemma *filt-map-filt*:
 (filt (filt xxs l1) l2) = filt xxs (filt l1 l2)
by (*metis filt-filt-map filt-map*)

lemma *filt-sub*:
 assumes $k \le n$
        $n \le intlen\ l$
 shows $(sub\ k\ n\ (filt\ \sigma\ l)) = (filt\ \sigma\ (sub\ k\ n\ l))$
using *assms*
by (*simp add*: *sub-def filt-prefix filt-suffix*)

lemma *filt-lfuse-map*:
   $(filt\ \sigma\ (lfuse\ (xxs))\ ) = $
     $(lfuse\ (map\ (\lambda\ xs\ .\ (filt\ \sigma\ xs))\ xxs))$
proof (*induct xxs*)
case (*St x*)
then show *?case* **by** *simp*
next
case (*Cons x1a xxs*)
then show *?case* **by** (*simp add*: *filt-fuse*)
qed

10.2.2   powerinterval lemmas

lemma *powerinterval-splita0*:
 assumes *index-sequence 0 l*
        $n \le intlen\ l$
        $nth\ l\ (intlen\ l) = intlen\ \sigma$
        $i < intlen(prefix\ n\ l)$
 shows $(sub\ (nth\ (prefix\ n\ l)\ i)\ (nth\ (prefix\ n\ l)\ (Suc\ i))\ (prefix\ (nth\ l\ n)\ \sigma)) = $
        $(sub\ (nth\ l\ i)\ (nth\ l\ (Suc\ i))\ \sigma)$

proof $-$
 have *01*: $(nth\ l\ n) \le intlen\ \sigma$
   by (*metis assms*(*1*) *assms*(*2*) *assms*(*3*) *interval-idx-less-last-1 le-less*)
 have *02*: $(nth\ (prefix\ n\ l)\ i) \le (nth\ (prefix\ n\ l)\ (Suc\ i))$
   using *assms*(*1*) *assms*(*3*) *assms*(*4*) *interval-idx-expand* **by** *fastforce*
 have *03*: $(nth\ (prefix\ n\ l)\ (Suc\ i)) \le (nth\ l\ n)$
    using *assms*(*1*) *assms*(*2*) *assms*(*3*) *assms*(*4*) *interval-idx-less-equal* **by** *fastforce*
 have *1*: $intlen\ (sub\ (nth\ (prefix\ n\ l)\ i)\ (nth\ (prefix\ n\ l)\ (Suc\ i))\ (prefix\ (nth\ l\ n)\ \sigma)) = $
        $intlen(sub\ (nth\ l\ i)\ (nth\ l\ (Suc\ i))\ (\sigma))$
         using *interval-intlen-sub*
        using *01 02 03 assms*(*4*) **by** *auto*
 have *2*: $(\forall\ j \le intlen\ (sub\ (nth\ (prefix\ n\ l)\ i)\ (nth\ (prefix\ n\ l)\ (Suc\ i))\ (prefix\ (nth\ l\ n)\ \sigma))\ .$
           $(nth\ (sub\ (nth\ (prefix\ n\ l)\ i)\ (nth\ (prefix\ n\ l)\ (Suc\ i))\ (prefix\ (nth\ l\ n)\ \sigma))\ j) = $
           $(nth\ (sub\ (nth\ l\ i)\ (nth\ l\ (Suc\ i))\ (\sigma))\ j))$

   proof
    fix *j*
   show $j \le intlen\ (sub\ (nth\ (prefix\ n\ l)\ i)\ (nth\ (prefix\ n\ l)\ (Suc\ i))\ (prefix\ (nth\ l\ n)\ \sigma)) \longrightarrow$
           $(nth\ (sub\ (nth\ (prefix\ n\ l)\ i)\ (nth\ (prefix\ n\ l)\ (Suc\ i))\ (prefix\ (nth\ l\ n)\ \sigma))\ j) = $
           $(nth\ (sub\ (nth\ l\ i)\ (nth\ l\ (Suc\ i))\ (\sigma))\ j)$

proof −
have *21*: *intlen* (*sub* (*nth* (*prefix n l*) *i*) (*nth* (*prefix n l*) (*Suc i*)) (*prefix* (*nth l n*) *σ*)) =
$(nth\ l\ (Suc\ i)) - (nth\ l\ i)$
using *1 assms(1) assms(3) assms(4) interval-idx-expand* **by** *fastforce*
have *22*: (*nth* (*prefix n l*) *i*) = (*nth l i*)
using *assms* **by** *auto*
have *23*: (*nth* (*prefix n l*) (*Suc i*)) = (*nth l* (*Suc i*))
using *assms* **by** *auto*
have *24*: $j \leq (nth\ l\ (Suc\ i)) - (nth\ l\ i) \longrightarrow$
nth (*sub* (*nth l i*) (*nth l* (*Suc i*)) *σ*) $j = (nth\ σ\ ((nth\ l\ i)+j))$
using *assms interval-idx-expand interval-nth-sub less-le-trans* **by** *fastforce*
have *25*: $j \leq (nth\ l\ (Suc\ i)) - (nth\ l\ i) \longrightarrow (nth\ l\ (Suc\ i)) \leq intlen\ (prefix\ (nth\ l\ n)\ σ)$
using *assms(1) assms(2) assms(3) assms(4) interval-idx-expand interval-idx-less-equal*
by *fastforce*
have *26*: $j \leq (nth\ l\ (Suc\ i)) - (nth\ l\ i) \longrightarrow$
(*nth* (*sub* (*nth* (*prefix n l*) *i*) (*nth* (*prefix n l*) (*Suc i*)) (*prefix* (*nth l n*) *σ*)) *j*) =
(*nth* (*prefix* (*nth l n*) *σ*) $((nth\ l\ i)+j))$
using *25 assms interval-idx-expand interval-nth-sub less-le-trans* **by** *fastforce*
have *27*: (*nth l* (*Suc i*)) $\leq$(*nth l n*)
using *assms(1) assms(2) assms(3) assms(4) interval-idx-less-equal* **by** *fastforce*
have *28*: $j \leq (nth\ l\ (Suc\ i)) - (nth\ l\ i) \longrightarrow (nth\ l\ i)+j \leq$(*nth l n*)
using *27 assms(1) assms(3) assms(4) interval-idx-expand* **by** *fastforce*
have *29*: $j \leq (nth\ l\ (Suc\ i)) - (nth\ l\ i) \longrightarrow$
(*nth* (*prefix* (*nth l n*) *σ*) $((nth\ l\ i)+j)) = (nth\ σ\ ((nth\ l\ i)+j))$
using *assms interval-nth-prefix* **using** *28* **by** *blast*
show *?thesis*
using *21 22 23 24 26 29* **by** *auto*
qed
qed
show *?thesis*
using *1 2 interval-eq-nth-eq* **by** *blast*
qed

lemma *powerinterval-splita*:
assumes *index-sequence 0 l*
$n \leq intlen\ l$
nth l (*intlen l*) = *intlen σ*
powerinterval f σ l
shows *powerinterval f* (*prefix* (*nth l n*) *σ*) (*prefix n l*)
proof −
have *0*: $(\forall\ i.\ i{<}intlen\ l \longrightarrow (\ (sub\ (nth\ l\ i)\ (nth\ l\ (Suc\ i))\ σ) \models f))$
using *assms* **by** (*simp add*: *powerinterval-def*)
have *1*: *powerinterval f* (*prefix* (*nth l n*) *σ*) (*prefix n l*) =
$(\forall\ i.\ i < intlen(prefix\ n\ l) \longrightarrow$
$((sub\ (nth\ (prefix\ n\ l)\ i)\ (nth\ (prefix\ n\ l)\ (Suc\ i))\ (prefix\ (nth\ l\ n)\ σ)) \models f))$
using *powerinterval-def* **by** *blast*
have *2*: $(\forall i.\ i < intlen(prefix\ n\ l) \longrightarrow$
$((sub\ (nth\ (prefix\ n\ l)\ i)\ (nth\ (prefix\ n\ l)\ (Suc\ i))\ (prefix\ (nth\ l\ n)\ σ)) \models f))$
proof
fix *i*

221

show $i < intlen(prefix\ n\ l) \longrightarrow$
$$((sub\ (nth\ (prefix\ n\ l)\ i)\ (nth\ (prefix\ n\ l)\ (Suc\ i))\ (prefix\ (nth\ l\ n)\ \sigma)) \models f)$$
proof $-$
have *21*: $i{<}n \longrightarrow ((sub\ (nth\ l\ i)\ (nth\ l\ (Suc\ i))\ \sigma) \models f)$
using *0 assms less-le-trans* **by** *blast*
have *22*: $i{<}intlen(prefix\ n\ l) \longrightarrow$
$$((sub\ (nth\ (prefix\ n\ l)\ i)\ (nth\ (prefix\ n\ l)\ (Suc\ i))\ \sigma) \models f)$$
by (*simp add*: *21 assms*)
have *23*: $i{<}intlen(prefix\ n\ l) \longrightarrow$
$$((sub\ (nth\ (prefix\ n\ l)\ i)\ (nth\ (prefix\ n\ l)\ (Suc\ i))\ (prefix\ (nth\ l\ n)\ \sigma)) \models f)$$
using *22 assms powerinterval-splita0* **by** *fastforce*
show *?thesis* **using** *23* **by** *blast*
qed
qed
show *?thesis* **using** *1 2* **by** *blast*
qed

lemma *powerinterval-splitb0*:
assumes *index-sequence 0 l*
$n \leq intlen\ l$
$nth\ l\ (intlen\ l) = intlen\ \sigma$
$i < (intlen\ l - n)$
shows $(sub\ (nth\ (((map\ (shiftm\ (nth\ l\ n))\ (suffix\ n\ l))))\ i)$
$$(nth\ (((map\ (shiftm\ (nth\ l\ n))\ (suffix\ n\ l))))\ (Suc\ i))$$
$$(suffix\ (nth\ l\ n)\ \sigma)) =$$
$$(sub\ (nth\ l\ (i{+}n))\ (nth\ l\ ((Suc\ i){+}n))\ \sigma)$$
proof $-$
have *1*: $intlen(((map\ (shiftm\ (nth\ l\ n))\ (suffix\ n\ l)))) = (intlen\ l) - n$
by (*simp add*: *assms*)
have *2*: $i{<}(intlen\ l - n) \longrightarrow$
$$(nth\ (((map\ (shiftm\ (nth\ l\ n))\ (suffix\ n\ l))))\ i) =$$
$$(nth\ l\ (n + i)) - (nth\ l\ n)$$
using *assms interval-idx-shiftm-suffix-nth* **by** *force*
have *3*: $i{<}(intlen\ l - n) \longrightarrow$
$$(nth\ (((map\ (shiftm\ (nth\ l\ n))\ (suffix\ n\ l))))\ (Suc\ i)) =$$
$$(nth\ l\ (n + (Suc\ i))) - (nth\ l\ n)$$
using *assms interval-idx-shiftm-suffix-nth* **by** *fastforce*
have *4*: $i{<}(intlen\ l - n) \longrightarrow$
$$(sub\ (nth\ (((map\ (shiftm\ (nth\ l\ n))\ (suffix\ n\ l))))\ i)$$
$$(nth\ (((map\ (shiftm\ (nth\ l\ n))\ (suffix\ n\ l))))\ (Suc\ i))$$
$$(suffix\ (nth\ l\ n)\ \sigma)) =$$
$$(sub\ (\ (nth\ l\ (n + i)) - (nth\ l\ n)\ )$$
$$(\ (nth\ l\ (n + (Suc\ i))) - (nth\ l\ n)\ )$$
$$(suffix\ (nth\ l\ n)\ \sigma))$$
by (*simp add*: *2 3*)
have *5*: $i{<}(intlen\ l - n) \longrightarrow (nth\ l\ (n + i)) < (nth\ l\ (n + (Suc\ i)))$
using *assms index-sequence-def* **by** *auto*
have *6*: $i{<}(intlen\ l - n) \longrightarrow (nth\ l\ n) \leq (nth\ l\ (n + i))$
using *assms* **by** (*metis add.commute interval-idx-less-equal le-add1 less-diff-conv less-imp-le-nat*)
have *7*: $i{<}(intlen\ l - n) \longrightarrow (nth\ l\ n) \leq (nth\ l\ (n + (Suc\ i)))$

   using *5 6* **by** *linarith*
have *8*: *i<(intlen l −n) ⟶ (nth l (n + i)) − (nth l n) < (nth l (n + (Suc i))) − (nth l n)*
   using *5 6 diff-less-mono* **by** *blast*
have *9*: *i<(intlen l −n) ⟶ (nth l (n + (Suc i))) − (nth l n) ≤ intlen σ − (nth l n)*
  by (*metis Suc-leI add.commute assms diff-le-mono interval-idx-less-last-1 le-eq-less-or-eq*
         less-diff-conv ordered-cancel-comm-monoid-diff-class.add-diff-inverse)
have *10*: *i<(intlen l −n) ⟶*
        (sub ((nth l (n + i)) − (nth l n))
           ((nth l (n + (Suc i))) − (nth l n))
          (suffix (nth l n) σ)) =
        (sub (nth l (i+n)) (nth l ((Suc i)+n)) σ)
  using *interval-sub-suffix*
  by (*metis 6 7 8 9 add.commute le-add-diff-inverse2*)
show *?thesis*
using *2 3 10 assms* **by** *auto*
qed

lemma *powerinterval-splitb*:
 assumes *index-sequence 0 l*
       n ≤ intlen l
       nth l (intlen l) = intlen σ
       powerinterval f σ l
 shows   *powerinterval f (suffix (nth l n) σ) ((map (shiftm (nth l n)) (suffix n l)))*
proof −
 have *0*: *(∀ i. i<intlen l ⟶ ((sub (nth l i) (nth l (Suc i)) σ) ⊨ f))*
  using *assms* **by** (*simp add: powerinterval-def*)
 have *1*: *powerinterval f (suffix (nth l n) σ) ((map (shiftm (nth l n)) (suffix n l))) =*
     (∀ i. i<intlen(((map (shiftm (nth l n)) (suffix n l)))) ⟶
      ((sub (nth (((map (shiftm (nth l n)) (suffix n l)))) i)
          (nth (((map (shiftm (nth l n)) (suffix n l)))) (Suc i))
          (suffix (nth l n) σ)) ⊨ f))
   by (*simp add: powerinterval-def*)
 have *2*: *(∀ i. i<intlen(((map (shiftm (nth l n)) (suffix n l)))) ⟶*
      ((sub (nth (((map (shiftm (nth l n)) (suffix n l)))) i)
          (nth (((map (shiftm (nth l n)) (suffix n l)))) (Suc i))
          (suffix (nth l n) σ)) ⊨ f))
  proof
  fix *i*
  show *i<intlen(((map (shiftm (nth l n)) (suffix n l)))) ⟶*
      ((sub (nth (((map (shiftm (nth l n)) (suffix n l)))) i)
          (nth (((map (shiftm (nth l n)) (suffix n l)))) (Suc i))
          (suffix (nth l n) σ)) ⊨ f)
  proof −
   have *21*: *i< (intlen l) −n ⟶*
        ((sub (nth l (i+n)) (nth l ((Suc i)+n)) σ) ⊨ f)
    by (*simp add: 0*)
  show *?thesis*
  using *21 assms powerinterval-splitb0* **by** *fastforce*
  qed
 qed

show *?thesis* **using** *1 2* **by** *blast*
qed

lemma *powerinterval-split*:
 assumes *index-sequence 0 l*
         n ≤ intlen l
         nth l (intlen l) = intlen σ
 shows   *powerinterval f σ l =*
         (powerinterval f (prefix (nth l n) σ) (prefix n l) ∧
          powerinterval f (suffix (nth l n) σ) ((map (shiftm (nth l n)) (suffix n l))))
proof −
 have *1*: *powerinterval f σ l ⟹*
         powerinterval f (prefix (nth l n) σ) (prefix n l)
   by (*simp add*: *assms powerinterval-splita*)
 have *2*: *powerinterval f σ l ⟹*
         powerinterval f (suffix (nth l n) σ) ((map (shiftm (nth l n)) (suffix n l)))
   by (*simp add*: *assms powerinterval-splitb*)
 have *3*: *powerinterval f (prefix (nth l n) σ) (prefix n l) =*
         (∀ i. i<n ⟶ ((sub (nth l i) (nth l (Suc i)) σ) ⊨ f))
  by (*metis assms interval-prefix-length-good powerinterval-def powerinterval-splita0*)
 have *4*: *powerinterval f (suffix (nth l n) σ) ((map (shiftm (nth l n)) (suffix n l))) =*
         (∀ i. i<(intlen l) −n ⟶ ((sub (nth l (i+n)) (nth l ((Suc i)+n)) σ) ⊨ f))
   by (*simp add*: *assms powerinterval-def powerinterval-splitb0*)
 have *5*: *(∀ i. i<(intlen l) −n ⟶ ((sub (nth l (i+n)) (nth l ((Suc i)+n)) σ) ⊨ f)) =*
         (∀ i. n ≤ i ∧ i<(intlen l) ⟶ ((sub (nth l i) (nth l (Suc i)) σ) ⊨ f))
     by (*metis le-add2 le-add-diff-inverse2 less-diff-conv plus-nat.simps(2)*)
 have *5*: *(powerinterval f (prefix (nth l n) σ) (prefix n l) ∧*
           powerinterval f (suffix (nth l n) σ) ((map (shiftm (nth l n)) (suffix n l)))) ⟹
           powerinterval f σ l
  using *3 4 5 assms powerinterval-def*
  by (*metis not-less-eq not-less-less-Suc-eq order.order-iff-strict*)
 show *?thesis*
 using *1 2 5* **by** *blast*
qed

lemma *powerinterval-fuse*:
 assumes *index-sequence 0 l1*
         index-sequence 0 l2
         intlast l1 = cp
         cp ≤ intlen σ
         intlast l2 = intlen σ − cp
 shows   *powerinterval f σ (fuse l1 (map (shift cp) l2)) =*
         (powerinterval f (prefix cp σ) l1 ∧
          powerinterval f (suffix cp σ) l2)
proof −
 have *1*: *index-sequence 0 (fuse l1 (map (shift cp) l2))*
  using *assms interval-idx-fuse*[*of l1 (map (shift cp) l2)*]
  by (*metis index-sequence-def interval-idx-link interval-nth-zero-intfirst*)
 have *2*: *cp = (nth l1 (intlen l1))*
  using *assms interval-nth-intlen-intlast* **by** *blast*

224

have 3: *intlen l1 ≤ intlen (fuse l1 (map (shift cp) l2))*
  by (*simp add*: *interval-fuse-intlen-a*)
have 4: *nth (fuse l1 (map (shift cp) l2)) (intlen (fuse l1 (map (shift cp) l2))) = intlen σ*
  by (*metis assms interval-idx-fuse-intlen interval-intlen-gr-zero interval-nth-intlen-intlast*)
have 5: *cp = nth (fuse l1 (map (shift cp) l2)) (intlen l1)*
  by (*metis 3 assms interval-fuse-nth interval-idx-fuse-intfirst-intlast*
      interval-nth-intlen-intlast order-refl)
have 6: *(map (shiftm cp) (map (shift cp) l2)) = l2*
 using *assms* **by** (*metis interval-idx-link interval-lsk-ls*)
have 7: *intlast l1 = intfirst (map (shift cp) l2)*
  by (*metis assms interval-idx-fuse-intfirst-intlast interval-nth-intlen-intlast*)
 show *?thesis*
 using *1 3 4 5 6 7 interval-prefix-fuse interval-suffix-fuse powerinterval-split*
  by *fastforce*
qed

lemma *powerinterval-idx*:
 (*powerinterval (LIFT(f ∧ more)) σ l ∧ (nth l 0) = 0 ∧ (nth l (intlen l)) = intlen σ) =*
 (*index-sequence 0 l ∧ (nth l (intlen l)) = intlen σ ∧ powerinterval f σ l*)
proof (*auto simp add*: *powerinterval-def index-sequence-def more-defs*)
 show ⋀*n*. ∀ *i<intlen l*.
        f (sub (Interval.nth l i) (Interval.nth l (Suc i)) σ) ∧
        0 < intlen (sub (Interval.nth l i) (Interval.nth l (Suc i)) σ) ⟹
      Interval.nth l 0 = 0 ⟹
      Interval.nth l (intlen l) = intlen σ ⟹ n < intlen l ⟹
      Interval.nth l n < Interval.nth l (Suc n)
  by (*simp add*: *Interval.sub-def*)
 show ⋀*i*. *Interval.nth l 0 = 0 ⟹*
      ∀ *n<intlen l*. *Interval.nth l n < Interval.nth l (Suc n) ⟹*
      Interval.nth l (intlen l) = intlen σ ⟹
      ∀ *i<intlen l*. *f (sub (Interval.nth l i) (Interval.nth l (Suc i)) σ) ⟹*
      i < intlen l ⟹ 0 < intlen (sub (Interval.nth l i) (Interval.nth l (Suc i)) σ)
  by (*simp add*: *Interval.sub-def*)
     (*metis index-sequence-def interval-idx-less-last-1*)
qed

10.2.3 cpl lemmas

lemma *cpl-expand*:
assumes (∃ *l*. *index-sequence 0 l ∧ powerinterval f σ l ∧ (nth l (intlen l)) = intlen σ ∧*
        ((*filt σ l*) ⊨ *g*))
shows   *index-sequence 0 (cpl f g σ) ∧ powerinterval f σ (cpl f g σ) ∧*
        (*nth (cpl f g σ) (intlen (cpl f g σ))) = intlen σ ∧ ((filt σ (cpl f g σ)) ⊨ g*)
proof −
 have 0: *cpl f g σ = (ε l. index-sequence 0 l ∧ powerinterval f σ l ∧*
                   (*nth l (intlen l)) = intlen σ ∧ ((filt σ l) ⊨ g*))
  using *cpl-def* **by** *force*
 have 1: (∃ *l*. *index-sequence 0 l ∧ powerinterval f σ l ∧ (nth l (intlen l)) = intlen σ ∧*
        ((*filt σ l*) ⊨ *g*))

using *assms* **by** *auto*
have *2*: *index-sequence 0 (cpl f g σ) ∧ powerinterval f σ (cpl f g σ) ∧*
      (nth (cpl f g σ) (intlen (cpl f g σ))) = intlen σ ∧ ((filt σ (cpl f g σ)) ⊨ g)
 using *0 1*
  somel-ex[*of λl. index-sequence 0 l ∧ powerinterval f σ l ∧ (nth l (intlen l)) = intlen σ ∧*
      ((filt σ l) ⊨ g)] **by** *simp*
show *?thesis*
using *2* **by** *blast*
qed

lemma *cpl-projection*:
(σ ⊨ f △ g) =
(index-sequence 0 (cpl f g σ) ∧ powerinterval f σ (cpl f g σ) ∧
      (nth (cpl f g σ) (intlen (cpl f g σ))) = intlen σ ∧ g (filt σ (cpl f g σ)))
using *cpl-expand* **by** (*simp add: projection-d-def , blast*)

lemma *cpl-empty*:
 assumes *intlen σ = 0 ∧ (σ ⊨ f △ g)*
 shows   *(cpl f g σ) = ⟨0⟩*
 using *assms cpl-projection interval-idx-less-last-1 interval-st-intlen* **by** *fastforce*

lemma *cpl-empty-a*:
 assumes *intlen σ = 0*
      (cpl f g σ) = ⟨0⟩
      g(filt σ ⟨0⟩)
 shows   *(σ ⊨ f △ g)*
proof −
 have *1*: *index-sequence 0 ⟨0⟩*
  by (*simp add: index-sequence-def*)
 have *2*: *powerinterval f σ ⟨0⟩*
  by (*simp add: powerinterval-def*)
 have *3*: *(nth ⟨0⟩ (intlen ⟨0⟩)) = intlen σ*
  by (*simp add: assms*)
 have *4*: *g(filt σ ⟨0⟩)*
  using *assms* **by** *blast*
 from *1 2 3 4* **show** *?thesis*
 by (*simp add: assms cpl-projection*)
qed

lemma *cpl-more*:
 assumes *intlen σ >0*
      (σ ⊨ f △ g)
 shows   *intlen(cpl f g σ)>0*
by (*metis assms cpl-projection gr0I index-sequence-def*)

lemma *cpl-more-than-first*:
 assumes *intlen σ >0*
      (σ ⊨ f △ g)
 shows   *(nth (cpl f g σ) 0) = 0*

using *assms cpl-projection index-sequence-def* **by** *auto*

lemma *cpl-more-than-last*:
 assumes *intlen* $\sigma > 0$
      $(\sigma \models f \triangle g)$
 shows $(nth\ (cpl\ f\ g\ \sigma)\ (intlen\ (cpl\ f\ g\ \sigma))) = intlen\ \sigma$
using *assms cpl-projection* **by** *blast*

lemma *cpl-sub-more*:
 assumes $n < k$
      $k \leq intlen\ \sigma$
      $((sub\ n\ k\ \sigma) \models f \triangle g)$
 shows $intlen(cpl\ f\ g\ (sub\ n\ k\ \sigma)) > 0$
using *assms*
by (*simp add*: *cpl-more*)

lemma *cpl-bounds*:
 assumes $n < k$
      $k \leq intlen\ \sigma$
      $((sub\ n\ k\ \sigma) \models f \triangle g)$
      $i < intlen\ (cpl\ f\ g\ (sub\ n\ k\ \sigma))$
 shows $0 \leq (nth\ (cpl\ f\ g\ (sub\ n\ k\ \sigma))\ i) \wedge (nth\ (cpl\ f\ g\ (sub\ n\ k\ \sigma))\ i) \leq k - n$
using *assms*
by (*metis cpl-projection interval-idx-less-last-1 interval-intlen-sub le0 less-imp-le-nat*)

lemma *cpl-map-bounds*:
 assumes $n < k$
      $k \leq intlen\ \sigma$
      $((sub\ n\ k\ \sigma) \models f \triangle g)$
      $i < intlen\ (map\ (shift\ n)\ (cpl\ f\ g\ (sub\ n\ k\ \sigma)))$
 shows $n \leq (nth\ (map\ (shift\ n)\ (cpl\ f\ g\ (sub\ n\ k\ \sigma)))\ i) \wedge$
           $(nth\ (map\ (shift\ n)\ (cpl\ f\ g\ (sub\ n\ k\ \sigma)))\ i) \leq k$
using *assms*
by (*metis Interval.shift-def Nat.le-diff-conv2 cpl-bounds interval-intlen-map*
   interval-nth-map le-add2 less-imp-le-nat)

lemma *cpl-intfirst*:
 assumes $(sub\ x1a\ (intfirst\ l)\ \sigma) \models f \triangle g$
 shows $intfirst((map\ (shift\ x1a)\ (cpl\ f\ g\ (sub\ x1a\ (intfirst\ l)\ \sigma)))) = x1a$
proof $-$
 have 1: $(index\text{-}sequence\ 0\ (cpl\ f\ g\ (sub\ x1a\ (intfirst\ l)\ \sigma)) \wedge$
        $powerinterval\ f\ (sub\ x1a\ (intfirst\ l)\ \sigma)\ (cpl\ f\ g\ (sub\ x1a\ (intfirst\ l)\ \sigma)) \wedge$
        $(nth\ (cpl\ f\ g\ (sub\ x1a\ (intfirst\ l)\ \sigma))\ (intlen\ (cpl\ f\ g\ (sub\ x1a\ (intfirst\ l)\ \sigma)))) =$
        $intlen\ (sub\ x1a\ (intfirst\ l)\ \sigma) \wedge$
        $g\ (filt\ (sub\ x1a\ (intfirst\ l)\ \sigma)\ (cpl\ f\ g\ (sub\ x1a\ (intfirst\ l)\ \sigma))))$
        using *cpl-projection assms* **by** *auto*
 have 2: $index\text{-}sequence\ 0\ (cpl\ f\ g\ (sub\ x1a\ (intfirst\ l)\ \sigma))$
   using 1 **by** *auto*
 have 3: $(nth\ (cpl\ f\ g\ (sub\ x1a\ (intfirst\ l)\ \sigma))\ 0) = 0$
   using 2 *index-sequence-def* **by** *blast*

show *?thesis*
by (*metis 3 Interval.shift-def add.left-neutral interval-nth-map interval-nth-zero-intfirst*)
qed

lemma *cpl-intfirst-same*:
 assumes (*sub x1a x1a σ*) $\models$ *f △ g*
 shows *intfirst((map (shift x1a) (cpl f g (sub x1a x1a σ)))) = x1a*
proof −
 have *1*: *intfirst (⟨ x1a ⟩) = x1a*
    by *auto*
 from *1 cpl-intfirst* **show** *?thesis* **by** (*metis assms*)
qed

lemma *cpl-intlast*:
 assumes ((*sub x (intfirst l) σ*) $\models$ *f △ g*) $\land$ *x < intfirst l $\land$ intfirst l ≤ intlen σ*
 shows *intlast((map (shift x) (cpl f g (sub x (intfirst l) σ)))) = intfirst l*
proof −
 have *01*: (*index-sequence 0 (cpl f g (sub x (intfirst l) σ)) $\land$*
         powerinterval f (sub x (intfirst l) σ) (cpl f g (sub x (intfirst l) σ)) $\land$
         (*nth (cpl f g (sub x (intfirst l) σ)) (intlen (cpl f g (sub x (intfirst l) σ)))) =*
         intlen (sub x (intfirst l) σ) $\land$
          g (filt (sub x (intfirst l) σ) (cpl f g (sub x (intfirst l) σ))))
    using *cpl-projection assms* **by** (*simp add: cpl-projection*)
 have *02*: (*nth (cpl f g (sub x (intfirst l) σ)) (intlen (cpl f g (sub x (intfirst l) σ)))) =*
         intlen (sub x (intfirst l) σ)
      using *01* **by** *auto*
 have *03*: *intlast(cpl f g (sub x (intfirst l) σ)) =*
          (*nth (cpl f g (sub x (intfirst l) σ)) (intlen (cpl f g (sub x (intfirst l) σ))))*
      by *simp*
 have *04*: *x < intfirst l*
   using *assms* **by** *blast*
 have *05*: *intlen (sub x (intfirst l) σ) = (intfirst l) −x*
  using *assms interval-intlen-sub less-imp-le-nat* **by** *blast*
 have *06*: *intlast((map (shift x) (cpl f g (sub x (intfirst l) σ)))) =*
         ((*intfirst l) −x)+x*
   by (*metis 02 05 Interval.shift-def interval-intlen-map interval-nth-intlen-intlast*
      interval-nth-map)
 show *?thesis* **using** *04 06* **by** *auto*
qed

lemma *cpl-intlast-i*:
 assumes ((*sub (nth l i) (nth l (Suc i)) σ*) $\models$ *f △ g*)
      (*nth l i) < (nth l (Suc i))*
      (*nth l (Suc i)) ≤ intlen σ*
 shows *intlast((map (shift (nth l i)) (cpl f g (sub (nth l i) (nth l (Suc i)) σ)))) =*
      (*nth l (Suc i))*
proof −
 have *01*: (*index-sequence 0 (cpl f g (sub (nth l i) (nth l (Suc i)) σ)) $\land$*
         powerinterval f (sub (nth l i) (nth l (Suc i)) σ)
                  (*cpl f g (sub (nth l i) (nth l (Suc i)) σ)) $\land$*

228

$$(nth \ (cpl \ f \ g \ (sub \ (nth \ l \ i) \ (nth \ l \ (Suc \ i)) \ \sigma))$$
$$(intlen \ (cpl \ f \ g \ (sub \ (nth \ l \ i) \ (nth \ l \ (Suc \ i)) \ \sigma)))) =$$
$$intlen \ (sub \ (nth \ l \ i) \ (nth \ l \ (Suc \ i)) \ \sigma) \ \wedge$$
$$g \ (filt \ (sub \ (nth \ l \ i) \ (nth \ l \ (Suc \ i)) \ \sigma) \ (cpl \ f \ g \ (sub \ (nth \ l \ i) \ (nth \ l \ (Suc \ i)) \ \sigma))) \ )$$

using *cpl-projection assms* **by** (*simp add*: *cpl-projection*)

have *02*: ($nth \ (cpl \ f \ g \ (sub \ (nth \ l \ i) \ (nth \ l \ (Suc \ i)) \ \sigma))$

$$(intlen \ (cpl \ f \ g \ (sub \ (nth \ l \ i) \ (nth \ l \ (Suc \ i)) \ \sigma)))) =$$
$$intlen \ (sub \ (nth \ l \ i) \ (nth \ l \ (Suc \ i)) \ \sigma)$$

using *01* **by** *auto*

have *03*: $intlast(cpl \ f \ g \ (sub \ (nth \ l \ i) \ (nth \ l \ (Suc \ i)) \ \sigma)) =$

$$(nth \ (cpl \ f \ g \ (sub \ (nth \ l \ i) \ (nth \ l \ (Suc \ i)) \ \sigma))$$
$$(intlen \ (cpl \ f \ g \ (sub \ (nth \ l \ i) \ (nth \ l \ (Suc \ i)) \ \sigma))))$$

by *simp*

have *04*: $(nth \ l \ i) < (nth \ l \ (Suc \ i))$

by (*simp add*: *assms*)

have *05*: $intlen \ (sub \ (nth \ l \ i) \ (nth \ l \ (Suc \ i)) \ \sigma) = (nth \ l \ (Suc \ i)) - (nth \ l \ i)$

by (*simp add*: *assms less-imp-le-nat*)

have *06*: $intlast((map \ (shift \ (nth \ l \ i)) \ (cpl \ f \ g \ (sub \ (nth \ l \ i) \ (nth \ l \ (Suc \ i)) \ \sigma)))) =$

$$((nth \ l \ (Suc \ i)) - (nth \ l \ i)) + (nth \ l \ i)$$

by (*metis 02 05 Interval.shift-def interval-intlen-map interval-nth-intlen-intlast*

interval-nth-map)

show *?thesis* **using** *04 06* **by** *auto*

qed

10.2.4 lcpl lemmas

lemma *lcpl-nth*:

 assumes *index-sequence* $(nth \ l \ 0) \ l$

         $i < intlen \ l$

 shows $(nth \ (lcpl \ f \ g \ \sigma \ l) \ i) =$

         $(map \ (shift \ (nth \ l \ i)) \ (cpl \ f \ g \ (sub \ (nth \ l \ i) \ (nth \ l \ (Suc \ i)) \ \sigma)))$

using *assms*

proof (*induct l arbitrary*: *i*)

case (*St x*)

then show *?case* **by** *simp*

next

case (*Cons x1a ls*)

then show *?case*

  proof (*cases i*)

  case *0*

  then show *?thesis*

    proof (*cases ls*)

    case (*St x1*)

    then show *?thesis* **by** (*simp add*: *0*)

    next

    case (*Cons x21 x22*)

    then show *?thesis* **by** (*simp add*: *0*)

    qed

  next

  case (*Suc nat*)

229

then show *?thesis*
   proof (*cases ls*)
   case (*St x1*)
   then show *?thesis*
   by (*metis Cons.prems*(*2*) *One-nat-def Suc intlen.simps*(*1*) *intlen.simps*(*2*) *leD le-add1*
      plus-1-eq-Suc)
   next
   case (*Cons x21 x22*)
   then show *?thesis*
   using *Cons.hyps Cons.prems*(*1*) *Cons.prems*(*2*) *Suc interval-idx-cons* **by** *auto*
   qed
  qed
qed

lemma *lcpl-intlen*:
 assumes *index-sequence* (*nth l 0*) *l*
      intlen l > 0
 shows   *intlen*(*lcpl f g σ l*) = *intlen l −1*
using *assms*
 proof
  (*induct l*)
  case (*St x*)
  then show *?case* **by** *simp*
  next
  case (*Cons x1a l*)
  then show *?case*
   proof (*cases l*)
   case (*St x1*)
   then show *?thesis* **by** *simp*
   next
   case (*Cons x21 x22*)
   then show *?thesis* **using** *Cons.hyps Cons.prems*(*1*) *interval-idx-cons* **by** *auto*
   qed
qed

lemma *lcpl-intlen-zero*:
 assumes *index-sequence* (*nth l 0*) *l*
      intlen l = 0
 shows   *intlen*(*lcpl f g σ l*) = *0*
using *assms*
by (*metis interval-suffix-intlen interval-suffix-zero intlen.simps*(*1*) *lcpl.simps*(*1*))

lemma *lcpl-last*:
 assumes *index-sequence* (*nth l 0*) *l*
      (*nth l* (*intlen l*)) = *intlen σ*
      intlen l >0
 shows   *intlast* (*lcpl f g σ l*) =
        (*map* (*shift* (*nth l* (*intlen l−1*)))
          (*cpl f g* (*sub* (*nth l* (*intlen l −1*)) (*nth l* (*intlen l*)) σ)))

proof −
 have *1*: *intlast* (*lcpl f g σ l*) = (*nth* (*lcpl f g σ l*) (*intlen* (*lcpl f g σ l*)))
   by *simp*
 have *2*: (*nth* (*lcpl f g σ l*) (*intlen* (*lcpl f g σ l*))) =
       (*map* (*shift* (*nth l* (*intlen* (*lcpl f g σ l*))))
          (*cpl f g* (*sub* (*nth l* (*intlen* (*lcpl f g σ l*)))
                     (*nth l* (*Suc* (*intlen* (*lcpl f g σ l*))))
                     σ)))
     using *assms lcpl-nth*
     by (*metis One-nat-def Suc-pred diff-le-self lcpl-intlen le-eq-less-or-eq n-not-Suc-n*)
 have *3*: (*map* (*shift* (*nth l* (*intlen* (*lcpl f g σ l*))))
          (*cpl f g* (*sub* (*nth l* (*intlen* (*lcpl f g σ l*)))
                     (*nth l* (*Suc* (*intlen* (*lcpl f g σ l*))))
                     σ))) =
       (*map* (*shift* (*nth l* (*intlen l−1*)))
          (*cpl f g* (*sub* (*nth l* (*intlen l−1*)) (*nth l* (*Suc* (*intlen l−1*))) *σ*)))
       using *assms* **by** (*metis lcpl-intlen*)
 show *?thesis* **by** (*simp add*: *2 3 assms*(*3*))
qed

lemma *lcpl-last-last*:
 assumes *index-sequence* (*nth l 0*) *l*
       (*nth l* (*intlen l*)) = *intlen σ*
       ((*sub* (*nth l* (*intlen l −1*)) (*nth l* (*intlen l*)) *σ*) ⊨ *f △ g*)
       intlen l > 0
 shows   *intlast* (*intlast* (*lcpl f g σ l*)) = *intlen σ*
by (*metis One-nat-def Suc-pred assms cpl-intlast-i diff-less interval-idx-less-last-1 lcpl-last
le-eq-less-or-eq zero-less-one*)

lemma *lcpl-zero-zero*:
  assumes *index-sequence* (*nth l 0*) *l*
          (*nth l* (*intlen l*)) = *intlen σ*
          intlen l = 0
 shows   (*nth* (*lcpl f g σ l*) *0*) =
       (*map* (*shift* (*nth l 0*)) (*cpl f g* (*sub* (*nth l 0*) (*nth l 0*) *σ*)))
using *assms* **by** (*metis Interval.nth.simps*(*1*) *interval-suffix-intlen interval-suffix-zero lcpl.simps*(*1*))

lemma *lcpl-intfirst*:
 assumes *index-sequence* (*nth l 0*) *l*
       (*nth l* (*intlen l*)) = *intlen σ*
       (∀ *i*<*intlen l*. (*sub* (*nth l i*) (*nth l* (*Suc i*)) *σ*) ⊨ *f △ g*)
       intlen l > 0
 shows   *intfirst*(*intfirst*((*lcpl f g σ l*))) = *intfirst l*
proof −
 have *01*: (*intfirst*((*lcpl f g σ l*))) =
        (*map* (*shift* (*nth l 0*)) (*cpl f g* (*sub* (*nth l 0*) (*nth l* (*Suc 0*)) *σ*)))
     using *assms* **by** (*metis interval-nth-zero-intfirst lcpl-nth*)
 have *02*: *intlen l > 0* ⟶
        intfirst((*map* (*shift* (*nth l 0*)) (*cpl f g* (*sub* (*nth l 0*) (*nth l* (*Suc 0*)) *σ*)))) =

231

```
                  (nth l 0)
                using assms by (metis Suc-leI cpl-intfirst interval-intlast-intfirst interval-intlast-prefix)
  show ?thesis
  using 01 02 interval-nth-zero-intfirst by (simp add: assms(4))
qed


lemma lcpl-lfuse-lastfirst:
  assumes index-sequence (nth l 0) l
          (nth l (intlen l)) = intlen σ
          ((intlen l = 0 ∧ ((sub (nth l 0) (nth l 0) σ) ⊨ f △ g)) ∨
           (intlen l > 0 ∧ (∀ i<intlen l. (sub (nth l i) (nth l (Suc i)) σ) ⊨ f △ g)))
  shows   lastfirst (lcpl f g σ l)
using assms
proof
  (induct l)
  case (St x)
  then show ?case by simp
  next
  case (Cons x1a ls)
  then show ?case
   proof −
    have 1: intlen ls = 0 ⟶ lastfirst (lcpl f g σ (x1a ⊙ ls))
          using Cons.prems by (metis One-nat-def add-diff-cancel-left' interval-st-intlen
              intlen.simps(2) lastfirst.simps(1) lcpl-intlen plus-1-eq-Suc zero-less-one)
    have 2: intlen ls > 0 ⟶ lastfirst ( lcpl f g σ (x1a ⊙ ls) ) =
              lastfirst((map (shift x1a) (cpl f g (sub x1a (intfirst ls) σ))) ⊙ (lcpl f g σ ls))
      by (metis (no-types, lifting) interval.simps(6) interval-intlen-cons-1 interval-nth-zero
         interval-nth-zero-intfirst lcpl.simps(2))
    have 3: intlen ls > 0 ⟶
            lastfirst((map (shift x1a) (cpl f g (sub x1a (intfirst ls) σ))) ⊙ (lcpl f g σ ls)) =
            ( intlast( (map (shift x1a) (cpl f g (sub x1a (intfirst ls) σ))) ) =
             intfirst(intfirst((lcpl f g σ ls))) ∧ lastfirst((lcpl f g σ ls)) )
      using lastfirst.simps(2) by blast
    have 4: x1a < (intfirst ls)
      using Cons.prems by (metis  index-sequence-def interval-nth-Suc interval-nth-zero
                interval-nth-zero-intfirst intlen.simps(2) plus-1-eq-Suc zero-less-Suc)
    have 5: (intfirst ls) ≤ intlen σ
        using Cons.prems by (metis Suc-lessI eq-iff interval-idx-less-last-1
           interval-nth-Suc interval-nth-zero-intfirst intlen.simps(2) less-imp-le-nat
           plus-1-eq-Suc zero-less-Suc)
    have 6: intlen ls >0 ⟶
            intlast( (map (shift x1a) (cpl f g (sub x1a (intfirst ls) σ))) ) = intfirst ls
      using Cons.prems by (metis 4 5 cpl-intlast interval-nth-Suc interval-nth-zero
         interval-nth-zero-intfirst less-le-not-le)
    have 7: index-sequence (nth ls 0) ls
      using assms Cons.prems index-sequence-def by auto
    have 8: nth ls (intlen ls) = intlen σ
        using Cons.prems by auto
    have 9: ( (∀ i<intlen ( ls). (sub (nth ( ls) i) (nth ( ls) (Suc i)) σ) ⊨ f △ g))
```

using *Cons.prems* **by** *auto*
  have *10*: *intlen ls* >*0* ⟶ *intfirst(intfirst((lcpl f g σ ls)))* = *intfirst ls*
    using *7 8 9*
    by (*metis cpl-intfirst interval-intlen-cons-1 interval-nth-Suc interval-nth-zero-intfirst*
       lcpl-nth)
  have *11*: *intlen ls* >*0* ⟶
           lastfirst((lcpl f g σ ls))
    using *7 8 9 Cons.hyps* **by** *blast*
  show *?thesis* **using** *1 10 11 2 6* **by** *auto*
 qed
qed

lemma *lcpl-lfuse-intlen*:
 assumes *index-sequence (nth l 0) l*
       (nth l (intlen l)) = *intlen σ*
       ((intlen l =*0* ∧ *((sub (nth l 0) (nth l 0) σ* ⊨ *f △ g)))* ∨
        (intlen l >*0* ∧ *(∀ i*<*intlen l. (sub (nth l i) (nth l (Suc i)) σ)* ⊨ *f △ g)))*
 shows *(intlen l* =*0* ⟶ *intlen(lfuse (lcpl f g σ l))* = *0)* ∧
       (intlen l >*0* ⟶
        intlen(lfuse (lcpl f g σ l)) = *(∑ k=0..intlen l−1. intlen (nth (lcpl f g σ l) k)))*
proof −
 have *1*: *lastfirst (lcpl f g σ l)*
  using *assms lcpl-lfuse-lastfirst* **by** *blast*
 have *2*: *intlen l* = *0* ⟶
        lfuse (lcpl f g σ l) =
        ((map (shift (nth l 0)) (cpl f g (sub (nth l 0) (nth l 0) σ))))
    by (*metis interval-suffix-intlen interval-suffix-zero lcpl.simps(1) lfuse-St*)
 have *3*: *intlen l* = *0* ⟶
        intlen ((map (shift (nth l 0)) (cpl f g (sub (nth l 0) (nth l 0) σ)))) = *0*
   using *assms cpl-empty interval-intlen-sub* **by** *fastforce*
 have *4*: *intlen l* = *0* ⟶ *intlen(lfuse (lcpl f g σ l))* = *0*
   using *2 3* **by** *auto*
 have *5*: *intlen l* > *0* ⟶
        intlen(lfuse (lcpl f g σ l)) = *(∑ k=0..intlen l−1. intlen (nth (lcpl f g σ l) k))*
   using *interval-lfuse-intlen*
   by (*metis assms lcpl-intlen lcpl-lfuse-lastfirst*)
 from *4 5* **show** *?thesis* **by** *simp*
qed

lemma *lcpl-lfuse-idx*:
 assumes *index-sequence 0 l*
       (nth l (intlen l)) = *intlen σ*
       (∀ i<*intlen l. (sub (nth l i) (nth l (Suc i)) σ)* ⊨ *f △ g)*
       intlen l > *0*
 shows *index-sequence (intfirst (lfuse (lcpl f g σ l))) (lfuse ((lcpl f g σ l)))*
proof −
 have *0*: *intlen σ* >*0* ⟶ *intlen l* >*0*
  using *assms gr-zeroI index-sequence-def* **by** *fastforce*
 have *2*: *intlen σ* >*0* ⟶ *lastfirst (lcpl f g σ l)*
        using *0 assms index-sequence-def lcpl-lfuse-lastfirst* **by** *blast*

have 3: $(\forall i<$intlen l. index-sequence 0 (cpl f g (sub (nth l i) (nth l (Suc i)) σ)))
   using assms cpl-projection **by** auto
have 4: $(\forall i<$intlen l.
        index-sequence (nth l i)
                  (map (shift (nth l i)) (cpl f g (sub (nth l i) (nth l (Suc i)) σ))))
   using 3 interval-idx-link **by** blast
have 5: intlen σ >0 $\longrightarrow$
      $(\forall i<$intlen l. index-sequence (nth l i) (nth (lcpl f g σ l) i))
   using assms **by** (metis 4 index-sequence-def lcpl-nth)
have 6: intlen σ >0 $\longrightarrow$
    $(\forall i<$intlen l. intfirst (nth (lcpl f g σ l) i) = (nth l i))
   by (metis 5 index-sequence-def interval-nth-zero-intfirst)
have 7: intlen σ >0 $\longrightarrow$
     $(\forall i<$intlen l.
      index-sequence (intfirst (nth (lcpl f g σ l) i)) (nth (lcpl f g σ l) i))
   using 6 5 **by** simp
have 8: intlen σ >0 $\longrightarrow$
      index-sequence (intfirst (lfuse (lcpl f g σ l))) (lfuse ((lcpl f g σ l)))
   using assms
   by (metis (mono-tags, lifting) 2 7 Suc-diff-1 index-sequence-def interval-idx-lfuse
     lcpl-intlen le-imp-less-Suc)
 from 8 **show** ?thesis
 by (metis assms(1) assms(2) assms(4) index-sequence-def interval-idx-less-last-1)
qed

lemma lcpl-intlen-nth-gr-zero:
 assumes index-sequence 0 l
    (nth l (intlen l)) = intlen σ
    $(\forall i<$intlen l. (sub (nth l i) (nth l (Suc i)) σ) $\models$ f $\triangle$ g)
    intlen σ >0
 shows $(\forall j \leq$ intlen (lcpl f g σ l). intlen(nth (lcpl f g σ l) j) > 0)
proof
  fix j
  show j $\leq$ intlen (lcpl f g σ l) $\longrightarrow$ 0 $<$ intlen (Interval.nth (lcpl f g σ l) j)
  proof $-$
  have 1: intlen σ>0 $\longrightarrow$ lastfirst (lcpl f g σ l)
    by (metis assms index-sequence-def lcpl-lfuse-lastfirst neq0-conv)
  have 2: intlen σ>0 $\longrightarrow$ intlen l >0
    using assms gr-zerol index-sequence-def **by** fastforce
  have 3: intlen σ>0 $\longrightarrow$ j $\leq$ intlen (lcpl f g σ l) $\longrightarrow$
     (nth (lcpl f g σ l) j) =
      (map (shift (nth l j)) (cpl f g (sub (nth l j) (nth l (Suc j)) σ)))
    using assms
    by (metis 2 One-nat-def Suc-pred index-sequence-def lcpl-intlen lcpl-nth less-Suc-eq-le)
  have 4: intlen σ>0 $\longrightarrow$ j $\leq$ intlen (lcpl f g σ l) $\longrightarrow$
      intlen (map (shift (nth l j)) (cpl f g (sub (nth l j) (nth l (Suc j)) σ))) > 0
    by (metis (no-types, lifting) 2 One-nat-def Suc-pred add.commute assms cpl-sub-more gr0l
     index-sequence-def interval-idx-expand interval-intlen-map lcpl-intlen
     le-imp-less-Suc plus-1-eq-Suc)
  show ?thesis

234

using *3 4* **by** (*simp add*: *assms*(*4*))
  qed
qed

lemma *lcpl-intlast-nth*:
 assumes *index-sequence 0 l*
      (*nth l* (*intlen l*)) = *intlen σ*
      ($\forall$ *i*<*intlen l*. (*sub* (*nth l i*) (*nth l* (*Suc i*)) *σ*) $\models$ *f* $\triangle$ *g*)
      intlen σ > *0*
      j ≤ *intlen* (*lcpl f g σ l*)
 shows *intlast*(*nth* (*lcpl f g σ l*) *j*) = (*nth l* (*Suc j*))
proof −
 have *0*: *intlen σ* >*0* ⟶ *intlen l* >*0*
  using *assms gr-zeroI index-sequence-def* **by** *fastforce*
 have *1*: *intlen σ*>*0* ⟶
      j ≤ *intlen* (*lcpl f g σ l*) ⟶
      nth (*lcpl f g σ l*) *j* =
       (*map* (*shift* (*nth l j*)) (*cpl f g* (*sub* (*nth l j*) (*nth l* (*Suc j*)) *σ*)))

  using *assms lcpl-nth*[*of l j f g σ*]
  proof −
  show *?thesis*
  by (*metis* (*no-types*) *0 Suc-diff-1*
    ⟨⟦*index-sequence* (*nth l 0*) *l*; *j* < *intlen l*⟧ ⟹
     nth (*lcpl f g σ l*) *j* =
     map (*shift* (*Interval.nth l j*)) (*cpl f g* (*sub* (*nth l j*) (*Interval.nth l* (*Suc j*)) *σ*))⟩
    ⟨*index-sequence 0 l*⟩ *index-sequence-def lcpl-intlen le-imp-less-Suc*)
  qed
 have *2*: *intlen σ*>*0* ⟶
      j ≤ *intlen* (*lcpl f g σ l*) ⟶ (*nth l* (*Suc j*)) ≤ *intlen σ*
  using *assms*
  by (*metis 0 Suc-diff-1 Suc-eq-plus1 index-sequence-def interval-idx-expand lcpl-intlen leD*
    not-less-eq)
 have *2*: *intlen σ*>*0* ⟶
      j ≤ *intlen* (*lcpl f g σ l*) ⟶
      intlast (*map* (*shift* (*nth l j*)) (*cpl f g* (*sub* (*nth l j*) (*nth l* (*Suc j*)) *σ*))) =
      (*nth l* (*Suc j*))
  using *assms cpl-intlast-i*[*of f g l j σ*]
  by (*metis* (*no-types, hide-lams*) *0 1 2 One-nat-def Suc-le-lessD Suc-pred*
    index-sequence-def lcpl-intlen not-less-eq-eq)
 show *?thesis* **using** *1 2* **by** (*simp add*: *assms*(*4*) *assms*(*5*))
qed

lemma *lcpl-lfuse-filt-power-help*:
 assumes *index-sequence 0 l*
      (*nth l* (*intlen l*)) = *intlen σ*
      ($\forall$ *i*<*intlen l*. (*sub* (*nth l i*) (*nth l* (*Suc i*)) *σ*) $\models$ *f* $\triangle$ *g*)

$intlen\ \sigma > 0$

shows $(\forall\ i<intlen\ l.\ g\ (filt\ \sigma\ (nth\ (lcpl\ f\ g\ \sigma\ l)\ i))\ )$

proof −

have 1: $(\forall\ i<intlen\ l.\ g\ (filt\ (sub\ (nth\ l\ i)\ (nth\ l\ (Suc\ i))\ \sigma)$
$(cpl\ f\ g\ (sub\ (nth\ l\ i)\ (nth\ l\ (Suc\ i))\ \sigma))\ ))$

  using *assms cpl-projection* **by** *blast*

have 2: $intlen\ \sigma > 0\ \longrightarrow$

$(\forall\ i<intlen\ l.$
$(filt\ \sigma\ (nth\ (lcpl\ f\ g\ \sigma\ l)\ i))\ =$
$(filt\ \sigma\ (map\ (shift\ (nth\ l\ i))\ (cpl\ f\ g\ (sub\ (nth\ l\ i)\ (nth\ l\ (Suc\ i))\ \sigma)))))$

  using *assms* **by** $(metis\ index\text{-}sequence\text{-}def\ lcpl\text{-}nth)$

have 3: $intlen\ \sigma > 0\ \longrightarrow$

$(\forall\ i<intlen\ l.$
$intlen\ (filt\ \sigma\ (nth\ (lcpl\ f\ g\ \sigma\ l)\ i))\ =$
$intlen\ (filt\ (sub\ (nth\ l\ i)\ (nth\ l\ (Suc\ i))\ \sigma)$
$(cpl\ f\ g\ (sub\ (nth\ l\ i)\ (nth\ l\ (Suc\ i))\ \sigma))\ )$
$)$

  by $(simp\ add:\ 2\ filt\text{-}intlen)$

have 4: $intlen\ \sigma > 0\ \longrightarrow$

$(\forall\ i<intlen\ l.$
$(\forall\ j\leq\ intlen\ (filt\ \sigma\ (nth\ (lcpl\ f\ g\ \sigma\ l)\ i)).$
$(nth\ (filt\ \sigma\ (map\ (shift\ (nth\ l\ i))\ (cpl\ f\ g\ (sub\ (nth\ l\ i)\ (nth\ l\ (Suc\ i))\ \sigma)))))\ j)$
$=$
$(nth\ \sigma\ ((nth\ (cpl\ f\ g\ (sub\ (nth\ l\ i)\ (nth\ l\ (Suc\ i))\ \sigma))\ j)\ +(nth\ l\ i)\ ))$
$)$
$)$

  using *interval-nth-map shift-def filt-map* **by** *metis*

have 5: $intlen\ \sigma > 0\ \longrightarrow$

$(\forall\ i<intlen\ l.$
$(\forall\ j\leq\ intlen\ (filt\ \sigma\ (nth\ (lcpl\ f\ g\ \sigma\ l)\ i)).$
$(nth\ (filt\ (sub\ (nth\ l\ i)\ (nth\ l\ (Suc\ i))\ \sigma)$
$(cpl\ f\ g\ (sub\ (nth\ l\ i)\ (nth\ l\ (Suc\ i))\ \sigma))\ )\ j)\ =$
$(nth\ (sub\ (nth\ l\ i)\ (nth\ l\ (Suc\ i))\ \sigma)$
$(nth\ (cpl\ f\ g\ (sub\ (nth\ l\ i)\ (nth\ l\ (Suc\ i))\ \sigma))\ j))$
$))$

  by $(simp\ add:\ filt\text{-}map\ interval\text{-}nth\text{-}map)$

have 6: $intlen\ \sigma > 0\ \longrightarrow$

$(\forall\ i<intlen\ l.$
$(nth\ l\ (Suc\ i))\ \leq\ intlen\ \sigma$
$)$

  using *assms interval-idx-expand* **by** *auto*

have 7: $intlen\ \sigma > 0\ \longrightarrow$

$(\forall\ i<intlen\ l.$
$(nth\ l\ i)\ \leq\ (nth\ l\ (Suc\ i))$
$)$

  using *assms index-sequence-def less-imp-le* **by** *blast*

have 8: $intlen\ \sigma > 0\ \longrightarrow$

$(\forall\ i<intlen\ l.$

$(\forall\; j \le\; intlen\; (filt\; \sigma\; (nth\; (lcpl\; f\; g\; \sigma\; l)\; i)).$
$(nth\; (cpl\; f\; g\; (sub\; (nth\; l\; i)\; (nth\; l\; (Suc\; i))\; \sigma))\; j) \le (nth\; l\; (Suc\; i)) - (nth\; l\; i)$
$))$
by $(metis\; (no\text{-}types,\; lifting)\; 3\; 6\; assms(1)\; assms(3)\; cpl\text{-}bounds\; cpl\text{-}projection$
$filt\text{-}intlen\; index\text{-}sequence\text{-}def\; interval\text{-}intlen\text{-}sub\; le\text{-}eq\text{-}less\text{-}or\text{-}eq)$

have 9: $intlen\; \sigma > 0 \longrightarrow$
$(\forall\; i < intlen\; l.$
$(\forall\; j \le\; intlen\; (filt\; \sigma\; (nth\; (lcpl\; f\; g\; \sigma\; l)\; i)).$
$(nth\; (sub\; (nth\; l\; i)\; (nth\; l\; (Suc\; i))\; \sigma)$
$(nth\; (cpl\; f\; g\; (sub\; (nth\; l\; i)\; (nth\; l\; (Suc\; i))\; \sigma))\; j)) =$
$(nth\; \sigma\; ((nth\; (cpl\; f\; g\; (sub\; (nth\; l\; i)\; (nth\; l\; (Suc\; i))\; \sigma))\; j) + (nth\; l\; i)))$
$))$
using 6
by $(simp\; add:\; 7\; 8\; add.commute)$
have 10: $intlen\; \sigma > 0 \longrightarrow$
$(\forall\; i < intlen\; l.$
$(\forall\; j \le\; intlen\; (filt\; \sigma\; (nth\; (lcpl\; f\; g\; \sigma\; l)\; i)).$
$(filt\; (sub\; (nth\; l\; i)\; (nth\; l\; (Suc\; i))\; \sigma)$
$(cpl\; f\; g\; (sub\; (nth\; l\; i)\; (nth\; l\; (Suc\; i))\; \sigma))\;) =$
$(filt\; \sigma\; (nth\; (lcpl\; f\; g\; \sigma\; l)\; i))$
$))$

by $(simp\; add:\; filt\text{-}expand)$
$(metis\; 2\; 3\; 4\; 9\; filt\text{-}intlen\; filt\text{-}map\; interval\text{-}nth\text{-}map)$
show $?thesis$ **using** $1\; 10\; assms(4)$ **by** $fastforce$
qed

10.2.5 lsum lemmas

lemma *lsum-state*:
$lsum\; \langle xs \rangle\; a = \langle a + intlen\; xs \rangle$
by $simp$

lemma *lsum-addzero-state*:
$addzero\; (lsum\; \langle xs \rangle\; 0) = (if\; intlen\; xs = 0\; then\; \langle 0 \rangle\; else\; \langle 0,\; intlen\; xs\; \rangle)$
by $(simp\; add:\; addzero\text{-}def)$

lemma *lsum-addzero-cons*:
$addzero\; (lsum\; (xs \odot xxs)\; 0) = 0 \odot (lsum\; (xs \odot xxs)\; 0)$
by $(simp\; add:\; addzero\text{-}def)$

lemma *lsum-intfirst*:
$intfirst\; (lsum\; xxs\; a) = a + intlen(intfirst\; xxs)$
by $(case\text{-}tac\; xxs)\; simp\text{-}all$

lemma *lsum-intlen*:
$intlen\; (lsum\; xxs\; a) = intlen\; xxs$
by $(induct\; xxs\; arbitrary:\; a)\; simp\text{-}all$

lemma *lsum-addzero-intfirst*:

intfirst (addzero (lsum xxs 0)) = 0
by (*simp add: addzero-def lsum-intfirst*)

lemma *lsum-addzero-intlen*:
 (*intlen xxs = 0 ∧ intlen(intfirst xxs) = 0 ⟶*
  intlen (addzero (lsum xxs 0)) = 0)
 ∧
 (*intlen xxs = 0 ∧ intlen(intfirst xxs) > 0 ⟶*
  intlen (addzero (lsum xxs 0)) = 1)
 ∧
 (*intlen xxs > 0 ⟶*
  intlen (addzero (lsum xxs 0)) = (intlen xxs) +1)

by (*simp add: addzero-def*)
   (*metis add.left-neutral interval-nth-zero-intfirst lsum-intfirst lsum-intlen*)

lemma *lsum-nth-help*:
assumes *i>0*
        i ≤ intlen xxs +1
shows *(∑ k = 0..(i−1). intlen (Interval.nth (xxs) k)) =*
        (∑ k = 1..i. intlen (Interval.nth (xxs) (k−1)))
using *assms*
proof
 (*induct i*)
 case *0*
 then show *?case* **by** *blast*
 next
 case (*Suc i*)
 then show *?case*
 proof *simp-all*
 assume *a1*: *0 < i ⟹ (∑ k = 0..i − Suc 0. intlen (Interval.nth xxs k)) =*
                   (∑ k = Suc 0..i. intlen (Interval.nth xxs (k − Suc 0)))
 have *f2*: *∀ f. sum f {1::nat..0} = (0::nat)*
 by *auto*
 have *f3*: *∀ n f. sum f {n::nat..n} = (f n::nat)*
 by *simp*
 have *f4*: *∀ f n. (sum f {0::nat..n − 1} + (f n::nat) = sum f {0..n} ∨ 0 = n) ∨ ¬ 0 ≤ n*
 by (*metis (no-types) One-nat-def Suc-pred le-eq-less-or-eq sum.atLeast0-atMost-Suc*)
 have *f5*: *∀ n. (0::nat) ≤ n*
 by *blast*
 have *∀ n. (0::nat) + n = n*
 by *linarith*
 then show *(∑ n = 0..i. intlen (Interval.nth xxs n)) =*
         (∑ n = Suc 0..i. intlen (Interval.nth xxs (n − Suc 0))) + intlen (Interval.nth xxs i)
 using *f5 f4 f3 f2 a1* **by** (*metis One-nat-def le-eq-less-or-eq*)
 qed
qed

lemma *lsum-nth*:

assumes $i \leq$ *intlen xxs*
shows *nth* (*lsum xxs a*) $i = a + (\sum k::nat = 0..i.\ intlen(nth\ xxs\ k))$
using *assms*
proof
(*induct xxs arbitrary*: *a i*)
case (*St x*)
then show *?case* **by** *simp*
next
case (*Cons x1a xxs*)
then show *?case*
 proof −
  have 1: *nth* (*lsum* (*x1a* ⊙ *xxs*) *a*) i = *nth* ((*a*+*intlen x1a*)⊙ (*lsum xxs* (*a*+*intlen x1a*))) i
   by *simp*
  have 2: $i \leq$ *intlen xxs* +1
   using *Cons.prems* **by** *auto*
  have 3: $i = 0 \longrightarrow$
        nth ((*a*+*intlen x1a*)⊙ (*lsum xxs* (*a*+*intlen x1a*))) i = (*a*+*intlen x1a*)
    by *simp*
  have 4: *a*+*intlen x1a* = $a + (\sum k = 0..0.\ intlen\ (Interval.nth\ (x1a \odot xxs)\ k))$
   by *simp*
  have 5: $i > 0 \land i \leq$ *intlen xxs* +1 $\longrightarrow$
        nth ((*a*+*intlen x1a*)⊙ (*lsum xxs* (*a*+*intlen x1a*))) i =
        nth (*lsum xxs* (*a*+*intlen x1a*)) $(i-1)$
      by (*metis One-nat-def Suc-pred interval-nth-Suc*)
  have 6: $i > 0 \land i \leq$ *intlen xxs* +1 $\longrightarrow$
        nth (*lsum xxs* (*a*+*intlen x1a*)) $(i-1)$ =
        (*a*+*intlen x1a*) + $(\sum k = 0..(i-1).\ intlen\ (Interval.nth\ (xxs)\ k))$
    using *Cons.hyps le-diff-conv* **by** *blast*
  have 7: $i > 0 \land i \leq$ *intlen xxs* +1 $\longrightarrow$
        $(\sum k = 0..(i-1).\ intlen\ (Interval.nth\ (xxs)\ k))$ =
        $(\sum k = 1..i.\ intlen\ (Interval.nth\ (xxs)\ (k-1)))$
    using *lsum-nth-help* **by** *blast*
  have 8: $i > 0 \land i \leq$ *intlen xxs* +1 $\longrightarrow$
        $(\sum k = 1..i.\ intlen\ (Interval.nth\ (xxs)\ (k-1)))$ =
        $(\sum k = 1..i.\ intlen\ (Interval.nth\ (x1a \odot xxs)\ (k)))$

   by (*metis* (*no-types, lifting*) *atLeastAtMost-iff interval-nth-Suc*
      ordered-cancel-comm-monoid-diff-class.add-diff-inverse plus-1-eq-Suc sum.cong)
  have 9: $i > 0 \land i \leq$ *intlen xxs* +1 $\longrightarrow$
        (*a*+*intlen x1a*) + $(\sum k = 1..i.\ intlen\ (Interval.nth\ (x1a \odot xxs)\ (k)))$ =
        $a + (\sum k = 0..i.\ intlen\ (Interval.nth\ (x1a \odot xxs)\ (k)))$
      by (*simp add*: *sum.atLeast-Suc-atMost*)
  show *?thesis*
  by (*metis 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 not-gr-zero*)
 qed
qed

lemma *lsum-addzero-nth*:
 assumes $i \leq$ *intlen* (*addzero* (*lsum xxs 0*))

shows $(intlen\ xxs = 0 \land intlen(intfirst\ xxs) = 0 \longrightarrow$
$\quad nth\ (addzero\ (lsum\ xxs\ 0))\ i = (nth\ (lsum\ xxs\ 0)\ i)\ )$
$\quad\land$
$\quad (intlen\ xxs = 0 \land intlen(intfirst\ xxs) > 0 \longrightarrow$
$\quad nth\ (addzero\ (lsum\ xxs\ 0))\ i = (nth\ (0\odot(lsum\ xxs\ 0))\ i)\ )$
$\quad\land$
$\quad (intlen\ xxs > 0 \longrightarrow$
$\quad nth\ (addzero\ (lsum\ xxs\ 0))\ i = (nth\ (0\odot(lsum\ xxs\ 0))\ i)\ )$

using *assms*
by (*metis add.left-neutral addzero-def less-numeral-extra*(*3*) *lsum-intfirst lsum-intlen*)

lemma *lsum-intlast*:
$\quad intlast\ (lsum\ xxs\ a) = a + (\sum k::nat = 0..(intlen\ xxs).\ intlen(nth\ xxs\ k))$
by (*metis interval-nth-intlen-intlast le-refl lsum-intlen lsum-nth*)

lemma *lsum-addzero-intlast*:
$\quad intlast\ (addzero\ (lsum\ xxs\ 0)) = intlast(lsum\ xxs\ 0)$
by (*simp add*: *addzero-def*)

lemma *lsum-nth-leq-Suc*:
assumes $i < intlen\ xxs$
$\quad (\forall\ j \le intlen\ xxs.\ intlen(nth\ xxs\ j) > 0)$
shows $nth\ (lsum\ xxs\ a)\ i < nth\ (lsum\ xxs\ a)\ (Suc\ i)$
proof $-$
have 1: $nth\ (lsum\ xxs\ a)\ i = a + (\sum k::nat = 0..i.\ intlen(nth\ xxs\ k))$
$\quad$ **using** *assms less-imp-le-nat lsum-nth* **by** *blast*
have 2: $nth\ (lsum\ xxs\ a)\ (Suc\ i) = a + (\sum k::nat = 0..(Suc\ i).\ intlen(nth\ xxs\ k))$
$\quad$ **using** *assms* **by** (*simp add*: *lsum-nth Suc-leI*)
have 3: $(\sum k::nat = 0..(Suc\ i).\ intlen(nth\ xxs\ k)) =$
$\quad\quad (\sum k::nat = 0..i.\ intlen(nth\ xxs\ k)) + intlen(nth\ xxs\ (Suc\ i))$
$\quad$ **using** *sum.atLeast0-atMost-Suc* **by** *blast*
have 4: $intlen(nth\ xxs\ (Suc\ i)) > 0$
$\quad$ **using** *assms* **by** *auto*
show *?thesis* **using** *1 2 3 4* **by** *linarith*
qed

lemma *lsum-addzero-nth-leq-Suc*:
assumes $i < intlen(addzero\ (lsum\ xxs\ 0))$
$\quad (\forall\ j \le intlen\ xxs.\ intlen(nth\ xxs\ j) > 0)$
shows $nth\ (addzero\ (lsum\ xxs\ 0))\ i < nth\ (addzero\ (lsum\ xxs\ 0))\ (Suc\ i)$
proof (*cases i*)
case 0
then show *?thesis*
by (*metis add.left-neutral addzero-def assms*(*2*) *dual-order.order-iff-strict gr-zeroI*
$\quad$ *interval-nth-Suc interval-nth-zero-intfirst lsum-addzero-intfirst lsum-intfirst*)
next

case (*Suc nat*)
then show *?thesis*
 by (*metis Suc-less-eq addzero-def assms*(*1*) *assms*(*2*) *interval-nth-Suc intlen.simps*(*2*) *lsum-intlen*
    lsum-nth-leq-Suc plus-1-eq-Suc)
qed

lemma *lsum-idx*:
assumes ($\forall$ *j* $\leq$ *intlen xxs*. *intlen*(*nth xxs j*) > *0*)
shows *index-sequence* (*nth* (*lsum xxs a*) *0*) (*lsum xxs a*)
by (*simp add*: *assms index-sequence-def lsum-intlen lsum-nth-leq-Suc*)

lemma *lsum-addzero-idx*:
assumes ($\forall$ *j* $\leq$ *intlen xxs*. *intlen*(*nth xxs j*) > *0*)
shows *index-sequence 0* (*addzero* (*lsum xxs 0*))
by (*metis interval-idx-expand1 add.left-neutral addzero-def assms interval-nth-zero-intfirst le0*
        lsum-idx lsum-intfirst)

lemma *filt-lfuse-lsum-a*:
assumes *lastfirst* (*xs* ⊙*xxs*)
      ($\forall$ *j* $\leq$ *intlen xxs*. *intlen*(*nth xxs j*) > *0*)
      intlen xs > *0*
shows (*filt* (*fuse xs* (*lfuse xxs*)) (*lsum xxs* (*intlen xs*))) =
        (*filt* (*lfuse xxs*) ((*lsum xxs 0*)))
using *assms*
proof
 (*induct xxs arbitrary*: *xs*)
 case (*St x*)
 then show *?case*
  proof −
   have *1*: *filt* (*fuse xs* (*lfuse* $\langle x \rangle$)) (*lsum* $\langle x \rangle$ (*intlen xs*)) =
          filt (*fuse xs x*) (*lsum* $\langle x \rangle$ (*intlen xs*))
     by *simp*
   have *2*: *filt* (*fuse xs x*) (*lsum* $\langle x \rangle$ (*intlen xs*)) =
          filt (*fuse xs x*) $\langle$*intlen xs* + *intlen x*$\rangle$
     by *simp*
   have *3*: *filt* (*fuse xs x*) ($\langle$*intlen xs* + *intlen x*$\rangle$) =
          $\langle$(*nth* (*fuse xs x*) (*intlen xs* + *intlen x*))$\rangle$
     by *simp*
   have *4*: $\langle$(*nth* (*fuse xs x*) (*intlen xs* + *intlen x*))$\rangle$ =
          $\langle$(*nth x* (*intlen x*))$\rangle$
   using *St.prems interval-fuse-nth-a* **by** *auto*
   have *5*: *filt* (*lfuse* $\langle x \rangle$) (*lsum* $\langle x \rangle$ *0*) =
          filt (*x*) ($\langle$*intlen x*$\rangle$)
       by *simp*
   have *6*: *filt* (*x*) ($\langle$*intlen x*$\rangle$) = $\langle$(*nth x* (*intlen x*))$\rangle$
        by *auto*
   show *?thesis*
   by (*simp add*: *4*)
  qed

next
case (*Cons x1a xxs*)
then show *?case*
 proof −
  have *01*: filt (fuse xs (lfuse (x1a ⊙ xxs))) (lsum (x1a ⊙ xxs) (intlen xs)) =
          filt (fuse xs (fuse x1a (lfuse xxs))) (lsum (x1a ⊙ xxs) (intlen xs))
    by *simp*
  have *02*: filt (fuse xs (fuse x1a (lfuse xxs)))
              (lsum (x1a ⊙ xxs) (intlen xs)) =
          filt (fuse xs (fuse x1a (lfuse xxs)))
              ((intlen xs + intlen x1a) ⊙ lsum (xxs) (intlen xs +intlen x1a))
        by *simp*
  have *03*: filt (fuse xs (fuse x1a (lfuse xxs)))
              ((intlen xs + intlen x1a) ⊙ lsum (xxs) (intlen xs +intlen x1a)) =
          (nth (fuse xs (fuse x1a (lfuse xxs))) (intlen xs + intlen x1a)) ⊙
          filt (fuse xs (fuse x1a (lfuse xxs))) (lsum (xxs) (intlen xs +intlen x1a))
      by *simp*
  have *04*: (nth (fuse xs (fuse x1a (lfuse xxs))) (intlen xs + intlen x1a)) =
          (nth (fuse xs x1a) (intlen xs +intlen x1a))
      proof −
      have *f1*: Interval.nth xs (intlen xs) = Interval.nth x1a 0
      using *Cons.prems(1)* **by** *force*
      have *f2*: lastfirst (x1a ⊙ xxs)
      using *Cons.prems(1) lastfirst.simps(2)* **by** *blast*
      then have Interval.nth x1a (intlen x1a) = Interval.nth (Interval.nth xxs 0) 0
      by *simp*
      then show *?thesis*
      using *f2 f1*
        by (*metis Cons.prems(1) add.right-neutral interval-fuse-intlen-a*
            interval-fuse-nth-a interval-intfirst-lfuse-intfirst interval-nth-intlen-intlast
            interval-nth-zero interval-nth-zero-intfirst le0 le-add1 lfuse-Cons)
      qed
  have *05*: (nth (fuse xs x1a) (intlen xs +intlen x1a)) = (nth x1a (intlen x1a))
    using *Cons.prems(1) interval-fuse-nth-a* **by** *force*
  have *06*: filt (fuse xs (fuse x1a (lfuse xxs))) (lsum (xxs) (intlen xs +intlen x1a)) =
          filt (fuse (fuse xs x1a) (lfuse xxs)) (lsum (xxs) (intlen(fuse xs x1a)))
    by (*metis Cons.prems(1) interval-FusionAssoc interval-fuse-intlen-a interval-intfirst-lfuse*
        interval-intfirst-lfuse-intfirst lastfirst.simps(2))
  have *07*: lastfirst ((fuse xs x1a)⊙ xxs)
   by (*metis 05 Cons.prems(1) interval-fuse-intlen-a interval-nth-intlen-intlast lastfirst.simps(2)*)
  have *08*: intlen (fuse xs x1a) > 0
   by (*simp add: Cons.prems interval-fuse-intlen-a*)
  have *09*: filt (fuse (fuse xs x1a) (lfuse xxs)) (lsum (xxs) (intlen(fuse xs x1a))) =
          filt (lfuse xxs) (lsum (xxs) 0)
     by (*metis 07 08 Cons.prems(2) Suc-leI interval-nth-Suc intlen.simps(2) less-Suc-eq-le*
        local.Cons(1) plus-1-eq-Suc)
  have *10*: filt (fuse xs (fuse x1a (lfuse xxs)))
              ((intlen xs + intlen x1a) ⊙ lsum (xxs) (intlen xs +intlen x1a)) =
          (nth x1a (intlen x1a)) ⊙ filt (lfuse xxs) (lsum (xxs) 0)
   by (*simp add: 04 05 06 09*)

have *11*: *filt* (*lfuse* (*x1a* ⊙ *xxs*)) (*lsum* (*x1a* ⊙ *xxs*) *0*) =
    filt (*fuse x1a* (*lfuse xxs*)) ((*intlen x1a*) ⊙ (*lsum xxs* (*intlen x1a*)))
  by *simp*
have *12*: *filt* (*fuse x1a* (*lfuse xxs*)) ((*intlen x1a*) ⊙ (*lsum xxs* (*intlen x1a*))) =
    (*nth* (*fuse x1a* (*lfuse xxs*)) (*intlen x1a*)) ⊙
    (*filt* (*fuse x1a* (*lfuse xxs*)) (*lsum xxs* (*intlen x1a*)))
    by *simp*
have *13*: (*nth* (*fuse x1a* (*lfuse xxs*)) (*intlen x1a*)) = (*nth x1a* (*intlen x1a*))
  by (*metis Cons.prems*(*1*) *eq-iff interval-fuse-intlen-a interval-fuse-nth*
    interval-intfirst-lfuse-intfirst lastfirst.simps(*2*) *le-add1*)
have *14*: (*filt* (*fuse x1a* (*lfuse xxs*)) (*lsum xxs* (*intlen x1a*))) =
    filt (*lfuse xxs*) (*lsum xxs 0*)
   using *Cons.prems*(*1*) *Cons.prems*(*2*) *interval-nth-zero intlen.simps*(*2*) *lastfirst.simps*(*2*)
    local.Cons(*1*) **by** *fastforce*
have *15*: *filt* (*fuse x1a* (*lfuse xxs*)) ((*intlen x1a*) ⊙ (*lsum xxs* (*intlen x1a*))) =
    (*nth x1a* (*intlen x1a*)) ⊙ (*filt* (*lfuse xxs*) (*lsum xxs 0*))
  by (*simp add*: *13 14*)
  show *?thesis* **using** *10 15* **by** *auto*
 qed
qed

lemma *filt-lfuse-lsum*:
assumes *lastfirst* (*xs* ⊙*xxs*)
    (∀ *j* ≤ *intlen xxs*. *intlen*(*nth xxs j*) > *0*)
    intlen xs > *0*
shows (*filt* (*lfuse* (*xs*⊙*xxs*)) (*addzero* (*lsum* (*xs*⊙*xxs*) *0*))) =
    (*intfirst xs*)⊙ (*intlast xs*)⊙ (*filt* (*lfuse xxs*) (*lsum xxs 0*))
proof −
 have *1*: *lfuse* (*xs* ⊙ *xxs*) = *fuse xs* (*lfuse xxs*)
  by *simp*
 have *2*: (*filt* (*lfuse* (*xs*⊙*xxs*)) (*addzero* (*lsum* (*xs*⊙*xxs*) *0*))) =
    (*filt* (*fuse xs* (*lfuse xxs*)) (*addzero* (*lsum* (*xs*⊙*xxs*) *0*)))
  using *1* **by** *simp*
 have *3*: *addzero* (*lsum* (*xs*⊙*xxs*) *0*) =
    0⊙(*intlen xs*) ⊙ (*lsum xxs* (*intlen xs*))
  using *lsum-addzero-cons* **by** *auto*
 have *4*: (*filt* (*fuse xs* (*lfuse xxs*)) (*addzero* (*lsum* (*xs*⊙*xxs*) *0*))) =
    (*filt* (*fuse xs* (*lfuse xxs*)) (*0*⊙(*intlen xs*) ⊙ (*lsum xxs* (*intlen xs*))))
  using *3* **by** *auto*
 have *5*: (*filt* (*fuse xs* (*lfuse xxs*)) (*0*⊙(*intlen xs*) ⊙ (*lsum xxs* (*intlen xs*)))) =
    (*nth* (*fuse xs* (*lfuse xxs*)) *0*) ⊙
    (*nth* (*fuse xs* (*lfuse xxs*)) (*intlen xs*)) ⊙
    (*filt* (*fuse xs* (*lfuse xxs*)) (*lsum xxs* (*intlen xs*)))
  by *simp*
 have *6*: (*nth* (*fuse xs* (*lfuse xxs*)) *0*) = (*nth xs 0*)
  using *assms* **by** (*metis interval-fuse-nth interval-intfirst-lfuse-intfirst interval-intlen-gr-zero*
    lastfirst.simps(*2*))
 have *7*: (*nth* (*fuse xs* (*lfuse xxs*)) (*intlen xs*)) = (*nth xs* (*intlen xs*))
  using *assms* **by** (*metis interval-fuse-intlen-a interval-fuse-nth interval-intfirst-lfuse-intfirst*
    lastfirst.simps(*2*) *le-add1 order-refl*)

243

have 8: *index-sequence 0 (addzero (lsum xxs 0))*
  using *assms lsum-addzero-idx* **by** *blast*
 have 9: *(filt (fuse xs (lfuse xxs)) (lsum xxs (intlen xs))) =*
      (filt (lfuse xxs) (lsum xxs 0))
   using *assms filt-lfuse-lsum-a* **by** *blast*
  show *?thesis*
  using *3 6 7 9 interval-nth-intlen-intlast interval-nth-zero-intfirst* **by** *force*
qed

lemma *filt-lfuse-lsum-1*:
assumes *lastfirst (xs ⊙xxs)*
      (∀ j ≤ intlen xxs. intlen(nth xxs j) > 0)
      intlen xs > 0
shows *(filt (lfuse (xs⊙xxs)) ((lsum (xs⊙xxs) 0))) =*
      (intlast xs)⊙ (filt (lfuse xxs) (lsum xxs 0))
using *assms* **by** *(simp,*
          metis assms(1) eq-iff filt-lfuse-lsum-a interval-fuse-intlen-a
          interval-fuse-nth interval-intfirst-lfuse-intfirst lastfirst.simps(2) le-add1)

lemma *filt-lfuse-lsum-2*:
assumes *lastfirst (xxs)*
      (∀ j ≤ intlen xxs. intlen(nth xxs j) > 0)
      j ≤ intlen xxs
shows   *(nth (filt (lfuse (xxs)) ((lsum (xxs) 0))) j) = intlast(nth xxs j)*
using *assms*
 proof *(induct xxs arbitrary: j)*
 case *(St x)*
 then show *?case* **by** *simp*
 next
 case *(Cons x1a xxs)*
 then show *?case*
  proof −
    have 1: *j= 0 ∧ j ≤ intlen (x1a ⊙ xxs) ⟶*
       nth (filt (lfuse (x1a ⊙ xxs)) (lsum (x1a ⊙ xxs) 0)) j = intlast (nth (x1a ⊙ xxs) j)
     using *filt-lfuse-lsum-1* **using** *Cons.prems* **by** *fastforce*
    have 2: *j> 0 ∧ j ≤ intlen (x1a ⊙ xxs) ⟶*
       nth (filt (lfuse (x1a ⊙ xxs)) (lsum (x1a ⊙ xxs) 0)) j = intlast (nth (x1a ⊙ xxs) j)
     by *(metis Cons.hyps Cons.prems(2) Suc-le-mono Suc-pred filt-lfuse-lsum-1*
        interval-intlen-gr-zero interval-nth-Suc interval-nth-zero intlen.simps(2)
        lastfirst.simps(2) local.Cons(2) plus-1-eq-Suc)
   show *?thesis* **using** *1 2 not-gr-zero* **using** *Cons.prems(3)* **by** *blast*
  qed
qed

lemma *filt-lfuse-lsum-3*:
assumes *lastfirst (xxs)*
      (∀ j ≤ intlen xxs. intlen(nth xxs j) > 0)
      j ≤ intlen (addzero (lsum xxs 0))
shows   *(j=0 ⟶ (nth (filt (lfuse (xxs)) (addzero(lsum (xxs) 0))) j) = intfirst(intfirst xxs))*
      ∧

$(j>0 \longrightarrow (nth\ (filt\ (lfuse\ (xxs))\ (addzero(lsum\ (xxs)\ 0)))\ j) = intlast(nth\ xxs\ (j-1)))$

using *assms*
proof −
 have *1*: $j \leq intlen\ (addzero\ (lsum\ xxs\ 0)) \wedge j=0 \longrightarrow$
     $(nth\ (filt\ (lfuse\ (xxs))\ (addzero(lsum\ (xxs)\ 0)))\ j) = intfirst(intfirst\ xxs)$
   using *assms* **by** (*metis filt-intlen filt-nth interval-lastfirst-lfuse interval-nth-zero-intfirst*
     lsum-addzero-intfirst)
 have *2*: $j \leq intlen\ (addzero\ (lsum\ xxs\ 0)) \wedge j>0 \longrightarrow$
   $(nth\ (filt\ (lfuse\ xxs)\ (addzero(lsum\ (xxs)\ 0)))\ j) =$
   $(nth\ (lfuse\ xxs)\ (nth\ \ (addzero(lsum\ (xxs)\ 0))\ j))$

   by (*simp add*: *filt-intlen filt-nth*)
 have *3*: $j \leq intlen\ (addzero\ (lsum\ xxs\ 0)) \wedge j>0 \longrightarrow$
    $nth\ \ (addzero(lsum\ (xxs)\ 0))\ j = nth\ (lsum\ xxs\ 0)\ (j-1)$

   by (*metis One-nat-def Suc-pred interval-nth-Suc leD lsum-addzero-intlen lsum-addzero-nth neq0-conv*)
 have *4*: $j \leq intlen\ (addzero\ (lsum\ xxs\ 0)) \wedge j>0 \longrightarrow$
    $(nth\ (lfuse\ xxs)\ (nth\ (lsum\ xxs\ 0)\ (j-1))) = intlast(nth\ xxs\ (j-1))$
  by (*metis assms diff-is-0-eq' filt-intlen filt-lfuse-lsum-2 filt-nth interval-nth-zero-intfirst*
    le0 le-diff-conv lsum-addzero-intlen lsum-intlen neq0-conv)
 show *?thesis*
 using *1 2 3 4* **by** (*simp add*: *assms*(*3*))
qed

lemma *filt-lfuse-lsum-4*:
 assumes *lastfirst* (*xxs*)
    $(\forall\ j \leq intlen\ xxs.\ intlen(nth\ xxs\ j) > 0)$
 shows $(nth\ (addzero\ (lsum\ xxs\ 0))\ (intlen\ (addzero\ (lsum\ xxs\ 0)))) \leq intlen\ (lfuse\ xxs)$
using *assms*
by (*metis add-cancel-right-left eq-iff interval-intlast-prefix interval-lfuse-intlen*
   interval-prefix-intlen lsum-addzero-intlast lsum-intlen lsum-nth)

lemma *filt-lfuse-lsum-5*:
 assumes *lastfirst* (*xxs*)
    $(\forall\ j \leq intlen\ xxs.\ intlen(nth\ xxs\ j) > 0)$
    $i \leq intlen\ (addzero\ (lsum\ xxs\ 0))$
 shows $(nth\ (addzero\ (lsum\ xxs\ 0))\ i) \leq intlen\ (lfuse\ xxs)$
using *assms filt-lfuse-lsum-4*[*of xxs*] *lsum-addzero-idx*[*of xxs*]
proof −
have *f1*: $Interval.nth\ (addzero\ (lsum\ xxs\ 0))\ (intlen\ (addzero\ (lsum\ xxs\ 0))) \leq intlen\ (lfuse\ xxs)$
using ⟨$\forall j \leq intlen\ xxs.\ 0 < intlen\ (Interval.nth\ xxs\ j)$⟩
  ⟨$\llbracket lastfirst\ xxs;\ \forall j \leq intlen\ xxs.\ 0 < intlen\ (Interval.nth\ xxs\ j)\rrbracket \implies$
   $Interval.nth\ (addzero\ (lsum\ xxs\ 0))\ (intlen\ (addzero\ (lsum\ xxs\ 0))) \leq intlen\ (lfuse\ xxs)$⟩
   ⟨*lastfirst xxs*⟩ **by** *blast*
have $\neg\ i < intlen\ (addzero\ (lsum\ xxs\ 0))\ \vee$
   $nth\ (addzero\ (lsum\ xxs\ 0))\ i < nth\ (addzero\ (lsum\ xxs\ 0))\ (intlen\ (addzero\ (lsum\ xxs\ 0)))$
using ⟨$\forall j \leq intlen\ xxs.\ 0 < intlen\ (Interval.nth\ xxs\ j) \implies index\text{-}sequence\ 0\ (addzero\ (lsum\ xxs\ 0))$⟩

⟨∀ j≤intlen xxs. 0 < intlen (Interval.nth xxs j)⟩ interval-idx-less-last-1 **by** blast
then show ?thesis
using f1 ⟨i ≤ intlen (addzero (lsum xxs 0))⟩ **by** force
qed

lemma lfuse-intlen-b:
 assumes lastfirst xxs
  shows (∀ i. 1 ≤ i ∧ i ≤intlen (xxs) ⟶
                (∀ j≤intlen (nth (xxs) (i)).
                  nth ((lsum xxs 0)) (i−1) + j ≤ intlen (lfuse xxs)))
proof −
 have 0: (∀ i. 1 ≤ i ∧ i ≤intlen (xxs) ⟶
         (i−1) ≤ intlen xxs
)
    by linarith
 have 1: (∀ i. 1 ≤ i ∧ i ≤intlen (xxs) ⟶
                nth ((lsum xxs 0)) (i−1) =
                (∑ k::nat= 0..(i−1). intlen(nth xxs k))
)
        by (metis 0 add.left-neutral lsum-nth)
 have 2: intlen (lfuse xxs) = (∑ k::nat= 0..(intlen xxs). intlen(nth xxs k))
    using assms interval-lfuse-intlen **by** blast
 have 3: (∀ i. 1 ≤ i ∧ i ≤intlen (xxs) ⟶
         (∀ j≤intlen (nth (xxs) (i)).
           (∑ k::nat= 0..(i−1). intlen(nth xxs k)) + j ≤
           (∑ k::nat= 0..(i). intlen(nth xxs k))
         ))

    by (metis (no-types, lifting) add-le-cancel-left le-add-diff-inverse plus-1-eq-Suc
    sum.atLeast0-atMost-Suc)
 have 4: (∀ i. 1 ≤ i ∧ i ≤intlen (xxs) ⟶
         (∑ k::nat= 0..(i). intlen(nth xxs k)) ≤
         (∑ k::nat= 0..(intlen xxs). intlen(nth xxs k))
)

      by (metis add.commute diff-is-0-eq diff-zero le-add1 le-add-diff-inverse2 not-less-eq-eq
          sum.ub-add-nat)
 show ?thesis
 using 1 2 3 4 **by** fastforce
qed

lemma lsum-shift:
 assumes lastfirst xxs
         (∀ j ≤ intlen xxs. intlen(nth xxs j) > 0)
         i≤intlen xxs
  shows   nth (lsum xxs a) i = a+ nth (lsum xxs 0) i
using assms **by** (simp add: lsum-nth)

lemma lsum-lfuse-nth-lsum-nth:

assumes *lastfirst xxs*

$(\forall\ j \le intlen\ xxs.\ intlen(nth\ xxs\ j) > 0)$

shows $(\forall\ i \le intlen\ xxs.$

$(\forall\ j \le intlen(nth\ xxs\ i).$

$(\ (nth\ (lfuse\ xxs)\ ((nth\ (addzero\ (lsum\ xxs\ 0))\ i)\ +j)\ ))\ =$

$(\ (nth\ (nth\ xxs\ i)\ j))\ ))$

using *assms*

proof

(*induct xxs*)

case (*St x*)

then show *?case*

by (*metis Interval.nth.simps*(*1*) *add-cancel-right-left interval-nth-zero-intfirst intlen.simps*(*1*)

le-zero-eq lfuse-St lsum-addzero-intfirst)

next

case (*Cons x1a xxs*)

then show *?case*

proof −

have *1*: $(\forall\ i \le intlen\ (x1a \odot xxs).$

$(\forall\ j \le intlen\ (nth\ (x1a \odot xxs)\ i).$

$nth\ (lfuse\ (x1a \odot xxs))\ (nth\ (addzero\ (lsum\ (x1a \odot xxs)\ 0))\ i + j) =$

$nth\ (nth\ (x1a \odot xxs)\ i)\ j))$

$=$

$(\ (\forall\ j \le intlen\ (nth\ (x1a \odot xxs)\ 0).$

$nth\ (lfuse\ (x1a \odot xxs))\ (nth\ (addzero\ (lsum\ (x1a \odot xxs)\ 0))\ 0 + j) =$

$nth\ (nth\ (x1a \odot xxs)\ 0)\ j)\ \wedge$

$(\forall\ i.\ 1 \le i\ \wedge\ i \le intlen\ (x1a \odot xxs)\ \longrightarrow$

$(\forall\ j \le intlen\ (nth\ (x1a \odot xxs)\ i).$

$nth\ (lfuse\ (x1a \odot xxs))\ (nth\ (addzero\ (lsum\ (x1a \odot xxs)\ 0))\ i + j) =$

$nth\ (nth\ (x1a \odot xxs)\ i)\ j))\ )$

by (*metis One-nat-def Suc-leI interval-intlen-gr-zero not-gr-zero*)

have *2*: $(\forall\ j \le intlen\ (nth\ (x1a \odot xxs)\ 0).$

$nth\ (lfuse\ (x1a \odot xxs))\ (nth\ (addzero\ (lsum\ (x1a \odot xxs)\ 0))\ 0 + j) =$

$nth\ (nth\ (x1a \odot xxs)\ 0)\ j)$

$=$

$(\forall\ j \le intlen\ (x1a).$

$nth\ (lfuse\ (x1a \odot xxs))\ (\ j) = nth\ (x1a)\ j)$

by (*metis add-cancel-right-left interval-nth-zero interval-nth-zero-intfirst*

lsum-addzero-intfirst)

have *3*: *intlast x1a* = *intfirst*(*intfirst xxs*)

using *Cons.prems lastfirst.simps*(*2*) **by** *blast*

have *4*: $(\forall\ j \le intlen\ (x1a).$

$nth\ (lfuse\ (x1a \odot xxs))\ (\ j) = nth\ (x1a)\ j)$

by (*metis Cons.prems*(*1*) *interval-fuse-intlen-a interval-fuse-nth*

interval-intfirst-lfuse-intfirst lastfirst.simps(*2*) *le-add1 le-trans lfuse-Cons*)

have *41*: $(\forall\ j \le intlen\ (nth\ (x1a \odot xxs)\ 0).$

$nth\ (lfuse\ (x1a \odot xxs))\ (nth\ (addzero\ (lsum\ (x1a \odot xxs)\ 0))\ 0 + j) =$

$nth\ (nth\ (x1a \odot xxs)\ 0)\ j)$

using *2 4* **by** *blast*

have 5: $(\forall\, i.\ 1 \leq i \wedge i{\leq}intlen\ (x1a \odot xxs) \longrightarrow$
$\qquad (\forall\, j{\leq}intlen\ (nth\ (x1a \odot xxs)\ i).$
$\qquad\qquad nth\ (lfuse\ (x1a \odot xxs))\ (nth\ (addzero\ (lsum\ (x1a \odot xxs)\ 0))\ i + j) =$
$\qquad\qquad nth\ (nth\ (x1a \odot xxs)\ i)\ j)) =$
$\qquad (\forall\, i.\ 0 \leq i{-}1 \wedge i{-}1 \leq intlen\ (xxs) \longrightarrow$
$\qquad (\forall\, j{\leq}intlen\ (nth\ (x1a \odot xxs)\ i).$
$\qquad\qquad nth\ (lfuse\ (x1a \odot xxs))\ (nth\ (addzero\ (lsum\ (x1a \odot xxs)\ 0))\ i + j) =$
$\qquad\qquad nth\ (nth\ (x1a \odot xxs)\ i)\ j))$
$\qquad$ **using** _1 2 4 le-diff-conv_ **by** _auto_

have 6: $(\forall\, i.\ 0 \leq i{-}1 \wedge i{-}1 \leq intlen\ (xxs) \longrightarrow$
$\qquad (\forall\, j{\leq}intlen\ (nth\ (x1a \odot xxs)\ i).$
$\qquad\qquad nth\ (lfuse\ (x1a \odot xxs))\ (nth\ (addzero\ (lsum\ (x1a \odot xxs)\ 0))\ i + j) =$
$\qquad\qquad nth\ (nth\ (x1a \odot xxs)\ i)\ j)) =$
$\qquad (\forall\, i \leq intlen\ (xxs).$
$\qquad (\forall\, j{\leq}intlen\ (nth\ (x1a \odot xxs)\ (Suc\ i)).$
$\qquad\qquad nth\ (lfuse\ (x1a \odot xxs))\ (nth\ (addzero\ (lsum\ (x1a \odot xxs)\ 0))\ (Suc\ i) + j) =$
$\qquad\qquad nth\ (nth\ (x1a \odot xxs)\ (Suc\ i))\ j))$ (**is** $?L = ?R$)
$\qquad$ **proof**
$\qquad$ **show** $?L \Longrightarrow ?R$
$\qquad\quad$ **using** _2 4_ **by** _simp_
$\qquad$ **show** $?R \Longrightarrow ?L$
$\qquad\quad$ **using** _2 4_ **by** (_metis One-nat-def Suc-pred gr0I_)
$\qquad$ **qed**

have 7: $(\forall\, i \leq intlen\ (xxs).$
$\qquad (\forall\, j{\leq}intlen\ (nth\ (x1a \odot xxs)\ (Suc\ i)).$
$\qquad\qquad nth\ (lfuse\ (x1a \odot xxs))\ (nth\ (addzero\ (lsum\ (x1a \odot xxs)\ 0))\ (Suc\ i) + j) =$
$\qquad\qquad nth\ (nth\ (x1a \odot xxs)\ (Suc\ i))\ j)) =$
$\qquad (\forall\, i \leq intlen\ (xxs).$
$\qquad (\forall\, j{\leq}intlen\ (nth\ (xxs)\ (i)).$
$\qquad\qquad nth\ (lfuse\ (x1a \odot xxs))\ (nth\ (addzero\ (lsum\ (x1a \odot xxs)\ 0))\ (Suc\ i) + j) =$
$\qquad\qquad nth\ (nth\ (xxs)\ (i))\ j))$
$\qquad$ **by** _simp_

have 8: $(\forall\, i \leq intlen\ (xxs).$
$\qquad (\forall\, j{\leq}intlen\ (nth\ (xxs)\ (i)).$
$\qquad\qquad nth\ (lfuse\ (x1a \odot xxs))\ (nth\ (addzero\ (lsum\ (x1a \odot xxs)\ 0))\ (Suc\ i) + j) =$
$\qquad\qquad nth\ (nth\ (xxs)\ (i))\ j)) =$
$\qquad (\forall\, i \leq intlen\ (xxs).$
$\qquad (\forall\, j{\leq}intlen\ (nth\ (xxs)\ (i)).$
$\qquad\qquad nth\ (fuse\ x1a\ (lfuse\ xxs))\ (nth\ (\ (lsum\ (x1a \odot xxs)\ 0))\ (i) + j) =$
$\qquad\qquad nth\ (nth\ (xxs)\ (i))\ j))$
$\qquad$ **by** (_metis interval-nth-Suc lfuse-Cons lsum-addzero-cons_)

have 9: $(\forall\, i \leq intlen\ (xxs).$
$\qquad (\forall\, j{\leq}intlen\ (nth\ (xxs)\ (i)).$
$\qquad\qquad nth\ (fuse\ x1a\ (lfuse\ xxs))\ (nth\ (\ (lsum\ (x1a \odot xxs)\ 0))\ (i) + j) =$
$\qquad\qquad nth\ (nth\ (xxs)\ (i))\ j))$
$\qquad\quad =$
$\qquad (\forall\, i \leq intlen\ (xxs).$
$\qquad (\forall\, j{\leq}intlen\ (nth\ (xxs)\ (i)).$
$\qquad\qquad nth\ (fuse\ x1a\ (lfuse\ xxs))\ (nth\ ((intlen(x1a){\odot}(lsum\ xxs)\ (intlen\ x1a))\ )\ i + j) =$
$\qquad\qquad nth\ (nth\ (xxs)\ (i))\ j))$

248

by *simp*

have *11*: $(\forall\, i \leq intlen\ (xxs).$
    $(\forall\, j \leq intlen\ (nth\ (xxs)\ (i)).$
      $nth\ (fuse\ x1a\ (lfuse\ xxs))\ (nth\ ((intlen(x1a)\odot (lsum\ xxs)\ (intlen\ x1a))\ )\ (i) + j) =$
      $nth\ (nth\ (xxs)\ (i))\ j))$
      $=$
    $((\forall\, i.\ i{=}0 \longrightarrow$
     $(\forall\, j \leq intlen\ (nth\ (xxs)\ (i)).$
       $nth\ (fuse\ x1a\ (lfuse\ xxs))\ (nth\ ((intlen(x1a)\odot (lsum\ xxs)\ (intlen\ x1a))\ )\ (i) + j) =$
       $nth\ (nth\ (xxs)\ (i))\ j))$
       $\wedge$
     $(\forall\, i.\ 1 \leq i \wedge i \leq intlen\ (xxs) \longrightarrow$
      $(\forall\, j \leq intlen\ (nth\ (xxs)\ (i)).$
        $nth\ (fuse\ x1a\ (lfuse\ xxs))\ (nth\ ((intlen(x1a)\odot (lsum\ xxs)\ (intlen\ x1a))\ )\ (i) + j) =$
        $nth\ (nth\ (xxs)\ (i))\ j))\ )$

      by (*metis Suc-leI add.commute add-less-same-cancel1 interval-nth-zero le0 le-eq-less-or-eq*
        less-one not-gr-zero plus-1-eq-Suc)
have *12*: $(\forall\, i.\ i{=}0 \longrightarrow$
     $(\forall\, j \leq intlen\ (nth\ (xxs)\ (i)).$
       $nth\ (fuse\ x1a\ (lfuse\ xxs))\ (nth\ ((intlen(x1a)\odot (lsum\ xxs)\ (intlen\ x1a))\ )\ (i) + j) =$
       $nth\ (nth\ (xxs)\ (i))\ j)) =$
     $(\forall\, j \leq intlen\ (nth\ (xxs)\ (0)).$
       $nth\ (fuse\ x1a\ (lfuse\ xxs))\ (nth\ ((intlen(x1a)\odot (lsum\ xxs)\ (intlen\ x1a))\ )\ (0) + j) =$
       $nth\ (nth\ (xxs)\ (0))\ j)$

 by *blast*
have *13*: $(\forall\, j \leq intlen\ (nth\ (xxs)\ (0)).$
       $nth\ (fuse\ x1a\ (lfuse\ xxs))\ (nth\ ((intlen(x1a)\odot (lsum\ xxs)\ (intlen\ x1a))\ )\ (0) + j) =$
       $nth\ (nth\ (xxs)\ (0))\ j) =$
     $(\forall\, j \leq intlen\ (nth\ (xxs)\ (0)).$
       $nth\ (fuse\ x1a\ (lfuse\ xxs))\ (intlen(x1a) + j) =$
       $nth\ (nth\ (xxs)\ (0))\ j)$

 by *auto*
have *14*: $(\forall\, j \leq intlen\ (nth\ (xxs)\ (0)).$
       $nth\ (fuse\ x1a\ (lfuse\ xxs))\ (intlen(x1a) + j) =$
       $nth\ (nth\ (xxs)\ (0))\ j)$
       $=$
     $(\forall\, j \leq intlen\ (nth\ (xxs)\ (0)).$
       $nth\ (\ (lfuse\ xxs))\ (j) =$
       $nth\ (nth\ (xxs)\ (0))\ j)$

 using *Cons.prems interval-fuse-nth-a interval-intfirst-lfuse-intfirst interval-lfuse-intlen-a*
 by (*metis lastfirst.simps(2) le0*)
have *15*: $(\forall\, i.\ 1 \leq i \wedge i \leq intlen\ (xxs) \longrightarrow$
     $(\forall\, j \leq intlen\ (nth\ (xxs)\ (i)).$
       $nth\ (fuse\ x1a\ (lfuse\ xxs))\ (nth\ ((intlen(x1a)\odot (lsum\ xxs)\ (intlen\ x1a))\ )\ (i) + j) =$
       $nth\ (nth\ (xxs)\ (i))\ j)) =$

$(\forall\, i.\ 1 \leq i \land i \leq intlen\ (xxs) \longrightarrow$
$(\forall\, j \leq intlen\ (nth\ (xxs)\ (i)).$
$\quad nth\ (fuse\ x1a\ (lfuse\ xxs))\ (nth\ (\ (lsum\ xxs\ (intlen\ x1a))\ )\ (i{-}1) + j) =$
$\quad nth\ (nth\ (xxs)\ (i))\ j))$

by (*metis interval-nth-Suc ordered-cancel-comm-monoid-diff-class.add-diff-inverse plus-1-eq-Suc*)
have *16*: $(\forall\, i.\ 1 \leq i \land i \leq intlen\ (xxs) \longrightarrow$
$\quad nth\ (\ (lsum\ xxs\ (intlen\ x1a))\ )\ (i{-}1) =$
$\quad intlen\ x1a + nth\ (lsum\ xxs\ 0)\ \ (i{-}1))$

by (*simp add*: *le-diff-conv lsum-nth*)
have *17*: $(\forall\, i.\ 1 \leq i \land i \leq intlen\ (xxs) \longrightarrow$
$(\forall\, j \leq intlen\ (nth\ (xxs)\ (i)).$
$\quad nth\ (fuse\ x1a\ (lfuse\ xxs))\ (nth\ (\ (lsum\ xxs\ (intlen\ x1a))\ )\ (i{-}1) + j) =$
$\quad nth\ (nth\ (xxs)\ (i))\ j))$
$=$
$(\forall\, i.\ 1 \leq i \land i \leq intlen\ (xxs) \longrightarrow$
$(\forall\, j \leq intlen\ (nth\ (xxs)\ (i)).$
$\quad nth\ (fuse\ x1a\ (lfuse\ xxs))\ (intlen\ x1a + nth\ ((lsum\ xxs\ 0)\ )\ (i{-}1) + j) =$
$\quad nth\ (nth\ (xxs)\ (i))\ j))$
using *16* **by** *auto*
have *18*: $(\forall\, i.\ 1 \leq i \land i \leq intlen\ (xxs) \longrightarrow$
$(\forall\, j \leq intlen\ (nth\ (xxs)\ (i)).$
$\quad nth\ ((lsum\ xxs\ 0)\ )\ (i{-}1) + j \leq intlen\ (lfuse\ xxs)))$
using *Cons.prems lastfirst.simps(2) lfuse-intlen-b* **by** *blast*
have *19*: $(\forall\, i.\ 1 \leq i \land i \leq intlen\ (xxs) \longrightarrow$
$(\forall\, j \leq intlen\ (nth\ (xxs)\ (i)).$
$\quad nth\ (fuse\ x1a\ (lfuse\ xxs))\ (intlen\ x1a + nth\ ((lsum\ xxs\ 0)\ )\ (i{-}1) + j) =$
$\quad nth\ (\ (lfuse\ xxs))\ (\ nth\ ((lsum\ xxs\ 0)\ )\ (i{-}1) + j)))$

by (*metis Cons.prems(1) ab-semigroup-add-class.add-ac(1) interval-fuse-nth-a*
interval-intfirst-lfuse-intfirst lastfirst.simps(2) lfuse-intlen-b)
have *20*: $(\forall\, i.\ 1 \leq i \land i \leq intlen\ (xxs) \longrightarrow$
$(\forall\, j \leq intlen\ (nth\ (xxs)\ (i)).$
$\quad nth\ (fuse\ x1a\ (lfuse\ xxs))\ (intlen\ x1a + nth\ ((lsum\ xxs\ 0)\ )\ (i{-}1) + j) =$
$\quad nth\ (nth\ (xxs)\ (i))\ j)) =$
$(\forall\, i.\ 1 \leq i \land i \leq intlen\ (xxs) \longrightarrow$
$(\forall\, j \leq intlen\ (nth\ (xxs)\ (i)).$
$\quad nth\ (\ (lfuse\ xxs))\ (\ nth\ ((lsum\ xxs\ 0)\ )\ (i{-}1) + j) =$
$\quad nth\ (nth\ (xxs)\ (i))\ j))$

using *19* **by** *auto*
have *201*: $((\forall\, i.\ i{=}0 \longrightarrow$
$(\forall\, j \leq intlen\ (nth\ (xxs)\ (i)).$
$\quad nth\ (fuse\ x1a\ (lfuse\ xxs))\ (nth\ ((intlen(x1a) \odot (lsum\ xxs)\ (intlen\ x1a))\ )\ (i) + j) =$
$\quad nth\ (nth\ (xxs)\ (i))\ j))$
$\land$
$(\forall\, i.\ 1 \leq i \land i \leq intlen\ (xxs) \longrightarrow$
$(\forall\, j \leq intlen\ (nth\ (xxs)\ (i)).$
$\quad nth\ (fuse\ x1a\ (lfuse\ xxs))\ (nth\ ((intlen(x1a) \odot (lsum\ xxs)\ (intlen\ x1a))\ )\ (i) + j) =$

$$nth\ (nth\ (xxs)\ (i))\ j))\ ) =$$
$$((\forall\,j{\leq}intlen\ (nth\ (xxs)\ (0)).$$
$$nth\ (\ (lfuse\ xxs))\ (j) =$$
$$nth\ (nth\ (xxs)\ (0))\ j) \land$$
$$(\forall\,i.\ 1 \leq i \land i \leq intlen\ (xxs) \longrightarrow$$
$$(\forall\,j{\leq}intlen\ (nth\ (xxs)\ (i)).$$
$$nth\ (\ (lfuse\ xxs))\ (\ nth\ ((lsum\ xxs\ 0)\ )\ (i{-}1) + j) =$$
$$nth\ (nth\ (xxs)\ (i))\ j))\ )$$

    using *14 15 17 20* **by** *auto*

have *21*: *lastfirst xxs*

  using *Cons.prems lastfirst.simps(2)* **by** *blast*

have *22*: $(\forall\ j \leq intlen\ xxs.\ intlen(nth\ xxs\ j) > 0)$

  using *Cons.prems* **by** *auto*

have *23*: $(\forall\,i{\leq}intlen\ xxs.$
$$(\forall\,j{\leq}intlen\ (nth\ xxs\ i).$$
$$nth\ (lfuse\ xxs)\ (nth\ (addzero\ (lsum\ xxs\ 0))\ i + j) =$$
$$nth\ (nth\ xxs\ i)\ j))$$

  using *21 22 Cons.hyps* **by** *blast*

have *24*: $((\forall\,j{\leq}intlen\ (nth\ xxs\ 0).$
$$nth\ (lfuse\ xxs)\ (nth\ (addzero\ (lsum\ xxs\ 0))\ 0 + j) =$$
$$nth\ (Interval.nth\ xxs\ 0)\ j) \land$$
$$(\forall\,i.\ 1 \leq i \land i \leq intlen\ xxs \longrightarrow$$
$$(\forall\,j{\leq}intlen\ (nth\ xxs\ i).$$
$$nth\ (lfuse\ xxs)\ (nth\ (addzero\ (lsum\ xxs\ 0))\ i + j) =$$
$$nth\ (nth\ xxs\ i)\ j))\ )$$

    using *23* **by** *blast*

have *25*: $(\forall\,j{\leq}intlen\ (nth\ (xxs)\ (0)).$
$$nth\ (\ (lfuse\ xxs))\ (j) =$$
$$nth\ (nth\ (xxs)\ (0))\ j)$$

  by (*metis 24 add-cancel-right-left interval-nth-zero-intfirst lsum-addzero-intfirst*)

have *26*: $(\forall\,i.\ 1 \leq i \land i \leq intlen\ (xxs) \longrightarrow$
$$nth\ (addzero\ (lsum\ xxs\ 0))\ i = nth\ ((lsum\ xxs\ 0)\ )\ (i{-}1)$$
$$)$$

    by (*metis addzero-def interval-nth-Suc less-eq-Suc-le less-le-trans lsum-intlen*
      not-less-eq-eq ordered-cancel-comm-monoid-diff-class.add-diff-inverse plus-1-eq-Suc
      zero-less-one)

have *27*: $(\forall\,i.\ 1 \leq i \land i \leq intlen\ (xxs) \longrightarrow$
$$(\forall\,j{\leq}intlen\ (nth\ (xxs)\ (i)).$$
$$nth\ (\ (lfuse\ xxs))\ (\ nth\ ((lsum\ xxs\ 0)\ )\ (i{-}1) + j) =$$
$$nth\ (nth\ (xxs)\ (i))\ j)) =$$
$$(\forall\,i.\ 1 \leq i \land i \leq intlen\ xxs \longrightarrow$$
$$(\forall\,j{\leq}intlen\ (nth\ xxs\ i).$$
$$nth\ (lfuse\ xxs)\ (nth\ (addzero\ (lsum\ xxs\ 0))\ i + j) =$$
$$nth\ (nth\ xxs\ i)\ j))$$

  by (*simp add: 26*)

show *?thesis*

 using *11 201 24 25 27 6 8* **by** *auto*

qed

qed

lemma *lcpl-lsum-less-th-equal*:
 assumes *index-sequence 0 l*
        (nth l (intlen l)) = intlen σ
        (∀ i<intlen l. (sub (nth l i) (nth l (Suc i)) σ) |= f △ g)
        intlen σ > 0
        i < intlen (addzero (lsum (lcpl f g σ l) 0))
 shows   *(nth (addzero (lsum (lcpl f g σ l) 0)) (Suc i)) ≤*
        intlen((lfuse (lcpl f g σ l)))
using *assms*
by (*metis* (*no-types*, *lifting*) *Suc-leI filt-lfuse-lsum-5 index-sequence-def*
    lcpl-intlen-nth-gr-zero lcpl-lfuse-lastfirst neq0-conv)

lemma *lcpl-lsum-intlen*:
 assumes *index-sequence 0 l*
        (nth l (intlen l)) = intlen σ
        (∀ i<intlen l. (sub (nth l i) (nth l (Suc i)) σ) |= f △ g)
        intlen σ >0
 shows   *intlen (addzero (lsum ((lcpl f g σ l)) 0)) = intlen l*
proof −
 have *1*: *intlen σ >0 ⟶ intlen (lcpl f g σ l) = intlen l −1*
   using *assms index-sequence-def lcpl-intlen* **by** *fastforce*
 have *2*: *intlen σ>0 ⟶ intlen l >0*
   using *assms gr-zerol index-sequence-def* **by** *fastforce*
 have *3*: *intlen σ > 0 ⟶ intlen (intfirst (lcpl f g σ l)) > 0*
    by (*metis assms interval-intlen-gr-zero interval-nth-zero-intfirst lcpl-intlen-nth-gr-zero*)
 have *4*: *intlen σ >0 ⟶ intlen (lcpl f g σ l) = 0 ⟶*
        intlen (addzero (lsum ((lcpl f g σ l)) 0)) = intlen l
    using *1 2 3 lsum-addzero-intlen* **by** *fastforce*
 have *5*: *intlen σ >0 ⟶ intlen (lcpl f g σ l) > 0 ⟶*
        intlen (addzero (lsum ((lcpl f g σ l)) 0)) = intlen l
   by (*simp add*: *1 lsum-addzero-intlen*)
  show *?thesis* **using** *4 5* **using** *assms*(*4*) **by** *blast*
qed

lemma *lcpl-lsum-nth*:
 assumes *index-sequence 0 l*
        (nth l (intlen l)) = intlen σ
        (∀ i<intlen l. (sub (nth l i) (nth l (Suc i)) σ) |= f △ g)
        intlen σ>0
        j ≤ intlen (addzero (lsum (lcpl f g σ l) 0))
 shows   *(j=0 ⟶*
        (nth (filt (lfuse ((lcpl f g σ l))) (addzero(lsum ((lcpl f g σ l)) 0))) j) =
        intfirst(intfirst (lcpl f g σ l)))

$\wedge$
$(j{>}0 \longrightarrow (nth\ (filt\ (lfuse\ ((lcpl\ f\ g\ \sigma\ l)))\ (addzero(lsum\ ((lcpl\ f\ g\ \sigma\ l))\ 0))))\ j) =$
$intlast(nth\ (lcpl\ f\ g\ \sigma\ l)\ (j{-}1)))$

proof $-$
 have 0: $intlen\ \sigma >0 \longrightarrow intlen\ l >0$
   using *assms gr-zerol index-sequence-def* **by** *fastforce*
 have 1: $intlen\ \sigma >0 \longrightarrow lastfirst\ (lcpl\ f\ g\ \sigma\ l)$
    using *0 assms index-sequence-def lcpl-lfuse-lastfirst* **by** *blast*
 have 2: $intlen\ \sigma{>}0 \longrightarrow$
        $(\forall\ j \leq intlen\ (lcpl\ f\ g\ \sigma\ l).\ intlen(nth\ (lcpl\ f\ g\ \sigma\ l)\ j) > 0)$
   by (*simp add*: *assms lcpl-intlen-nth-gr-zero*)
 have 3: $intlen\ \sigma{>}0 \longrightarrow$
        $intlen\ (addzero\ (lsum\ (lcpl\ f\ g\ \sigma\ l)\ 0)) =$
        $intlen\ (lcpl\ f\ g\ \sigma\ l) +1$

        by (*metis 2 One-nat-def Suc-eq-plus1 interval-intlen-gr-zero interval-nth-zero-intfirst*
        le-imp-less-or-eq lsum-addzero-intlen)
 have 4: $intlen\ \sigma > 0 \longrightarrow$
        $(nth\ (filt\ (lfuse\ ((lcpl\ f\ g\ \sigma\ l)))\ (addzero(lsum\ ((lcpl\ f\ g\ \sigma\ l))\ 0)))\ 0) =$
        $intfirst(intfirst\ (lcpl\ f\ g\ \sigma\ l))$
   by (*simp add*: *1 2 filt-lfuse-lsum-3*)
 have 5: $intlen\ \sigma > 0 \longrightarrow$
        $j \leq intlen\ (addzero\ (lsum\ (lcpl\ f\ g\ \sigma\ l)\ 0)) \wedge j{>}0 \longrightarrow$
        $(nth\ (filt\ (lfuse\ ((lcpl\ f\ g\ \sigma\ l)))\ (addzero(lsum\ ((lcpl\ f\ g\ \sigma\ l))\ 0)))\ (j))$
        $= intlast(nth\ (lcpl\ f\ g\ \sigma\ l)\ (j{-}1))$
   by (*simp add*: *1 2 filt-lfuse-lsum-3*)
 from 4 5 **show** *?thesis* **using** *assms*(4) *assms*(5) **by** *blast*
qed

lemma *lcpl-lsum-nth-a*:
 assumes *index-sequence 0 l*
        $(nth\ l\ (intlen\ l)) = intlen\ \sigma$
        $(\forall\ i{<}intlen\ l.\ (sub\ (nth\ l\ i)\ (nth\ l\ (Suc\ i))\ \sigma) \models f \triangle g)$
        $intlen\ \sigma{>}0$
        $j \leq intlen\ l$
 shows $(nth\ (filt\ (lfuse\ ((lcpl\ f\ g\ \sigma\ l)))\ (addzero(lsum\ ((lcpl\ f\ g\ \sigma\ l))\ 0)))\ j) =$
        $(nth\ l\ j)$
proof $-$
 have 1: $intlen\ \sigma{>}0 \longrightarrow intlen\ l{>}0$
  using *assms gr-zerol index-sequence-def* **by** *fastforce*
 have 2: $intlen\ \sigma{>}0 \longrightarrow intlen\ (addzero\ (lsum\ (lcpl\ f\ g\ \sigma\ l)\ 0)) = intlen\ l$
   by (*simp add*: *assms lcpl-lsum-intlen*)
 have 3: $intlen\ \sigma{>}0 \longrightarrow$
        $j \leq intlen\ l \longrightarrow$
         $(\ j{=}0 \longrightarrow$
        $(nth\ (filt\ (lfuse\ ((lcpl\ f\ g\ \sigma\ l)))\ (addzero(lsum\ ((lcpl\ f\ g\ \sigma\ l))\ 0)))\ j) =$
        $intfirst(intfirst\ (lcpl\ f\ g\ \sigma\ l))\ )$
        $\wedge$
        $(j{>}0 \longrightarrow (nth\ (filt\ (lfuse\ ((lcpl\ f\ g\ \sigma\ l)))\ (addzero(lsum\ ((lcpl\ f\ g\ \sigma\ l))\ 0)))\ j) =$

$intlast(nth\ (lcpl\ f\ g\ \sigma\ l)\ (j-1)))$

by (*metis 2 assms lcpl-lsum-nth*)
have 4: *intlen σ>0* $\longrightarrow$
      $j \leq intlen\ l \wedge j=0 \longrightarrow intfirst(intfirst\ (lcpl\ f\ g\ \sigma\ l)) = (nth\ l\ j)$
   using *assms lcpl-intfirst*[*of l σ f g*]
   by (*metis 1 index-sequence-def interval-nth-zero-intfirst*)
have 5: *intlen σ>0* $\longrightarrow$
      $j \leq intlen\ l \wedge j>0 \longrightarrow j-1 \leq intlen\ (lcpl\ f\ g\ \sigma\ l)$
    using *assms* **by** (*metis 1 diff-le-mono index-sequence-def lcpl-intlen*)
have 6: *intlen σ>0* $\longrightarrow$
      $j \leq intlen\ l \wedge j>0 \longrightarrow intlast(nth\ (lcpl\ f\ g\ \sigma\ l)\ (j-1)) = (nth\ l\ j)$
  using *lcpl-intlast-nth*
  by (*metis 5 One-nat-def Suc-pred assms*)
show *?thesis*
using 3 4 6 **using** *assms*(4) *assms*(5) **by** *auto*
qed

lemma *lcpl-filt-lfuse-lsum*:
 assumes *index-sequence 0 l*
     $(nth\ l\ (intlen\ l)) = intlen\ \sigma$
     $(\forall\ i<intlen\ l.\ (sub\ (nth\ l\ i)\ (nth\ l\ (Suc\ i))\ \sigma) \models f \bigtriangleup g)$
     intlen σ>0
 shows $(filt\ (lfuse\ ((lcpl\ f\ g\ \sigma\ l)))\ (addzero(lsum\ ((lcpl\ f\ g\ \sigma\ l))\ 0))) = l$
using *assms*
proof $-$
 have 0: *intlen σ>0* $\longrightarrow$ *intlen l > 0*
  using *assms gr-zerol index-sequence-def* **by** *fastforce*
 have 1: *intlen σ>0* $\longrightarrow$
     $intlen\ (filt\ (lfuse\ ((lcpl\ f\ g\ \sigma\ l)))\ (addzero(lsum\ ((lcpl\ f\ g\ \sigma\ l))\ 0))) = intlen\ l$
  by (*simp add: assms filt-intlen lcpl-lsum-intlen*)
 have 2: *intlen σ>0* $\longrightarrow$
     $(\forall\ j.\ j \leq intlen\ l \longrightarrow$
     $(nth\ (filt\ (lfuse\ ((lcpl\ f\ g\ \sigma\ l)))\ (addzero(lsum\ ((lcpl\ f\ g\ \sigma\ l))\ 0)))\ j) =$
     $(nth\ l\ j))$
  by (*simp add: assms lcpl-lsum-nth-a*)
 from 1 2 **show** *?thesis* **by** (*simp add: assms*(4) *interval-eq-nth-eq*)
qed

lemma *lcpl-lfuse-filt-power*:
 assumes *index-sequence 0 l*
     $(nth\ l\ (intlen\ l)) = intlen\ \sigma$
     $(\forall\ i<intlen\ l.\ (sub\ (nth\ l\ i)\ (nth\ l\ (Suc\ i))\ \sigma) \models f \bigtriangleup g)$
     intlen σ >0
 shows   *powerinterval g (filt σ (lfuse (lcpl f g σ l))) (addzero (lsum (lcpl f g σ l) 0))*
proof $-$
 have 0: *intlen σ > 0* $\longrightarrow$ *intlen l >0*
using *assms gr-zerol index-sequence-def* **by** *fastforce*
 have 01: $(\forall\ i<intlen\ l.$

254

g (filt (sub (nth l i) (nth l (Suc i)) σ) (cpl f g (sub (nth l i) (nth l (Suc i)) σ))))
  using *assms cpl-projection* **by** *auto*
have *02*: intlen σ > 0 $\longrightarrow$ ($\forall$ i<intlen l. g (filt σ (nth (lcpl f g σ l) i)))
  using *0 assms index-sequence-def lcpl-lfuse-filt-power-help* **by** *blast*
have *03* : powerinterval g (filt σ (lfuse (lcpl f g σ l))) (addzero (lsum (lcpl f g σ l) 0)) =
      ($\forall i$<intlen (addzero (lsum (lcpl f g σ l) 0)).
        g (sub (nth (addzero (lsum (lcpl f g σ l) 0)) i)
            (nth (addzero (lsum (lcpl f g σ l) 0)) (Suc i))
            (filt σ (lfuse (lcpl f g σ l)))))
      by (*simp add*: *powerinterval-def*)
have *04*: intlen σ >0 $\longrightarrow$ intlen (addzero (lsum (lcpl f g σ l) 0)) = intlen l
  by (*simp add*: *assms lcpl-lsum-intlen*)
have *05*: intlen σ >0 $\longrightarrow$
      ($\forall$ i<intlen l.
        (filt σ (nth (lcpl f g σ l) i)) =
        (sub (nth (addzero (lsum (lcpl f g σ l) 0)) i)
            (nth (addzero (lsum (lcpl f g σ l) 0)) (Suc i))
            (filt σ (lfuse (lcpl f g σ l)))))

  proof $-$
  have *06*: intlen σ >0 $\longrightarrow$
        ($\forall$ i<intlen l. intlen (filt σ (nth (lcpl f g σ l) i)) =
            intlen (nth (lcpl f g σ l) i))
    using *filt-intlen* **by** *blast*
  have *07*: intlen σ >0 $\longrightarrow$
        ($\forall$ i<intlen l. intlen (nth (lcpl f g σ l) i) =
          intlen (map (shift (nth l i)) (cpl f g (sub (nth l i) (nth l (Suc i)) σ))))

      using *assms* **by** (*metis index-sequence-def lcpl-nth*)
  have *08*: intlen σ >0 $\longrightarrow$
        ($\forall$ i<intlen l.
          intlen (map (shift (nth l i)) (cpl f g (sub (nth l i) (nth l (Suc i)) σ))) =
          intlen (cpl f g (sub (nth l i) (nth l (Suc i)) σ)))

      using *interval-intlen-map* **by** *blast*
  have *09*: intlen σ >0 $\longrightarrow$
        ($\forall i$<intlen l.
          intlen (sub (nth (addzero (lsum (lcpl f g σ l) 0)) i)
                (nth (addzero (lsum (lcpl f g σ l) 0)) (Suc i))
                (filt σ (lfuse (lcpl f g σ l)))) =
          (nth (addzero (lsum (lcpl f g σ l) 0)) (Suc i)) $-$
          (nth (addzero (lsum (lcpl f g σ l) 0)) i))

          by (*metis 04 assms filt-intlen interval-intlen-sub lcpl-lsum-less-th-equal
                lcpl-intlen-nth-gr-zero le-eq-less-or-eq lsum-addzero-nth-leq-Suc*)
  have *10*: intlen σ >0 $\longrightarrow$
        ($\forall$ i<intlen l. (nth (addzero (lsum (lcpl f g σ l) 0)) (Suc i)) =
          (nth (0$\odot$(lsum (lcpl f g σ l) 0)) (Suc i)))

      using *04 addzero-def* **by** *auto*

have *11*: *intlen σ >0* ⟶
    (∀ *i<intlen l*. (*nth* (*0⊙*(*lsum* (*lcpl f g σ l*) *0*)) (*Suc i*)) =
    (∑ *k*::*nat= 0..*(*i*). *intlen*(*nth* (*lcpl f g σ l*) *k*)))
      using *04*
  proof *simp-all*
   assume *0 < intlen σ* ⟶ *intlen* (*addzero* (*lsum* (*lcpl f g σ l*) *0*)) = *intlen l*
   show   *0 < intlen σ* ⟶
      (∀*i<intlen l*. *nth* (*lsum* (*lcpl f g σ l*) *0*) *i* =
      (∑ *k = 0..i*. *intlen* (*nth* (*lcpl f g σ l*) *k*)))
   proof
    assume *0 < intlen σ*
    show ∀*i<intlen l*. *nth* (*lsum* (*lcpl f g σ l*) *0*) *i* =
      (∑ *k = 0..i*. *intlen* (*nth* (*lcpl f g σ l*) *k*))
    proof
     fix *i*
     show *i < intlen l* ⟶
       nth (*lsum* (*lcpl f g σ l*) *0*) *i* = (∑ *k = 0..i*. *intlen* (*nth* (*lcpl f g σ l*) *k*))
     by (*metis* (*no-types, lifting*) *0 One-nat-def Suc-leI Suc-le-mono Suc-pred*
       add.left-neutral assms(*1*) *assms*(*4*) *index-sequence-def lcpl-intlen lsum-nth sum.cong*)
    qed
   qed
  qed
have *12*: *intlen σ >0* ⟶
    (∀ *i<intlen l*. (*nth* (*addzero* (*lsum* (*lcpl f g σ l*) *0*)) *i*) =
    (*nth* (*0⊙*(*lsum* (*lcpl f g σ l*) *0*)) *i*))

  using *04 addzero-def* **by** *auto*
have *13*: *intlen σ >0* ⟶
    (∀ *i<intlen l*. (*nth* (*0⊙*(*lsum* (*lcpl f g σ l*) *0*)) *i*) =
    (*case i of 0* ⇒ *0*
     | *Suc j* ⇒ (∑ *k*::*nat= 0..*(*j*). *intlen*(*nth* (*lcpl f g σ l*) *k*))))
      using *11* **by** (*simp-all add*: *Nitpick.case-nat-unfold*)
have *14*: *intlen σ >0* ⟶
    (∀ *i<intlen l*.
    (*nth* (*addzero* (*lsum* (*lcpl f g σ l*) *0*)) (*Suc i*)) −
    (*nth* (*addzero* (*lsum* (*lcpl f g σ l*) *0*)) *i*) =
    (∑ *k*::*nat= 0..*(*i*). *intlen*(*nth* (*lcpl f g σ l*) *k*)) −
    (*case i of 0* ⇒ *0*
     | *Suc j* ⇒ (∑ *k*::*nat= 0..*(*j*). *intlen*(*nth* (*lcpl f g σ l*) *k*))))

  using *10 11 12 13* **by** *auto*
have *15*: *intlen σ >0* ⟶
    (∀ *i<intlen l*.
    (∑ *k*::*nat= 0..*(*i*). *intlen*(*nth* (*lcpl f g σ l*) *k*)) −
    (*case i of 0* ⇒ *0*
     | *Suc j* ⇒ (∑ *k*::*nat= 0..*(*j*). *intlen*(*nth* (*lcpl f g σ l*) *k*))) =
    (*case i of 0* ⇒ *intlen*(*nth* (*lcpl f g σ l*) *0*)
     | *Suc j* ⇒ (∑ *k*::*nat= 0..*(*Suc j*). *intlen*(*nth* (*lcpl f g σ l*) *k*)) −
       (∑ *k*::*nat= 0..*(*j*). *intlen*(*nth* (*lcpl f g σ l*) *k*))))

by (*simp add*: *Nitpick.case-nat-unfold*)

have *16*: *intlen σ >0* ⟶

    (∀ *i<intlen l*.

      (*case i of 0 ⇒ intlen(nth (lcpl f g σ l) 0)*

      | *Suc j ⇒* (∑ *k::nat= 0..(Suc j). intlen(nth (lcpl f g σ l) k)) −*

           (∑ *k::nat= 0..(j). intlen(nth (lcpl f g σ l) k))) =*

      (*case i of 0 ⇒ intlen(nth (lcpl f g σ l) 0)*

      | *Suc j ⇒ intlen(nth (lcpl f g σ l) (Suc j))*))

by (*metis* (*no-types*, *lifting*) *Nitpick.case-nat-unfold add-diff-cancel-left′*

    sum.atLeast0-atMost-Suc)

have *17*: *intlen σ >0* ⟶

    (∀ *i<intlen l*.

      (*case i of 0 ⇒ intlen(nth (lcpl f g σ l) 0)*

      | *Suc j ⇒ intlen(nth (lcpl f g σ l) (Suc j))*) =

      intlen(nth (lcpl f g σ l) i))

by (*simp add*: *Nitpick.case-nat-unfold*)

have *18*: *intlen σ >0* ⟶

    (∀ *i<intlen l*. *intlen (filt σ (nth (lcpl f g σ l) i)) =*

     intlen (sub (nth (addzero (lsum (lcpl f g σ l) 0)) i)

          (nth (addzero (lsum (lcpl f g σ l) 0)) (Suc i))

          (filt σ (lfuse (lcpl f g σ l)))))

by (*simp add*: *09 14 15 16 17 filt-intlen*)

have *19*: *intlen σ >0* ⟶

    (∀ *i<intlen l*.

     (∀ *j≤ intlen(nth (lcpl f g σ l) i)*.

     (nth (addzero (lsum (lcpl f g σ l) 0)) (Suc i)) ≤

     intlen (filt σ (lfuse (lcpl f g σ l))))))

by (*simp add*: *04 assms filt-intlen lcpl-lsum-less-th-equal*)

have *22*: *intlen σ >0* ⟶ *lastfirst (lcpl f g σ l)*

  using *0 assms index-sequence-def lcpl-lfuse-lastfirst* **by** *blast*

have *23*: *intlen σ >0* ⟶ (∀ *j ≤ intlen (lcpl f g σ l). intlen(nth (lcpl f g σ l) j) > 0*)

by (*simp add*: *assms lcpl-intlen-nth-gr-zero*)

have *190*: *intlen σ >0* ⟶

    (∀ *i<intlen l*.

     (∀ *j≤ intlen(nth (lcpl f g σ l) i)*.

      (nth (addzero (lsum (lcpl f g σ l) 0)) i) ≤

      (nth (addzero (lsum (lcpl f g σ l) 0)) (Suc i))

     ))

by (*simp add*: *04 23 less-imp-le-nat lsum-addzero-nth-leq-Suc*)

have *20*: *intlen σ >0* ⟶

    (∀ *i<intlen l*.

     (∀ *j≤ intlen(nth (lcpl f g σ l) i)*.

     (nth (sub (nth (addzero (lsum (lcpl f g σ l) 0)) i)

          (nth (addzero (lsum (lcpl f g σ l) 0)) (Suc i))

          (filt σ (lfuse (lcpl f g σ l))))) j) =

$(nth\ (filt\ \sigma\ (lfuse\ (lcpl\ f\ g\ \sigma\ l)))$
$\qquad ((nth\ (addzero\ (lsum\ (lcpl\ f\ g\ \sigma\ l)\ 0))\ i)\ +j)\ )\ )\ )$

by (*simp add*: *14 15 16 17 19 190*)
have *21*: *intlen* $\sigma >0 \longrightarrow$
$\qquad (\forall\ i<intlen\ l.$
$\qquad (\forall\ j\le\ intlen(nth\ (lcpl\ f\ g\ \sigma\ l)\ i).$
$\qquad (nth\ (filt\ \sigma\ (lfuse\ (lcpl\ f\ g\ \sigma\ l)))$
$\qquad ((nth\ (addzero\ (lsum\ (lcpl\ f\ g\ \sigma\ l)\ 0))\ i)\ +j)\ )\ =$
$\qquad (nth\ \sigma\ (nth\ (lfuse\ (lcpl\ f\ g\ \sigma\ l))$
$\qquad ((nth\ (addzero\ (lsum\ (lcpl\ f\ g\ \sigma\ l)\ 0))\ i)\ +j)\ )\ )\ )$

by (*simp add*: *filt-map interval-nth-map*)
have *24*: *intlen* $\sigma >0 \longrightarrow$
$\qquad (\forall\ i\le intlen\ (lcpl\ f\ g\ \sigma\ l).$
$\qquad (\forall\ j\le\ intlen(nth\ (lcpl\ f\ g\ \sigma\ l)\ i).$
$\qquad (\ (nth\ (lfuse\ (lcpl\ f\ g\ \sigma\ l))\ ((nth\ (addzero\ (lsum\ (lcpl\ f\ g\ \sigma\ l)\ 0))\ i)\ +j)\ ))\ =$
$\qquad (\ (nth\ (nth\ (lcpl\ f\ g\ \sigma\ l)\ i)\ j))\ \ ))$

by (*simp add*: *22 23 lsum-lfuse-nth-lsum-nth*)
have *241*: *intlen* $\sigma >0 \longrightarrow$ *intlen* $(lcpl\ f\ g\ \sigma\ l) = intlen\ l -1$
using *0 assms index-sequence-def lcpl-intlen* **by** *blast*
have *25*: *intlen* $\sigma >0 \longrightarrow$
$\qquad (\forall\ i<intlen\ l.$
$\qquad (\forall\ j\le\ intlen(nth\ (lcpl\ f\ g\ \sigma\ l)\ i).$
$\qquad (nth\ \sigma\ (nth\ (lfuse\ (lcpl\ f\ g\ \sigma\ l))$
$\qquad ((nth\ (addzero\ (lsum\ (lcpl\ f\ g\ \sigma\ l)\ 0))\ i)\ +j)\ ))\ =$
$\qquad (nth\ (filt\ \sigma\ (nth\ (lcpl\ f\ g\ \sigma\ l)\ i))\ j)\ ))$

by (*simp add*: *06 24 241 assms filt-nth*)
have *26*: *intlen* $\sigma >0 \longrightarrow$
$\qquad (\forall\ i<intlen\ l.$
$\qquad (\forall\ j\le\ intlen(nth\ (lcpl\ f\ g\ \sigma\ l)\ i).$
$\qquad (nth\ (filt\ \sigma\ (nth\ (lcpl\ f\ g\ \sigma\ l)\ i))\ j)\ =$
$\qquad (nth\ (sub\ (nth\ (addzero\ (lsum\ (lcpl\ f\ g\ \sigma\ l)\ 0))\ i)$
$\qquad (nth\ (addzero\ (lsum\ (lcpl\ f\ g\ \sigma\ l)\ 0))\ (Suc\ i))$
$\qquad (filt\ \sigma\ (lfuse\ (lcpl\ f\ g\ \sigma\ l))))\ j)\ )\ )$

by (*simp add*: *20 21 25*)
from *18 26* **show** *?thesis*
by (*simp add*: *filt-intlen interval-eq-nth-eq*)
qed
show *?thesis*
using *02 03 04 05* **by** (*simp add*: *assms(4)*)
qed

lemma *lcpl-lfuse-filt-intlen*:
assumes *index-sequence 0 l*
$\qquad (nth\ l\ (intlen\ l)) = intlen\ \sigma$
$\qquad (\forall\ i<intlen\ l.\ (sub\ (nth\ l\ i)\ (nth\ l\ (Suc\ i))\ \sigma) \models f\ \triangle\ g)$

258

$intlen\ \sigma > 0$

shows $(nth\ (addzero\ (lsum\ (lcpl\ f\ g\ \sigma\ l))\ 0))\ (intlen(addzero\ (lsum\ (lcpl\ f\ g\ \sigma\ l)\ 0)))) =$
$intlen\ (filt\ \sigma\ (lfuse\ (lcpl\ f\ g\ \sigma\ l)))$

proof $-$
have 0: $intlen\ \sigma > 0 \longrightarrow intlen\ l > 0$
 using *assms gr-zerol index-sequence-def* **by** *fastforce*
have 1: $intlen\ \sigma > 0 \longrightarrow$
  $intlen\ (filt\ \sigma\ (lfuse\ (lcpl\ f\ g\ \sigma\ l))) = intlen\ (\ (lfuse\ (lcpl\ f\ g\ \sigma\ l)))$
 using *filt-intlen* **by** *blast*
have 2: $intlen\ \sigma > 0 \longrightarrow$
  $intlen\ (\ (lfuse\ (lcpl\ f\ g\ \sigma\ l))) =$
  $(\sum k::nat = 0..(intlen\ (lcpl\ f\ g\ \sigma\ l)).\ intlen(nth\ (lcpl\ f\ g\ \sigma\ l)\ k))$
 using *0 assms index-sequence-def interval-lfuse-intlen lcpl-lfuse-lastfirst* **by** *blast*
have 3: $intlen\ \sigma > 0 \longrightarrow$
  $intlast(\ addzero\ (lsum\ (lcpl\ f\ g\ \sigma\ l))\ 0)) = intlast(\ (lsum\ (lcpl\ f\ g\ \sigma\ l)\ 0))$
 using *lsum-addzero-intlast* **by** *blast*
have 4: $intlen\ \sigma > 0 \longrightarrow$
  $intlast(\ (lsum\ (lcpl\ f\ g\ \sigma\ l)\ 0)) =$
  $(\sum k::nat = 0..(intlen\ (lcpl\ f\ g\ \sigma\ l)).\ intlen(nth\ (lcpl\ f\ g\ \sigma\ l)\ k))$
 by $(metis\ add\text{-}cancel\text{-}right\text{-}left\ lsum\text{-}intlast)$
show *?thesis* **using** *1 2 3 4* **by** $(simp\ add:\ assms(4))$
qed

10.3 Soundness of Projection Axioms

10.3.1 PJ1

lemma *PJ1sem*:
$(\sigma \models f \triangle (\ g \vee h) \longrightarrow f \triangle g \vee f \triangle h)$
by $(simp\ add:\ projection\text{-}d\text{-}def)\ blast$

lemma *PJ1sema*:
$(\sigma \models f \triangle (\ g \vee h) = (f \triangle g \vee f \triangle h))$
by $(simp\ add:\ projection\text{-}d\text{-}def)\ blast$

10.3.2 PJ2

lemma *PJ2sem*:
$(\sigma \models f \triangle empty = empty)$
proof *auto*
 show $(\sigma \models f \triangle empty) \Longrightarrow \sigma \models empty$
 unfolding *projection-d-def empty-defs index-sequence-def*
 by $(metis\ filt.simps(2)\ interval\text{-}intlen\text{-}cons\text{-}1\ neq0\text{-}conv)$
 show $\sigma \models empty \Longrightarrow (\sigma \models f \triangle empty)$
 unfolding *projection-d-def empty-defs index-sequence-def powerinterval-def*
  by $(metis\ Interval.nth.simps(1)\ filt.simps(1)\ index\text{-}sequence\text{-}def\ intlen.simps(1)$
   not-less-zero $)$
qed

10.3.3 PJ3

lemma *PJ3help*:
 sub 0 (intlen σ) σ = σ
by (*simp add*: *interval-sub-zero-prefix*)

lemma *PJ3help1*:
assumes *f σ ∧ 0 < intlen σ*
shows   (∃ *l*. *index-sequence 0 l ∧*
      nth l (intlen l) = intlen σ ∧
      (∀ *i<intlen l*. *f (sub (nth l i) (nth l (Suc i)) σ)) ∧*
      (∃ *s1*. *intlen s1 = intlen l ∧*
    (∀ *i≤intlen s1*. *nth s1 i = nth σ (nth l i)) ∧ intlen s1 = Suc 0))*
proof −
 have *1*: *index-sequence 0 ⟨0,intlen σ⟩*
   by (*simp add*: *assms index-sequence-def*)
 have *2*: *nth ⟨0,intlen σ⟩ (intlen ⟨0,intlen σ⟩) = intlen σ*
   by *auto*
 have *3*: (∀ *i<intlen ⟨0,intlen σ⟩*. *f (sub (nth ⟨0,intlen σ⟩ i) (nth ⟨0,intlen σ⟩ (Suc i)) σ))*
   by (*simp add*: *PJ3help assms*)
 have *4*: *intlen ⟨nth σ (0), nth σ (intlen σ)⟩ = intlen ⟨0,intlen σ⟩*
   by *simp*
 have *5*: (∀ *i≤intlen ⟨nth σ (0), nth σ (intlen σ)⟩*.
    nth ⟨nth σ (0), nth σ (intlen σ)⟩ i = nth σ (nth ⟨0,intlen σ⟩ i))
   using *antisym-conv2* **by** *fastforce*
 have *6*: *intlen ⟨nth σ (0), nth σ (intlen σ)⟩ = Suc 0*
   by *simp*
 show *?thesis*
 using *1 2 3 4 5 6* **by** *blast*
qed

lemma *PJ3sem*:
(σ ⊨ *f △ skip = (f ∧ more)*)
proof −
 have *1*: (σ ⊨ *f △ skip*) ⟹ (σ ⊨ *f ∧ more*)
   by (*metis (mono-tags, lifting) One-nat-def PJ3help cpl-projection filt-expand index-sequence-def*
      more-defs powerinterval-def skip-defs unl-lift2 zero-less-one)
 have *2*: (σ ⊨ *f ∧ more*) ⟹ (σ ⊨ *f △ skip*)
   by (*simp add*: *projection-d-def skip-defs more-defs powerinterval-def*,
      metis PJ3help1 filt-intlen)
 show *?thesis*
 using *1 2 unl-lift2* **by** *blast*
qed

10.3.4 PJ4

lemma *PJ4semchaina*:
assumes (σ ⊨ *f △ (g;h)*)
 shows (σ ⊨ *(f △ g) ; (f △ h)*)
proof −

have *1*: ($\sigma \models f \bigtriangleup (g;h)$)
using *assms* **by** *auto*
have *2*: ($\exists l.$ *index-sequence 0 l* $\wedge$
  nth l (*intlen l*) $=$ *intlen* σ $\wedge$
  powerinterval f σ *l* $\wedge$
  ($\exists n \leq intlen$ (*filt* σ *l*). *g* (*prefix n* (*filt* σ *l*)) $\wedge$ *h* (*suffix n* (*filt* σ *l*))))
by (*metis assms chop-defs projection-d-def*)
obtain *l* **where** *3*: *index-sequence 0 l* $\wedge$
  nth l (*intlen l*) $=$ *intlen* σ $\wedge$
  powerinterval f σ *l* $\wedge$
  ($\exists n \leq intlen$ (*filt* σ *l*). *g* (*prefix n* (*filt* σ *l*)) $\wedge$ *h* (*suffix n* (*filt* σ *l*)))
  using *2* **by** *auto*
have *4*: *index-sequence 0 l*
  using *3* **by** *auto*
have *5*: *powerinterval f* σ *l*
  using *3* **by** *auto*
have *6*: *nth l* (*intlen l*) $=$ *intlen* σ
  using *3* **by** *auto*
have *7*: ($\exists n \leq intlen$ (*filt* σ *l*). *g* (*prefix n* (*filt* σ *l*)) $\wedge$ *h* (*suffix n* (*filt* σ *l*)))
  using *3* **by** *auto*
obtain *n* **where** *8*: *n* $\leq intlen$ (*filt* σ *l*) $\wedge$ *g* (*prefix n* (*filt* σ *l*)) $\wedge$ *h* (*suffix n* (*filt* σ *l*))
using *7* **by** *auto*
have *9*: *n* $\leq intlen$ (*filt* σ *l*)
  using *8* **by** *auto*
have *10*: *g* (*prefix n* (*filt* σ *l*))
  using *8* **by** *auto*
have *11*: *h* (*suffix n* (*filt* σ *l*))
  using *8* **by** *auto*
have *12*: *index-sequence 0* (*prefix n l*)
  by (*metis 4 8 filt-intlen interval-idx-split*)
have *13*: *index-sequence* (*nth l n*) (*suffix n l*)
  by (*metis 4 9 filt-intlen interval-idx-split*)
have *14*: *index-sequence 0* ((*map* (*shiftm* (*nth l n*)) (*suffix n l*)))
using *13 interval-idx-shiftm* **by** *blast*
have *15*: *g* (*filt* σ (*prefix n l*))
  by (*metis 8 filt-intlen filt-prefix*)
have *16*: *h* (*filt* σ (*suffix n l*))
  by (*metis 11 9 filt-intlen filt-suffix*)
have *17*: *g* (*filt* (*prefix* (*nth l n*) σ) (*prefix n l*))
  by (*metis* (*no-types*, *lifting*) *12 15 4 6 8 filt-intlen filt-prefix-idx*
    interval-idx-less-equal interval-intlast-prefix interval-nth-intlen-intlast order-refl)
have *18*: *h* (*filt* (*suffix* (*nth l n*) σ) ((*map* (*shiftm* (*nth l n*)) (*suffix n l*))))
  proof $-$
  have *181*: *intlen*((*filt* σ (*suffix n l*))) $=$
    intlen(*filt* (*suffix* (*nth l n*) σ) ((*map* (*shiftm* (*nth l n*)) (*suffix n l*))))
    by (*simp add*: *filt-intlen*)
  have *182*: ($\forall j \leq intlen$((*filt* σ (*suffix n l*))).
    (*nth* (*filt* σ (*suffix n l*)) *j*) $=$
    (*nth* σ ((*nth l* (*n+j*))))
)

261

by (*metis 9 filt-intlen filt-map interval-nth-map interval-nth-suffix*
  interval-suffix-length-good)

have *183*: ($\forall j \leq$ *intlen*((*filt* σ (*suffix n l*))).
  (*nth* (*filt* (*suffix* (*nth l n*) σ) ((*map* (*shiftm* (*nth l n*)) (*suffix n l*)))) *j*) =
  (*nth* (*suffix* (*nth l n*) σ) (*nth* (*map* (*shiftm* (*nth l n*)) (*suffix n l*)) *j*))
)

by (*simp add*: *filt-map interval-nth-map*)

have *184*: (*nth l n*) $\leq$ *intlen* σ

by (*metis 4 6 9 filt-intlen interval-idx-less-equal order-refl*)

have *185*: ($\forall j \leq$ *intlen*((*filt* σ (*suffix n l*))).
  (*nth* (*map* (*shiftm* (*nth l n*)) (*suffix n l*)) *j*) =
  (*nth* (*suffix n l*) *j*) $-$ (*nth l n*)
)

by (*metis 4 6 9 filt-intlen interval-nth-suffix interval-idx-shiftm-suffix-nth*
  interval-suffix-length-good)

have *186*: ($\forall j \leq$ *intlen*((*filt* σ (*suffix n l*))).
  (*nth* (*suffix n l*) *j*) $-$ (*nth l n*) = (*nth l* (*j+n*)) $-$ (*nth l n*)
)

by (*metis 9 add.commute filt-intlen interval-nth-suffix interval-suffix-length-good*)

have *187*: ($\forall j \leq$ *intlen*((*filt* σ (*suffix n l*))).
  (*nth l* (*j+n*)) $-$ (*nth l n*) $\leq$ *intlen* σ $-$ (*nth l n*)
)

by (*metis 4 6 9 add.commute diff-le-mono eq-imp-le filt-intlen*
  interval-idx-less-equal interval-suffix-length-good nat-add-left-cancel-le
  ordered-cancel-comm-monoid-diff-class.add-diff-inverse)

have *188*: ($\forall j \leq$ *intlen*((*filt* σ (*suffix n l*))).
  (*nth* (*suffix* (*nth l n*) σ) (*nth* (*map* (*shiftm* (*nth l n*)) (*suffix n l*)) *j*)) =
  (*nth* σ ((*nth* (*map* (*shiftm* (*nth l n*)) (*suffix n l*)) *j*) + (*nth l n*)))
)

using *interval-nth-suffix*

by (*simp add*: *184 185 186 187 add.commute*)

have *189*: ($\forall j \leq$ *intlen*((*filt* σ (*suffix n l*))).
  (*nth* σ ((*nth* (*map* (*shiftm* (*nth l n*)) (*suffix n l*)) *j*) + (*nth l n*))) =
  (*nth* σ ((*nth* (*suffix n l*) *j*)))
)

by (*metis 13 185 filt-intlen interval-idx-greater*
  ordered-cancel-comm-monoid-diff-class.le-imp-diff-is-add)

have *190*: ($\forall j \leq$ *intlen*((*filt* σ (*suffix n l*))).
  (*nth* (*filt* σ (*suffix n l*)) *j*) =
  (*nth* (*filt* (*suffix* (*nth l n*) σ) ((*map* (*shiftm* (*nth l n*)) (*suffix n l*)))) *j*)
)

using *183 188 189 filt-expand* **by** *fastforce*

show *?thesis*

by (*metis 16 181 190 interval-eq-nth-eq*)

qed

have *19*: *powerinterval f* (*prefix* (*nth l n*) σ) (*prefix n l*)

by (*metis 4 5 6 8 filt-intlen powerinterval-splita*)

have *20*: *powerinterval f* (*suffix* (*nth l n*) σ) ((*map* (*shiftm* (*nth l n*)) (*suffix n l*)))

by (*metis 4 5 6 9 filt-intlen powerinterval-split*)

have *21*: (*nth l n*) $\leq$ *intlen* σ

by (*metis 3 9 filt-intlen interval-idx-less-equal order-refl*)
have *22*: *nth* (*prefix n l*) (*intlen* (*prefix n l*)) = (*nth l n*)
  by (*metis 8 filt-intlen interval-intlast-prefix interval-nth-intlen-intlast*)
have *23*: *nth* ((*map* (*shiftm* (*nth l n*)) (*suffix n l*)))
        (*intlen* ((*map* (*shiftm* (*nth l n*)) (*suffix n l*)))) = *intlen σ* −(*nth l n*)
  by (*metis 4 6 9 eq-imp-le filt-intlen interval-intlen-map interval-idx-shiftm-suffix-nth*
      interval-suffix-length-good ordered-cancel-comm-monoid-diff-class.add-diff-inverse)
have *24*: *l* = *fuse* (*prefix n l*)
            (*map* (*shift* (*nth l n*))) ((*map* (*shiftm* (*nth l n*)) (*suffix n l*))))
  using *interval-fuse-prefix-suffix*
  by (*metis 13 14 8 filt-intlen interval-lsk-ls*)
have *25*: ($\exists l1$. *index-sequence 0 l1* $\wedge$
        Interval.nth l1 (*intlen l1*) = *intlen* (*prefix* (*nth l n*) *σ*) $\wedge$
        powerinterval f (*prefix* (*nth l n*) *σ*) *l1* $\wedge$ *g* (*filt* (*prefix* (*nth l n*) *σ*) *l1*))
  by (*metis 12 17 19 21 22 interval-prefix-length-good*)
have *26*: ($\exists l2$. *index-sequence 0 l2* $\wedge$
        Interval.nth l2 (*intlen l2*) = *intlen* (*suffix* (*nth l n*) *σ*) $\wedge$
        powerinterval f (*suffix* (*nth l n*) *σ*) *l2* $\wedge$ *h* (*filt* (*suffix* (*nth l n*) *σ*) *l2*))
  using *18 14 20 23 21* **by** *auto*
have *27*: (*prefix* (*nth l n*) *σ*) $\models f \triangle g$
    by (*metis 25 projection-d-def*)
have *28*: (*suffix* (*nth l n*) *σ*) $\models f \triangle h$
  by (*metis 26 projection-d-def*)
 show *?thesis*
 using *21 27 28 chop-defs* **by** *auto*
qed

lemma *PJ4semchainb*:
assumes (*σ* $\models$ (*f* $\triangle$ *g*) ; (*f* $\triangle$ *h*))
 shows (*σ* $\models f \triangle$ (*g;h*))
proof −
 have *1*: ($\exists n \leq intlen\ σ$.
     ($\exists l$. *index-sequence 0 l* $\wedge$
         Interval.nth l (*intlen l*) = *intlen* (*prefix n σ*) $\wedge$
         powerinterval f (*prefix n σ*) *l* $\wedge$ *g* (*filt* (*prefix n σ*) *l*)) $\wedge$
     ($\exists l$. *index-sequence 0 l* $\wedge$
         Interval.nth l (*intlen l*) = *intlen* (*suffix n σ*) $\wedge$
         powerinterval f (*suffix n σ*) *l* $\wedge$ *h* (*filt* (*suffix n σ*) *l*)))
   using *assms* **by** (*metis chop-defs cpl-projection*)
 obtain *cp* **where** *2*: *cp* $\leq intlen\ σ$ $\wedge$
     ($\exists l$. *index-sequence 0 l* $\wedge$
         Interval.nth l (*intlen l*) = *intlen* (*prefix cp σ*) $\wedge$
         powerinterval f (*prefix cp σ*) *l* $\wedge$ *g* (*filt* (*prefix cp σ*) *l*)) $\wedge$
     ($\exists l$. *index-sequence 0 l* $\wedge$
         Interval.nth l (*intlen l*) = *intlen* (*suffix cp σ*) $\wedge$
         powerinterval f (*suffix cp σ*) *l* $\wedge$ *h* (*filt* (*suffix cp σ*) *l*))
   using *1* **by** *auto*
 have *3*: *cp* $\leq intlen\ σ$
  using *2* **by** *auto*
 have *4*: ($\exists l$. *index-sequence 0 l* $\wedge$

$Interval.nth\ l\ (intlen\ l) = intlen\ (prefix\ cp\ \sigma) \wedge$
$powerinterval\ f\ (prefix\ cp\ \sigma)\ l \wedge g\ (filt\ (prefix\ cp\ \sigma)\ l))$
using 2 **by** *auto*
obtain *l1* **where** 5: *index-sequence 0 l1* $\wedge$
$Interval.nth\ l1\ (intlen\ l1) = intlen\ (prefix\ cp\ \sigma) \wedge$
$powerinterval\ f\ (prefix\ cp\ \sigma)\ l1 \wedge g\ (filt\ (prefix\ cp\ \sigma)\ l1)$
using 4 **by** *auto*
have 6: *index-sequence 0 l1*
using 5 **by** *auto*
have 7: $Interval.nth\ l1\ (intlen\ l1) = intlen\ (prefix\ cp\ \sigma)$
using 5 **by** *auto*
have 8: *powerinterval f (prefix cp σ) l1*
using 5 **by** *auto*
have 9: *g (filt (prefix cp σ) l1)*
using 5 **by** *auto*
have 10: $(\exists\ l.\ index\text{-}sequence\ 0\ l\ \wedge$
$Interval.nth\ l\ (intlen\ l) = intlen\ (suffix\ cp\ \sigma) \wedge$
$powerinterval\ f\ (suffix\ cp\ \sigma)\ l \wedge h\ (filt\ (suffix\ cp\ \sigma)\ l))$
using 2 **by** *auto*
obtain *l2* **where** 11: *index-sequence 0 l2* $\wedge$
$Interval.nth\ l2\ (intlen\ l2) = intlen\ (suffix\ cp\ \sigma) \wedge$
$powerinterval\ f\ (suffix\ cp\ \sigma)\ l2 \wedge h\ (filt\ (suffix\ cp\ \sigma)\ l2)$
using 10 **by** *auto*
have 12: *index-sequence 0 l2*
using 11 **by** *auto*
have 13: $Interval.nth\ l2\ (intlen\ l2) = intlen\ (suffix\ cp\ \sigma)$
using 11 **by** *auto*
have 14: *powerinterval f (suffix cp σ) l2*
using 11 **by** *auto*
have 15: *h (filt (suffix cp σ) l2)*
using 11 **by** *auto*
have 16: *index-sequence 0 (fuse l1 (map (shift cp) l2))*
by (*metis 11 12 2 5 6 eq-imp-le interval-idx-fuse-idx interval-prefix-length-good*
interval-suffix-length-good)
have 17: $intlast\ l1 = intfirst\ (map\ (shift\ cp)\ l2)$
by (*metis 12 13 3 6 7 interval-idx-fuse-intfirst-intlast interval-prefix-length-good*
interval-suffix-length-good)
have 18: $nth\ (fuse\ l1\ (map\ (shift\ cp)\ l2))\ (intlen\ l1) = cp$
by (*metis 17 3 7 eq-imp-le interval-fuse-intlen-a interval-fuse-nth*
interval-prefix-length-good le-add1)
have 19: $intlast\ (fuse\ l1\ (map\ (shift\ cp)\ l2))\ = intlen\ \sigma$
proof $-$
have 191: $intlast\ (fuse\ l1\ (map\ (shift\ cp)\ l2)) = intlast\ (map\ (shift\ cp)\ l2)$
by (*metis 17 eq-imp-le interval-fuse-nth-a interval-fuse-intlen-a*
interval-nth-intlen-intlast)
have 192: $intlast\ (map\ (shift\ cp)\ l2) = intlast\ l2 + cp$
by (*metis Interval.shift-def interval-intlen-map interval-nth-intlen-intlast*
interval-nth-map)
have 193: $intlast\ l2 + cp = intlen\ \sigma$
by (*metis 13 3 Nat.le-imp-diff-is-add interval-nth-intlen-intlast*

interval-suffix-length-good)
   show *?thesis* **using** *191 192 193* **by** *auto*
   qed
have *20*: *powerinterval f σ (fuse l1 (map (shift cp) l2))*
  using *powerinterval-fuse*[*of l1 (l2) cp σ f*]
  using *12 13 14 3 5* **by** *auto*
have *21*: *σ = fuse (prefix cp σ) (suffix cp σ)*
   by (*simp add*: *3 interval-fuse-prefix-suffix*)
have *22*: *nth ((fuse l1 (map (shift cp) l2))) (intlen l1) = cp*
   using *18* **by** *blast*
have *23*: *(prefix (intlen l1) (filt σ (fuse l1 (map (shift cp) l2)))) =*
      (filt (prefix cp σ) l1)
  by (*metis 17 2 5 filt-prefix filt-prefix-idx interval-fuse-intlen-a*
     interval-prefix-fuse interval-prefix-length-good le-add1)
have *24*: *g (prefix (intlen l1) (filt σ (fuse l1 (map (shift cp) l2))))*
  by (*simp add*: *23 9*)
have *25*: *(suffix (intlen l1) (filt σ (fuse l1 (map (shift cp) l2)))) =*
      (filt (suffix cp σ) l2)
  by (*metis 12 13 17 2 23 filt-intlen filt-suffix filt-suffix-idx*
     interval-pref-intlen-bound interval-suffix-fuse interval-suffix-length-good)
have *26*: *intlen l1 $\leq$ intlen (filt σ (fuse l1 (map (shift cp) l2)))*
      by (*metis 23 filt-intlen interval-pref-intlen-bound*)
have *27*: ($\exists$ *l. index-sequence 0 l $\wedge$*
     Interval.nth l (intlen l) = intlen σ $\wedge$
     powerinterval f σ l $\wedge$
     ($\exists$ *n$\leq$intlen (filt σ l). g (prefix n (filt σ l)) $\wedge$ h (suffix n (filt σ l))))*
   by (*metis 11 16 19 20 24 25 26 interval-nth-intlen-intlast*)
show *?thesis*
by (*metis 27 interval-chop-fuse interval-fuse-prefix-suffix interval-intlast-intfirst*
   projection-d-def)
qed

lemma *PJ4sem*:
($\sigma \models f \triangle (g;h) = (f \triangle g) ; (f \triangle h)$)
using *PJ4semchaina PJ4semchainb unl-lift2* **by** *blast*

10.3.5   PJ5

lemma *PJ5sem*:
 ($\sigma \models f \triangle init(g) \longrightarrow init(g)$)
by (*simp add*: *projection-d-def init-defs*)
  (*metis filt-nth filt-intlen index-sequence-def interval-intlen-gr-zero*)

10.3.6   PJ6

lemma *PJ6help1*:
 assumes *index-sequence 0 l*
     (nth l (intlen l)) = (intlen σ)
 shows ($\forall$ *i. 0$\leq$ i $\wedge$ i<intlen l $\longrightarrow$ intlen (sub (nth l i) (nth l (Suc i)) σ)*
     = (nth l (Suc i)) $-$ (nth l i))
proof

265

fix *i*
show $0 \leq i \wedge i < intlen\ l \longrightarrow$
      $intlen\ (sub\ (nth\ l\ i)\ (nth\ l\ (Suc\ i))\ \sigma) = nth\ l\ (Suc\ i) - nth\ l\ i$
using *assms*
by (*simp add*: *index-sequence-def sub-def*)
  (*metis Suc-lessI assms(1) interval-idx-less-last-1 le-diff-iff le-eq-less-or-eq min.orderE*)
qed

lemma *PJ6help2*:
assumes *index-sequence 0 l*
      $nth\ l\ (intlen\ l) = intlen\ \sigma \wedge$
      $(\forall i < intlen\ l.\ nth\ l\ (Suc\ i) - nth\ l\ i = Suc\ 0)$
shows $(\forall i \leq intlen\ l.\ nth\ l\ i = i)$
proof
 fix *i*
 show $i \leq intlen\ l \longrightarrow nth\ l\ i = i$
 proof
 (*induct i*)
 case *0*
 then show *?case* **using** *assms index-sequence-def* **by** *blast*
 next
 case (*Suc i*)
 then show *?case*
 by (*metis One-nat-def Suc-eq-plus1 Suc-leD Suc-le-lessD assms interval-idx-expand*
   le-add-diff-inverse2 plus-1-eq-Suc)
 qed
qed

lemma *PJ6help3*:
assumes *index-sequence 0 l*
     $nth\ l\ (intlen\ l) = intlen\ \sigma$
     $(\forall i \leq intlen\ l.\ nth\ l\ i = i)$
shows $(\forall i < intlen\ l.\ nth\ l\ (Suc\ i) - nth\ l\ i = Suc\ 0)$

proof
 fix *i*
 show $i < intlen\ l \longrightarrow nth\ l\ (Suc\ i) - nth\ l\ i = Suc\ 0$
 by (*simp add*: *assms*)
qed

lemma *PJ6help4*:
 $(\exists l.\ index\text{-}sequence\ 0\ l \wedge l = [0..\leq intlen\ \sigma] \wedge$
     $Interval.nth\ l\ (intlen\ l) = intlen\ \sigma \wedge$
     $(\forall i \leq intlen\ l.\ nth\ l\ i = i) \wedge$
     $(\exists s1.\ intlen\ s1 = intlen\ l \wedge (\forall i \leq intlen\ s1.\ nth\ s1\ i = nth\ \sigma\ (i)) \wedge s1 = \sigma))$

by (*simp add*: *index-sequence-def upt-length upt-nth*)

266

lemma *PJ6help5*:
$(\exists\, l.\ index\text{-}sequence\ 0\ l\ \wedge$
    $Interval.nth\ l\ (intlen\ l) = intlen\ \sigma\ \wedge$
    $(\forall\, i < intlen\ l.\ intlen\ (sub\ (nth\ l\ i)\ (nth\ l\ (Suc\ i))\ \sigma) = Suc\ 0)\ \wedge$
    $(\exists\, s1.\ intlen\ s1 = intlen\ l\ \wedge\ (\forall\, i \le intlen\ s1.\ nth\ s1\ i = nth\ \sigma\ (Interval.nth\ l\ i))\ \wedge\ g\ s1))$
  $= g\ \sigma$
proof $-$
 have $(\exists\, l.\ index\text{-}sequence\ 0\ l\ \wedge$
    $Interval.nth\ l\ (intlen\ l) = intlen\ \sigma\ \wedge$
    $(\forall\, i < intlen\ l.\ intlen\ (sub\ (nth\ l\ i)\ (nth\ l\ (Suc\ i))\ \sigma) = Suc\ 0)\ \wedge$
    $(\exists\, s1.\ intlen\ s1 = intlen\ l\ \wedge\ (\forall\, i \le intlen\ s1.\ nth\ s1\ i = nth\ \sigma\ (nth\ l\ i))\ \wedge\ g\ s1))$
      $=$
    $(\exists\, l.\ index\text{-}sequence\ 0\ l\ \wedge$
    $Interval.nth\ l\ (intlen\ l) = intlen\ \sigma\ \wedge$
    $(\forall\, i < intlen\ l.\ nth\ l\ (Suc\ i) - nth\ l\ i = Suc\ 0)\ \wedge$
    $(\exists\, s1.\ intlen\ s1 = intlen\ l\ \wedge\ (\forall\, i \le intlen\ s1.\ nth\ s1\ i = nth\ \sigma\ (Interval.nth\ l\ i))\ \wedge\ g\ s1))$
    using *PJ6help1* **by** (*metis zero-order*(1))
 also have $...\ =$
        $(\exists\, l.\ index\text{-}sequence\ 0\ l\ \wedge$
    $Interval.nth\ l\ (intlen\ l) = intlen\ \sigma\ \wedge$
    $(\forall\, i \le intlen\ l.\ nth\ l\ i = i)\ \wedge$
    $(\exists\, s1.\ intlen\ s1 = intlen\ l\ \wedge\ (\forall\, i \le intlen\ s1.\ nth\ s1\ i = nth\ \sigma\ (nth\ l\ i))\ \wedge\ g\ s1))$

    using *PJ6help2 PJ6help3* **by** *blast*
 also have $...\ =$
        $(\exists\, l.\ index\text{-}sequence\ 0\ l\ \wedge$
    $Interval.nth\ l\ (intlen\ l) = intlen\ \sigma\ \wedge$
    $(\forall\, i \le intlen\ l.\ nth\ l\ i = i)\ \wedge$
    $(\exists\, s1.\ intlen\ s1 = intlen\ l\ \wedge\ (\forall\, i \le intlen\ s1.\ nth\ s1\ i = nth\ \sigma\ (i))\ \wedge\ g\ s1))$

    by *metis*
 also have $...\ =$
        $(\exists\, l.\ index\text{-}sequence\ 0\ l\ \wedge$
    $Interval.nth\ l\ (intlen\ l) = intlen\ \sigma\ \wedge$
    $(\forall\, i \le intlen\ l.\ nth\ l\ i = i)\ \wedge$
    $(\exists\, s1.\ intlen\ s1 = intlen\ l\ \wedge\ (\forall\, i \le intlen\ s1.\ nth\ s1\ i = nth\ \sigma\ (i))\ \wedge\ s1 = \sigma\ \wedge\ g\ \sigma))$

   by (*metis interval-eq-nth-eq le-eq-less-or-eq*)
 also have $...\ =$
        $g\ \sigma$

  using *PJ6help4* **by** *blast*
 finally show $(\exists\, l.\ index\text{-}sequence\ 0\ l\ \wedge$
    $Interval.nth\ l\ (intlen\ l) = intlen\ \sigma\ \wedge$
    $(\forall\, i < intlen\ l.\ intlen\ (sub\ (nth\ l\ i)\ (nth\ l\ (Suc\ i))\ \sigma) = Suc\ 0)\ \wedge$
    $(\exists\, s1.\ intlen\ s1 = intlen\ l\ \wedge\ (\forall\, i \le intlen\ s1.\ nth\ s1\ i = nth\ \sigma\ (Interval.nth\ l\ i))\ \wedge\ g\ s1))$
  $= g\ \sigma$

.
qed

lemma *PJ6sem*:
$(\sigma \models skip \,\triangle\, g = g)$
proof $-$
 have *1*: $(\sigma \models skip \,\triangle\, g = g) =$
        $((\exists l.\ index\text{-}sequence\ 0\ l \wedge nth\ l\ (intlen\ l) = intlen\ \sigma \wedge$
        $(\forall i{<}intlen\ l.\ (sub\ (nth\ l\ i)\ (nth\ l\ (Suc\ i))\ \sigma) \models skip) \wedge g\ (filt\ \sigma\ l)) =$
        $g\ \sigma)$
     by $(simp\ add\colon projection\text{-}d\text{-}def\ powerinterval\text{-}def)$
 have *2*: $(\exists l.\ index\text{-}sequence\ 0\ l \wedge nth\ l\ (intlen\ l) = intlen\ \sigma \wedge$
        $(\forall i{<}intlen\ l.\ (sub\ (nth\ l\ i)\ (nth\ l\ (Suc\ i))\ \sigma) \models skip) \wedge g\ (filt\ \sigma\ l)) =$
        $(\exists l\ s1\ .\ index\text{-}sequence\ 0\ l \wedge nth\ l\ (intlen\ l) = intlen\ \sigma \wedge$
        $(\forall i{<}intlen\ l.\ (sub\ (nth\ l\ i)\ (nth\ l\ (Suc\ i))\ \sigma) \models skip) \wedge$
        $intlen\ s1 = intlen\ l \wedge$
        $(\forall\ i{\le} intlen\ s1.\ (nth\ s1\ i) = (nth\ \sigma\ (nth\ l\ i))) \wedge$
        $g\ s1)$
    using *filt-expand* **by** *metis*
 have *3*: $(\exists l\ s1\ .\ index\text{-}sequence\ 0\ l \wedge nth\ l\ (intlen\ l) = intlen\ \sigma \wedge$
        $(\forall i{<}intlen\ l.\ (sub\ (nth\ l\ i)\ (nth\ l\ (Suc\ i))\ \sigma) \models skip) \wedge$
        $intlen\ s1 = intlen\ l \wedge$
        $(\forall\ i{\le} intlen\ s1.\ (nth\ s1\ i) = (nth\ \sigma\ (nth\ l\ i))) \wedge$
        $g\ s1) =$
        $(\exists l.\ index\text{-}sequence\ 0\ l \wedge nth\ l\ (intlen\ l) = intlen\ \sigma \wedge$
        $(\forall i{<}intlen\ l.\ intlen\ (sub\ (nth\ l\ i)\ (nth\ l\ (Suc\ i))\ \sigma) = Suc\ 0) \wedge$
        $(\exists s1.\ intlen\ s1 = intlen\ l \wedge (\forall i{\le}intlen\ s1.\ nth\ s1\ i = nth\ \sigma\ (nth\ l\ i)) \wedge g\ s1))$
   by $(simp\ add\colon skip\text{-}defs)$
 have *4*: $(\exists l.\ index\text{-}sequence\ 0\ l \wedge nth\ l\ (intlen\ l) = intlen\ \sigma \wedge$
        $(\forall i{<}intlen\ l.\ intlen\ (sub\ (nth\ l\ i)\ (nth\ l\ (Suc\ i))\ \sigma) = Suc\ 0) \wedge$
        $(\exists s1.\ intlen\ s1 = intlen\ l \wedge (\forall i{\le}intlen\ s1.\ nth\ s1\ i = nth\ \sigma\ (nth\ l\ i)) \wedge g\ s1)) =$
        $(g\ \sigma)$
   by $(simp\ add\colon PJ6help5)$
 from *1 2 3 4* **show** *?thesis*
  by *simp*
qed

10.3.7   PJ7

lemma *PJemptyImp*:
assumes $intlen\ \sigma = 0$
 shows $(\sigma \models (f\,\triangle\,g) = g)$
using *assms*
by $(simp\ add\colon projection\text{-}d\text{-}def\ index\text{-}sequence\text{-}def\ powerinterval\text{-}def,$
   $auto,$
   $metis\ filt.simps(1)\ interval\text{-}st\text{-}intlen\ lessI\ not\text{-}less\text{-}zero\ old.nat.exhaust,$
   $metis\ Interval.nth.simps(1)\ filt.simps(1)\ interval\text{-}suffix\text{-}intlast\ interval\text{-}suffix\text{-}zero$
   $intlen.simps(1)\ not\text{-}less\text{-}zero)$

lemma *PJ7empty*:

assumes *intlen σ = 0*
shows $(σ \models f \bigtriangleup (g \bigtriangleup h) = (f \bigtriangleup g) \bigtriangleup h)$
proof −
have *1*: $(σ \models f \bigtriangleup (g \bigtriangleup h) = (g \bigtriangleup h))$
  using *PJemptyImp assms* **by** *blast*
have *2*: $(σ \models (g \bigtriangleup h) = h)$
  using *PJemptyImp assms* **by** *blast*
have *3*: $(σ \models (f \bigtriangleup g) \bigtriangleup h = h)$
  using *PJemptyImp assms* **by** *blast*
from *1 2 3* **show** *?thesis* **by** *simp*
qed

lemma *PJ7helpchain1a-help-1*:
assumes *index-sequence 0 l*
      (nth l (intlen l)) = intlen σ
      $(\forall\ i{<}intlen\ l.\ (sub\ (nth\ l\ i)\ (nth\ l\ (Suc\ i))\ σ) \models f \bigtriangleup g)$
      intlen σ > 0
shows   *index-sequence 0 (lfuse ((lcpl f g σ l)))*
proof −
have *0*: *intlen σ > 0* ⟶ *intlen l >0*
  using *assms gr-zerol index-sequence-def* **by** *fastforce*
have *01*: *intfirst l = 0*
  using *assms index-sequence-def* **by** *auto*
have *02*: *lastfirst (lcpl f g σ l)*
  using *assms lcpl-lfuse-lastfirst* **by** (*simp add*: *projection-d-def*)
    (*metis 0 01 assms(3) interval-nth-zero-intfirst lcpl-lfuse-lastfirst*)
have *1*: *intlen σ > 0* ⟶ *intfirst (lfuse (lcpl f g σ l)) = 0*
  using *assms 0 01 02*
    lcpl-intfirst[of l σ f g]
  by (*metis* (*mono-tags, lifting*) *interval-lastfirst-lfuse interval-nth-zero-intfirst*)
from *1 0* **show** *?thesis* **using** *assms lcpl-lfuse-idx[of l σ f g]* **by**(*simp add*: *projection-d-def*)
qed

lemma *PJ7helpchain1a-help-2*:
assumes *index-sequence 0 l*
      (nth l (intlen l)) = intlen σ
      $(\forall\ i{<}intlen\ l.\ (sub\ (nth\ l\ i)\ (nth\ l\ (Suc\ i))\ σ) \models f \bigtriangleup g)$
      intlen σ > 0
shows   *powerinterval f σ (lfuse ((lcpl f g σ l)))*
proof −
have *1*: $(\forall\ i{<}intlen\ l.$
          powerinterval f (sub (nth l i) (nth l (Suc i)) σ)
                    (cpl f g (sub (nth l i) (nth l (Suc i)) σ)))
  using *assms cpl-projection* **by** *blast*
have *2*: $\forall i{<}intlen\ l.$
        $\forall ia{<}intlen\ (cpl\ f\ g\ (sub\ (nth\ l\ i)\ (nth\ l\ (Suc\ i))\ σ)).$
         f (sub (nth (cpl f g (sub (nth l i) (nth l (Suc i)) σ)) ia)
              (nth (cpl f g (sub (nth l i) (nth l (Suc i)) σ)) (Suc ia))
              (sub (nth l i) (nth l (Suc i)) σ))
  using *1* **by** (*simp add*: *powerinterval-def*)

have *3*: $\forall\, i{<}intlen\; l.$
$\forall\, ia{<}intlen\; (cpl\; f\; g\; (sub\; (nth\; l\; i)\; (nth\; l\; (Suc\; i))\; \sigma)).$
$(sub\; (nth\; (cpl\; f\; g\; (sub\; (nth\; l\; i)\; (nth\; l\; (Suc\; i))\; \sigma))\; ia)$
$(nth\; (cpl\; f\; g\; (sub\; (nth\; l\; i)\; (nth\; l\; (Suc\; i))\; \sigma))\; (Suc\; ia))$
$(sub\; (nth\; l\; i)\; (nth\; l\; (Suc\; i))\; \sigma)) =$
$(sub\; (nth\; (map\; (shift\; (nth\; l\; i))\; (cpl\; f\; g\; (sub\; (nth\; l\; i)\; (nth\; l\; (Suc\; i))\; \sigma)))\; ia)$
$(nth\; (map\; (shift\; (nth\; l\; i))\; (cpl\; f\; g\; (sub\; (nth\; l\; i)\; (nth\; l\; (Suc\; i))\; \sigma)))\; (Suc\; ia))$
$\sigma)$

  proof $-$
  have *30*: $\forall\, i{<}intlen\; l.$
$\forall\, ia{<}intlen\; (cpl\; f\; g\; (sub\; (nth\; l\; i)\; (nth\; l\; (Suc\; i))\; \sigma)).$
$\forall\, j{\leq}intlen\; (sub\; (nth\; (cpl\; f\; g\; (sub\; (nth\; l\; i)\; (nth\; l\; (Suc\; i))\; \sigma))\; ia)$
$(nth\; (cpl\; f\; g\; (sub\; (nth\; l\; i)\; (nth\; l\; (Suc\; i))\; \sigma))\; (Suc\; ia))$
$(sub\; (nth\; l\; i)\; (nth\; l\; (Suc\; i))\; \sigma)).$
$(nth\; (cpl\; f\; g\; (sub\; (nth\; l\; i)\; (nth\; l\; (Suc\; i))\; \sigma))\; (Suc\; ia)) \leq$
$(nth\; l\; (Suc\; i)) - (nth\; l\; (i))$
    using *assms* **by** (*metis add.commute cpl-projection interval-idx-expand interval-intlen-sub*
    plus-1-eq-Suc)
  have *31*: $\forall\, i{<}intlen\; l.$
$\forall\, ia{<}intlen\; (cpl\; f\; g\; (sub\; (nth\; l\; i)\; (nth\; l\; (Suc\; i))\; \sigma)).$
$intlen\; (sub\; (nth\; (cpl\; f\; g\; (sub\; (nth\; l\; i)\; (nth\; l\; (Suc\; i))\; \sigma))\; ia)$
$(nth\; (cpl\; f\; g\; (sub\; (nth\; l\; i)\; (nth\; l\; (Suc\; i))\; \sigma))\; (Suc\; ia))$
$(sub\; (nth\; l\; i)\; (nth\; l\; (Suc\; i))\; \sigma)) =$
$intlen\; (sub\; (nth\; (map\; (shift\; (nth\; l\; i))$
$(cpl\; f\; g\; (sub\; (nth\; l\; i)\; (nth\; l\; (Suc\; i))\; \sigma)))\; ia)$
$(nth\; (map\; (shift\; (nth\; l\; i))$
$(cpl\; f\; g\; (sub\; (nth\; l\; i)\; (nth\; l\; (Suc\; i))\; \sigma)))\; (Suc\; ia))$
$\sigma)$
    using *assms* **by** (*auto simp add*: *shift-def interval-nth-map*)
    (*metis* (*no-types, lifting*) *PJ6help1 add.commute cpl-projection interval-idx-expand*
    interval-sub-sub-1 le-add1 le-add-same-cancel1 plus-1-eq-Suc)
  have *32*: $\forall\, i{<}intlen\; l.$
$\forall\, ia{<}intlen\; (cpl\; f\; g\; (sub\; (nth\; l\; i)\; (nth\; l\; (Suc\; i))\; \sigma)).$
$\forall\, j{\leq}intlen\; (sub\; (nth\; (cpl\; f\; g\; (sub\; (nth\; l\; i)\; (nth\; l\; (Suc\; i))\; \sigma))\; ia)$
$(nth\; (cpl\; f\; g\; (sub\; (nth\; l\; i)\; (nth\; l\; (Suc\; i))\; \sigma))\; (Suc\; ia))$
$(sub\; (nth\; l\; i)\; (nth\; l\; (Suc\; i))\; \sigma)).$
$nth\; (sub\; (nth\; (cpl\; f\; g\; (sub\; (nth\; l\; i)\; (nth\; l\; (Suc\; i))\; \sigma))\; ia)$
$(nth\; (cpl\; f\; g\; (sub\; (nth\; l\; i)\; (nth\; l\; (Suc\; i))\; \sigma))\; (Suc\; ia))$
$(sub\; (nth\; l\; i)\; (nth\; l\; (Suc\; i))\; \sigma))\; j =$
$nth\; (sub\; (nth\; (map\; (shift\; (nth\; l\; i))$
$(cpl\; f\; g\; (sub\; (nth\; l\; i)\; (nth\; l\; (Suc\; i))\; \sigma)))\; ia)$
$(nth\; (map\; (shift\; (nth\; l\; i))$
$(cpl\; f\; g\; (sub\; (nth\; l\; i)\; (nth\; l\; (Suc\; i))\; \sigma)))\; (Suc\; ia))$
$\sigma)\; j$
    using *assms* **by** (*simp add*: *shift-def interval-nth-map cpl-projection*)
    (*metis 30 add.commute interval-idx-expand interval-sub-sub-1 plus-1-eq-Suc*)
  show *?thesis* **using** *31 32 interval-eq-nth-eq* **by** (*simp add*: *interval-eq-nth-eq*)
 qed
have *4*: $\forall\, i{<}intlen\; l.$
$\forall\, ia{<}intlen\; (cpl\; f\; g\; (sub\; (nth\; l\; i)\; (nth\; l\; (Suc\; i))\; \sigma)).$

$$f \ (sub \ (nth \ (map \ (shift \ (nth \ l \ i)) \ (cpl \ f \ g \ (sub \ (nth \ l \ i) \ (nth \ l \ (Suc \ i)) \ \sigma))) \ ia)$$
$$(nth \ (map \ (shift \ (nth \ l \ i)) \ (cpl \ f \ g \ (sub \ (nth \ l \ i) \ (nth \ l \ (Suc \ i)) \ \sigma))) \ (Suc \ ia))$$
$$\sigma)$$

    using *2 3* **by** *auto*

have *5*: $intlen(lcpl \ f \ g \ \sigma \ l) = intlen \ l - 1$

    using *assms index-sequence-def lcpl-intlen lcpl-intlen-zero* **by** *fastforce*

have *6*: $intlen \ \sigma > 0 \longrightarrow intlen \ l > 0$

    using *assms gr-zerol index-sequence-def* **by** *fastforce*

have *7*: $\forall i < intlen \ l.$
$$\forall ia < intlen \ ((nth \ (lcpl \ f \ g \ \sigma \ l) \ i)).$$
$$f \ (sub \ (nth \ (nth \ (lcpl \ f \ g \ \sigma \ l) \ i) \ ia)$$
$$(nth \ (nth \ (lcpl \ f \ g \ \sigma \ l) \ i) \ (Suc \ ia))$$
$$\sigma)$$

    using *assms* **by** *(metis (no-types, lifting) 4 index-sequence-def interval-intlen-map lcpl-nth)*

have *8*: $intlen \ \sigma > 0 \longrightarrow$
$$(\forall i \le intlen(lcpl \ f \ g \ \sigma \ l).$$
$$\forall ia < intlen \ ((nth \ (lcpl \ f \ g \ \sigma \ l) \ i)).$$
$$f \ (sub \ (nth \ (nth \ (lcpl \ f \ g \ \sigma \ l) \ i) \ ia)$$
$$(nth \ (nth \ (lcpl \ f \ g \ \sigma \ l) \ i) \ (Suc \ ia))$$
$$\sigma))$$

    by *(metis 5 6 7 One-nat-def Suc-pred le-imp-less-Suc)*

have *9*: $intlen \ \sigma > 0 \longrightarrow$
$$powerinterval \ f \ \sigma \ (lfuse \ (\ (lcpl \ f \ g \ \sigma \ l))) =$$
$$(\forall i < intlen \ (lfuse \ (lcpl \ f \ g \ \sigma \ l)).$$
$$f \ (sub \ (nth \ (lfuse \ (lcpl \ f \ g \ \sigma \ l)) \ i) \ (nth \ (lfuse \ (lcpl \ f \ g \ \sigma \ l)) \ (Suc \ i)) \ \sigma))$$

 by *(simp add: powerinterval-def)*

have *10*: $intlen \ \sigma > 0 \longrightarrow lastfirst \ (lcpl \ f \ g \ \sigma \ l)$

  using *6 assms index-sequence-def lcpl-lfuse-lastfirst* **by** *blast*

have *11*: $intlen \ \sigma > 0 \longrightarrow$
$$(\forall \ j \le intlen \ (lcpl \ f \ g \ \sigma \ l). \ intlen(nth \ (lcpl \ f \ g \ \sigma \ l) \ j) > 0)$$

    by *(simp add: assms lcpl-intlen-nth-gr-zero)*

have *12*: $intlen \ \sigma > 0 \longrightarrow$
$$(\forall i \le intlen(lcpl \ f \ g \ \sigma \ l).$$
$$(\forall ia < intlen \ ((nth \ (lcpl \ f \ g \ \sigma \ l) \ i)).$$
$$f \ (sub \ (nth \ (nth \ (lcpl \ f \ g \ \sigma \ l) \ i) \ ia)$$
$$(nth \ (nth \ (lcpl \ f \ g \ \sigma \ l) \ i) \ (Suc \ ia))$$
$$\sigma))) =$$
$$(\forall j < intlen \ (lfuse \ (lcpl \ f \ g \ \sigma \ l)).$$
$$f \ (sub \ (nth \ (lfuse \ (lcpl \ f \ g \ \sigma \ l)) \ j)$$
$$(nth \ (lfuse \ (lcpl \ f \ g \ \sigma \ l)) \ (Suc \ j))$$
$$\sigma))$$

    using *interval-lfuse-split*[of *(lcpl f g σ l) f σ*] *10 11* **by** *auto*

  show *?thesis* **using** *12 8 9* **using** *assms(4)* **by** *blast*

qed

lemma *PJ7helpchain1a-help-3*:

 assumes *index-sequence 0 l*

    $(nth \ l \ (intlen \ l)) = intlen \ \sigma$

$(\forall\ i{<}intlen\ l.\ (sub\ (nth\ l\ i)\ (nth\ l\ (Suc\ i))\ \sigma) \models f \mathbin{\triangle} g)$
$h\ (filt\ \sigma\ l)$
$intlen\ \sigma > 0$
shows $intlast\ (lfuse\ (lcpl\ f\ g\ \sigma\ l)) = intlen\ \sigma$
proof $-$
have 0: $intlen\ \sigma > 0 \longrightarrow intlen\ l > 0$
  using *assms gr-zerol index-sequence-def* **by** *fastforce*
have 1: $intlen\ \sigma > 0 \longrightarrow$
     $intlast\ (lfuse\ (lcpl\ f\ g\ \sigma\ l)) = intlast(intlast\ (\ (lcpl\ f\ g\ \sigma\ l)))$
  using *assms*
  using *0 index-sequence-def interval-lastfirst-lfuse-intlast lcpl-lfuse-lastfirst* **by** *blast*
have 2: $intlen\ \sigma > 0 \longrightarrow$
   $intlast\ (\ (lcpl\ f\ g\ \sigma\ l))$
   $= (nth\ (lcpl\ f\ g\ \sigma\ l)\ (intlen\ l\ -1))$
   using *assms* **by** $(simp\ add{:}\ 0\ index\text{-}sequence\text{-}def\ lcpl\text{-}intlen)$
have 3: $intlen\ \sigma > 0 \longrightarrow$
   $(nth\ (lcpl\ f\ g\ \sigma\ l)\ (intlen\ l\ -1)) =$
   $(map\ (shift\ (nth\ l\ (intlen\ l\ -1)))$
     $(cpl\ f\ g\ (sub\ (nth\ l\ (intlen\ l\ -1))\ (nth\ l\ (Suc\ (intlen\ l\ -1)))\ \sigma)))$

    using *assms* **by** $(simp\ add{:}\ 0\ index\text{-}sequence\text{-}def\ lcpl\text{-}nth)$
have 4: $intlen\ \sigma > 0 \longrightarrow$
   $intlast(\ (\ (cpl\ f\ g\ (sub\ (nth\ l\ (intlen\ l\ -1))\ (nth\ l\ (Suc\ (intlen\ l\ -1)))\ \sigma)))) =$
   $intlen\ ((sub\ (nth\ l\ (intlen\ l\ -1))\ (nth\ l\ (Suc\ (intlen\ l\ -1)))\ \sigma))$
    using *0 assms*
   by $(metis\ cpl\text{-}projection\ diff\text{-}less\ interval\text{-}nth\text{-}intlen\text{-}intlast\ zero\text{-}less\text{-}one)$
have 5: $intlen\ \sigma > 0 \longrightarrow$
   $intlen\ ((sub\ (nth\ l\ (intlen\ l\ -1))\ (nth\ l\ (Suc\ (intlen\ l\ -1)))\ \sigma)) =$
    $(nth\ l\ (Suc\ (intlen\ l\ -1))) - (nth\ l\ (intlen\ l\ -1))$

  using *0 PJ6help1 assms diff-less zero-less-one* **by** *blast*
have 6: $intlen\ \sigma > 0 \longrightarrow$
   $intlast\ (\ (map\ (shift\ (nth\ l\ (intlen\ l\ -1)))$
        $(cpl\ f\ g\ (sub\ (nth\ l\ (intlen\ l\ -1))\ (nth\ l\ (Suc\ (intlen\ l\ -1)))\ \sigma)))) =$
    $(shift\ (nth\ l\ (intlen\ l\ -1)))$
     $(intlast\ (cpl\ f\ g\ (sub\ (nth\ l\ (intlen\ l\ -1))\ (nth\ l\ (Suc\ (intlen\ l\ -1)))\ \sigma)))$

  by $(metis\ interval\text{-}intlen\text{-}map\ interval\text{-}nth\text{-}intlen\text{-}intlast\ interval\text{-}nth\text{-}map)$
have 7: $intlen\ \sigma > 0 \longrightarrow$
   $(shift\ (nth\ l\ (intlen\ l\ -1)))$
   $(intlast\ (cpl\ f\ g\ (sub\ (nth\ l\ (intlen\ l\ -1))\ (nth\ l\ (Suc\ (intlen\ l\ -1)))\ \sigma))) =$
   $(shift\ (nth\ l\ (intlen\ l\ -1)))\ ((nth\ l\ (Suc\ (intlen\ l\ -1))) - (nth\ l\ (intlen\ l\ -1)))$

  using *4 5* **by** *auto*
have 8: $intlen\ \sigma > 0 \longrightarrow$
   $(shift\ (nth\ l\ (intlen\ l\ -1)))\ ((nth\ l\ (Suc\ (intlen\ l\ -1))) - (nth\ l\ (intlen\ l\ -1))) =$
   $((nth\ l\ (Suc\ (intlen\ l\ -1))) - (nth\ l\ (intlen\ l\ -1))) + (nth\ l\ (intlen\ l\ -1))$

  by $(simp\ add{:}\ Interval.shift\text{-}def)$
have 9: $intlen\ \sigma > 0 \longrightarrow$

$$((nth\ l\ (Suc\ (intlen\ l\ -1))) - (nth\ l\ (intlen\ l\ -1))) + (nth\ l\ (intlen\ l\ -1))$$
$$= (nth\ l\ (Suc\ (intlen\ l\ -1)))$$

using *assms*
by (*metis* (*no-types, lifting*) *0 2 3 6 7 8 Suc-pred′ index-sequence-def*
  lcpl-last-last lessI)
have *10*: *intlen σ >0* ⟶ (*nth l* (*Suc* (*intlen l −1*))) = *intlen σ*
  by (*simp add*: *0 assms*)
show *?thesis*
using *1 10 2 3 6 7 8 9 assms*(*5*) **by** *presburger*
qed

lemma *PJ7helpchain1a-help-4*:
assumes *index-sequence 0 l*
  (*nth l* (*intlen l*)) = *intlen σ*
  (∀ *i<intlen l*. (*sub* (*nth l i*) (*nth l* (*Suc i*)) *σ*) ⊨ *f △ g*)
  h (*filt σ l*)
  intlen σ >0
shows ((*filt σ* (*lfuse* (*lcpl f g σ l*)))) ⊨ *g △ h*)
proof −
have *0*: *intlen σ > 0* ⟶ *intlen l >0*
  using *assms gr-zeroI index-sequence-def* **by** *fastforce*
have *1*: *intlen σ >0* ⟶ *index-sequence 0* (*addzero* (*lsum* (*lcpl f g σ l*) *0*))
  by (*simp add*: *assms lcpl-intlen-nth-gr-zero lsum-addzero-idx*)
have *2*: *intlen σ > 0* ⟶
  (*nth* (*addzero* (*lsum* (*lcpl f g σ l*) *0*)) (*intlen*(*addzero* (*lsum* (*lcpl f g σ l*) *0*))))) =
  intlen (*filt σ* (*lfuse* (*lcpl f g σ l*)))
  by (*simp add*: *assms lcpl-lfuse-filt-intlen*)
have *3*: *intlen σ >0* ⟶
  powerinterval g (*filt σ* (*lfuse* (*lcpl f g σ l*))) (*addzero* (*lsum* (*lcpl f g σ l*) *0*))

  by (*simp add*: *assms lcpl-lfuse-filt-power*)
have *4*: *intlen σ >0* ⟶
  h (*filt* (*filt σ* (*lfuse* (*lcpl f g σ l*))) (*addzero* (*lsum* (*lcpl f g σ l*) *0*)))
  by (*simp add*: *assms filt-map-filt lcpl-filt-lfuse-lsum*)
show *?thesis* **by** (*metis 1 2 3 4 assms*(*5*) *projection-d-def*)
qed

lemma *PJ7helpchain1a*:
assumes *intlen σ > 0*
  (*σ* ⊨ (*f △ g*) *△ h*)
shows (*σ* ⊨ *f △* (*g △ h*))
proof −
have *1*: *intlen σ > 0*
  using *assms* **by** *auto*
have *2*: (∃ *l*. *index-sequence 0 l* ∧ *nth l* (*intlen l*) = *intlen σ* ∧
  powerinterval (*LIFT*(*f △ g*)) *σ l* ∧
  h (*filt σ l*))
using *assms* **using** *cpl-projection* **by** *blast*

obtain *l* **where** *3*: *index-sequence 0 l ∧ nth l (intlen l) = intlen σ ∧*
    powerinterval (LIFT(f △ g)) σ l ∧
      h (filt σ l)
 using *2* **by** *blast*
have *4*: *index-sequence 0 l ∧ nth l (intlen l) = intlen σ ∧*
     (∀ i <intlen l. (sub (nth l i) (nth l (Suc i)) σ) ⊨ f △ g) ∧
    h (filt σ l)
using *3* **by** (*simp add*: *powerinterval-def*)
have *6*: *intlen l>0*
  using *1 4 gr0I index-sequence-def* **by** *force*
have *7*: *index-sequence 0 (lfuse (lcpl f g σ l))*
by (*metis* (*no-types, lifting*) *1 4 PJ7helpchain1a-help-1*)
have *8*: *powerinterval f σ (lfuse (lcpl f g σ l))*
 using *4 6 PJ7helpchain1a-help-2 assms index-sequence-def* **by** *auto*
have *9*: *(nth (lfuse (lcpl f g σ l)) (intlen (lfuse (lcpl f g σ l)))) = intlen σ*
 by (*metis 1 4 interval-nth-intlen-intlast PJ7helpchain1a-help-3*)
have *10*: *((filt σ (lfuse (lcpl f g σ l))) ⊨ g △ h)*
  by (*simp add*: *1 4 6 PJ7helpchain1a-help-4*)
show *?thesis*
using *10 7 8 9* **by** (*metis projection-d-def*)
qed

lemma *PJ7helpchain1b*:
assumes *intlen σ > 0*
    (σ ⊨ f △ (g △ h))
shows *(σ ⊨ (f △ g) △ h)*
proof −
 have *1*: *intlen σ > 0*
  using *assms* **by** *auto*
 have *2*: *(∃l. index-sequence 0 l ∧ nth l (intlen l) = intlen σ ∧*
    powerinterval f σ l ∧
    ((filt σ l) ⊨ g △ h))
  using *assms* **by** (*simp add*: *projection-d-def*)
 obtain *l* **where** *3*: *index-sequence 0 l ∧ nth l (intlen l) = intlen σ ∧*
    powerinterval f σ l ∧
    ((filt σ l) ⊨ g △ h)
 using *2* **by** *blast*
 have *4*: *(∃ la. index-sequence 0 la ∧ nth la (intlen la) = intlen(filt σ l) ∧*
    powerinterval g (filt σ l) la ∧
    ((filt (filt σ l) la) ⊨ h))
 using *3* **using** *cpl-projection* **by** *blast*
 obtain *la* **where** *5*: *index-sequence 0 la ∧ nth la (intlen la) = intlen(filt σ l) ∧*
    powerinterval g (filt σ l) la ∧
    ((filt (filt σ l) la) ⊨ h)
 using *4* **by** *blast*
 have *6*: *intlen l >0*
  using *1 3 gr0I index-sequence-def* **by** *force*
 have *7*: *intlen(filt σ l) = intlen l*
  by (*simp add*: *filt-intlen*)

274

have 8: (*filt* (*filt* σ *l*) *la*) = (*filt* σ (*filt* *l* *la*))
  using *filt-map-filt* **by** *blast*
have 9: (*nth* (*filt* *l* *la*) (*intlen* (*filt* *l* *la*))) = *intlen* σ
 by (*metis 3 5 filt-expand order-refl*)
have 10: *intlen la* >0
  using *5 6 7 gr0I index-sequence-def* **by** *force*
have 11: *intlen* (*filt* *l* *la*) > 0
  by (*simp add*: *10 filt-intlen*)
have 12: *index-sequence 0* (*filt* *l* *la*)
  proof −
   have 111: *nth* (*filt* *l* *la*) 0 = 0
     by (*metis 3 5 filt-nth index-sequence-def interval-intlen-gr-zero*)
   have 112: *intlen*(*filt* *l* *la*) = *intlen la*
      using *filt-expand* **by** *blast*
   have 113: (∀ *i*< *intlen la*. (*nth* (*filt* *l* *la*) *i*) = (*nth* *l* (*nth* *la* *i*)))
    by (*simp add*: *filt-map interval-nth-map*)
   have 114: (∀ *i*< *intlen la*. (*nth* (*filt* *l* *la*) (*Suc i*)) = (*nth* *l* (*nth* *la* (*Suc i*))))
     by (*simp add*: *filt-map interval-nth-map*)
   have 115: (∀ *i*< *intlen la*. (*nth* *l* (*nth* *la* *i*)) < (*nth* *l* (*nth* *la* (*Suc i*))))
     by (*metis 3 5 7 Suc-lessI interval-idx-less-than interval-idx-less-last-1 lessI*
        less-imp-le-nat)
   show ?*thesis* **by** (*simp add*: *111 113 114 115 filt-intlen index-sequence-def*)
   qed
 have 20: *powerinterval* (*LIFT*(*f*△*g*)) σ (*filt* *l* *la*)
  proof −
   have 201: *powerinterval* (*LIFT*(*f*△*g*)) σ (*filt* *l* *la*) =
          (∀ *i*< *intlen la*. (*sub* (*nth* *l* (*nth* *la* *i*)) (*nth* *l* (*nth* *la* (*Suc i*))) σ) ⊨ *f* △ *g*)
    by (*simp add*: *filt-map interval-nth-map powerinterval-def*)
   have 202: (∀ *i*< *intlen la*. (*sub* (*nth* *l* (*nth* *la* *i*)) (*nth* *l* (*nth* *la* (*Suc i*))) σ) ⊨ *f* △ *g*) =
          (∀ *i*< *intlen la*.
            (∃ *ll*. *index-sequence 0 ll*
            ∧ *intlast ll* = *intlen* (*sub* (*nth* *l* (*nth* *la* *i*)) (*nth* *l* (*nth* *la* (*Suc i*))) σ) ∧
              powerinterval f (*sub* (*nth* *l* (*nth* *la* *i*)) (*nth* *l* (*nth* *la* (*Suc i*))) σ) *ll* ∧
              ((*filt* (*sub* (*nth* *l* (*nth* *la* *i*)) (*nth* *l* (*nth* *la* (*Suc i*))) σ) *ll*) ⊨ *g*)
              ))

    by (*simp add*: *projection-d-def*)
   have 203: (∀ *i*< *intlen la*. *intlen* (*sub* (*nth* *l* (*nth* *la* *i*)) (*nth* *l* (*nth* *la* (*Suc i*))) σ) =
            (*nth* *l* (*nth* *la* (*Suc i*))) − (*nth* *l* (*nth* *la* *i*)))
      by (*metis 3 5 7 Suc-leI Suc-lessI interval-idx-less-equal interval-idx-less-last-1*
        interval-intlen-sub lessI less-imp-le-nat)
   have 2041: (∀ *i*< *intlen la*.
            (*nth* *la* (*Suc i*)) ≤ *intlen l*
)

   using *5 7 Suc-lessI interval-idx-less-last-1* **by** *fastforce*
   have 204: (∀ *i*< *intlen la*.
            intlen (*map* (*shiftm* (*nth* *l* (*nth* *la* *i*))) (*sub* (*nth* *la* *i*) (*nth* *la* (*Suc i*)) *l*)) =
             (*nth* *la* (*Suc i*)) − (*nth* *la* *i*))

by (*simp add*: *5 PJ6help1 filt-intlen*)
have *205*: (∀ *i*< *intlen la*.
(∀ *j* ≤ (*nth la* (*Suc i*)) − (*nth la i*).
(*nth* (*sub* (*nth la i*) (*nth la* (*Suc i*)) *l*) *j*) =
(*nth l* ((*nth la i*) +*j*))
)
)

using *2041 5 index-sequence-def interval-nth-sub order.strict-implies-order* **by** *blast*
have *2060*: (∀ *i*< *intlen la*.
(*nth la i*) ≤ (*nth la* (*Suc i*)))

using *5 interval-idx-expand* **by** *fastforce*
have *206*: (∀ *i*< *intlen la*.
(∀ *j* ≤ (*nth la* (*Suc i*)) − (*nth la i*).
(*nth l* (*nth la i*)) ≤ (*nth l* ((*nth la i*) +*j*))
)
)

using *2041 3 2060 interval-idx-less-equal* **by** *fastforce*
have *207*: (∀ *i*< *intlen la*.
(∀ *j* ≤ (*nth la* (*Suc i*)) − (*nth la i*).
(*nth* (*map* (*shiftm* (*nth l* (*nth la i*))) (*sub* (*nth la i*) (*nth la* (*Suc i*)) *l*)) *j*) =
(*nth l* ((*nth la i*) +*j*)) − (*nth l* (*nth la i*))
))

by (*simp add*: *205 interval-nth-map shiftm-def*)
have *208*: (∀ *i*< *intlen la*.
(*nth* (*map* (*shiftm* (*nth l* (*nth la i*))) (*sub* (*nth la i*) (*nth la* (*Suc i*)) *l*)) *0*) =*0*
)
by (*simp add*: *207*)
have *209*: (∀ *i*< *intlen la*.
intlast (*map* (*shiftm* (*nth l* (*nth la i*))) (*sub* (*nth la i*) (*nth la* (*Suc i*)) *l*)) =
(*nth l* (*nth la* (*Suc i*))) − (*nth l* (*nth la i*))
)

using *204 207 5 2060* **by** *simp-all*
have *210*: (∀ *i*< *intlen la*.
index-sequence 0 (*map* (*shiftm* (*nth l* (*nth la i*))) (*sub* (*nth la i*) (*nth la* (*Suc i*)) *l*))
)

by (*metis 3 5 7 add.commute interval-idx-expand interval-idx-shiftm*
interval-idx-sub plus-1-eq-Suc)
have *211*: (∀ *i*< *intlen la*.
powerinterval f (*sub* (*nth l* (*nth la i*)) (*nth l* (*nth la* (*Suc i*))) *σ*)
(*map* (*shiftm* (*nth l* (*nth la i*))) (*sub* (*nth la i*) (*nth la* (*Suc i*)) *l*))
)

proof −
have *2111*: (∀ *i*< *intlen la*.

$$powerinterval\ f\ (sub\ (nth\ l\ (nth\ la\ i))\ (nth\ l\ (nth\ la\ (Suc\ i)))\ \sigma)$$
$$(map\ (shiftm\ (nth\ l\ (nth\ la\ i)))$$
$$(sub\ (nth\ la\ i)\ (nth\ la\ (Suc\ i))\ l))$$
$$)\ =$$
$$(\forall\ i{<}intlen\ la.$$
$$(\forall\ ia{<}intlen\ (sub\ (nth\ la\ i)\ (nth\ la\ (Suc\ i))\ l).$$
$$f\ (sub\ (nth\ (map\ (shiftm\ (nth\ l\ (nth\ la\ i)))$$
$$(sub\ (nth\ la\ i)\ (nth\ la\ (Suc\ i))\ l))\ ia)$$
$$(nth\ (map\ (shiftm\ (nth\ l\ (Interval.nth\ la\ i)))$$
$$(sub\ (nth\ la\ i)\ (nth\ la\ (Suc\ i))\ l))\ (Suc\ ia))$$
$$(sub\ (nth\ l\ (nth\ la\ i))\ (nth\ l\ (nth\ la\ (Suc\ i)))\ \sigma)\ )$$
$$)$$
$$)$$

by (*simp add*: *powerinterval-def*)
have *2112*: ... =
$$(\forall\ i{<}intlen\ la.$$
$$(\forall\ ia{<}\ (nth\ la\ (Suc\ i))\ -\ (nth\ la\ i).$$
$$f\ (sub\ (nth\ (map\ (shiftm\ (nth\ l\ (nth\ la\ i)))$$
$$(sub\ (nth\ la\ i)\ (nth\ la\ (Suc\ i))\ l))\ ia)$$
$$(nth\ (map\ (shiftm\ (nth\ l\ (Interval.nth\ la\ i)))$$
$$(sub\ (nth\ la\ i)\ (nth\ la\ (Suc\ i))\ l))\ (Suc\ ia))$$
$$(sub\ (nth\ l\ (nth\ la\ i))\ (nth\ l\ (nth\ la\ (Suc\ i)))\ \sigma))$$
$$)$$
$$)$$

using *204* **by** *auto*
have *2113*: ... =
$$(\forall\ i{<}intlen\ la.$$
$$(\forall\ ia{<}\ (nth\ la\ (Suc\ i))\ -\ (nth\ la\ i).$$
$$f\ (sub\ ((nth\ l\ ((nth\ la\ i)\ +ia))\ -\ (nth\ l\ (nth\ la\ i)))$$
$$((nth\ l\ ((nth\ la\ i)\ +(Suc\ ia)))\ -\ (nth\ l\ (nth\ la\ i)))$$
$$(sub\ (nth\ l\ (nth\ la\ i))\ (nth\ l\ (nth\ la\ (Suc\ i)))\ \sigma))$$
$$)$$
$$)$$

using *207* **by** *auto*
have *2114*:
$$(\forall\ i{<}intlen\ la.$$
$$(let\ m\ =\ (nth\ la\ (Suc\ i));\ n=\ (nth\ la\ i)\ in$$
$$(\forall\ ia{<}\ m\ -\ n.$$
$$(nth\ l\ (n\ +ia))\ \le\ ((nth\ l\ (n+\ (Suc\ ia))))\ )$$
$$)$$
$$)$$
$$)$$

using *2041 3 2060 interval-idx-less-equal* **by** *simp-all fastforce*
have *2115*:
$$(\forall\ i{<}intlen\ la.$$
$$(let\ m\ =\ (nth\ la\ (Suc\ i));\ n=\ (nth\ la\ i)\ in$$
$$(\forall\ ia{<}\ m\ -\ n.$$
$$(nth\ l\ (n\ +ia))\ -\ (nth\ l\ n)\ \le\ ((nth\ l\ ((Suc\ (n+ia))))\ -\ (nth\ l\ n))$$
$$)$$
$$)$$

```
      )
    by (metis 2114 add-Suc-right diff-le-mono)
  have 2116:
          (∀ i<intlen la.
            (let m = (nth la (Suc i)); n= (nth la i) in
            (∀ ia<  m − n.
             ((nth l ((Suc (n+ia)))) − (nth l n))  ≤ (nth l m) − (nth l n)
            )
            )
          )
    by (metis 3 5 7 Interval.interval-idx-expand Suc-leI add.commute diff-le-mono
    interval-idx-less-equal less-diff-conv plus-1-eq-Suc)
have 2117: (∀ i<intlen la.
          (let m = (nth la (Suc i)); n= (nth la i) in
           (nth l m) ≤ intlen σ ))
  by (metis 12 9 Suc-lessI filt-nth filt-intlen interval-idx-less-last-1
          less-or-eq-imp-le)
have 2118: (∀ i<intlen la.
          (let m = (nth la (Suc i)); n= (nth la i) in
          (∀ ia<  m − n.
            (n+(Suc ia)) ≤ intlen l )
          )
        )
      using 5 7 Interval.interval-idx-expand less-diff-conv by fastforce
have 21190: (∀ i<intlen la.
          (let m = (nth la (Suc i)); n= (nth la i) in
          (∀ ia<  m − n.
            ((nth l (n +ia)) − (nth l n)) ≤ ((nth l (n+(Suc ia))) − (nth l n))
          ) ))

    by (meson 2114 diff-le-mono)
have 21191: (∀ i<intlen la.
          (let m = (nth la (Suc i)); n= (nth la i) in
          (∀ ia<  m − n.
           ((nth l (n+(Suc ia))) − (nth l n)) ≤
           intlen (sub (nth l n) (nth l m) σ)
           )))

  by (simp add: 203 2116)
have 2119: (∀ i<intlen la.
          (let m = (nth la (Suc i)); n= (nth la i) in
          (∀ ia<  m − n.
            intlen (sub  ((nth l (n +ia)) − (nth l n))
                        ((nth l (n+(Suc ia))) − (nth l n))
                        (sub (nth l n) (nth l m) σ)) =
            ((nth l (n+(Suc ia)))) − ((nth l (n +ia)))
          )
          )
          )
```

by (*metis 203 206 2115 2116 Nat.diff-diff-eq Suc-lel add-Suc-right*
        interval-intlen-sub less-imp-le-nat)
have *2120*: (∀ *i<intlen la.*
            (*let m = (nth la (Suc i)); n= (nth la i) in*
            (∀ *ia< m − n.*
             (∀ *j ≤ ((nth l (n+(Suc ia)))) − ((nth l (n +ia))).*
                nth (sub ((nth l (n +ia)) − (nth l n))
                          ((nth l (n+(Suc ia))) − (nth l n))
                          (sub (nth l n) (nth l m) σ)) j =*
                nth (sub (nth l n) (nth l m) σ) (((nth l (n +ia)) − (nth l n))+j)
))))
        by (*metis 2119 21190 21191 interval-intlen-sub interval-nth-sub*)
have *212111*: (∀ *i<intlen la.*
            (*let m = (nth la (Suc i)); n= (nth la i) in*
            (∀ *ia< m − n.*
                ((*nth l (n +ia))) ≤ ((nth l (n+(Suc ia))))*
)
)
)

        using *2114* **by** *blast*
have *212112*: (∀ *i<intlen la.*
            (*let m = (nth la (Suc i)); n= (nth la i) in*
            (∀ *ia< m − n.*
                ((*nth l (n))) ≤ ((nth l (n+(ia))))*
)
)
)
    by (*simp add: 206*)
have *212113*: (∀ *i<intlen la.*
            (*let m = (nth la (Suc i)); n= (nth la i) in*
            (∀ *ia< m − n.*
             (∀ *j ≤ ((nth l (n+(Suc ia)))) − ((nth l (n +ia))).*
                ((*nth l (n +ia)))+j ≤ (nth l m)*
)
)
)
)
    using *212111 212112 206 2060 2116*
    unfolding *Let-def* **by** *fastforce*
have *21211*: (∀ *i<intlen la.*
            (*let m = (nth la (Suc i)); n= (nth la i) in*
            (∀ *ia< m − n.*
             (∀ *j ≤ ((nth l (n+(Suc ia)))) − ((nth l (n +ia))).*
                ((*nth l (n +ia)) − (nth l n))+j ≤ (nth l m) − (nth l n)*
)
)
)
)

by (*metis 212112 212113 Nat.add-diff-assoc2 diff-le-mono*)

have 2121: $(\forall\, i < intlen\ la.$
$(let\ m = (nth\ la\ (Suc\ i));\ n = (nth\ la\ i)\ in$
$(\forall\, ia <\ m - n.$
$(\forall\, j \leq ((nth\ l\ (n+(Suc\ ia)))) - ((nth\ l\ (n+ia))).$
$(nth\ (sub\ (nth\ l\ n)\ (nth\ l\ m)\ \sigma)\ (((nth\ l\ (n+ia)) - (nth\ l\ n))+j)\ ) =$
$(nth\ \sigma\ ((nth\ l\ n) + (((nth\ l\ (n+ia)) - (nth\ l\ n))+j))\ \ )$
$)$
$)$
$)$
$)$

       by (*metis 206 2117 21211 add-diff-inverse-nat interval-nth-sub nat-diff-split*
        not-less-zero order-refl)

have 2122: $(\forall\, i < intlen\ la.$
$(let\ m = (nth\ la\ (Suc\ i));\ n = (nth\ la\ i)\ in$
$(\forall\, ia <\ m - n.$
$(\forall\, j \leq ((nth\ l\ (n+(Suc\ ia)))) - ((nth\ l\ (n+ia))).$
$(nth\ \sigma\ ((nth\ l\ n) + (((nth\ l\ (n+ia)) - (nth\ l\ n))+j))\ \ ) =$
$(nth\ \sigma\ (\ \ (((nth\ l\ (n+ia)))+j))\ \ )$
$)$
$)$
$)$
$)$

    by (*metis 206 add.assoc le-add-diff-inverse less-imp-le-nat*)

have 2123: $(\forall\, i < intlen\ la.$
$(let\ m = (nth\ la\ (Suc\ i));\ n = (nth\ la\ i)\ in$
$(\forall\, ia <\ m - n.$
$(\forall\, j \leq ((nth\ l\ (n+(Suc\ ia)))) - ((nth\ l\ (n+ia))).$
$nth\ (sub\ (nth\ l\ (n+ia))\ ((nth\ l\ (n+(Suc\ ia))))\ \sigma)\ j =$
$(nth\ \sigma\ (\ \ (((nth\ l\ (n+ia)))+j))\ )$
$)$
$)$
$)$
$)$

      by (*metis 2114 2118 3 eq-imp-le interval-idx-less-equal interval-nth-sub*)

have 2124: $(\forall\, i < intlen\ la.$
$(let\ m = (nth\ la\ (Suc\ i));\ n = (nth\ la\ i)\ in$
$(\forall\, ia <\ m - n.$
$intlen\ (sub\ (nth\ l\ (n+ia))\ ((nth\ l\ (n+(Suc\ ia))))\ \sigma) =$
$((nth\ l\ (n+(Suc\ ia)))) - ((nth\ l\ (n+ia)))$
$)$
$)$
$)$

      by (*metis 2118 3 Suc-eq-plus1 Suc-le-lessD add-Suc-right interval-idx-expand*
        interval-intlen-sub)

have 2125: $(\forall\, i < intlen\ la.$
$(let\ m = (nth\ la\ (Suc\ i));\ n = (nth\ la\ i)\ in$

$$(\forall \, ia< \ m - n.$$
$$(\forall \ j \leq ((nth \ l \ (n+(Suc \ ia)))) - ((nth \ l \ (n +ia))).$$
$$nth \ (sub \ ((nth \ l \ (n +ia)) - (nth \ l \ n))$$
$$((nth \ l \ (n+(Suc \ ia))) - (nth \ l \ n))$$
$$(sub \ (nth \ l \ n) \ (nth \ l \ m) \ \sigma)) \ j =$$
$$(nth \ (sub \ (nth \ l \ (n +ia)) \ ((nth \ l \ (n+(Suc \ ia)))) \ \sigma) \ j)$$
$$)$$
$$)$$
$$)$$
$$)$$

by (*metis 2120 2121 2122 2123*)
have *2126*: ($\forall \, i<$*intlen la*.
     (*let m = (nth la (Suc i)); n= (nth la i) in*
      ($\forall \, ia< \ m - n.$
       intlen (sub ((nth l (n +ia)) − (nth l n))
           ((nth l (n+(Suc ia))) − (nth l n))
           (sub (nth l n) (nth l m) σ)) =
       intlen (sub (nth l (n +ia)) ((nth l (n+(Suc ia)))) σ)
)
)
)
by (*metis 2119 2124*)
have *2127*: ($\forall \, i<$*intlen la*.
     (*let m = (nth la (Suc i)); n= (nth la i) in*
      ($\forall \, ia< \ m - n.$
      (*sub ((nth l (n +ia)) − (nth l n))*
         ((nth l (n+(Suc ia))) − (nth l n))
         (sub (nth l n) (nth l m) σ)) =
      (sub (nth l (n +ia)) ((nth l (n+(Suc ia)))) σ)
)
)
)

    using *interval-eq-nth-eq 2125 2126*
    by (*metis 2119*)
have *2128*: ($\forall \, i<$*intlen la*.
     (*let m = (nth la (Suc i)); n= (nth la i) in*
      ($\forall \, ia< \ m - n.$
      f (sub (nth l (n +ia)) ((nth l (n+(Suc ia)))) σ)
)
)
)

     by (*metis 2041 3 add.commute add-Suc-right less-diff-conv less-le-trans*
       powerinterval-def)
show *?thesis*
by (*metis 2111 2112 2113 2127 2128*)
qed
have *220*: ($\forall \ i< \ intlen \ la.$

$$((filt\ (sub\ (nth\ l\ (nth\ la\ i))\ (nth\ l\ (nth\ la\ (Suc\ i)))\ \sigma)$$
$$(map\ (shiftm\ (nth\ l\ (nth\ la\ i)))\ (sub\ (nth\ la\ i)\ (nth\ la\ (Suc\ i))\ l))\ )$$
$$\models g)$$
$$)$$

proof $-$
have *2201*: ($\forall\ i<\ intlen\ la.$
      intlen (*filt* (*sub* (*nth l* (*nth la i*)) (*nth l* (*nth la* (*Suc i*))) σ)
         (*map* (*shiftm* (*nth l* (*nth la i*)))
            (*sub* (*nth la i*) (*nth la* (*Suc i*)) *l*))) =
    intlen (*map* (*shiftm* (*nth l* (*nth la i*))) (*sub* (*nth la i*) (*nth la* (*Suc i*)) *l*))
)

    using *filt-intlen* **by** *blast*
have *2202*: ($\forall\ i<\ intlen\ la.$
      intlen (*map* (*shiftm* (*nth l* (*nth la i*)))
         (*sub* (*nth la i*) (*nth la* (*Suc i*)) *l*)) =
     (*nth la* (*Suc i*)) $-$ (*nth la i*)
)

   using *204* **by** *blast*
have *2203*: ($\forall\ i<\ intlen\ la.$
     ($\forall\ j\le$ (*nth la* (*Suc i*)) $-$ (*nth la i*) .
      nth ((*filt* (*sub* (*nth l* (*nth la i*)) (*nth l* (*nth la* (*Suc i*))) σ)
         (*map* (*shiftm* (*nth l* (*nth la i*)))
            (*sub* (*nth la i*) (*nth la* (*Suc i*)) *l*)))) *j* =
      nth (*sub* (*nth l* (*nth la i*)) (*nth l* (*nth la* (*Suc i*))) σ)
       (*nth* (*map* (*shiftm* (*nth l* (*nth la i*)))
          (*sub* (*nth la i*) (*nth la* (*Suc i*)) *l*)) *j*)
     ))

     by (*simp add*: *filt-map interval-nth-map*)
have *2204*: ($\forall\ i<\ intlen\ la.$
     ($\forall\ j\le$ (*nth la* (*Suc i*)) $-$ (*nth la i*) .
      nth (*sub* (*nth l* (*nth la i*)) (*nth l* (*nth la* (*Suc i*))) σ)
       (*nth* (*map* (*shiftm* (*nth l* (*nth la i*)))
          (*sub* (*nth la i*) (*nth la* (*Suc i*)) *l*)) *j*) =
      nth (*sub* (*nth l* (*nth la i*)) (*nth l* (*nth la* (*Suc i*))) σ)
       ((*nth* (*sub* (*nth la i*) (*nth la* (*Suc i*)) *l*) *j*) $-$(*nth l* (*nth la i*)))
     ))

   by (*simp add*: *interval-nth-map shiftm-def*)
have *2205*: ($\forall\ i<\ intlen\ la.$
     (
       (*nth l* (*nth la i*)) $\le$ (*nth l* (*nth la* (*Suc i*))) $\land$
       (*nth l* (*nth la* (*Suc i*))) $\le$ *intlen* σ
     ))

     by (*metis 12 9 add.commute filt-intlen filt-map interval-idx-expand*
       interval-nth-map plus-1-eq-Suc)

have *2206* : ($\forall$ *i*< *intlen la*.
    ($\forall$ *j*$\leq$ (*nth la* (*Suc i*)) $-$ (*nth la i*) .
    (*nth* (*sub* (*nth la i*) (*nth la* (*Suc i*)) *l*) *j*) =
    (*nth l* ((*nth la i*)+*j*))
    ))

  using *205* **by** *blast*
have *2207*: ($\forall$ *i*< *intlen la*.
    ($\forall$ *j*$\leq$ (*nth la* (*Suc i*)) $-$ (*nth la i*) .
    ((*nth l* (*nth la i*)) + ((*nth* (*sub* (*nth la i*) (*nth la* (*Suc i*)) *l*) *j*)
               $-$(*nth l* (*nth la i*)))) =
    (*nth l* ((*nth la i*)+*j*))
    ))

  by (*simp add*: *206 2206*)
have *2208*: ($\forall$ *i*< *intlen la*.
    ($\forall$ *j*$\leq$ (*nth la* (*Suc i*)) $-$ (*nth la i*) .
    nth (*sub* (*nth l* (*nth la i*)) (*nth l* (*nth la* (*Suc i*))) σ)
        ((*nth* (*sub* (*nth la i*) (*nth la* (*Suc i*)) *l*) *j*) $-$(*nth l* (*nth la i*))) =
    nth σ (*nth l* ((*nth la i*)+*j*))
    ))

  by (*metis* (*no-types*, *lifting*) *2041 206 2060 2205 3 Nat.le-diff-conv2*
    add.commute interval-idx-less-equal interval-nth-sub le-add-diff-inverse2)
have *2209*: ($\forall$ *i*< *intlen la*.
    ($\forall$ *j*$\leq$ (*nth la* (*Suc i*)) $-$ (*nth la i*) .
    (*nth* (*filt* σ *l*) ((*nth la i*)+*j*)) = (*nth* σ (*nth l* ((*nth la i*)+*j*)))
    ))

  by (*simp add*: *filt-map interval-nth-map*)
have *2210*: ($\forall$ *i*< *intlen la*.
    intlen (*sub* (*nth la i*) (*nth la* (*Suc i*)) (*filt* σ *l*)) =
    (*nth la* (*Suc i*)) $-$ (*nth la i*)
)

    using *5 PJ6help1* **by** *blast*
have *2211*: ($\forall$ *i*< *intlen la*.
    ($\forall$ *j*$\leq$ (*nth la* (*Suc i*)) $-$ (*nth la i*) .
    (*nth* (*sub* (*nth la i*) (*nth la* (*Suc i*)) (*filt* σ *l*)) *j*) =
    (*nth* σ (*nth l* ((*nth la i*)+*j*)))
    ))

    using *2209 5 interval-idx-expand interval-nth-sub* **by** *fastforce*
have *2212*: ($\forall$ *i*< *intlen la*.
    ($\forall$ *j*$\leq$ (*nth la* (*Suc i*)) $-$ (*nth la i*) .
        nth ((*filt* (*sub* (*nth l* (*nth la i*)) (*nth l* (*nth la* (*Suc i*))) σ)
            (*map* (*shiftm* (*nth l* (*nth la i*)))
                (*sub* (*nth la i*) (*nth la* (*Suc i*)) *l*)))) *j* =
    (*nth* (*sub* (*nth la i*) (*nth la* (*Suc i*)) (*filt* σ *l*)) *j*)
    ))

by (*simp add*: *2203 2204 2208 2211*)
have *2213*: (∀ *i< intlen la.*
  intlen ((*filt* (*sub* (*nth l* (*nth la i*)) (*nth l* (*nth la* (*Suc i*))) σ)
    (*map* (*shiftm* (*nth l* (*nth la i*)))
      (*sub* (*nth la i*) (*nth la* (*Suc i*)) *l*)))) =
  intlen (*sub* (*nth la i*) (*nth la* (*Suc i*)) (*filt* σ *l*))
)

  by (*metis 2202 2210 filt-intlen*)
have *2214*: (∀ *i< intlen la.*
  (*filt* (*sub* (*nth l* (*nth la i*)) (*nth l* (*nth la* (*Suc i*))) σ)
    (*map* (*shiftm* (*nth l* (*nth la i*))) (*sub* (*nth la i*) (*nth la* (*Suc i*)) *l*))) =
  (*sub* (*nth la i*) (*nth la* (*Suc i*)) (*filt* σ *l*))
)

  using *interval-eq-nth-eq 2212 2213* **using** *2201 2202* **by** *fastforce*
have *2215*: (∀ *i< intlen la.*
  (*sub* (*nth la i*) (*nth la* (*Suc i*)) (*filt* σ *l*)) ⊨ *g*
)
  using *5 powerinterval-def* **by** *blast*
show *?thesis* **by** (*simp add*: *2214 2215*)
qed
show *?thesis*
using *201 202 203 209 210 211 220* **by** *metis*
qed
show *?thesis*
by (*metis 12 20 5 8 9 projection-d-def*)
qed

lemma *PJ7sem*:
(σ ⊨ *f* △ (*g* △ *h*) = (*f* △ *g*) △ *h*)
proof −
 have *1*: *intlen* σ >0 ⟶ (σ ⊨ *f* △ (*g* △ *h*) = (*f* △ *g*) △ *h*)
 using *PJ7helpchain1a PJ7helpchain1b unl-lift2* **by** *blast*
 have *2*: *intlen* σ = 0 ⟶ (σ ⊨ *f* △ (*g* △ *h*) = (*f* △ *g*) △ *h*)
  using *PJ7empty* **by** *blast*
 from *1 2* **show** *?thesis* **by** *auto*
qed

10.3.8   PJ8

lemma *PJ8semhelp*:
 assumes *index-sequence 0 l*
  Interval.nth l (*intlen l*) = *intlen* σ
  (∀ *n na. na* + *n* ≤ *intlen* σ ⟶ *f* (*sub n* (*n+ na*) σ) ⟶ *g* (*sub n* (*n* + *na*) σ))
 shows
  (∀ *i<intlen l. f* (*sub* (*Interval.nth l i*) (*Interval.nth l* (*Suc i*)) σ)

284

$$\longrightarrow g \ (sub \ (Interval.nth \ l \ i) \ (Interval.nth \ l \ (Suc \ i)) \ \sigma)$$
$$)$$
by (*metis add.commute assms interval-idx-expand*
   ordered-cancel-comm-monoid-diff-class.add-diff-inverse plus-1-eq-Suc)

lemma *PJ8sem*:
$(\sigma \models ba(f \longrightarrow g) \longrightarrow (f \vartriangle h) \longrightarrow (g \vartriangle h))$
using *PJ8semhelp* **by** (*simp add*: *projection-d-def ba-defs powerinterval-def*) *blast*

10.3.9   PJ9

lemma *PJ9sem*:
$(\sigma \models f \ \nabla \ (g \longrightarrow h) \longrightarrow f \vartriangle g \longrightarrow f \vartriangle h)$
by (*simp add*: *uprojection-d-def projection-d-def*, *metis*)

10.4   Axioms

lemma *BpGen*:
 assumes $\vdash f$
 shows $\vdash bp \ f$
using *assms*
by (*simp add*: *bp-d-def uprojection-d-def projection-d-def Valid-def*)

lemma *PJ1*:
$\vdash f \vartriangle (g \lor h) \longrightarrow f \vartriangle g \lor f \vartriangle h$
using *PJ1sem Valid-def* **by** *blast*

lemma *PJ2*:
$\vdash f \vartriangle empty = empty$
 using *PJ2sem Valid-def* **by** *blast*

lemma *PJ3*:
$\vdash f \vartriangle skip = (f \land more)$
using *PJ3sem Valid-def* **by** *blast*

lemma *PJ4*:
$\vdash f \vartriangle (g;h) = (f \vartriangle g) \ ; \ (f \vartriangle h)$
using *PJ4sem Valid-def* **by** *blast*

lemma *PJ5*:
$\vdash f \vartriangle init(g) \longrightarrow init(g)$
using *PJ5sem Valid-def* **by** *blast*

lemma *PJ6*:
$\vdash skip \vartriangle g = g$
using *PJ6sem Valid-def* **by** *blast*

lemma *PJ7*:
$\vdash f \vartriangle (g \vartriangle h) = (f \vartriangle g) \vartriangle h$

using *PJ7sem Valid-def* **by** *blast*

lemma *PJ8*:
⊢ *ba*(*f* ⟶ *g*) ⟶ (*f* △ *h*) ⟶ (*g* △ *h*)
using *PJ8sem Valid-def* **by** *blast*

lemma *PJ9*:
⊢ *f* ∇ (*g* ⟶ *h*) ⟶ *f* △ *g* ⟶ *f* △ *h*
using *PJ9sem Valid-def* **by** *blast*

10.5   Time Reversal

lemma *filt-intapp*:
filt w (*l* ⊖ ⟨*x*⟩) = (*filt w l*) ⊖ ⟨(*nth w x*)⟩
proof
(*induct l*)
case (*St x*)
then show *?case* **by** *simp*
next
case (*Cons x1a l*)
then show *?case*
by *simp*
qed

lemma *filt-rev*:
assumes ∀ *i* ≤ *intlen l*. (*nth l i*) ≤ *intlen w*
 shows (*filt* (*intrev w*) *l*) = (*intrev* (*filt w* (*map* (λ*x*. *intlen w* −*x*) (*intrev l*))))
using *assms*
proof
(*induct l*)
case (*St x*)
then show *?case*
  proof −
  have *01*: *filt* (*intrev w*) ⟨*x*⟩ = ⟨ *nth* (*intrev w*) *x* ⟩
    by *simp*
  have *02*: ⟨ *nth* (*intrev w*) *x* ⟩ = ⟨ *nth w* (*intlen w* −*x*) ⟩
    using *St.prems interval-intrev-nth* **by** *auto*
  have *03*: *intrev* (*filt w* (*interval.map* ((−) (*intlen w*)) ⟨*x*⟩)) = ⟨ *nth w* (*intlen w* −*x*) ⟩
    by *simp*
  from *01 02 03* **show** *?thesis* **by** *auto*
  qed
next
case (*Cons x1a l*)
then show *?case*
  proof −
  have *1*: *filt* (*intrev w*) (*x1a* ⊙ *l*) =
        (*nth* (*intrev w*) *x1a*) ⊙ (*filt* (*intrev w*) *l*)
      by *simp*

have 2: $(nth\ (intrev\ w)\ x1a) = (nth\ w\ (intlen\ w - x1a)\ )$
    using *Cons.prems interval-intrev-nth* **by** *fastforce*
have 3: $intrev\ (filt\ w\ (x1a \odot l))\ = intrev\ ((nth\ w\ x1a) \odot (filt\ w\ l))$
  by *simp*
have 4: $intrev\ (filt\ w\ (map\ ((-)\ (intlen\ w))\ (intrev\ (x1a \odot l)))) =$
      $intrev\ (filt\ w\ \ (map\ ((-)\ (intlen\ w))\ (\ (intrev\ l) \ominus \langle\ x1a\ \rangle\ )))$

  by *simp*
have 5: $intrev\ (filt\ w\ \ (map\ ((-)\ (intlen\ w))\ (\ (intrev\ l) \ominus \langle\ x1a\ \rangle\ ))) =$
      $intrev\ (\ (filt\ w\ \ (map\ ((-)\ (intlen\ w))\ (\ (intrev\ l)))) \ominus \langle\ nth\ w\ (intlen\ w - x1a)\ \rangle\ )$
  by (*simp add*: *filt-intapp*)
have 6: $intrev\ (\ (filt\ w\ \ (map\ ((-)\ (intlen\ w))\ (\ (intrev\ l)))) \ominus \langle\ nth\ w\ (intlen\ w - x1a)\ \rangle\ ) =$
      $(nth\ w\ (intlen\ w - x1a)) \odot intrev(\ (filt\ w\ \ (map\ ((-)\ (intlen\ w))\ (\ (intrev\ l)))))$
  by *auto*
have 7: $\forall\ i \le intlen\ l.\ (nth\ l\ i) \le intlen\ w$
  using *local.Cons(2)* **by** *auto*
have 8 : $(filt\ (intrev\ w)\ l) = intrev(filt\ w\ (interval.map\ ((-)\ (intlen\ w))\ (intrev\ l)))$
  using *7 Cons.hyps* **by** *blast*
show *?thesis*
using *2 5 8* **by** *auto*
qed
qed

lemma *ProjectionRevsema*:
 assumes $(\sigma \models (f \triangle g)^r)$
 shows $(\sigma \models (f^r) \triangle (g^r))$
 proof $-$
 have 1: $(\sigma \models (f \triangle g)^r)$
 using *assms* **by** *auto*
 have 2: $\exists l.\ index\text{-}sequence\ 0\ l \wedge Interval.nth\ l\ (intlen\ l) = intlen\ \sigma \wedge$
    $powerinterval\ f\ (intrev\ \sigma)\ l \wedge g\ (filt\ (intrev\ \sigma)\ l)$
 using *1* **by** (*simp add*: *projection-d-def reverse-d-def*)
 obtain *l* **where** 3: $index\text{-}sequence\ 0\ l \wedge Interval.nth\ l\ (intlen\ l) = intlen\ \sigma \wedge$
    $powerinterval\ f\ (intrev\ \sigma)\ l \wedge g\ (filt\ (intrev\ \sigma)\ l)$
 using *2* **by** *auto*
 have 4: $index\text{-}sequence\ 0\ l$
 using *3* **by** *auto*
 have 5: $Interval.nth\ l\ (intlen\ l) = intlen\ \sigma$
 using *3* **by** *auto*
 have 6: $powerinterval\ f\ (intrev\ \sigma)\ l$
  using *3* **by** *auto*
 have 7: $g\ (filt\ (intrev\ \sigma)\ l)$
  using *3* **by** *auto*
 have 8: $\forall\ i \le intlen\ l.\ (nth\ l\ i) \le intlen\ \sigma$
 using *4 5 interval-idx-less-last-1 le-eq-less-or-eq* **by** *fastforce*
 have 9: $g\ (\ intrev(filt\ \sigma\ (map\ (\lambda x.\ intlen\ \sigma - x)\ (intrev\ l))\ ))$
 using *7 8 filt-rev* **by** *fastforce*
 have 10: $nth\ (map\ ((-)\ (intlen\ \sigma))\ (intrev\ l))\ 0 = 0$
   by (*metis 5 diff-self-eq-0 interval-intfirst-intrev interval-nth-intlen-intlast*
     interval-nth-map interval-nth-zero-intfirst)

have *11*: ($\forall$ *n*<*intlen l*.
     nth (*map* ((−) (*intlen σ*)) (*intrev l*)) *n*
      < *nth* (*map* ((−) (*intlen σ*)) (*intrev l*)) (*Suc n*))
   by (*simp add*: *interval-nth-map interval-intrev-nth*)
    (*metis* (*no-types*, *lifting*) *4 5 Suc-diff-Suc Suc-less-eq diff-less-Suc diff-less-mono2*
    index-sequence-def interval-idx-less-last-1)
have *12*: *index-sequence 0* (*map* (λx. *intlen σ* −*x*) (*intrev l*))
  by (*simp add*: *10 11 index-sequence-def*)
have *13*: *intlen* (*map* (λx. *intlen σ* −*x*) (*intrev l*)) = *intlen l*
   by *auto*
have *14*: *nth* (*map* ((−) (*intlen σ*)) (*intrev l*)) (*intlen* (*map* ((−) (*intlen σ*)) (*intrev l*))) =
    intlen σ
  by (*metis 4 diff-zero index-sequence-def interval-intlast-intrev interval-intlen-map*
   interval-nth-intlen-intlast interval-nth-map interval-nth-zero-intfirst)
have *15*: $\forall$ *i*<*intlen l*.
    (*nth* (*map* ((−) (*intlen σ*)) (*intrev l*)) *i*) ≤
    (*nth* (*map* ((−) (*intlen σ*)) (*intrev l*)) (*Suc i*))

   by (*simp add*: *11 less-imp-le-nat*)
have *16*: $\forall$ *i*<*intlen l*.
    (*nth* (*map* ((−) (*intlen σ*)) (*intrev l*)) (*Suc i*)) ≤ *intlen σ*

   by (*simp add*: *interval-nth-map*)
have *17*: $\forall$ *i*<*intlen l*.
    intrev
     (*sub* (*nth* (*map* ((−) (*intlen σ*)) (*intrev l*)) *i*)
      (*nth* (*map* ((−) (*intlen σ*)) (*intrev l*)) (*Suc i*)) *σ*) =
     sub (*intlen σ* − (*nth* (*map* ((−) (*intlen σ*)) (*intrev l*)) (*Suc i*)))
      (*intlen σ* − (*nth* (*map* ((−) (*intlen σ*)) (*intrev l*)) *i*))
      (*intrev σ*)

   using *interval-intrev-sub*
   using *15 16* **by** *blast*
have *18*: $\forall$ *i*<*intlen l*.
    intlen σ − (*nth* (*map* ((−) (*intlen σ*)) (*intrev l*)) (*Suc i*)) =
    (*nth* (*intrev l*) (*Suc i*))

   by (*metis 10 12 13 14 Suc-leI diff-diff-cancel interval-idx-less-than*
    interval-intlen-gr-zero interval-nth-map less-le zero-less-Suc zero-less-diff)
have *19*: $\forall$ *i*<*intlen l*.
    intlen σ − (*nth* (*map* ((−) (*intlen σ*)) (*intrev l*)) (*i*)) =
    (*nth* (*intrev l*) (*i*))
  by (*metis 12 13 5 diff-diff-cancel gr-zeroI interval-idx-greater-first*
   interval-intfirst-intrev interval-intlast-prefix interval-nth-map interval-nth-zero-intfirst
   interval-prefix-intlen order.order-iff-strict zero-less-diff)
have *20*: $\forall$ *i*<*intlen l*.
    (*sub* (*intlen σ* − (*nth* (*map* ((−) (*intlen σ*)) (*intrev l*)) (*Suc i*)))
     (*intlen σ* − (*nth* (*map* ((−) (*intlen σ*)) (*intrev l*)) *i*))
     (*intrev σ*)) =
    (*sub* (*nth* (*intrev l*) (*Suc i*))

$(nth\ (intrev\ l)\ (i))$
$(intrev\ \sigma))$

using *18 19* **by** *simp*
have *21*: $\forall\,i<intlen\ l.$
$(\ sub\ (nth\ (intrev\ l)\ (Suc\ i))$
$(nth\ (intrev\ l)\ (i))$
$(intrev\ \sigma)) =$
$(\ sub\ (nth\ l\ (intlen\ l -\ (Suc\ i)))$
$(nth\ l\ (intlen\ l - i))$
$(intrev\ \sigma))$

by (*simp add*: *interval-intrev-nth*)
have *22*: $\forall\,i<intlen\ l.$
$f\ (\ sub\ (nth\ l\ (intlen\ l -\ (Suc\ i)))$
$(nth\ l\ (intlen\ l - i))$
$(intrev\ \sigma))$

by (*metis 6 Suc-diff-Suc Suc-less-eq diff-less-Suc powerinterval-def*)
have *24*: $\forall\,i<intlen\ l.$
$f\ (intrev$
$(sub\ (nth\ (map\ ((-)\ (intlen\ \sigma))\ (intrev\ l))\ i)$
$(nth\ (map\ ((-)\ (intlen\ \sigma))\ (intrev\ l))\ (Suc\ i))\ \sigma))$
by (*simp add*: *17 20 21 22*)
have *25*: *powerinterval* $(\lambda s.\ f\ (intrev\ s))\ \sigma\ (map\ ((-)\ (intlen\ \sigma))\ (intrev\ l))$
by (*simp add*: *24 powerinterval-def*)
have *26*: $(\exists\,l.\ index\text{-}sequence\ 0\ l\ \wedge$
$nth\ l\ (intlen\ l) = intlen\ \sigma\ \wedge$
$powerinterval\ (\lambda s.\ f\ (intrev\ s))\ \sigma\ l\ \wedge\ g\ (intrev(filt\ \sigma\ l)))$
using *12 14 25 9* **by** *blast*
from *26* **show** *?thesis*
by (*simp add*: *projection-d-def reverse-d-def*)
qed

lemma *ProjectionRevsemb*:
assumes $(\sigma \models (f^r)\ \triangle\ (g^r))$
shows $(\sigma \models (f\ \triangle\ g)^r)$
proof $-$
have *1*: $(\exists\,l.\ index\text{-}sequence\ 0\ l\ \wedge$
$nth\ l\ (intlen\ l) = intlen\ \sigma\ \wedge$
$powerinterval\ (\lambda s.\ f\ (intrev\ s))\ \sigma\ l\ \wedge\ g\ (intrev(filt\ \sigma\ l)))$
using *assms* **by** (*simp add*: *projection-d-def reverse-d-def*)
obtain *l* **where** *2*: *index-sequence 0 l* $\wedge$
$nth\ l\ (intlen\ l) = intlen\ \sigma\ \wedge$
$powerinterval\ (\lambda s.\ f\ (intrev\ s))\ \sigma\ l\ \wedge\ g\ (intrev(filt\ \sigma\ l))$
using *1* **by** *auto*
have *3*: *index-sequence 0 l*
using *2* **by** *auto*
have *4*: $nth\ l\ (intlen\ l) = intlen\ \sigma$

using *2* **by** *auto*

have *5*: *powerinterval* $(\lambda s.\ f\ (intrev\ s))\ \sigma\ l$
  using *2* **by** *auto*

have *6*: *g* $(intrev(filt\ \sigma\ l))$
  using *2* **by** *auto*

have *7*: *intlen* $(map\ ((-)\ (intlen\ \sigma))\ (intrev\ l)) = intlen\ l$
  by *simp*

have *8*: $\forall\ i \leq intlen\ l.\ (nth\ (map\ ((-)\ (intlen\ \sigma))\ (intrev\ l))\ i) \leq intlen\ \sigma$
  by (*simp add*: *interval-nth-map*)

have *9*: *g* $(filt\ (intrev\ \sigma)\ (map\ ((-)\ (intlen\ \sigma))\ (intrev\ l)))$
  by (*metis 2 filt-rev interval-idx-less-equal interval-intrev-intlen interval-rev-rev-ident*
     le-refl)

have *10*: *nth* $(map\ ((-)\ (intlen\ \sigma))\ (intrev\ l))\ (intlen\ (map\ ((-)\ (intlen\ \sigma))\ (intrev\ l))) = intlen\ \sigma$
  by (*metis 2 diff-zero index-sequence-def interval-intlast-intrev interval-intlen-map*
     interval-nth-intlen-intlast interval-nth-map interval-nth-zero-intfirst)

have *11*: *nth* $(map\ ((-)\ (intlen\ \sigma))\ (intrev\ l))\ 0 = 0$
    by (*metis 4 diff-self-eq-0 interval-intfirst-intrev interval-nth-intlen-intlast*
       interval-nth-map interval-nth-zero-intfirst)

have *12*: $(\forall\ n < intlen\ l.$
         nth $(map\ ((-)\ (intlen\ \sigma))\ (intrev\ l))\ n$
         $< nth\ (map\ ((-)\ (intlen\ \sigma))\ (intrev\ l))\ (Suc\ n))$
   by (*simp add*: *interval-nth-map interval-intrev-nth*)
     (*metis* (*no-types, lifting*) *2 Suc-diff-Suc Suc-less-eq diff-less-Suc diff-less-mono2*
       index-sequence-def interval-idx-less-last-1)

have *13*: *index-sequence 0* $(map\ (\lambda x.\ intlen\ \sigma\ -x)\ (intrev\ l))$
  by (*simp add*: *11 12 index-sequence-def*)

have *14*: $\forall\ i < intlen\ l.\ f\ (intrev\ (sub\ (nth\ l\ i)\ (nth\ l\ (Suc\ i))\ \sigma))$
  using *5* **by** (*simp add:powerinterval-def*)

have *15*: $\forall\ i < intlen\ l.\ (nth\ l\ (Suc\ i)) \leq intlen\ \sigma$
   using *2 interval-idx-expand* **by** *fastforce*

have *16*: $\forall\ i < intlen\ l.\ (nth\ l\ i) \leq (nth\ l\ (Suc\ i))$
   using *2 interval-idx-expand* **by** *fastforce*

have *17*: $\forall\ i < intlen\ l.$
         $f\ (sub\ ((intlen\ \sigma) - (nth\ l\ (Suc\ i)))\ ((intlen\ \sigma) - (nth\ l\ i))\ (intrev\ \sigma))$

   using *14*
   by (*simp add*: *interval-intrev-sub 15 16*)

have *18*: $\forall\ i < intlen\ l.$
         $(nth\ (map\ ((-)\ (intlen\ \sigma))\ (intrev\ l))\ i) =$
         $intlen\ \sigma\ -\ (nth\ (intrev\ l)\ i)$

 using *interval-nth-map* **by** *blast*

have *19*: $\forall\ i < intlen\ l.$
         $(nth\ (map\ ((-)\ (intlen\ \sigma))\ (intrev\ l))\ (Suc\ i)) =$
         $intlen\ \sigma\ -\ (nth\ (intrev\ l)\ (Suc\ i))$

 using *interval-nth-map* **by** *blast*

have *20*: $\forall\ i < intlen\ l.$
         $(nth\ (intrev\ l)\ i) = (nth\ l\ (intlen\ l\ -\ i))$

by (*simp add*: *interval-intrev-nth*)
have *21*: ∀ *i*<*intlen l*.
      (*nth* (*intrev l*) (*Suc i*)) = (*nth l* (*intlen l* − (*Suc i*)))
  by (*simp add*: *interval-intrev-nth*)
have *22*: ∀ *i*<*intlen l*.
     f (*sub* (*intlen* σ − (*nth l* (*intlen l* − *i*)))
        (*intlen* σ − (*nth l* (*intlen l* − (*Suc i*)))))
        (*intrev* σ))

  by (*metis 17 Suc-diff-Suc Suc-less-eq diff-less-Suc*)
have *23*: ∀ *i*<*intlen l*.
     f (*sub* (*intlen* σ − (*nth* (*intrev l*) *i*))
        (*intlen* σ − (*nth* (*intrev l*) (*Suc i*)))
        (*intrev* σ))

  by (*simp add*: *20 21 22*)
have *24*: ∀ *i*<*intlen l*.
     f (*sub* (*nth* (*map* ((−) (*intlen* σ)) (*intrev l*)) *i*)
        (*nth* (*map* ((−) (*intlen* σ)) (*intrev l*)) (*Suc i*)) (*intrev* σ))
  by (*simp add*: *23 interval-nth-map*)
have *25*: *powerinterval f* (*intrev* σ) (*map* ((−) (*intlen* σ)) (*intrev l*))
  by (*simp add*: *24 powerinterval-def*)
have *26*: ∃ *l*. *index-sequence 0 l* ∧ *Interval*.*nth l* (*intlen l*) = *intlen* σ ∧
     powerinterval f (*intrev* σ) *l* ∧ *g* (*filt* (*intrev* σ) *l*)
  using *10 13 25 9* **by** *blast*
from *26* **show** *?thesis* **by** (*simp add*: *projection-d-def reverse-d-def*)
qed

lemma *ProjectionRev*:
⊢ (*f* △ *g*)^r = *f*^{r} △ *g*^{r}
using *ProjectionRevsema ProjectionRevsemb unl-lift2* **by** *blast*

10.6   Theorems

10.6.1 Projection

lemma *PowerProjLen*:
⊢ *f* △ *len n* = *power* (*f* ∧ *more*) *n*
proof
 (*induct n*)
 case *0*
 then show *?case* **by** (*metis PJ2 len-d-def pow-0*)
 next
 case (*Suc n*)
 then show *?case*
 by (*metis PJ3 PJ4 inteq-reflection len-d-def pow-Suc*)
 qed

lemma *ProjLenExist*:

$\vdash f \triangle (\exists n.\ len\ n) = (\exists\ n.\ f \triangle len\ n)$
by (*simp add*: *Valid-def projection-d-def*, *blast*)

lemma *PowerProjLenExist*:
$\vdash (\exists\ n.\ f \triangle len\ n) = (\exists\ n.\ power\ (f \wedge more)\ n)$
using *PowerProjLen* **by** (*simp add*: *Valid-def PowerProjLen*, *blast*)

lemma *RightProjImpProj*:
 assumes $\vdash g1 \longrightarrow g2$
 shows $\vdash f \triangle g1 \longrightarrow f \triangle g2$
using *assms*
by (*simp add*: *Valid-def projection-d-def*, *blast*)

lemma *LeftProjImpProj*:
 assumes $\vdash f1 \longrightarrow f2$
 shows $\vdash f1 \triangle g \longrightarrow f2 \triangle g$
using *assms*
by (*simp add*: *Valid-def projection-d-def powerinterval-def*, *blast*)

lemma *RightProjEqvProj*:
 assumes $\vdash g1 = g2$
 shows $\vdash f \triangle g1 = f \triangle g2$
using *assms*
by (*metis* (*mono-tags*, *lifting*) *Valid-def inteq-reflection unl-lift2*)

lemma *LeftProjEqvProj*:
 assumes $\vdash f1 = f2$
 shows $\vdash f1 \triangle g = f2 \triangle g$
using *assms*
by (*metis* (*mono-tags*, *lifting*) *Valid-def inteq-reflection unl-lift2*)

lemma *ProjTrueEqvChopstar*:
$\vdash f \triangle \#True = f^\star$
proof $-$
 have *1*: $\vdash \#True = (\ (\exists n.\ len\ n))$
  by (*simp add*: *Valid-def len-defs*)
 have *2*: $\vdash f \triangle \#True = f \triangle (\exists n.\ len\ n)$
  using *1 RightProjEqvProj* **by** *blast*
 have *3*: $\vdash f \triangle (\exists n.\ len\ n) = (\exists\ n.\ power\ (f \wedge more)\ n)$
  using *PowerProjLenExist ProjLenExist* **by** *fastforce*
 have *4*: $\vdash (\exists\ n.\ power\ (f \wedge more)\ n) = f^\star$
  by (*simp add*: *chopstar-d-def powerstar-d-def*)
 show *?thesis* **using** *2 3 4* **by** *fastforce*
qed

lemma *ProjChopstarEqvChopstarProj*:
$\vdash f \triangle (g^\star) = (f \triangle g)^\star$
proof $-$

292

have *1*: ⊢ *f* △ (*g*⋆) = *f* △ (*g* △ #*True*)
 by (*metis ProjTrueEqvChopstar RightProjEqvProj inteq-reflection*)
have *2*: ⊢ *f* △ (*g* △ #*True*) = (*f* △ *g*) △ #*True*
 by (*simp add*: *PJ7*)
have *3*: ⊢ (*f* △ *g*) △ #*True* = (*f* △ *g*)⋆
   by (*simp add*: *ProjTrueEqvChopstar*)
 show *?thesis* **using** *1 2 3* **by** *fastforce*
qed

lemma *ProjAndImp*:
 ⊢ *f* △ (*g1* ∧ *g2*) ⟶ *f* △ *g1* ∧ *f* △ *g2*
by (*meson Prop12 RightProjImpProj int-iffD1 lift-and-com*)

lemma *ProjOrDist*:
 ⊢ #*True* △ (*f* ∨ *g*) = (#*True* △ *f* ∨ #*True* △ *g*)
using *PJ1sema* **by** *blast*

lemma *StateImportProj*:
 ⊢ ((*init w*) ∧ *f* △ *g*) = *f* △ ((*init w*) ∧ *g*)
by (*auto simp add*: *Valid-def init-defs projection-d-def filt-nth index-sequence-def*)

lemma *ProjStateAndNextEqvStateAndMoreChopProj*:
 ⊢ *f* △ ((*init w*) ∧ ○ *g*) = ((*init w*) ∧ (*f* ∧ *more*);(*f*△ *g*))
proof −
 have *2*: ⊢ (*f* ∧ *more*);(*f*△ *g*) = *f* △ ○ *g*
   by (*metis PJ3 PJ4 inteq-reflection next-d-def*)
 have *3*: ⊢ *f* △ ((*init w*)) ⟶ *init w*
   by (*simp add*: *PJ5*)
 have *4*: ⊢ (*init w* ∧ *f* △ ○ *g*) = *f* △ ((*init w*) ∧ ○ *g*)
  by (*simp add*: *StateImportProj*)
 have *5*: ⊢ *f* △ ((*init w*) ∧ ○ *g*) ⟶ ((*init w*) ∧ (*f* ∧ *more*);(*f*△ *g*))
   using *2 3 ProjAndImp* **by** *fastforce*
  from *5 4* **show** *?thesis* **using** *2* **by** *fastforce*
qed

lemma *ProjNext*:
 ⊢ *f* △ ○ *g* = (*f* ∧ *more*);(*f*△ *g*)
by (*metis PJ3 PJ4 inteq-reflection next-d-def*)

lemma *ProjWnext*:
 ⊢ *f* △ (*wnext g*) = (*empty* ∨ (*f* ∧ *more*);(*f*△ *g*))
proof −
 have *1*: ⊢ *f* △ (*wnext g*) = *f* △ (*empty* ∨ ○ *g*)
  by (*simp add*: *RightProjEqvProj WnextEqvEmptyOrNext*)
 have *2*: ⊢ *f* △ (*empty* ∨ ○ *g*) = (*empty* ∨ *f* △ (○ *g*))
   using *PJ1sema PJ2* **by** *fastforce*
 have *3*: ⊢ *f* △ (○ *g*) = (*f* ∧ *more*);(*f*△ *g*)
  by (*metis PJ3 PJ4 inteq-reflection next-d-def*)
 show *?thesis*

using *1 2 3* **by** *fastforce*
qed

lemma *ProjIntro*:
 assumes ⊢   *f* ∧ *more* ⟶ (*g* ∧ *more*); *f*
 shows   ⊢ *f* ⟶ *g* △ #*True*
using *assms CSIntro ProjTrueEqvChopstar* **by** *force*

lemma *RightBoxStateImportProj*:
⊢ □(*init w*) ∧ *f* △ *g* ⟶ *f* △ (□(*init w*) ∧ *g*)
by (*simp add*: *Valid-def always-defs init-defs projection-d-def*)
  (*metis diff-zero filt-expand interval-idx-bound-1 interval-intlen-gr-zero*
   interval-suffix-length-good)

lemma *LeftBoxStateImportProjhelp*:
 (∀ *n*≤*intlen wa*. *w* ⟨*Interval.nth wa n*⟩) ∧
       (∃*l*. *Interval.nth l 0 = 0* ∧
           (∀ *n*<*intlen l*. *Interval.nth l n* < *Interval.nth l* (*Suc n*)) ∧
           Interval.nth l (*intlen l*) = *intlen wa* ∧
           (∀ *i*<*intlen l*. *f* (*sub* (*Interval.nth l i*) (*Interval.nth l* (*Suc i*)) *wa*)) ∧
           g (*filt wa l*)) ⟶
       (∃*l*. *Interval.nth l 0 = 0* ∧
           (∀ *n*<*intlen l*. *Interval.nth l n* < *Interval.nth l* (*Suc n*)) ∧
           Interval.nth l (*intlen l*) = *intlen wa* ∧
           (∀ *i*<*intlen l*.
              f (*sub* (*Interval.nth l i*) (*Interval.nth l* (*Suc i*)) *wa*) ∧
              (∀ *n*≤*intlen* (*sub* (*Interval.nth l i*) (*Interval.nth l* (*Suc i*)) *wa*).
                 w ⟨*Interval.nth* (*sub* (*Interval.nth l i*) (*Interval.nth l* (*Suc i*)) *wa*) *n*⟩)) ∧
           g (*filt wa l*))
proof
 assume *0*: (∀ *n*≤*intlen wa*. *w* ⟨*Interval.nth wa n*⟩) ∧
   (∃*l*. *Interval.nth l 0 = 0* ∧
       (∀ *n*<*intlen l*. *Interval.nth l n* < *Interval.nth l* (*Suc n*)) ∧
       Interval.nth l (*intlen l*) = *intlen wa* ∧
       (∀ *i*<*intlen l*. *f* (*sub* (*Interval.nth l i*) (*Interval.nth l* (*Suc i*)) *wa*)) ∧
       g (*filt wa l*))
 show   ∃*l*. *Interval.nth l 0 = 0* ∧
       (∀ *n*<*intlen l*. *Interval.nth l n* < *Interval.nth l* (*Suc n*)) ∧
       Interval.nth l (*intlen l*) = *intlen wa* ∧
       (∀ *i*<*intlen l*.
          f (*sub* (*Interval.nth l i*) (*Interval.nth l* (*Suc i*)) *wa*) ∧
          (∀ *n*≤*intlen* (*sub* (*Interval.nth l i*) (*Interval.nth l* (*Suc i*)) *wa*).
             w ⟨*Interval.nth* (*sub* (*Interval.nth l i*) (*Interval.nth l* (*Suc i*)) *wa*) *n*⟩)) ∧
       g (*filt wa l*)
  proof −
   have *1*: (∀ *n*≤*intlen wa*. *w* ⟨*Interval.nth wa n*⟩)
    using *0* **by** *auto*
   have *2*: (∃*l*. *Interval.nth l 0 = 0* ∧

294

$(\forall\, n{<}intlen\ l.\ Interval.nth\ l\ n\ <\ Interval.nth\ l\ (Suc\ n))\ \wedge$
$Interval.nth\ l\ (intlen\ l)\ =\ intlen\ wa\ \wedge$
$(\forall\, i{<}intlen\ l.\ f\ (sub\ (Interval.nth\ l\ i)\ (Interval.nth\ l\ (Suc\ i))\ wa))\ \wedge$
$g\ (filt\ wa\ l))$
    using *0* **by** *auto*
  obtain *l* **where** *3*: *Interval.nth l 0 = 0* $\wedge$
$(\forall\, n{<}intlen\ l.\ Interval.nth\ l\ n\ <\ Interval.nth\ l\ (Suc\ n))\ \wedge$
$Interval.nth\ l\ (intlen\ l)\ =\ intlen\ wa\ \wedge$
$(\forall\, i{<}intlen\ l.\ f\ (sub\ (Interval.nth\ l\ i)\ (Interval.nth\ l\ (Suc\ i))\ wa))\ \wedge$
$g\ (filt\ wa\ l)$
    using *2* **by** *auto*
  have *4*: *Interval.nth l 0 = 0*
    using *3* **by** *auto*
  have *5*: $(\forall\, n{<}intlen\ l.\ Interval.nth\ l\ n\ <\ Interval.nth\ l\ (Suc\ n))$
    using *3* **by** *auto*
  have *6*: *Interval.nth l (intlen l) = intlen wa*
    using *3* **by** *auto*
  have *7*: $(\forall\, i{<}intlen\ l.\ f\ (sub\ (Interval.nth\ l\ i)\ (Interval.nth\ l\ (Suc\ i))\ wa))$
    using *3* **by** *auto*
  have *8*: *g (filt wa l)*
    using *3* **by** *auto*
  have *9*: $(\forall\, i{<}intlen\ l.$
$f\ (sub\ (Interval.nth\ l\ i)\ (Interval.nth\ l\ (Suc\ i))\ wa)\ \wedge$
$(\forall\, n{\le}(nth\ l\ (Suc\ i))\ {-}(nth\ l\ i).$
$w\ \langle nth\ wa\ ((nth\ l\ i){+}n)\rangle))$
  by (*metis 1 7 interval-nth-last-stutter nat-le-iff-add nat-le-linear*)
  have *10*: $(\forall\, i{<}intlen\ l.$
$intlen\ (sub\ (Interval.nth\ l\ i)\ (Interval.nth\ l\ (Suc\ i))\ wa)\ =$
$(nth\ l\ (Suc\ i))\ {-}(nth\ l\ i)\ )$
    by (*simp add: 3 PJ6help1 index-sequence-def*)
  have *11*: $(\forall\, i{<}intlen\ l.$
$(\forall\, n{\le}(nth\ l\ (Suc\ i))\ {-}(nth\ l\ i).$
$Interval.nth\ (sub\ (Interval.nth\ l\ i)\ (Interval.nth\ l\ (Suc\ i))\ wa)\ n\ =$
$nth\ wa\ ((nth\ l\ i){+}n)\ ))$
    using *3 index-sequence-def interval-idx-expand* **by** *fastforce*
  have *12*: $(\forall\, i{<}intlen\ l.$
$f\ (sub\ (Interval.nth\ l\ i)\ (Interval.nth\ l\ (Suc\ i))\ wa)\ \wedge$
$(\forall\, n{\le}intlen\ (sub\ (Interval.nth\ l\ i)\ (Interval.nth\ l\ (Suc\ i))\ wa).$
$w\ \langle Interval.nth\ (sub\ (Interval.nth\ l\ i)\ (Interval.nth\ l\ (Suc\ i))\ wa)\ n\rangle))$
    using *9 10 11* **by** *simp*
  show *?thesis*
  using *12 3* **by** *blast*
  qed
qed

lemma *LeftBoxStateImportProj*:
$\vdash \square(init\ w)\ \wedge\ f\ \triangle\ g\ \longrightarrow\ (f\ \wedge\ \square\ (init\ w))\ \triangle\ g$
using *LeftBoxStateImportProjhelp*
 by (*simp add: index-sequence-def Valid-def always-defs init-defs projection-d-def powerinterval-def*)
 blast

10.6.2 dp and bp

lemma *NotDpEqvBpNot*:
$\vdash (\neg(dp\ f)) = bp\ (\neg\ f)$
by (*simp add: bp-d-def dp-d-def uprojection-d-def*)

lemma *NotBpEqvDpNot*:
$\vdash (\neg(bp\ f)) = dp(\neg\ f)$
by (*simp add: bp-d-def dp-d-def uprojection-d-def*)

lemma *NowImpDp*:
$\vdash f \longrightarrow dp\ f$
proof $-$
 have *1*: $\vdash (skip \longrightarrow \#True)$
  by *simp*
 have *2*: $\vdash ba(skip \longrightarrow \#True)$
  using *1* **by** (*simp add: BaGen*)
 have *3*: $\vdash ba(skip \longrightarrow \#True) \longrightarrow (skip \triangle f \longrightarrow \#True \triangle f)$
  using *PJ8* **by** *blast*
 have *4*: $\vdash (skip \triangle f \longrightarrow \#True \triangle f)$
  using *2 3 MP* **by** *blast*
 show *?thesis*
 by (*metis 4 PJ6 dp-d-def inteq-reflection*)
qed

lemma *BpElim*:
$\vdash bp\ f \longrightarrow f$
proof $-$
 have *1*: $\vdash \neg\ f \longrightarrow dp\ (\neg\ f)$
  by (*simp add: NowImpDp*)
 hence *2*: $\vdash \neg(dp\ (\neg\ f)) \longrightarrow f$
  by *auto*
 from *2* **show** *?thesis*
 by (*simp add: bp-d-def dp-d-def uprojection-d-def*)
qed

lemma *BpImpDpImpDp*:
 $\vdash bp\ (f \longrightarrow g) \longrightarrow dp\ f \longrightarrow dp\ g$
proof $-$
 have *1*: $\vdash bp\ (f \longrightarrow g) \longrightarrow (\#True \triangle f) \longrightarrow (\#True \triangle g)$
  by (*simp add: PJ9 bp-d-def*)
 from *1* **show** *?thesis* **by** (*simp add: dp-d-def*)
qed

lemma *BpContraPosImpDist*:
 $\vdash bp\ (\neg\ g \longrightarrow \neg\ f) \longrightarrow (bp\ f) \longrightarrow (bp\ g)$
proof $-$

have $1 : \vdash bp\ (\neg\ g \longrightarrow \neg\ f) \longrightarrow (\ dp\ (\neg\ g)) \longrightarrow (\ dp\ (\neg\ f))$
  by (*rule BpImpDpImpDp*)
 hence $2 : \vdash bp\ (\neg\ g \longrightarrow \neg\ f) \longrightarrow (\neg\ (\ dp\ (\neg\ f))) \longrightarrow (\neg\ (\ dp\ (\neg\ g)))$ **by** *auto*
 from 2 **show** *?thesis*
 by (*simp add*: *bp-d-def dp-d-def uprojection-d-def*)
qed

lemma *BpImpDist*:
$\vdash bp\ (f \longrightarrow g) \longrightarrow (bp\ f) \longrightarrow (bp\ g)$
proof $-$
 have $1 : \vdash (f \longrightarrow g) \longrightarrow (\neg\ g \longrightarrow \neg\ f)$ **by** *auto*
 hence $2 : \vdash \neg\ (\neg\ g \longrightarrow \neg\ f) \longrightarrow \neg\ (f \longrightarrow g)$ **by** *auto*
 hence $3 : \vdash bp\ (\neg\ (\neg\ g \longrightarrow \neg\ f) \longrightarrow \neg\ (f \longrightarrow g))$ **by** (*rule BpGen*)
 have $4 : \vdash bp\ (\neg\ (\neg\ g \longrightarrow \neg\ f) \longrightarrow \neg\ (f \longrightarrow g))$
         $\longrightarrow$
           $bp\ (f \longrightarrow g) \longrightarrow bp\ (\neg\ g \longrightarrow \neg\ f)$ **by** (*rule BpContraPosImpDist*)
 have $5 : \vdash bp\ (f \longrightarrow g) \longrightarrow bp\ (\neg\ g \longrightarrow \neg\ f)$ **using** 3 4 *MP* **by** *blast*
 have $6 : \vdash bp\ (\neg\ g \longrightarrow \neg\ f) \longrightarrow (bp\ f) \longrightarrow (bp\ g)$ **by** (*rule BpContraPosImpDist*)
 from 5 6 **show** *?thesis* **using** *lift-imp-trans* **by** *blast*
qed

lemma *DpImpDpRule*:
 assumes $\vdash f \longrightarrow g$
 shows $\vdash\ dp\ f \longrightarrow\ dp\ g$
proof $-$
 have $1 : \vdash f \longrightarrow g$ **using** *assms* **by** *auto*
 hence $2 : \vdash \#True\ \triangle\ f \longrightarrow \#True\ \triangle\ g$
  by (*metis BpGen MP PJ9 bp-d-def*)
 from 2 **show** *?thesis* **by** (*simp add*: *dp-d-def*)
qed

lemma *BpImpBpRule*:
 assumes $\vdash f \longrightarrow g$
 shows $\vdash bp\ f \longrightarrow bp\ g$
proof $-$
 have $1 : \vdash f \longrightarrow g$ **using** *assms* **by** *auto*
 hence $2 : \vdash \neg\ g \longrightarrow \neg\ f$ **by** *auto*
 hence $3 : \vdash\ dp\ (\neg\ g) \longrightarrow\ dp\ (\neg\ f)$ **by** (*rule DpImpDpRule*)
 hence $4 : \vdash \neg\ (\ dp\ (\neg\ f)) \longrightarrow \neg\ (\ dp\ (\neg\ g))$ **by** *auto*
 from 4 **show** *?thesis*
  by (*meson BpGen BpImpDist MP assms*)
qed

lemma *DpEqvDpRule*:
 assumes $\vdash f = g$
 shows $\vdash\ dp\ f =\ dp\ g$
proof $-$
 have $1 : \vdash f = g$ **using** *assms* **by** *auto*
 hence $2 : \vdash \#True\ \triangle\ f =\ \#True\ \triangle\ g$

297

using *RightProjEqvProj* **by** *blast*
from *2* **show** *?thesis* **by** (*simp add*: *dp-d-def*)
qed

lemma *BpEqvBpRule*:
assumes $\vdash f = g$
shows $\vdash bp\ f = bp\ g$
proof $-$
have *1*: $\vdash f = g$ **using** *assms* **by** *auto*
hence *2*: $\vdash (\neg\ f) = (\neg\ g)$ **by** *auto*
hence *3*: $\vdash dp\ (\neg\ f) = dp\ (\neg\ g)$ **by** (*rule DpEqvDpRule*)
hence *4*: $\vdash (\neg\ (dp\ (\neg\ f))) = (\neg\ (\ dp\ (\neg\ g)))$ **by** *auto*
from *4* **show** *?thesis*
by (*metis BpImpBpRule assms int-iffD1 int-iffI inteq-reflection*)
qed

lemma *DpState*:
$\vdash dp\ (init\ w) = (init\ w)$
by (*metis NowImpDp PJ5 dp-d-def int-iffI*)

lemma *StateEqvBp*:
$\vdash\ (init\ w) = bp\ (init\ w)$
proof $-$
have *1*: $\vdash (init\ w) \longrightarrow bp\ (init\ w)$
by (*metis* (*no-types, lifting*) *DiState DpState Initprop*(*2*) *StateEqvBi bi-d-def bp-d-def dp-d-def*
int-iffD1 inteq-reflection uprojection-d-def)
have *2*: $\vdash bp\ (init\ w) \longrightarrow (init\ w)$ **by** (*rule BpElim*)
from *1 2* **show** *?thesis* **by** *fastforce*
qed

lemma *DpDpEqvDp*:
$\vdash dp\ (dp\ f) = dp\ f$
proof $-$
have *2*: $\vdash \#True \bigtriangleup (\ \#True \bigtriangleup f) = (\#True \bigtriangleup \#True) \bigtriangleup f$
by (*simp add*: *PJ7*)
have *3*: $\vdash (\#True \bigtriangleup \#True) = \#True$
by (*metis DpState Initprop*(*4*) *dp-d-def int-eq-true inteq-reflection*)
show *?thesis* **by** (*metis 2 3 dp-d-def inteq-reflection*)
qed

lemma *BpBpEqvBp*:
$\vdash bp\ (bp\ f) = bp\ f$
proof $-$
have *1*: $\vdash dp\ (dp\ (\neg\ f)) = dp\ (\neg\ f)$
using *DpDpEqvDp* **by** *blast*
have *2*: $\vdash (\neg\ (dp\ (dp\ (\neg\ f)))) = (\neg\ (dp\ (\neg\ f)))$
using *1* **by** *auto*
have *3*: $\vdash (\neg\ (dp\ (\neg\ f))) = bp\ f$

by (*simp add*: *bp-d-def dp-d-def uprojection-d-def*)
 have *4*: ⊢ (¬ (*dp* (*dp* (¬ *f*)))) = *bp* (*bp* *f*)
   by (*simp add*: *bp-d-def dp-d-def uprojection-d-def*)
 from *2 3 4* **show** *?thesis*
 by *fastforce*
qed

lemma *DpOrEqv*:
 ⊢   *dp* (*f* ∨ *g*) = (*dp* *f* ∨ *dp* *g*)
proof −
 have *1*: ⊢ #*True* △ (*f*∨ *g*) = (#*True* △ *f* ∨ #*True* △ *g*)
  using *ProjOrDist* **by** *auto*
 from *1* **show** *?thesis* **by** (*simp add*: *dp-d-def*)
qed

lemma *BpAndEqv*:
 ⊢ *bp*(*f* ∧ *g*) = (*bp* *f* ∧ *bp* *g*)
proof −
 have *1*: ⊢ *dp* ((¬ *f*) ∨ (¬*g*)) = (*dp* (¬*f*) ∨ *dp* (¬*g*))
   using *DpOrEqv* **by** *auto*
 hence *2*: ⊢ (¬ (*dp* ((¬ *f*) ∨ (¬*g*)))) = (¬(*dp* (¬*f*) ∨ *dp* (¬*g*)))
   by *auto*
 have *3*: ⊢ (¬ (*dp* ((¬ *f*) ∨ (¬*g*)))) = *bp* (¬((¬ *f*) ∨ (¬*g*)))
      using *NotDpEqvBpNot* **by** *blast*
 have *4*: ⊢ (¬((¬ *f*) ∨ (¬*g*))) =(*f* ∧ *g*)
   by *auto*
 hence *5*: ⊢ *bp*(¬((¬ *f*) ∨ (¬*g*))) = *bp*(*f* ∧ *g*)
   by (*simp add*: *BpEqvBpRule*)
 have *6*: ⊢ (¬(*dp* (¬*f*) ∨ *dp* (¬*g*))) = ((¬(*dp* (¬ *f*))) ∧ (¬(*dp* (¬ *g*))))
   by *auto*
 have *7*: ⊢ ((¬(*dp* (¬ *f*))) ∧ (¬(*dp* (¬ *g*)))) = (*bp* *f* ∧ *bp* *g*)
   by (*simp add*: *bp-d-def dp-d-def uprojection-d-def*)
  show *?thesis*
  by (*metis 2 3 4 6 7 inteq-reflection*)
qed

lemma *DpAndA*:
 ⊢   *dp* (*f* ∧ *g*) ⟶ *dp* *f*
proof −
 have *1*: ⊢ #*True* △ (*f* ∧ *g*) ⟶ #*True* △ *f*
  by (*meson Prop12 RightProjImpProj int-iffD1 lift-and-com*)
 from *1* **show** *?thesis* **by** (*simp add*: *dp-d-def*)
qed

lemma *BpOrA*:
 ⊢ *bp* *f* ⟶ *bp*(*f* ∨ *g*)
by (*simp add*: *BpImpBpRule intI*)

lemma *BpOrB*:
$\vdash bp\ g \longrightarrow\ bp(f \vee g)$
by (*simp add*: *BpImpBpRule intI*)

lemma *BpOrImpOr*:
$\vdash bp\ f \vee bp\ g \longrightarrow bp(f \vee g)$
using *BpOrA BpOrB* **by** *fastforce*

lemma *DpAndB*:
$\vdash\ \ dp\ (f \wedge g) \longrightarrow\ dp\ \ g$
proof $-$
have $1: \vdash \#True \triangle (f \wedge g) \longrightarrow \#True \triangle g$
  by (*meson Prop12 RightProjImpProj int-iffD2 lift-and-com*)
from *1* **show** *?thesis* **by** (*simp add*: *dp-d-def*)
qed

lemma *DpAndImpAnd*:
$\vdash\ \ dp\ (f \wedge g) \longrightarrow\ dp\ f \wedge\ dp\ g$
proof $-$
have $1: \vdash\ dp\ (f \wedge g) \longrightarrow\ dp\ f$ **by** (*rule DpAndA*)
have $2: \vdash\ dp\ (f \wedge g) \longrightarrow\ dp\ g$ **by** (*rule DpAndB*)
from *1 2* **show** *?thesis* **by** *fastforce*
qed

lemma *DpSkipEqvMore*:
$\vdash\ \ dp\ skip\ =\ more$
proof $-$
have $1: \vdash dp\ skip = \#True \triangle skip$
 by (*simp add*: *dp-d-def*)
have $2: \vdash \#True \triangle skip = (\#True \wedge more)$
 using *PJ3* **by** *blast*
have $3: \vdash (\#True \wedge more) = more$
  by *auto*
from *1 2 3* **show** *?thesis* **by** *fastforce*
qed

lemma *DpMoreEqvMore*:
$\vdash\ \ dp\ more\ =\ more$
by (*metis DpDpEqvDp DpSkipEqvMore inteq-reflection*)

lemma *BpEmptyEqvEmpty*:
$\vdash bp\ empty = empty$
by (*metis DpMoreEqvMore NotDpEqvBpNot empty-d-def inteq-reflection*)

lemma *DpEmptyEqvEmpty*:
$\vdash\ \ dp\ empty = empty$
proof $-$

have 1: $\vdash dp\ empty = \#True \triangle empty$
  by (*simp add*: *dp-d-def*)
 have 2: $\vdash \#True \triangle empty = empty$
   by (*simp add*: *PJ2*)
 from $1\ 2$ **show** *?thesis* **by** *fastforce*
qed

lemma *BpMoreEqvMore*:
$\vdash bp\ more = more$
by (*metis DpEmptyEqvEmpty NotDpEqvBpNot NotEmptyEqvMore inteq-reflection*)

lemma *NextDpImpDpNext*:
$\vdash \bigcirc (dp\ f) \longrightarrow dp\ (\bigcirc f)$
proof $-$
 have 1: $\vdash dp(\bigcirc f) = \#True \triangle (skip;f)$
  by (*simp add*: *dp-d-def next-d-def*)
 have 2: $\vdash \#True \triangle (skip;f) = (\#True \triangle skip);(\#True \triangle f)$
  by (*simp add*: *PJ4*)
 have 3: $\vdash (\#True \triangle skip) = (\#True \wedge more)$
  using *PJ3* **by** *blast*
 have 4: $\vdash (\#True \wedge more) = more$
   by *auto*
 have 5: $\vdash skip;(\#True \triangle f) \longrightarrow more;(\#True \triangle f)$
  by (*metis DpSkipEqvMore LeftChopImpChop NowImpDp inteq-reflection*)
 show *?thesis*
 by (*metis 2 3 4 5 dp-d-def inteq-reflection next-d-def*)
qed

lemma *BoxStateImportBp*:
$\vdash \square(init\ w) \longrightarrow bp\ (\square(init\ w))$
by (*simp add*: *Valid-def always-defs init-defs projection-d-def bp-d-def uprojection-d-def*
      powerinterval-def)
   (*metis* (*mono-tags, lifting*) *filt-expand interval-idx-bound-1 interval-suffix-zero*)

lemma *BoxStateEqvBpBoxState*:
$\vdash \square\ (init\ w) = bp(\square\ (init\ w))$
proof $-$
 have 1: $\vdash bp(\square\ (init\ w)) \longrightarrow \square\ (init\ w)$
  by (*simp add*: *BpElim*)
 have 2: $\vdash bp(\square\ (init\ w)) = (\neg(\#True \triangle (\neg\ \square\ (init\ w))))$
   by (*simp add*: *bp-d-def uprojection-d-def*)
 have 2: $\vdash \square(init\ w) \longrightarrow dp\ (\square(init\ w))$
    by (*metis NowImpDp*)
 have 2: $\vdash \square\ (init\ w) \longrightarrow bp(\square\ (init\ w))$
 using *BoxStateImportBp* **by** *auto*
 from $1\ 2$ **show** *?thesis* **by** *fastforce*
qed

end

11 Infinite ITL Semantics

theory *InfiniteSemantics*
imports *InfiniteInterval HOL−TLA.Intensional*
begin

This theory mechanises a *shallow* embedding of Infinite ITL using the *InfiniteInterval* and *Intensional* theories. A similar embedding as finite ITL has been used with the difference that we use a sum type which is either a finite or infinite interval.

11.1 Types of Formulas

To mechanise the Infinite ITL semantics, the following type abbreviations are used:

type-synonym $'a$ *intervals* = $'a$ *interval* + $'a$ *infinterval*

type-synonym $('a,'b)$ *formfun*   = $'a$ *intervals* $\Rightarrow$ $'b$
type-synonym $('a,'b)$ *finformfun* = $'a$ *interval* $\Rightarrow$ $'b$
type-synonym $('a,'b)$ *infformfun* = $'a$ *infinterval* $\Rightarrow$ $'b$
type-synonym $'a$ *formula*   = $('a,bool)$ *formfun*
type-synonym $'a$ *finformula*   = $('a,bool)$ *finformfun*
type-synonym $'a$ *infformula*   = $('a,bool)$ *infformfun*
type-synonym $('a,'b)$ *stfun*   = $'a \Rightarrow 'b$
type-synonym $'a$ *stpred*   = $('a,bool)$ *stfun*

instance
 fun :: $(type,type)$ *world* **..**

instance
 prod :: $(type,type)$ *world* **..**

instance
 sum :: $(type,type)$ *world* **..**

instance
 interval :: $(type)$ *world* **..**

Pair, function, sum, and interval are instantiated to be of type class world. This allows use of the lifted Intensional logic for formulas, and standard logical connectives can therefore be used.

11.2 Semantics of ITL

The semantics of ITL is defined.

definition *skip-d* :: $('a ::world)$ *formula*
where
  skip-d $\equiv$ $(\lambda s.\ (case\ s\ of\ (Inl\ s) \Rightarrow (intlen\ s = 1)\ |\ (Inr\ s) \Rightarrow False))$

definition *chop-d* :: (′*a* ::*world*) *formula* ⇒ (′*a* ::*world*) *formula* ⇒ (′*a* ::*world*) *formula*
where
 chop-d F1 F2 ≡
  (λ*s*.
    (*case s of* (*Inl s*) ⇒
      (∃ *n. n*≥*0* ∧ *n*≤*intlen s* ∧ ((*Inl* (*prefix n s*)) ⊨ *F1*) ∧ ((*Inl* (*suffix n s*)) ⊨ *F2*))
      |
              (*Inr s*) ⇒
      ((∃ *n.* ((*Inl* (*iprefix n s*)) ⊨ *F1*) ∧ ((*Inr* (*isuffix n s*)) ⊨ *F2*))
        ∨ ((*Inr s*) ⊨ *F1*)
)
)
)

definition *current-val-d* :: (′*a*::*world*,′*b*) *stfun* ⇒ (′*a*,′*b*) *formfun*
where
 current-val-d f = (λ*s*. (*case s of* (*Inl s*) ⇒ ((*nth s 0*) ⊨ *f*) | (*Inr s*) ⇒ ((*s 0*) ⊨ *f*)))

definition *next-val-d* :: (′*a*::*world*,′*b*) *stfun* ⇒ (′*a*,′*b*) *formfun*
where *next-val-d f* ≡
   (λ *s*. (*case s of* (*Inl s*) ⇒ *if intlen s* >*0 then* ((*nth s 1*) ⊨ *f*) *else* (ε (*x*::′*b*). *x*=*x*)
            | (*Inr s*) ⇒ ((*s 1*) ⊨ *f*)
)
)

definition *fin-val-d* :: (′*a*::*world*,′*b*) *stfun* ⇒ (′*a*,′*b*) *formfun*
where *fin-val-d f* ≡ λ *s*. (*case s of* (*Inl s*) ⇒ (*nth s* (*intlen s*)) ⊨ *f*
                         | (*Inr s*) ⇒ (ε (*x*::′*b*). *x*=*x*))

definition *penult-val-d* :: (′*a*::*world*,′*b*) *stfun* ⇒ (′*a*,′*b*) *formfun*
where *penult-val-d f* ≡
   (λ *s*.
    (*case s of* (*Inl s*) ⇒ *if intlen s* >*0 then* (*nth s* ((*intlen s*)−*1*) ⊨ *f*) *else* (ε (*x*::′*b*). *x*=*x*)
          | (*Inr s*) ⇒ (ε (*x*::′*b*). *x*=*x*)
)
)

syntax
 -skip-d   :: *lift*   ((*skip*))
 -chop-d   :: [*lift*,*lift*] ⇒ *lift* ((-;-) [*84*,*84*] *83*)
 -current-val-d :: *lift* ⇒ *lift*   (($-) [*100*] *99*)
 -next-val-d   :: *lift* ⇒ *lift*   ((-$) [*100*] *99*)
 -fin-val-d   :: *lift* ⇒ *lift*   ((!-) [*100*] *99*)
 -penult-val-d :: *lift* ⇒ *lift*   ((-!) [*100*] *99*)
 TEMP   :: *lift* ⇒ ′*b*   ((*TEMP* -))

syntax (*ASCII*)
 -skip-d   :: *lift*   ((*skip*))
 -chop-d   :: [*lift,lift*] ⇒ *lift* ((-;-) [*84,84*] *83*)
 -current-val-d :: *lift* ⇒ *lift*   (($-) [*100*] *99*)
 -next-val-d   :: *lift* ⇒ *lift*   ((-$) [*100*] *99*)
 -fin-val-d   :: *lift* ⇒ *lift*   ((!-) [*100*] *99*)
 -penult-val-d   :: *lift* ⇒ *lift*   ((-!) [*100*] *99*)

translations
 -skip-d   ⇌ *CONST skip-d*
 -chop-d   ⇌ *CONST chop-d*
 -current-val-d ⇌ *CONST current-val-d*
 -next-val-d   ⇌ *CONST next-val-d*
 -fin-val-d   ⇌ *CONST fin-val-d*
 -penult-val-d ⇌ *CONST penult-val-d*
 TEMP F   ⇀ (*F*:: (- *intervals*) ⇒ -)

11.3   Abbreviations

Some standard temporal abbreviations, with their concrete syntax.

definition *infinite-d* :: ('*a* ::*world*) *formula*
where
 infinite-d ≡ *LIFT*(#*True*;#*False*)

syntax
 -infinite-d :: *lift*   (*inf*)

syntax (*ASCII*)
 -infinite-d :: *lift*   (*inf*)

translations
 -infinite-d ⇌ *CONST infinite-d*

definition *finite-d* :: ('*a* ::*world*) *formula*
where
 finite-d ≡ *LIFT*(¬(*inf*))

syntax
 -finite-d   :: *lift*   (*finite*)

syntax (*ASCII*)
 -finite-d   :: *lift*   (*finite*)

translations
 -finite-d   ⇌ *CONST finite-d*

definition *schop-d* :: ('*a*::*world*) *formula* ⇒ '*a formula* ⇒ '*a formula*
 where *schop-d F1 F2* ≡ *LIFT*((*F1* ∧ *finite*);*F2*)

definition *sometimes-d* :: (′*a*::*world*) *formula* ⇒ ′*a formula*
where *sometimes-d F* ≡ *LIFT*(*finite*;*F*)

definition *di-d* :: (′*a*::*world*) *formula* ⇒ ′*a formula*
where *di-d F* ≡ *LIFT*(*F*;#*True*)

definition *da-d* :: (′*a*::*world*) *formula* ⇒ ′*a formula*
where *da-d F* ≡ *LIFT*(*finite*;(*F*;#*True*))

definition *next-d* :: (′*a*::*world*) *formula* ⇒ ′*a formula*
where *next-d F* ≡ *LIFT*(*skip*;*F*)

definition *prev-d* :: (′*a*::*world*) *formula* ⇒ ′*a formula*
where *prev-d F* ≡ *LIFT*(*F*;*skip*)

syntax

  -*schop-d*   :: [*lift*,*lift*] ⇒ *lift* ((- ⌒ -) [*84*,*84*] *83*)
  -*sometimes-d* :: *lift* ⇒ *lift* ((◇-) [*88*] *87*)
  -*di-d*   :: *lift* ⇒ *lift* ((*di* -) [*88*] *87*)
  -*da-d*   :: *lift* ⇒ *lift* ((*da* -) [*88*] *87*)
  -*next-d*   :: *lift* ⇒ *lift* ((○ -) [*88*] *87*)
  -*prev-d*   :: *lift* ⇒ *lift* ((*prev* -) [*88*] *87*)

syntax (*ASCII*)
  -*schop-d*   :: [*lift*,*lift*] ⇒ *lift* ((- *schop* -) [*84*,*84*] *83*)
  -*sometimes-d* :: *lift* ⇒ *lift* ((<>-) [*88*] *87*)
  -*di-d*   :: *lift* ⇒ *lift* ((*di* -) [*88*] *87*)
  -*da-d*   :: *lift* ⇒ *lift* ((*da* -) [*88*] *87*)
  -*next-d*   :: *lift* ⇒ *lift* ((*next* -) [*88*] *87*)
  -*prev-d*   :: *lift* ⇒ *lift* ((*prev* -) [*88*] *87*)

translations
  -*schop-d*   ⇌ *CONST schop-d*
  -*sometimes-d* ⇌ *CONST sometimes-d*
  -*di-d*   ⇌ *CONST di-d*
  -*da-d*   ⇌ *CONST da-d*
  -*next-d*   ⇌ *CONST next-d*
  -*prev-d*   ⇌ *CONST prev-d*

definition *df-d* :: (′*a*::*world*) *formula* ⇒ ′*a formula*
 where *df-d F* ≡ *LIFT*(*F*⌒#*True*)

definition *sda-d* :: (′*a*::*world*) *formula* ⇒ ′*a formula*
 where *sda-d F* ≡ *LIFT*(#*True*⌒(*F*⌒#*True*))

definition *always-d* :: (*'a*::*world*) *formula* ⇒ *'a formula*
where *always-d F* ≡ *LIFT*(¬(◇(¬*F*)))

definition *bi-d* :: (*'a*::*world*) *formula* ⇒ *'a formula*
where *bi-d F* ≡ *LIFT*(¬(*di*(¬*F*)))

definition *ba-d* :: (*'a*::*world*) *formula* ⇒ *'a formula*
where *ba-d F* ≡ *LIFT*(¬(*da*(¬*F*)))

definition *wnext-d* :: (*'a*::*world*) *formula* ⇒ *'a formula*
where *wnext-d F* ≡ *LIFT*(¬(○(¬*F*)))

definition *wprev-d* :: (*'a*::*world*) *formula* ⇒ *'a formula*
where *wprev-d F* ≡ *LIFT*(¬(*prev*(¬*F*)))

definition *more-d* :: (*'a*::*world*) *formula*
where *more-d* ≡ *LIFT*(○(#*True*))

syntax
 -df-d   :: *lift* ⇒ *lift* ((*df* -) [*88*] *87*)
 -sda-d   :: *lift* ⇒ *lift* ((*sda* -) [*88*] *87*)
 -always-d :: *lift* ⇒ *lift* ((□ -) [*88*] *87*)
 -bi-d   :: *lift* ⇒ *lift* ((*bi* -) [*88*] *87*)
 -ba-d   :: *lift* ⇒ *lift* ((*ba* -) [*88*] *87*)
 -wnext-d   :: *lift* ⇒ *lift* ((*wnext* -) [*88*] *87*)
 -wprev-d   :: *lift* ⇒ *lift* ((*wprev* -) [*88*] *87*)
 -more-d   :: *lift*   ((*more*))

syntax (*ASCII*)
 -df-d   :: *lift* ⇒ *lift* ((*df* -) [*88*] *87*)
 -sda-d   :: *lift* ⇒ *lift* ((*sda* -) [*88*] *87*)
 -always-d :: *lift* ⇒ *lift* (([] -) [*88*] *87*)
 -bi-d   :: *lift* ⇒ *lift* ((*bi* -) [*88*] *87*)
 -ba-d   :: *lift* ⇒ *lift* ((*ba* -) [*88*] *87*)
 -wnext-d   :: *lift* ⇒ *lift* ((*wnext* -) [*88*] *87*)
 -wprev-d   :: *lift* ⇒ *lift* ((*wprev* -) [*88*] *87*)
 -more-d   :: *lift*   ((*more*))

translations
 -df-d   ⇌ *CONST df-d*
 -sda-d   ⇌ *CONST sda-d*
 -always-d ⇌ *CONST always-d*
 -bi-d   ⇌ *CONST bi-d*
 -ba-d   ⇌ *CONST ba-d*
 -wnext-d ⇌ *CONST wnext-d*
 -wprev-d ⇌ *CONST wprev-d*
 -more-d   ⇌ *CONST more-d*

definition *bf-d* :: (*'a*::*world*) *formula* ⇒ *'a formula*
 where *bf-d F* ≡ *LIFT*(¬(*df*(¬*F*)))

definition *sba-d* :: (*'a*::*world*) *formula* ⇒ *'a formula*
 where *sba-d F* ≡ *LIFT*(¬(*sda*(¬*F*)))

definition *empty-d* :: (*'a*::*world*) *formula*
where *empty-d* ≡ *LIFT*(¬(*more*))

definition *fmore-d* :: (*'a*::*world*) *formula*
 where *fmore-d* ≡ *LIFT*(*more* ∧ *finite*)

definition *dm-d* :: (*'a*::*world*) *formula* ⇒ *'a formula*
where *dm-d F* ≡ *LIFT*(#*True*;(*more* ∧ *F*))

syntax
 -bf-d   :: *lift* ⇒ *lift* ((*bf* -) [88] 87)
 -sba-d   :: *lift* ⇒ *lift* ((*sba* -) [88] 87)
 -empty-d   :: *lift*   ((*empty*))
 -fmore-d   :: *lift*   ((*fmore*))
 -dm-d   :: *lift* ⇒ *lift* ((*dm* -) [88] 87)

syntax (*ASCII*)
 -bf-d   :: *lift* ⇒ *lift* ((*bf* -) [88] 87)
 -sba-d   :: *lift* ⇒ *lift* ((*sba* -) [88] 87)
 -empty-d   :: *lift*   ((*empty*))
 -fmore-d   :: *lift*   ((*fmore*))
 -dm-d   :: *lift* ⇒ *lift* ((*dm* -) [88] 87)

translations
 -bf-d   ⇌ *CONST bf-d*
 -sba-d   ⇌ *CONST sba-d*
 -empty-d ⇌ *CONST empty-d*
 -fmore-d ⇌ *CONST fmore-d*
 -dm-d   ⇌ *CONST dm-d*

definition *bm-d* :: (*'a*::*world*) *formula* ⇒ *'a formula*
where *bm-d F* ≡ *LIFT*(¬(*dm*(¬*F*)))

definition *init-d* :: (*'a*::*world*) *formula* ⇒ *'a formula*
where *init-d F* ≡ *LIFT*((*empty* ∧ *F*);#*True*)

definition *fin-d* :: (*'a*::*world*) *formula* ⇒ *'a formula*
where *fin-d F* ≡ *LIFT*(□(*empty* ⟶ *F*))

definition *halt-d* :: (*'a*::*world*) *formula* ⇒ *'a formula*
where *halt-d F* ≡ *LIFT*(□(*empty* = *F*))

definition *initonly-d* :: (′*a*::*world*) *formula* ⇒ ′*a formula*
where *initonly-d F* ≡ *LIFT*(*bi*(*empty* = *F*))

definition *keep-d* :: (′*a*::*world*) *formula* ⇒ ′*a formula*
where *keep-d F* ≡ *LIFT*(*ba*(*skip* ⟶ *F*))

definition *yields-d* :: (′*a*::*world*) *formula* ⇒ ′*a formula* ⇒ ′*a formula*
where *yields-d F1 F2* ≡ *LIFT*(¬(*F1*;(¬*F2*)))

definition *syields-d* :: (′*a*::*world*) *formula* ⇒ ′*a formula* ⇒ ′*a formula*
where *syields-d F1 F2* ≡ *LIFT*(¬(*F1*⌢(¬*F2*)))

definition *ifthenelse-d* :: (′*a*::*world*) *formula* ⇒ ′*a formula* ⇒ ′*a formula* ⇒ ′*a formula*
where *ifthenelse-d F G H* ≡ *LIFT*((*F* ∧ *G*) ∨ (¬*F* ∧ *H*))

primrec *power-d* :: (′*a*::*world*) *formula* ⇒ *nat* ⇒ ′*a formula*
where *pow-0* : (*power-d F 0*) = *LIFT*(*empty*)
   | *pow-Suc*: (*power-d F* (*Suc n*)) = *LIFT*((*F* ∧ *finite*);(*power-d F n*))

primrec *spower-d* :: (′*a*::*world*) *formula* ⇒ *nat* ⇒ ′*a formula*
where *spow-0* : (*spower-d F 0*) = *LIFT*(*empty*)
   | *spow-Suc*: (*spower-d F* (*Suc n*)) = *LIFT*(*F*⌢(*spower-d F n*))

syntax
 -bm-d   :: *lift* ⇒ *lift*   ((*bm* -) [88] 87)
 -init-d   :: *lift* ⇒ *lift*   ((*init* -) [88] 87)
 -fin-d   :: *lift* ⇒ *lift*   ((*fin* -) [88] 87)
 -halt-d   :: *lift* ⇒ *lift*   ((*halt* -) [88] 87)
 -initonly-d   :: *lift* ⇒ *lift*   ((*initonly* -) [88] 87)
 -keep-d   :: *lift* ⇒ *lift*   ((*keep* -) [88] 87)
 -yields-d   :: [*lift*,*lift*] ⇒ *lift*   ((- *yields* -) [88,88] 87)
 -syields-d   :: [*lift*,*lift*] ⇒ *lift*   ((- *syields* -) [88,88] 87)
 -ifthenelse-d :: [*lift*,*lift*,*lift*] ⇒ *lift* ((*if* $_i$ - *then* - *else* -) [88,88,88] 87)
 -power-d   :: [*lift*,*nat*] ⇒ *lift*   ((*power* - -) [88,88] 87)
 -spower-d   :: [*lift*,*nat*] ⇒ *lift*   ((*spower* - -) [88,88] 87)

syntax (*ASCII*)
 -bm-d   :: *lift* ⇒ *lift*   ((*bm* -) [88] 87)
 -init-d   :: *lift* ⇒ *lift*   ((*init* -) [88] 87)
 -fin-d   :: *lift* ⇒ *lift*   ((*fin* -) [88] 87)
 -halt-d   :: *lift* ⇒ *lift*   ((*halt* -) [88] 87)
 -initonly-d   :: *lift* ⇒ *lift*   ((*initonly* -) [88] 87)
 -keep-d   :: *lift* ⇒ *lift*   ((*keep* -) [88] 87)
 -yields-d   :: [*lift*,*lift*] ⇒ *lift*   ((- *yields* -) [88,88] 87)
 -syields-d   :: [*lift*,*lift*] ⇒ *lift*   ((- *syields* -) [88,88] 87)

-ifthenelse-d :: [lift,lift,lift] ⇒ lift ((if _i - then - else -) [88,88,88] 87)
-power-d   :: [lift,nat] ⇒ lift   ((power - -) [88,88] 87)
-spower-d   :: [lift,nat] ⇒ lift   ((spower - -) [88,88] 87)

translations
-bm-d   ⇌ CONST bm-d
-init-d   ⇌ CONST init-d
-fin-d   ⇌ CONST fin-d
-halt-d   ⇌ CONST halt-d
-initonly-d ⇌ CONST initonly-d
-keep-d   ⇌ CONST keep-d
-yields-d   ⇌ CONST yields-d
-syields-d   ⇌ CONST syields-d
-ifthenelse-d ⇌ CONST ifthenelse-d
-power-d   ⇌ CONST power-d
-spower-d   ⇌ CONST spower-d

definition len-d :: nat ⇒ ('a::world) formula
where len-d n ≡ LIFT(power skip n)

definition fpowerstar-d :: ('a::world) formula ⇒ 'a formula
where fpowerstar-d F ≡ LIFT(∃ k. power F k)

definition spowerstar-d :: ('a::world) formula ⇒ 'a formula
where spowerstar-d F ≡ LIFT(∃ k. spower F k)

definition omega-d :: ('a::world) formula ⇒ 'a formula
where omega-d F ≡ (λs.
      (case s of (Inl s) ⇒ False
            | (Inr s) ⇒
              (∃(l::infiniteindex). infinite-index-sequence 0 l ∧
                (∀ i.
                   ((Inl (subinterval s (l i) (l (Suc i)))) ⊨ F)
)
)
      ))

syntax
-len-d   :: nat ⇒ lift   ((len -) [88] 87)
-fpowerstar-d :: lift ⇒ lift   ((fpowerstar -) [85] 85)
-spowerstar-d :: lift ⇒ lift   ((spowerstar -) [85] 85)
-omega-d   :: lift ⇒ lift   ((-$^\omega$) [85] 85)

syntax (ASCII)
-len-d   :: nat ⇒ lift   ((len -) [88] 87)
-fpowerstar-d :: lift ⇒ lift   ((fpowerstar -) [85] 85)

```
-spowerstar-d    :: lift ⇒ lift        ((spowerstar -) [85] 85)
-omega-d         :: lift ⇒ lift        ((omega -) [85] 85)
```

translations
```
-len-d           ⇌ CONST len-d
-fpowerstar-d    ⇌ CONST fpowerstar-d
-spowerstar-d    ⇌ CONST spowerstar-d
-omega-d         ⇌ CONST omega-d
```

definition *powerstar-d* :: (′a::*world*) *formula* ⇒ ′a *formula*
where *powerstar-d F* ≡ *LIFT*((∃ *k*. *power F k*);(*empty* ∨ (*F* ∧ *inf*)))

syntax
```
-powerstar-d     :: lift ⇒ lift        ((powerstar -) [85] 85)
```

syntax (*ASCII*)
```
-powerstar-d     :: lift ⇒ lift        ((powerstar -) [85] 85)
```

translations
```
-powerstar-d     ⇌ CONST powerstar-d
```

definition *chopstar-d* :: (′a::*world*) *formula* ⇒ ′a *formula*
where *chopstar-d F* ≡ *LIFT*(*powerstar* (*F* ∧ *more*))

definition *schopstar-d* :: (′a::*world*) *formula* ⇒ ′a *formula*
where *schopstar-d F* ≡ *LIFT*(*spowerstar* (*F* ∧ *more*))

syntax
```
-chopstar-d      :: lift ⇒ lift        ((-⋆) [85] 85)
-schopstar-d     ::lift ⇒ lift         ((schopstar -) [85] 85)
```

syntax (*ASCII*)
```
-chopstar-d      :: lift ⇒ lift        ((chopstar -) [85] 85)
-schopstar-d     ::lift ⇒ lift         ((schopstar -) [85] 85)
```

translations
```
-chopstar-d      ⇌ CONST chopstar-d
-schopstar-d     ⇌ CONST schopstar-d
```

definition *sfin-d* :: (′a::*world*) *formula* ⇒ ′a *formula*
where *sfin-d F* ≡ *LIFT*(¬ (*fin* (¬ *F*)))

definition *ifthen-d* :: (′a::*world*) *formula* ⇒ ′a *formula* ⇒ ′a *formula*

where *ifthen-d F G ≡ LIFT(if ; F then G else #True)*

definition *while-d :: ('a::world) formula ⇒ 'a formula ⇒ 'a formula*
where *while-d F G ≡ LIFT((F ∧ G)⋆ ∧ (fin ((¬F))))*

syntax
 -ifthen-d :: [lift,lift] ⇒ lift ((if ; - then -) [88,88] 87)
 -while-d :: [lift,lift] ⇒ lift ((while - do -) [88,88] 87)
 -sfin-d :: lift ⇒ lift ((sfin -) [88] 87)

syntax *(ASCII)*
 -ifthen-d :: [lift,lift] ⇒ lift ((if ; - then -) [88,88] 87)
 -while-d :: [lift,lift] ⇒ lift ((while - do -) [88,88] 87)
 -sfin-d :: lift ⇒ lift ((sfin -) [88] 87)

translations
 -ifthen-d ⇌ CONST ifthen-d
 -while-d ⇌ CONST while-d
 -sfin-d ⇌ CONST sfin-d

definition *swhile-d :: ('a::world) formula ⇒ 'a formula ⇒ 'a formula*
where *swhile-d F G ≡ LIFT(schopstar(F ∧ G) ∧ (sfin ((¬F))))*

definition *repeat-d :: ('a::world) formula ⇒ 'a formula ⇒ 'a formula*
where *repeat-d F G ≡ LIFT(F;while (¬ G) do F)*

syntax
 -swhile-d :: [lift,lift] ⇒ lift ((swhile - do -) [88,88] 87)
 -repeat-d :: [lift,lift] ⇒ lift ((repeat - until -) [88,88] 87)

syntax *(ASCII)*
 -swhile-d :: [lift,lift] ⇒ lift ((swhile - do -) [88,88] 87)
 -repeat-d :: [lift,lift] ⇒ lift ((repeat - until -) [88,88] 87)

translations
 -swhile-d ⇌ CONST swhile-d
 -repeat-d ⇌ CONST repeat-d

definition *srepeat-d :: ('a::world) formula ⇒ 'a formula ⇒ 'a formula*
where *srepeat-d F G ≡ LIFT(F⌢swhile (¬ G) do F)*

definition *next-assign-d :: ('a::world,'b) stfun ⇒ ('a,'b) formfun ⇒ 'a formula*
where *next-assign-d v e ≡ LIFT(v$ = e)*

definition *prev-assign-d :: ('a::world,'b) stfun ⇒ ('a,'b) formfun ⇒ 'a formula*
where *prev-assign-d v e ≡ LIFT(finite ⟶ v! = e)*

definition *always-eq-d :: ('a::world,'b) stfun ⇒ ('a,'b) formfun ⇒ 'a formula*
where *always-eq-d v e ≡ λ s. s ⊨ □($v = e)*

definition *temporal-assign-d* :: (′*a*::*world*,′*b*) *stfun* ⇒ (′*a*,′*b*) *formfun* ⇒ ′*a formula*
where *temporal-assign-d v e* ≡ λ *s*. *s* ⊨ *finite* ⟶ !*v* = *e*

definition *gets-d* :: (′*a*::*world*,′*b*) *stfun* ⇒ (′*a*,′*b*) *formfun* ⇒ ′*a formula*
where *gets-d v e* ≡ λ *s*. *s* ⊨ *keep*(*temporal-assign-d v e*)

definition *stable-d* :: (′*a*::*world*,′*b*) *stfun* ⇒ ′*a formula*
where *stable-d v* ≡ λ *s*. *s* ⊨ *gets-d v* (*current-val-d v*)

definition *padded-d* :: (′*a*::*world*,′*b*) *stfun* ⇒ ′*a formula*
where *padded-d v* ≡ λ *s*. *s* ⊨ (*stable-d v*);*skip* ∨ *empty*

definition *padded-temp-assign-d* :: (′*a*::*world*,′*b*) *stfun* ⇒ (′*a*,′*b*) *formfun* ⇒ ′*a formula*
where *padded-temp-assign-d v e* ≡ λ *s*. *s* ⊨ (*temporal-assign-d v e*) ∧ (*padded-d v*)

syntax
 -srepeat-d   :: [*lift*,*lift*] ⇒ *lift* ((*srepeat - until -*) [*88*,*88*] *87*)
 -next-assign-d   :: [*lift*,*lift*] ⇒ *lift* ((*- := -*) [*50*,*51*] *50*)
 -prev-assign-d   :: [*lift*,*lift*] ⇒ *lift* ((*- =: -*) [*50*,*51*] *50*)
 -always-eq-d   :: [*lift*,*lift*] ⇒ *lift* ((*- ≈ -*) [*50*,*51*] *50*)
 -temporal-assign-d   :: [*lift*,*lift*] ⇒ *lift* ((*- ← -*) [*50*,*51*] *50*)
 -gets-d   :: [*lift*,*lift*] ⇒ *lift* ((*- gets -*) [*50*,*51*] *50*)
 -stable-d   :: *lift* ⇒ *lift*   ((*stable -*) [*51*] *50*)
 -padded-d   :: *lift* ⇒ *lift*   ((*padded -*) [*51*] *50*)
 -padded-temp-assign-d :: [*lift*,*lift*] ⇒ *lift* ((*- <∼ -*) [*50*,*51*] *50*)

syntax (*ASCII*)
 -srepeat-d   :: [*lift*,*lift*] ⇒ *lift* ((*srepeat - until -*) [*88*,*88*] *87*)
 -next-assign-d   :: [*lift*,*lift*] ⇒ *lift* ((*- := -*) [*50*,*51*] *50*)
 -prev-assign-d   :: [*lift*,*lift*] ⇒ *lift* ((*- =: -*) [*50*,*51*] *50*)
 -always-eq-d   :: [*lift*,*lift*] ⇒ *lift* ((*- alweqv -*) [*50*,*51*] *50*)
 -temporal-assign-d   :: [*lift*,*lift*] ⇒ *lift* ((*- <−− -*) [*50*,*51*] *50*)
 -gets-d   :: [*lift*,*lift*] ⇒ *lift* ((*- gets -*) [*50*,*51*] *50*)
 -stable-d   :: *lift* ⇒ *lift*   ((*stable -*) [*51*] *50*)
 -padded-d   :: *lift* ⇒ *lift*   ((*padded -*) [*51*] *50*)
 -padded-temp-assign-d :: [*lift*,*lift*] ⇒ *lift* ((*- <∼ -*) [*50*,*51*] *50*)

translations
 -srepeat-d   ⇌ *CONST srepeat-d*
 -next-assign-d   ⇌ *CONST next-assign-d*
 -prev-assign-d   ⇌ *CONST prev-assign-d*
 -always-eq-d   ⇌ *CONST always-eq-d*
 -temporal-assign-d   ⇌ *CONST temporal-assign-d*
 -gets-d   ⇌ *CONST gets-d*
 -stable-d   ⇌ *CONST stable-d*
 -padded-d   ⇌ *CONST padded-d*
 -padded-temp-assign-d ⇌ *CONST padded-temp-assign-d*

11.4 Properties of Operators

The following lemmas show that above operators have the expected semantics.

lemma *skip-defs* :
$(w \models skip) = (case\ w\ of\ (Inl\ w) \Rightarrow (intlen\ w = 1) \mid (Inr\ w) \Rightarrow False)$
by (*simp add*: *skip-d-def*)

lemma *skip-defs-finite* :
$((Inl\ w) \models skip) = (intlen\ w = 1)$
by (*simp add*: *skip-d-def*)

lemma *skip-defs-infinite* :
$\neg ((Inr\ w) \models skip)$
by (*simp add*: *skip-d-def*)

lemma *chop-defs* :
$(w \models F1\ ;\ F2) =$
$(case\ w\ of\ (Inl\ w) \Rightarrow$
$\quad (\exists n.\ n \geq 0 \wedge n \leq intlen\ w \wedge ((Inl\ (prefix\ n\ w)) \models F1\ ) \ \wedge ((Inl\ (suffix\ n\ w)) \models F2\ )\ )$
$\qquad \mid (Inr\ w) \Rightarrow$
$\quad (\ (\exists n.\ ((Inl\ (iprefix\ n\ w)) \models F1\ ) \wedge ((Inr\ (isuffix\ n\ w)) \models F2))$
$\quad \vee ((Inr\ w) \models F1)$
$\quad)$
$)$

by (*simp add*: *chop-d-def*)

lemma *chop-defs-finite*:
$(\ (Inl\ w) \models F1;F2) =$
$\quad (\exists n.\ n \geq 0 \wedge n \leq intlen\ w \wedge$
$\qquad (Inl\ (prefix\ n\ w) \models F1\ ) \ \wedge (Inl\ (suffix\ n\ w) \models F2\ )$
$\quad)$
by (*simp add*: *chop-d-def*)

lemma *chop-defs-infinite*:
$(\ (Inr\ w) \models F1;F2) =$
$\quad (\ (\exists n.\ (Inl\ (iprefix\ n\ w) \models F1\ ) \wedge (Inr\ (isuffix\ n\ w) \models F2\ ))$
$\quad \vee (Inr\ w \models F1\ )$
$\quad)$
by (*simp add*: *chop-d-def*)

lemma *infinite-defs*:
$(w \models inf) = (case\ w\ of\ (Inr\ w) \Rightarrow True \mid (Inl\ w) \Rightarrow False)$
by (*simp add*: *infinite-d-def chop-d-def sum.case-eq-if*)

lemma *infinite-defs-1*:
$((Inr\ w) \models inf)$
by (*simp add*: *infinite-d-def chop-d-def sum.case-eq-if*)

lemma *finite-defs* :
  $(w \models finite) = (case\ w\ of\ (Inl\ w) \Rightarrow True\ |\ (Inr\ w) \Rightarrow False)$
by (*simp add*: *finite-d-def infinite-defs chop-d-def sum.case-eq-if*)

lemma *finite-defs-1* :
  $((Inl\ w) \models finite)$
by (*simp add*: *finite-defs sum.case-eq-if*)

lemma *schop-defs* :
 $(w \models F1 \frown F2) =$
 $(case\ w\ of\ (Inl\ w) \Rightarrow$
     $(\exists n.\ n{\geq}0 \wedge n{\leq}intlen\ w \wedge ((Inl\ (prefix\ n\ w)) \models F1\ )\ \wedge ((Inl\ (suffix\ n\ w)) \models F2\ )\ )$
       $|\ (Inr\ w) \Rightarrow$
     $(\ (\exists n.\ ((Inl\ (iprefix\ n\ w)) \models F1\ )\ \wedge ((Inr\ (isuffix\ n\ w)) \models F2))$
     $)$
 $)$

by (*simp add*: *schop-d-def chop-defs finite-defs sum.case-eq-if*)

lemma *schop-defs-finite* :
 $((Inl\ w) \models F1 \frown F2) =$
     $(\exists n.\ n{\geq}0 \wedge n{\leq}intlen\ w \wedge ((Inl\ (prefix\ n\ w)) \models F1\ )\ \wedge ((Inl\ (suffix\ n\ w)) \models F2\ )\ )$
by (*simp add*: *schop-defs*)

lemma *schop-defs-infinite* :
 $((Inr\ w) \models F1 \frown F2) =$
     $(\exists n.\ ((Inl\ (iprefix\ n\ w)) \models F1\ )\ \wedge ((Inr\ (isuffix\ n\ w)) \models F2))$

by (*simp add*: *schop-defs*)

lemma *sometimes-defs* :
  $(w \models \Diamond\ F) =$
  $(case\ w\ of\ (Inl\ w) \Rightarrow (\exists\ n.\ 0{\leq}n \wedge n{\leq}intlen\ w \wedge ((Inl\ (suffix\ n\ w)) \models F))$
        $|\ (Inr\ w) \Rightarrow (\exists\ n.\ ((Inr\ (isuffix\ n\ w)) \models F))$
  $)$

by (*simp add*: *sometimes-d-def finite-defs chop-defs sum.case-eq-if*)

lemma *always-defs* :
  $(w \models \Box\ F) =$
  $(case\ w\ of\ (Inl\ w) \Rightarrow (\forall\ n.\ 0{\leq}n \wedge n{\leq}intlen\ w \longrightarrow ((Inl\ (suffix\ n\ w)) \models F))$
        $|\ (Inr\ w) \Rightarrow (\forall\ n.\ ((Inr\ (isuffix\ n\ w)) \models F\ ))$
  $)$
by (*simp add*: *always-d-def sometimes-defs sum.case-eq-if*)

lemma *di-defs* :
  $(w \models di\ F) =$
  $(case\ w\ of\ (Inl\ w) \Rightarrow (\exists\ n.\ 0{\leq}n \wedge n{\leq}intlen\ w \wedge ((Inl\ (prefix\ n\ w)) \models F))$
        $|\ (Inr\ w) \Rightarrow (\exists\ n.\ ((Inl\ (iprefix\ n\ w)) \models F)) \vee ((Inr\ w) \models F)$

```
  )
```
by (*simp add*: *di-d-def chop-d-def sum.case-eq-if*)

lemma *df-defs* :
  $(w \models df\ F) =$
  $(case\ w\ of\ (Inl\ w) \Rightarrow (\exists\ n.\ 0{\leq}n \wedge n{\leq}intlen\ w \wedge ((Inl\ (prefix\ n\ w)) \models F))$
  $\qquad | (Inr\ w) \Rightarrow (\exists\ n.\ ((Inl\ (iprefix\ n\ w)) \models F))$
)
by (*simp add*: *df-d-def schop-defs sum.case-eq-if*)

lemma *bi-defs* :
  $(w \models bi\ F) =$
  $(case\ w\ of\ (Inl\ w) \Rightarrow (\forall\ n.\ 0{\leq}n \wedge n{\leq}intlen\ w \longrightarrow ((Inl\ (prefix\ n\ w)) \models F))$
  $\qquad | (Inr\ w) \Rightarrow (\forall\ n.\ ((Inl\ (iprefix\ n\ w)) \models F)) \wedge ((Inr\ w) \models F)$
)
by (*simp add*: *bi-d-def di-defs sum.case-eq-if*)

lemma *bf-defs* :
  $(w \models bf\ F) =$
  $(case\ w\ of\ (Inl\ w) \Rightarrow (\forall\ n.\ 0{\leq}n \wedge n{\leq}intlen\ w \longrightarrow ((Inl\ (prefix\ n\ w)) \models F))$
  $\qquad | (Inr\ w) \Rightarrow (\forall\ n.\ ((Inl\ (iprefix\ n\ w)) \models F))$
)
by (*simp add*: *bf-d-def df-defs sum.case-eq-if*)

lemma *da-defs* :
  $(w \models da\ F) =$
  $(case\ w\ of\ (Inl\ w) \Rightarrow (\exists\ n\ na.\ \ n{+}na \leq intlen\ w \wedge ((Inl\ (sub\ n\ (n{+}\ na)\ w)) \models F))$
  $\qquad | (Inr\ w) \Rightarrow (\exists\ n\ na.\ ((Inl\ (subinterval\ w\ n\ (n{+}na)\ )) \models F)$
  $\qquad\qquad\qquad \vee (\ (Inr\ (isuffix\ n\ w)) \models F))$
)
proof
  (*auto simp add*: *da-d-def chop-defs finite-d-def infinite-d-def iprefix-isuffix sum.case-eq-if*)
  show $\bigwedge n\ na.$
      $isl\ w \Longrightarrow$
      $n \leq intlen\ (projl\ w) \Longrightarrow$
      $na \leq intlen\ (projl\ w) - n \Longrightarrow F\ (Inl\ (prefix\ na\ (suffix\ n\ (projl\ w)))) \Longrightarrow$
      $\exists n\ na.\ n + na \leq intlen\ (projl\ w) \wedge F\ (Inl\ (sub\ n\ (n + na)\ (projl\ w)))$
  by (*metis Nat.le-diff-conv2 add.commute interval-sub-prefix-suffix-0 zero-le*)
  show $\bigwedge n\ na.$
      $isl\ w \Longrightarrow$
      $n + na \leq intlen\ (projl\ w) \Longrightarrow$
      $F\ (Inl\ (sub\ n\ (n + na)\ (projl\ w))) \Longrightarrow$
      $\exists n{\leq}intlen\ (projl\ w).\ \exists na{\leq}intlen\ (projl\ w) - n.\ F\ (Inl\ (prefix\ na\ (suffix\ n\ (projl\ w))))$
  by (*metis Interval.sub-def add-leD1 interval-intlen-sub interval-pref-intlen-bound*
    interval-suffix-length le-add1)
  show $\bigwedge n\ na.$
      $\neg\ isl\ w \Longrightarrow F\ (Inl\ (subinterval\ (projr\ w)\ n\ (na + n))) \Longrightarrow$
      $\exists n.\ (\exists na.\ F\ (Inl\ (subinterval\ (projr\ w)\ n\ (n + na)))) \vee F\ (Inr\ (isuffix\ n\ (projr\ w)))$
  by (*metis add.commute*)
```

**show** $\bigwedge n\ na.$
  $\lnot\ isl\ w \Longrightarrow F\ (Inl\ (subinterval\ (projr\ w)\ n\ (n + na))) \Longrightarrow$
  $\exists\ n.\ (\exists\ na.\ F\ (Inl\ (subinterval\ (projr\ w)\ n\ (na + n)))) \lor F\ (Inr\ (isuffix\ n\ (projr\ w)))$
**by** (*metis add.commute*)
**qed**


**lemma** *ba-defs* :
$(w \models ba\ F) =$
$(case\ w\ of\ (Inl\ w) \Rightarrow (\forall\ n\ na.\ n{+}na \le intlen\ w \longrightarrow ((Inl\ (sub\ n\ (n{+}na)\ w)) \models F))$
   $\mid (Inr\ w) \Rightarrow (\forall\ n\ na.\ ((Inl\ (subinterval\ w\ n\ (n{+}na)\ )) \models F)$
      $\land\ (\ (Inr\ (isuffix\ n\ w)) \models F))$
$)$
**by** (*simp add: ba-d-def da-defs sum.case-eq-if*)


**lemma** *sda-defs* :
$(w \models sda\ F) =$
$(case\ w\ of\ (Inl\ w) \Rightarrow (\exists\ n\ na.\ n{+}na \le intlen\ w \land ((Inl\ (sub\ n\ (n{+}na)\ w)) \models F))$
   $\mid (Inr\ w) \Rightarrow (\exists\ n\ na.\ ((Inl\ (subinterval\ w\ n\ (n{+}na)\ )) \models F))$
$)$
**proof**
(*auto simp add: sda-d-def schop-defs iprefix-isuffix sum.case-eq-if*)
**show** $\bigwedge n\ na.$
  $isl\ w \Longrightarrow$
  $n \le intlen\ (projl\ w) \Longrightarrow$
  $na \le intlen\ (projl\ w) - n \Longrightarrow F\ (Inl\ (prefix\ na\ (suffix\ n\ (projl\ w)))) \Longrightarrow$
  $\exists n\ na.\ n + na \le intlen\ (projl\ w) \land F\ (Inl\ (sub\ n\ (n + na)\ (projl\ w)))$
**by** (*metis Nat.le-diff-conv2 interval-sub-prefix-suffix-0 le-add-diff-inverse*
  *nat-add-left-cancel-le zero-le*)
**show** $\bigwedge n\ na.$
  $isl\ w \Longrightarrow$
  $n + na \le intlen\ (projl\ w) \Longrightarrow$
  $F\ (Inl\ (sub\ n\ (n + na)\ (projl\ w))) \Longrightarrow$
  $\exists n{\le}intlen\ (projl\ w).\ \exists na{\le}intlen\ (projl\ w) - n.\ F\ (Inl\ (prefix\ na\ (suffix\ n\ (projl\ w))))$
**by** (*metis Interval.sub-def add-leD1 interval-intlen-sub interval-pref-intlen-bound*
  *interval-suffix-length le-add1*)
**show** $\bigwedge n\ na.\ \lnot\ isl\ w \Longrightarrow F\ (Inl\ (subinterval\ (projr\ w)\ n\ (na + n))) \Longrightarrow$
  $\exists n\ na.\ F\ (Inl\ (subinterval\ (projr\ w)\ n\ (n + na)))$
**by** (*metis add.commute*)
**show** $\bigwedge n\ na.\ \lnot\ isl\ w \Longrightarrow F\ (Inl\ (subinterval\ (projr\ w)\ n\ (n + na))) \Longrightarrow$
  $\exists n\ na.\ F\ (Inl\ (subinterval\ (projr\ w)\ n\ (na + n)))$
**by** (*metis add.commute*)
**qed**


**lemma** *sba-defs* :
$(w \models sba\ F) =$
$(case\ w\ of\ (Inl\ w) \Rightarrow (\forall\ n\ na.\ n{+}na \le intlen\ w \longrightarrow ((Inl\ (sub\ n\ (n{+}na)\ w)) \models F))$
   $\mid (Inr\ w) \Rightarrow (\forall\ n\ na.\ ((Inl\ (subinterval\ w\ n\ (n{+}na)\ )) \models F))$
$)$
**by** (*simp add: sba-d-def sda-defs sum.case-eq-if*)

**lemma** *next-defs* :
 $(w \models \bigcirc F) =$
 $(case\ w\ of\ (Inl\ w) \Rightarrow (intlen\ w > 0 \wedge ((Inl\ (suffix\ 1\ w)) \models F)\ )$
        $|\ (Inr\ w) \Rightarrow ((Inr\ (isuffix\ 1\ w)) \models F)$
 $)$
**using** *Suc-le-eq   min.absorb1*
**by** (*simp add*: *next-d-def chop-defs skip-d-def iprefix-length   sum.case-eq-if* )
    *force*

**lemma** *wnext-defs* :
 $(w \models wnext\ F) =$
 $(case\ w\ of\ (Inl\ w) \Rightarrow (intlen\ w = 0 \vee ((Inl\ (suffix\ 1\ w)) \models F)\ )$
        $|\ (Inr\ w) \Rightarrow ((Inr\ (isuffix\ 1\ w)) \models F)$
 $)$
**by** (*simp add*: *wnext-d-def next-defs sum.case-eq-if* )

**lemma** *prev-defs* :
 $(w \models prev\ F) =$
 $(case\ w\ of\ (Inl\ w) \Rightarrow (intlen\ w > 0 \wedge ((Inl\ (prefix\ ((intlen\ w) - 1)\ w)) \models F)\ )$
        $|\ (Inr\ w) \Rightarrow (Inr\ w) \models F$
 $)$
**by** (*simp add*: *prev-d-def chop-defs skip-d-def sum.case-eq-if* )
    (*metis One-nat-def Suc-leI diff-diff-cancel diff-le-self interval-suffix-length-good*
            *le-zero-eq neq0-conv zero-neq-one*)

**lemma** *wprev-defs* :
 $(w \models wprev\ F) =$
 $(case\ w\ of\ (Inl\ w) \Rightarrow (intlen\ w = 0 \vee ((Inl\ (prefix\ ((intlen\ w) - 1)\ w)) \models F)\ )$
        $|\ (Inr\ w) \Rightarrow (Inr\ w) \models F$
 $)$
**by** (*simp add*: *wprev-d-def prev-defs sum.case-eq-if* )

**lemma** *more-defs* :
 $(w \models more) =$
 $(case\ w\ of\ (Inl\ w) \Rightarrow (intlen\ w > 0)$
        $|\ (Inr\ w) \Rightarrow True$
 $)$
**by** (*simp add*: *more-d-def next-defs sum.case-eq-if* )

**lemma** *fmore-defs* :
 $(w \models fmore) =$
 $(case\ w\ of\ (Inl\ w) \Rightarrow (intlen\ w > 0)$
        $|\ (Inr\ w) \Rightarrow False$
 $)$
**by** (*simp add*: *fmore-d-def more-defs finite-defs sum.case-eq-if* )

**lemma** *empty-defs* :
 $(w \models empty) =$
 $(case\ w\ of\ (Inl\ w) \Rightarrow (intlen\ w = 0)$

```
          | (Inr w) ⇒ False
  )
by (simp add: empty-d-def more-defs sum.case-eq-if )


lemma init-defs :
  (w ⊨ init F) =
  (case w of (Inl w) ⇒ ( (Inl (prefix 0 w)) ⊨ F )
          | (Inr w) ⇒ ( (Inl (iprefix 0 w)) ⊨ F )
  )
using min.absorb1
by (simp add: init-d-def chop-defs empty-defs iprefix-length sum.case-eq-if )
  force


lemma init-defs-finite:
  ((Inl w) ⊨ init F) = ( (Inl (prefix 0 w)) ⊨ F )
by (simp add: init-defs)


lemma init-defs-infinite:
  ((Inr w) ⊨ init F) = ( (Inl (iprefix 0 w)) ⊨ F )
by (simp add: init-defs)


lemma initalt-defs :
  (w ⊨ bi( empty ⟶ F)) =
  (case w of (Inl w) ⇒ ( (Inl (prefix 0 w)) ⊨ F )
          | (Inr w) ⇒ ( (Inl (iprefix 0 w)) ⊨ F )
  )
using min.absorb1
by (simp add: bi-defs empty-defs iprefix-length sum.case-eq-if )
    force


lemma fin-defs :
  (w ⊨ fin F) =
  (case w of (Inl w) ⇒ ( (Inl (suffix (intlen w) w)) ⊨ F)
          | (Inr w) ⇒ True
  )
by (simp add: fin-d-def empty-defs always-defs sum.case-eq-if )


lemma finalt-defs :
  (w ⊨ #True;(F ∧ empty)) =
  (case w of (Inl w) ⇒ ( (Inl (suffix (intlen w) w)) ⊨ F)
          | (Inr w) ⇒ True
  )
by (simp add: chop-defs empty-defs sum.case-eq-if )  fastforce


lemma sfin-defs :
  (w ⊨ sfin F) =
  (case w of (Inl w) ⇒ ( (Inl (suffix (intlen w) w)) ⊨ F)
          | (Inr w) ⇒ False
  )
```

by (*simp add*: *sfin-d-def fin-defs sum.case-eq-if*)

lemma *halt-defs* :
 $(w \models halt(F)) =$
   ($case\ w\ of\ (Inl\ w) \Rightarrow (\forall n{\leq}intlen\ w.\ (intlen\ w = n) = (\ (Inl\ (suffix\ n\ w)) \models F))$
            $|\ (Inr\ w) \Rightarrow (\forall n.\ \neg(\ (Inr\ (isuffix\ n\ w)) \models F))$
)
by (*simp add*: *halt-d-def empty-defs always-defs sum.case-eq-if*)

lemma *initonly-defs* :
 $(w \models initonly(F)) =$
   ($case\ w\ of\ (Inl\ w) \Rightarrow (\forall n{\leq}intlen\ w.\ (n = 0) = (\ (Inl\ (prefix\ n\ w)) \models F\ ))$
            $|\ (Inr\ w) \Rightarrow (\forall n.\ (n = 0) = (\ (Inl\ (iprefix\ n\ w)) \models F\ )) \wedge \neg((Inr\ w) \models F)$
)
by (*simp add*: *min.absorb1 initonly-d-def bi-defs empty-defs iprefix-length sum.case-eq-if*)

lemma *ifthenelse-defs*:
 $(w \models if_i\ F\ then\ G\ else\ H) =$
   $(\ ((w \models F) \wedge (w \models G)) \vee ((\ \neg(w \models F) \wedge (w \models H)))\ )$
by (*simp add*: *ifthenelse-d-def*)

lemma *len-defs* :
 $(w \models len\ n) =$
   ($case\ w\ of\ (Inl\ w) \Rightarrow (intlen\ w = n)$
            $|\ (Inr\ w) \Rightarrow False$
)
proof
  (*simp add*: *len-d-def sum.case-eq-if*)
  show ($isl\ w \longrightarrow (w \models (power\ skip\ n)) = (intlen\ (projl\ w) = n)) \wedge$
      $(\neg\ isl\ w \longrightarrow \neg\ (w \models (power\ skip\ n)))$
  proof (*induct n arbitrary*:*w*)
  case *0*
  then show *?case* **by** (*simp add*: *empty-defs sum.case-eq-if*)
  next
  case (*Suc n*)
  then show *?case*
    by (*auto simp add*: *len-d-def chop-defs skip-defs finite-defs sum.case-eq-if*)
      auto
  qed
qed

lemma *currentval-defs* :
 $(s \models \$v) =$
 ($case\ s\ of\ (Inl\ s) \Rightarrow (v\ (nth\ s\ 0))$
         $|\ (Inr\ s) \Rightarrow (v\ (s\ 0))$
)
by (*simp add*: *current-val-d-def*)

lemma *nextval-defs* :

319

```
 (s ⊨ v$) =
  (case s of (Inl s) ⇒ (if intlen s >0 then (v (nth s 1)) else (ε x. x=x))
         | (Inr s) ⇒ (v (s 1))
  )
by (simp add: next-val-d-def )

lemma finval-defs :
  (s ⊨ !v) =
  (case s of (Inl s) ⇒ (v (nth s (intlen s)))
         | (Inr s) ⇒ (ε x. x=x)
  )
by (simp add: fin-val-d-def )

lemma penultval-defs :
  (s ⊨ v!) =
  (case s of (Inl s) ⇒ (if intlen s >0 then (v (nth s ((intlen s)−1))) else (ε x. x=x))
         | (Inr s) ⇒ (ε x. x=x)
  )
by (simp add: penult-val-d-def )

lemma next-assign-defs :
  (s ⊨ v := e) =
   (case s of (Inl s) ⇒ if 0 < intlen s then v (Interval.nth s 1) else (ε x. x=x)
    | Inr s ⇒ v (s 1)
   ) =
   e s

by (auto simp: next-assign-d-def next-val-d-def )

lemma prev-assign-defs :
  (s ⊨ v =: e) =
  (case s of (Inl s) ⇒
      if 0 < intlen s then (v (Interval.nth s ((intlen s)−1)) = e (Inl s))
                  else ((ε x. x=x) = e (Inl s))
          | (Inr s) ⇒ True
  )
by (simp add: prev-assign-d-def penult-val-d-def finite-defs sum.case-eq-if )

lemma always-eqv-defs :
  (s ⊨ v ≈ e) =
  (case s of (Inl s) ⇒ (∀ i≤ intlen s. v (Interval.nth s i) = e (Inl (suffix i s)))
         | (Inr s) ⇒ (∀ i. v (s i) = e (Inr (isuffix i s)))
  )
by (simp add: always-eq-d-def always-defs current-val-d-def isuffix-def sum.case-eq-if )

lemma temporal-assign-defs :
  (s ⊨ v ← e) =
  (case s of (Inl s) ⇒ (v (Interval.nth s (intlen s))) = e (Inl s)
         | (Inr s) ⇒ True
  )
```

by (*simp add*: *temporal-assign-d-def fin-val-d-def finite-defs sum.case-eq-if*)

lemma *gets-defs* :
$(s \models v$ *gets* $e) =$
(*case s of* (Inl s) $\Rightarrow$ ($\forall$ $i <$ *intlen s*. v (*Interval.nth s* (Suc i)) $= e$ (Inl (sub i (i+1) s)))
$\qquad$ | (Inr s) $\Rightarrow$ ($\forall$ i. v (s (Suc i)) $= e$ (Inl (*subinterval s i* (i+1))))
)
using *Suc-leI Suc-le-lessD*
by (*simp add*: *min.absorb1 finite-defs gets-d-def keep-d-def ba-defs skip-defs sub-def*
$\qquad\qquad$ *temporal-assign-d-def fin-val-d-def subinterval-length subinterval-nth sum.case-eq-if*)
$\quad$ *auto*

lemma *stable-defs-helpa*:
assumes ($\forall$ $i<$*intlen s*. v (*Interval.nth s* (Suc i)) $= v$ (*Interval.nth s i*))
$\qquad$ $i \leq$ *intlen s*
$\quad$ **shows** (v (*Interval.nth s i*) $= v$ (*Interval.nth s 0*))
using *assms*
proof (*induct s arbitrary*:i)
case (St x)
then show *?case* **by** *simp*
next
case ($Cons$ $x1a$ s)
then show *?case*
$\quad$ **proof** (*cases i*)
$\quad$ **case** *0*
$\quad$ **then show** *?thesis* **by** *blast*
$\quad$ **next**
$\quad$ **case** (Suc nat)
$\quad$ **then show** *?thesis*
$\qquad$ **by** (*metis Cons.hyps Cons.prems*(*1*) *Cons.prems*(*2*) *Suc-le-mono Suc-mono interval-nth-Suc*
$\qquad\quad$ *interval-nth-zero intlen.simps*(*2*) *plus-1-eq-Suc zero-less-Suc*)
$\quad$ **qed**
qed

lemma *stable-defs-helpb*:
assumes ($\forall$ $i\leq$*intlen s*. v (*Interval.nth s i*) $= v$ (*Interval.nth s 0*))
$\qquad$ $i <$ *intlen s*
$\quad$ **shows** v (*Interval.nth s* (Suc i)) $= v$ (*Interval.nth s i*)
using *assms*
proof (*induct s arbitrary*:i)
case (St x)
then show *?case* **by** *simp*
next
case ($Cons$ $x1a$ s)
then show *?case*
$\quad$ **proof** (*cases i*)
$\quad$ **case** *0*
$\quad$ **then show** *?thesis* **using** *Suc-leI Cons.prems*(*1*) *Cons.prems*(*2*) **by** *blast*
$\quad$ **next**
$\quad$ **case** (Suc nat)

then show *?thesis* **using** *Cons.prems*(1) *Cons.prems*(2) *Suc-leI less-imp-le-nat* **by** *presburger*
  qed
qed

lemma *stable-defs-help*:
 $(\forall i < intlen\ s.\ v\ (Interval.nth\ s\ (Suc\ i)) = v\ (Interval.nth\ s\ i)) =$
 $(\forall i \leq intlen\ s.\ v\ (Interval.nth\ s\ i) = v\ (Interval.nth\ s\ 0))$
proof $-$
 have *1*: $(\forall i < intlen\ s.\ v\ (Interval.nth\ s\ (Suc\ i)) = v\ (Interval.nth\ s\ i)) \longrightarrow$
      $(\forall i \leq intlen\ s.\ v\ (Interval.nth\ s\ i) = v\ (Interval.nth\ s\ 0))$
  using *stable-defs-helpa* **by** *auto*
 have *2*: $(\forall i \leq intlen\ s.\ v\ (Interval.nth\ s\ i) = v\ (Interval.nth\ s\ 0)) \longrightarrow$
      $(\forall i < intlen\ s.\ v\ (Interval.nth\ s\ (Suc\ i)) = v\ (Interval.nth\ s\ i))$
   using *stable-defs-helpb* **by** *blast*
 show *?thesis* **using** *1 2* **by** *blast*
qed

lemma *stable-defs-infinite*:
 $(\forall i.\ v\ (s\ (Suc\ i)) = v\ (s\ i)) = (\forall i.\ v\ (s\ i) = v\ (s\ 0))$
proof $-$
 have *1*: $(\forall i.\ v\ (s\ (Suc\ i)) = v\ (s\ i)) \Longrightarrow (\bigwedge j.\ v\ (s\ j) = v\ (s\ 0))$
 proof $-$
  assume *A1*: $(\forall i.\ v\ (s\ (Suc\ i)) = v\ (s\ i))$
  show $(\bigwedge j.\ v\ (s\ j) = v\ (s\ 0))$
  proof $-$
   fix *j*
   show $v\ (s\ j) = v\ (s\ 0)$
   using *A1* **by** (*induct j*) *simp-all*
  qed
 qed
 have *2*: $(\forall i.\ v\ (s\ (Suc\ i)) = v\ (s\ i)) \Longrightarrow (\forall j.\ v\ (s\ j) = v\ (s\ 0))$
 using *1* **by** *blast*
 have *3*: $(\forall j.\ v\ (s\ j) = v\ (s\ 0)) \Longrightarrow (\bigwedge j.\ v\ (s\ (Suc\ j)) = v\ (s\ j))$
 proof $-$
  assume *A2*: $(\forall j.\ v\ (s\ j) = v\ (s\ 0))$
  show $(\bigwedge j.\ v\ (s\ (Suc\ j)) = v\ (s\ j))$
  proof $-$
   fix *j*
   show $v\ (s\ (Suc\ j)) = v\ (s\ j)$
   using *A2*
    proof (*induct j*)
    case *0*
    then show *?case* **by** *auto*
    next
    case (*Suc j*)
    then show *?case* **by** *metis*
    qed
  qed
 qed

have *4*: $(\forall j.\ v\ (s\ j) = v\ (s\ 0)) \Longrightarrow (\forall j.\ v\ (s\ (Suc\ j)) = v\ (s\ j))$
using *3* **by** *blast*
from *2 4* **show** *?thesis* **by** *blast*
qed

lemma *stable-defs*:
$(s \models stable\ v) =$
$(case\ s\ of\ (Inl\ s) \Rightarrow\ (\forall\ i{\leq}intlen\ s.\ (v\ (nth\ s\ i)) = (v\ (nth\ s\ 0)))$
$\qquad |\ (Inr\ s) \Rightarrow\ (\forall\ i.\ (v\ (s\ i)) = (v\ (s\ 0)))$
)
by (*simp add*: *stable-d-def gets-defs current-val-d-def sub-def stable-defs-help*
    subinterval-def upt-same stable-defs-infinite sum.case-eq-if)

lemma *padded-defs* :
$(s \models padded\ v) =$
$(case\ s\ of\ (Inl\ s) \Rightarrow ((\forall\ i{<}\ intlen\ s.\ (v\ (nth\ s\ i)) = (v\ (nth\ s\ 0))) \vee intlen\ s = 0)$
$\qquad |\ (Inr\ s) \Rightarrow ((\forall\ i.\ (v\ (\ s\ i)) = (v\ (\ s\ 0))))$
)
proof
(*simp add*: *padded-d-def stable-defs chop-d-def skip-defs empty-defs sum.case-eq-if*)
show *isl s* $\longrightarrow$
   $((\exists\ n{\leq}intlen\ (projl\ s).$
      $(\forall i.\ i \leq n \wedge i \leq intlen\ (projl\ s) \longrightarrow$
         $v\ (nth\ (projl\ s)\ i) = v\ (nth\ (projl\ s)\ 0)) \wedge intlen\ (projl\ s) - n = Suc\ 0) \vee$
   $intlen\ (projl\ s) = 0) =$
   $((\forall i{<}intlen\ (projl\ s).\ v\ (nth\ (projl\ s)\ i) = v\ (nth\ (projl\ s)\ 0)) \vee intlen\ (projl\ s) = 0)$
proof *rule+*
 show $\bigwedge i.$ *isl s* $\Longrightarrow$
      $(\exists\ n{\leq}intlen\ (projl\ s).$
         $(\forall i.\ i \leq n \wedge i \leq intlen\ (projl\ s) \longrightarrow v\ (nth\ (projl\ s)\ i) = v\ (nth\ (projl\ s)\ 0))$
         $\wedge\ intlen\ (projl\ s) - n = Suc\ 0) \vee$
      $intlen\ (projl\ s) = 0 \Longrightarrow$
      $i < intlen\ (projl\ s) \Longrightarrow v\ (Interval.nth\ (projl\ s)\ i) = v\ (Interval.nth\ (projl\ s)\ 0)$
 by (*metis One-nat-def Suc-leI Suc-le-mono le-add-diff-inverse2 less-imp-le-nat not-less-zero*
    plus-1-eq-Suc)
 show *isl s* $\Longrightarrow$
   $(\forall i{<}intlen\ (projl\ s).$
      $v\ (nth\ (projl\ s)\ i) = v\ (nth\ (projl\ s)\ 0)) \vee intlen\ (projl\ s) = 0 \Longrightarrow$
   $(\exists\ n{\leq}intlen\ (projl\ s).$
      $(\forall i.\ i \leq n \wedge i \leq intlen\ (projl\ s) \longrightarrow$
      $v\ (nth\ (projl\ s)\ i) = v\ (nth\ (projl\ s)\ 0)) \wedge intlen\ (projl\ s) - n = Suc\ 0) \vee$
   $intlen\ (projl\ s) = 0$
 by (*metis Suc-leI Suc-pred diff-diff-cancel diff-le-self gr-zeroI le-imp-less-Suc*)
 qed
qed

lemma *padded-temporal-assign-defs* :
$(s \models v <{\sim} e) =$
$((s \models padded\ v) \wedge$

```
   (case s of  (Inl s) ⇒ (v (Interval.nth s (intlen s)) ) = e (Inl s)
         | (Inr s) ⇒ True)
   )
by (auto simp add: padded-temp-assign-d-def padded-defs temporal-assign-defs)
```

11.5   Soundness Axioms

11.5.1   ChopAssoc

lemma *ChopAssocSemHelpa*:
assumes $(\exists i\ ia\ .\ i \le intlen\ \sigma \land ia \le intlen\ \sigma -i \land (Inl\ (prefix\ i\ \sigma) \models f)\ \land$
   $(Inl\ (prefix\ ia\ (suffix\ i\ \sigma)) \models g) \land (Inl\ (suffix\ (ia + i)\ \sigma) \models h))$
shows $(\exists j\ ja\ .\ j \le intlen\ \sigma \land ja \le j \land (Inl\ (prefix\ ja\ (prefix\ j\ \sigma)) \models f)\ \land$
   $(Inl\ (suffix\ ja\ (prefix\ j\ \sigma)) \models g) \land (Inl\ (suffix\ j\ \sigma) \models h))$
proof $-$
 have 1: $(\exists i\ ia\ .\ i \le intlen\ \sigma \land ia \le intlen\ \sigma -i \land (Inl\ (prefix\ i\ \sigma) \models f)\ \land$
   $(Inl\ (prefix\ ia\ (suffix\ i\ \sigma)) \models g) \land (Inl\ (suffix\ (ia + i)\ \sigma) \models h))$
 using *assms* **by** *auto*
 obtain *i ia* **where** 2: $i \le intlen\ \sigma \land ia \le intlen\ \sigma -i \land (Inl\ (prefix\ i\ \sigma) \models f)\ \land$
   $(Inl\ (prefix\ ia\ (suffix\ i\ \sigma)) \models g) \land (Inl\ (suffix\ (ia + i)\ \sigma) \models h)$
   using 1 **by** *auto*
 have 3: $(Inl\ (suffix\ (ia+i)\ \sigma) \models h)$
   using 2 **by** *auto*
 have 4: $ia +i \le intlen\ \sigma$
   using 2 *Nat.le-diff-conv2* **by** *blast*
 have 5: $i \le ia+i$
   by *simp*
 have 6: $(Inl\ (suffix\ i\ (prefix\ (ia +i)\ \sigma)) \models g)$
   using 2 4 *interval-suffix-prefix-swap* **by** *force*
 have 7: $(Inl\ (prefix\ i\ (prefix\ (ia +i)\ \sigma)) \models f)$
   by (*simp add*: 2 *add.commute*)
 show *?thesis* **using** 2 4 5 6 7 **by** *blast*
qed

lemma *ChopAssocSemHelpb*:
assumes $(\exists j\ ja\ .\ j \le intlen\ \sigma \land ja \le j \land (Inl\ (prefix\ ja\ (prefix\ j\ \sigma)) \models f)\ \land$
   $(Inl\ (suffix\ ja\ (prefix\ j\ \sigma)) \models g) \land (Inl\ (suffix\ j\ \sigma) \models h))$
shows $(\exists i\ ia\ .\ i \le intlen\ \sigma \land ia \le intlen\ \sigma -i \land (Inl\ (prefix\ i\ \sigma) \models f)\ \land$
   $(Inl\ (prefix\ ia\ (suffix\ i\ \sigma)) \models g) \land (Inl\ (suffix\ (ia + i)\ \sigma) \models h))$
proof $-$
 have 1: $(\exists j\ ja\ .\ j \le intlen\ \sigma \land ja \le j \land (Inl\ (prefix\ ja\ (prefix\ j\ \sigma)) \models f)\ \land$
   $(Inl\ (suffix\ ja\ (prefix\ j\ \sigma)) \models g) \land (Inl\ (suffix\ j\ \sigma) \models h))$
  using *assms* **by** *auto*
 obtain *j ja* **where** 2: $j \le intlen\ \sigma \land ja \le j \land (Inl\ (prefix\ ja\ (prefix\ j\ \sigma)) \models f)\ \land$
   $(Inl\ (suffix\ ja\ (prefix\ j\ \sigma)) \models g) \land (Inl\ (suffix\ j\ \sigma) \models h)$
 using 1 **by** *auto*
 have 3: $ja \le intlen\ \sigma$
   using 2 *le-trans* **by** *blast*
 have 4: $j -ja \le intlen\ \sigma -ja$
   by (*simp add*: 2 *diff-le-mono*)
 have 5: $(Inl\ (prefix\ ja\ \sigma) \models f)$

324
```

  by (*metis 2 interval-pref-pref-3 le-add-diff-inverse*)
have *6*: (*Inl* (*prefix* (*j* −*ja*) (*suffix ja σ*)) $\models$ *g*)
  by (*simp add*: *2 interval-suffix-prefix-swap*)
have *7*: (*Inl* (*suffix* ((*j* −*ja*) + *ja*) *σ*) $\models$ *h*)
  by (*simp add*: *2*)
show *?thesis* **using** *3 4 5 6 7* **by** *blast*
qed

lemma *ChopAssocSemHelp*:
($\exists i\ ia$. $i \leq intlen\ σ \wedge ia \leq intlen\ σ - i \wedge$ (*Inl* (*prefix i σ*) $\models$ *f*) $\wedge$
  (*Inl* (*prefix ia* (*suffix i σ*)) $\models$ *g*) $\wedge$ (*Inl* (*suffix* (*ia* + *i*) *σ*) $\models$ *h*)) =
($\exists j\ ja$. $j \leq intlen\ σ \wedge ja \leq j \wedge$ (*Inl* (*prefix ja* (*prefix j σ*)) $\models$ *f*) $\wedge$
  (*Inl* (*suffix ja* (*prefix j σ*)) $\models$ *g*) $\wedge$ (*Inl* (*suffix j σ*) $\models$ *h*))
using *ChopAssocSemHelpa*[*of σ f g h*]
   ChopAssocSemHelpb[*of σ f g h*] **by** *auto*

lemma *ChopAssocSemHelpFinite*:
 ((*Inl σ*) $\models$ *f* ; (*g* ; *h*)) = ((*Inl σ*) $\models$ (*f*;*g*);*h*)
proof −
 have ((*Inl σ*) $\models$ *f* ; (*g* ; *h*)) =
    (($\exists i \leq intlen\ σ$. (*Inl* (*prefix i σ*) $\models$ *f*) $\wedge$ ($\exists ia \leq intlen$ (*suffix i σ*).
     (*Inl* (*prefix ia* (*suffix i σ*)) $\models$ *g*) $\wedge$ (*Inl* (*suffix* (*ia* + *i*) *σ*) $\models$ *h*))))
 by (*simp add*: *chop-defs*)
 also have ... =
      ($\exists i\ ia$. $i \leq intlen\ σ \wedge ia \leq intlen\ σ - i \wedge$ ((*Inl* (*prefix i σ*)) $\models$ *f*) $\wedge$
    (*Inl* (*prefix ia* (*suffix i σ*)) $\models$ *g*) $\wedge$ (*Inl* (*suffix* (*ia* + *i*) *σ*) $\models$ *h*))
 by *fastforce*
 also have ... =
     ($\exists j\ ja$. $j \leq intlen\ σ \wedge ja \leq j \wedge$ (*Inl* (*prefix ja* (*prefix j σ*)) $\models$ *f*) $\wedge$
    (*Inl* (*suffix ja* (*prefix j σ*)) $\models$ *g*) $\wedge$ (*Inl* (*suffix j σ*) $\models$ *h*))
 using *ChopAssocSemHelp*[*of σ f g h*] **by** *blast*
 also have ... =
     ($\exists i \leq intlen\ σ$. ($\exists ia \leq intlen$ (*prefix i σ*). (*Inl* (*prefix ia* (*prefix i σ*)) $\models$ *f*) $\wedge$
    (*Inl* (*suffix ia* (*prefix i σ*)) $\models$ *g*)) $\wedge$ (*Inl* (*suffix i σ*) $\models$ *h*))
 by *fastforce*
 also have ... =
     (*Inl σ* $\models$ (*f*;*g*);*h*) **by** (*simp add*: *chop-defs*)
 finally show (*Inl σ* $\models$ *f* ; (*g* ; *h*)) = (*Inl σ* $\models$ (*f*;*g*);*h*) .
qed

lemma *ChopAssocSemHelpInFinite*:
 ((*Inr σ*) $\models$ *f* ; (*g* ; *h*)) = ((*Inr σ*) $\models$ (*f*;*g*);*h*)
proof −
 have ((*Inr σ*) $\models$ *f* ; (*g* ; *h*)) =
    (($\exists n$.
   f (*Inl* (*iprefix n σ*)) $\wedge$
   (($\exists na$. *g* (*Inl* (*iprefix na* (*isuffix n σ*))) $\wedge$ *h* (*Inr* (*isuffix na* (*isuffix n σ*)))) $\vee$
   g (*Inr* (*isuffix n σ*)))) $\vee$

f (*Inr* σ))
　　by (*metis chop-defs-infinite*)
also have ... =
　　　　$((\exists n\ na.$
　　f (*Inl* (*iprefix n* σ)) $\wedge$
　　((g (*Inl* (*iprefix na* (*isuffix n* σ))) $\wedge$ h (*Inr* (*isuffix na* (*isuffix n* σ)))) $\vee$
　　 g (*Inr* (*isuffix n* σ)))) $\vee$
　　f (*Inr* σ))
by *fastforce*
also have ... =
　　　　$((\exists n\ na.\ na \leq intlen$ (*iprefix n* σ) $\wedge$
　　(f (*Inl* (*prefix na* (*iprefix n* σ))) $\wedge$ g (*Inl* (*suffix na* (*iprefix n* σ)))) $\wedge$
　　h (*Inr* (*isuffix n* σ))) $\vee$
　$(\exists n::nat.\ f$ (*Inl* (*iprefix n* σ)) $\wedge$ g (*Inr* (*isuffix n* σ))) $\vee$ f (*Inr* σ))

　by (*auto simp add: add.commute interval-iprefix-isuffix-swap iprefix-length isuffix-isuffix*)
　　(*metis interval-pref-ipref-3 ordered-cancel-comm-monoid-diff-class.add-diff-inverse*)
also have ... =
　　　　$(($*Inr* $\sigma) \models (f;g);h)$
　by (*metis chop-defs-finite chop-defs-infinite le-add2 le-add-same-cancel2*)
　finally show $(($*Inr* $\sigma) \models f\ ;\ (g\ ;\ h)) = (($*Inr* $\sigma) \models (f;g);h)$ **.**
qed

lemma *ChopAssocSem*:
　$(\sigma \models f\ ;\ (g\ ;\ h) = (f;g);h)$
by *auto*
　(*metis ChopAssocSemHelpFinite ChopAssocSemHelpInFinite sum.collapse(1) sum.collapse(2)*)+

11.5.2　OrChopImp

lemma *OrChopImpSem*:
　$(\sigma \models (f \vee g);h \longrightarrow f;h \vee g;h)$
by (*auto simp add: chop-defs sum.case-eq-if*)

11.5.3　ChopOrImp

lemma *ChopOrImpSem*:
　$(\sigma \models f;(g \vee h) \longrightarrow f;g \vee f;h)$
by (*auto simp add: chop-defs sum.case-eq-if*)

11.5.4　EmptyChop

lemma *EmptyChopSemFinite*:
　$(($*Inl* $\sigma) \models empty\ ;\ f = f)$
using *min.absorb1* **by** (*simp add: empty-defs chop-defs*) *force*

lemma *EmptyChopSemInfinite*:
　$(($*Inr* $\sigma) \models empty\ ;\ f = f)$
by (*simp add: chop-defs empty-defs iprefix-length isuffix-0*)

lemma *EmptyChopSem*:

$(\sigma \models empty \; ; f = f\,)$
using *EmptyChopSemFinite EmptyChopSemInfinite*
by (*metis sum.collapse*(*1*) *sum.collapse*(*2*))

11.5.5   ChopEmpty

lemma *ChopEmptySemFinite*:
  $((Inl\ \sigma) \models f;empty = f\,)$
by (*simp add*: *empty-defs chop-defs*) *auto*

lemma *ChopEmptySemInfinite*:
  $((Inr\ \sigma) \models f;empty = f\,)$
by (*simp add*: *chop-defs empty-defs*)

lemma *ChopEmptySem*:
  $(\sigma \models f;empty = f\,)$
using *ChopEmptySemFinite ChopEmptySemInfinite*
by (*metis sum.collapse*(*1*) *sum.collapse*(*2*))

11.5.6   StateImpBi

lemma *StateImpBiSem*:
  $(\sigma \models init\ f \longrightarrow \; bi\ (init\ f)\,)$
by (*simp add*: *init-defs bi-defs sum.case-eq-if*)
  (*metis conc-def conc-iprefix-isuffix interval-intlen-gr-zero iprefix-0*)

11.5.7   NextImpNotNextNot

lemma *NextImpNotNextNotSem*:
  $(\sigma \models \bigcirc f \longrightarrow \neg\ (\bigcirc\ (\neg\ f))\,)$
by (*simp add*: *next-defs sum.case-eq-if*)

11.5.8   BiBoxChopImpChop

lemma *BiBoxChopImpChopSem*:
  $(\sigma \models bi\ (\ f \longrightarrow f1\,) \wedge \Box(g \longrightarrow g1) \longrightarrow f;g \longrightarrow f1;g1\,)$
by (*simp add*: *bi-defs always-defs chop-defs sum.case-eq-if*)
  fastforce

11.5.9   BoxInduct

lemma *box-induct-help-1* :
  $((Inl\ \sigma) \models f) \wedge (\forall\, i.\ Suc\ 0 \leq intlen\ \sigma - i \longrightarrow$
      $i \leq intlen\ \sigma \longrightarrow (Inl\ (suffix\ i\ \sigma) \models f) \longrightarrow (Inl\ (suffix\ (Suc\ i)\ \sigma) \models f))$
    $\implies (\forall\ j.\ j \leq intlen\ \sigma \longrightarrow (Inl\ (suffix\ j\ \sigma) \models f))$
proof
    fix *j*
    show $((Inl\ \sigma) \models f) \wedge (\forall\, i.\ Suc\ 0 \leq intlen\ \sigma - i \longrightarrow$
      $i \leq intlen\ \sigma \longrightarrow (Inl\ (suffix\ i\ \sigma) \models f) \longrightarrow (Inl\ (suffix\ (Suc\ i)\ \sigma) \models f))$
        $\implies j \leq intlen\ \sigma \longrightarrow (Inl\ (suffix\ j\ \sigma) \models f)$
    proof (*induct j arbitrary*: σ)

case *0*
        then show *?case* **by** *simp*
        next
        case (*Suc j*)
        then show *?case* **by** (*metis Nat.le-diff-conv2 One-nat-def Suc-eq-plus1-left Suc-leD*)
        qed
qed

lemma *box-induct-help-infinite* :
  ((*Inr σ*) $\models$ *f*) $\land$ ($\forall$ *i.*
        ((*Inr* (*isuffix i σ*) $\models$ *f*) $\longrightarrow$ (*Inr* (*isuffix* (*Suc i*) *σ*) $\models$ *f*)))
    $\implies$ ($\forall$ *j.* (*Inr* (*isuffix j σ*) $\models$ *f*))
proof
    fix *j*
    show ((*Inr σ*) $\models$ *f*) $\land$ ($\forall$ *i.*
        (*Inr* (*isuffix i σ*) $\models$ *f*) $\longrightarrow$ (*Inr* (*isuffix* (*Suc i*) *σ*) $\models$ *f*))
        $\implies$ (*Inr* (*isuffix j σ*) $\models$ *f*)
    proof (*induct j arbitrary: σ*)
    case *0*
    then show *?case* **by** (*simp add: isuffix-0*)
    next
    case (*Suc j*)
    then show *?case* **by** *blast*
    qed
qed

lemma *BoxInductSem*:
  (*σ* $\models$ $\square$ (*f* $\longrightarrow$ *wnext f*) $\land$ *f* $\longrightarrow$ $\square$ *f*)
proof
(*auto simp add: always-defs wnext-defs sum.case-eq-if*)
 show $\bigwedge$*n. isl σ* $\implies$
        $\forall$ *n* $\leq$ *intlen* (*projl σ*). *f* (*Inl* (*suffix n* (*projl σ*))) $\longrightarrow$
        intlen (*projl σ*) = *n* $\lor$ *f* (*Inl* (*suffix* (*Suc n*) (*projl σ*))) $\implies$
        f σ $\implies$ *n* $\leq$ *intlen* (*projl σ*) $\implies$ *f* (*Inl* (*suffix n* (*projl σ*)))
 by (*metis One-nat-def box-induct-help-1 cancel-comm-monoid-add-class.diff-cancel not-one-le-zero*
    sum.collapse(*1*))
 show $\bigwedge$*n.* $\neg$ *isl σ* $\implies$
    $\forall$ *n. f* (*Inr* (*isuffix n* (*projr σ*))) $\longrightarrow$ *f* (*Inr* (*isuffix* (*Suc 0*) (*isuffix n* (*projr σ*)))) $\implies$
    f σ $\implies$ *f* (*Inr* (*isuffix n* (*projr σ*)))
 by (*metis* (*mono-tags*) *add.right-neutral add-Suc-right box-induct-help-infinite isuffix-isuffix*
    sum.collapse(*2*))
qed

11.5.10 ChopStarEqv

lemma *ChopExist*:
  $\vdash$ ($\exists$ *k. f;g k*) = *f*;($\exists$ *k. g k*)
by (*auto simp add: chop-defs Valid-def sum.case-eq-if*)

lemma *SChopExist*:
⊢ (∃ k. f⌢g k) = f⌢(∃ k. g k)
by (*auto simp add*: *schop-defs Valid-def sum.case-eq-if*)

lemma *ExistChop*:
⊢ (∃ k. (g k);f) = (∃ k. g k);f
by (*auto simp add*: *chop-defs Valid-def sum.case-eq-if*)

lemma *ExistSChop*:
⊢ (∃ k. (g k)⌢f) = (∃ k. g k)⌢f
by (*auto simp add*: *schop-defs Valid-def sum.case-eq-if*)

lemma *powersem1*:
(σ ⊨ (∃ k. power f k) = (empty ∨ (∃ k. power f (Suc k))))
proof *auto*
 show ⋀x. σ ⊨ (power f x) ⟹ ∀ k. ¬ (σ ⊨ (f ∧ finite);power f k) ⟹ σ ⊨ empty
 by (*metis not0-implies-Suc pow-0 pow-Suc*)
 show σ ⊨ empty ⟹ ∃x. σ ⊨ (power f x)
 by (*metis pow-0*)
 show ⋀k. σ ⊨ ((f ∧ finite);power f k) ⟹ ∃x. σ ⊨ (power f x)
 by (*metis pow-Suc*)
qed

lemma *spowersem1*:
(σ ⊨ (∃ k. spower f k) = (empty ∨ (∃ k. spower f (Suc k))))
proof *auto*
 show ⋀x. σ ⊨ (spower f x) ⟹ ∀ k. ¬ (σ ⊨ f ⌢spower f k) ⟹ σ ⊨ empty
 by (*metis not0-implies-Suc spow-0 spow-Suc*)
 show σ ⊨ empty ⟹ ∃x. σ ⊨ (spower f x)
 by (*metis spow-0*)
 show ⋀k. σ ⊨ (f ⌢ spower f k) ⟹ ∃x. σ ⊨ (spower f x)
 by (*metis spow-Suc*)
qed

lemma *powersem*:
 ⊢ (∃ k. power f k) = (empty ∨ (f ∧ finite);(∃ k. (power f k)))
proof −
 have 1: ⊢ (∃ k. power f k) = (empty ∨ (∃ k. power f (Suc k)))
 using *powersem1* **by** *blast*
 have 2: ⊢ (∃ k. power f (Suc k)) = (∃ k. (f ∧ finite);power f k)
 by *simp*
 have 3: ⊢ (∃ k. (f ∧ finite);(power f k)) = (f ∧ finite);(∃ k. (power f k))
 using *ChopExist* **by** *blast*
 from 1 2 3 **show** ?thesis **by** *fastforce*
qed

lemma *spowersem*:
 ⊢ (∃ k. spower f k) = (empty ∨ f⌢(∃ k. (spower f k)))
proof −
 have 1: ⊢ (∃ k. spower f k) = (empty ∨ (∃ k. spower f (Suc k)))

using *spowersem1* **by** *blast*
have *2*: ⊢ (∃ *k*. *spower f* (*Suc k*)) = (∃ *k*. *f* ⌢*spower f k*)
by *simp*
have *3*: ⊢ (∃ *k*. *f* ⌢(*spower f k*)) = *f* ⌢(∃ *k*. (*spower f k*))
using *SChopExist* **by** *blast*
from *1 2 3* **show** *?thesis* **by** *fastforce*
qed

lemma *PowerstarEqvSemhelp1*:
 ⊢ *empty*;(*empty* ∨ (*f* ∧ *inf*)) = (*empty* ∨ (*f* ∧ *inf*))
using *EmptyChopSem* **by** *blast*

lemma *PowerstarEqvSemhelp2*:
 ⊢ (*f* ∧ *inf*) = (*f* ∧ *inf*);*g*
by (*simp add*: *Valid-def infinite-defs chop-defs sum.case-eq-if*)

lemma *PowerstarEqvSemhelp3*:
 ⊢ ((*f* ∧ *inf*);*g* ∨ (*f* ∧ *finite*);*g*) = (*f* ;*g*)
by (*auto simp add*: *Valid-def finite-defs infinite-defs chop-defs sum.case-eq-if*)

lemma *PowerstarEqvSem*:
  (*σ* ⊨ (*powerstar f*) = (*empty* ∨ *f*;(*powerstar f*)))
proof −
 have *1*: (*σ* ⊨ (*powerstar f*)) =
       (*σ* ⊨ (∃ *k*. *power f k*);(*empty* ∨ *f* ∧ *inf*))
by (*simp add*: *powerstar-d-def*)
 have *2*: (*σ* ⊨ (∃ *k*. *power f k*);(*empty* ∨ *f* ∧ *inf*)) =
       (*σ* ⊨ (*empty* ∨ (*f* ∧ *finite*);(∃ *k*. (*power f k*)));(*empty* ∨ *f* ∧ *inf*))
using *powersem* **by** (*metis inteq-reflection*)
 have *3*: (*σ* ⊨ (*empty* ∨ (*f* ∧ *finite*);(∃ *k*. (*power f k*)));(*empty* ∨ *f* ∧ *inf*)) =
       (*σ* ⊨ *empty*;(*empty* ∨ (*f* ∧ *inf*)) ∨
           ((*f* ∧ *finite*);(∃ *k*. (*power f k*)));(*empty* ∨ *f* ∧ *inf*))
by (*auto simp add*: *chop-defs sum.case-eq-if*)
 have *4*: (*σ* ⊨ *empty*;(*empty* ∨ (*f* ∧ *inf*)) ∨
          ((*f* ∧ *finite*);(∃ *k*. (*power f k*)));(*empty* ∨ *f* ∧ *inf*)) =
       (*σ* ⊨ (*empty* ∨ (*f* ∧ *inf*) ∨ ((*f* ∧ *finite*);(∃ *k*. (*power f k*)));(*empty* ∨ *f* ∧ *inf*)))
using *PowerstarEqvSemhelp1*
by (*metis* (*mono-tags*, *lifting*) *inteq-reflection unl-lift2*)
 have *5*: (*σ* ⊨ (*empty* ∨ (*f* ∧ *inf*) ∨ ((*f* ∧ *finite*);(∃ *k*. (*power f k*)));(*empty* ∨ *f* ∧ *inf*))) =
       (*σ* ⊨ (*empty* ∨ (*f* ∧ *inf*);((∃ *k*. (*power f k*));(*empty* ∨ *f* ∧ *inf*)) ∨
             ((*f* ∧ *finite*);(∃ *k*. (*power f k*)));(*empty* ∨ *f* ∧ *inf*)))
using *PowerstarEqvSemhelp2*
by (*metis* (*mono-tags*, *lifting*) *inteq-reflection*)
 have *6*: (*σ* ⊨ (*empty* ∨ (*f* ∧ *inf*);((∃ *k*. (*power f k*));(*empty* ∨ *f* ∧ *inf*)) ∨
             ((*f* ∧ *finite*);(∃ *k*. (*power f k*)));(*empty* ∨ *f* ∧ *inf*))) =
       (*σ* ⊨ (*empty* ∨ (*f* ∧ *inf*);((∃ *k*. (*power f k*));(*empty* ∨ *f* ∧ *inf*)) ∨
             (*f* ∧ *finite*);((∃ *k*. (*power f k*));(*empty* ∨ *f* ∧ *inf*))))

  by *auto*
    (*metis ChopAssocSemHelpFinite ChopAssocSemHelpInFinite sum.split-sel*)+

330

have *7* : $(\sigma \models (empty \lor (f \land inf);((\exists\ k.\ (power\ f\ k));(empty \lor f \land inf\,)) \lor$
$(f \land finite);((\exists\ k.\ (power\ f\ k));(empty \lor f \land inf\,)))) =$
$(\sigma \models (empty \lor f;((\exists\ k.\ (power\ f\ k));(empty \lor f \land inf\,))))$
using *PowerstarEqvSemhelp3* **by** *fastforce*
have *8*: $(\sigma \models (empty \lor f;((\exists\ k.\ (power\ f\ k));(empty \lor f \land inf\,)))) =$
$(\sigma \models (empty \lor f;(powerstar\ f\,)))$
by (*simp add*: *powerstar-d-def*)
from *1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8* **show** *?thesis* **by** *fastforce*
qed

lemma *FPowerstarEqvSem*:
$(\sigma \models (fpowerstar\ f\,) = (empty \lor (f \land finite);(fpowerstar\ f\,)\,))$
proof $-$
have *1*: $(\sigma \models (fpowerstar\ f\,)) =$
$(\ \sigma \models (\exists\ k.\ power\ f\ k))$
by (*simp add*: *fpowerstar-d-def*)
have *2*: $(\ \sigma \models (\exists\ k.\ power\ f\ k)) =$
$(\sigma \models (empty \lor (f \land finite);(\exists\ k.\ (power\ f\ k))))$
using *powersem* **by** (*metis inteq-reflection*)
from *1 2* **show** *?thesis* **by** (*simp add*: *fpowerstar-d-def*)
qed

lemma *SPowerstarEqvSem*:
$(\sigma \models (spowerstar\ f\,) = (empty \lor f \frown (spowerstar\ f\,)\,))$
proof $-$
have *1*: $(\sigma \models (spowerstar\ f\,)) =$
$(\ \sigma \models (\exists\ k.\ spower\ f\ k))$
by (*simp add*: *spowerstar-d-def*)
have *2*: $(\ \sigma \models (\exists\ k.\ spower\ f\ k)) =$
$(\sigma \models (empty \lor f \frown (\exists\ k.\ (spower\ f\ k))))$
using *spowersem* **by** (*metis inteq-reflection*)
from *1 2* **show** *?thesis* **by** (*simp add*: *spowerstar-d-def*)
qed

lemma *powerchopsem*:
$\vdash (\exists\ k.\ power\ (f \land more)\ k) =$
$(\ empty \lor ((f \land more) \land finite);(\exists\ k.\ (power\ (f \land more)\ k))$
$)$
using *powersem* **by** *auto*

lemma *spowerchopsem*:
$\vdash (\exists\ k.\ spower\ (f \land more)\ k) =$
$(\ empty \lor (f \land more) \frown (\exists\ k.\ (spower\ (f \land more)\ k))$
$)$
using *spowersem* **by** *auto*

lemma *ChopstarEqvSem*:
$(\sigma \models f^\star = (empty \lor (f \land more);\ f^\star)\,)$
by (*metis PowerstarEqvSem chopstar-d-def*)

lemma *SChopstarEqvSem*:
  $(\sigma \models (schopstar\ f) = (empty \lor (f \land more) \frown (schopstar\ f)))$
by (*metis SPowerstarEqvSem schopstar-d-def*)

11.5.11   OmegaUnroll

lemma *omega-unroll-chain*:
 $(\exists\, l.\ infinite\text{-}index\text{-}sequence\ 0\ l \land (\forall\, i.\ f\ (Inl\ (subinterval\ \sigma\ (l\ i)\ (l\ (Suc\ i)))))))$
  $=$
  $(\exists\, n.$
      $f\ (Inl\ (iprefix\ n\ \sigma)) \land$
      $0 < n \land$
      $(\exists\, l.$
         $infinite\text{-}index\text{-}sequence\ 0\ l \land$
         $(\forall\, i.\ f\ (Inl\ (subinterval\ (isuffix\ n\ \sigma)\ (l\ i)\ (l\ (Suc\ i))))))$
      $)$
  $)$

proof $-$
 have $(\exists\, l.\ infinite\text{-}index\text{-}sequence\ 0\ l \land$
          $(\forall\, i.\ f\ (Inl\ (subinterval\ \sigma\ (l\ i)\ (l\ (Suc\ i)))))) =$
        $(\exists\, l.\ infinite\text{-}index\text{-}sequence\ 0\ l \land l = conc\ \langle(l\ 0)\rangle\ (\lambda x.\ (l\ (x+1))) \land$
          $(\forall\, i.\ f\ (Inl\ (subinterval\ \sigma\ (l\ i)\ (l\ (Suc\ i))))))$
       using *iidx-1* **by** *blast*
 also have $\ldots =$
           $(\exists\, l.\ infinite\text{-}index\text{-}sequence\ 0\ (conc\ \langle(l\ 0)\rangle\ (\lambda x.\ (l\ (x+1)))) \land$
               $l = conc\ \langle(l\ 0)\rangle\ (\lambda x.\ (l\ (x+1))) \land$
               $(\forall\, i.\ f\ (Inl\ (subinterval\ \sigma\ (conc\ \langle(l\ 0)\rangle\ (\lambda x.\ (l\ (x+1)))\ i)$
                                   $(conc\ \langle(l\ 0)\rangle\ (\lambda x.\ (l\ (x+1)))\ (Suc\ i))))$
               $)$
           $)$
     by *force*
 also have $\ldots =$
           $(\exists\, l.\ (l\ 0) = 0 \land (l\ 0) < (l\ 1) \land$
               $infinite\text{-}index\text{-}sequence\ (l\ 1)\ (\lambda x.\ (l\ (x+1))) \land$
               $l = conc\ \langle(l\ 0)\rangle\ (\lambda x.\ (l\ (x+1))) \land$
               $(\forall\, i.\ f\ (Inl\ (subinterval\ \sigma\ (conc\ \langle(l\ 0)\rangle\ (\lambda x.\ (l\ (x+1)))\ i)$
                                   $(conc\ \langle(l\ 0)\rangle\ (\lambda x.\ (l\ (x+1)))\ (Suc\ i))))$
               $)$
           $)$

         using *iidx-2* **by** *force*
 also have $\ldots =$
           $(\exists\, l.\ (l\ 0) = 0 \land (l\ 0) < (l\ 1) \land$
               $infinite\text{-}index\text{-}sequence\ (l\ 1)\ (\lambda x.\ (l\ (x+1))) \land$
               $l = conc\ \langle(l\ 0)\rangle\ (\lambda x.\ (l\ (x+1))) \land$
               $f\ (Inl\ (subinterval\ \sigma\ (l\ 0)\ (l\ 1))) \land$
               $(\forall\, i.\ f\ (Inl\ (subinterval\ \sigma\ ((\lambda x.\ (l\ (x+1)))\ i)\ ((\lambda x.\ (l\ (x+1)))\ (Suc\ i)))))$
           $)$

(**is** *?L=?R*)
      proof *rule*
        show *?L $\Longrightarrow$?R*
      by (*metis One-nat-def Suc-eq-plus1*)
        show *?R $\Longrightarrow$?L*
      by (*metis* (*lifting*) *One-nat-def conc-empty-suc not0-implies-Suc*)
    qed
also have ... =
          ($\exists l$ *ls*. *ls* = (λx. (*l* (*x+1*))) $\wedge$ (*l 0*) = *0* $\wedge$ (*l 0*) < (*l 1*) $\wedge$
                infinite-index-sequence (*l 1*) *ls* $\wedge$
                l = *conc* $\langle$(*l 0*)$\rangle$ *ls* $\wedge$
                f (*Inl* (*subinterval* σ (*l 0*) (*l 1*))) $\wedge$
                ($\forall i$. *f* (*Inl* (*subinterval* σ (*ls i*) (*ls* (*Suc i*)))))
)

        by *force*
also have ... =
          ($\exists l$ *ls*. *ls* = (λx. (*l* (*x+1*))) $\wedge$ (*l 0*) = *0* $\wedge$ (*l 0*) < (*l 1*) $\wedge$
                infinite-index-sequence (*l 1*) *ls* $\wedge$
                l = *conc* $\langle$(*l 0*)$\rangle$ *ls* $\wedge$
                f (*Inl* (*iprefix* (*l 1*) σ)) $\wedge$
                ($\forall i$. *f* (*Inl* (*subinterval* σ (*ls i*) (*ls* (*Suc i*)))))
)

      by (*metis iprefix-def*)
also have ... =
          ($\exists l$ *ls n*. *n* = (*ls 0*) $\wedge$ *ls* = (λx. (*l* (*x+1*))) $\wedge$ *0* < *n* $\wedge$
                infinite-index-sequence n ls $\wedge$ *l* = *conc* $\langle 0 \rangle$ *ls* $\wedge$
                f (*Inl* (*iprefix n* σ)) $\wedge$
                ($\forall i$. *f* (*Inl* (*subinterval* σ (*ls i*) (*ls* (*Suc i*)))))
)

        by (*metis* (*no-types, lifting*) *One-nat-def conc-empty-suc conc-empty-zero*)
also have ... =
          ($\exists$ *ls n*. *n* = (*ls 0*) $\wedge$ *0* < *n* $\wedge$
                infinite-index-sequence n ls $\wedge$
                f (*Inl* (*iprefix n* σ)) $\wedge$
                ($\forall i$. *f* (*Inl* (*subinterval* σ (*ls i*) (*ls* (*Suc i*)))))
)

          using *iidx-0* **by** *rule* (*metis* (*no-types, lifting*) *Suc-eq-plus1 conc-empty-suc*)
also have ... =
          ($\exists$ *ls n lsk*. *n* = (*ls 0*) $\wedge$ *0* < *n* $\wedge$ *lsk* = (*shiftm n*) $\circ$ *ls* $\wedge$
                infinite-index-sequence n ls $\wedge$
                f (*Inl* (*iprefix n* σ)) $\wedge$
                ($\forall i$. *f* (*Inl* (*subinterval* σ (*ls i*) (*ls* (*Suc i*)))))
)
  by *blast*
also have ... =
          ($\exists$ *ls n lsk*. *n* = (*ls 0*) $\wedge$ *0* < *n* $\wedge$ *lsk* = (*shiftm n*) $\circ$ *ls* $\wedge$

$$\begin{aligned}
&\textit{infinite-index-sequence } n \textit{ ls} \wedge \textit{infinite-index-sequence } 0 \textit{ lsk} \wedge \\
&f \ (\textit{Inl } (\textit{iprefix } n \ \sigma)) \wedge \\
&(\forall i. \ f \ (\textit{Inl } (\textit{subinterval } \sigma \ \ (\textit{ls } i) \ (\textit{ls } (\textit{Suc } i)))))
\end{aligned}$$
)

using *iidx-5* **by** *auto*

also have ... =

$(\exists \ \textit{ls } n \ \textit{lsk}. \ \ n = (\textit{ls } 0) \wedge 0 < n \wedge \textit{ls} = (\textit{shift } n) \circ \textit{lsk} \wedge$

$\qquad \textit{infinite-index-sequence } n \textit{ ls} \wedge \textit{infinite-index-sequence } 0 \textit{ lsk} \wedge$

$\qquad f \ (\textit{Inl } (\textit{iprefix } n \ \sigma)) \wedge$

$\qquad (\forall i. \ f \ (\textit{Inl } (\textit{subinterval } \sigma \ \ (\textit{ls } i) \ (\textit{ls } (\textit{Suc } i)))))$

)

using *iidx-6* **by** *blast*

also have ... =

$(\exists \ \textit{ls } n \ \textit{lsk}. \ \ n = (((\textit{shift } n) \circ \textit{lsk}) \ 0) \wedge 0 < n \wedge \textit{ls} = (\textit{shift } n) \circ \textit{lsk} \wedge$

$\qquad \textit{infinite-index-sequence } n \textit{ ls} \wedge \textit{infinite-index-sequence } 0 \textit{ lsk} \wedge$

$\qquad f \ (\textit{Inl } (\textit{iprefix } n \ \sigma)) \wedge$

$\qquad (\forall i. \ f \ (\textit{Inl } (\textit{subinterval } \sigma \ \ (((\textit{shift } n) \circ \textit{lsk}) \ i)$

$\qquad\qquad\qquad\qquad\qquad (((\textit{shift } n) \circ \textit{lsk}) \ (\textit{Suc } i)) \ ))$

$\qquad)$

)

by *metis*

also have ... =

$(\exists \ \textit{ls } n \ \textit{lsk}. \ 0 = (\textit{lsk } 0) \wedge 0 < n \wedge \textit{ls} = (\textit{shift } n) \circ \textit{lsk} \wedge$

$\qquad \textit{infinite-index-sequence } n \textit{ ls} \wedge \textit{infinite-index-sequence } 0 \textit{ lsk} \wedge$

$\qquad f \ (\textit{Inl } (\textit{iprefix } n \ \sigma)) \wedge$

$\qquad (\forall i. \ f \ (\textit{Inl } (\textit{subinterval } \sigma \ \ ((\textit{lsk } i) + n) \ ((\textit{lsk } (\textit{Suc } i) + n)) \ )))$

)

using *shift-def* **by** *auto*

also have ... =

$(\exists \ \textit{ls } n \ \textit{lsk}. \ 0 = (\textit{lsk } 0) \wedge 0 < n \wedge \textit{ls} = (\textit{shift } n) \circ \textit{lsk} \wedge$

$\qquad \textit{infinite-index-sequence } 0 \textit{ lsk} \wedge$

$\qquad f \ (\textit{Inl } (\textit{iprefix } n \ \sigma)) \wedge$

$\qquad (\forall i. \ f \ (\textit{Inl } (\textit{subinterval } \sigma \ \ ((\textit{lsk } i) + n) \ ((\textit{lsk } (\textit{Suc } i) + n)) \ )))$

)

using *iidx-8* **by** *blast*

also have ... =

$(\exists \ n \ \textit{lsk}. \ 0 = (\textit{lsk } 0) \wedge 0 < n \wedge$

$\qquad \textit{infinite-index-sequence } 0 \textit{ lsk} \wedge$

$\qquad f \ (\textit{Inl } (\textit{iprefix } n \ \sigma)) \wedge$

$\qquad (\forall i. \ f \ (\textit{Inl } (\textit{subinterval } \sigma \ \ ((\textit{lsk } i) + n) \ ((\textit{lsk } (\textit{Suc } i) + n)) \ )))$

)

by *blast*

also have ... =

$(\exists \ n \ \textit{lsk}. \ 0 = (\textit{lsk } 0) \wedge 0 < n \wedge$

$\qquad \textit{infinite-index-sequence } 0 \textit{ lsk} \wedge$

$\qquad f \ (\textit{Inl } (\textit{iprefix } n \ \sigma)) \wedge$

$\qquad (\forall i. \ f \ (\textit{Inl } (\textit{subinterval } (\textit{isuffix } n \ \sigma) \ \ ((\textit{lsk } i)) \ ((\textit{lsk } (\textit{Suc } i))) \ )))$

)

using *subinterval-sub-isuffix-iidx* **by** (*metis calculation calculation*)

also have ... =
$$(\exists\, n.\ f\ (Inl\ (iprefix\ n\ \sigma)) \wedge 0 < n\ \wedge$$
$$(\exists\, l.\ infinite\text{-}index\text{-}sequence\ 0\ l\ \wedge$$
$$(\forall\, i.\ f\ (Inl\ (subinterval\ (isuffix\ n\ \sigma)\ (l\ i)\ (l\ (Suc\ i)))))$$
$$)$$
$$)$$
using *infinite-index-sequence-def* **by** *auto*
finally show $(\exists\, l.\ infinite\text{-}index\text{-}sequence\ 0\ l\ \wedge$
$$(\forall\, i.\ f\ (Inl\ (subinterval\ \sigma\ (l\ i)\ (l\ (Suc\ i)))))$$
$$)$$
$$=$$
$$(\exists\, n.\ f\ (Inl\ (iprefix\ n\ \sigma)) \wedge 0 < n\ \wedge$$
$$(\exists\, l.\ infinite\text{-}index\text{-}sequence\ 0\ l\ \wedge$$
$$(\forall\, i.\ f\ (Inl\ (subinterval\ (isuffix\ n\ \sigma)\ (l\ i)\ (l\ (Suc\ i)))))$$
$$)$$
$$)\ .$$
qed

lemma *omega-unroll-sem*:
$((Inr\ \sigma) \models (f \wedge fmore);(omega\ f) = (omega\ f))$
proof
(*simp add*: *fmore-defs chop-defs omega-d-def iprefix-length*)
show $(\exists\, n.\ f\ (Inl\ (iprefix\ n\ \sigma)) \wedge$
$\quad 0 < n \wedge (\exists\, l.\ infinite\text{-}index\text{-}sequence\ 0\ l\ \wedge$
$\quad (\forall\, i.\ f\ (Inl\ (subinterval\ (isuffix\ n\ \sigma)\ (l\ i)\ (l\ (Suc\ i))))))))) =$
$\quad (\exists\, l.\ infinite\text{-}index\text{-}sequence\ 0\ l\ \wedge (\forall\, i.\ f\ (Inl\ (subinterval\ \sigma\ (l\ i)\ (l\ (Suc\ i))))))$
$\quad$ **using** *omega-unroll-chain* **by** *metis*
qed

lemma *OmegaUnrollSem*:
$\sigma \models (omega\ f) = (f \wedge fmore);(omega\ f)$
proof (*cases* σ)
case (*Inl a*)
then show *?thesis* **by** (*simp add*: *omega-d-def chop-defs-finite*)
next
case (*Inr b*)
then show *?thesis* **using** *omega-unroll-sem* **by** *fastforce*
qed

11.5.12 OmegaInduct

lemma *OmegaInductSem-help*:
$(\sigma \models \ inf \wedge g \wedge \square(g \longrightarrow (f \wedge fmore);g)) =$
$(\ case\ \sigma\ of\ (Inl\ \sigma) \Rightarrow False$
$\quad\quad |\ (Inr\ \sigma) \Rightarrow$
$\quad\quad\quad g\ (Inr\ \sigma) \wedge$
$\quad\quad\quad (\forall\, n\text{::}nat.\ g\ (Inr\ (isuffix\ n\ \sigma)) \longrightarrow$
$\quad\quad\quad\quad (\exists\, na\text{::}nat.\ f\ (Inl\ (subinterval\ \sigma\ n\ (na+n))) \wedge$
$\quad\quad\quad\quad\quad (0\text{::}nat) < na \wedge g\ (Inr\ (isuffix\ (n + na)\ \sigma))))$

335

)

by (*simp add*: *infinite-defs always-defs chop-defs fmore-defs isuffix-isuffix sum.case-eq-if*)
  (*metis iprefix-isuffix iprefix-length*)

lemma *OmegaInductSem-help-infinite*:
 $((Inr\ \sigma) \models\ inf\ \wedge\ g\ \wedge\ \square(g \longrightarrow (f\ \wedge\ fmore); g)) =$
         $(g\ (Inr\ \sigma)\ \wedge$
         $(\forall n{::}nat.\ g\ (Inr\ (isuffix\ n\ \sigma)) \longrightarrow$
              $(\exists na{::}nat.\ f\ (Inl\ (subinterval\ \sigma\ n\ (na+n)))\ \wedge$
                  $(0{::}nat) < na\ \wedge\ g\ (Inr\ (isuffix\ (na+n)\ \sigma)))))$

by (*simp add*: *infinite-defs always-defs chop-defs fmore-defs isuffix-isuffix sum.case-eq-if*)
 (*metis iprefix-isuffix iprefix-length add.commute*)

primrec *cpoint* :: $('a{::}world)\ formula\ \Rightarrow\ 'a\ formula \Rightarrow nat \Rightarrow 'a\ infinterval \Rightarrow nat$
 where *cpoint f g 0 σ = 0*
     | *cpoint f g (Suc n) σ =*
       $(\epsilon\ x.\ (\exists\ m.\ (Inl\ (subinterval\ \sigma\ (cpoint\ f\ g\ n\ \sigma)\ (m+(cpoint\ f\ g\ n\ \sigma))\ )\ ) \models f)$
          $\wedge\ m{>}0\ \wedge(Inr(isuffix\ (m+(cpoint\ f\ g\ n\ \sigma))\ \sigma) \models g)\ \wedge$
          $x=m+(cpoint\ f\ g\ n\ \sigma)$
)
)

lemma *cpoint-order-0a*:
 $na{\leq}x \implies intlen\ (suffix\ na\ (iprefix\ x\ \sigma)) = x-na$
by (*simp add*: *iprefix-length*)

lemma *cpoint-expand-0*:
 $(cpoint\ f\ g\ 0\ \sigma) =0$
by *simp*

lemma *cpoint-expand-1*:
 $(cpoint\ f\ g\ 1\ \sigma) =$
  $(SOME\ x.\ (\exists\ m.\ f\ (Inl\ (subinterval\ \sigma\ 0\ (m)\ ))$
            $\wedge\ m{>}0\ \wedge\ g\ (Inr\ (isuffix\ (m)\ \sigma))$
            $\wedge\ x=m))$
by (*simp add*: *fmore-defs subinterval-length*)

lemma *cpoint-expand-n*:
 $(cpoint\ f\ g\ (Suc\ n)\ \sigma) =$
  $(SOME\ x.\ (\exists\ m.\ f\ (Inl\ (subinterval\ \sigma\ (cpoint\ f\ g\ n\ \sigma)\ (m+(cpoint\ f\ g\ n\ \sigma))))$
            $\wedge\ m{>}0\ \wedge\ g\ (Inr(isuffix\ (m+(cpoint\ f\ g\ n\ \sigma))\ \sigma))$
            $\wedge\ x=m+(cpoint\ f\ g\ n\ \sigma))$
)
by (*simp add*: *fmore-defs subinterval-length*)

lemma *cpoint-0*:

assumes *g* (*Inr* σ) ∧
         (∀ *k*. *g* (*Inr* (*isuffix* *k* σ)) ⟶
               (∃ *m*. *f* (*Inl* (*subinterval* σ *k* (*m*+*k*))) ∧
                     0 < *m* ∧ *g* (*Inr* (*isuffix* (*m*+*k*) σ))))

shows *g* (*Inr*(*isuffix* (*cpoint* *f* *g* *i* σ) σ))
proof
(*induct* *i*)
case *0*
then show *?case* **by** (*simp add*: *assms* *isuffix-0*)
next
case (*Suc* *i*)
then show *?case*
proof −
 have *1*: *g* (*Inr*(*isuffix* (*cpoint* *f* *g* *i* σ) σ))
 by (*simp add*: *Suc.hyps*)
 have *2*: *g* (*Inr*(*isuffix* (*cpoint* *f* *g* *i* σ) σ)) ⟶
         (∃ *m*. *f* (*Inl* (*subinterval* σ (*cpoint* *f* *g* *i* σ) (*m*+(*cpoint* *f* *g* *i* σ)))) ∧
                     0 < *m* ∧ *g* (*Inr* (*isuffix* (*m*+(*cpoint* *f* *g* *i* σ)) σ)))
 using *assms* **by** *blast*
 have *3*: (∃ *m*. *f* (*Inl* (*subinterval* σ (*cpoint* *f* *g* *i* σ) (*m*+(*cpoint* *f* *g* *i* σ)))) ∧
                     0 < *m* ∧ *g* (*Inr* (*isuffix* (*m*+(*cpoint* *f* *g* *i* σ)) σ)))
 using *1 2* **by** *auto*
 have *4*: (*cpoint* *f* *g* (*Suc* *i*) σ) =
         (*SOME* *x*. (∃ *m*. *f* (*Inl* (*subinterval* σ (*cpoint* *f* *g* *i* σ) (*m*+(*cpoint* *f* *g* *i* σ))))
             ∧ *m*>0 ∧ *g* (*Inr*(*isuffix* (*m*+(*cpoint* *f* *g* *i* σ)) σ))
             ∧ *x*=*m*+(*cpoint* *f* *g* *i* σ)))
 by *simp*
 have *5*: *g* (*Inr*(*isuffix* ((*cpoint* *f* *g* (*Suc* *i*) σ)) σ))
 using *3 4 somel-ex*[*of* λ*x*. (∃ *m*. *f* (*Inl* (*subinterval* σ (*cpoint* *f* *g* *i* σ) (*m*+(*cpoint* *f* *g* *i* σ))))
             ∧ *m*>0 ∧ *g* (*Inr*(*isuffix* (*m*+(*cpoint* *f* *g* *i* σ)) σ))
             ∧ *x*=*m*+(*cpoint* *f* *g* *i* σ))] **by** *auto*
 from *5* **show** *?thesis* **by** *auto*
 qed
 qed

lemma *cpoint-1*:
 assumes *g* (*Inr* σ) ∧
         (∀ *k*. *g* (*Inr* (*isuffix* *k* σ)) ⟶
               (∃ *m*. *f* (*Inl* (*subinterval* σ *k* (*m*+*k*))) ∧
                     0 < *m* ∧ *g* (*Inr* (*isuffix* (*m*+*k*) σ))))

 shows (*g* (*Inr*(*isuffix* (*cpoint* *f* *g* *i* σ) σ))
         ⟹ *g* (*Inr*(*isuffix* (*cpoint* *f* *g* (*Suc* *i*) σ) σ)))

proof −
 have *1*: *g* (*Inr* σ) ∧
         (∀ *k*. *g* (*Inr* (*isuffix* *k* σ)) ⟶

337

$$(\exists\, m.\ f\ (Inl\ (subinterval\ \sigma\ k\ (m+k)))\ \wedge$$
$$0 < m\ \wedge\ g\ (Inr\ (isuffix\ (m+k)\ \sigma))))$$
using *assms* **by** *blast*

have 2: ($g\ (Inr(isuffix\ (cpoint\ f\ g\ i\ \sigma)\ \sigma))$
$\implies g\ (Inr(isuffix\ (cpoint\ f\ g\ (Suc\ i)\ \sigma)\ \sigma)))$

proof
(*induct i*)
case 0
then show *?case*
proof −
have 01: $g\ (Inr(isuffix\ (cpoint\ f\ g\ 0\ \sigma)\ \sigma)) =$
$g\ (Inr(isuffix\ 0\ \sigma))$
by *auto*
have 02: $g\ (Inr(isuffix\ 0\ \sigma)) = g\ (Inr\ \sigma)$
by (*simp add: isuffix-0*)
have 03: $(\exists\, m.\ f\ (Inl\ (subinterval\ \sigma\ 0\ (m)))\ \wedge$
$0 < m\ \wedge\ g\ (Inr\ (isuffix\ (m)\ \sigma)))$
using *02 1* **by** *fastforce*
have 04: $(cpoint\ f\ g\ 1\ \sigma) =$
$(SOME\ x.\ (\exists\ m.\ f\ (Inl\ (subinterval\ \sigma\ 0\ (m)\ ))$
$\wedge\ m > 0\ \wedge\ g\ (Inr\ (isuffix\ (m)\ \sigma))$
$\wedge\ x = m)$
)
using *cpoint-expand-1* **by** *blast*
have 05: $g\ (Inr\ (isuffix\ (cpoint\ f\ g\ 1\ \sigma)\ \sigma))$
using *03 04 somel-ex* **by** (*metis (mono-tags, lifting)*)
from *01 05* **show** *?thesis* **by** *auto*
qed
next
case (*Suc i*)
then show *?case*
proof −
have n1: $g\ (Inr\ (isuffix\ (cpoint\ f\ g\ i\ \sigma)\ \sigma)) \implies g\ (Inr\ (isuffix\ (cpoint\ f\ g\ (Suc\ i)\ \sigma)\ \sigma))$
using *Suc.hyps* **by** *blast*
have n2: $g\ (Inr\ (isuffix\ (cpoint\ f\ g\ (Suc\ i)\ \sigma)\ \sigma))$
using *Suc.prems* **by** *blast*
have n3: $(\exists\, m.\ f\ (Inl\ (subinterval\ \sigma\ (cpoint\ f\ g\ (Suc\ i)\ \sigma)\ (m+(cpoint\ f\ g\ (Suc\ i)\ \sigma))))\ \wedge$
$0 < m\ \wedge\ g\ (Inr\ (isuffix\ (m+(cpoint\ f\ g\ (Suc\ i)\ \sigma))\ \sigma)))$
using *assms n2* **by** *auto*
have n4: $(cpoint\ f\ g\ (Suc\ (Suc\ i))\ \sigma) =$
$(SOME\ x.\ (\exists\ m.\ f\ (Inl\ (subinterval\ \sigma\ (cpoint\ f\ g\ (Suc\ i)\ \sigma)\ (m+(cpoint\ f\ g\ (Suc\ i)\ \sigma)))))$
$\wedge\ m > 0\ \wedge\ g\ (Inr(isuffix\ (m+(cpoint\ f\ g\ (Suc\ i)\ \sigma))\ \sigma))$
$\wedge\ x = m+(cpoint\ f\ g\ (Suc\ i)\ \sigma))$
)
using *cpoint-expand-n* **by** *blast*
have n5: $g\ (Inr\ (isuffix\ (cpoint\ f\ g\ (Suc\ (Suc\ i))\ \sigma)\ \sigma))$
using *n3 n4 somel-ex[of $\lambda x.\ (\exists\ m.\ f\ (Inl\ (subinterval\ \sigma\ (cpoint\ f\ g\ (Suc\ i)\ \sigma)$*
$(m+(cpoint\ f\ g\ (Suc\ i)\ \sigma))))$
$\wedge\ m > 0\ \wedge\ g\ (Inr(isuffix\ (m+(cpoint\ f\ g\ (Suc\ i)\ \sigma))\ \sigma))$
$\wedge\ x = m+(cpoint\ f\ g\ (Suc\ i)\ \sigma))]$ **by** *auto*

from *n5* **show** *?thesis* **by** *auto*
   qed
  qed
  from *2* **show** *?thesis* **using** *assms cpoint-0* **by** *blast*
qed

lemma *cpoint-2*:
 assumes *g* (*Inr σ*) ∧
              (∀ *k*. *g* (*Inr* (*isuffix k σ*)) ⟶
                   (∃ *m*. *f* (*Inl* (*subinterval σ k* (*m+k*))) ∧
                      0 < m ∧ *g* (*Inr* (*isuffix* (*m+k*) *σ*)))))

 shows   *f* (*Inl* (*subinterval σ* (*cpoint f g i σ*) (*cpoint f g* (*Suc i*) *σ*)))

proof
 (*induct i*)
 case *0*
 then show *?case*
 proof −
  have *1*: *g* (*Inr*(*isuffix 0 σ*))
      using *assms cpoint-0 cpoint-expand-0* **by** (*simp add*: *isuffix-0*)
  have *2*: (∃ *m*. *f* (*Inl* (*subinterval σ* (*cpoint f g 0 σ*) (*m+*(*cpoint f g 0 σ*)))) ∧
                    0 < m ∧ *g* (*Inr* (*isuffix* (*m+*(*cpoint f g 0 σ*)) *σ*))))
      using *assms 1* **by** *auto*
  have *3*: (*cpoint f g 1 σ*) =
         (*SOME x*. (∃ *m*. *f* (*Inl* (*subinterval σ* (*cpoint f g 0 σ*) (*m+*(*cpoint f g 0 σ*))))
                   ∧ *m>0* ∧ *g* (*Inr* (*isuffix* (*m+*(*cpoint f g 0 σ*)) *σ*))
                   ∧ *x=m+*(*cpoint f g 0 σ*))
)

      by *simp*
  have *4*: *f* (*Inl* (*subinterval σ* (*cpoint f g 0 σ*) ((*cpoint f g 1 σ*))))
      using *2 3 someI-ex*[*of λx*. (∃ *m*. *f* (*Inl* (*subinterval σ* (*cpoint f g 0 σ*)
                                          (*m+*(*cpoint f g 0 σ*))))
                   ∧ *m>0* ∧ *g* (*Inr* (*isuffix* (*m+*(*cpoint f g 0 σ*)) *σ*))
                   ∧ *x=m+*(*cpoint f g 0 σ*))] **by** *auto*
  from *4* **show** *?thesis* **by** *auto*
  qed
 next
 case (*Suc i*)
 then show *?case*
 proof −
  have *n1*: *g* (*Inr* (*isuffix* (*cpoint f g* (*Suc i*) *σ*) *σ*))
      using *assms cpoint-0* **by** *blast*
  have *n2*: (∃ *m*. *f* (*Inl* (*subinterval σ* (*cpoint f g* (*Suc i*) *σ*) (*m+*(*cpoint f g* (*Suc i*) *σ*)))) ∧
                    0 < m ∧ *g* (*Inr* (*isuffix* (*m+*(*cpoint f g* (*Suc i*) *σ*)) *σ*))))
      using *assms n1* **by** *auto*
  have *n3*: (*cpoint f g* (*Suc* (*Suc i*)) *σ*) =
         (*SOME x*. (∃ *m*. *f* (*Inl* (*subinterval σ* (*cpoint f g* (*Suc i*) *σ*) (*m+*(*cpoint f g* (*Suc i*) *σ*))))

$\wedge$ *m>0* $\wedge$ *g* (*Inr*(*isuffix* (*m*+(*cpoint f g* (*Suc i*) σ)) σ))

$\wedge$ *x*=*m*+(*cpoint f g* (*Suc i*) σ))

)

using *cpoint-expand-n* **by** *blast*

have *n4*: *f* (*Inl* (*subinterval* σ (*cpoint f g* (*Suc i*) σ) ((*cpoint f g* (*Suc* (*Suc i*)) σ))))

using *n2 n3 somel-ex*[*of* λx. ($\exists$ *m*. *f* (*Inl* (*subinterval* σ (*cpoint f g* (*Suc i*) σ)

(*m*+(*cpoint f g* (*Suc i*) σ))))

$\wedge$ *m>0* $\wedge$ *g* (*Inr*(*isuffix* (*m*+(*cpoint f g* (*Suc i*) σ)) σ))

$\wedge$ *x*=*m*+(*cpoint f g* (*Suc i*) σ))] **by** *auto*

from *n4* **show** *?thesis* **by** *auto*

qed

qed

lemma *cpoint-3a*:

m>0 $\wedge$ *x*=*m*+(*cpoint f g* (*Suc i*) σ) $\Longrightarrow$ (*cpoint f g* (*Suc i*) σ) $<$ *x*

by *auto*

lemma *cpoint-3*:

assumes *g* (*Inr* σ) $\wedge$

($\forall$ *k*. *g* (*Inr* (*isuffix k* σ)) $\longrightarrow$

($\exists$ *m*. *f* (*Inl* (*subinterval* σ *k* (*m*+*k*))) $\wedge$

0 $<$ *m* $\wedge$ *g* (*Inr* (*isuffix* (*m*+*k*) σ)))))

shows   (*cpoint f g i* σ) $<$ (*cpoint f g* (*Suc i*) σ)

proof

(*induct i*)

case *0*

then show *?case*

proof $-$

have *1*: *g* (*Inr*(*isuffix* *0* σ))

using *assms cpoint-0 cpoint-expand-0* **by** (*simp add*: *isuffix-0*)

have *2*: ($\exists$ *m*. *f* (*Inl* (*subinterval* σ (*cpoint f g 0* σ) (*m*+(*cpoint f g 0* σ))))) $\wedge$

0 $<$ *m* $\wedge$ *g* (*Inr* (*isuffix* (*m*+(*cpoint f g 0* σ)) σ)))

using *assms 1* **by** *auto*

have *3*: (*cpoint f g 1* σ) =

(*SOME x*. ($\exists$ *m*. *f* (*Inl* (*subinterval* σ (*cpoint f g 0* σ) (*m*+(*cpoint f g 0* σ))))

$\wedge$ *m>0* $\wedge$ *g* (*Inr* (*isuffix* (*m*+(*cpoint f g 0* σ)) σ))

$\wedge$ *x*=*m*+(*cpoint f g 0* σ))

)

by *simp*

have *4*: (*cpoint f g 0* σ) $<$ (*cpoint f g 1* σ)

using *2 3 somel-ex*[*of* λx. ($\exists$ *m*. *f* (*Inl* (*subinterval* σ (*cpoint f g 0* σ) (*m*+(*cpoint f g 0* σ))))

$\wedge$ *m>0* $\wedge$ *g* (*Inr* (*isuffix* (*m*+(*cpoint f g 0* σ)) σ))

$\wedge$ *x*=*m*+(*cpoint f g 0* σ))] **by** *auto*

from *4* **show** *?thesis* **by** *auto*

qed

next

340

case (*Suc i*)
then show *?case*
proof −
 have *n1*: *g* (*Inr* (*isuffix* (*cpoint f g* (*Suc i*) *σ*) *σ*))
   using *assms cpoint-0* **by** *blast*
 have *n2*: (∃ *m*. *f* (*Inl* (*subinterval σ* (*cpoint f g* (*Suc i*) *σ*) (*m*+(*cpoint f g* (*Suc i*) *σ*)))) ∧
               0 < *m* ∧ *g* (*Inr* (*isuffix* (*m*+(*cpoint f g* (*Suc i*) *σ*)) *σ*))))
   using *assms n1* **by** *auto*
 have *n3*: (*cpoint f g* (*Suc* (*Suc i*)) *σ*) =
     (*SOME x*. (∃ *m*. *f* (*Inl* (*subinterval σ* (*cpoint f g* (*Suc i*) *σ*) (*m*+(*cpoint f g* (*Suc i*) *σ*)))))
        ∧ *m*>0 ∧ *g* (*Inr*(*isuffix* (*m*+(*cpoint f g* (*Suc i*) *σ*)) *σ*))
        ∧ *x*=*m*+(*cpoint f g* (*Suc i*) *σ*))
)
   using *cpoint-expand-n* **by** *blast*
 have *n4*: (∃ *m*. *f* (*Inl* (*subinterval σ* (*cpoint f g* (*Suc i*) *σ*) (*m*+(*cpoint f g* (*Suc i*) *σ*))))
        ∧ *m*>0 ∧ *g* (*Inr*(*isuffix* (*m*+(*cpoint f g* (*Suc i*) *σ*)) *σ*))
        ∧ (*cpoint f g* (*Suc* (*Suc i*)) *σ*)=*m*+(*cpoint f g* (*Suc i*) *σ*))
   using *n2 n3 someI-ex*[*of λx*. (∃ *m*. *f* (*Inl* (*subinterval σ* (*cpoint f g* (*Suc i*) *σ*) (*m*+(*cpoint f g* (*Suc i*)
σ)))))
        ∧ *m*>0 ∧ *g* (*Inr*(*isuffix* (*m*+(*cpoint f g* (*Suc i*) *σ*)) *σ*))
        ∧ *x*=*m*+(*cpoint f g* (*Suc i*) *σ*))] **by** *auto*
 have *n5*: (*cpoint f g* (*Suc i*) *σ*) < (*cpoint f g* (*Suc* (*Suc i*)) *σ*)
 using *n4* **using** *cpoint-3a* **by** *blast*
 from *n5* **show** *?thesis* **by** *auto*
 qed
qed

lemma *OmegaInductSem*:
 (*w* |= (*inf* ∧ *g* ∧ □(*g* ⟶ (*f* ∧ *fmore*);*g*)) ⟶ *omega f*)
proof (*cases w*)
 case (*Inl a*)
 then show *?thesis*
 by (*metis* (*no-types*, *lifting*) *finite-d-def finite-defs-1 int-simps*(21) *inteq-reflection unl-con unl-lift2*)
 next
 case (*Inr b*)
 then show *?thesis*
 proof −
  have *1*: ((*Inr b*) |= (*inf* ∧ *g* ∧ □(*g* ⟶ (*f* ∧ *fmore*);*g*))) =
      (*g* (*Inr b*) ∧
      (∀ *k*. *g* (*Inr* (*isuffix k b*)) ⟶
         (∃ *m*. *f* (*Inl* (*subinterval b k* (*m*+*k*))) ∧
          0 < *m* ∧ *g* (*Inr* (*isuffix* (*m*+*k*) *b*))))))
   by (*simp add*: *infinite-defs always-defs chop-defs fmore-defs isuffix-isuffix sum.case-eq-if*)
    (*metis iprefix-isuffix iprefix-length add.commute*)
  have *2*: (*g* (*Inr b*) ∧
      (∀ *k*. *g* (*Inr* (*isuffix k b*)) ⟶
         (∃ *m*. *f* (*Inl* (*subinterval b k* (*m*+*k*))) ∧
          0 < *m* ∧ *g* (*Inr* (*isuffix* (*m*+*k*) *b*)))))) ⟶
       infinite-index-sequence 0 (*λi*. (*cpoint f g* *i b*))

341

using *1 infinite-index-sequence-def cpoint-3* **by** (*metis cpoint-expand-0*)
have *3*: (*g* (*Inr b*) ∧
      (∀ *k*. *g* (*Inr* (*isuffix k b*)) ⟶
          (∃ *m*. *f* (*Inl* (*subinterval b k* (*m+k*))) ∧
             0 < m ∧ *g* (*Inr* (*isuffix* (*m+k*) *b*))))) ⟶
             (∀ *i*. *f* (*Inl* (*subinterval b* ((λ*i*. (*cpoint f g i b*)) *i*)
                           ((λ*i*. (*cpoint f g i b*)) (*Suc i*)))))
using *1 cpoint-2* **by** (*metis*)
have *4*: (*g* (*Inr b*) ∧
      (∀ *k*. *g* (*Inr* (*isuffix k b*)) ⟶
          (∃ *m*. *f* (*Inl* (*subinterval b k* (*m+k*))) ∧
             0 < m ∧ *g* (*Inr* (*isuffix* (*m+k*) *b*))))) ⟶
             infinite-index-sequence 0 (λ*i*. (*cpoint f g i b*)) ∧
            (∀ *i*. *f* (*Inl* (*subinterval b* ((λ*i*. (*cpoint f g i b*)) *i*)
                        ((λ*i*. (*cpoint f g i b*)) (*Suc i*)))))
using *2 3* **by** *auto*
have *5*: (*g* (*Inr b*) ∧
      (∀ *k*. *g* (*Inr* (*isuffix k b*)) ⟶
          (∃ *m*. *f* (*Inl* (*subinterval b k* (*m+k*))) ∧
             0 < m ∧ *g* (*Inr* (*isuffix* (*m+k*) *b*))))) ⟶
            (∃ (*ls*::*infiniteindex*). *infinite-index-sequence 0 ls* ∧
                  ls = (λ*i*. (*cpoint f g i b*)))
using *4* **by** *auto*
have *6*: (*g* (*Inr b*) ∧
      (∀ *k*. *g* (*Inr* (*isuffix k b*)) ⟶
          (∃ *m*. *f* (*Inl* (*subinterval b k* (*m+k*))) ∧
             0 < m ∧ *g* (*Inr* (*isuffix* (*m+k*) *b*))))) ⟶ ((*Inr b*) ⊨ *omega f*)
using *3 5* **unfolding** *omega-d-def* **by** *auto*
have *7*: ((*Inr b*) ⊨ (*inf* ∧ *g* ∧ □(*g* ⟶ (*f* ∧ *fmore*);*g*)) ⟶ (*omega f*))
using *6 1* **by** *auto*
from *7* **show** *?thesis* **using** *Inr* **by** *blast*
 qed
qed

11.6   Quantification over State (Flexible) Variables

Quantification in Infinite ITL is done similarly as in Finite ITL.

typedecl *state*

instance *state* :: *world* **..**

type-synonym *'a statefun* = (*state*,*'a*) *stfun*
type-synonym *statepred* = *bool statefun*
type-synonym *'a tempfun* = (*state*,*'a*) *formfun*
type-synonym *temporal*   = *state formula*

11.7   Temporal Quantifiers

definition *exist-state-d* :: (*'a statefun* ⇒ *temporal*)⇒ *temporal* (**binder** *Eex 10*)
where *exist-state-d F* ≡ (λ*s*. (∃ *x*. *s* ⊨ *F x*))

syntax
 -Eex :: [*idts*, *lift*] ⇒ *lift*   ((*3∃∃ -./ -*) [*0,10*] *10*)

translations
 -Eex v A == *Eex v. A*

definition *forall-state-d* :: ('*a statefun* ⇒ *temporal*)⇒ *temporal* (**binder** *Aall* *10*)
where *forall-state-d F ≡ LIFT*(¬(∃∃ *x*. ¬(*F x*)))

syntax
 -Aall :: [*idts*, *lift*] ⇒ *lift*   ((*3∀∀ -./ -*) [*0,10*] *10*)

translations
 -Aall v A == Aall v. A

end

12   Infinite ITL: Axioms and Rules

theory *InfiniteITL*
imports
   InfiniteSemantics
begin

The Infinite ITL axiom and proof rules are introduced (taken from [9]). The soundness of the rules and axioms are checked using the lemmas of InfiniteSemantics.thy.

12.1   Rules

lemma *MP* :
 assumes ⊢ *f* ⟶ *g*
         ⊢ *f*
 shows   ⊢ *g*
using *assms*(*1*) *assms*(*2*) **by** *fastforce*

lemma *BoxGen* :
 assumes ⊢ *f*
 shows   ⊢ □ *f*
using *assms*
by (*auto simp add*: *always-defs Valid-def sum.case-eq-if*)

lemma *BiGen*:
 assumes ⊢ *f*
 shows   ⊢ *bi f*
using *assms*
by (*auto simp add*: *bi-defs Valid-def sum.case-eq-if*)

12.2   Axioms

lemma *ChopAssoc* :
$\vdash f \,;\, (g \,;\, h) = (f;g);h$
using *ChopAssocSem Valid-def* **by** *blast*

lemma *OrChopImp* :
$\vdash (f \lor g);h \longrightarrow f;h \lor g;h$
using *OrChopImpSem Valid-def* **by** *blast*

lemma *ChopOrImp* :
$\vdash f;(g \lor h) \longrightarrow f;g \lor f;h$
using *ChopOrImpSem Valid-def* **by** *blast*

lemma *EmptyChop* :
$\vdash empty \,;\, f = f$
using *EmptyChopSem Valid-def* **by** *blast*

lemma *ChopEmpty* :
$\vdash f;empty = f$
using *ChopEmptySem Valid-def* **by** *blast*

lemma *StateImpBi* :
$\vdash init\ f \longrightarrow bi\ (init\ f)$
using *StateImpBiSem Valid-def* **by** *blast*

lemma *NextImpNotNextNot* :
$\vdash \bigcirc f \longrightarrow \neg (\bigcirc (\neg f))$
using *NextImpNotNextNotSem Valid-def* **by** *blast*

lemma *BiBoxChopImpChop* :
$\vdash bi\ (f \longrightarrow f1) \land \Box(g \longrightarrow g1) \longrightarrow f;g \longrightarrow f1;g1$
using *BiBoxChopImpChopSem Valid-def* **by** *blast*

lemma *BoxInduct* :
$\vdash \Box\ (f \longrightarrow wnext\ f) \land f \longrightarrow \Box\ f$
using *BoxInductSem Valid-def* **by** *blast*

lemma *ChopstarEqv* :
$\vdash f^{\star} = (empty \lor (f \land more); f^{\star})$
using *ChopstarEqvSem Valid-def* **by** *blast*

lemma *OmegaUnroll*:
$\vdash f^{\omega} = (f \land fmore);f^{\omega}$
using *OmegaUnrollSem Valid-def* **by** *blast*

lemma *OmegaInduct*:
$\vdash (inf \land g \land \Box(g \longrightarrow (f \land fmore);g)) \longrightarrow omega\ f$
using *OmegaInductSem Valid-def* **by** *blast*

12.3 Additional Lemmas

The following is again from [3, 2] but adapted for our need.

lemma *int-eq-true*:
assumes $\vdash P$
 shows $\vdash P = \#True$
using *assms* **by** *auto*

lemma *int-eq*:
assumes $\vdash X = Y$
 shows $X = Y$
using *assms* **by** (*auto simp*: *inteq-reflection*)

lemma *int-iffI*:
 assumes $\vdash F \longrightarrow G$ **and** $\vdash G \longrightarrow F$
 shows $\vdash F = G$
 using *assms* **by** *force*

lemma *int-iffD1*: **assumes** *h*: $\vdash F = G$ **shows** $\vdash F \longrightarrow G$
 using *h* **by** *auto*

lemma *int-iffD2*: **assumes** *h*: $\vdash F = G$ **shows** $\vdash G \longrightarrow F$
 using *h* **by** *auto*

lemma *lift-imp-trans*:
 assumes $\vdash A \longrightarrow B$ **and** $\vdash B \longrightarrow C$
 shows $\vdash A \longrightarrow C$
 using *assms* **by** *force*

lemma *lift-imp-neg*: **assumes** $\vdash A \longrightarrow B$ **shows** $\vdash \neg B \longrightarrow \neg A$
 using *assms* **by** *auto*

lemma *lift-and-com*: $\vdash (A \wedge B) = (B \wedge A)$
 by *auto*

12.4 Quantification

lemma *EExI* :
 $\vdash F\,y \longrightarrow (\exists\exists\ x\ .\ F\,x)$
by (*auto simp add*: *exist-state-d-def Valid-def*)

lemma *EExE*:
assumes $\bigwedge x. \vdash F\,x \longrightarrow G$
shows $\vdash (\exists\exists\ x.\ F\,x) \longrightarrow G$
using *assms* **by** (*metis* (*mono-tags*, *lifting*) *Valid-def exist-state-d-def unl-lift2*)

lemma *EExValFinite*:
 $((Inl\ w) \models (\exists\exists\ x.\ F\,x)) =$
 $(\exists\ x\ (val :: {}'a\ interval).\ (\ (\ val = (map\ x\ w) \wedge\ ((Inl\ w) \models F\,x))))$

by (*simp add*: *exist-state-d-def*)

lemma *EExValInfinite*:
  $((Inr\ w) \models (\exists\exists\ x.\ F\ x)) =$
  $(\exists\ x\ (val :: 'a\ infinterval).\ ((\ val = x \circ w \land ((Inr\ w) \models F\ x))))$
by (*simp add*: *exist-state-d-def*)

lemma *AAxDef*:
  $\vdash (\forall\forall\ x.\ F\ x) = (\neg(\exists\exists\ x.\ \neg(F\ x)))$
by (*simp add*: *Valid-def forall-state-d-def exist-state-d-def*)

lemma *ExEqvRule*:
assumes $\bigwedge\ x. \vdash (f\ x) = (g\ x)$
  shows $\vdash (\exists\ x.\ f\ x) = (\exists\ x.\ g\ x)$
using *assms* **by** *fastforce*

12.5   Lemmas about *current-val*

lemma *current-const*: $\vdash \$(\#c) = \#c$
by (*simp add*: *current-val-d-def intI*)

lemma *current-fun1*: $\vdash \$(f<x>) = f\ <\$x>$
by (*simp add*: *current-val-d-def intI sum.case-eq-if*)

lemma *current-fun2*: $\vdash \$(f<x,y>) = f\ <\$x,\$y>$
  by (*auto simp*: *current-val-d-def intI sum.case-eq-if*)

lemma *current-fun3*: $\vdash \$(f<x,y,z>) = f\ <\$x,\$y,\$z>$
  by (*auto simp*: *current-val-d-def intI sum.case-eq-if*)

lemma *current-forall*: $\vdash \$(\forall\ x.\ P\ x) = (\forall\ x.\ \$(P\ x))$
  by (*auto simp*: *current-val-d-def intI sum.case-eq-if*)

lemma *current-exists*: $\vdash \$(\exists\ x.\ P\ x) = (\exists\ x.\ \$(P\ x))$
  by (*auto simp*: *current-val-d-def intI sum.case-eq-if*)

lemma *current-exists1*: $\vdash \$(\exists!\ x.\ P\ x) = (\exists!\ x.\ \$(P\ x))$
  by (*auto simp*: *current-val-d-def intI sum.case-eq-if*)

lemmas *all-current* = *current-const current-fun1 current-fun2 current-fun3*
  current-forall current-exists current-exists1

lemmas *all-current-unl* = *all-current*[*THEN intD*]
lemmas *all-current-eq* = *all-current*[*THEN inteq-reflection*]

12.6   Lemmas about *next-val*

lemma *next-const*: $\vdash more \longrightarrow (\#c)\$ = \#c$
  by (*auto simp*: *next-val-d-def more-defs intI sum.case-eq-if*)

lemma *next-fun1*: $\vdash$ *more* $\longrightarrow$ $f{<}x{>}\$ = f{<}x\${>}$
  by (*auto simp*: *next-val-d-def more-defs intI sum.case-eq-if*)

lemma *next-fun2*: $\vdash$ *more* $\longrightarrow$ $f{<}x,y{>}\$ = f \ {<}x\$,y\${>}$
  by (*auto simp*: *next-val-d-def more-defs intI sum.case-eq-if*)

lemma *next-fun3*: $\vdash$ *more* $\longrightarrow$ $f{<}x,y,z{>}\$ = f \ {<}x\$,y\$,z\${>}$
  by (*auto simp*: *next-val-d-def more-defs intI sum.case-eq-if*)

lemma *next-forall*: $\vdash$ *more* $\longrightarrow$ $(\forall \ x. \ P \ x)\$ = (\forall \ x. \ (P \ x)\$)$
  by (*auto simp*: *next-val-d-def intI sum.case-eq-if*)

lemma *next-exists*: $\vdash$ *more* $\longrightarrow$ $(\exists \ x. \ P \ x)\$ = (\exists \ x. \ (P \ x)\$)$
  by (*auto simp*: *next-val-d-def intI sum.case-eq-if*)

lemma *next-exists1*: $\vdash$ *more* $\longrightarrow$ $(\exists! \ x. \ P \ x)\$ = (\exists! \ x. \ (P \ x)\$)$
  by (*auto simp*: *next-val-d-def more-defs intI sum.case-eq-if*)

lemmas *all-next* $=$ *next-const next-fun1 next-fun2 next-fun3*
  next-forall next-exists next-exists1

lemmas *all-next-unl* $=$ *all-next*[*THEN intD*]

12.7   Lemmas about *fin-val*

lemma *fin-const*: $\vdash$ *finite* $\longrightarrow$ $!(\#c) = \#c$
by (*auto simp*: *fin-val-d-def finite-defs intI sum.case-eq-if*)

lemma *fin-fun1*: $\vdash$ *finite* $\longrightarrow$$!(f{<}x{>}) = f \ {<}!x{>}$
  by (*auto simp*: *fin-val-d-def finite-defs intI sum.case-eq-if*)

lemma *fin-fun2*: $\vdash$ *finite* $\longrightarrow$ $!(f{<}x,y{>}) = f \ {<}!x, \ !y{>}$
by (*auto simp*: *fin-val-d-def finite-defs intI sum.case-eq-if*)

lemma *fin-fun3*: $\vdash$ *finite* $\longrightarrow$ $!(f{<}x,y,z{>}) = f \ {<}!x,!y,!z{>}$
  by (*auto simp*: *fin-val-d-def finite-defs intI sum.case-eq-if*)

lemma *fin-forall*: $\vdash$ *finite* $\longrightarrow$ $!(\forall \ x. \ P \ x) = (\forall \ x. \ !(P \ x))$
  by (*auto simp*: *fin-val-d-def finite-defs intI sum.case-eq-if*)

lemma *fin-exists*: $\vdash$ *finite* $\longrightarrow$ $!(\exists \ x. \ P \ x) = (\exists \ x. \ !(P \ x))$
  by (*auto simp*: *fin-val-d-def finite-defs intI sum.case-eq-if*)

lemma *fin-exists1*: $\vdash$ *finite* $\longrightarrow$ $!(\exists! \ x. \ P \ x) = (\exists! \ x. \ !(P \ x))$
  by (*auto simp*: *fin-val-d-def finite-defs intI sum.case-eq-if*)

lemmas *all-fin* $=$ *fin-const fin-fun1 fin-fun2 fin-fun3*
  fin-forall fin-exists fin-exists1

lemmas *all-fin-unl* = *all-fin*[*THEN intD*]

12.8 Lemmas about *penult-val*

lemma *penult-const*: ⊢ *more* ∧ *finite* ⟶ (#*c*)! = #*c*
  by (*auto simp*: *penult-val-d-def more-defs finite-defs intI sum.case-eq-if*)

lemma *penult-fun1*: ⊢ *more* ∧ *finite* ⟶ *f*<*x*>! = *f*<*x*!>
  by (*auto simp*: *penult-val-d-def more-defs finite-defs intI sum.case-eq-if*)

lemma *penult-fun2*: ⊢ *more* ∧ *finite* ⟶ *f*<*x,y*>! = *f* <*x*!,*y*!>
  by (*auto simp*: *penult-val-d-def more-defs finite-defs intI sum.case-eq-if*)

lemma *penult-fun3*: ⊢ *more* ∧ *finite* ⟶ *f*<*x,y,z*>! = *f* <*x*!,*y*!,*z*!>
  by (*auto simp*: *penult-val-d-def more-defs finite-defs intI sum.case-eq-if*)

lemma *penult-forall*: ⊢ *more* ∧ *finite* ⟶ (∀ *x*. *P x*)! = (∀ *x*. (*P x*)!)
  by (*auto simp*: *penult-val-d-def finite-defs intI sum.case-eq-if*)

lemma *penult-exists*: ⊢ *more* ∧ *finite* ⟶ (∃ *x*. *P x*)! = (∃ *x*. (*P x*)!)
  by (*auto simp*: *penult-val-d-def finite-defs intI sum.case-eq-if*)

lemma *penult-exists1*: ⊢ *more* ∧ *finite* ⟶ (∃! *x*. *P x*)! = (∃! *x*. (*P x*)!)
  by (*auto simp*: *penult-val-d-def more-defs finite-defs intI sum.case-eq-if*)

lemmas *all-penult* = *penult-const penult-fun1 penult-fun2 penult-fun3*
  penult-forall penult-exists penult-exists1

lemmas *all-penult-unl* = *all-penult*[*THEN intD*]

12.9 Basic temporal variables properties

lemma *empty-imp-fin-eqv-curr*:
⊢ *empty* ⟶ !*v* = $*v*
by (*simp add*: *Valid-def current-val-d-def empty-defs finval-defs sum.case-eq-if*)

lemma *skip-imp-fin-eqv-next*:
⊢ *skip* ⟶ !*v* = *v*$
by (*simp add*: *Valid-def skip-defs next-val-d-def finval-defs sum.case-eq-if*)

lemma *skip-imp-penult-eqv-curr*:
⊢ *skip* ⟶ *v*! = $*v*
by (*simp add*: *Valid-def skip-defs penultval-defs current-val-d-def sum.case-eq-if*)

end

13 Infinite ITL theorems using Weak Chop

theory *InfiniteTheorems*
 imports
   InfiniteITL
begin

We give the proofs of a list of Infinite ITL theorems. These proofs and theorems are from [8] but adapted for infinite and finite intervals.

13.1 Propositional reasoning

This is a list of propositional logic theorems used in the proofs of the ITL theorems.

lemma *IfThenElseImp*:
$\vdash (if_i \ g \ then \ f \ else f1) \longrightarrow ((\ g \longrightarrow f) \wedge (\neg g \longrightarrow f1))$
by (*simp add*: *ifthenelse-defs Valid-def*)

lemma *Prop01*:
 assumes $\vdash f \longrightarrow \neg \ g \vee h$
 shows $\vdash g \wedge f \longrightarrow h$
using *assms* **by** *auto*

lemma *Prop02*:
 assumes $\vdash f \longrightarrow g$
       $\vdash f1 \longrightarrow g$
  shows $\vdash f \vee f1 \ \longrightarrow g$
using *assms(1) assms(2)* **by** *fastforce*

lemma *Prop03*:
  assumes $\vdash f = (g \vee h)$
  shows $\vdash h \longrightarrow f$
using *assms* **by** *auto*

lemma *Prop04*:
 assumes $\vdash f = h$
      $\vdash f = h1$
 shows $\vdash h1 = h$
using *assms* **using** *int-eq* **by** *auto*

lemma *Prop05*:
  assumes $\vdash f \longrightarrow g$
  shows $\vdash f \longrightarrow h \vee g$
using *assms* **by** *auto*

lemma *Prop06*:
  assumes $\vdash f = (g \vee h)$
      $\vdash h = h1$
  shows $\vdash f = (g \vee h1)$
using *assms* **by** *fastforce*

lemma *Prop07*:
 assumes ⊢ *f* ⟶ *g* ∨ *h*
 shows   ⊢ *f* ∧ ¬ *g* ⟶ *h*
using *assms* **by** *auto*

lemma *Prop08*:
 assumes ⊢ *f* ⟶ *g* ∨ *h*
       ⊢ *h* ⟶ *h1*
 shows   ⊢ *f* ⟶ *g* ∨ *h1*
using *assms* **by** *fastforce*

lemma *Prop09*:
 assumes ⊢ *f* ∧ *g* ⟶ *h*
 shows   ⊢ *f* ⟶ (*g* ⟶ *h*)
using *assms* **by** *auto*

lemma *Prop10*:
 assumes ⊢ *f* ⟶ *g*
 shows   ⊢ *f* = (*f* ∧ *g*)
using *assms* **by** *auto*

lemma *Prop11*:
 (⊢ *f* = *f1*) = ((⊢ *f* ⟶ *f1*) ∧ (⊢ *f1* ⟶ *f*))
by (*auto simp*: *Valid-def*)

lemma *Prop12*:
 (⊢ *f* ⟶ (*f1* ∧ *f2*)) = ((⊢ *f* ⟶ *f1*) ∧ (⊢ *f* ⟶ *f2*))
by (*auto simp*: *Valid-def*)

lemma *Prop13*:
 assumes ⊢ *f* ⟶ *g* ∨ *h*
 shows   ⊢ *f* ∧ ¬*h* ⟶ *g*
using *assms* **by** (*auto simp*: *Valid-def*)

13.2   State formulas

The *init* operator denotes state formulas, i.e., ITL formula that only constrain the first state of an interval.

lemma *Initprop* :
 ⊢ ((*init f*) ∧ (*init g*)) = *init*(*f* ∧ *g*)
 ⊢ (¬ (*init f*)) = *init* (¬ *f*)
 ⊢ ((*init f*) ∨ (*init g*)) = *init* (*f* ∨ *g*)
 ⊢ *init* #*True*
by (*auto simp*: *init-defs sum.case-eq-if*)

lemma *Finprop* :
 ⊢ ((#*True*;(*f* ∧ *empty*)) ∧ (#*True*;(*g* ∧ *empty*))) = (#*True*;((*f* ∧ *g*) ∧ *empty*))
 ⊢ ((#*True*;(*f* ∧ *empty*)) ∨ (#*True*;(*g* ∧ *empty*))) = (#*True*;((*f* ∨ *g*) ∧ *empty*))
 ⊢ (#*True*;((#*True*) ∧ *empty*))
 ⊢ *finite* ⟶ (¬ (#*True*;(*f* ∧ *empty*))) = (#*True*;(¬*f* ∧ *empty*))
 ⊢ (¬ (#*True*;(*f* ∧ *empty*))) = ((#*True*;(¬*f* ∧ *empty*)) ∧ *finite*)

by (*auto simp*: *finalt-defs finite-defs sum.case-eq-if*)
  (*auto simp add*: *chop-defs finite-defs empty-defs sum.case-eq-if*)

13.3 finite and inf properties

lemma *EmptyImpFinite*:
⊢ *empty* ⟶ *finite*
by (*simp add*: *empty-defs finite-defs intI sum.case-eq-if*)

lemma *SkipChopFiniteImpFinite*:
⊢ *skip*;*finite* ⟶ *finite*
by (*simp add*: *Valid-def finite-defs chop-defs skip-defs sum.case-eq-if*)

lemma *FiniteChopSkipImpFinite*:
⊢ *finite*;*skip* ⟶ *finite*
by (*simp add*: *Valid-def finite-defs chop-defs skip-defs sum.case-eq-if*)

lemma *FiniteChopSkipEqvFiniteAndMore*:
⊢ *finite*;*skip* = (*finite* ∧ *more*)
by (*simp add*: *Valid-def more-defs finite-defs chop-defs skip-defs sum.case-eq-if*)
  (*metis Suc-leI add-diff-cancel-left' diff-diff-cancel diff-is-0-eq'*
       diff-le-self less-Suc0 nat-neq-iff plus-1-eq-Suc)

lemma *FiniteChopSkipEqvSkipChopFinite*:
⊢ *finite*;*skip* = *skip*;*finite*
by (*simp add*: *Valid-def finite-defs chop-defs skip-defs sum.case-eq-if*)
  (*metis diff-diff-cancel diff-le-self min.absorb1*)

lemma *FiniteAndEmptyEqvEmpty*:
⊢ (*finite* ∧ *empty*) = *empty*
by (*simp add*: *Valid-def empty-defs finite-defs chop-defs skip-defs sum.case-eq-if*)

lemma *FiniteChopFiniteEqvFinite*:
⊢ *finite*;*finite* = *finite*
by (*simp add*: *Valid-def finite-defs chop-defs sum.case-eq-if*) *blast*

lemma *InfChopInfEqvInf*:
⊢ *inf* ;*inf* = *inf*
by (*simp add*: *Valid-def infinite-defs chop-defs sum.case-eq-if*)

lemma *InfChopFiniteEqvInf*:
⊢ *inf* ;*finite* = *inf*
by (*simp add*: *Valid-def infinite-defs chop-defs sum.case-eq-if*)

lemma *FiniteChopInfEqvInf*:
⊢ *finite*;*inf* = *inf*
by (*simp add*: *Valid-def infinite-defs finite-defs chop-defs sum.case-eq-if*)

lemma *InfEqvNotFinite*:

$\vdash inf = (\neg \ finite)$
by (*simp add*: *Valid-def infinite-defs finite-defs chop-defs sum.case-eq-if*)

lemma *FiniteEqvNotInf*:
$\vdash finite = (\neg \ inf)$
by (*simp add*: *Valid-def infinite-defs finite-defs chop-defs sum.case-eq-if*)

lemma *ChopTrueAndFiniteEqvAndFiniteChopFinite*:
$\vdash ((f;\#True) \wedge finite) = (f \wedge finite);finite$
by (*simp add*: *Valid-def infinite-defs finite-defs chop-defs sum.case-eq-if*)

lemma *TrueChopAndFiniteEqvAndFiniteChopFinite*:
$\vdash ((\#True;f) \wedge finite) = finite;(f \wedge finite)$
by (*simp add*: *Valid-def infinite-defs finite-defs chop-defs sum.case-eq-if*)

lemma *FiniteChopMoreEqvMore*:
$\vdash finite;more = more$
by (*auto simp add*: *Valid-def more-defs infinite-defs finite-defs chop-defs sum.case-eq-if*)

lemma *ChopAndFiniteDist*:
$\vdash ((f;g) \wedge finite) = (f \wedge finite);(g \wedge finite)$
by (*simp add*: *Valid-def finite-defs chop-defs sum.case-eq-if*)

lemma *FiniteOrInfinite*:
$\vdash finite \vee inf$
by (*simp add*: *Valid-def finite-defs infinite-defs sum.case-eq-if*)

lemma *FiniteImpAnd*:
 assumes $\vdash finite \longrightarrow f = g$
 shows $\vdash (f \wedge finite) = (g \wedge finite)$
using *assms* **by** (*auto simp add*: *Valid-def finite-defs*)

lemma *FmoreEqvSkipChopFinite*:
$\vdash fmore = skip;finite$
by (*metis FiniteChopSkipEqvFiniteAndMore FiniteChopSkipEqvSkipChopFinite*
        fmore-d-def inteq-reflection lift-and-com)

lemma *FiniteImp*:
$\vdash (f \wedge finite \longrightarrow g) = (f \wedge finite \longrightarrow g \wedge finite)$
by (*simp add*: *finite-defs Valid-def*)

lemma *ChopAndInf*:
$\vdash ((f;g) \wedge inf) = (f;(g \wedge inf))$
by (*simp add*: *Valid-def chop-defs finite-defs infinite-defs sum.case-eq-if*)

lemma *ChopAndInfB*:
$\vdash ((f;g) \wedge inf) = ((f \wedge inf) \vee (f \wedge finite);(g \wedge inf) \ )$
by (*auto simp add*: *Valid-def chop-defs finite-defs infinite-defs sum.case-eq-if*)

lemma *MoreAndInfEqvInf* :

⊢ (*more* ∧ *inf*) = *inf*

by (*metis ChopAndInf EmptyImpFinite FiniteChopMoreEqvMore InfEqvNotFinite Prop11 Prop12 empty-d-def finite-d-def int-simps(32) inteq-reflection*)

lemma *AndInfChopAndInfEqvAndInf* :

⊢ (*f* ∧ *inf*);(*f* ∧ *inf*) = (*f* ∧ *inf*)

by (*simp add*: *Valid-def infinite-defs chop-defs sum.case-eq-if*)

lemma *AndInfChopEqvAndInf* :

⊢ (*f* ∧ *inf*);*g* = (*f* ∧ *inf*)

by (*simp add*: *Valid-def chop-defs infinite-defs sum.case-eq-if*)

lemma *AndMoreAndInfEqvAndInf* :

⊢ ((*f* ∧ *more*) ∧ *inf*) = (*f* ∧ *inf*)

by (*simp add*: *Valid-def more-defs infinite-defs sum.case-eq-if*)

lemma *AndMoreAndFiniteEqvAndFmore*:

⊢ ((*f* ∧ *more*) ∧ *finite*) = (*f* ∧ *fmore*)

by (*simp add*: *Valid-def more-defs fmore-defs finite-defs sum.case-eq-if*)

lemma *NotFmoreAndEmpty*:

⊢ ¬ (*empty* ∧ *fmore*)

by (*auto simp add*: *fmore-d-def empty-d-def*)

lemma *NotFmoreAndInf* :

⊢ ¬ ((*f* ∧ *inf*) ∧ *fmore*)

by (*auto simp add*: *fmore-d-def finite-d-def infinite-d-def*)

lemma *FmoreChopAnd*:

⊢ (((*f* ∧ *more*);*g*) ∧ *fmore*) = ((*f* ∧ *fmore*);(*g* ∧ *finite*))

by (*auto simp add*: *Valid-def more-defs fmore-defs chop-defs finite-defs sum.case-eq-if*)

lemma *NotEmptyAndInf* :

⊢ ¬(*empty* ∧ *inf*)

by (*simp add*: *Valid-def empty-defs infinite-defs sum.case-eq-if*)

lemma *OrFiniteInf* :

⊢ *f* = ((*f* ∧ *finite*) ∨ (*f* ∧ *inf*))

by (*simp add*: *finite-defs Valid-def infinite-defs sum.case-eq-if*)

lemma *AndInfEqvChopFalse*:

⊢ (*f* ∧ *inf*) = *f*;#*False*

by (*simp add*: *finite-defs Valid-def infinite-defs chop-defs sum.case-eq-if*)

13.4   Basic Theorems

lemma *BiChopImpChop* :

$\vdash bi\ (f \longrightarrow f1) \longrightarrow f;g \longrightarrow f1;g$

proof $-$
have $1: \vdash g \longrightarrow g$ **by** *auto*
hence $2: \vdash \square\ (\ g \longrightarrow g)$ **by** (*rule BoxGen*)
have $3: \vdash bi\ (\ f \longrightarrow f1) \wedge \square(g \longrightarrow g) \longrightarrow f;g \longrightarrow f1;g$ **by** (*rule BiBoxChopImpChop*)
from *2 3* **show** *?thesis* **by** *fastforce*
qed

lemma *AndChopA*:
$\vdash (f \wedge f1);g \longrightarrow f;g$
proof $-$
have $1: \vdash f \wedge f1 \longrightarrow f$ **by** *auto*
hence $2: \vdash bi\ (f \wedge f1 \longrightarrow f)$ **by** (*rule BiGen*)
have $3: \vdash bi\ (f \wedge f1 \longrightarrow f) \longrightarrow (f \wedge f1);g \longrightarrow f;g$ **by** (*rule BiChopImpChop*)
from *2 3* **show** *?thesis* **using** *MP* **by** *blast*
qed

lemma *AndChopB*:
$\vdash (f \wedge f1);g \longrightarrow f1;g$
proof $-$
have $1: \vdash f \wedge f1 \longrightarrow f1$ **by** *auto*
hence $2: \vdash\ bi\ (f \wedge f1 \longrightarrow f1)$ **by** (*rule BiGen*)
have $3: \vdash\ bi\ (f \wedge f1 \longrightarrow f1) \longrightarrow (f \wedge f1);g \longrightarrow f1;g$ **by** (*rule BiChopImpChop*)
from *2 3* **show** *?thesis* **using** *MP* **by** *blast*
qed

lemma *NextChop*:
$\vdash (\bigcirc f);g\ = \bigcirc(f;g)$
proof $-$
have $1: \vdash skip;(f;g)\ = (skip;f);g$ **by** (*rule ChopAssoc*)
show *?thesis* **by** (*metis 1 int-eq next-d-def*)
qed

lemma *BoxChopImpChop* :
$\vdash\ \square\ (g \longrightarrow g1) \longrightarrow f;g \longrightarrow f;g1$
proof $-$
have $1: \vdash g \longrightarrow g$ **by** *auto*
hence $2: \vdash bi\ (\ g \longrightarrow g)$ **by** (*rule BiGen*)
have $3: \vdash bi\ (\ f \longrightarrow f) \wedge \square(g \longrightarrow g1) \longrightarrow f;g \longrightarrow f;g1$ **by** (*rule BiBoxChopImpChop*)
from *2 3* **show** *?thesis* **by** *fastforce*
qed

lemma *LeftChopImpChop*:
assumes $\vdash f \longrightarrow f1$
shows $\vdash f;g \longrightarrow f1;g$
proof $-$
have $1: \vdash f \longrightarrow f1$ **using** *assms* **by** *auto*
hence $2: \vdash bi\ (f \longrightarrow f1)$ **by** (*rule BiGen*)
have $3: \vdash bi\ (f \longrightarrow f1) \longrightarrow f;g \longrightarrow f1;g$ **by** (*rule BiChopImpChop*)
from *2 3* **show** *?thesis* **using** *MP* **by** *blast*

354

qed

lemma *RightChopImpChop*:
 assumes $\vdash g \longrightarrow g1$
 shows $\vdash f;g \longrightarrow f;g1$
proof $-$
 have $1: \vdash g \longrightarrow g1$ **using** *assms* **by** *auto*
 hence $2: \vdash \Box (g \longrightarrow g1)$ **by** (*rule BoxGen*)
 have $3: \vdash \Box (g \longrightarrow g1) \longrightarrow f;g \longrightarrow f;g1$ **by** (*rule BoxChopImpChop*)
 from *2 3* **show** *?thesis* **using** *MP* **by** *blast*
qed

lemma *RightChopEqvChop*:
 assumes $\vdash g = g1$
 shows $\vdash (f;g) = (f;g1)$
using *assms RightChopImpChop*[*of g g1 f*] *RightChopImpChop*[*of g1 g f*]
  by *fastforce*

lemma *ChopOrEqv*:
 $\vdash f;(g \lor g1) = (f;g \lor f;g1)$
proof $-$
 have $1: \vdash g \longrightarrow g \lor g1$ **by** *auto*
 hence $2: \vdash f;g \longrightarrow f;(g \lor g1)$ **by** (*rule RightChopImpChop*)
 have $3: \vdash g1 \longrightarrow g \lor g1$ **by** *auto*
 hence $4: \vdash f;g1 \longrightarrow f;(g \lor g1)$ **by** (*rule RightChopImpChop*)
 from *2 4* **show** *?thesis* **by** (*meson ChopOrImp Prop02 Prop11*)
qed

lemma *OrChopEqv*:
 $\vdash (f \lor f1);g = (f;g \lor f1;g)$
proof $-$
 have $1: \vdash f \longrightarrow f \lor f1$ **by** *auto*
 hence $2: \vdash f;g \longrightarrow (f \lor f1);g$ **by** (*rule LeftChopImpChop*)
 have $3: \vdash f1 \longrightarrow f \lor f1$ **by** *auto*
 hence $4: \vdash f1;g \longrightarrow (f \lor f1);g$ **by** (*rule LeftChopImpChop*)
 from *2 4* **show** *?thesis*
 by (*meson OrChopImp int-iffI Prop02*)
qed

lemma *OrChopImpRule*:
 assumes $\vdash f \longrightarrow f1 \lor f2$
 shows $\vdash f;g \longrightarrow (f1;g) \lor (f2;g)$
proof $-$
 have $1: \vdash f \longrightarrow f1 \lor f2$ **using** *assms* **by** *auto*
 hence $2: \vdash f;g \longrightarrow (f1 \lor f2);g$ **by** (*rule LeftChopImpChop*)
 have $3: \vdash (f1 \lor f2); g = (f1;g \lor f2;g)$ **by** (*rule OrChopEqv*)
 from *2 3* **show** *?thesis* **by** *fastforce*
qed

lemma *LeftChopEqvChop*:

assumes $\vdash f = f1$
shows $\vdash f;g = (f1;g)$
proof $-$
have $1: \vdash f = f1$ **using** *assms* **by** *auto*
hence $2: \vdash f \longrightarrow f1$ **by** *auto*
hence $3: \vdash f;g \longrightarrow f1;g$ **by** (*rule LeftChopImpChop*)
have $\vdash f1 \longrightarrow f$ **using** *1* **by** *auto*
hence $4: \vdash f1;g \longrightarrow f;g$ **by** (*rule LeftChopImpChop*)
from *3 4* **show** *?thesis* **by** (*simp add*: *int-iffI*)
qed

lemma *OrChopEqvRule*:
assumes $\vdash f = (f1 \lor f2)$
shows $\vdash f;g = ((f1;g) \lor (f2;g))$
proof $-$
have $1: \vdash f = (f1 \lor f2)$ **using** *assms* **by** *auto*
hence $2: \vdash f;g = ((f1 \lor f2);g)$ **by** (*rule LeftChopEqvChop*)
have $3: \vdash (f1 \lor f2);g = (f1;g \lor f2;g)$ **by** (*rule OrChopEqv*)
from *2 3* **show** *?thesis* **by** *fastforce*
qed

lemma *NextImpNext*:
assumes $\vdash f \longrightarrow g$
shows $\vdash \bigcirc f \longrightarrow \bigcirc g$
proof $-$
have $1: \vdash f \longrightarrow g$ **using** *assms* **by** *auto*
hence $2: \vdash \Box (f \longrightarrow g)$ **by** (*rule BoxGen*)
have $3: \vdash \Box (f \longrightarrow g) \longrightarrow (skip;f) \longrightarrow (skip;g)$ **by** (*rule BoxChopImpChop*)
have $4: \vdash(skip;f) \longrightarrow (skip;g)$ **by** (*metis 2 3 MP*)
from *4* **show** *?thesis* **by** (*metis next-d-def*)
qed

lemma *ChopOrImpRule*:
assumes $\vdash g \longrightarrow g1 \lor g2$
shows $\vdash f;g \longrightarrow (f;g1) \lor (f;g2)$
proof $-$
have $1: \vdash g \longrightarrow g1 \lor g2$ **using** *assms* **by** *auto*
hence $2: \vdash f;g \longrightarrow f;(g1 \lor g2)$ **by** (*rule RightChopImpChop*)
have $3: \vdash f;(g1 \lor g2) = (f;g1 \lor f;g2)$ **by** (*rule ChopOrEqv*)
from *2 3* **show** *?thesis* **by** *fastforce*
qed

lemma *NextImpDist*:
$\vdash \bigcirc (f \longrightarrow g) \longrightarrow \bigcirc f \longrightarrow \bigcirc g$
proof $-$
have $1: \vdash (\neg (f \longrightarrow g)) = (f \land \neg g)$ **by** *auto*
hence $2: \vdash skip;(\neg (f \longrightarrow g)) = skip;(f \land \neg g)$ **by** (*rule RightChopEqvChop*)
have $3: \vdash f \longrightarrow g \lor (f \land \neg g)$ **by** *auto*
hence $4: \vdash skip;f \longrightarrow (skip;g) \lor (skip;(f \land \neg g))$ **by** (*rule ChopOrImpRule*)
hence $5: \vdash \neg (skip;(f \land \neg g)) \longrightarrow (skip;f) \longrightarrow (skip;g)$ **by** *auto*

have 6: ⊢ ¬ (skip;(¬(f ⟶ g))) ⟶ (skip;f) ⟶ (skip;g) **using** 2 5 **by** *fastforce*
hence 7: ⊢ ¬ (○(¬(f ⟶ g))) ⟶ (○ f) ⟶ (○ g) **by** (*simp add*: *next-d-def*)
have 8: ⊢ ○(f ⟶ g) ⟶ ¬ (○(¬(f ⟶ g))) **by** (*rule NextImpNotNextNot*)
from 7 8 **show** *?thesis* **using** *lift-imp-trans* **by** *blast*
qed

lemma *FiniteChopImpDiamond*:
⊢ (f ∧ finite);g ⟶ ◇ g
proof −
have 1: ⊢ f ∧ finite ⟶ finite **by** *auto*
hence 2: ⊢ (f ∧ finite);g ⟶ finite;g **by** (*rule LeftChopImpChop*)
from 2 **show** *?thesis* **by** (*simp add*: *sometimes-d-def*)
qed

lemma *NowImpDiamond*:
⊢ f ⟶ ◇ f
proof −
have 1: ⊢ empty;f = f **by** (*rule EmptyChop*)
have 2: ⊢ empty ⟶ finite **by** (*rule EmptyImpFinite*)
hence 3: ⊢ empty;f ⟶ finite;f **by** (*rule LeftChopImpChop*)
have 4: ⊢ f ⟶ finite;f **using** 1 3 **by** *fastforce*
from 4 **show** *?thesis* **by** (*simp add*: *sometimes-d-def*)
qed

lemma *BoxElim*:
⊢ □ f ⟶ f
proof −
have 1: ⊢ ¬ f ⟶ ◇ (¬ f) **by** (*rule NowImpDiamond*)
hence 2: ⊢ ¬ (◇ (¬ f)) ⟶ f **by** *auto*
from 2 **show** *?thesis* **by** (*metis always-d-def*)
qed

lemma *NextDiamondImpDiamond*:
⊢ ○ (◇ f) ⟶ ◇ f
proof −
have 1: ⊢ skip;(finite;f) = ((skip;finite);f) **by** (*rule ChopAssoc*)
hence 2: ⊢ (skip;finite);f = skip;(finite;f) **by** *auto*
hence 3: ⊢ (skip;finite);f = ○(◇f) **by** (*simp add*: *next-d-def sometimes-d-def*)
have 4: ⊢ (skip;finite);f ⟶ ◇ f
by (*simp add*: *SkipChopFiniteImpFinite LeftChopImpChop sometimes-d-def*)
from 3 4 **show** *?thesis* **by** *fastforce*
qed

lemma *BoxImpNowAndWeakNext*:
⊢ □ f ⟶ (f ∧ wnext (□ f))
proof −
have 1: ⊢ ¬ f ⟶ ◇ (¬ f) **by** (*rule NowImpDiamond*)
hence 2: ⊢ ¬ (◇ (¬ f)) ⟶ f **by** *auto*

  hence 3: ⊢ □ f ⟶ f **by** (*metis always-d-def*)
  have 4: ⊢ ○ (◇ (¬ f)) ⟶ ◇ (¬ f) **by** (*rule NextDiamondImpDiamond*)
  have 5: ⊢ ¬ ¬ (◇ (¬ f)) ⟶ ◇(¬ f) **by** *auto*
  hence 6: ⊢ ○ (¬ ¬ (◇ (¬ f))) ⟶ ○ (◇(¬ f)) **by** (*rule NextImpNext*)
  have 7: ⊢ ○ (¬ ¬ (◇ (¬ f))) ⟶ ◇ (¬ f) **using** *4 6* **by** *auto*
  hence 8: ⊢ ○ (¬(□ f)) ⟶ ◇ (¬ f) **by** (*simp add: always-d-def*)
  hence 9: ⊢ ¬ (◇ (¬ f)) ⟶ ¬ (○ (¬(□ f))) **by** *auto*
  hence 10: ⊢ □f ⟶ wnext (□ f) **by** (*simp add: always-d-def wnext-d-def*)
  from *3 10* **show** *?thesis* **by** *fastforce*
qed

lemma *BoxImpBoxRule*:
 assumes ⊢ f ⟶ g
 shows   ⊢ □ f ⟶ □ g
proof −
 have 1: ⊢ f ⟶ g **using** *assms* **by** *auto*
 hence 2: ⊢ ¬ g ⟶ ¬ f **by** *auto*
 hence 3: ⊢ □(¬ g ⟶ ¬ f) **by** (*rule BoxGen*)
 have 4: ⊢ □(¬ g ⟶ ¬ f) ⟶ (finite;(¬g)) ⟶ (finite;(¬f)) **by** (*rule BoxChopImpChop*)
 have 5: ⊢ (finite;(¬g)) ⟶ (finite;(¬f)) **using** *3 4 MP* **by** *blast*
 hence 6: ⊢ ◇ (¬g) ⟶ ◇(¬f) **by** (*simp add: sometimes-d-def*)
 hence 7: ⊢ ¬ (◇(¬f)) ⟶ ¬(◇ (¬g)) **by** *auto*
 from *7* **show** *?thesis* **by** (*simp add: always-d-def*)
qed

lemma *BoxImpDist*:
 ⊢ □(f ⟶ g) ⟶ □ f ⟶ □ g
proof −
 have 1: ⊢ (f ⟶ g) ⟶ (¬ g ⟶ ¬ f) **by** *auto*
 hence 2: ⊢ □(f ⟶ g) ⟶ □(¬ g ⟶ ¬ f) **by** (*rule BoxImpBoxRule*)
 have 3: ⊢ □((¬ g) ⟶ ¬ f) ⟶ (finite; (¬ g)) ⟶ (finite; (¬ f))
 by (*rule BoxChopImpChop*)
 have 4: ⊢ □(f ⟶ g) ⟶ (finite; (¬ g)) ⟶ (finite; (¬ f))
 using *2 3 lift-imp-trans* **by** *blast*
 hence 5: ⊢ □(f ⟶ g) ⟶ ◇(¬ g) ⟶ ◇(¬ f) **by** (*simp add: sometimes-d-def*)
 hence 6: ⊢ □(f ⟶ g) ⟶ ¬(◇(¬ f)) ⟶ ¬(◇(¬ g)) **by** *auto*
 from *6* **show** *?thesis* **by** (*simp add: always-d-def*)
qed

lemma *DiamondEmptyEqvFinite*:
 ⊢ ◇ empty = finite
proof −
 have 1: ⊢ finite; empty = finite **by** (*rule ChopEmpty*)
 from *1* **show** *?thesis* **by** (*simp add: sometimes-d-def*)
qed

lemma *NextEqvNext*:
 assumes ⊢ f = g
 shows ⊢ ○ f = ○ g
proof −

358

have 1: ⊢ *f* = *g* **using** *assms* **by** *auto*
hence 2: ⊢ *skip*;*f* = *skip*;*g* **by** (*rule RightChopEqvChop*)
from *1* **show** *?thesis* **by** (*metis 2 next-d-def*)
qed

lemma *NextAndNextImpNextRule*:
  assumes ⊢ (*f* ∧ *g*) ⟶ *h*
  shows ⊢ (○ *f* ∧ ○ *g*) ⟶ ○ *h*
using *assms*
by (*simp add*: *Valid-def next-defs sum.case-eq-if*)

lemma *NextAndNextEqvNextRule*:
  assumes ⊢ (*f* ∧ *g*) = *h*
  shows ⊢ (○ *f* ∧ ○ *g*) = ○ *h*
using *assms*
by (*simp add*: *NextAndNextImpNextRule NextImpNext Prop11 Prop12*)

lemma *WeakNextEqvWeakNext*:
  assumes ⊢ *f* = *g*
  shows ⊢ *wnext f* = *wnext g*
using *assms* **using** *inteq-reflection* **by** *force*

lemma *DiamondImpDiamond*:
  assumes ⊢ *f* ⟶ *g*
  shows ⊢ ◇ *f* ⟶ ◇ *g*
using *assms* **by** (*simp add*: *RightChopImpChop sometimes-d-def*)

lemma *DiamondEqvDiamond*:
  assumes ⊢ *f* = *g*
  shows ⊢ ◇ *f* = ◇ *g*
using *assms* **using** *int-eq* **by** *force*

lemma *BoxEqvBox*:
  assumes ⊢ *f* = *g*
  shows ⊢ □ *f* = □ *g*
using *assms* **using** *inteq-reflection* **by** *force*

lemma *BoxAndBoxImpBoxRule*:
  assumes ⊢ *f* ∧ *g* ⟶ *h*
  shows ⊢ □ *f* ∧ □ *g* ⟶ □ *h*
using *assms* **by** (*auto simp*: *always-defs Valid-def sum.case-eq-if*)

lemma *BoxAndBoxEqvBoxRule*:
  assumes ⊢ (*f* ∧ *g*) = *h*
  shows ⊢ (□ *f* ∧ □ *g*) = □ *h*
using *assms BoxAndBoxImpBoxRule BoxImpBoxRule* **by** (*metis int-iffD1 int-iffD2 int-iffI Prop12*)

lemma *ImpBoxRule*:
  assumes ⊢ *f* ⟶ *g*
  shows ⊢ □ *f* ⟶ □ *g*

using *assms* **by** (*simp add*: *BoxImpBoxRule*)

lemma *BoxIntro*:
 assumes $\vdash f \longrightarrow g$
       $\vdash more \wedge f \longrightarrow \bigcirc f$
 shows $\vdash f \longrightarrow \square g$
proof $-$
 have $1: \vdash more \wedge f \longrightarrow \bigcirc f$
 using *assms* **by** *auto*
 hence $2: \vdash f \longrightarrow (empty \vee \bigcirc f)$
 by (*auto simp*: *Valid-def next-defs empty-defs more-defs sum.case-eq-if*)
 hence $3: \vdash f \longrightarrow wnext\ f$
 by (*auto simp*: *Valid-def wnext-defs empty-defs next-defs sum.case-eq-if*)
 hence $4: \vdash \square(f \longrightarrow wnext\ f)$
 by (*rule BoxGen*)
 have $5: \vdash (\square\ (f \longrightarrow wnext\ f)) \wedge f \longrightarrow \square\ f$
 by (*rule BoxInduct*)
 hence $6: \vdash (\square\ (f \longrightarrow wnext\ f)) \longrightarrow (f \longrightarrow \square f)$
 by *fastforce*
 have $7: \vdash f \longrightarrow \square f$
 using *4 6 MP* **by** *blast*
 have $8: \vdash \square f \longrightarrow f$
 by (*rule BoxElim*)
 have $9: \vdash f = \square\ f$
 using *7 8* **by** *fastforce*
 have $10: \vdash f \longrightarrow g$
 using *assms* **by** *auto*
 hence $11: \vdash \square f \longrightarrow \square\ g$
 by (*rule ImpBoxRule*)
 from *7 9 11* **show** *?thesis*
 using *lift-imp-trans* **by** *blast*
qed

lemma *NextLoop*:
 assumes $\vdash f \longrightarrow \bigcirc f$
 shows $\vdash finite \longrightarrow \neg\ f$
proof $-$
 have $1: \vdash f \longrightarrow \bigcirc f$
 using *assms* **by** *auto*
 hence $2: \vdash f \longrightarrow (more \wedge wnext\ f)$
 by (*auto simp*: *Valid-def more-defs wnext-defs next-defs sum.case-eq-if*)
 hence $3: \vdash f \longrightarrow wnext\ f$
 by *auto*
 hence $4: \vdash \square(f \longrightarrow wnext\ f)$
 by (*rule BoxGen*)
 have $5: \vdash \square\ (f \longrightarrow wnext\ f) \wedge f \longrightarrow \square\ f$
 by (*rule BoxInduct*)
 hence $6: \vdash \square\ (f \longrightarrow wnext\ f) \longrightarrow (f \longrightarrow \square f)$
 by *fastforce*
 have $7: \vdash f \longrightarrow \square f$

360

using *4 6 MP* **by** *blast*
have 8: ⊢ □f ⟶ f
 by (*rule BoxElim*)
have 9: ⊢ f = □ f
 using *7 8* **by** *fastforce*
have 10: ⊢ f ⟶ more
 using *2* **by** *auto*
hence 11: ⊢ □ f ⟶ □ more
 by (*rule ImpBoxRule*)
have 12: ⊢ finite = (¬(□ more))
 by (*auto simp*: *Valid-def finite-defs always-defs more-defs sum.case-eq-if*)
from *7 9 11 12* **show** *?thesis*
 by *fastforce*
qed

lemma *WnextEqvEmptyOrNext*:
⊢ wnext f = (empty ∨ ○ f)
by (*auto simp*: *Valid-def empty-defs wnext-defs next-defs sum.case-eq-if*)

lemma *NotEmptyAndNext*:
⊢ ¬(empty ∧ ○ f)
by (*auto simp*: *Valid-def empty-defs next-defs sum.case-eq-if*)

lemma *BoxEqvAndWnextBox*:
⊢ □ f = (f ∧ wnext (□ f))
proof −
 have 1: ⊢ □ f ⟶ f ∧ wnext (□ f)
     using *BoxImpNowAndWeakNext* **by** *blast*
 have 2: ⊢ f ∧ wnext (□ f) ⟶ f
     by *auto*
 have 3: ⊢ more ∧ (f ∧ wnext (□ f)) ⟶ ○ (f ∧ wnext (□ f))
     using *1 NextImpNext WnextEqvEmptyOrNext empty-d-def int-iffD1*
     by (*metis Prop01 Prop05 Prop08*)
 have 4: ⊢ f ∧ wnext (□ f) ⟶ □ f
     using *2 3 BoxIntro* **by** *blast*
 from *1 4* **show** *?thesis* **by** *fastforce*
qed

lemma *BoxEqvAndEmptyOrNextBox*:
⊢ □f = (f ∧ (empty ∨ ○(□ f)))
using *BoxEqvAndWnextBox WnextEqvEmptyOrNext* **by** (*metis int-eq*)

lemma *BoxEqvBoxBox*:
⊢ □f = □ (□ f)
using *BoxGen BoxInduct*
by (*metis BoxImpNowAndWeakNext MP int-iffI Prop09 Prop12*)

lemma *BoxBoxImpBox*:
⊢ □(□h) ⟶ □ h
by (*simp add*: *BoxElim*)

lemma *BoxImpBoxBox*:
$\vdash \Box \ h \longrightarrow \Box(\Box h)$
by (*auto simp*: *Valid-def isuffix-isuffix always-defs sum.case-eq-if*)

lemma *DiamondIntroC*:
 assumes $\vdash f \longrightarrow \bigcirc g$
 shows $\vdash f \longrightarrow \Diamond g$
using *assms*
by (*metis* (*no-types*, *lifting*) *ChopAssoc FiniteChopSkipEqvSkipChopFinite NextChop*
        NextDiamondImpDiamond NowImpDiamond inteq-reflection lift-imp-trans next-d-def
        sometimes-d-def)

lemma *DiamondIntro*:
 assumes $\vdash (f \land \neg \ g) \longrightarrow \bigcirc f$
 shows $\vdash f \land finite \longrightarrow \Diamond g$
proof $-$
 have   1: $\vdash f \land \neg \ g \longrightarrow \bigcirc f$
      using *assms* **by** *auto*
 hence 2: $\vdash f \land \neg \ g \land (\Box \ (\neg g)) \longrightarrow (\bigcirc f) \land (\Box \ (\neg g))$
      by *auto*
 have   3: $\vdash (\Box \ (\neg g)) \longrightarrow \neg \ g$
      by (*rule BoxElim*)
 hence 4: $\vdash \Box \ (\neg g) = ((\Box \ (\neg g)) \land \neg \ g)$
      using *BoxImpBoxBox BoxBoxImpBox* **by** *fastforce*
 have   5: $\vdash f \land (\Box \ (\neg g)) \longrightarrow \bigcirc f \land \Box \ (\neg g)$
      using 2 4 **by** *fastforce*
 have   6: $\vdash \Box \ (\neg g) = ((\neg g) \land wnext(\Box \ (\neg g)))$
      using *BoxEqvAndWnextBox* **by** *metis*
 have   7: $\vdash \bigcirc f \land \Box \ (\neg g) \longrightarrow \bigcirc f \land wnext(\Box \ (\neg g))$
      using 6 **by** *auto*
 have   8: $\vdash f \land (\Box \ (\neg g)) \longrightarrow \bigcirc f \land wnext(\Box \ (\neg g))$
      using 5 7 **using** *lift-imp-trans* **by** *blast*
 hence 9: $\vdash f \land (\Box \ (\neg g)) \longrightarrow more \land wnext\ f \land wnext(\Box \ (\neg g))$
      by (*auto simp*: *Valid-def always-defs more-defs next-defs wnext-defs sum.case-eq-if*)
 hence 10: $\vdash f \land (\Box \ (\neg g)) \longrightarrow wnext\ f \land wnext(\Box \ (\neg g))$
      by *auto*
 hence 11: $\vdash f \land (\Box \ (\neg g)) \longrightarrow wnext\ (f \land \Box \ (\neg g))$
      by (*auto simp*: *Valid-def wnext-defs always-defs next-defs sum.case-eq-if*)
 hence 12: $\vdash \Box(f \land (\Box \ (\neg g)) \longrightarrow wnext\ (f \land \Box \ (\neg g)))$
      by (*rule BoxGen*)
 have 13: $\vdash \Box(f \land (\Box \ (\neg g)) \longrightarrow wnext\ (f \land \Box \ (\neg g))) \land f \land (\Box \ (\neg g)) \longrightarrow \Box(f \land (\Box \ (\neg g)))$
      by (*rule BoxInduct*)
 hence 14: $\vdash \Box(f \land (\Box \ (\neg g)) \longrightarrow wnext\ (f \land \Box(\neg g))) \longrightarrow ((f \land (\Box(\neg g))) \longrightarrow \Box(f \land (\Box \ (\neg g))))$
      by *fastforce*
 have 15: $\vdash ((f \land (\Box \ (\neg g))) \longrightarrow \Box(f \land (\Box \ (\neg g))))$
      using 12 14 *MP* **by** *blast*
 have 16: $\vdash \Box(f \land (\Box \ (\neg g))) \longrightarrow (f \land (\Box \ (\neg g)))$
      by (*rule BoxElim*)

have 17: $\vdash \Box(f \wedge (\Box (\neg g))) = (f \wedge (\Box (\neg g)))$
      using 16 15 **by** fastforce
have 18: $\vdash (f \wedge (\Box (\neg g))) \longrightarrow$ more
      using 9 **by** auto
hence 19: $\vdash \Box(f \wedge (\Box (\neg g))) \longrightarrow \Box$ more
      by (rule ImpBoxRule)
have 20: $\vdash$ finite $= (\neg(\Box$ more$))$
      by (auto simp: Valid-def finite-defs always-defs more-defs sum.case-eq-if)
have 21: $\vdash$ finite $\longrightarrow \neg(f \wedge (\Box (\neg g)))$
      using 17 19 20 **by** fastforce
hence 22: $\vdash$ finite $\longrightarrow \neg f \vee \neg (\Box (\neg g))$
      by auto
have 23: $\vdash (\neg (\Box (\neg g))) = \Diamond g$
      by (auto simp: always-d-def)
from 22 23 **show** ?thesis **by** fastforce
qed

lemma *DiamondIntroB*:
 assumes $\vdash (f \wedge \neg g) \longrightarrow \bigcirc (f \wedge \neg g)$
 shows $\vdash f \wedge$ finite $\longrightarrow \Diamond g$
proof −
 have 1: $\vdash (f \wedge \neg g) \longrightarrow \bigcirc (f \wedge \neg g)$ **using** assms **by** auto
 hence 2: $\vdash$ finite $\longrightarrow \neg(f \wedge \neg g)$ **by** (rule NextLoop)
 hence 3: $\vdash f \wedge$ finite $\longrightarrow g$ **by** auto
 have 4: $\vdash g \longrightarrow \Diamond g$ **by** (rule NowImpDiamond)
 from 3 4 **show** ?thesis **using** lift-imp-trans **by** blast
qed

lemma *NextContra* :
 assumes $\vdash (f \wedge \neg g) \longrightarrow (\bigcirc f \wedge \neg(\bigcirc g))$
 shows $\vdash f \wedge$ finite $\longrightarrow g$
proof −
 have 1: $\vdash (f \wedge \neg g) \longrightarrow (\bigcirc f \wedge \neg(\bigcirc g))$ **using** assms **by** auto
 hence 2: $\vdash \neg(f \longrightarrow g) \longrightarrow \bigcirc (\neg(f \longrightarrow g))$ **by** (auto simp: next-defs Valid-def sum.case-eq-if)
 hence 3: $\vdash$ finite $\longrightarrow \neg \neg(f \longrightarrow g)$ **by** (rule NextLoop)
 from 3 **show** ?thesis **by** auto
qed

lemma *DiamondDiamondEqvDiamond*:
 $\vdash \Diamond(\Diamond f) = \Diamond f$
proof −
 have 1: $\vdash$ finite;finite $=$ finite **by** (simp add: FiniteChopFiniteEqvFinite)
 hence 2: $\vdash$ (finite;finite);f $=$ finite;f **using** LeftChopEqvChop **by** blast
 have 3: $\vdash$ (finite;finite);f $=$ finite;(finite;f) **using** ChopAssoc **by** fastforce
 from 2 3 **show** ?thesis **by** (metis inteq-reflection sometimes-d-def)
qed

lemma *WeakNextDiamondInduct*:
 assumes $\vdash$ wnext $(\Diamond f) \longrightarrow f$

shows $\vdash$ *finite* $\longrightarrow$ *f*
proof −
 have *1*: $\vdash$ *wnext* $(\Diamond\ f) \longrightarrow f$ **using** *assms* **by** *blast*
 hence *2*: $\vdash \neg\ f \longrightarrow \neg(\ wnext\ (\Diamond\ f))$ **by** *fastforce*
 hence *3*: $\vdash \neg\ f \longrightarrow \bigcirc(\ \neg\ (\Diamond\ f))$ **by** (*simp add*: *wnext-d-def*)
 have *4*: $\vdash f \longrightarrow \Diamond\ f$ **by** (*rule NowImpDiamond*)
 hence *5*: $\vdash \neg(\Diamond\ f) \longrightarrow \neg\ f$ **by** *auto*
 have *6*: $\vdash \neg f \longrightarrow \bigcirc(\ \neg f)$ **using** *3 5* **using** *NextImpNext lift-imp-trans* **by** *blast*
 hence *7*: $\vdash$ *finite* $\longrightarrow \neg\neg\ f$ **by** (*rule NextLoop*)
 from *7* **show** *?thesis* **by** *auto*
qed

lemma *EmptyNextInducta*:
 assumes $\vdash$ *empty* $\longrightarrow f$
        $\vdash \bigcirc\ f \longrightarrow f$
 shows $\vdash$ *finite* $\longrightarrow f$
proof −
 have *1*: $\vdash$ *empty* $\longrightarrow f$ **using** *assms* **by** *auto*
 have *2*: $\vdash \bigcirc\ f \longrightarrow f$ **using** *assms* **by** *blast*
 have *3*: $\vdash$ (*empty* $\vee \bigcirc\ f) \longrightarrow f$ **using** *1 2* **by** *fastforce*
 have *4*: $\vdash$ *wnext* $f = ($*empty* $\vee \bigcirc\ f)$ **by** (*rule WnextEqvEmptyOrNext*)
 hence *5*: $\vdash$ *wnext* $f \longrightarrow f$ **using** *3* **by** *fastforce*
 hence *6*: $\vdash \neg f \longrightarrow \neg\ ($*wnext* $f)$ **by** *auto*
 hence *7*: $\vdash \neg f \longrightarrow \bigcirc(\neg\ f)$ **by** (*auto simp*: *wnext-d-def*)
 hence *8*: $\vdash$ *finite* $\longrightarrow \neg\ \neg\ f$ **by** (*rule NextLoop*)
 from *8* **show** *?thesis* **by** *auto*
qed

lemma *EmptyNextInductb*:
 assumes $\vdash$ *empty* $\wedge f \longrightarrow g$
      $\vdash \bigcirc(f \longrightarrow g) \wedge f \longrightarrow g$
 shows $\vdash f \wedge$ *finite* $\longrightarrow g$
proof −
 have *1*: $\vdash$ *empty* $\wedge f \longrightarrow g$ **using** *assms* **by** *auto*
 have *2*: $\vdash \bigcirc(f \longrightarrow g) \wedge f \longrightarrow g$ **using** *assms* **by** *blast*
 have *3*: $\vdash$ (*empty* $\vee \bigcirc(f \longrightarrow g)) \wedge f \longrightarrow g$ **using** *1 2* **by** *fastforce*
 hence *4*: $\vdash$ *wnext* $(f \longrightarrow g)\ \wedge f \longrightarrow g$ **using** *WnextEqvEmptyOrNext* **by** *fastforce*
 hence *5*: $\vdash$ *wnext* $(f \longrightarrow g) \longrightarrow (f \longrightarrow g)$ **by** *fastforce*
 hence *6*: $\vdash \neg\ (f \longrightarrow g) \longrightarrow \neg\ ($*wnext* $(f \longrightarrow g))$ **by** *fastforce*
 hence *7*: $\vdash \neg\ (f \longrightarrow g) \longrightarrow \bigcirc\ (\neg(f \longrightarrow g))$ **by** (*simp add*: *wnext-d-def*)
 hence *8*: $\vdash$ *finite* $\longrightarrow \neg\ \neg\ (f \longrightarrow g)$ **by** (*rule NextLoop*)
 from *8* **show** *?thesis* **by** *auto*
qed

lemma *FinImpFin*:
 assumes $\vdash f \longrightarrow g$
 shows $\vdash$ *fin* $f \longrightarrow$ *fin* g
using *ImpBoxRule*[*of LIFT* (*empty* $\longrightarrow f$) *LIFT* (*empty* $\longrightarrow g$)] *assms*
    fin-d-def[*of f*] *fin-d-def*[*of g*] **by** *fastforce*

lemma *FinEqvFin*:
 assumes ⊢ *f* = *g*
 shows   ⊢ *fin f* = *fin g*
using *assms* **by** (*simp add*: *FinImpFin Prop11*)

lemma *FinAndFinImpFinRule*:
 assumes ⊢ *f* ∧ *g* ⟶ *h*
 shows   ⊢ *fin f* ∧ *fin g* ⟶ *fin h*
proof −
  have ⊢ *f* ∧ *g* ⟶ *h* **using** *assms* **by** *auto*
  then show *?thesis* **by** (*simp add*: *fin-defs Valid-def sum.case-eq-if*)
qed

lemma *FinAndFinEqvFinRule*:
 assumes ⊢ (*f* ∧ *g*) = *h*
 shows   ⊢ (*fin f* ∧ *fin g*) = *fin h*
using *assms*
by (*simp add*: *FinAndFinImpFinRule FinImpFin Prop11 Prop12*)

lemma *HaltEqvHalt*:
 assumes ⊢ *f* = *g*
 shows   ⊢ *halt f* = *halt g*
proof −
 have   1: ⊢ *f* = *g* **using** *assms* **by** *auto*
 hence 2: ⊢ (*empty* = *f*) = (*empty* = *g*) **by** *auto*
 hence 3: ⊢ □(*empty* = *f*) = □ (*empty* = *g*) **by** (*rule BoxEqvBox*)
 from 3 **show** *?thesis* **by** (*simp add*: *halt-d-def*)
qed

lemma *BiImpDiImpDi*:
  ⊢ *bi* (*f* ⟶ *g*) ⟶ *di f* ⟶ *di g*
proof −
 have 1: ⊢ *bi* (*f* ⟶ *g*) ⟶ (*f*; #*True*) ⟶ (*g*; #*True*)   **by** (*rule BiChopImpChop*)
 from 1 **show** *?thesis*   **by** (*simp add*: *di-d-def*)
qed

lemma *DiImpDi*:
 assumes ⊢ *f* ⟶ *g*
 shows   ⊢ *di f* ⟶ *di g*
proof −
 have   1: ⊢ *f* ⟶ *g* **using** *assms* **by** *auto*
 hence 2: ⊢ *f*; #*True* ⟶ *g*; #*True* **by** (*rule LeftChopImpChop*)
 from 2 **show** *?thesis* **by** (*simp add*: *di-d-def*)
qed

lemma *BiImpBiRule*:
 assumes ⊢ *f* ⟶ *g*
 shows   ⊢ *bi f* ⟶ *bi g*
proof −

have 1: ⊢ f ⟶ g **using** *assms* **by** *auto*
hence 2: ⊢ ¬ g ⟶ ¬ f **by** *auto*
hence 3: ⊢ di (¬ g) ⟶ di (¬ f) **by** (*rule DiImpDi*)
hence 4: ⊢ ¬ (di (¬ f)) ⟶ ¬ (di (¬ g)) **by** *auto*
from 4 **show** *?thesis* **by** (*simp add*: *bi-d-def*)
qed

lemma *DiEqvDi*:
 assumes ⊢ f = g
 shows ⊢ di f = di g
proof −
 have 1: ⊢ f = g **using** *assms* **by** *auto*
 hence 2: ⊢ f; #*True* = g; #*True* **by** (*rule LeftChopEqvChop*)
 from 2 **show** *?thesis* **by** (*simp add*: *di-d-def*)
qed

lemma *BiEqvBi*:
 assumes ⊢ f = g
 shows ⊢ bi f = bi g
proof −
 have 1: ⊢ f = g **using** *assms* **by** *auto*
 hence 2: ⊢ (¬ f) = (¬ g) **by** *auto*
 hence 3: ⊢ di (¬ f) = di (¬ g) **by** (*rule DiEqvDi*)
 hence 4: ⊢ (¬ (di (¬ f))) = (¬ (di (¬ g))) **by** *auto*
 from 4 **show** *?thesis* **by** (*simp add*: *bi-d-def*)
qed

lemma *LeftChopChopImpChopRule*:
 assumes ⊢ (f; g) ⟶ g
 shows ⊢ (f; g); h ⟶ (g; h)
proof −
 have 1: ⊢ (f; g) ⟶ g **using** *assms* **by** *blast*
 hence 2: ⊢ (f; g); h ⟶ g; h **by** (*rule LeftChopImpChop*)
 have 3: ⊢ f; (g; h) = (f; g); h **by** (*rule ChopAssoc*)
 from 2 3 **show** *?thesis* **by** *auto*
qed

lemma *AndChopCommute* :
 ⊢ (f ∧ f1); g = (f1 ∧ f); g
proof −
 have 1: ⊢ (f ∧ f1) = (f1 ∧ f) **by** *auto*
 from 1 **show** *?thesis* **by** (*rule LeftChopEqvChop*)
qed

lemma *BiAndChopImport*:
 ⊢ bi f ∧ (f1; g) ⟶ (f ∧ f1);g
proof −
 have 1: ⊢ f ⟶ (f1 ⟶ f∧ f1) **by** *auto*
 hence 2: ⊢ bi f ⟶ bi (f1 ⟶ f∧ f1) **by** (*rule BiImpBiRule*)
 have 3: ⊢ bi (f1 ⟶ (f ∧ f1)) ⟶ f1; g ⟶ (f ∧ f1); g **by** (*rule BiChopImpChop*)

from *2 3* **show** *?thesis* **using** *MP* **by** *fastforce*
qed

lemma *StateAndChopImport*:
⊢ *(init w) ∧ (f;g) ⟶ ((init w) ∧ f);g*
proof −
 have *1*: ⊢ *(init w)⟶ bi (init w)* **by** (*rule StateImpBi*)
 hence *2*: ⊢ *(init w) ∧ (f; g) ⟶ bi (init w) ∧ (f; g)* **by** *auto*
 have *3*: ⊢ *bi (init w) ∧ (f; g) ⟶ ((init w) ∧ f); g*   **by** (*rule BiAndChopImport*)
 from *2 3* **show** *?thesis* **using** *MP* **by** *fastforce*
qed

13.5   Further Properties Di and Bi

lemma *ImpDi*:
⊢ *f ⟶ di f*
proof −
 have *1*: ⊢ *f; empty = f* **by** (*rule ChopEmpty*)
 have *2*: ⊢ *empty ⟶ #True* **by** *auto*
 hence *3*: ⊢ *f; empty ⟶ f; #True* **by** (*rule RightChopImpChop*)
 have *4* : ⊢ *f ⟶ f; #True* **using** *1 3* **by** *fastforce*
 from *4* **show** *?thesis* **by** (*simp add*: *di-d-def*)
qed

lemma *DiState*:
⊢ *di (init w) = (init w)*
proof −
 have *0*: ⊢ *(init (¬w)) ⟶ bi (init (¬w))* **using** *StateImpBi* **by** *fastforce*
 hence *1*: ⊢ *¬(init w) ⟶ bi (¬ (init w))* **using** *Initprop(2)* **by** (*metis inteq-reflection*)
 hence *2*: ⊢ *(¬ (init w)) ⟶ ¬ (di (¬ ¬ (init w)))* **by** (*simp add*: *bi-d-def*)
 have *3*: ⊢ *(¬ (init w) ⟶¬ (di (¬ ¬ (init w)))) ⟶*
          (di (¬ ¬ (init w)) ⟶ (init w)) **by** *auto*
 have *4*: ⊢ *di (¬ ¬ (init w)) ⟶ (init w)* **using** *2 3 MP* **by** *blast*
 have *5*: ⊢ *(init w) ⟶ ¬ ¬ (init w)* **by** *auto*
 hence *6*: ⊢ *di (init w) ⟶ di (¬ ¬ (init w))* **by** (*rule DiImpDi*)
 have *7*: ⊢ *di (init w) ⟶ (init w)* **using** *6 4* **using** *lift-imp-trans* **by** *metis*
 have *8*: ⊢ *(init w) ⟶ di (init w)* **by** (*rule ImpDi*)
 from *7 8* **show** *?thesis* **by** *fastforce*
qed

lemma *StateChop*:
⊢ *(init w); f ⟶ (init w)*
by (*auto simp*: *DiState di-defs init-defs chop-defs sum.case-eq-if*
   iprefix-0 iprefix-nth)

lemma *StateChopExportA*:
⊢ *((init w) ∧ f); g ⟶ (init w)*
using *DiState*
by (*auto simp*: *init-defs chop-defs sum.case-eq-if DiState*
   iprefix-0 iprefix-nth)

lemma *StateAndChop*:
⊢ ((*init w*) ∧ *f*); *g* = ((*init w*) ∧ (*f*; *g*))
by (*simp add*: *AndChopB StateAndChopImport StateChopExportA Prop11 Prop12*)

lemma *StateAndChopImpChopRule*:
 assumes ⊢ (*init w*) ∧ *f* ⟶ *f1*
 shows ⊢ (*init w*) ∧ (*f*; *g*) ⟶ (*f1*; *g*)
proof −
 have 1: ⊢ (*init w*) ∧ *f* ⟶ *f1* **using** *assms* **by** *auto*
 hence 2: ⊢ ((*init w*) ∧ *f*); *g* ⟶ *f1*; *g* **by** (*rule LeftChopImpChop*)
 have 3: ⊢ ((*init w*) ∧ *f*); *g* = ((*init w*) ∧ (*f*; *g*)) **by** (*rule StateAndChop*)
 from *2 3* **show** *?thesis* **by** *fastforce*
qed

lemma *StateImpChopEqvChop* :
 assumes ⊢ (*init w*) ⟶ (*f* = *f1*)
 shows ⊢ (*init w*) ⟶ ((*f*; *g*) = (*f1*; *g*))
proof −
 have 1: ⊢ (*init w*) ⟶ (*f*= *f1*) **using** *assms* **by** *auto*
 hence 2: ⊢ (*init w*) ∧ *f* ⟶ *f1* **by** *auto*
 hence 3: ⊢ (*init w*) ∧ (*f*; *g*) ⟶ (*f1*; *g*) **by** (*rule StateAndChopImpChopRule*)
 have 4: ⊢ (*init w*) ∧ *f1* ⟶ *f* **using** *1* **by** *auto*
 hence 5: ⊢ (*init w*) ∧ (*f1*; *g*) ⟶ (*f*; *g*) **by** (*rule StateAndChopImpChopRule*)
 from *3 5* **show** *?thesis* **by** *fastforce*
qed

lemma *ChopEqvStateAndChop*:
 assumes ⊢ *f* = (*init w*) ∧ *f1*
 shows ⊢ (*f*; *g*) = ((*init w*) ∧ (*f1*; *g*))
proof −
 have 1: ⊢ *f* = ((*init w*) ∧ *f1*) **using** *assms* **by** *auto*
 hence 2: ⊢ *f*; *g* = (((*init w*) ∧ *f1*); *g*) **by** (*rule LeftChopEqvChop*)
 have 3: ⊢ ((*init w*) ∧ *f1*); *g* = ((*init w*) ∧ (*f1*; *g*)) **by** (*rule StateAndChop*)
 from *2 3* **show** *?thesis* **by** *fastforce*
qed

lemma *DiIntro*:
⊢ *f* ⟶ *di f*
proof −
 have 1: ⊢ *f*;*empty* = *f* **by** (*rule ChopEmpty*)
 have 2: ⊢ *empty* ⟶ #*True* **by** *auto*
 hence 3: ⊢ □(*empty* ⟶ #*True*) **by** (*rule BoxGen*)
 have 4: ⊢ □(*empty* ⟶ #*True*) ⟶ (*f*; *empty* ⟶ *f*; #*True*) **by** (*rule BoxChopImpChop*)
 have 5: ⊢ *f*;*empty* ⟶ *f*;#*True* **using** *3 4 MP* **by** *fastforce*
 hence 6: ⊢ *f*;*empty* ⟶ *di f* **by** (*simp add*: *di-d-def*)
 from *1 6* **show** *?thesis* **by** *fastforce*
qed

lemma *BiElim*:

$\vdash \ bi \ f \longrightarrow f$
proof $-$
  have $1: \vdash \neg f \longrightarrow di \ (\neg f)$ **by** (*rule DiIntro*)
  have $2: \vdash (\neg f \longrightarrow di \ (\neg f)) \longrightarrow (\neg(di \ (\neg f)) \longrightarrow f)$ **by** *auto*
  have $3: \vdash \neg \ (di \ (\neg f)) \longrightarrow f$ **using** *1 2 MP* **by** *blast*
  from *3* **show** *?thesis* **by** (*metis bi-d-def*)
qed

lemma *BiContraPosImpDist*:
  $\vdash bi \ (\neg g \longrightarrow \neg f) \longrightarrow (bi \ f) \longrightarrow (bi \ g)$
proof $-$
  have $1: \vdash bi \ (\neg g \longrightarrow \neg f) \longrightarrow (di \ (\neg g)) \longrightarrow (di \ (\neg f))$ **by** (*rule BiImpDiImpDi*)
  hence $2: \vdash bi \ (\neg g \longrightarrow \neg f) \longrightarrow (\neg(\ di \ (\neg f))) \longrightarrow (\neg(di \ (\neg g)))$ **by** *auto*
  from *2* **show** *?thesis* **by** (*metis bi-d-def*)
qed

lemma *BiImpDist*:
$\vdash bi \ (f \longrightarrow g) \longrightarrow (bi \ f) \longrightarrow (bi \ g)$
proof $-$
  have $1: \vdash (f \longrightarrow g) \longrightarrow (\neg \ g \longrightarrow \neg \ f)$ **by** *auto*
  hence $2: \vdash \neg \ (\neg \ g \longrightarrow \neg \ f) \longrightarrow \neg \ (f \longrightarrow g)$ **by** *auto*
  hence $3: \vdash bi \ (\neg \ (\neg \ g \longrightarrow \neg \ f) \longrightarrow \neg \ (f \longrightarrow g))$ **by** (*rule BiGen*)
  have $4: \vdash bi \ (\neg \ (\neg \ g \longrightarrow \neg \ f) \longrightarrow \neg \ (f \longrightarrow g))$
       $\longrightarrow$
       $bi \ (f \longrightarrow g) \longrightarrow bi \ (\neg \ g \longrightarrow \neg \ f)$ **by** (*rule BiContraPosImpDist*)
  have $5: \vdash bi \ (f \longrightarrow g) \longrightarrow bi \ (\neg \ g \longrightarrow \neg \ f)$ **using** *3 4 MP* **by** *blast*
  have $6: \vdash bi \ (\neg \ g \longrightarrow \neg \ f) \longrightarrow (bi \ f) \longrightarrow (bi \ g)$ **by** (*rule BiContraPosImpDist*)
  from *5 6* **show** *?thesis* **using** *lift-imp-trans* **by** *blast*
qed

lemma *IfChopEqvRule*:
  assumes $\vdash f = if_i \ (init \ w) \ then \ f1 \ else \ f2$
  shows $\vdash f; g = if_i \ (init \ w) \ then \ (f1; g) \ else \ (f2; g)$
proof $-$
  have $1: \vdash f = if_i \ (init \ w) \ then \ f1 \ else \ f2$
     using *assms* **by** *auto*
  hence $2: \vdash f = (((init \ w) \wedge f1) \vee \ (\ (init \ (\neg \ w)) \wedge f2))$
     by (*simp add: ifthenelse-d-def init-defs Valid-def sum.case-eq-if*)
  hence $3: \vdash f; g = (((init \ w) \wedge f1); g \vee \ (\ (init \ (\neg \ w)) \wedge f2); g)$
     by (*rule OrChopEqvRule*)
  have $4: \vdash ((init \ w) \wedge f1); g = ((init \ w) \wedge (f1; g))$
     by (*rule StateAndChop*)
  have $5: \vdash (\ (init \ (\neg \ w)) \wedge f2); g = \ ((init \ (\neg \ w)) \wedge (f2; g))$
     by (*rule StateAndChop*)
  have $6: \vdash f; g = (((init \ w) \wedge f1; g) \vee \ (\ (init \ (\neg \ w)) \wedge f2; g))$
     using *3 4 5* **by** *fastforce*
  from *6* **show** *?thesis* **by** (*simp add: ifthenelse-d-def init-defs Valid-def sum.case-eq-if*)
qed

lemma *ChopOrEqvRule*:

assumes $\vdash g = (g1 \lor g2)$
shows $\vdash f;g = ((f;g1) \lor (f;g2))$
proof $-$
 have $1: \vdash g = (g1 \lor g2)$ **using** *assms* **by** *auto*
 hence $2: \vdash f; g = (f; (g1 \lor g2))$ **by** (*rule RightChopEqvChop*)
 have $3: \vdash f; (g1 \lor g2) = (f; g1 \lor f; g2)$ **by** (*rule ChopOrEqv*)
 from *2 3* **show** *?thesis* **by** *fastforce*
qed

lemma *EmptyOrChopEqv*:
$\vdash (empty \lor f); g = (g \lor (f; g))$
proof $-$
 have $1: \vdash (empty \lor f); g = ((empty ; g) \lor (f; g))$ **by** (*rule OrChopEqv*)
 have $2: \vdash empty ; g = g$ **by** (*rule EmptyChop*)
 from *1 2* **show** *?thesis* **by** *fastforce*
qed

lemma *EmptyOrNextChopEqv*:
$\vdash (empty \lor \bigcirc f); g = (g \lor \bigcirc(f; g))$
proof $-$
 have $1: \vdash (empty \lor \bigcirc f); g = (g \lor ((\bigcirc f); g))$ **by** (*rule EmptyOrChopEqv*)
 have $2: \vdash (\bigcirc f); g = \bigcirc(f; g)$ **by** (*rule NextChop*)
 from *1 2* **show** *?thesis* **by** *fastforce*
qed

lemma *EmptyOrChopImpRule*:
 assumes $\vdash f \longrightarrow empty \lor f1$
 shows $\vdash f; g \longrightarrow g \lor (f1; g)$
proof $-$
 have $1: \vdash f \longrightarrow empty \lor f1$ **using** *assms* **by** *auto*
 hence $2: \vdash f; g \longrightarrow (empty \lor f1); g$ **by** (*rule LeftChopImpChop*)
 have $3: \vdash (empty \lor f1); g = (g \lor (f1; g))$ **by** (*rule EmptyOrChopEqv*)
 from *2 3* **show** *?thesis* **by** *fastforce*
qed

lemma *EmptyOrChopEqvRule*:
 assumes $\vdash f = (empty \lor f1)$
 shows $\vdash f; g = (g \lor (f1; g))$
proof $-$
 have $1: \vdash f = (empty \lor f1)$ **using** *assms* **by** *auto*
 hence $2: \vdash f; g = ((empty \lor f1); g)$ **by** (*rule LeftChopEqvChop*)
 have $3: \vdash (empty \lor f1); g = (g \lor (f1; g))$ **by** (*rule EmptyOrChopEqv*)
 from *2 3* **show** *?thesis* **by** *fastforce*
qed

lemma *EmptyOrNextChopImpRule*:
 assumes $\vdash f \longrightarrow empty \lor \bigcirc f1$
 shows $\vdash f; g \longrightarrow g \lor \bigcirc(f1; g)$
proof $-$
 have $1: \vdash f \longrightarrow empty \lor \bigcirc f1$ **using** *assms* **by** *auto*

hence *2*: ⊢ *f*; *g* ⟶ (*empty* ∨ ○ *f1*); *g* **by** (*rule LeftChopImpChop*)
have *3*: ⊢ (*empty* ∨ ○ *f1*); *g* = (*g* ∨ ○(*f1*; *g*)) **by** (*rule EmptyOrNextChopEqv*)
from *2 3* **show** *?thesis* **by** *fastforce*
qed

lemma *EmptyOrNextChopEqvRule*:
 assumes ⊢ *f* = (*empty* ∨ ○ *f1*)
 shows ⊢ *f*; *g* = (*g* ∨ ○(*f1*; *g*))
 proof −
 have *1*: ⊢ *f* = (*empty* ∨ ○ *f1*) **using** *assms* **by** *auto*
 hence *2*: ⊢ *f*; *g* = ((*empty* ∨ ○ *f1*); *g*) **by** (*rule LeftChopEqvChop*)
 have *3*: ⊢ (*empty* ∨ ○ *f1*); *g* = (*g* ∨ ○(*f1*; *g*)) **by** (*rule EmptyOrNextChopEqv*)
 from *2 3* **show** *?thesis* **by** *fastforce*
qed

lemma *ChopEmptyOrImpRule*:
 assumes ⊢ *g* ⟶ *empty* ∨ *g1*
 shows ⊢ *f*; *g* ⟶ *f* ∨ (*f*; *g1*)
 proof −
 have *1*: ⊢ *g* ⟶ *empty* ∨ *g1* **using** *assms* **by** *auto*
 hence *2*: ⊢ *f*; *g* ⟶ (*f*; *empty*) ∨ (*f*; *g1*) **by** (*rule ChopOrImpRule*)
 have *3*: ⊢ *f*; *empty* = *f* **by** (*rule ChopEmpty*)
 from *2 3* **show** *?thesis* **by** *fastforce*
qed

lemma *StateAndEmptyImpBoxState*:
 ⊢ (*init w*) ∧ *empty* ⟶ □ (*init w*)
by (*simp add*: *init-defs empty-defs always-defs Valid-def sum.case-eq-if*)

lemma *BoxEqvAndBox*:
 ⊢ □ *f* = (*f* ∧ □ *f*)
by (*simp add*: *always-defs Valid-def sum.case-eq-if*)
   (*metis* (*no-types*, *lifting*) *interval-intlen-gr-zero interval-suffix-zero*
         isl-def isuffix-0 projl-def sum.case(*1*) *sum.case-eq-if surjective-sum*)

lemma *NotBoxImpNotOrNotNextBox*:
 ⊢ ¬(□ *f*) ⟶ ¬*f* ∨ ¬(○ (□ *f*))
proof −
 have *1*: ⊢ *f* ∧ (○ (□ *f*)) ⟶ □ *f*
     using *BoxEqvAndEmptyOrNextBox* **by** *fastforce*
 hence *2*: ⊢ ¬(□ *f*) ⟶ ¬(*f* ∧ (○ (□ *f*))) **by** *fastforce*
 have *3*: ⊢ (¬(*f* ∧ (○ (□ *f*)))) = (¬*f* ∨ ¬(○ (□ *f*))) **by** *auto*
 from *2 3* **show** *?thesis* **by** *auto*
qed

lemma *BoxStateChopBoxAndInfImpBox*:
 ⊢ □ (*init w*); □ (*init w*) ∧ *inf* ⟶ □ (*init w*)
by (*simp add*: *Valid-def always-defs chop-defs init-defs sum.case-eq-if infinite-defs*
      iprefix-length iprefix-0)

($metis\ add.right$-$neutral\ iprefix$-$length\ iprefix$-$nth\ isuffix$-$def\ \ le$-$cases\ le$-iff-add)

lemma *BoxStateChopBoxEqvBox*:

⊢ $\square\ (init\ w);\ \square\ (init\ w) = \square\ (init\ w)$

proof −

 have   *1*: ⊢ $(\square\ (init\ w)) = ((init\ w) \wedge (\ empty\ \vee\ \bigcirc(\square\ (init\ w))))$

     by (*rule BoxEqvAndEmptyOrNextBox*)

 hence *2*: ⊢ $(\square\ (init\ w);\ \square\ (init\ w)) =$

        $((init\ w) \wedge ((\ empty\ \vee\ \bigcirc(\square\ (init\ w)));\ \square\ (init\ w)))$

     by (*metis StateAndChop inteq-reflection*)

 have   *3*: ⊢ $((\ empty\ \vee\ \bigcirc(\square\ (init\ w)));\ \square\ (init\ w)) =$

        $(\square\ (init\ w) \vee\ \bigcirc(\square\ (init\ w);\ \square\ (init\ w)))$

     by (*rule EmptyOrNextChopEqv*)

 have   *4*: ⊢ $(\square\ (init\ w);\ \square\ (init\ w)) =$

        $((init\ w) \wedge (\square\ (init\ w) \vee\ \bigcirc(\square\ (init\ w);\ \square\ (init\ w))))$

     using *2 3* **by** *fastforce*

 have   *5*: ⊢ $\neg\ (\square\ (init\ w)) \longrightarrow \neg\ (init\ w) \vee\ \neg(\ \bigcirc(\square\ (init\ w)))$

     by (*rule NotBoxImpNotOrNotNextBox*)

 have   *6*: ⊢ $(\square\ (init\ w);\ \square\ (init\ w)) \wedge \neg(\ \square\ (init\ w)) \longrightarrow$

        $\bigcirc(\square\ (init\ w);\ \square\ (init\ w)) \wedge \neg(\ \bigcirc(\square\ (init\ w)))$

     using *4 5* **by** *fastforce*

 hence *7*: ⊢ $\square\ (init\ w);\ \square\ (init\ w) \wedge finite \longrightarrow \square\ (init\ w)$

     by (*rule NextContra*)

 have   *8*: ⊢ $\square\ (init\ w);\ \square\ (init\ w) \wedge inf \longrightarrow \square\ (init\ w)$

     by (*rule BoxStateChopBoxAndInfImpBox*)

 have   *9*: ⊢ $\square\ (init\ w);\ \square\ (init\ w) \wedge (finite \vee inf) \longrightarrow \square\ (init\ w)$

     using *7 8* **by** *fastforce*

 hence *10*: ⊢ $\square\ (init\ w);\ \square\ (init\ w) \longrightarrow \square\ (init\ w)$

     using *FiniteOrInfinite* **by** *fastforce*

 have *11*: ⊢ $\square\ (init\ w) = ((init\ w) \wedge \square\ (init\ w))$

     by (*rule BoxEqvAndBox*)

 have *12*: ⊢ $empty\ ;\ \square\ (init\ w) = \square\ (init\ w)$

     by (*rule EmptyChop*)

 have *13*: ⊢ $((init\ w) \wedge\ empty\ );\ \square\ (init\ w) = ((init\ w) \wedge (\ empty\ ;\ \square\ (init\ w)))$

     by (*rule StateAndChop*)

 have *14*: ⊢ $\square\ (init\ w) = ((init\ w) \wedge\ empty\ );\ \square\ (init\ w)$

     using *11 12 13* **by** *fastforce*

 have *15*: ⊢ $(init\ w) \wedge\ empty\ \longrightarrow \square\ (init\ w)$

     by (*rule StateAndEmptyImpBoxState*)

 hence *16*: ⊢ $((init\ w) \wedge\ empty\ );\ \square\ (init\ w) \longrightarrow \square\ (init\ w);\ \square\ (init\ w)$

     by (*rule LeftChopImpChop*)

 have *17*: ⊢ $\square\ (init\ w) \longrightarrow \square\ (init\ w);\ \square\ (init\ w)$

     using *14 16* **by** *fastforce*

 from *10 17* **show** *?thesis* **by** *fastforce*

qed

lemma *NotBoxStateImpBoxYieldsNotBox*:

⊢ $\neg(\ \square\ (init\ w)) \longrightarrow (\square\ (init\ w))\ yields\ (\neg(\ \square\ (init\ w)))$

proof −

have *1*: ⊢ □ (*init w*); □ (*init w*) = □ (*init w*) **by** (*rule BoxStateChopBoxEqvBox*)
have *2*: ⊢ □ (*init w*) = (¬ ¬(□ (*init w*))) **by** *auto*
hence *3*: ⊢ □ (*init w*); □ (*init w*) = □ (*init w*); (¬ ¬(□ (*init w*))) **by** (*rule RightChopEqvChop*)
have *4*: ⊢ ¬(□ (*init w*)) ⟶ ¬ (□ (*init w*); (¬ ¬ (□ (*init w*)))) **using** *1 3* **by** *auto*
from *4* **show** *?thesis* **by** (*simp add*: *yields-d-def*)
qed

lemma *StateEqvBi*:
⊢   (*init w*) = *bi* (*init w*)
proof −
  have *1*: ⊢ (*init w*) ⟶ *bi* (*init w*) **by** (*rule StateImpBi*)
  have *2*: ⊢ *bi* (*init w*) ⟶ (*init w*) **by** (*rule BiElim*)
  from *1 2* **show** *?thesis* **by** *fastforce*
qed

lemma *FiniteChopEqvDiamond*:
⊢   *finite*; *f* = ◇ *f*
by (*simp add*: *sometimes-d-def*)

13.6   Properties of Da and Ba

lemma *DaEqvDtDi*:
⊢   *da f* = ◇ (*di f*)
proof −
have *1*: ⊢ *finite*; (*f*; #*True*) = *finite*; (*f*; #*True*) **by** *auto*
hence *2*: ⊢ *finite*; (*f*; #*True*) = *finite*; *di f* **by** (*simp add*: *di-d-def*)
have *3*: ⊢ *finite*; *di f* = ◇(*di f*) **by** (*rule FiniteChopEqvDiamond*)
have *4*: ⊢ *finite*; (*f*; #*True*) = ◇(*di f*) **using** *2 3* **by** *fastforce*
from *4* **show** *?thesis* **by** (*simp add*:*da-d-def*)
qed

lemma *DaEqvDiDt*:
⊢   *da f* = *di* (◇ *f*)
proof −
have *1*: ⊢ *finite*; *f* = ◇ *f* **by** (*rule FiniteChopEqvDiamond*)
hence *2*: ⊢ (*finite*; *f*); #*True* = (◇ *f*); #*True* **by** (*rule LeftChopEqvChop*)
hence *3*: ⊢ (*finite*; *f*); #*True* = *di*(◇ *f*) **by** (*simp add*: *di-d-def*)
have *4*: ⊢ *finite*; (*f*; #*True*) = (*finite*; *f*); #*True*   **by** (*rule ChopAssoc*)
have *5*: ⊢ *finite*; (*f*; #*True*) = *di* (◇ *f*) **using** *3 4* **by** *fastforce*
from *5* **show** *?thesis* **by** (*simp add*: *da-d-def*)
qed

lemma *DtDiEqvDiDt*:
⊢   ◇ (*di f*) = *di* (◇ *f*)
by (*metis ChopAssoc di-d-def sometimes-d-def*)

lemma *DiamondNotEqvNotBox*:
⊢ ◇ (¬ *f*) = (¬ (□ *f*))
by (*simp add*: *always-d-def*)

lemma *BaEqvBiBt*:
⊢   *ba f* = *bi*(□ *f*)
proof −
 have   1: ⊢   *da* (¬ *f*) =   *di*(◇ (¬ *f*)) **by** (*rule DaEqvDiDt*)
 have   2: ⊢ ◇ (¬ *f*) = (¬(□ *f*)) **by** (*rule DiamondNotEqvNotBox*)
 hence 3: ⊢   *di* (◇(¬ *f*)) =   *di* (¬ (□ *f*)) **by** (*rule DiEqvDi*)
 have   4: ⊢   *da* (¬ *f*) =   *di* (¬(□ *f*)) **using** 1 3 **by** *fastforce*
 hence 5: ⊢ (¬ (*da* (¬ *f*))) = (¬ (*di* (¬(□ *f*)))) **by** *auto*
 hence 6: ⊢ (¬ (*da* (¬ *f*))) = *bi*(□ *f*) **by** (*simp add*: *bi-d-def*)
 from 6 **show** *?thesis* **by** (*simp add*: *ba-d-def*)
qed

lemma *DiNotEqvNotBi*:
⊢   *di* (¬ *f*) = (¬(*bi f*))
proof −
 have 1: ⊢ *bi f* = (¬ (*di* (¬ *f*))) **by** (*simp add*: *bi-d-def*)
 from 1 **show** *?thesis* **by** *auto*
qed

lemma *NotDiamondNotEqvBox*:
⊢ (¬ (◇(¬ *f*))) = □ *f*
by (*simp add*: *always-d-def*)

lemma *BaEqvBtBi*:
⊢   *ba f* = □ (*bi f*)
proof −
 have   1: ⊢   *da* (¬ *f*) = ◇ (*di* (¬ *f*)) **by** (*rule DaEqvDtDi*)
 have   2: ⊢   *di* (¬ *f*) = (¬ (*bi f*)) **by** (*rule DiNotEqvNotBi*)
 hence 3: ⊢ ◇ (*di* (¬ *f*)) = ◇(¬ (*bi f*)) **by** (*rule DiamondEqvDiamond*)
 have   4: ⊢ (¬ (◇(¬ (*bi f*)))) = □(*bi f*)   **by** (*rule NotDiamondNotEqvBox*)
 have   5: ⊢ (¬ (*da* (¬ *f*))) = □(*bi f*) **using** 1 2 3 4 **by** *fastforce*
 from 5 **show** *?thesis* **by** (*simp add*: *ba-d-def*)
qed

lemma *BtBiEqvBiBt*:
⊢   □ (*bi f*) = *bi*(□ *f*)
proof −
 have 1: ⊢   *ba f* = □ (*bi f*)   **by** (*rule BaEqvBtBi*)
 have 2: ⊢   *ba f* = *bi*(□ *f*)   **by** (*rule BaEqvBiBt*)
 from 1 2 **show** *?thesis* **by** *fastforce*
qed

lemma *BoxStateEqvBaBoxState*:
⊢   □ (*init w*) = *ba* (□ (*init w*))
proof −
 have   1: ⊢ (*init w*) = *bi* (*init w*) **by** (*rule StateEqvBi*)
 hence 2: ⊢ □ (*init w*) = □ (*bi* (*init w*)) **by** (*rule BoxEqvBox*)
 have   3: ⊢ □ (*bi* (*init w*)) = *bi*(□ (*init w*))   **by** (*rule BtBiEqvBiBt*)
 have   4: ⊢ □ (*init w*) = □(□ (*init w*)) **by** (*rule BoxEqvBoxBox*)

hence *5*: ⊢ *bi*(□ (*init w*)) = *bi* (□(□ (*init w*))) **by** (*rule BiEqvBi*)
have *6*: ⊢ *ba*(□ (*init w*)) = *bi*(□(□ (*init w*)))   **by** (*rule BaEqvBiBt*)
from *2 3 5 6* **show** *?thesis* **by** *fastforce*
qed

lemma *BaImpBi*:
⊢   *ba f* ⟶ *bi f*
proof −
have *1*: ⊢ *ba f* = □(*bi f*) **by** (*rule BaEqvBtBi*)
have *2*: ⊢ □(*bi f*) ⟶ *bi f* **by** (*rule BoxElim*)
from *1 2* **show** *?thesis* **using** *lift-imp-trans* **by** *fastforce*
qed

lemma *BaImpBt*:
⊢   *ba f* ⟶ □ *f*
proof −
have *1*: ⊢ *ba f* = *bi*(□ *f*) **by** (*rule BaEqvBiBt*)
have *2*: ⊢ *bi*(□ *f*) ⟶ □ *f* **by** (*rule BiElim*)
from *1 2* **show** *?thesis* **using** *lift-imp-trans* **by** *fastforce*
qed

lemma *DiamondImpDa*:
⊢   ◇ *f* ⟶ *da f*
by (*metis DiIntro DiamondImpDiamond da-d-def di-d-def sometimes-d-def*)

lemma *DiImpDa*:
⊢   *di f* ⟶ *da f*
by (*metis NowImpDiamond da-d-def di-d-def sometimes-d-def*)

lemma *BoxAndChopImport*:
⊢   □ *h* ∧ *f*; *g* ⟶ *f*; (*h* ∧ *g*)
proof −
have *1*: ⊢ *h* ⟶ *g* ⟶ (*h*∧ *g*) **by** *auto*
hence *2*: ⊢ □ *h* ⟶ □(*g* ⟶ (*h*∧ *g*)) **by** (*rule ImpBoxRule*)
have *3*: ⊢ □(*g* ⟶ (*h*∧ *g*)) ⟶ *f*; *g* ⟶ *f*; (*h*∧ *g*) **by** (*rule BoxChopImpChop*)
from *2 3* **show** *?thesis* **by** *fastforce*
qed

lemma *BaAndChopImport*:
⊢   *ba f* ∧ (*g*; *g1*) ⟶ (*f* ∧ *g*); (*f* ∧ *g1*)
proof −
have *1*: ⊢ *ba f* ⟶ *bi f* **by** (*rule BaImpBi*)
have *2*: ⊢ *bi f* ∧ (*g*; *g1*) ⟶ (*f* ∧ *g*); *g1* **by** (*rule BiAndChopImport*)
have *3*: ⊢ *ba f* ⟶ □ *f* **by** (*rule BaImpBt*)
have *4*: ⊢ □ *f* ∧ (*f* ∧ *g*); *g1* ⟶ (*f* ∧ *g*); (*f* ∧ *g1*) **by** (*rule BoxAndChopImport*)
from *1 2 3 4* **show** *?thesis* **by** *fastforce*
qed

lemma *ChopAndCommute*:

375

⊢ $f; (g \land g1) = f; (g1 \land g)$
proof −
have 1: ⊢ $(g \land g1) = (g1 \land g)$ **by** *auto*
from 1 **show** *?thesis* **by** (*rule RightChopEqvChop*)
qed

lemma *ChopAndA*:
⊢ $f; (g \land g1) \longrightarrow f; g$
proof −
have 1: ⊢ $(g \land g1) \longrightarrow g$ **by** *auto*
from 1 **show** *?thesis* **by** (*rule RightChopImpChop*)
qed

lemma *ChopAndB*:
⊢ $f; (g \land g1) \longrightarrow f; g1$
proof −
have 1: ⊢ $(g \land g1) \longrightarrow g1$ **by** *auto*
from 1 **show** *?thesis* **by** (*rule RightChopImpChop*)
qed

lemma *BoxStateAndChopEqvChop*:
⊢ $(\Box (init\ w) \land (f; g)) = ((\Box (init\ w) \land f); (\Box (init\ w) \land g))$
proof −
have 1: ⊢ $\Box (init\ w) = ba(\Box (init\ w))$
    by (*rule BoxStateEqvBaBoxState*)
have 2: ⊢ $ba(\Box (init\ w)) \land (f; g) \longrightarrow (\Box (init\ w) \land f); (\Box (init\ w) \land g)$
    by (*rule BaAndChopImport*)
have 3: ⊢ $\Box (init\ w) \land (f; g) \longrightarrow (\Box (init\ w) \land f); (\Box (init\ w) \land g)$
    using 1 2 **by** *fastforce*
have 11: ⊢ $(\Box (init\ w) \land f); (\Box (init\ w) \land g) \longrightarrow (\Box (init\ w)); (\Box (init\ w) \land g)$
    by (*rule AndChopA*)
have 12: ⊢ $(\Box (init\ w)); (\Box (init\ w) \land g) \longrightarrow (\Box (init\ w)); (\Box (init\ w))$
    by (*rule ChopAndA*)
have 13: ⊢ $(\Box (init\ w)); (\Box (init\ w)) = \Box (init\ w)$
    by (*rule BoxStateChopBoxEqvBox*)
have 14: ⊢ $(\Box (init\ w) \land f); (\Box (init\ w) \land g) \longrightarrow f; (\Box (init\ w) \land g)$
    by (*rule AndChopB*)
have 15: ⊢ $f; (\Box (init\ w) \land g) \longrightarrow f; g$
    by (*rule ChopAndB*)
have 16: ⊢ $(\Box (init\ w) \land f); (\Box (init\ w) \land g) \longrightarrow \Box (init\ w) \land (f; g)$
    using 11 12 13 14 15 **by** *fastforce*
from 3 16 **show** *?thesis* **by** *fastforce*
qed

lemma *DiEqvNotBiNot*:
⊢ $di\ f = (\neg(bi(\neg\ f)))$
proof −
have 1: ⊢ $bi(\neg\ f) = (\neg(di(\neg\neg\ f)))$ **by** (*simp add*: *bi-d-def*)

hence *2*: ⊢ *di* (¬ ¬ *f*) = (¬(*bi* (¬ *f*))) **by** *auto*
have *3*: ⊢ *f* = (¬ ¬ *f*) **by** *auto*
hence *4*: ⊢ *di* *f* = *di* (¬ ¬ *f*) **by** (*rule DiEqvDi*)
from *2 4* **show** *?thesis* **by** *auto*
qed

lemma *ChopAndBoxImport*:
⊢ *f* ; *g* ∧ □ *h* ⟶ *f* ; (*g* ∧ *h*)
proof −
have *1*: ⊢ □ *h* ∧ *f* ; *g* ⟶ *f* ; (*h* ∧ *g*) **by** (*rule BoxAndChopImport*)
have *2*: ⊢ *f* ; (*h* ∧ *g*) = *f* ; (*g* ∧ *h*) **by** (*rule ChopAndCommute*)
from *1 2* **show** *?thesis* **by** *fastforce*
qed

lemma *AndChopAndCommute*:
⊢ (*f* ∧ *g*); (*f1* ∧ *g1*) = (*g* ∧ *f*); (*g1* ∧ *f1*)
proof −
have *1*: ⊢ (*f* ∧ *g*); (*f1* ∧ *g1*) = (*g* ∧ *f*); (*f1* ∧ *g1*) **by** (*rule AndChopCommute*)
have *2*: ⊢ (*g* ∧ *f*); (*f1* ∧ *g1*) = (*g* ∧ *f*); (*g1* ∧ *f1*) **by** (*rule ChopAndCommute*)
from *1 2* **show** *?thesis* **by** *fastforce*
qed

lemma *ChopImpChop*:
assumes ⊢ *f* ⟶ *f1*
⊢ *g* ⟶ *g1*
shows ⊢ *f* ;*g* ⟶ *f1* ;*g1*
proof −
have *1*: ⊢ *f* ⟶ *f1* **using** *assms* **by** *auto*
hence *2*: ⊢ *f* ; *g* ⟶ *f1* ; *g* **by** (*rule LeftChopImpChop*)
have *3*: ⊢ *g* ⟶ *g1* **using** *assms* **by** *auto*
hence *4*: ⊢ *f1* ; *g* ⟶ *f1* ; *g1* **by** (*rule RightChopImpChop*)
from *2 4* **show** *?thesis* **by** *fastforce*
qed

lemma *ChopEqvChop*:
assumes ⊢ *f* = *f1*
⊢ *g* = *g1*
shows ⊢ *f* ;*g* = *f1* ;*g1*
proof −
have *1*: ⊢ *f* = *f1* **using** *assms* **by** *auto*
hence *2*: ⊢ *f* ; *g* = *f1* ; *g* **by** (*rule LeftChopEqvChop*)
have *3*: ⊢ *g* = *g1* **using** *assms* **by** *auto*
hence *4*: ⊢ *f1* ; *g* = *f1* ; *g1* **by** (*rule RightChopEqvChop*)
from *2 4* **show** *?thesis* **by** *fastforce*
qed

lemma *BoxImpBoxImpBox*:
⊢ □ *h* ⟶ □(*g* ⟶ □ *h* ∧ *g*)
proof −
have *1*: ⊢ □ *h* ⟶ (*g* ⟶ □ *h* ∧ *g*) **by** *auto*

hence 2: ⊢ □(□ h) ⟶ □(g ⟶ □ h ∧ g) **by** (*rule ImpBoxRule*)
have 3: ⊢ □ h = □(□h) **by** (*rule BoxEqvBoxBox*)
from 2 3 **show** *?thesis* **by** *fastforce*
qed

lemma *BoxChopImpChopBox*:
⊢   □ h ⟶ f ; g ⟶ f ; (□ h ∧ g)
proof −
have 1: ⊢ □ h ⟶ □(g ⟶ □ h ∧ g) **by** (*rule BoxImpBoxImpBox*)
have 2: ⊢ □(g ⟶ □ h ∧ g) ⟶ f ; g ⟶ f ; (□ h ∧ g) **by** (*rule BoxChopImpChop*)
from 1 2 **show** *?thesis* **by** *fastforce*
qed

lemma *NotChopEqvYieldsNot*:
⊢   (¬ (f ; g)) = f yields (¬ g)
proof −
have 1: ⊢ g = (¬ ¬ g) **by** *auto*
hence 2: ⊢ f ; g = f ; (¬ ¬ g) **by** (*rule RightChopEqvChop*)
hence 3: ⊢ (¬ (f ; g)) = (¬ (f ; (¬ ¬ g))) **by** *auto*
from 3 **show** *?thesis* **by** (*simp add*: *yields-d-def*)
qed

lemma *NotDiFalse*:
⊢   ¬ (di #False)
proof −
have 1: ⊢ (init #True) ⟶ bi (init #True) **by** (*rule StateImpBi*)
hence 2: ⊢ #True ⟶ bi #True **by** (*auto simp*: *bi-defs sum.case-eq-if*)
have 3: ⊢ #True **by** *auto*
have 4: ⊢ bi #True **using** 2 3 MP **by** *auto*
hence 5: ⊢ ¬ (di (¬ #True)) **by** (*simp add*: *bi-d-def*)
have 6: ⊢ (¬ #True) = #False **by** *auto*
hence 7: ⊢ di (¬ #True) = di #False **by** (*rule DiEqvDi*)
from 5 7 **show** *?thesis* **by** *auto*
qed

lemma *StateAndEmptyChop*:
⊢   ((init w) ∧ empty); f = ((init w) ∧ f)
proof −
have 1: ⊢ ((init w) ∧ empty); f = ((init w) ∧ empty ; f) **by** (*rule StateAndChop*)
have 2: ⊢ empty ; f = f **by** (*rule EmptyChop*)
from 1 2 **show** *?thesis* **by** *fastforce*
qed

lemma *StateAndNextChop*:
⊢   ((init w) ∧ ○ f); g = ((init w) ∧ ○(f ; g))
proof −
have 1: ⊢ ((init w) ∧ ○ f); g = ((init w) ∧ (○ f); g) **by** (*rule StateAndChop*)
have 2: ⊢ (○ f); g = ○(f ; g) **by** (*rule NextChop*)
from 1 2 **show** *?thesis* **by** *fastforce*
qed

lemma *NextAndEqvNextAndNext*:
⊢ ○ (f ∧ g) = (○ f ∧ ○ g)
by (*auto simp*: *next-defs sum.case-eq-if*)

lemma *NextStateAndChop*:
⊢   ○(((init w) ∧ f); g) = (○ (init w) ∧ ○(f; g))
proof −
 have 1: ⊢ ((init w) ∧ f); g = ((init w) ∧ f; g)   **by** (*rule StateAndChop*)
 hence 2: ⊢ ○(((init w) ∧ f); g) = ○((init w) ∧ f; g) **by** (*rule NextEqvNext*)
 have 3: ⊢ ○((init w) ∧ f; g) = (○ (init w) ∧ ○(f; g)) **by** (*rule NextAndEqvNextAndNext*)
 from 2 3 **show** ?thesis **by** fastforce
qed

lemma *StateYieldsEqv*:
⊢   ((init w) ⟶ (f yields g)) = ((init w) ∧ f) yields g
proof −
 have 1: ⊢ ((init w) ∧ f); (¬ g) = ((init w) ∧ f; (¬ g))   **by** (*rule StateAndChop*)
 hence 2: ⊢ ((init w) ⟶ ¬ (f; (¬ g))) = (¬ (((init w) ∧ f); (¬ g))) **by** auto
 from 2 **show** ?thesis **by** (*simp add*: *yields-d-def*)
qed

lemma *StateAndDi*:
⊢   ((init w) ∧ di f) = di ((init w) ∧ f)
proof −
 have 1: ⊢ ((init w) ∧ f); #True= ((init w) ∧ f; #True)   **by** (*rule StateAndChop*)
 from 1 **show** ?thesis **by** (*metis di-d-def inteq-reflection*)
qed

lemma *DiNext*:
⊢   di(○ f) = ○ (di f)
proof −
 have 1: ⊢ (○ f); #True = ○(f; #True) **by** (*rule NextChop*)
 from 1 **show** ?thesis **by** (*simp add*: *di-d-def*)
qed

lemma *DiNextState*:
⊢   di(○ (init w)) = ○ (init w)
proof −
 have 1: ⊢ di(○ (init w)) = ○(di (init w)) **by** (*rule DiNext*)
 have 2: ⊢ di (init w) = (init w) **by** (*rule DiState*)
 hence 3: ⊢ ○(di (init w)) = ○ (init w) **by** (*rule NextEqvNext*)
 from 1 3 **show** ?thesis **by** fastforce
qed

lemma *StateImpBiGen*:
 assumes ⊢ (init w) ⟶ f
 shows   ⊢ (init w) ⟶ bi f
proof −
 have 1: ⊢ (init w) ⟶ f **using** *assms* **by** auto

hence 2: ⊢ ¬ f ⟶ ¬ (init w) **by** auto
hence 3: ⊢ di (¬ f) ⟶ di (¬ (init w)) **by** (rule DiImpDi)
hence 4: ⊢ di (¬ f) ⟶ di (init (¬w)) **by** (metis Initprop(2) inteq-reflection)
have 5: ⊢ di (init (¬ w)) = (init (¬ w)) **by** (rule DiState)
have 6: ⊢ di (¬ f) ⟶ ¬ (init w) **using** 4 5 **using** Initprop(2) **by** fastforce
hence 7: ⊢ (init w) ⟶ ¬ (di (¬ f)) **by** auto
from 7 **show** ?thesis **by** (simp add: bi-d-def)
qed

lemma ChopAndNotChopImp:
⊢ f; g ∧ ¬ (f; g1) ⟶ f; (g ∧ ¬ g1)
proof −
have 1: ⊢ g ⟶ (g∧ ¬ g1) ∨ g1 **by** auto
hence 2: ⊢ f; g ⟶ f; ((g∧ ¬ g1) ∨ g1) **by** (rule RightChopImpChop)
have 3: ⊢ f; ((g∧ ¬ g1) ∨ g1) ⟶ (f; (g∧ ¬ g1)) ∨ (f; g1) **by** (rule ChopOrImp)
have 4: ⊢ f; g ⟶ f; (g∧ ¬ g1) ∨ f; g1 **using** 2 3 MP **by** fastforce
from 4 **show** ?thesis **by** auto
qed

lemma ChopAndYieldsImp:
⊢ f; g ∧ f yields g1 ⟶ f; (g ∧ g1)
proof −
have 1: ⊢ g ⟶ (g∧ g1) ∨ ¬ g1 **by** auto
hence 2: ⊢ f; g ⟶ f; ((g∧ g1) ∨ ¬ g1) **by** (rule RightChopImpChop)
have 3: ⊢ f; ((g∧ g1) ∨ ¬ g1) ⟶ (f; (g∧ g1)) ∨ (f; (¬ g1)) **by** (rule ChopOrImp)
have 4: ⊢ f; g ⟶ f; (g∧ g1) ∨ f; (¬ g1) **using** 2 3 MP **by** fastforce
hence 5: ⊢ f; g ∧ ¬ (f; (¬ g1)) ⟶ f; (g ∧ g1) **by** auto
from 5 **show** ?thesis **by** (simp add: yields-d-def)
qed

lemma ChopAndYieldsMP:
⊢ f; g ∧ f yields (g⟶ g1) ⟶ f; g1
proof −
have 1: ⊢ f; g ∧ f yields (g⟶ g1) ⟶ f; (g ∧ (g ⟶ g1)) **by** (rule ChopAndYieldsImp)
have 2: ⊢ g ∧ (g ⟶ g1) ⟶ g1 **by** auto
hence 3: ⊢ f; (g ∧ (g ⟶ g1)) ⟶ f; g1 **by** (rule RightChopImpChop)
from 1 3 **show** ?thesis **by** fastforce
qed

lemma OrYieldsImp:
⊢ (f ∨ f1) yields g = ((f yields g) ∧ (f1 yields g))
proof −
have 1: ⊢ ((f∨ f1); (¬ g)) = ((f; (¬ g)) ∨ (f1; (¬ g))) **by** (rule OrChopEqv)
hence 2: ⊢ (¬ ((f∨ f1); (¬ g))) = (¬ (f; (¬ g)) ∧ ¬(f1; (¬ g))) **by** auto
from 2 **show** ?thesis **by** (simp add: yields-d-def)
qed

lemma LeftYieldsImpYields:
assumes ⊢ f ⟶ f1
shows ⊢ (f1 yields g) ⟶ (f yields g)

proof −
have 1: ⊢ $f \longrightarrow f1$ **using** *assms* **by** *auto*
hence 2: ⊢ $f; (\neg\ g) \longrightarrow f1; (\neg\ g)$ **by** (*rule LeftChopImpChop*)
hence 3: ⊢ $\neg\ (f1; (\neg\ g)) \longrightarrow \neg\ (f; (\neg\ g))$ **by** *auto*
from 3 **show** *?thesis* **by** (*simp add*: *yields-d-def*)
qed

lemma *LeftYieldsEqvYields*:
assumes ⊢ $f = f1$
shows ⊢ $(f\ yields\ g) = (f1\ yields\ g)$
proof −
have 1: ⊢ $f = f1$ **using** *assms* **by** *auto*
hence 2: ⊢ $f; (\neg\ g) = f1; (\neg\ g)$ **by** (*rule LeftChopEqvChop*)
hence 3: ⊢ $(\neg\ (f; (\neg\ g))) = (\neg\ (f1; (\neg\ g)))$ **by** *auto*
from 3 **show** *?thesis* **by** (*simp add*: *yields-d-def*)
qed

13.7   Properties of Fin

lemma *FinEqvTrueChopAndEmpty*:
⊢ $fin\ f = \#True; (f \wedge empty)$
proof −
have 1: ⊢ $fin\ f = \Box(empty \longrightarrow f)$
    by (*simp add*: *fin-d-def*)
have 2: ⊢ $\Box(empty \longrightarrow f) = (\neg(\Diamond(\neg(empty \longrightarrow f\ ))))$
    by (*simp add*: *always-d-def*)
have 3: ⊢ $(\neg(empty \longrightarrow f\ )) = (\neg\ f \wedge empty)$
    by *auto*
hence 4: ⊢ $\Diamond(\neg(empty \longrightarrow f\ )) = \Diamond(\neg\ f \wedge empty)$
    using *DiamondEqvDiamond* **by** *blast*
hence 5: ⊢ $\neg(\Diamond(\neg(empty \longrightarrow f\ ))) = (\neg(\Diamond(\neg\ f \wedge empty)))$
    by *auto*
have 6: ⊢ $(\neg(\Diamond(\neg\ f \wedge empty))) = \#True; (f \wedge empty)$
    using *interval-suffix-intlast* **by** (*auto simp add*: *Valid-def sometimes-defs empty-defs*
    chop-defs sum.case-eq-if)
from 1 2 5 6 **show** *?thesis* **by** *fastforce*
qed

lemma *DiamondFin*:
⊢ $\Diamond(fin\ w) = fin\ w$
by (*metis* (*no-types*, *lifting*) *ChopAssoc ChopOrEqv FinEqvTrueChopAndEmpty FiniteChopFiniteEqvFinite*
       FiniteChopInfEqvInf FiniteOrInfinite int-eq-true inteq-reflection sometimes-d-def)

lemma *FiniteChopFinExportA*:
⊢ $(f \wedge finite); (g \wedge fin\ w) \longrightarrow fin\ w$
using *DiamondFin*
by (*metis ChopAndB FiniteChopImpDiamond inteq-reflection lift-imp-trans*)

lemma *FinImpBox*:

$\vdash$ *fin w* $\longrightarrow$ $\Box$(*fin w*)
by (*metis BoxImpBoxBox fin-d-def*)

lemma *FinAndChopImport*:
$\vdash$ (*fin w*) $\land$ (*f*;*g*) $\longrightarrow$ *f*;((*fin w*) $\land$ *g*)
proof $-$
 have *1*: $\vdash$ *fin w* $\longrightarrow$ $\Box$(*fin w*) **by** (*rule FinImpBox*)
 hence *2*: $\vdash$ *fin w* $\land$ *f*;*g* $\longrightarrow$ $\Box$(*fin w*) $\land$ (*f*;*g*) **by** *auto*
 have *3*: $\vdash$ $\Box$(*fin w*) $\land$ (*f*;*g*) $\longrightarrow$ *f*;((*fin w*) $\land$ *g*) **using** *BoxAndChopImport* **by** *blast*
 from *2 3* **show** *?thesis* **using** *MP* **by** *fastforce*
qed

lemma *FinAndChop*:
$\vdash$ ((*f* $\land$ *finite*);(*g* $\land$ *fin w*)) = (*fin w* $\land$ (*f* $\land$ *finite*);*g*)
using *FinAndChopImport FiniteChopFinExportA ChopAndA ChopAndCommute*
by *fastforce*

lemma *ChopAndEmptyEqvEmptyChopEmpty*:
$\vdash$ ((*f*;*g*) $\land$ *empty*) = (*f* $\land$ *empty*);(*g* $\land$ *empty*)
by (*auto simp*: *empty-defs chop-defs sum.case-eq-if*)

lemma *FinAndEmpty*:
$\vdash$ ((*fin w*) $\land$ *empty*) = (*w* $\land$ *empty*)
proof $-$
 have *1*: $\vdash$ ((*fin w*) $\land$ *empty*) = (#*True*;(*w* $\land$ *empty*) $\land$ *empty*)
    using *FinEqvTrueChopAndEmpty* **by** *fastforce*
 have *2*: $\vdash$ (#*True*;(*w* $\land$ *empty*) $\land$ *empty*) = ((#*True* $\land$ *empty*);(*w* $\land$ *empty*))
    using *ChopAndEmptyEqvEmptyChopEmpty*[*of LIFT*(#*True*) *LIFT*(*w* $\land$ *empty*)]
    by (*metis AndChopA ChopAndA ChopAndEmptyEqvEmptyChopEmpty Prop11 Prop12 int-eq*)
 have *3*: $\vdash$ (#*True* $\land$ *empty*);(*w* $\land$ *empty*) = (*empty*;(*w* $\land$ *empty*))
    using *LeftChopEqvChop* **by** *fastforce*
 have *4*: $\vdash$ (*empty*;(*w* $\land$ *empty*)) = (*w* $\land$ *empty*)
    using *EmptyChop* **by** *blast*
 from *1 2 3 4* **show** *?thesis* **by** *fastforce*
qed

lemma *AndFinEqvChopAndEmpty*:
$\vdash$ ((*f* $\land$ *finite*) $\land$ *fin g*) = (*f* $\land$ *finite*); (*g* $\land$ *empty*)
proof $-$
 have *1*: $\vdash$ ((*f* $\land$ *finite*) $\land$ *fin g*) = ((*f* $\land$ *finite*) ;*empty* $\land$ *fin g*)
    using *ChopEmpty* **by** (*metis inteq-reflection*)
 have *2*: $\vdash$ (*fin g* $\land$ (*f* $\land$ *finite*);*empty*) = ((*f* $\land$ *finite*);(*empty* $\land$ *fin g*))
    using *FinAndChop* **by** *fastforce*
 have *3*: $\vdash$ (*empty* $\land$ *fin g*) = (*fin g* $\land$ *empty*)
    by *auto*
 have *4*: $\vdash$ (*fin g* $\land$ *empty*) = (*g* $\land$ *empty*)
    using *FinAndEmpty* **by** *metis*
 have *5*: $\vdash$ (*empty* $\land$ *fin g*) = (*g* $\land$ *empty*)
    using *3 4* **by** *auto*
 hence *6*: $\vdash$ (*f* $\land$ *finite*);(*empty* $\land$ *fin g*) = (*f* $\land$ *finite*);(*g* $\land$ *empty*)

using *RightChopEqvChop* **by** *blast*
from *1 2 5* **show** *?thesis* **by** (*metis inteq-reflection lift-and-com*)
qed

lemma *AndFinEqvChopStateAndEmpty*:
⊢ ((*f* ∧ *finite*) ∧ *fin* (*init w*)) = (*f* ∧ *finite*); ((*init w*) ∧ *empty*)
using *AndFinEqvChopAndEmpty* **by** *blast*

lemma *FinStateEqvStateAndEmptyOrNextFinState*:
⊢ *fin* (*init w*) = (((*init w*) ∧ *empty*) ∨ ○(*fin* (*init w*)))
proof −
 have *1*: ⊢ *fin* (*init w*) = □(*empty* ⟶ *init w*)
     by (*simp add*: *fin-d-def*)
 have *2* : ⊢ □(*empty* ⟶ *init w*) =
             ((*empty* ⟶ *init w*) ∧ *wnext* (□ (*empty* ⟶ *init w*)))
     by (*rule BoxEqvAndWnextBox*)
 have *3*: ⊢ *fin* (*init w*) = ((*empty* ⟶ *init w*) ∧ *wnext* (*fin* (*init w*)))
     using *1 2* **by** (*simp add*: *fin-d-def*)
 have *4*: ⊢ *wnext* (*fin* (*init w*)) = (*empty* ∨ ○ (*fin* (*init w*)))
     by (*rule WnextEqvEmptyOrNext*)
 have *5*: ⊢ *fin* (*init w*) = ((*empty* ⟶ *init w*) ∧ (*empty* ∨ ○ (*fin* (*init w*))))
     using *3 4* **by** *fastforce*
 have *6*: ⊢ ((*empty* ⟶ *init w*) ∧ (*empty* ∨ ○ (*fin* (*init w*)))) =
             (((*empty* ⟶ *init w*) ∧ *empty*) ∨ ((*empty* ⟶ *init w*) ∧ ○ (*fin* (*init w*))))
     by *auto*
 have *7*: ⊢ ((*empty* ⟶ *init w*) ∧ *empty*) = ((*init w*) ∧ *empty*)
     by *auto*
 have *8*: ⊢ ((*empty* ⟶ *init w*) ∧ ○ (*fin* (*init w*))) = ○ (*fin* (*init w*))
     by (*metis* (*no-types*, *lifting*) *5 DiamondFin NextDiamondImpDiamond Prop10 Prop12 int-eq*
        lift-and-com)
 have *9*: ⊢ (((*empty* ⟶ *init w*) ∧ *empty*) ∨ ((*empty* ⟶ *init w*) ∧ ○ (*fin* (*init w*)))) =
             ((*init w*) ∧ *empty*) ∨ ○(*fin* (*init w*))
     using *7 8* **by** *auto*
 from *5 6 8 9* **show** *?thesis* **by** *fastforce*
qed

lemma *FinChopEqvOr*:
 ⊢ (*fin* (*init w*)); *f* = (((*init w*) ∧ *f*) ∨ ○((*fin* (*init w*)); *f*))
proof −
 have *1*: ⊢ *fin* (*init w*) = (((*init w*) ∧ *empty*) ∨ ○(*fin* (*init w*)))
     by (*rule FinStateEqvStateAndEmptyOrNextFinState*)
 hence *2*: ⊢ (*fin* (*init w*)); *f* = (((*init w*) ∧ *empty*) ∨ ○(*fin* (*init w*))); *f*
     by (*rule LeftChopEqvChop*)
 have *3*: ⊢ (((*init w*) ∧ *empty*) ∨ ○ (*fin* (*init w*))); *f*
         = (((*init w*) ∧ *empty*); *f* ∨ (○ (*fin* (*init w*))); *f*)
     by (*rule OrChopEqv*)
 have *4*: ⊢ ((*init w*) ∧ *empty*); *f* = ((*init w*) ∧ *f*)
     by (*rule StateAndEmptyChop*)
 have *5*: ⊢ (○ (*fin* (*init w*))); *f* = ○((*fin* (*init w*)); *f*)
     by (*rule NextChop*)

from *2 3 4 5* **show** *?thesis* **by** *fastforce*
qed

lemma *FinChopEqvDiamond*:
⊢ (*fin* (*init w*) ∧ *finite*); *f* = ◇ ((*init w*) ∧ *f*)
proof −
 have *1*:⊢ (*fin* (*init w*) ∧ *finite*) = (*finite*;((*init w*) ∧ *empty*))
      by (*metis AndFinEqvChopAndEmpty int-simps*(*17*) *inteq-reflection lift-and-com*)
 hence *2*:⊢ (*fin* (*init w*) ∧ *finite*);*f* = (*finite*;((*init w*) ∧ *empty*));*f*
      by (*rule LeftChopEqvChop*)
 have *3*:⊢ *finite*;(((*init w*) ∧ *empty*);*f*) = (*finite*;((*init w*) ∧ *empty*));*f*
      by (*rule ChopAssoc*)
 have *4*:⊢ *finite*;(((*init w*) ∧ *empty*);*f*)= ◇ (((*init w*) ∧ *empty*);*f*)
      by (*simp add*: *sometimes-d-def*)
 have *5*:⊢ ((*init w*) ∧ *empty*);*f* = ((*init w*) ∧ *f*)
      using *StateAndEmptyChop* **by** *blast*
 hence *6*:⊢ ◇ (((*init w*) ∧ *empty*);*f*) = ◇ ((*init w*) ∧ *f*)
      by (*rule DiamondEqvDiamond*)
 from *2 3 4 6* **show** *?thesis* **by** *fastforce*
qed

lemma *NotDiamondAndNot*:
⊢ ¬(◇ (*f* ∧ ¬ *f*))
proof −
 have *1*:⊢ (¬(◇ (*f* ∧ ¬ *f*))) = □(¬(*f* ∧ ¬*f*)) **using** *NotDiamondNotEqvBox* **by** *fastforce*
 have *2*:⊢ ¬(*f* ∧ ¬*f*) **by** *simp*
 have *3*:⊢ □(¬(*f* ∧ ¬*f*)) **using** *2* **by** (*simp add*: *BoxGen*)
 from *1 3* **show** *?thesis* **by** *fastforce*
qed

lemma *FinYields*:
⊢ (*fin* (*init w*) ∧ *finite*) *yields* (*init w*)
proof −
 have *1*:⊢ (*fin* (*init w*) ∧ *finite*); (¬(*init w*)) = ◇((*init w*) ∧ ¬(*init w*))
 by (*rule FinChopEqvDiamond*)
 have *2*:⊢ ¬(◇((*init w*) ∧ ¬ (*init w*))) **by** (*rule NotDiamondAndNot*)
 have *3*:⊢ ¬ ((*fin* (*init w*) ∧ *finite*); (¬ (*init w*))) **using** *1 2* **by** *fastforce*
 from *3* **show** *?thesis* **by** (*simp add*: *yields-d-def*)
qed

lemma *ImpAndFinStateOrFinNotState*:
⊢ *f* ⟶ (*f* ∧ *fin* (*init w*)) ∨ (*f* ∧ *fin* (¬ (*init w*)))
by (*simp add*: *fin-defs Valid-def sum.case-eq-if*)

lemma *AndFinChopEqvStateAndChop*:
⊢ ((*f* ∧ *finite*) ∧ *fin* (*init w*)); *g* = (*f* ∧ *finite*); ((*init w*) ∧ *g*)
proof −
 have *1*:⊢ (*fin* (*init w*) ∧ *finite*) *yields* (*init w*)
      by (*rule FinYields*)
 have *2*:⊢ (*f* ∧ *finite*) ∧ *fin* (*init w*) ⟶ *fin* (*init w*)

384

by *auto*

hence *3*: ⊢ (*fin* (*init w*) ∧ *finite*) *yields* (*init w*) ⟶
  ((*f* ∧ *finite*) ∧ *fin* (*init w*)) *yields* (*init w*)
  using *LeftYieldsImpYields*
  by (*metis AndFinEqvChopAndEmpty Prop11 Prop12 inteq-reflection*)

have *4*: ⊢ ((*f* ∧ *finite*) ∧ *fin* (*init w*)) *yields* (*init w*)
  using *1 3 MP* **by** *fastforce*

have *5*: ⊢ ((*f* ∧ *finite*) ∧ *fin* (*init w*)); *g* ∧ ((*f* ∧ *finite*) ∧ *fin* (*init w*)) *yields* (*init w*)
  ⟶ ((*f* ∧ *finite*) ∧ *fin* (*init w*)); (*g* ∧ (*init w*))
  by (*rule ChopAndYieldsImp*)

have *6*: ⊢ ((*f* ∧ *finite*) ∧ *fin* (*init w*)); *g* ⟶
  ((*f* ∧ *finite*) ∧ *fin* (*init w*)); (*g* ∧ (*init w*))
  using *4 5* **by** *fastforce*

have *7*: ⊢ ((*f* ∧ *finite*) ∧ *fin* (*init w*)); (*g* ∧ (*init w*)) ⟶ (*f* ∧ *finite*); (*g* ∧ (*init w*))
  by (*rule AndChopA*)

have *8*: ⊢ *g* ∧ (*init w*) ⟶ (*init w*) ∧ *g*
  by *auto*

hence *9*: ⊢ (*f* ∧ *finite*); (*g* ∧ (*init w*)) ⟶ (*f* ∧ *finite*); ((*init w*) ∧ *g*)
  by (*rule RightChopImpChop*)

have *10*: ⊢ ((*f* ∧ *finite*) ∧ *fin* (*init w*)); *g* ⟶ (*f* ∧ *finite*); ((*init w*) ∧ *g*)
  using *6 7 9* **by** *fastforce*

have *11*: ⊢ (*f* ∧ *finite*) ⟶ ((*f* ∧ *finite*) ∧ *fin* (*init w*)) ∨ ((*f* ∧ *finite*) ∧ *fin* (¬ (*init w*)))
  using *ImpAndFinStateOrFinNotState* **by** *blast*

hence *12*: ⊢ (*f* ∧ *finite*); ((*init w*) ∧ *g*) ⟶
  (((*f* ∧ *finite*) ∧ *fin* (*init w*)) ∨
   ((*finite* ∧ *f*) ∧ *fin* (¬ (*init w*)))); ((*init w*) ∧ *g*)
  using *LeftChopImpChop*
  by (*metis inteq-reflection lift-and-com*)

have *13*: ⊢ (((*f* ∧ *finite*) ∧ *fin* (*init w*)) ∨ ((*f* ∧ *finite*) ∧ *fin* (¬ (*init w*)))); ((*init w*) ∧ *g*)
  =
  (((*f* ∧ *finite*) ∧ *fin* (*init w*));
   ((*init w*) ∧ *g*) ∨ ((*f* ∧ *finite*) ∧ *fin* (¬ (*init w*))); ((*init w*) ∧ *g*))
  by (*rule OrChopEqv*)

have *14*: ⊢ ((*f* ∧ *finite*) ∧ *fin* (*init* (¬ *w*))); ((*init w*) ∧ *g*) ⟶
  ◇((*init* (¬ *w*)) ∧ ((*init w*) ∧ *g*))
  using *FinChopEqvDiamond*
  by (*metis AndFinEqvChopAndEmpty ChopEmpty FiniteChopImpDiamond LeftChopImpChop int-eq*)

have *141*: ⊢ ¬(◇((*init* (¬ *w*)) ∧ ((*init w*) ∧ *g*))) ⟶
  ¬ (((*f* ∧ *finite*) ∧ *fin* (*init* (¬ *w*))); ((*init w*) ∧ *g*))
  using *14* **by** *fastforce*

have *15*: ⊢ ¬(◇((*init* (¬ *w*)) ∧ ((*init w*) ∧ *g*)))
  using *NotDiamondAndNot Initprop*(*2*) **by** (*auto simp: sometimes-defs init-defs sum.case-eq-if*)

have *151*: ⊢ ¬ (((*f* ∧ *finite*) ∧ *fin* (*init* (¬ *w*))); ((*init w*) ∧ *g*))
  using *15 141* **by** *fastforce*

have *1511*: ⊢ ((*f* ∧ *finite*) ∧ *fin* (¬ (*init w*))); ((*init w*) ∧ *g*) ⟶ #*False*
  using *151* **by** (*metis Initprop*(*2*) *int-simps*(*14*) *inteq-reflection*)

have *152*: ⊢ ((*f* ∧ *finite*) ∧ *fin* (*init w*));
  ((*init w*) ∧ *g*) ∨ ((*f* ∧ *finite*) ∧ *fin* (¬ (*init w*))); ((*init w*) ∧ *g*) ⟶
  ((*f* ∧ *finite*) ∧ *fin* (*init w*)); ((*init w*) ∧ *g*)
  using *1511* **by** *fastforce*

have *16*: ⊢ (f ∧ finite); ((init w) ∧ g) ⟶ ((f ∧ finite)∧ fin (init w)); ((init w) ∧ g)
    using *12 13 152*
    proof −
    have ⊢ (f ∧ finite);(init w ∧ g) ⟶
        ((f ∧ finite) ∧ fin (init w) ∨ (f ∧ finite) ∧ fin (¬ init w));(init w ∧ g)
    by (*metis 12 inteq-reflection lift-and-com*)
    then show *?thesis*
    using *13 152* **by** *fastforce*
    qed
have *17*: ⊢ ((f ∧ finite)∧ fin (init w)); ((init w) ∧ g) ⟶ ((f ∧ finite) ∧ fin (init w)); g
    by (*rule ChopAndB*)
have *18*: ⊢ (f ∧ finite); ((init w) ∧ g) ⟶ ((f ∧ finite) ∧ fin (init w)); g
    using *16 17* **by** *fastforce*
from *10 18* **show** *?thesis* **by** *fastforce*
qed

lemma *DiAndFinEqvChopState*:
⊢ di ((f ∧ finite) ∧ fin (init w)) = (f ∧ finite); (init w)
proof −
 have *1*: ⊢ ((f ∧ finite) ∧ fin(init w)); #True = (f ∧ finite);((init w) ∧ #True)
 by (*rule AndFinChopEqvStateAndChop*)
 have *2*: ⊢ ((init w) ∧ #True) = (init w) **by** *auto*
 hence *3*: ⊢ ((f ∧ finite); ((init w) ∧ #True)) = ((f ∧ finite); (init w))
 by (*rule RightChopEqvChop*)
 have *4*: ⊢ ((f ∧ finite) ∧ fin (init w)); #True = (f ∧ finite); (init w)
 using *1 3* **by** *auto*
 from *4* **show** *?thesis* **by** (*simp add*: *di-d-def*)
qed

lemma *FinNotStateEqvNotFinState*:
⊢ (¬(fin (init w)) ∧ finite) = (fin (init (¬ w)) ∧ finite)
using *FinEqvTrueChopAndEmpty Finprop*(*4*) *Initprop*(*2*) *FiniteImpAnd*
by (*metis inteq-reflection*)

lemma *BiImpFinEqvYieldsState*:
⊢ bi (f ∧ finite ⟶ fin (init w)) = (f ∧ finite)yields (init w)
proof −
 have *1*: ⊢ di ((f ∧ finite) ∧ fin (init (¬ w))) = (f ∧ finite); (init (¬ w))
    by (*rule DiAndFinEqvChopState*)
 have *2*: ⊢ ((f ∧ finite) ∧ fin(init (¬ w))) = ((f ∧ finite) ∧ ¬(fin(init w)))
    using *FinNotStateEqvNotFinState* **by** *fastforce*
 have *3*: ⊢ ((f ∧ finite) ∧ ¬ (fin(init w))) = (¬ (f ∧ finite ⟶ fin (init w)))
    by *auto*
 have *4*: ⊢ ((f ∧ finite) ∧ fin(init (¬ w))) = (¬ (f ∧ finite⟶ fin(init w)))
    using *2 3* **by** *fastforce*
 hence *5*: ⊢ di ((f ∧ finite) ∧ fin (init (¬ w))) = di (¬ (f ∧ finite⟶ fin(init w)))
    by (*rule DiEqvDi*)
 have *6*: ⊢ di (¬ (f ∧ finite ⟶ fin (init w))) = (¬(bi (f ∧ finite⟶ fin(init w))))

386

by (*rule DiNotEqvNotBi*)
have 7: ⊢ ¬ (*bi* (*f* ∧ *finite* ⟶ *fin* (*init w*))) = (*f* ∧ *finite*);(*init* (¬ *w*))
    using 1 5 6 *Initprop* **by** *fastforce*
hence 8: ⊢ *bi* (*f* ∧ *finite* ⟶ *fin* (*init w*)) = (¬ ((*f* ∧ *finite*); (¬ (*init w*))))
    by (*metis Initprop*(2) *int-eq int-simps*(7))
from 8 **show** *?thesis* **by** (*simp add*: *yields-d-def*)
qed

lemma *StateImpYields*:
 assumes ⊢ (*init w*) ∧ *f* ∧ *finite* ⟶ *fin* (*init w1*)
 shows ⊢ (*init w*) ⟶ ((*f* ∧ *finite*) *yields* (*init w1*))
proof −
 have 1: ⊢ (*init w*) ∧ *f* ∧ *finite* ⟶ *fin* (*init w1*) **using** *assms* **by** *auto*
 hence 2: ⊢ (*init w*) ⟶ (*f* ∧ *finite* ⟶ *fin* (*init w1*)) **by** *auto*
 hence 3: ⊢ (*init w*) ⟶ *bi* (*f* ∧ *finite* ⟶ *fin* (*init w1*)) **by** (*rule StateImpBiGen*)
 have 4: ⊢ *bi* (*f* ∧ *finite* ⟶ *fin* (*init w1*)) = (*f* ∧ *finite*)*yields* (*init w1*)
  by (*rule BiImpFinEqvYieldsState*)
 from 3 4 **show** *?thesis* **by** *fastforce*
qed

lemma *StateAndYieldsImpYields*:
 assumes ⊢ (*init w*) ∧ *f* ⟶ *f1*
 shows ⊢ (*init w*) ∧ (*f1 yields g*) ⟶ (*f yields g*)
proof −
 have 1: ⊢ (*init w*) ∧ *f* ⟶ *f1* **using** *assms* **by** *auto*
 hence 2: ⊢ (*init w*) ∧ (*f*; (¬ *g*)) ⟶ *f1*; (¬ *g*) **by** (*rule StateAndChopImpChopRule*)
 hence 3: ⊢ (*init w*) ∧ ¬ (*f1*; (¬ *g*)) ⟶ ¬ (*f*; (¬ *g*)) **by** *auto*
 from 3 **show** *?thesis* **by** (*simp add*: *yields-d-def*)
qed

lemma *AndYieldsA*:
 ⊢ *f yields g* ⟶ (*f* ∧ *f1*) *yields g*
proof −
 have 1: ⊢ *f* ∧ *f1* ⟶ *f* **by** *auto*
 from 1 **show** *?thesis* **by** (*rule LeftYieldsImpYields*)
qed

lemma *AndYieldsB*:
 ⊢ *f1 yields g* ⟶ (*f* ∧ *f1*) *yields g*
proof −
 have 1: ⊢ *f* ∧ *f1* ⟶ *f1* **by** *auto*
 from 1 **show** *?thesis* **by** (*rule LeftYieldsImpYields*)
qed

lemma *RightYieldsImpYields*:
 assumes ⊢ *g* ⟶ *g1*
 shows ⊢ (*f yields g*) ⟶ (*f yields g1*)
proof −
 have 1: ⊢ *g* ⟶ *g1* **using** *assms* **by** *auto*
 hence 2: ⊢ ¬ *g1* ⟶ ¬ *g* **by** *auto*

hence $3: \vdash f; (\neg \ g1) \longrightarrow f; (\neg \ g)$ **by** (*rule RightChopImpChop*)
hence $4: \vdash \neg \ (f; (\neg \ g)) \longrightarrow \neg \ (f; (\neg \ g1))$ **by** *auto*
from 4 **show** *?thesis* **by** (*simp add*: *yields-d-def*)
qed

lemma *RightYieldsEqvYields*:
 assumes $\vdash \ g = g1$
 shows $\vdash (f \ yields \ g) = (f \ yields \ g1)$
proof $-$
 have $1: \vdash g = g1$ **using** *assms* **by** *auto*
 hence $2: \vdash (\neg \ g) = (\neg \ g1)$ **by** *auto*
 hence $3: \vdash f; (\neg \ g) = f; (\neg \ g1)$ **by** (*rule RightChopEqvChop*)
 hence $4: \vdash (\neg \ (f; (\neg \ g))) = (\neg \ (f; (\neg \ g1)))$ **by** *auto*
 from 4 **show** *?thesis* **by** (*simp add*: *yields-d-def*)
qed

lemma *BoxImpYields*:
 $\vdash \ \square \ g \longrightarrow (f \wedge finite) \ yields \ g$
proof $-$
 have $1: \vdash (f \wedge finite); (\neg \ g) \longrightarrow \Diamond(\neg \ g)$ **by** (*rule FiniteChopImpDiamond*)
 hence $2: \vdash \neg \ (\Diamond(\neg \ g)) \longrightarrow \neg \ ((f \wedge finite); (\neg \ g))$ **by** *auto*
 from 2 **show** *?thesis* **by** (*simp add*: *yields-d-def always-d-def*)
qed

lemma *BoxEqvFiniteYields*:
 $\vdash \ \square \ f = finite \ yields \ f$
proof $-$
 have $1: \vdash finite; (\neg \ f) = \Diamond \ (\neg \ f)$ **by** (*rule FiniteChopEqvDiamond*)
 hence $2: \vdash (\neg \ (finite; (\neg \ f))) = (\neg (\Diamond \ (\neg \ f)))$ **by** *auto*
 have $3: \vdash \square \ f = (\neg \ (\Diamond \ (\neg \ f)))$ **by** (*simp add*: *always-d-def*)
 have $4: \vdash \square \ f = (\neg \ (finite; (\neg \ f)))$ **using** $2 \ 3$ **by** *fastforce*
 from 4 **show** *?thesis* **by** (*simp add*: *yields-d-def*)
qed

lemma *YieldsGen*:
 assumes $\vdash \ g$
 shows $\vdash (f \wedge finite) \ yields \ g$
proof $-$
 have $1: \vdash g$ **using** *assms* **by** *auto*
 hence $2: \vdash \square \ g$ **by** (*rule BoxGen*)
 have $3: \vdash \square \ g \longrightarrow (f \wedge finite) \ yields \ g$ **by** (*rule BoxImpYields*)
 from $2 \ 3$ **show** *?thesis* **using** *MP* **by** *fastforce*
qed

lemma *YieldsAndYieldsEqvYieldsAnd*:
 $\vdash \ ((f \ yields \ g) \wedge (f \ yields \ g1)) = f \ yields \ (g \wedge g1)$
proof $-$
 have $1: \vdash f; (\neg \ g \vee \neg \ g1) = ((f; (\neg \ g)) \vee \ (f; (\neg \ g1)))$ **by** (*rule ChopOrEqv*)
 hence $2: \vdash ((f; (\neg \ g)) \vee \ (f; (\neg \ g1))) = f; (\neg \ g \vee \neg \ g1)$ **by** *auto*
 have $3: \vdash (\neg \ g \vee \neg \ g1) = (\neg \ (g \wedge g1))$ **by** *auto*

hence 4: $\vdash f; (\neg\ g \lor \neg\ g1)\ =\ f; (\neg\ (g \land g1))$ **by** (*rule RightChopEqvChop*)
have 5: $\vdash (f; (\neg\ g)) \lor\ (f; (\neg\ g1)) = f; (\neg\ (g \land g1))$ **using** $2\ 4$ **by** *fastforce*
hence 6: $\vdash (\neg\ (f; (\neg\ g)) \land \neg\ (f; (\neg\ g1))) = (\neg\ (f; (\neg\ (g \land g1))))$
by (*auto simp*: *chop-defs sum.case-eq-if*)
from 6 **show** *?thesis* **by** (*simp add*: *yields-d-def*)
qed

lemma *YieldsAndYieldsImpAndYieldsAnd*:
$\vdash\ (f\ yields\ g) \land (f1\ yields\ g1) \longrightarrow (f \land f1)\ yields\ (g \land g1)$
proof $-$
have 1: $\vdash f\ yields\ g \longrightarrow (f \land f1)\ yields\ g$
by (*rule AndYieldsA*)
have 2: $\vdash f1\ yields\ g1 \longrightarrow (f \land f1)\ yields\ g1$
by (*rule AndYieldsB*)
have 3: $\vdash ((f \land f1)\ yields\ g \land (f \land f1)\ yields\ g1) = (f \land f1)\ yields\ (g \land g1)$
by (*rule YieldsAndYieldsEqvYieldsAnd*)
from $1\ 2\ 3$ **show** *?thesis* **by** *fastforce*
qed

lemma *YieldsYieldsEqvChopYields*:
$\vdash\ f\ yields\ (g\ yields\ h) = (f; g)\ yields\ h$
proof $-$
have 1: $\vdash f; (g; (\neg\ h)) = (f; g); (\neg\ h)$ **by** (*rule ChopAssoc*)
hence 2: $\vdash f; (g; (\neg\ h)) = (f; g); (\neg\ h)$ **by** *auto*
have 3: $\vdash g; (\neg\ h) = (\neg\ \neg\ (g; (\neg\ h)))$ **by** *auto*
hence 4: $\vdash f; (g; (\neg\ h)) = f; (\neg\ \neg\ (g; (\neg\ h)))$ **by** (*rule RightChopEqvChop*)
have 5: $\vdash f; (\neg\ \neg\ (g; (\neg\ h))) = (f; g); (\neg\ h)$ **using** $2\ 4$ **by** *auto*
hence 6: $\vdash f; (\neg\ (g\ yields\ h)) = (f; g); (\neg\ h)$ **by** (*simp add*: *yields-d-def*)
hence 7: $\vdash (\neg\ (f; (\neg\ (g\ yields\ h)))) = (\neg\ ((f; g); (\neg\ h)))$ **by** *auto*
from 7 **show** *?thesis* **by** (*simp add*: *yields-d-def*)
qed

lemma *EmptyYields*:
$\vdash\ empty\ yields\ f = f$
proof $-$
have 1: $\vdash\ empty\ ; (\neg\ f) = (\neg\ f)$ **by** (*rule EmptyChop*)
hence 2: $\vdash (\neg\ (empty\ ; (\neg\ f))) = f$ **by** *auto*
from 2 **show** *?thesis* **by** (*simp add*: *yields-d-def*)
qed

lemma *NextYields*:
$\vdash\ (\bigcirc f)\ yields\ g = wnext\ (f\ yields\ g)$
proof $-$
have 1: $\vdash (\bigcirc f); (\neg\ g) = \bigcirc(f; (\neg\ g))$ **by** (*rule NextChop*)
hence 2: $\vdash (\neg\ ((\bigcirc f); (\neg\ g))) = (\neg\ (\bigcirc(f; (\neg\ g))))$ **by** *auto*
hence 3: $\vdash (\bigcirc f)\ yields\ g = (\neg\ (\bigcirc(f; (\neg\ g))))$ **by** (*simp add*: *yields-d-def*)
have 4: $\vdash (\neg(\ \bigcirc(f; (\neg\ g)))) = wnext\ (\neg\ (f; (\neg\ g)))$ **by** (*auto simp*: *wnext-d-def*)
have 5: $\vdash (\bigcirc f)\ yields\ g = wnext\ (\neg\ (f; (\neg\ g)))$ **using** $3\ 4$ **by** *fastforce*
from 5 **show** *?thesis* **by** (*simp add*: *yields-d-def*)
qed

lemma *SkipChopEqvNext*:
 $\vdash$ *skip* ; $f = \bigcirc f$
by (*simp add*: *next-d-def*)

lemma *SkipYieldsEqvWeakNext*:
 $\vdash$ *skip yields* f = *wnext* f
proof $-$
 have *1*: $\vdash$ *skip* ; $(\neg f) = \bigcirc(\neg f)$ **by** (*rule SkipChopEqvNext*)
 hence *2*: $\vdash (\neg (skip ; (\neg f))) = (\neg(\bigcirc(\neg f)))$ **by** *auto*
 have *3*: $\vdash (\neg (\bigcirc(\neg f))) = wnext$ f **by** (*auto simp*: *wnext-d-def*)
 have *4*: $\vdash (\neg (skip ; (\neg f))) = wnext$ f **using** *2 3* **by** *fastforce*
 from *4* **show** *?thesis* **by** (*simp add*: *yields-d-def*)
qed

lemma *NextImpSkipYields*:
 $\vdash \bigcirc f \longrightarrow skip$ *yields* f
proof $-$
 have *1*: $\vdash \bigcirc f \longrightarrow wnext$ f **using** *WnextEqvEmptyOrNext* **by** *fastforce*
 have *2*: $\vdash$ *skip yields* f = *wnext* f **by** (*rule SkipYieldsEqvWeakNext*)
 from *1 2* **show** *?thesis* **by** *fastforce*
qed

lemma *MoreEqvSkipChopTrue*:
 $\vdash$ *more* = *skip* ; #*True*
proof $-$
 have *1*: $\vdash$ *skip* ; #*True* = $\bigcirc$#*True* **by** (*rule SkipChopEqvNext*)
 hence *2*: $\vdash \bigcirc$#*True* = *skip* ; #*True* **by** *auto*
 from *2* **show** *?thesis* **by** (*simp add*: *more-d-def*)
qed

lemma *MoreChopImpMore*:
 $\vdash$ *more* ; $f \longrightarrow$ *more*
proof $-$
 have *1*: $\vdash (\bigcirc$#*True*); $f = \bigcirc(\#True; f)$ **by** (*rule NextChop*)
 have *2*: $\vdash \bigcirc(\#True; f) \longrightarrow$ *more* **by** (*auto simp*: *more-defs next-defs sum.case-eq-if*)
 have *3*: $\vdash (\bigcirc$#*True*; $f) \longrightarrow$ *more* **using** *1 2* **by** *fastforce*
 from *3* **show** *?thesis* **by** (*metis more-d-def*)
qed

lemma *FmoreChopImpFmore*:
 $\vdash$ *fmore* ; $(f \wedge finite) \longrightarrow$ *fmore*
proof $-$
 have *1*: $\vdash$ *fmore*; $(f \wedge finite) = \bigcirc(finite; (f \wedge finite))$
    using *FmoreEqvSkipChopFinite* **by** (*metis NextChop inteq-reflection next-d-def*)
 have *2*: $\vdash \bigcirc(finite; (f \wedge finite)) \longrightarrow$ *fmore*
    by (*auto simp*: *fmore-defs chop-defs finite-defs more-defs next-defs sum.case-eq-if*)
 have *3*: $\vdash (\bigcirc finite; (f \wedge finite)) \longrightarrow$ *fmore* **using** *1 2*
    by (*metis FmoreEqvSkipChopFinite inteq-reflection next-d-def*)

from *1 2 3* **show** *?thesis* **by** *fastforce*
qed

lemma *ChopMoreImpMore*:
$\vdash \;\; f ; \; more \;\longrightarrow\; more$
proof $-$
 have *1*: $\vdash (f \wedge finite) ; \; more \;\longrightarrow\; \diamond\; more$ **by** (*rule FiniteChopImpDiamond*)
 have *11*: $\vdash (f \wedge inf) ; \; more \;\longrightarrow\; more$
  by (*simp add*: *more-defs infinite-defs chop-defs Valid-def sum.case-eq-if*)
 have *2*: $\vdash \diamond\; more \;\longrightarrow\; more$ **by** (*auto simp*: *more-defs sometimes-defs sum.case-eq-if*)
 have *3*: $\vdash (f \wedge finite) ; \; more \;\longrightarrow\; more$ **using** *1 2* **by** *fastforce*
 have *4*: $\vdash f = ((f \wedge finite) \vee (f \wedge inf))$
  by (*simp add*: *Valid-def finite-defs infinite-defs sum.case-eq-if*)
 hence *5*: $\vdash f ; more = ((f \wedge finite) ; more \vee (f \wedge inf) ; more)$ **by** (*simp add*: *OrChopEqvRule*)
 from *11 3 5* **show** *?thesis* **by** *fastforce*
qed

lemma *MoreChopEqvNextDiamond*:
$\vdash \;\; fmore ; f = \bigcirc(\diamond\; f)$
proof $-$
 have *1*: $\vdash \; fmore ; f = (\bigcirc\; finite); f$
 by (*simp add*: *Valid-def chop-defs fmore-defs next-defs finite-defs sum.case-eq-if*)
 have *2*: $\vdash (\bigcirc\; finite); f = \bigcirc(finite; f)$ **by** (*rule NextChop*)
 have *3*: $\vdash \; fmore ; f = \bigcirc(finite; f)$ **using** *1 2* **by** *fastforce*
 from *3* **show** *?thesis* **by** (*simp add*: *sometimes-d-def*)
qed

lemma *WeakNextBoxImpMoreYields*:
$\vdash \;\; fmore \; yields \; f = wnext(\;\Box\; f)$
proof $-$
 have *1*: $\vdash fmore ; (\neg\; f) = \bigcirc(\diamond\;(\neg f))$ **by** (*rule MoreChopEqvNextDiamond*)
 have *2*: $\vdash \bigcirc(\diamond\;(\neg f)) = \bigcirc(\neg(\Box f))$ **by** (*auto simp*: *always-d-def*)
 have *3*: $\vdash \bigcirc(\neg(\Box f)) = (\neg\;(\; wnext(\;\Box\; f)\;))$ **by** (*auto simp*: *wnext-d-def*)
 have *4*: $\vdash fmore ; (\neg\; f) = (\neg(fmore \; yields \; f))$ **by** (*simp add*: *yields-d-def*)
 from *1 2 3 4* **show** *?thesis* **by** *fastforce*
qed

lemma *NotEqvYieldsMore*:
$\vdash \;\; (\neg\; f) = f \; yields \; more$
proof $-$
 have *1*: $\vdash f ; \; empty = f$ **by** (*rule ChopEmpty*)
 hence *2*: $\vdash (\neg\;(f; \; empty\;)) = (\neg\; f)$ **by** *auto*
 have *3*: $\vdash \; empty = (\neg\; more)$ **by** (*auto simp*: *empty-d-def*)
 hence *4*: $\vdash f ; \; empty = f ; (\neg\; more)$ **by** (*rule RightChopEqvChop*)
 hence *5*: $\vdash (\neg\;(f; \; empty\;)) = (\neg\;(f; (\neg\; more\;)))$ **by** *auto*
 have *6*: $\vdash (\neg\; f) = (\neg\;(f; (\neg\; more)\;))$ **using** *2 5* **by** *fastforce*
 from *6* **show** *?thesis* **by** (*metis yields-d-def*)
qed

lemma *LeftChopImpMoreRule*:

assumes ⊢ *f* ⟶ *more*
 shows ⊢ *f*; *g* ⟶ *more*
proof −
 have *1*: ⊢ *f* ⟶ *more* **using** *assms* **by** *auto*
 hence *2*: ⊢ *f*; *g* ⟶ *more* ; *g* **by** (*rule LeftChopImpChop*)
 have *3*: ⊢ *more* ; *g* ⟶ *more* **by** (*rule MoreChopImpMore*)
 from *2 3* **show** *?thesis* **using** *lift-imp-trans* **by** *blast*
qed

lemma *LeftChopImpFMoreRule*:
 assumes ⊢ *f* ⟶ *fmore*
 shows ⊢ *f*; (*g* ∧ *finite*) ⟶ *fmore*
proof −
 have *1*: ⊢ *f* ⟶ *fmore* **using** *assms* **by** *auto*
 hence *2*: ⊢ *f*; (*g* ∧ *finite*) ⟶ *fmore* ; (*g* ∧ *finite*) **by** (*rule LeftChopImpChop*)
 have *3*: ⊢ *fmore* ; (*g* ∧ *finite*) ⟶ *fmore* **using** *FmoreChopImpFmore* **by** *fastforce*
 from *2 3* **show** *?thesis* **using** *lift-imp-trans* **by** *blast*
qed

lemma *RightChopImpMoreRule*:
 assumes ⊢ *g* ⟶ *more*
 shows ⊢ *f*; *g* ⟶ *more*
proof −
 have *1*: ⊢ *g* ⟶ *more* **using** *assms* **by** *auto*
 hence *2*: ⊢ *f*; *g* ⟶ *f*; *more* **by** (*rule RightChopImpChop*)
 have *3*: ⊢ *f*; *more* ⟶ *more* **by** (*rule ChopMoreImpMore*)
 from *2 3* **show** *?thesis* **using** *lift-imp-trans* **by** *blast*
qed

lemma *NotDiEqvBiNot*:
 ⊢ (¬ (*di* *f*)) = *bi* (¬ *f*)
proof −
 have *1*: ⊢ *f* = (¬ ¬ *f*) **by** *auto*
 hence *2*: ⊢ *di* *f* = *di* (¬ ¬ *f*) **by** (*rule DiEqvDi*)
 hence *3*: ⊢ (¬ (*di* *f*)) = (¬ (*di* (¬ ¬ *f*))) **by** *auto*
 from *3* **show** *?thesis* **by** (*simp add*: *bi-d-def*)
qed

lemma *ChopImpDi*:
 ⊢ *f*; *g* ⟶ *di* *f*
proof −
 have *1*: ⊢ *g* ⟶ #*True* **by** *auto*
 hence *2*: ⊢ *f*; *g* ⟶ *f*; #*True* **by** (*rule RightChopImpChop*)
 from *2* **show** *?thesis* **by** (*simp add*: *di-d-def*)
qed

lemma *TrueEqvTrueChopTrue*:
 ⊢ #*True* = #*True*; #*True*
proof −
 have *1*: ⊢ #*True*; #*True* ⟶ #*True* **by** *auto*

have 2:⊢ #True ⟶ di #True **by** (rule DiIntro)
hence 3:⊢ #True ⟶ #True; #True **by** (simp add: di-d-def)
from 1 3 **show** ?thesis **by** auto
qed

lemma DiEqvDiDi:
⊢ di f = di (di f)
proof −
have 1:⊢ #True = #True; #True **by** (rule TrueEqvTrueChopTrue)
hence 2:⊢ f; #True = f; (#True; #True) **by** (rule RightChopEqvChop)
have 3:⊢ f; (#True; #True)= (f; #True); #True **by** (rule ChopAssoc)
have 4:⊢ f; #True = (f; #True); #True **using** 2 3 **by** fastforce
from 4 **show** ?thesis **by** (metis di-d-def)
qed

lemma BiEqvBiBi:
⊢ bi f = bi(bi f)
proof −
have 1:⊢ di (¬ f) = di(di (¬ f)) **by** (rule DiEqvDiDi)
have 2:⊢ di (¬ f) = (¬ (bi f)) **by** (rule DiNotEqvNotBi)
hence 3:⊢ di (di (¬ f)) = di (¬ (bi f)) **by** (rule DiEqvDi)
have 4:⊢ di (¬ f) = di (¬(bi f)) **using** 1 3 **by** fastforce
hence 5:⊢ (¬ (di (¬ f))) = (¬ (di (¬ (bi f)))) **by** fastforce
from 5 **show** ?thesis **by** (metis bi-d-def)
qed

lemma DiOrEqv:
⊢ di (f ∨ g) = (di f ∨ di g)
proof −
have 1:⊢ (f∨ g); #True = (f; #True ∨ g; #True) **by** (rule OrChopEqv)
from 1 **show** ?thesis **by** (simp add: di-d-def)
qed

lemma DiAndA:
⊢ di (f ∧ g) ⟶ di f
proof −
have 1:⊢ (f ∧ g); #True ⟶ f; #True **by** (rule AndChopA)
from 1 **show** ?thesis **by** (simp add: di-d-def)
qed

lemma DiAndB:
⊢ di (f ∧ g) ⟶ di g
proof −
have 1:⊢ (f ∧ g); #True ⟶ g; #True **by** (rule AndChopB)
from 1 **show** ?thesis **by** (simp add: di-d-def)
qed

lemma DiAndImpAnd:
⊢ di (f ∧ g) ⟶ di f ∧ di g
proof −

have *1*: ⊢ *di* (*f* ∧ *g*) ⟶ *di* *f* **by** (*rule DiAndA*)
have *2*: ⊢ *di* (*f* ∧ *g*) ⟶ *di* *g* **by** (*rule DiAndB*)
from *1 2* **show** *?thesis* **by** *fastforce*
qed

lemma *DiSkipEqvMore*:
⊢ *di* *skip* = *more*
proof −
have *1*: ⊢ *skip* ; #*True* = ○#*True* **by** (*rule SkipChopEqvNext*)
have *2*: ⊢ ○#*True* = *more* **by** (*auto simp*: *more-d-def*)
have *3*: ⊢ *skip* ; #*True* = *more* **using** *1 2* **by** *fastforce*
from *3* **show** *?thesis* **by** (*simp add*: *di-d-def*)
qed

lemma *DiMoreEqvMore*:
⊢ *di* *more* = *more*
proof −
have *1*: ⊢ *di* (○ #*True*) = ○(*di* #*True*) **by** (*rule DiNext*)
have *2*: ⊢ ○(*di* #*True*) ⟶ *more* **by** (*auto simp*: *next-defs di-defs more-defs sum.case-eq-if*)
have *3*: ⊢ *di*(○ #*True*) ⟶ *more* **using** *1 2* **by** *fastforce*
hence *4*: ⊢ *di* *more* ⟶ *more* **by** (*simp add*: *more-d-def*)
have *5*: ⊢ *more* ⟶ *di* *more* **by** (*rule ImpDi*)
from *4 5* **show** *?thesis* **by** *fastforce*
qed

lemma *DiIfEqvRule*:
assumes ⊢ *f* = *if*_{*i*} (*init w*) **then** *g* **else** *h*
shows ⊢ *di* *f* = *if*_{*i*} (*init w*) **then** (*di* *g*) **else** (*di* *h*)
proof −
have *1*: ⊢ *f* = *if*_{*i*} (*init w*) **then** *g* **else** *h* **using** *assms* **by** *auto*
hence *2*: ⊢ *f*; #*True* = *if*_{*i*} (*init w*) **then** (*g*; #*True*) **else** (*h*; #*True*) **by** (*rule IfChopEqvRule*)
from *2* **show** *?thesis* **by** (*simp add*: *di-d-def*)
qed

lemma *DiEmpty*:
⊢ *di* *empty*
proof −
have *1*: ⊢ #*True* **by** *auto*
have *2*: ⊢ *empty* ; #*True* = #*True* **by** (*rule EmptyChop*)
have *3*: ⊢ *empty* ; #*True* **using** *1 2* **by** *auto*
from *3* **show** *?thesis* **by** (*simp add*: *di-d-def*)
qed

lemma *DaNotEqvNotBa*:
⊢ *da* (¬ *f*) = (¬ (*ba* *f*))
proof −
have *1*: ⊢ *ba* *f* = (¬ (*da* (¬ *f*))) **by** (*simp add*: *ba-d-def*)
from *1* **show** *?thesis* **by** *fastforce*
qed

lemma *DaEqvDa*:
 assumes ⊢ *f* = *g*
 shows ⊢ *da* *f* = *da* *g*
using *assms* **using** *int-eq* **by** *force*

lemma *DaEqvNotBaNot*:
 ⊢   *da* *f* = (¬ (*ba* (¬ *f*)))
proof −
 have *1*: ⊢ *ba* (¬ *f*) = (¬ (*da* (¬ ¬ *f*))) **by** (*simp add*: *ba-d-def*)
 hence *2*: ⊢ *da* (¬ ¬ *f*) = (¬(*ba* (¬ *f*))) **by** *fastforce*
 have *3*: ⊢ *f* = (¬ ¬ *f*) **by** *simp*
 hence *4*: ⊢ *da* *f* = *da* (¬ ¬ *f*) **by** (*rule DaEqvDa*)
 from *2 4* **show** *?thesis* **by** *simp*
qed

lemma *BaElim*:
 ⊢   *ba* *f* ⟶ *f*
proof −
 have *1*: ⊢ *ba* *f* = □(*bi* *f*) **by** (*rule BaEqvBtBi*)
 have *2*: ⊢ *bi* *f* ⟶ *f* **by** (*rule BiElim*)
 hence *3*: ⊢ □(*bi* *f* ⟶ *f*) **by** (*rule BoxGen*)
 have *4*: ⊢ □(*bi* *f* ⟶ *f*) ⟶ □(*bi* *f*) ⟶ □ *f* **by** (*rule BoxImpDist*)
 have *5*: ⊢ □(*bi* *f*) ⟶ □ *f* **using** *3 4 MP* **by** *fastforce*
 have *6*: ⊢ □ *f* ⟶ *f* **by** (*rule BoxElim*)
 from *1 5 6* **show** *?thesis* **using** *BaImpBt lift-imp-trans* **by** *metis*
qed

lemma *DaIntro*:
 ⊢ *f* ⟶ *da* *f*
proof −
 have *1*: ⊢ *ba* (¬ *f*) ⟶ (¬ *f*)   **by** (*rule BaElim*)
 hence *2*: ⊢ ¬ ¬ *f* ⟶ ¬ (*ba* (¬ *f*)) **by** *fastforce*
 have *3*: ⊢ *f* = (¬ ¬ *f*) **by** *simp*
 have *4*: ⊢ *da* *f* = (¬ (*ba* (¬ *f*))) **by** (*rule DaEqvNotBaNot*)
 from *2 3 4* **show** *?thesis* **by** *fastforce*
qed

lemma *BaGen*:
 assumes ⊢   *f*
 shows ⊢ *ba* *f*
proof −
 have *1*: ⊢   *f* **using** *assms* **by** *auto*
 hence *2*: ⊢ □ *f* **by** (*rule BoxGen*)
 hence *3*: ⊢ *bi*(□ *f*) **by** (*rule BiGen*)
 have *4*: ⊢ *ba* *f* = *bi* (□ *f*) **by** (*rule BaEqvBiBt*)
 from *3 4* **show** *?thesis* **by** *fastforce*
qed

lemma *BaImpDist*:

$\vdash \ ba \ (f \longrightarrow g) \longrightarrow \ ba \ f \longrightarrow \ ba \ g$

proof −
have 1: $\vdash bi \ (f \longrightarrow g) \longrightarrow (bi \ f \longrightarrow bi \ g)$ **by** (*rule BiImpDist*)
hence 2: $\vdash \Box(bi \ (f \longrightarrow g) \longrightarrow (bi \ f \longrightarrow bi \ g))$ **by** (*rule BoxGen*)
have 3: $\vdash \Box(bi \ (f \longrightarrow g) \longrightarrow (bi \ f \longrightarrow bi \ g))$
$\qquad \longrightarrow$
$\qquad (\Box \ (bi \ (f \longrightarrow g)) \longrightarrow (\Box(bi \ f) \longrightarrow \Box(bi \ g)))$
$\qquad$ **by** (*meson 2 BoxImpDist MP lift-imp-trans Prop01 Prop05 Prop09*)
have 4: $\vdash \Box(bi \ (f \longrightarrow g)) \longrightarrow (\Box(bi \ f) \longrightarrow \Box(bi \ g))$ **using** 2 3 MP **by** *fastforce*
have 5: $\vdash \ ba \ (f \longrightarrow g) = \Box(bi \ (f \longrightarrow g))$ **by** (*rule BaEqvBtBi*)
have 6: $\vdash \ ba \ f = \Box(bi \ f)$ **by** (*rule BaEqvBtBi*)
have 7: $\vdash \ ba \ g = \Box(bi \ g)$ **by** (*rule BaEqvBtBi*)
from 4 5 6 7 **show** *?thesis* **by** *fastforce*
qed

lemma *BaAndEqv*:
$\vdash \quad ba \ (f \wedge g) = \ (ba \ f \wedge \ ba \ g)$
proof −
have 1: $\vdash \quad ba \ (f \wedge g) = \quad \Box(bi \ (f \wedge g))$
$\qquad$ **by** (*rule BaEqvBtBi*)
have 2: $\vdash \ bi \ (f \wedge g) = (bi \ f \wedge bi \ g)$
$\qquad$ **by** (*auto simp: bi-defs sum.case-eq-if*)
hence 3: $\vdash \Box(bi \ (f \wedge g)) = \Box(bi \ f \wedge bi \ g)$
$\qquad$ **using** *BoxEqvBox* **by** *blast*
have 4: $\vdash \Box(bi \ f \wedge bi \ g) = (\Box(bi \ f) \ \wedge \Box(bi \ g))$
$\qquad$ **by** (*metis 2 BoxAndBoxEqvBoxRule inteq-reflection*)
have 5: $\vdash ba \ f = \Box(bi \ f)$
$\qquad$ **by** (*rule BaEqvBtBi*)
have 6: $\vdash \ ba \ g = \Box(bi \ g)$
$\qquad$ **by** (*rule BaEqvBtBi*)
from 1 3 4 5 6 **show** *?thesis* **by** *fastforce*
qed

lemma *BaImpBaEqvBa*:
$\vdash \ ba \ (f = g) \longrightarrow (ba \ f = \ ba \ g)$
proof −
have 1: $\vdash \ ba \ (f \longrightarrow g) \longrightarrow \ ba \ f \longrightarrow \ ba \ g$ **by** (*rule BaImpDist*)
have 2: $\vdash \ ba \ (g \longrightarrow f) \longrightarrow \ ba \ g \longrightarrow \ ba \ f$ **by** (*rule BaImpDist*)
have 3: $\vdash ba \ (f = g) = ba \ ((f \longrightarrow g) \wedge (g \longrightarrow f))$ **by** (*auto simp: ba-defs sum.case-eq-if*)
have 4: $\vdash ba \ ((f \longrightarrow g) \wedge (g \longrightarrow f)) = (ba((f \longrightarrow g)) \wedge ba((g \longrightarrow f)))$ **by** (*rule BaAndEqv*)
have 5: $\vdash ((ba \ f \longrightarrow \ ba \ g) \wedge (ba \ g \longrightarrow \ ba \ f)) = (ba \ f = \ ba \ g)$ **by** *auto*
from 1 2 3 4 5 **show** *?thesis* **by** *fastforce*
qed

lemma *BaImpBa*:
assumes $\vdash \ f \longrightarrow g$
shows $\vdash ba \ f \longrightarrow \ ba \ g$
using *BaGen BaImpDist MP assms* **by** *metis*

lemma *BaEqvBa*:

assumes $\vdash$ $f = g$
shows $\vdash ba\ f = ba\ g$
using *BaGen BaImpBaEqvBa MP assms* **by** *metis*

lemma *DaImpDa*:
assumes $\vdash$ $f \longrightarrow g$
shows $\vdash da\ f \longrightarrow da\ g$
using *assms* **by** (*metis DaEqvDtDi DiAndB DiamondImpDiamond inteq-reflection Prop10*)

lemma *DiamondEqvDiamondDiamond*:
$\vdash \Diamond\ f = \Diamond\ (\Diamond\ f)$
proof $-$
have 1: $\vdash \Diamond\ (\Diamond\ f) = finite;(finite;f)$
    by (*simp add*: *sometimes-d-def*)
have 2: $\vdash finite;(finite;f) = (finite;finite);f$
    by (*rule ChopAssoc*)
have 3: $\vdash (finite;finite);f = finite;f$
    by (*simp add*: *LeftChopEqvChop FiniteChopFiniteEqvFinite*)
have 4: $\vdash finite;f = \Diamond f$
    by (*simp add*: *sometimes-d-def*)
from $1\ 2\ 3\ 4$ **show** *?thesis* **by** *fastforce*
qed

lemma *DaEqvDaDa*:
$\vdash da\ f = da\ (\ da\ f)$
proof $-$
have 1: $\vdash da\ f = \Diamond(\ di\ f)$
    by (*rule DaEqvDtDi*)
have 2: $\vdash di\ f = (di\ (\ di\ f))$
    by (*rule DiEqvDiDi*)
hence 3: $\vdash \Diamond\ (\ di\ f) = \Diamond\ (di\ (di\ f))$
    by (*rule DiamondEqvDiamond*)
have 4: $\vdash \Diamond\ (di\ f) = \Diamond(\Diamond\ (di\ (di\ f)))$
    using *DiamondEqvDiamondDiamond DiEqvDiDi* **using** 3 **by** *fastforce*
have 5: $\vdash \Diamond\ (di\ (di\ f)) = di\ (\Diamond\ (di\ f))$
    by (*rule DtDiEqvDiDt*)
hence 6: $\vdash \Diamond(\Diamond\ (di\ (di\ f))) = \Diamond\ (di\ (\Diamond\ (di\ f)))$
    by (*rule DiamondEqvDiamond*)
have 7: $\vdash da\ f = \Diamond\ (di(\ \Diamond\ (\ di\ f)))$
    using $1\ 3\ 4\ 6$ **by** *fastforce*
have 8: $\vdash da\ (\Diamond\ (\ di\ f)) = \Diamond(\ di\ (\Diamond\ (di\ f)))$
    by (*rule DaEqvDtDi*)
have 9: $\vdash da\ (\ da\ f) = da\ (\Diamond\ (di\ f))$
    using 1 **by** (*rule DaEqvDa*)
from $7\ 8\ 9$ **show** *?thesis* **by** *fastforce*
qed

lemma *BaEqvBaBa*:
$\vdash ba\ f = ba\ (ba\ f)$

proof −
 have *1*: ⊢ *da* (¬ *f*) = *da* (*da* (¬ *f*)) **by** (*rule DaEqvDaDa*)
 have *2*: ⊢ *da* (*da* (¬ *f*)) = (¬ (*ba* (¬ (*da* (¬ *f*))))) **by** (*rule DaEqvNotBaNot*)
 have *3*: ⊢ (¬ (*da* (*da* (¬ *f*)))) = *ba* (¬ (*da* (¬ *f*))) **by** (*auto simp: ba-d-def*)
 have *4*: ⊢ (¬ (*da* (¬ *f*))) = *ba* (¬ (*da* (¬ *f*))) **using** *1 2 3* **by** *fastforce*
 from *4* **show** *?thesis* **by** (*metis ba-d-def*)
qed

lemma *BaLeftChopImpChop*:
 ⊢ *ba* (*f* ⟶ *f1*) ⟶ *f*; *g* ⟶ *f1*; *g*
proof −
 have *1*: ⊢ *ba* (*f* ⟶ *f1*) ⟶ *bi* (*f* ⟶ *f1*) **by** (*rule BaImpBi*)
 have *2*: ⊢ *bi* (*f* ⟶ *f1*) ⟶ *f*; *g* ⟶ *f1*; *g* **by** (*rule BiChopImpChop*)
 from *1 2* **show** *?thesis* **by** *fastforce*
qed

lemma *BaRightChopImpChop*:
 ⊢ *ba* (*g* ⟶ *g1*) ⟶ *f*; *g* ⟶ *f*; *g1*
proof −
 have *1*: ⊢ *ba* (*g* ⟶ *g1*) ⟶ □(*g* ⟶ *g1*) **by** (*rule BaImpBt*)
 have *2*: ⊢ □(*g* ⟶ *g1*) ⟶ *f*; *g* ⟶ *f*; *g1* **by** (*rule BoxChopImpChop*)
 from *1 2* **show** *?thesis* **by** *fastforce*
qed

lemma *ChopAndBaImport*:
 ⊢ (*f*; *f1*) ∧ *ba g* ⟶ (*f* ∧ *g*); (*f1* ∧ *g*)
proof −
 have *1*: ⊢ *ba g* ∧ (*f*; *f1*) ⟶ (*g* ∧ *f*); (*g* ∧ *f1*) **by** (*rule BaAndChopImport*)
 have *2*: ⊢ (*g* ∧ *f*); (*g* ∧ *f1*) = (*f* ∧ *g*); (*f1* ∧ *g*) **by** (*rule AndChopAndCommute*)
 from *1 2* **show** *?thesis* **by** *fastforce*
qed

lemma *BaAndChopImportA*:
 ⊢ *ba f* ∧ *g*;*g1* ⟶ (*f* ∧ *g*);*g1*
by (*meson BaAndChopImport ChopAndB lift-imp-trans*)

lemma *BaAndChopImportB*:
 ⊢ *ba f* ∧ *g*;*g1* ⟶ (*f* ∧ *g*);(*ba f* ∧ *g1*)
proof −
 have *1*: ⊢ *ba f* = *ba* (*ba f*)
  by (*simp add*: *BaEqvBaBa*)
 have *2*: ⊢ *ba* (*ba f*) ∧ *g*;*g1* ⟶ *g*;(*ba f* ∧ *g1*)
  by (*metis AndChopB BaAndChopImport lift-imp-trans*)
 have *3*: ⊢ *ba f* ∧ *g*;(*ba f* ∧ *g1*) ⟶ (*f* ∧ *g*);(*ba f* ∧ *g1*)
  by (*simp add*: *BaAndChopImportA*)
 from *1 2 3* **show** *?thesis* **by** *fastforce*
qed

lemma *BaImpBaImpBaAnd*:

$\vdash$ *ba h* $\longrightarrow$ *ba*(*g* $\longrightarrow$ *ba h* $\wedge$ *g*)
proof $-$
 have *1*: $\vdash$ *ba h* $\longrightarrow$ (*g* $\longrightarrow$ *ba h* $\wedge$ *g*) **by** *fastforce*
 hence *2*: $\vdash$ *ba*(*ba h*) $\longrightarrow$ *ba*(*g* $\longrightarrow$ *ba h* $\wedge$ *g*) **by** (*rule BaImpBa*)
 have *3*: $\vdash$ *ba h* $=$ *ba*(*ba h*) **by** (*rule BaEqvBaBa*)
 from *2 3* **show** *?thesis* **by** *fastforce*
qed

lemma *BaChopImpChopBa*:
 $\vdash$ *ba f* $\longrightarrow$ *g*; *g1* $\longrightarrow$ *g*; ((*ba f*) $\wedge$ *g1*)
proof $-$
 have *1*: $\vdash$ *ba f* $\longrightarrow$ *ba* (*g1* $\longrightarrow$ (*ba f*) $\wedge$ *g1*) **by** (*rule BaImpBaImpBaAnd*)
 have *2*: $\vdash$ *ba* (*g1* $\longrightarrow$ *ba f* $\wedge$ *g1*) $\longrightarrow$ *g*; *g1* $\longrightarrow$ *g*; (*ba f* $\wedge$ *g1*) **by** (*rule BaRightChopImpChop*)
 from *1 2* **show** *?thesis* **by** *fastforce*
qed

lemma *DiNotBaImpNotBa*:
 $\vdash$ *di* ($\neg$ (*ba f*)) $\longrightarrow$ $\neg$ (*ba f*)
proof $-$
 have *1*: $\vdash$ *ba f* $=$ *ba*(*ba f*) **by** (*rule BaEqvBaBa*)
 have *2*: $\vdash$ *ba* (*ba f*) $\longrightarrow$ *bi* (*ba f*) **by** (*rule BaImpBi*)
 have *3*: $\vdash$ *ba f* $\longrightarrow$ *bi* (*ba f*) **using** *1 2* **by** *fastforce*
 hence *4*: $\vdash$ *ba f* $\longrightarrow$ $\neg$ (*di* ($\neg$ (*ba f*))) **by** (*simp add*: *bi-d-def*)
 from *4* **show** *?thesis* **by** *fastforce*
qed

lemma *NotBaChopImpNotBa*:
 $\vdash$ ($\neg$ (*ba f*)); *g* $\longrightarrow$ $\neg$ (*ba f*)
proof $-$
 have *1*: $\vdash$ ($\neg$ (*ba f*)); *g* $\longrightarrow$ *di* ($\neg$ (*ba f*)) **by** (*rule ChopImpDi*)
 have *2*: $\vdash$ *di* ($\neg$ (*ba f*)) $\longrightarrow$ $\neg$ (*ba f*) **by** (*rule DiNotBaImpNotBa*)
 from *1 2* **show** *?thesis* **using** *lift-imp-trans* **by** *blast*
qed

lemma *DiamondFinImpFin*:
 $\vdash$ $\Diamond$ (*fin f*) $\longrightarrow$ *fin f*
proof $-$
 have *1*: $\vdash$ *fin f* $=$ #*True*;(*f* $\wedge$ *empty*)
     by (*rule FinEqvTrueChopAndEmpty*)
 hence *2*: $\vdash$ $\Diamond$ (*fin f*) $=$ *finite*;(#*True*;(*f* $\wedge$ *empty*))
      by (*metis FiniteChopFiniteEqvFinite LeftChopEqvChop inteq-reflection sometimes-d-def*)
 have *3*: $\vdash$ *finite*;(#*True*;(*f* $\wedge$ *empty*)) $=$ (*finite*;#*True*);(*f* $\wedge$ *empty*)
     by (*rule ChopAssoc*)
 have *4*: $\vdash$ (*finite*;#*True*);(*f* $\wedge$ *empty*) $\longrightarrow$ #*True*;(*f* $\wedge$ *empty*)
    using *1 2 3 DiamondFin* **by** *fastforce*
 from *1 2 3 4* **show** *?thesis* **by** *fastforce*
qed

lemma *ChopFinImpFin*:

⊢ (f ∧ finite); fin (init w) ⟶ fin (init w)
proof −
 have 1: ⊢ (f ∧ finite); fin (init w) ⟶ ◇ (fin (init w)) **by** (rule FiniteChopImpDiamond)
 have 2: ⊢ ◇ (fin (init w)) ⟶ fin (init w) **by** (rule DiamondFinImpFin)
 from 1 2 **show** ?thesis **using** lift-imp-trans **by** blast
qed

lemma FiniteRightChopEqvChop:
 assumes ⊢ finite ⟶ g = g1
 shows ⊢ finite ⟶ f;g = f;g1
using assms **by** (auto simp add: Valid-def finite-defs chop-defs sum.case-eq-if)

lemma FinImpYieldsFin:
⊢ fin (init w) ∧ finite ⟶ (f ∧ finite) yields (fin (init w) ∧ finite)
proof −
 have 1: ⊢ (f ∧ finite); (fin (init (¬ w)) ∧ finite) ⟶ (fin (init (¬ w)) ∧ finite)
    by (metis (no-types, lifting) ChopAndB FiniteChopEqvDiamond FiniteChopFinExportA
        FiniteChopFiniteEqvFinite FiniteChopImpDiamond Prop12 inteq-reflection
        lift-and-com lift-imp-trans)
 have 2: ⊢ finite ⟶ (¬ (fin (init w) ∧ finite)) = (fin (init (¬ w)) ∧ finite)
    using FinNotStateEqvNotFinState **by** fastforce
 hence 3: ⊢ finite ⟶ (f ∧ finite); (¬ (fin (init w) ∧ finite)) =
          (f ∧ finite); (fin (init (¬ w)) ∧ finite)
     using FiniteRightChopEqvChop[of LIFT(¬ (fin (init w) ∧ finite))
                      LIFT(fin (init (¬ w)) ∧ finite) LIFT(f ∧ finite)]
        by blast
 have 4: ⊢ (f ∧ finite); (¬ (fin (init w) ∧ finite)) ⟶ (¬ (fin (init w) ∧ finite))
     using 1 2 3 **by** fastforce
 hence 5: ⊢ fin (init w) ∧ finite ⟶ ¬ ((f ∧ finite); (¬ (fin (init w) ∧ finite)))
 by fastforce
 from 5 **show** ?thesis **by** (simp add: yields-d-def)
qed

lemma ChopAndFin:
 ⊢ ((f ; g) ∧ (fin (init w) ∧ finite)) = (f ∧ finite); (g ∧ (fin (init w) ∧ finite))
proof −
 have 1: ⊢ fin (init w) ∧ finite ⟶ (f ∧ finite) yields (fin (init w) ∧ finite)
     by (rule FinImpYieldsFin)
 have 10: ⊢ ((f ; g) ∧ (fin (init w) ∧ finite)) =
          (((f ∧ finite); (g ∧ finite)) ∧ fin (init w) ∧ finite)
     using ChopAndFiniteDist[of f g] **by** auto
 have 2: ⊢ (f ; g) ∧ (fin (init w) ∧ finite) ⟶
          ((f ∧ finite); (g ∧ finite)) ∧ (f ∧ finite) yields (fin (init w) ∧ finite)
     using 1 10 **by** fastforce
 have 3: ⊢ ((f ∧ finite); (g ∧ finite)) ∧ (f ∧ finite) yields (fin (init w) ∧ finite) ⟶
          (f ∧ finite); ((g ∧ finite) ∧ (fin (init w) ∧ finite))
     using ChopAndYieldsImp **by** blast
 have 30: ⊢ ((g ∧ finite) ∧ (fin (init w) ∧ finite)) = (g ∧ fin (init w) ∧ finite)

by *auto*
have *4*: ⊢ (*f* ; *g*) ∧ (*fin* (*init w*) ∧ *finite*)⟶ (*f* ∧ *finite*); (*g* ∧ *fin* (*init w*) ∧ *finite*)
    using *2 3 30*
      by (*metis* (*mono-tags*, *lifting*) *inteq-reflection lift-imp-trans*)
have *11*: ⊢ (*f* ∧ *finite*); (*g* ∧ *fin* (*init w*) ∧ *finite*) ⟶ (*f* ∧ *finite*); (*g* ∧ *finite*)
    using *ChopAndA* **by** (*metis 30 inteq-reflection*)
have *12*: ⊢ (*f* ∧ *finite*); (*g* ∧ *fin* (*init w*) ∧ *finite*) ⟶
        (*f* ∧ *finite*); (*fin* (*init w*) ∧ *finite*)
    by (*rule ChopAndB*)
have *13*: ⊢ (*f* ∧ *finite*); (*fin* (*init w*) ∧ *finite*)⟶ ◇ (*fin* (*init w*) ∧ *finite*)
    using *FiniteChopImpDiamond* **by** *blast*
have *14*: ⊢ ◇(*fin* (*init w*) ∧ *finite*) ⟶ *fin* (*init w*)
    by (*metis ChopAndA DiamondFin inteq-reflection sometimes-d-def*)
have *15*: ⊢ (*f* ∧ *finite*); (*g* ∧ *fin* (*init w*) ∧ *finite*) ⟶
        ((*f* ∧ *finite*); (*g* ∧ *finite*)) ∧ *fin* (*init w*)
    using *11 12 13 14* **by** *fastforce*
from *4 15* **show** *?thesis* **by** (*metis ChopAndFiniteDist Prop12 int-iffI inteq-reflection*)
qed

lemma *ChopAndNotFin*:
  ⊢ (*f* ; *g* ∧ ¬ (*fin* (*init w*)) ∧ *finite*) = (*f* ∧ *finite*); (*g* ∧ ¬ (*fin* (*init w*)) ∧ *finite*)
proof −
have *1*: ⊢ (*f* ; *g* ∧ *fin* (*init* (¬ *w*)) ∧ *finite*) =
      (*f* ∧ *finite*); (*g* ∧ *fin* (*init* (¬ *w*)) ∧ *finite*)
    by (*rule ChopAndFin*)
have *2*: ⊢ (*fin* (*init* (¬ *w*)) ∧ *finite*)= ((¬ (*fin* (*init w*))) ∧ *finite*)
    using *FinNotStateEqvNotFinState* **by** *fastforce*
hence *3*: ⊢ (*g* ∧ *fin* (*init* (¬ *w*)) ∧ *finite*) = (*g* ∧ ¬(*fin* (*init w*)) ∧ *finite*)
    by *auto*
hence *4*: ⊢ (*f* ∧ *finite*); (*g* ∧ *fin* (*init* (¬ *w*)) ∧ *finite*) =
      (*f* ∧ *finite*); (*g* ∧ ¬ (*fin* (*init w*)) ∧ *finite*)
    by (*rule RightChopEqvChop*)
from *1 2 4* **show** *?thesis* **by** *fastforce*
qed

lemma *FinChopChain*:
⊢ (((*init w*) ∧ *finite* ⟶ *fin* (*init w1*)));
   (((*init w1*) ∧ *finite* ⟶ *fin* (*init w2*)))
  ∧ *finite*
 ⟶ (((*init w*) ∧ *finite* ⟶ *fin* (*init w2*)))
proof −
have *1*: ⊢ (*init w*) ∧ *finite* ∧
      ((*init w*) ∧ *finite* ⟶ *fin* (*init w1*)); ((*init w1*) ∧ *finite* ⟶ *fin* (*init w2*))
    ⟶
      ((*init w*) ∧ *finite* ∧ ((*init w*) ∧ *finite* ⟶ *fin* (*init w1*)));
      (((*init w1*) ∧ *finite*⟶ *fin* (*init w2*)) ∧ *finite*)
      by (*metis* (*no-types*, *lifting*) *ChopAndFiniteDist StateAndChop int-iffD2*
        inteq-reflection lift-and-com)
have *2*: ⊢ (*init w*) ∧ *finite* ∧ ((*init w*) ∧ *finite* ⟶ *fin*(*init w1*)) ⟶ *fin* (*init w1*) ∧ *finite*

by *auto*

have *3*: ⊢ ((*init w*) ∧ *finite* ∧ ((*init w*) ∧ *finite* ⟶ *fin* (*init w1*)));
      (((*init w1*) ∧ *finite* ⟶ *fin* (*init w2*)) ∧ *finite*)
     ⟶
      (*fin* (*init w1*) ∧ *finite*); (((*init w1*) ∧ *finite* ⟶ *fin* (*init w2*)) ∧ *finite*)
  using *2 LeftChopImpChop* **by** *blast*

have *4*: ⊢ (*fin* (*init w1*) ∧ *finite*); (((*init w1*) ∧ *finite* ⟶ *fin* (*init w2*)) ∧ *finite*) =
     ◇((*init w1*) ∧ ((*init w1*) ∧ *finite* ⟶ *fin* (*init w2*)) ∧ *finite*)
  using *FinChopEqvDiamond* **by** *blast*

have *41*: ⊢ ((*init w1*) ∧ *finite* ∧ ((*init w1*) ∧ *finite* ⟶ *fin* (*init w2*))) ⟶ *fin* (*init w2*)
  by *auto*

have *42*: ⊢ ◇((*init w1*) ∧ *finite* ∧ ((*init w1*) ∧ *finite* ⟶ *fin* (*init w2*))) ⟶ ◇(*fin* (*init w2*))
  using *41 DiamondImpDiamond* **by** *blast*

have *5*: ⊢ ◇(*fin*(*init w2*)) ⟶ *fin* (*init w2*)
  using *DiamondFinImpFin* **by** *blast*

have *6*: ⊢ (*init w*) ∧ *finite* ∧ ((*init w*) ∧ *finite* ⟶ *fin* (*init w1*));
      ((*init w1*) ∧ *finite* ⟶ *fin* (*init w2*))
      ⟶ *fin* (*init w2*)
  using *1 3 4 5 42*
  by (*metis* (*no-types, hide-lams*) *inteq-reflection lift-and-com lift-imp-trans*)

from *6* **show** *?thesis* **by** *fastforce*

qed

lemma *ChopRule*:
 assumes ⊢ (*init w*) ∧ *f* ∧ *finite* ⟶ *fin* (*init w1*)
    ⊢ (*init w1*)∧ *f1* ∧ *finite* ⟶ *fin* (*init w2*)
 shows ⊢ (*init w*) ∧ (*f*; *f1*) ∧ *finite* ⟶ *fin* (*init w2*)
proof −
 have *1*: ⊢ (*init w*) ∧ (*f*; *f1*) ∧ *finite* ⟶ ((*init w*) ∧ *f* ∧ *finite*); (*f1* ∧ *finite*)
 using *StateAndChopImport*
 by (*metis ChopAndFiniteDist inteq-reflection*)

 have *2*: ⊢ (*init w*) ∧ *f* ∧ *finite* ⟶ *fin* (*init w1*) ∧ *finite* **using** *assms* **by** *auto*

 hence *3*: ⊢ ((*init w*) ∧ *f* ∧ *finite*); (*f1* ∧ *finite*) ⟶ (*fin* (*init w1*) ∧ *finite*); (*f1* ∧ *finite*)
  by (*rule LeftChopImpChop*)

 have *4*: ⊢ (*fin* (*init w1*) ∧ *finite*); (*f1* ∧ *finite*) = ◇((*init w1*) ∧ *f1* ∧ *finite*)
  by (*rule FinChopEqvDiamond*)

 have *5*: ⊢ (*init w1*) ∧ *f1* ∧ *finite* ⟶ *fin* (*init w2*) **using** *assms* **by** *auto*

 hence *6*: ⊢ ◇((*init w1*) ∧ *f1* ∧ *finite*) ⟶ ◇ (*fin* (*init w2*)) **by** (*rule DiamondImpDiamond*)

 have *7*: ⊢ ◇(*fin* (*init w2*)) ⟶ *fin* (*init w2*) **using** *DiamondFinImpFin* **by** *blast*

 from *1 3 4 6 7* **show** *?thesis* **by** *fastforce*
qed

lemma *ChopRep*:
 assumes ⊢ (*init w*) ∧ *f* ∧ *finite* ⟶ *f1* ∧ *fin* (*init w1*)
    ⊢ (*init w1*) ∧ *g* ∧ *finite* ⟶ *g1*
 shows ⊢ (*init w*) ∧ (*f*; *g*) ∧ *finite* ⟶ ((*f1* ∧ *finite*); *g1*)
proof −
 have *1*: ⊢ (*init w*) ∧ *f* ∧ *finite* ⟶ ((*f1* ∧ *finite*) ∧ *fin* (*init w1*)) **using** *assms* **by** *auto*

 hence *2*: ⊢ (*init w*) ∧ ((*f* ∧ *finite*); (*g* ∧ *finite*)) ⟶

$((f1 \land finite) \land fin\ (init\ w1)); (g \land finite)$
    using *StateAndChopImpChopRule* **by** *blast*
have $3: \vdash ((f1 \land finite) \land fin\ (init\ w1)); (g \land finite) =$
        $(f1 \land finite); ((init\ w1) \land (g \land finite))$
    using *AndFinChopEqvStateAndChop* **by** *blast*
have $4: \vdash (init\ w1) \land g \land finite \longrightarrow g1$ **using** *assms* **by** *auto*
hence $5: \vdash (f1 \land finite); ((init\ w1) \land g \land finite) \longrightarrow (f1 \land finite); g1$
    using *RightChopImpChop* **by** *blast*
from *2 3 5* **show** *?thesis* **using** *ChopAndFiniteDist* **by** *fastforce*
qed

lemma *ChopRepAndFin*:
 assumes $\vdash (init\ w) \land f \land finite \longrightarrow f1 \land fin\ (init\ w1)$
        $\vdash (init\ w1) \land g \land finite \longrightarrow g1 \land fin\ (init\ w2)$
 shows $\vdash (init\ w) \land (f; g) \land finite \longrightarrow ((f1 \land finite); g1) \land fin\ (init\ w2)$
proof $-$
 have $1: \vdash (init\ w) \land f \land finite \longrightarrow f1 \land fin\ (init\ w1)$ **using** *assms* **by** *auto*
 have $2: \vdash (init\ w1) \land g \land finite \longrightarrow g1 \land fin\ (init\ w2)$ **using** *assms* **by** *auto*
 have $3: \vdash (init\ w) \land (f; g) \land finite \longrightarrow (f1 \land finite); (g1 \land fin\ (init\ w2))$
 using *1 2* **by** (*rule ChopRep*)
 have $4: \vdash (f1 \land finite); (g1 \land fin\ (init\ w2)) \longrightarrow (f1 \land finite); g1$ **by** (*rule ChopAndA*)
 have $5: \vdash (f1 \land finite); (g1 \land fin\ (init\ w2)) \longrightarrow (f1 \land finite); fin\ (init\ w2)$
 by (*rule ChopAndB*)
 have $6: \vdash (f1 \land finite); fin\ (init\ w2) \longrightarrow fin\ (init\ w2)$ **by** (*rule ChopFinImpFin*)
 from *1 2 3 4 5 6* **show** *?thesis* **using** *ChopRep ChopRule* **by** *fastforce*
qed

lemma *TrueChopMoreEqvMore*:
$\vdash \#True\ ;\ more = more$
by (*metis ChopMoreImpMore EmptyImpFinite FiniteAndEmptyEqvEmpty FiniteChopMoreEqvMore*
        LeftChopImpChop Prop09 int-eq-true int-iffI inteq-reflection)

lemma *FiniteChopFmoreEqvFmore*:
$\vdash finite; fmore = fmore$
by (*metis TrueChopAndFiniteEqvAndFiniteChopFinite TrueChopMoreEqvMore fmore-d-def inteq-reflection*)

lemma *MoreChopLoop*:
 assumes $\vdash f \longrightarrow fmore\ ;\ f$
 shows $\vdash finite \longrightarrow \neg\ f$
proof $-$
 have $1: \vdash f \longrightarrow fmore\ ;\ f$
    using *assms* **by** *auto*
 hence $11: \vdash \diamond (f) \longrightarrow \diamond (fmore; f)$
    using *DiamondImpDiamond* **by** *blast*
 have $12: \vdash \diamond (fmore; f) = finite; (fmore; f)$
    by (*simp add: sometimes-d-def*)
 have $13: \vdash finite; (fmore; f) = (finite; fmore); f$
    by (*rule ChopAssoc*)
 have $14: \vdash \diamond (fmore; f) = fmore; f$

using *FiniteChopFmoreEqvFmore 12 13* **by** (*metis int-eq*)
have 　2: ⊢ *fmore* ; *f* = ○(◇ *f*)
　　using *MoreChopEqvNextDiamond* **by** *blast*
have 　3: ⊢ ◇ (*f*) ⟶ ○(◇ *f*)
　　using *11 14 2* **by** *fastforce*
hence 　4: ⊢ *finite* ⟶ ¬ (◇ *f*)
　　using *NextLoop* **by** *blast*
have 　5: ⊢ ¬ (◇ *f*) ⟶ ¬ *f*
　　using *NowImpDiamond* **by** *fastforce*
from *4 5* **show** *?thesis* **using** *lift-imp-trans* **by** *blast*
qed

lemma *MoreChopContra*:
　assumes ⊢ 　*f* ∧ ¬ *g* ⟶ (*fmore* ; (*f* ∧ ¬ *g*))
　shows 　⊢ *f* ∧ *finite* ⟶ *g*
proof −
　have *1*: ⊢ *f* ∧ ¬ *g* ⟶ (*fmore* ; (*f* ∧ ¬ *g*)) **using** *assms* **by** *auto*
　hence *2*: ⊢ *finite* ⟶ ¬ (*f* ∧ ¬ *g*) **by** (*rule MoreChopLoop*)
　from *2* **show** *?thesis*
　by (*simp add*: *Valid-def finite-defs infinite-defs sum.case-eq-if*)
qed

lemma *MoreChopLoopFinite*:
　assumes ⊢ 　*f* ∧ *finite* ⟶ *fmore* ; *f*
　shows 　⊢ *finite* ⟶ ¬ *f*
proof −
　have 　1: ⊢ *f* ∧ *finite* ⟶ *fmore* ; *f*
　　　using *assms* **by** *auto*
　hence *11*: ⊢ ◇ (*f* ∧ *finite*) ⟶ ◇ (*fmore*;*f*)
　　using *DiamondImpDiamond* **by** *blast*
　have *12*: ⊢ ◇ (*fmore*;*f*) = *finite*;(*fmore*;*f*)
　　by (*simp add*: *sometimes-d-def*)
　have *13*: ⊢ *finite*;(*fmore*;*f*) = (*finite*;*fmore*);*f*
　　by (*rule ChopAssoc*)
　have *14*: ⊢ ◇ (*fmore*;*f*) = *fmore*;*f*
　　using *FiniteChopFmoreEqvFmore 12 13* **by** (*metis int-eq*)
　have 　2: ⊢ *fmore* ; *f* = ○(◇ *f*)
　　using *MoreChopEqvNextDiamond* **by** *blast*
　have 　3: ⊢ ◇ (*f* ∧ *finite*) ⟶ ○(◇ *f*)
　　using *11 14 2* **by** *fastforce*
　have *31*: ⊢ ◇ (*f* ∧ *finite*) = ((◇ *f*) ∧ *finite*)
　　by (*metis* (*no-types, lifting*) *3 ChopAndB ChopAndNotChopImp DiamondDiamondEqvDiamond*
　　　DiamondIntroC FiniteChopFiniteEqvFinite FiniteChopInfEqvInf Prop11 Prop12 finite-d-def
　　　inteq-reflection sometimes-d-def)
　have *32*: ⊢ (◇ *f*) ∧ *finite* ⟶ ○(◇ *f*)
　　using *3 31* **by** *fastforce*
　hence 　4: ⊢ *finite* ⟶ ¬ (◇ *f*)
　　by (*metis* (*no-types, lifting*) *DiamondIntro FiniteChopInfEqvInf InfEqvNotFinite Prop09*
　　　finite-d-def int-simps(*15*) *int-simps*(*32*) *inteq-reflection sometimes-d-def*)
　have 　5: ⊢ ¬ (◇ *f*) ⟶ ¬ *f*

by (*simp add*: *NowImpDiamond*)
from *4 5* **show** *?thesis* **using** *lift-imp-trans* **by** *fastforce*
qed

lemma *MoreChopEqvFmoreOrInf* :
⊢ *more* ; *f* = ((*fmore*;*f*) ∨ *inf*)
by (*simp add*: *Valid-def more-defs fmore-defs chop-defs infinite-defs sum.case-eq-if*)

lemma *MoreChopLoopFiniteB*:
assumes ⊢ *f* ⟶ *more* ; *f*
shows ⊢ *finite* ⟶ ¬ *f*
proof −
have 1: ⊢ *f* ⟶ *more* ; *f*
    using *assms* **by** *auto*
have 10: ⊢ *f* ⟶ (*fmore*;*f*) ∨ *inf*
  using *MoreChopEqvFmoreOrInf assms* **by** *fastforce*
hence 100: ⊢ *f* ∧ *finite* ⟶ (*fmore*;*f*)
  by (*simp add*: *Prop13 finite-d-def*)
hence 11: ⊢ ◇ (*f* ∧ *finite*) ⟶ ◇ (*fmore*;*f*)
    using *DiamondImpDiamond* **by** *blast*
have 12: ⊢ ◇ (*fmore*;*f*) = *finite*;(*fmore*;*f*)
    by (*simp add*: *sometimes-d-def*)
have 13: ⊢ *finite*;(*fmore*;*f*) = (*finite*;*fmore*);*f*
    by (*rule ChopAssoc*)
have 14: ⊢ ◇ (*fmore*;*f*) = *fmore*;*f*
    using *FiniteChopFmoreEqvFmore 12 13* **by** (*metis int-eq*)
have 2: ⊢ *fmore* ; *f* = ○(◇ *f*)
    using *MoreChopEqvNextDiamond* **by** *blast*
have 3: ⊢ ◇ (*f* ∧ *finite*) ⟶ ○(◇ *f*)
    using *11 14 2* **by** *fastforce*
have 31: ⊢ ◇ (*f* ∧ *finite*) = ((◇ *f*) ∧ *finite*)
    by (*metis* (*no-types*, *hide-lams*) *ChopAndA ChopAndB ChopAndNotChopImp FiniteChopFiniteEqvFinite*
        FiniteChopInfEqvInf Prop11 Prop12 finite-d-def inteq-reflection sometimes-d-def)
have 32: ⊢ (◇ *f*) ∧ *finite* ⟶ ○(◇ *f*)
   using *3 31* **by** *fastforce*
hence 4: ⊢ *finite* ⟶ ¬ (◇ *f*)
    by (*metis* (*no-types*, *lifting*) *DiamondIntro FiniteChopInfEqvInf InfEqvNotFinite Prop09*
        finite-d-def int-simps(*15*) *int-simps*(*32*) *inteq-reflection sometimes-d-def*)
have 5: ⊢ ¬ (◇ *f*) ⟶ ¬ *f*
    by (*simp add*: *NowImpDiamond*)
from *4 5* **show** *?thesis* **using** *lift-imp-trans* **by** *fastforce*
qed

lemma *MoreChopContraFinite*:
assumes ⊢ (*f* ∧ ¬ *g*) ∧ *finite* ⟶ (*fmore* ; (*f* ∧ ¬ *g*))
shows ⊢ *f* ∧ *finite* ⟶ *g*
proof −
have 1: ⊢ (*f* ∧ ¬ *g*) ∧ *finite* ⟶ (*fmore* ; (*f* ∧ ¬ *g*)) **using** *assms* **by** *auto*

hence *2*: ⊢ *finite* ⟶ ¬ (*f* ∧ ¬ *g*) **using** *MoreChopLoopFinite* **by** (*simp add*: *MoreChopLoopFinite*)
from *2* **show** *?thesis* **by** (*simp add*: *Valid-def*)
qed

lemma *MoreChopContraFiniteB*:
 assumes ⊢ (*f* ∧ ¬ *g*) ⟶ (*more* ; (*f* ∧ ¬ *g*))
 shows ⊢ *f* ∧ *finite* ⟶ *g*
proof −
 have *1*: ⊢ (*f* ∧ ¬ *g*) ⟶ (*more* ; (*f* ∧ ¬ *g*)) **using** *assms* **by** *auto*
 hence *2*: ⊢ *finite* ⟶ ¬ (*f* ∧ ¬ *g*) **using** *MoreChopLoopFinite* **by** (*simp add*: *MoreChopLoopFiniteB*)
 from *2* **show** *?thesis* **by** (*simp add*: *Valid-def*)
qed

lemma *ChopLoop*:
 assumes ⊢ *f* ⟶ *g*;*f*
        ⊢ *g* ⟶ *fmore*
 shows ⊢ *finite* ⟶ ¬ *f*
proof −
have *1*: ⊢ *f* ⟶ *g*; *f* **using** *assms* **by** *auto*
have *2*: ⊢ *g* ⟶ *fmore* **using** *assms* **by** *auto*
hence *3*: ⊢ *g*; *f* ⟶ *fmore* ; *f* **by** (*rule LeftChopImpChop*)
have *4*: ⊢ *f* ⟶ *fmore* ; *f* **using** *1 3* **by** *fastforce*
from *4* **show** *?thesis* **using** *MoreChopLoop* **by** *auto*
qed

lemma *ChopLoopB*:
 assumes ⊢ *f* ⟶ *g*;*f*
        ⊢ *g* ⟶ *more*
 shows ⊢ *finite* ⟶ ¬ *f*
proof −
have *1*: ⊢ *f* ⟶ *g*; *f* **using** *assms* **by** *auto*
have *2*: ⊢ *g* ⟶ *more* **using** *assms* **by** *auto*
hence *3*: ⊢ *g*; *f* ⟶ *more* ; *f* **by** (*rule LeftChopImpChop*)
have *4*: ⊢ *f* ⟶ *more* ; *f* **using** *1 3* **by** *fastforce*
from *4* **show** *?thesis* **using** *MoreChopLoopFiniteB* **by** *auto*
qed

lemma *ChopContra*:
 assumes ⊢ *f* ∧ ¬ *g* ⟶ *h*; *f* ∧ ¬ (*h*; *g*)
        ⊢ *h* ⟶ *fmore*
 shows   ⊢ *f* ∧ *finite* ⟶ *g*
proof −
have *1*: ⊢ *f* ∧ ¬ *g* ⟶ *h*; *f* ∧ ¬ (*h*; *g*) **using** *assms* **by** *auto*
have *2*: ⊢ *h* ⟶ *fmore* **using** *assms* **by** *auto*
have *3*: ⊢ *h*; *f* ∧ ¬ (*h*; *g*) ⟶ *h*; (*f* ∧ ¬ *g*) **by** (*rule ChopAndNotChopImp*)
have *4*: ⊢ *h*; (*f* ∧ ¬ *g*) ⟶ *fmore* ; (*f* ∧ ¬ *g*) **using** *2* **by** (*rule LeftChopImpChop*)
have *5*: ⊢ *f* ∧ ¬ *g* ⟶ *fmore* ; (*f* ∧ ¬ *g*) **using** *1 3 4* **by** *fastforce*
from *5* **show** *?thesis* **using** *MoreChopContra* **by** *auto*
qed

lemma *ChopContraB*:
 assumes ⊢ *f* ∧ ¬ *g* ⟶ *h*; *f* ∧ ¬ (*h*; *g*)
       ⊢ *h* ⟶ *more*
 shows ⊢ *f* ∧ *finite* ⟶ *g*
proof −
have *1*: ⊢ *f* ∧ ¬ *g* ⟶ *h*; *f* ∧ ¬ (*h*; *g*) **using** *assms* **by** *auto*
have *2*: ⊢ *h* ⟶ *more* **using** *assms* **by** *auto*
have *3*: ⊢ *h*; *f* ∧ ¬ (*h*; *g*) ⟶ *h*; (*f* ∧ ¬ *g*) **by** (*rule ChopAndNotChopImp*)
have *4*: ⊢ *h*; (*f* ∧ ¬ *g*) ⟶ *more* ; (*f* ∧ ¬ *g*) **using** *2* **by** (*rule LeftChopImpChop*)
have *5*: ⊢ *f* ∧ ¬ *g* ⟶ *more* ; (*f* ∧ ¬ *g*) **using** *1 3 4* **by** *fastforce*
from *5* **show** *?thesis* **using** *MoreChopContraFiniteB* **by** *auto*
qed

13.8 Properties of Chopstar and Chopplus

lemma *FPowerstardef*:
⊢ *fpowerstar f* = (∃ *n. power f n*)
by (*simp add*: *fpowerstar-d-def*)

lemma *Powerstardef*:
⊢ *powerstar f* = (*fpowerstar f*);(*empty* ∨ (*f* ∧ *inf*))
by (*simp add*: *fpowerstar-d-def powerstar-d-def*)

lemma *Chopstardef*:
⊢ *chopstar f* = *powerstar* (*f* ∧ *more*)
by (*simp add*: *chopstar-d-def*)

lemma *AndEmptyChopAndEmptyEqvAndEmpty*:
⊢ (*f* ∧ *empty*);(*f* ∧ *empty*) = (*f* ∧ *empty*)
by (*simp add*: *Valid-def empty-defs chop-defs sum.case-eq-if min.absorb1*)
 (*metis interval-prefix-intlen interval-suffix-zero le-antisym le-numeral-extra*(*3*) *min.absorb1*
   sum.collapse(*1*))

lemma *PowerCommute*:
⊢ (*f* ∧ *finite*) ;*power f n* = *power f n*;(*f* ∧ *finite*)
proof
 (*induct n*)
 case *0*
 then show *?case*
 by (*metis ChopEmpty EmptyChop inteq-reflection power-d.pow-0*)
next
 case (*Suc n*)
 then show *?case*
 by (*metis ChopAssoc inteq-reflection power-d.pow-Suc*)
 qed

lemma *ChopInductL*:
 assumes ⊢ *g* ∨ *f*;*h* ⟶ *h*
 shows ⊢ (*power f n*);*g* ⟶ *h*
proof

(*induct n*)
case *0*
then show *?case* **using** *EmptyChop assms*
by (*metis MP Prop12 int-eq int-iffD1 int-simps*(*33*) *pow-0*)
next
case (*Suc n*)
then show *?case* **using** *assms*
by (*metis AndChopA ChopAssoc Prop05 Prop11 RightChopImpChop lift-imp-trans pow-Suc*)
qed

lemma *ChopInductFiniteL*:
 assumes ⊢ *g* ∨ (*f* ∧ *finite*);*h* ⟶ *h*
 shows   ⊢ (*power f n*);*g* ⟶ *h*
proof
 (*induct n*)
 case *0*
 then show *?case* **using** *EmptyChop assms*
 by (*metis MP Prop12 int-eq int-iffD1 int-simps*(*33*) *pow-0*)
 next
 case (*Suc n*)
 then show *?case* **using** *assms*
  by (*metis ChopAndB ChopAssoc Prop05 Prop10 inteq-reflection lift-imp-trans pow-Suc*)
 qed

lemma *ChopInductFiniteMoreL*:
 assumes ⊢ *g* ∨ ((*f* ∧ *more*) ∧ *finite*);*h* ⟶ *h*
 shows   ⊢ (*power f n*);*g* ⟶ *h*
proof
 (*induct n*)
 case *0*
 then show *?case* **using** *assms* **by** (*metis ChopInductFiniteL pow-0*)
 next
 case (*Suc n*)
 then show *?case*
 proof −
 have *1*: ⊢ *power f* (*Suc n*);*g* = ((*f* ∧ *finite*);*power f n*);*g*
  by *simp*
 have *2*: ⊢ ((*f* ∧ *finite*);*power f n*);*g* = (*f* ∧ *finite*);((*power f n*);*g*)
  by (*meson ChopAssoc Prop11*)
 have *3*: ⊢ (*f* ∧ *finite*);((*power f n*);*g*) ⟶ (*f* ∧ *finite*);*h*
 by (*simp add*: *RightChopImpChop Suc.hyps*)
 have *4*: ⊢ (*f* ∧ *finite*);*h* = (((*f* ∧ *more*) ∧ *finite*); *h* ∨ ((*f* ∧ *empty*) ∧ *finite*);*h*)
  using *neq0-conv*
  by (*simp add*: *Valid-def finite-defs chop-defs more-defs empty-defs sum.case-eq-if*)
    fastforce
 have *5*: ⊢ ((*f* ∧ *more*) ∧ *finite*); *h* ⟶ *h* **using** *assms* **by** *auto*
 have *6*: ⊢ ((*f* ∧ *empty*) ∧ *finite*); *h* ⟶ *h*
   by (*metis AndChopA AndChopB EmptyChop inteq-reflection lift-imp-trans*)
 from *5 6 4 3 2 1* **show** *?thesis* **by** *fastforce*
 qed

qed

lemma *ChopInductInfL*:
 assumes $\vdash g \vee f;h \longrightarrow h$
 shows $\vdash ((power\ f\ n);(f \wedge inf));g \longrightarrow h$
proof
 (*induct n*)
 case *0*
 then show *?case* **using** *assms*
 by (*metis* (*no-types, lifting*) *AndInfChopEqvAndInf ChopAssoc ChopInductFiniteL PowerstarEqvSemhelp3*
    Prop03 Prop10 Prop12 inteq-reflection)
 next
 case (*Suc n*)
 then show *?case* **using** *assms*
 by (*metis AndChopA ChopAndB ChopAssoc Prop03 Prop10 int-eq lift-imp-trans pow-Suc*)
qed

lemma *ChopInductInfMoreL*:
 assumes $\vdash g \vee f;h \longrightarrow h$
 shows $\vdash ((power\ f\ n);((f \wedge more) \wedge inf));g \longrightarrow h$
using *ChopInductInfL*
by (*metis AndMoreAndInfEqvAndInf assms inteq-reflection*)

lemma *ChopInductR*:
 assumes $\vdash g \vee h;f \longrightarrow h$
 shows $\vdash g;(power\ f\ n) \longrightarrow h$
proof
 (*induct n*)
 case *0*
 then show *?case* **using** *ChopEmpty assms*
 by (*metis MP Prop12 int-iffD2 int-simps*(*33*) *inteq-reflection pow-0*)
 next
 case (*Suc n*)
 then show *?case* **using** *assms*
 by (*metis* (*no-types, hide-lams*) *ChopAndA ChopAssoc LeftChopImpChop PowerCommute Prop05 int-eq*
    lift-imp-trans pow-Suc)
qed

lemma *ChopInductInfR*:
 assumes $\vdash g \vee h;f \longrightarrow h$
 shows $\vdash g;((power\ f\ n);(f \wedge inf)) \longrightarrow h$
using *assms*
by (*metis* (*no-types, hide-lams*) *AndChopA ChopAndB ChopAssoc ChopInductR Prop05 Prop10*
    inteq-reflection lift-and-com lift-imp-trans)

lemma *ChopExistPower*:
 $\vdash (g;(\exists n.\ power\ f\ n)) = (\exists\ n.\ g;power\ f\ n)$

using *ChopExist* **by** *fastforce*

lemma *ExistChopPower*:
⊢ (∃ *n*. (*power f n*);*g*) = (∃ *n*. *power f n*);*g*
using *ExistChop* **by** *fastforce*

lemma *PowerStarCommute*:
⊢ (*f* ∧ *finite*);(∃ *n*. *power f n*) = (∃ *n*. *power f n*);(*f* ∧ *finite*)
proof −
 have *1*: ⊢ (*f* ∧ *finite*) ;(∃ *n*. *power f n*) =
        (∃ *n*. (*f* ∧ *finite*) ;*power f n*)
 using *ChopExistPower* **by** *blast*
 have *2*: ⊢ (∃ *n*. (*f* ∧ *finite*) ;*power f n*) =
        (∃ *n*. (*power f n*);(*f* ∧ *finite*))
 using *PowerCommute* **by** *fastforce*
 have *3*: ⊢ (∃ *n*. (*power f n*);(*f* ∧ *finite*)) =
        (∃ *n*. (*power f n*));(*f* ∧ *finite*)
 using *ExistChopPower* **by** *blast*
 from *1 2 3* **show** *?thesis* **by** *fastforce*
qed

lemma *PowerSucAndEmptyEqvAndEmpty*:
⊢ (*power* (*f* ∧ *empty*) (*Suc n*)) = (*f* ∧ *empty*)
proof
 (*induct n*)
 case *0*
 then show *?case* **using** *ChopEmpty*
 by (*metis* (*no-types*, *lifting*) *FiniteAndEmptyEqvEmpty Prop10 Prop12 int-iffD1 inteq-reflection*
    pow-0 pow-Suc)
 next
 case (*Suc n*)
 then show *?case*
  by (*metis AndEmptyChopAndEmptyEqvAndEmpty EmptyImpFinite Prop10 Prop12 int-iffD1*
    inteq-reflection pow-Suc)
qed

lemma *FiniteOr*:
⊢ ((*f* ∨ *g*) ∧ *finite*) = ((*f* ∧ *finite*) ∨ (*g* ∧ *finite*))
by *auto*

lemma *PowerOr*:
⊢ (*power* (*f* ∨ *g*) (*Suc n*)) = (((*f* ∧ *finite*);*power* (*f* ∨ *g*) *n*) ∨
                        ((*g* ∧ *finite*);*power* (*f* ∨ *g*) *n*))
 by (*simp add*: *FiniteOr OrChopEqvRule*)

lemma *PowerEmptyOrMore*:
⊢ (*power* ((*f* ∧ *empty*) ∨ (*f* ∧ *more*)) (*Suc n*)) =
  ((*f* ∧ *empty*);(*power* ((*f* ∧ *empty*) ∨ (*f* ∧ *more*)) *n*) ∨
   (*f* ∧ *fmore*);(*power* ((*f* ∧ *empty*) ∨ (*f* ∧ *more*)) *n*))

proof −
have f2: ⊢(f ∧ empty) = (empty ∧ f)
    by (meson lift-and-com)
have f3: ⊢((f ∧ empty) ∧ finite) = (finite ∧ f ∧ empty)
    by (meson lift-and-com)
have f4: ⊢(empty ∧ f) = (finite ∧ f ∧ empty)
    using FiniteAndEmptyEqvEmpty **by** auto
have ⊢((f ∧ more) ∧ finite) = (f ∧ fmore)
    by (meson AndMoreAndFiniteEqvAndFmore)
then show ?thesis
    using f4 f3 f2 **by** (metis PowerOr inteq-reflection)
qed

lemma PSEqvEmptyOrChopPS:
⊢ powerstar f = (empty ∨ f;powerstar f)
using PowerstarEqvSem Valid-def **by** blast

lemma EmptyImpCS:
⊢ empty ⟶ f⋆
proof −
 have 1: ⊢ f⋆ = (empty ∨ (f ∧ more);f⋆) **by** (rule ChopstarEqv)
 have 2: ⊢ empty ⟶ empty ∨ (f ∧ more);f⋆ **by** auto
 from 1 2 **show** ?thesis **by** fastforce
qed

lemma CSEqvOrChopCS:
⊢ f⋆ = (empty ∨ (f; f⋆))
proof −
 have 1: ⊢ f⋆ = (empty ∨ (f ∧ more);f⋆) **by** (rule ChopstarEqv)
 have 2: ⊢ (f ∧ more);f⋆ ⟶ f;f⋆ **by** (rule AndChopA)
 have 3: ⊢ f⋆ ⟶ empty ∨ f; f⋆ **using** 1 2 **by** (metis int-iffD1 Prop08)
 have 4: ⊢ empty ⟶ f⋆ **by** (rule EmptyImpCS)
 have 5: ⊢ f ⟶ empty ∨ (f ∧ more) **by** (auto simp: empty-d-def)
 have 6: ⊢ f; f⋆ ⟶ f⋆ ∨ (f ∧ more); f⋆ **using** 5 **by** (rule EmptyOrChopImpRule)
 have 7: ⊢ f⋆ ⟶ empty ∨ (f ∧ more);f⋆ **using** 1 **by** fastforce
 have 8: ⊢ f; f⋆ ⟶ empty ∨ (f ∧ more); f⋆ **using** 6 7 **by** fastforce
 hence 9: ⊢ f; f⋆ ⟶ f⋆ **using** 1 **by** fastforce
 have 10: ⊢ empty ∨ f; f⋆ ⟶ f⋆ **using** 9 4 **by** fastforce
 from 3 10 **show** ?thesis **by** fastforce
qed

lemma PowerChopCommute:
⊢ ((f ∧ more) ∧ finite);power (f ∧ more) n = power (f ∧ more) n;((f ∧ more) ∧ finite)
using PowerCommute **by** auto

lemma ChopExist:

$\vdash (g;(\exists\, n.\ power\ (f \wedge more)\ n)) = (\exists\ n.\ g;power\ (f \wedge more)\ n)$
using *ChopExistPower* **by** *auto*

lemma *ExistChop*:
$\vdash (\exists\, n.\ (power\ (f \wedge more)\ n);g) = (\exists\, n.\ power\ (f \wedge more)\ n);g$
using *ExistChopPower* **by** *auto*

lemma *FPowerstarInductL*:
 assumes $\vdash g \vee (f \wedge finite);h \longrightarrow h$
 shows $\vdash (fpowerstar\ f);g \longrightarrow h$
proof −
 have *1*: $\vdash (fpowerstar\ f);g = (\exists\ n.\ power\ f\ n);g$
 by (*simp add*: *fpowerstar-d-def LeftChopEqvChop*)
 have *2*: $\vdash (\exists\ n.\ power\ f\ n);g =$
        $(\exists\ n.\ (power\ f\ n);g)$
 using *ExistChopPower* **by** *fastforce*
 have *3*: $\bigwedge n. \vdash (power\ f\ n);g \longrightarrow h$
 using *ChopInductFiniteL assms* **by** *blast*
 have *4*: $\vdash (\exists\ n.\ ((power\ f\ n));g) \longrightarrow h$
 using *3* **by** (*simp add*: *Valid-def*) *blast*
 from *1 2 4* **show** *?thesis* **by** (*metis inteq-reflection*)
qed

lemma *FPowerstarInductMoreL*:
 assumes $\vdash g \vee ((f \wedge more) \wedge finite);h \longrightarrow h$
 shows $\vdash (fpowerstar\ f);g \longrightarrow h$
proof −
 have *1*: $\vdash (fpowerstar\ f);g = (\exists\ n.\ power\ f\ n);g$
 by (*simp add*: *fpowerstar-d-def LeftChopEqvChop*)
 have *2*: $\vdash (\exists\ n.\ power\ f\ n);g =$
        $(\exists\ n.\ (power\ f\ n);g)$
 using *ExistChopPower* **by** *fastforce*
 have *3*: $\bigwedge n. \vdash (power\ f\ n);g \longrightarrow h$
 using *ChopInductFiniteMoreL assms* **by** *blast*
 have *4*: $\vdash (\exists\ n.\ ((power\ f\ n));g) \longrightarrow h$
 using *3* **by** (*simp add*: *Valid-def*) *blast*
 from *1 2 4* **show** *?thesis* **by** (*metis inteq-reflection*)
qed

lemma *PowerstarInductL*:
 assumes $\vdash g \vee f;h \longrightarrow h$
 shows $\vdash (powerstar\ f);g \longrightarrow h$
proof −
 have *1*: $\vdash (powerstar\ f);g = ((\exists\ n.\ power\ f\ n);(empty \vee (f \wedge inf)));g$
 by (*simp add*: *powerstar-d-def LeftChopEqvChop*)
 have *11*: $\vdash ((\exists\ n.\ power\ f\ n);(empty \vee (f \wedge inf)));g =$
        $(\exists\ n.\ power\ f\ n);((empty \vee (f \wedge inf));g)$
 by (*meson ChopAssoc Prop11*)
 have *2*: $\vdash (\exists\ n.\ power\ f\ n);((empty \vee (f \wedge inf));g) =$
        $(\exists\ n.\ ((power\ f\ n);((empty \vee (f \wedge inf));g)))$

using *ExistChopPower* **by** *fastforce*
have *3*: ⋀ *n*. ⊢ (*power f n*);*g* ⟶ *h*
using *ChopInductL assms* **by** *blast*
have *31*:⋀ *n*. ⊢ ((*power f n*);(*f* ∧ *inf*));*g* ⟶ *h*
using *ChopInductInfL assms* **by** *blast*
have *4*: ⊢ (∃ *n*. ((*power f n*);(*empty* ∨ (*f* ∧ *inf*)));*g*) ⟶ *h*
using *3 31*
by (*simp add*: *Valid-def*)
  (*metis* (*mono-tags*, *lifting*) *ChopAssoc ChopOrEqv EmptyOrChopEqv int-eq intensional-rews*(*3*))
from *1 11 2 4* **show** *?thesis*
by (*metis InfiniteSemantics.ExistChop inteq-reflection*)
qed

lemma *ChopstarInductL*:
 assumes ⊢ *g* ∨ *f*;*h* ⟶ *h*
 shows   ⊢ (*chopstar f*);*g* ⟶ *h*
proof −
 have *1*: ⊢ (*chopstar f*);*g* = ((∃ *n*. *power* (*f* ∧ *more*) *n*);(*empty* ∨ ((*f* ∧ *more*) ∧ *inf*)));*g*
 by (*simp add*: *chopstar-d-def powerstar-d-def LeftChopEqvChop*)
 have *11*: ⊢ ((∃ *n*. *power* (*f* ∧ *more*) *n*);(*empty* ∨ ((*f* ∧ *more*) ∧ *inf*)));*g* =
        (∃ *n*. *power* (*f* ∧ *more*) *n*);((*empty* ∨ ((*f* ∧ *more*) ∧ *inf*));*g*)
 by (*meson ChopAssoc Prop11*)
 have *2*: ⊢ (∃ *n*. *power* (*f* ∧ *more*) *n*);((*empty* ∨ ((*f* ∧ *more*) ∧ *inf*));*g*) =
        (∃ *n*. (*power* (*f* ∧ *more*) *n*);(((*empty* ∨ ((*f* ∧ *more*) ∧ *inf*));*g*)))
using *ExistChopPower* **by** *fastforce*
 have *21*: ⊢ *g* ∨ (*f* ∧ *more*);*h* ⟶ *h*
   using *AndChopA Prop03 Prop10 assms int-simps*(*33*) *inteq-reflection* **by** *fastforce*
 have *3*: ⋀ *n*. ⊢ (*power* (*f* ∧ *more*) *n*);*g* ⟶ *h*
using *21 ChopInductL*[*of g LIFT*(*f* ∧ *more*) *h*] *assms* **by** *auto*
 have *31*: ⋀ *n*. ⊢ ((*power* (*f* ∧ *more*) *n*);((*f* ∧ *more*) ∧ *inf*));*g* ⟶ *h*
using *assms*
by (*metis* (*no-types*, *lifting*) *AndChopA ChopInductInfL Prop03 Prop10 int-eq-true int-simps*(*33*)
        inteq-reflection lift-imp-trans)
 have *41*: ⋀*n*. ⊢ ((*power* (*f* ∧ *more*) *n*);(*empty* ∨ ((*f* ∧ *more*) ∧ *inf*)));*g* ⟶ *h*
   using *3 31*
   by (*metis* (*mono-tags*, *lifting*) *ChopAssoc ChopOrEqv EmptyOrChopEqv Prop02 int-eq*)
 have *4*: ⊢ (∃ *n*. ((*power* (*f* ∧ *more*) *n*);(*empty* ∨ ((*f* ∧ *more*) ∧ *inf*)));*g*) ⟶ *h*
using *41* **by** *fastforce*
from *1 11 2 4* **show** *?thesis*
by (*metis InfiniteSemantics.ExistChop inteq-reflection*)
qed

lemma *ChopstarInductMoreL*:
 assumes ⊢ *g* ∨ (*f* ∧ *more*);*h* ⟶ *h*
 shows   ⊢ (*chopstar f*);*g* ⟶ *h*
proof −
 have *1*: ⊢ (*chopstar f*);*g* = ((∃ *n*. *power* (*f* ∧ *more*) *n*);(*empty* ∨ ((*f* ∧ *more*) ∧ *inf*)));*g*
 by (*simp add*: *chopstar-d-def powerstar-d-def LeftChopEqvChop*)
 have *11*: ⊢ ((∃ *n*. *power* (*f* ∧ *more*) *n*);(*empty* ∨ ((*f* ∧ *more*) ∧ *inf*)));*g* =
        (∃ *n*. *power* (*f* ∧ *more*) *n*);((*empty* ∨ ((*f* ∧ *more*) ∧ *inf*));*g*)

413

by (*meson ChopAssoc Prop11*)
have 2: ⊢ (∃ *n. power* (*f* ∧ *more*) *n*);((*empty* ∨ ((*f* ∧ *more*) ∧ *inf*));*g*) =
       (∃ *n.* (*power* (*f* ∧ *more*) *n*);((*empty* ∨ ((*f* ∧ *more*) ∧ *inf*));*g*))
using *ExistChopPower* **by** *fastforce*
have 3: ⋀ *n.* ⊢ (*power* (*f* ∧ *more*) *n*);*g* ⟶ *h*
using *ChopInductL assms* **by** (*metis*)
have 31: ⊢ (∃ *n.* (*power* (*f* ∧ *more*) *n*);*g*) ⟶ *h*
using 3 **by** *fastforce*
have 32: ⋀ *n.* ⊢ ((*power* (*f* ∧ *more*) *n*);((*f* ∧ *more*) ∧ *inf*));*g* ⟶ *h*
using *assms*
by (*metis* (*no-types, lifting*) *AndChopA ChopInductInfL int-eq-true inteq-reflection*)
have 33: ⊢ (∃ *n.* ((*power* (*f* ∧ *more*) *n*);((*f* ∧ *more*) ∧ *inf*));*g*) ⟶ *h*
using 32 **by** *fastforce*
have 34: ⊢ (∃ *n.* (*power* (*f* ∧ *more*) *n*);*g*) ∨
      (∃ *n.* ((*power* (*f* ∧ *more*) *n*);((*f* ∧ *more*) ∧ *inf*));*g*) ⟶ *h*
using 31 33 **by** *fastforce*
have 35: ⊢ (∃ *n.* (*power* (*f* ∧ *more*) *n*);*g* ∨ ((*power* (*f* ∧ *more*) *n*);((*f* ∧ *more*) ∧ *inf*));*g*)
     ⟶ *h*
using 34 **by** *fastforce*
have 36: ⋀ *n .* ⊢ ((*power* (*f* ∧ *more*) *n*);*g* ∨ ((*power* (*f* ∧ *more*) *n*);((*f* ∧ *more*) ∧ *inf*));*g*) =
      ((*power* (*f* ∧ *more*) *n*);(*empty* ∨ ((*f* ∧ *more*) ∧ *inf*)));*g*
by (*metis* (*no-types, lifting*) *ChopAssoc ChopOrEqv EmptyOrChopEqv inteq-reflection*)
have 4: ⊢ (∃ *n.* ((*power* (*f* ∧ *more*) *n*);(*empty* ∨ ((*f* ∧ *more*) ∧ *inf*)));*g*) ⟶ *h*
using 36 35 **by** *fastforce*
from 1 11 2 4 **show** *?thesis*
by (*metis InfiniteSemantics.ExistChop inteq-reflection*)
qed

lemma *FPowerstarInductR*:
 assumes ⊢ *g* ∨ *h*;*f* ⟶ *h*
 shows   ⊢ *g*;(*fpowerstar f*) ⟶ *h*
proof −
 have 1: ⊢ *g*;(*fpowerstar f*) = *g*;(∃ *n. power f n*)
 by (*simp add: fpowerstar-d-def*)
 have 2: ⊢ (*g*;(∃ *n. power f n*)) = (∃ *n. g*;(*power f n*))
 using *ChopExistPower* **by** *blast*
 have 3: ⋀ *n.* ⊢ *g*;(*power f n*) ⟶ *h*
 using *ChopInductR assms* **by** *blast*
 have 4: ⊢ (∃ *n. g*;(*power f n*)) ⟶ *h*
 using 3 **by** *fastforce*
 from 1 2 4 **show** *?thesis* **by** (*metis inteq-reflection*)
qed

lemma *PowerstarInductR*:
 assumes ⊢ *g* ∨ *h*;*f* ⟶ *h*
 shows   ⊢ *g*;(*powerstar f*) ⟶ *h*
proof −
 have 1: ⊢ *g*;(*powerstar f*) = *g*;((∃ *n. power f n*);(*empty* ∨ (*f* ∧ *inf*)))
 by (*simp add: chopstar-d-def powerstar-d-def*)
 have 11: ⊢ *g*;((∃ *n. power f n*);(*empty* ∨ (*f* ∧ *inf*))) =

$(g;(\exists\ n.\ power\ f\ n));(empty \lor (f \land inf))$
using *ChopAssoc* **by** *blast*
have *2*: $\vdash (g;(\exists\ n.\ power\ f\ n)) = (\exists\ n.\ g;(power\ f\ n))$
using *ChopExistPower* **by** *blast*
hence *21*: $\vdash\ (g;(\exists\ n.\ power\ f\ n));(empty \lor (f \land inf)) =$
$\qquad\qquad (\exists\ n.\ g;(power\ f\ n));(empty \lor (f \land inf))$
using *LeftChopEqvChop* **by** *blast*
have *3*: $\bigwedge\ n. \vdash g;(power\ f\ n) \longrightarrow h$
using *ChopInductR assms* **by** *blast*
have *31*: $\bigwedge\ n. \vdash g;((power\ f\ n);(f \land inf)) \longrightarrow h$
using *ChopInductInfR assms* **by** *blast*
have *32*: $\bigwedge n. \vdash g;((power\ f\ n);(empty \lor (f \land inf))) \longrightarrow h$
 using *3 31*
 by (*metis* (*no-types, lifting*) *ChopEmpty ChopOrEqv Prop02 inteq-reflection*)
have *4*: $\vdash (\exists\ n.\ g;((power\ f\ n);(empty \lor (f \land inf)))) \longrightarrow h$
using *32* **by** *fastforce*
from *1 11 2 21 4* **show** *?thesis*
by (*metis InfiniteSemantics.ChopExist InfiniteSemantics.ExistChop inteq-reflection*)
qed

lemma *ChopstarInductR*:
assumes $\vdash g \lor h;f \longrightarrow h$
shows $\vdash g;(chopstar\ f) \longrightarrow h$
proof $-$
have *1*: $\vdash g;(chopstar\ f) =$
$\qquad g;((\exists\ n.\ power\ (f \land more)\ n);(empty \lor ((f \land more) \land inf)))$
by (*simp add: chopstar-d-def powerstar-d-def*)
have *11*: $\vdash g;((\exists\ n.\ power\ (f \land more)\ n);(empty \lor ((f \land more) \land inf))) =$
$\qquad (g;(\exists\ n.\ power\ (f \land more)\ n));(empty \lor ((f \land more) \land inf))$
 using *ChopAssoc* **by** *blast*
have *2*: $\vdash (g;(\exists\ n.\ power\ (f \land more)\ n));(empty \lor ((f \land more) \land inf)) =$
$\qquad ((\exists\ n.\ g;power\ (f \land more)\ n));(empty \lor ((f \land more) \land inf))$
using *ChopExistPower LeftChopEqvChop* **by** *fastforce*
have *21*: $\vdash g \lor h;(f \land more) \longrightarrow h$
   using *ChopAndA assms* **by** *fastforce*
have *3*: $\bigwedge\ n. \vdash g;(power\ (f \land more)\ n) \longrightarrow h$
using *21 ChopInductR[of g h LIFT(f \land more)] assms* **by** *auto*
have *31*: $\bigwedge\ n. \vdash g;((power\ (f \land more)\ n);(f \land inf)) \longrightarrow h$
using *assms 3*
by (*metis 21 AndMoreAndInfEqvAndInf ChopInductInfR inteq-reflection*)
have *32*: $\bigwedge n. \vdash g;((power\ (f \land more)\ n);(empty \lor (f \land inf)))\ \longrightarrow h$
using *3 31*
by (*metis* (*no-types, lifting*) *ChopEmpty ChopOrEqv Prop02 inteq-reflection*)
have *4*: $\vdash (\exists\ n.\ g;((power\ (f \land more)\ n);(empty \lor (f \land inf))))\ \longrightarrow h$
using *32* **by** *fastforce*
from *1 11 2 4* **show** *?thesis*
by (*metis InfiniteSemantics.ChopExist InfiniteSemantics.ExistChop AndMoreAndInfEqvAndInf*
$\qquad$ *inteq-reflection*)
qed

lemma *ChopstarInductMoreR*:
 assumes $\vdash g \lor h;(f \land more) \longrightarrow h$
 shows $\vdash g;(chopstar\ f) \longrightarrow h$
proof $-$
 have *1*: $\vdash g;(chopstar\ f) = g;((\exists\ n.\ power\ (f \land more)\ n);(empty \lor ((f \land more) \land inf)))$
 by (*simp add*: *chopstar-d-def powerstar-d-def*)
 have *11*: $\vdash g;((\exists\ n.\ power\ (f \land more)\ n);(empty \lor ((f \land more) \land inf))) =$
         $(g;(\exists\ n.\ power\ (f \land more)\ n));(empty \lor ((f \land more) \land inf))$
 using *ChopAssoc* **by** *blast*
 have *2*: $\vdash (g;(\exists\ n.\ power\ (f \land more)\ n));(empty \lor ((f \land more) \land inf)) =$
         $((\exists\ n.\ g;power\ (f \land more)\ n));(empty \lor ((f \land more) \land inf))$
 using *ChopExistPower LeftChopEqvChop* **by** *fastforce*
 have *3*: $\bigwedge n. \vdash g;(power\ (f \land more)\ n) \longrightarrow h$
 using *ChopInductR assms* **by** (*metis*)
 have *31*: $\bigwedge n. \vdash g;((power\ (f \land more)\ n);(f \land inf)) \longrightarrow h$
 using *assms*
 by (*metis ChopInductInfR AndMoreAndInfEqvAndInf inteq-reflection*)
 have *32*: $\bigwedge n. \vdash g;((power\ (f \land more)\ n);(empty \lor (f \land inf))) \longrightarrow h$
 using *3 31*
 by (*metis* (*no-types*, *lifting*) *ChopEmpty ChopOrEqv Prop02 inteq-reflection*)
 have *4*: $\vdash (\exists\ n.\ g;((power\ (f \land more)\ n);(empty \lor (f \land inf)))) \longrightarrow h$
 using *32* **by** *fastforce*
 from *1 11 2 4* **show** *?thesis*
 by (*metis InfiniteSemantics.ChopExist InfiniteSemantics.ExistChop AndMoreAndInfEqvAndInf*
  inteq-reflection)
qed

lemma *FPSEqvEmptyOrFiniteChopFPS*:
 $\vdash fpowerstar\ f = (empty \lor (f \land finite);fpowerstar\ f)$
using *FPowerstarEqvSem Valid-def* **by** *blast*

lemma *FPSAndMoreImpFPS*:
 $\vdash fpowerstar\ (f \land more) \longrightarrow fpowerstar\ f$
proof $-$
 have *1*: $\vdash ((f \land more) \land finite) \longrightarrow (f \land finite)$
   by *auto*
 have *11*: $\vdash ((f \land more) \land finite);fpowerstar\ f \longrightarrow (f \land finite);\ fpowerstar\ f$
    using *1 LeftChopImpChop* **by** *blast*
 have *2*: $\vdash empty \lor ((f \land more) \land finite);fpowerstar\ f \longrightarrow fpowerstar\ f$
 using *11 FPSEqvEmptyOrFiniteChopFPS*[*of f*]
 by *fastforce*
 have *3*: $\vdash fpowerstar\ (f \land more);empty \longrightarrow fpowerstar\ f$
 using *2 FPowerstarInductL* **by** *blast*
  from *2 3* **show** *?thesis* **by** (*metis ChopEmpty int-eq*)
qed

lemma *FPSImpAndMoreFPS*:
 $\vdash fpowerstar\ f \longrightarrow fpowerstar\ (f \land more)$
by (*meson ChopEmpty FPSEqvEmptyOrFiniteChopFPS FPowerstarInductMoreL int-iffD2 lift-imp-trans*)

lemma *FPSAndMoreEqvFPS*:
⊢ *fpowerstar* (*f* ∧ *more*) = *fpowerstar* *f*
using *FPSAndMoreImpFPS FPSImpAndMoreFPS* **by** *fastforce*

lemma *ChopstarImpPowerstar*:
⊢ *f*[⋆] ⟶ *powerstar* *f*
by (*metis ChopEmpty ChopstarInductL PSEqvEmptyOrChopPS int-eq int-iffD2*)

lemma *PowerstarImpChopstar*:
⊢ *powerstar* *f* ⟶ *f*[⋆]
by (*metis CSEqvOrChopCS ChopEmpty PowerstarInductL int-iffD2 inteq-reflection*)

lemma *ChopstarEqvPowerstar*:
⊢ *f*[⋆] = *powerstar* *f*
using *ChopstarImpPowerstar PowerstarImpChopstar* **by** *fastforce*

lemma *CSAndMoreEqvAndMoreChop*:
⊢ (*f*[⋆] ∧ *more*) = (*f* ∧ *more*); *f*[⋆]
proof −
 have 1: ⊢ (*empty* ∨ (*f* ∧ *more*); *f*[⋆]) ∧ *more* ⟶ (*f* ∧ *more*); *f*[⋆]
     by (*auto simp*: *empty-d-def*)
 have 2: ⊢ *f*[⋆] = (*empty* ∨ (*f* ∧ *more*);*f*[⋆])
     by (*rule ChopstarEqv*)
 have 3: ⊢ *f*[⋆] ∧ *more* ⟶ (*f* ∧ *more*); *f*[⋆]
     using 1 2 **by** *fastforce*
 have 4: ⊢ (*f* ∧ *more*); *f*[⋆] ⟶ *f*[⋆]
     using 2 **by** *fastforce*
 have 5: ⊢ (*f* ∧ *more*) ⟶ *more*
     by *auto*
 hence 6: ⊢ (*f* ∧ *more*); *f*[⋆] ⟶ *more*
     by (*rule LeftChopImpMoreRule*)
 have 7: ⊢ (*f* ∧ *more*); *f*[⋆] ⟶ *f*[⋆] ∧ *more*
     using 4 6 **by** *fastforce*
 from 3 7 **show** *?thesis* **by** *fastforce*
qed

lemma *AndMoreCSEqvAndFmoreOrInf*:
⊢ (*f* ∧ *more*);*f*[⋆] = ((*f* ∧ *fmore*);*f*[⋆] ∨ (*f* ∧ *inf*))
proof −
 have 1: ⊢ (*f* ∧ *more*) = ((*f* ∧ *fmore*) ∨ (*f* ∧ *inf*))
     by (*simp add*: *Valid-def more-defs chop-defs fmore-defs infinite-defs sum.case-eq-if*)
 hence 2: ⊢ (*f* ∧ *more*);*f*[⋆] = ((*f* ∧ *fmore*) ∨ (*f* ∧ *inf*));*f*[⋆]
     by (*simp add*: *LeftChopEqvChop*)
 have 3: ⊢ ((*f* ∧ *fmore*) ∨ (*f* ∧ *inf*));*f*[⋆] = ((*f* ∧ *fmore*);*f*[⋆] ∨ (*f* ∧ *inf*);*f*[⋆])
    by (*simp add*: *OrChopEqv*)
 have 4: ⊢ (*f* ∧ *inf*);*f*[⋆] = (*f* ∧ *inf*)
     using *AndInfChopEqvAndInf* **by** *blast*
 have 5: ⊢((*f* ∧ *fmore*);*f*[⋆] ∨ (*f* ∧ *inf*);*f*[⋆]) = ((*f* ∧ *fmore*);*f*[⋆] ∨ (*f* ∧ *inf*))

using *3 4* **by** *auto*
 from *2 3 5* **show** *?thesis* **by** *fastforce*
qed

lemma *PowerAndMoreAndFinite*:
$\vdash ((power\ (f \wedge more)\ n) \wedge finite) = (power\ ((f \wedge finite) \wedge more)\ n)$
proof
(*induct n*)
case *0*
then show *?case* **using** *FiniteAndEmptyEqvEmpty* **by** *auto*
next
case (*Suc n*)
then show *?case*
  proof $-$
   have *1*: $\vdash (power\ (f \wedge more)\ (\ Suc\ n) \wedge finite) =$
          $(((f \wedge more) \wedge finite)\ ;\ power\ (f \wedge more)\ n \wedge finite)$

     by *simp*
   have *2*: $\vdash (((f \wedge more) \wedge finite)\ ;\ power\ (f \wedge more)\ n \wedge finite) =$
         $((((f \wedge more) \wedge finite) \wedge finite)\ ;\ (power\ (f \wedge more)\ n \wedge finite))$
     by (*simp add*: *ChopAndFiniteDist*)
   have *3*: $\vdash (((f \wedge more) \wedge finite) \wedge finite) = (((f \wedge finite) \wedge more) \wedge finite)$
     by *auto*
   have *4*: $\vdash (((f \wedge finite) \wedge more) \wedge finite);\ (power\ ((f \wedge finite) \wedge more)\ n) =$
         $power\ ((f \wedge finite) \wedge more)\ (Suc\ n)$

     by *simp*
   show *?thesis*
   by (*metis 1 2 3 4 Suc.hyps inteq-reflection*)
 qed
qed

lemma *CSAndFiniteDist*:
$\vdash ((\exists\ n.\ power\ (f \wedge more)\ n);(empty \vee ((f \wedge more) \wedge inf)) \wedge finite) =$
  $((\exists\ n.\ power\ (f \wedge more)\ n) \wedge finite)$
proof $-$
 have *1*: $\vdash((\exists\ n.\ power\ (f \wedge more)\ n);(empty \vee ((f \wedge more) \wedge inf)) \wedge finite) =$
     $(((\exists\ n.\ power\ (f \wedge more)\ n) \wedge finite);$
      $((empty \vee ((f \wedge more) \wedge inf)) \wedge finite))$
   using *ChopAndFiniteDist* **by** *blast*
 have *2*: $\vdash ((empty \vee ((f \wedge more) \wedge inf)) \wedge finite) = empty$
   by (*simp add*: *Valid-def empty-defs more-defs infinite-defs finite-defs sum.case-eq-if*)
 from *1 2* **show** *?thesis*
 by (*metis ChopEmpty inteq-reflection*)
qed

lemma *CSAndFinite*:

$\vdash (f^\star \wedge finite) = (f \wedge finite)^\star$
proof $-$
have 1: $\vdash (f^\star \wedge finite) = ((\exists \ n. \ power \ (f \wedge more) \ n);(empty \vee ((f \wedge more) \wedge inf)) \wedge finite)$
 by (*simp add*: *chopstar-d-def powerstar-d-def intI*)
have 11: $\vdash ((\exists \ n. \ power \ (f \wedge more) \ n);(empty \vee ((f \wedge more) \wedge inf)) \wedge finite) =$
       $((\exists \ n. \ power \ (f \wedge more) \ n) \wedge finite)$
 using *CSAndFiniteDist* **by** *blast*
have 2: $\vdash ((\exists \ n. \ power \ (f \wedge more) \ n) \wedge finite) =$
       $(\exists \ n. \ power \ (f \wedge more) \ n \wedge finite)$
 by (*simp add*: *Valid-def*)
have 3: $\vdash (\exists \ n. \ power \ (f \wedge more) \ n \wedge finite) =$
      $(\exists \ n. \ (power \ ((f \wedge finite) \wedge more) \ n))$
 using *PowerAndMoreAndFinite* **by** *fastforce*
have 31: $\vdash (empty \vee ((f \wedge finite) \wedge inf)) = empty$
 by (*simp add*: *Valid-def empty-defs infinite-defs finite-defs sum.case-eq-if*)
have 4: $\vdash (\exists \ n. \ (power \ ((f \wedge finite) \wedge more) \ n)) = (f \wedge finite)^\star$
 using 31
 by (*metis ChopEmpty AndMoreAndInfEqvAndInf chopstar-d-def inteq-reflection powerstar-d-def*)
from 1 11 2 3 4 **show** *?thesis* **by** *fastforce*
qed

lemma *PowerchopAndMore*:
$\vdash ((power \ ((f \wedge finite) \wedge more) \ (Suc \ n)) \wedge more) =$
      $(power \ ((f \wedge finite) \wedge more) \ (Suc \ n))$
proof (*induct n*)
case 0
then show *?case*
  proof $-$
   have 01: $\vdash (power \ ((f \wedge finite) \wedge more) \ (Suc \ 0) \wedge more) =$
          $((((f \wedge finite) \wedge more) \wedge finite);empty \wedge more)$

     by *simp*
   have 02: $\vdash ((((f \wedge finite) \wedge more) \wedge finite);empty \wedge more) =$
          $((((f \wedge finite) \wedge more) \wedge finite) \wedge more)$

   by (*metis ChopEmpty inteq-reflection*)
   have 03: $\vdash ((((f \wedge finite) \wedge more) \wedge finite) \wedge more) =$
          $((((f \wedge finite) \wedge more) \wedge finite))$
    by *auto*
   have 04: $\vdash ((((f \wedge finite) \wedge more) \wedge finite)) =$
          $((((f \wedge finite) \wedge more) \wedge finite));empty$

     by (*simp add*: *ChopEmpty int-iffD1 int-iffD2 int-iffI*)
   have 05: $\vdash ((((f \wedge finite) \wedge more) \wedge finite));empty=$
          $power \ ((f \wedge finite) \wedge more) \ (Suc \ 0)$
     by *simp*
   show *?thesis*
   by (*metis 03 04 05 inteq-reflection*)
 qed
next

419

case (*Suc n*)
then show *?case*
  by (*metis LeftChopImpMoreRule Prop10 Prop11 Prop12 lift-and-com pow-Suc*)
qed

lemma *PowerchopAndFmore*:
⊢ ((*power* (*f* ∧ *more*) (*Suc n*)) ∧ *fmore*) = (*power* ((*f* ∧ *finite*) ∧ *more*) (*Suc n*))
proof −
 have *1*: ⊢ ((*power* (*f* ∧ *more*) (*Suc n*)) ∧ *fmore*) =
      (((*power* (*f* ∧ *more*) (*Suc n*)) ∧ *finite*) ∧ *more*)
   by (*auto simp add*: *fmore-d-def*)
 have *2*: ⊢ (((*power* (*f* ∧ *more*) (*Suc n*)) ∧ *finite*) ∧ *more*) =
      ((*power* ((*f* ∧ *finite*) ∧ *more*) (*Suc n*)) ∧ *more*)

    by (*metis PowerAndMoreAndFinite inteq-reflection lift-and-com*)
 have *3*: ⊢ ((*power* ((*f* ∧ *finite*) ∧ *more*) (*Suc n*)) ∧ *more*) =
      (*power* ((*f* ∧ *finite*) ∧ *more*) (*Suc n*))
   using *PowerchopAndMore* **by** *blast*
 show *?thesis* **using** *1 2 3* **by** *fastforce*
qed

lemma *ExistPowerAndMoreExpand*:
⊢ (∃ *n. power* (*f* ∧ *more*) *n*) = (*empty* ∨ (∃ *n.* (*power* (*f* ∧ *more*) (*Suc n*))))
using *powersem1* [*of LIFT*(*f* ∧ *more*)] **by** *auto*

lemma *CSAndFmoreDist*:
⊢ ((∃ *n. power* (*f* ∧ *more*) *n*);(*empty* ∨ ((*f* ∧ *more*) ∧ *inf*)) ∧ *fmore*) =
     ((∃ *n. power* (*f* ∧ *more*) *n*) ∧ *fmore*)
proof −
 have *1*: ⊢((∃ *n. power* (*f* ∧ *more*) *n*);(*empty* ∨ ((*f* ∧ *more*) ∧ *inf*)) ∧ *fmore*) =
    ((∃ *n. power* (*f* ∧ *more*) *n*);(*empty* ∨ ((*f* ∧ *more*) ∧ *inf*)) ∧ *finite* ∧ *more*)
 by (*metis fmore-d-def inteq-reflection lift-and-com*)
 have *2*: ⊢ ((∃ *n. power* (*f* ∧ *more*) *n*);(*empty* ∨ ((*f* ∧ *more*) ∧ *inf*)) ∧ *finite*) =
    ((∃ *n. power* (*f* ∧ *more*) *n*) ∧ *finite*)
 using *CSAndFiniteDist* **by** *blast*
 have *3*: ⊢ ((∃ *n. power* (*f* ∧ *more*) *n*);(*empty* ∨ ((*f* ∧ *more*) ∧ *inf*)) ∧ *finite* ∧ *more*) =
    ((∃ *n. power* (*f* ∧ *more*) *n*) ∧ *finite* ∧ *more*)
 using *2* **by** *fastforce*
 have *4*: ⊢ ((∃ *n. power* (*f* ∧ *more*) *n*) ∧ *finite* ∧ *more*) =
    ((∃ *n. power* (*f* ∧ *more*) *n*) ∧ *fmore*)
 by (*metis fmore-d-def inteq-reflection lift-and-com*)
 from *1 3 4* **show** *?thesis* **by** *fastforce*
qed

lemma *CSAndMoreEqvAndFMoreChop*:
 ⊢ (*f*⋆ ∧ *fmore*) = (*f* ∧ *fmore*); (*f* ∧ *finite*)⋆
proof −
 have *1*: ⊢ (*f*⋆ ∧ *fmore*) = ((∃ *n. power* (*f* ∧ *more*) *n*);(*empty* ∨ ((*f* ∧ *more*) ∧ *inf*)) ∧ *fmore*)
  by (*simp add*: *chopstar-d-def powerstar-d-def intI*)

have *11*: ⊢ ((∃ *n. power* (*f* ∧ *more*) *n*);(*empty* ∨ ((*f* ∧ *more*) ∧ *inf*)) ∧ *fmore*) =
$\qquad$ ((∃ *n. power* (*f* ∧ *more*) *n*) ∧ *fmore*)
using *CSAndFmoreDist* **by** *fastforce*
have *2*: ⊢ ((∃ *n. power* (*f* ∧ *more*) *n*) ∧ *fmore*) =
$\qquad$ (∃ *n. power* (*f* ∧ *more*) *n* ∧ *fmore*)
$\quad$ **by** (*simp add*: *Valid-def*)
have *3*: ⊢ (∃ *n. power* (*f* ∧ *more*) *n* ∧ *fmore*) =
$\qquad$ ((*power* (*f* ∧ *more*) *0* ∨ (∃ *n.* (*power* (*f* ∧ *more*) (*Suc n*)))) ∧ *fmore*)
using *ExistPowerAndMoreExpand* **by** *fastforce*
have *4*: ⊢ ((*power* (*f* ∧ *more*) *0* ∨ (∃ *n.* (*power* (*f* ∧ *more*) (*Suc n*)))) ∧ *fmore*) =
$\qquad$ (((*power* (*f* ∧ *more*) *0* ∧ *fmore*) ∨ ((∃ *n.* (*power* (*f* ∧ *more*) (*Suc n*))) ∧ *fmore*)))
by *auto*
have *5*: ⊢ (((*power* (*f* ∧ *more*) *0* ∧ *fmore*) ∨ ((∃ *n.* (*power* (*f* ∧ *more*) (*Suc n*))) ∧ *fmore*))) =
$\qquad$ ((∃ *n.* (*power* (*f* ∧ *more*) (*Suc n*))) ∧ *fmore*)
using *NotFmoreAndEmpty* **by** *fastforce*
have *6*: ⊢ ((∃ *n.* (*power* (*f* ∧ *more*) (*Suc n*))) ∧ *fmore*) =
$\qquad$ (∃ *n.* (*power* ((*f* ∧ *finite*) ∧ *more*) (*Suc n*)))
using *PowerchopAndFmore* **by** *fastforce*
have *7*: ⊢ (∃ *n.* (*power* ((*f* ∧ *finite*) ∧ *more*) (*Suc n*))) =
$\qquad$ (∃ *n.* ((((*f* ∧ *finite*) ∧ *more*) ∧ *finite*);(*power* ((*f* ∧ *finite*) ∧ *more*) *n*)))
by (*simp*)
have *8*: ⊢ (∃ *n.* ((((*f* ∧ *finite*) ∧ *more*) ∧ *finite*);(*power* ((*f* ∧ *finite*) ∧ *more*) *n*))) =
$\qquad$ (((*f* ∧ *finite*) ∧ *more*) ∧ *finite*);(∃ *n.* (*power* ((*f* ∧ *finite*) ∧ *more*) *n*))
$\quad$ **by** (*auto simp add*: *Valid-def* *sum.case-eq-if* *chop-defs*)
have *9*: ⊢ (((*f* ∧ *finite*) ∧ *more*) ∧ *finite*) = (*f* ∧ *fmore*)
by (*auto simp add*: *fmore-d-def*)
have *10*: ⊢ (((*f* ∧ *finite*) ∧ *more*) ∧ *finite*);(∃ *n.* (*power* ((*f* ∧ *finite*) ∧ *more*) *n*)) =
$\qquad$ (*f* ∧ *fmore*);(∃ *n.* (*power* ((*f* ∧ *finite*) ∧ *more*) *n*))
$\quad$ **using** *8 9* **by** (*simp add*: *LeftChopEqvChop*)
have *101*: ⊢ (*empty* ∨ ((*f* ∧ *finite*) ∧ *inf*)) = *empty*
$\quad$ **by** (*simp add*: *Valid-def empty-defs infinite-defs finite-defs sum.case-eq-if*)
have *11*: ⊢ (∃ *n.* (*power* ((*f* ∧ *finite*) ∧ *more*) *n*)) =
$\qquad$ (*f* ∧ *finite*)⋆
$\quad$ **using** *101*
$\quad$ **by** (*metis ChopEmpty AndMoreAndInfEqvAndInf chopstar-d-def inteq-reflection powerstar-d-def*)
hence *12*: ⊢ (*f* ∧ *fmore*);(∃ *n.* (*power* ((*f* ∧ *finite*) ∧ *more*) *n*)) =
$\qquad$ (*f* ∧ *fmore*);(*f* ∧ *finite*)⋆
$\quad$ **by** (*simp add*: *RightChopEqvChop*)
$\quad$ **from** *1 11 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 10 12* **show** *?thesis*
$\quad$ **by** (*metis CSAndFmoreDist inteq-reflection*)
qed

lemma *CSAndMoreImpChopCS*:
⊢ *f*⋆ ∧ *more* ⟶ *f*; *f*⋆
proof −
$\quad$ **have** *1*: ⊢ (*f*⋆ ∧ *more*) = (*f* ∧ *more*); *f*⋆ **by** (*rule CSAndMoreEqvAndMoreChop*)
$\quad$ **have** *2*: ⊢ (*f* ∧ *more*); *f*⋆ ⟶ *f*; *f*⋆ **by** (*rule AndChopA*)
$\quad$ **from** *1 2* **show** *?thesis* **by** *fastforce*
qed

lemma *NotAndMoreEqvEmptyOr*:
$\vdash \neg\,(f \wedge more) = (empty \vee \neg f)$
by (*auto simp*: *empty-d-def*)

lemma *MoreAndEmptyOrEqvMoreAnd*:
$\vdash (more \wedge (empty \vee \neg f)) = (more \wedge \neg\, f)$
by (*auto simp*: *empty-d-def*)

lemma *CSMoreNotImpChopCSAndMore*:
$\vdash\ f^\star \wedge\ more\ \wedge \neg\ f \longrightarrow (f \wedge\ more\ );(f^\star \wedge\ more\ )$
proof $-$
 have $1: \vdash (f^\star \wedge\ more) = (f \wedge\ more\ );f^\star$
      by (*rule CSAndMoreEqvAndMoreChop*)
 have $2: \vdash\ empty\ \vee\ more$
      by (*auto simp*: *empty-d-def*)
 hence $3: \vdash f^\star \longrightarrow\ empty\ \vee\ (f^\star \wedge\ more\ )$
      by *auto*
 hence $4: \vdash (f \wedge\ more\ );f^\star \longrightarrow (f \wedge\ more\ ) \vee\ ((f \wedge\ more\ );(f^\star \wedge\ more\ ))$
      by (*rule ChopEmptyOrImpRule*)
 hence $5: \vdash (f \wedge\ more\ );f^\star \wedge \neg(f \wedge more) \longrightarrow\ \ ((f \wedge\ more\ );(f^\star \wedge\ more\ ))$
      by *fastforce*
 have $6: \vdash (f \wedge\ more\ );f^\star = ((f \wedge\ more\ );f^\star \wedge more)$ **using** 1
      by *auto*
 have $7: \vdash ((f \wedge\ more\ );f^\star \wedge \neg(f \wedge more)) = ((f \wedge\ more\ );f^\star \wedge more \wedge \neg(f \wedge more))$
      using 6 **by** *auto*
 have $8: \vdash (f \wedge\ more\ );f^\star \wedge\ more\ \wedge \neg\ f \longrightarrow (f \wedge\ more\ );(f^\star \wedge\ more\ )$
      using $5\ 7$ **by** *auto*
 have $9: \vdash (f^\star \wedge\ more\ \wedge \neg\ f) = ((f^\star \wedge\ more) \wedge (more\ \wedge \neg\ f))$
      by *auto*
 have $10: \vdash ((f^\star \wedge\ more) \wedge (more\ \wedge \neg\ f)) = ((f \wedge\ more\ );f^\star \wedge (more\ \wedge \neg\ f))$
      using 1 **by** *fastforce*
 from $1\ 8\ 9\ 10$ **show** *?thesis* **by** *fastforce*
qed

lemma *ChopplusCommuteImpA*:
$\vdash f^\star;f \longrightarrow f;f^\star$
by (*metis CSEqvOrChopCS ChopAndB ChopEmpty ChopstarInductL EmptyImpCS Prop02 Prop03 Prop10*
      inteq-reflection)

lemma *ChopplusCommuteImpB*:
$\vdash f;f^\star \longrightarrow f^\star;f$

proof $-$
have $f2: \vdash (f^\star;f);f \longrightarrow f^\star;f$
by (*metis CSEqvOrChopCS ChopplusCommuteImpA LeftChopImpChop Prop05 inteq-reflection*)
have $\vdash f \longrightarrow f^\star;f$
by (*metis AndChopB EmptyChop EmptyImpCS Prop10 inteq-reflection*)
then show *?thesis*
using *f2 ChopstarInductR Prop02* **by** *blast*

422

qed

lemma *ChopplusCommute*:
⊢ *f;f* ⋆ = *f* ⋆;*f*
using *ChopplusCommuteImpA ChopplusCommuteImpB* **by** *fastforce*

lemma *CSEqvOrChopCSB*:
⊢ *f* ⋆ = (*empty* ∨ (*f* ⋆;*f*))
by (*meson CSEqvOrChopCS ChopplusCommute Prop06*)

lemma *CSAndMoreImpCSChop*:
⊢ *f* ⋆ ∧ *more* ⟶ *f* ⋆; *f*
using *CSAndMoreEqvAndMoreChop ChopplusCommute CSAndMoreImpChopCS* **by** *fastforce*

lemma *PowerChopPower*:
⊢ (*power* (*f* ∧ *more*) *n*); (*power* (*f* ∧ *more*) *k*) = (*power* (*f* ∧ *more*) (*n*+*k*))
proof
(*induct n arbitrary*: *k*)
case *0*
then show *?case* **using** *EmptyChopSem* **by** *auto*
next
case (*Suc n*)
then show *?case*
by (*metis* (*no-types, lifting*) *ChopAssoc add-Suc inteq-reflection pow-Suc*)
qed

lemma *CSChopCS*:
⊢ *f* ⋆ ; *f* ⋆ = *f* ⋆
proof −
have *1*: ⊢ *f* ⋆ ; *f* ⋆ ⟶ *f* ⋆
 by (*meson CSEqvOrChopCSB ChopstarImpPowerstar ChopstarInductR PowerstarImpChopstar Prop02 Prop03
    lift-imp-trans*)
have *2*: ⊢ *f* ⋆ ⟶ *f* ⋆ ; *f* ⋆
   by (*metis ChopEmpty EmptyImpCS RightChopImpChop inteq-reflection*)
from *1 2* **show** *?thesis* **by** *fastforce*
qed

lemma *NotEmptyEqvMore*:
⊢ (¬ *empty*) = *more*
by (*simp add*: *empty-d-def*)

lemma *NotCSImpMore*:
⊢ ¬ (*f* ⋆) ⟶ *more*
proof −
have *1*: ⊢ *empty* ⟶ (*f* ⋆) **using** *EmptyImpCS* **by** *blast*
hence *2*: ⊢ ¬ *empty* ∨ (*f* ⋆) **by** *fastforce*

from *2* **show** *?thesis* **using** *1 NotEmptyEqvMore* **by** *fastforce*
qed

lemma *PowerAndInfB*:
⊢ (((f ∧ more);(power (f ∧ more) n)) ∧ inf) =
    ((f ∧ inf) ∨ (f ∧ fmore);((power (f ∧ more) n) ∧ inf))
proof −
 have *1*: ⊢ (((f ∧ more);(power (f ∧ more) n)) ∧ inf) =
      (((f ∧ more) ∧ inf) ∨ ((f ∧ more) ∧ finite);((power (f ∧ more) n) ∧ inf))
 using *ChopAndInfB* **by** *blast*
 have *2*: ⊢ (((f ∧ more) ∧ inf) ∨ ((f ∧ more) ∧ finite);((power (f ∧ more) n) ∧ inf)) =
      ((f ∧ inf) ∨ (f ∧ fmore);((power (f ∧ more) n) ∧ inf))
 using *AndMoreAndInfEqvAndInf AndMoreAndFiniteEqvAndFmore*
 by (*metis 1 inteq-reflection*)
 from *1 2* **show** *?thesis* **by** *fastforce*
qed

lemma *CSAndInf*:
⊢ (f⋆ ∧ inf) = f⋆;(f ∧ inf)
by (*meson AndChopA AndInfChopEqvAndInf CSEqvOrChopCSB ChopAndA ChopAndInf Prop03 Prop11*
     Prop12 lift-imp-trans)

lemma *CSChopCSImpCS*:
⊢ (f⋆; f⋆) ⟶ f⋆
by (*simp add*: *CSChopCS int-iffD1*)

lemma *ImpChopPlus*:
⊢ f ⟶ f;f⋆
proof −
 have *1*: ⊢ f⋆ = (empty ∨ f; f⋆) **by** (*rule CSEqvOrChopCS*)
 hence *2*: ⊢ f;f⋆ = (f;empty ∨ f; (f;f⋆)) **using** *ChopOrEqvRule* **by** *blast*
 have *3*: ⊢ f;empty = f **using** *ChopEmpty* **by** *blast*
 from *2 3* **show** *?thesis* **by** *fastforce*
qed

lemma *ImpCS*:
⊢ f ⟶ f⋆
proof −
 have *1*: ⊢ f ⟶ f;f⋆ **by** (*rule ImpChopPlus*)
 hence *2*: ⊢ f ⟶ empty ∨ f;f⋆ **by** *auto*
 from *2* **show** *?thesis* **using** *CSEqvOrChopCS* **by** *fastforce*
qed

lemma *CSChopImpCS*:
⊢ f⋆; f ⟶ f⋆
proof −
 have *1*: ⊢ f ⟶ f⋆ **by** (*rule ImpCS*)
 hence *2*: ⊢ f⋆; f ⟶ f⋆; f⋆ **by** (*rule RightChopImpChop*)

hence 3: $\vdash f^\star; f \longrightarrow f^\star; f^\star$ **by** *auto*
have 4: $\vdash f^\star; f^\star \longrightarrow f^\star$ **by** (*rule CSChopCSImpCS*)
from *2 3 4* **show** *?thesis* **using** *lift-imp-trans* **by** *blast*
qed

lemma *ChopPlusImpCS*:
$\vdash f; f^\star \longrightarrow f^\star$
proof $-$
have 1: $\vdash f; f^\star \longrightarrow empty \lor f; f^\star$ **by** *auto*
from *1* **show** *?thesis* **using** *CSEqvOrChopCS* **by** *fastforce*
qed

lemma *CSChopEqvOrChopPlusChop*:
$\vdash f^\star; g = (g \lor (f; f^\star); g)$
proof $-$
have 1: $\vdash f^\star = (empty \lor f; f^\star)$ **by** (*rule CSEqvOrChopCS*)
from *1* **show** *?thesis* **using** *EmptyOrChopEqvRule* **by** *blast*
qed

lemma *CSElim*:
assumes $\vdash empty \longrightarrow g$
$\vdash (f \land more); g \longrightarrow g$
shows $\vdash f^\star \longrightarrow g$
proof $-$
have 1: $\vdash empty \lor (f \land more); g \longrightarrow g$
using *assms* **using** *Prop02* **by** *blast*
have 2: $\vdash (chopstar\ f); empty \longrightarrow g$
using *ChopstarInductMoreL 1* **by** *blast*
from *2* **show** *?thesis*
by (*metis ChopEmpty inteq-reflection*)
qed

lemma *ChopstarImp*:
assumes $\vdash f; (chopstar\ g) \lor empty \longrightarrow (chopstar\ g)$
shows $\vdash (chopstar\ f) \longrightarrow (chopstar\ g)$
using *assms ChopstarInductL ChopEmpty*
by (*metis int-eq int-simps(33) lift-and-com*)

lemma *CSCSImpCS*:
$\vdash (f^\star)^\star \longrightarrow f^\star$
proof $-$
have 1: $\vdash ((chopstar\ f); (chopstar\ f)) \lor empty \longrightarrow (chopstar\ f)$
by (*meson CSChopCSImpCS EmptyImpCS Prop02*)
from *1* **show** *?thesis* **using** *ChopstarImp* **by** *blast*
qed

lemma *CSImpCSCS*:
$\vdash f^\star \longrightarrow (f^\star)^\star$
using *ImpCS* **by** *auto*

lemma *CSCSEqvCS*:
$\vdash (f^\star)^\star = f^\star$
by (*simp add*: *CSCSImpCS CSImpCSCS int-iffI*)

lemma *RightEmptyOrChopEqv*:
$\vdash \ g;(\ empty\ \lor\ f) = (g \lor\ (g;\ f))$
proof −
 have *1*: $\vdash g;(\ empty\ \lor\ f) = (g;empty \lor g;f)$ **by** (*rule ChopOrEqv*)
 have *2*: $\vdash g;empty\ = g$ **by** (*rule ChopEmpty*)
 from *1 2* **show** *?thesis* **by** *fastforce*
qed

lemma *RightEmptyOrChopEqvRule*:
 assumes $\vdash f = (empty \lor f1)$
 shows $\vdash g;f = (g \lor (g;f1))$
proof −
 have *1*: $\vdash f = (empty \lor f1)$ **using** *assms* **by** *auto*
 hence *2*: $\vdash g;f = g;(empty \lor f1)$ **by** (*rule RightChopEqvChop*)
 have *3*: $\vdash g;(empty \lor f1) = (g \lor (g;f1))$ **by** (*rule RightEmptyOrChopEqv*)
 from *2 3* **show** *?thesis* **by** *fastforce*
qed

lemma *ChopPlusEqvOrChopChopPlus*:
$\vdash \ (f;f^\star) = (f \lor\ f;\ (f;f^\star))$
proof −
 have *1*: $\vdash f^\star = \ (empty\ \lor\ f;f^\star)$ **by** (*rule CSEqvOrChopCS*)
 from *1* **show** *?thesis* **by** (*rule RightEmptyOrChopEqvRule*)
qed

lemma *CSAndEmptyEqvEmpty*:
$\vdash ((f^\star) \land empty) = empty$
using *EmptyImpCS* **by** *fastforce*

lemma *NotAndMoreChopAndEmpty*:
$\vdash \neg(((f \land more);g) \land empty)$
by (*metis AndChopA ChopEmpty LeftChopImpMoreRule Prop01 empty-d-def int-simps(14)*
   int-simps(25) int-simps(4) inteq-reflection lift-and-com)

lemma *NotChopAndMoreAndEmpty*:
$\vdash \neg((f;(g \land more)) \land empty)$
by (*metis NotEmptyEqvMore Prop01 Prop05 Prop07 RightChopImpMoreRule empty-d-def int-iffD2*
   int-simps(15) inteq-reflection lift-imp-neg)

lemma *ChopCSAndEmptyEqvAndEmpty*:
$\vdash ((f;f^\star) \land empty) = (f \land empty)$
proof −
 have *1*: $\vdash ((f;f^\star) \land empty) = (f \land empty);(f^\star \land empty)$
   using *ChopAndEmptyEqvEmptyChopEmpty* **by** *blast*
 have *2*: $\vdash (f \land empty);(f^\star \land empty) = (f \land empty);empty$

    using *CSAndEmptyEqvEmpty* **using** *RightChopEqvChop* **by** *blast*
 have *3*: $\vdash (f \wedge empty);empty = (f \wedge empty)$
    by (*rule ChopEmpty*)
 from *1 2 3* **show** *?thesis* **by** *fastforce*
qed

lemma *AndMoreChopAndMoreEqvAndMoreChop*:
$\vdash ((f \wedge more);g \wedge more) = (f \wedge more);g$
using *ChopImpDi DiAndB DiMoreEqvMore* **by** *fastforce*

lemma *ChopPlusEqv*:
$\vdash (f;f^\star) = (f \vee (f \wedge more);(f;f^\star))$
proof $-$
 have *1*: $\vdash f^\star = (empty \vee (f \wedge more);f^\star)$
    by (*rule ChopstarEqv*)
 have *2*: $\vdash f^\star = (empty \vee f;f^\star)$
    by (*rule CSEqvOrChopCS*)
 hence *3*: $\vdash (empty \vee f;f^\star) = (empty \vee (f \wedge more);f^\star)$
    using *1 2* **by** *fastforce*
 have *4*: $\vdash (f \wedge more);(f^\star) = (f \wedge more);(empty \vee f;f^\star)$
    using *2* **using** *RightChopEqvChop* **by** *blast*
 hence *5*: $\vdash empty \vee f;f^\star = empty \vee (f \wedge more);(empty \vee f;f^\star)$
    using *3 4* **by** *fastforce*
 have *6*: $\vdash (f \wedge more);(empty \vee f;f^\star) =$
        $((f \wedge more);empty \vee (f \wedge more);(f;f^\star))$
    using *ChopOrEqv* **by** *blast*
 have *7*: $\vdash (f \wedge more);empty = (f \wedge more)$
    using *ChopEmpty* **by** *blast*
 have *8*: $\vdash (empty \vee f;f^\star) =$
        $(empty \vee (f \wedge more) \vee (f \wedge more);(f;f^\star))$
    using *5 6 7* **by** (*metis 2 3 inteq-reflection*)
 have *9*: $\vdash ((empty \vee f;f^\star) \wedge more) = (f;f^\star \wedge more)$
    by (*auto simp*: *empty-d-def*)
 have *10*: $\vdash ((empty \vee (f \wedge more) \vee (f \wedge more);(f;f^\star)) \wedge more) =$
        $(((f \wedge more) \vee (f \wedge more);(f;f^\star)) \wedge more)$
    by (*auto simp*: *empty-d-def*)
 have *11*: $\vdash (((f \wedge more) \vee (f \wedge more);(f;f^\star)) \wedge more) =$
        $((f \wedge more) \vee (f \wedge more);(f;f^\star))$
    using *10 6 7 int-eq*
    using *AndMoreChopAndMoreEqvAndMoreChop* **by** *fastforce*
 have *12*: $\vdash (f;f^\star \wedge more) = ((f \wedge more) \vee (f \wedge more);(f;f^\star))$
    using *8 9 10 11* **by** *fastforce*
 have *13*: $\vdash (f;f^\star \wedge empty) = (f \wedge empty)$
    by (*rule ChopCSAndEmptyEqvAndEmpty*)
 have *14*: $\vdash ((f \wedge more) \vee (f \wedge more);(f;f^\star) \vee (f \wedge empty)) =$
        $(f \vee (f \wedge more);(f;f^\star))$
    by (*auto simp*: *empty-d-def*)
 have *15*: $\vdash f;f^\star = ((f;f^\star \wedge empty) \vee (f;f^\star \wedge more))$
    by (*auto simp*: *empty-d-def*)
 from *12 13 14 15* **show** *?thesis* **by** *fastforce*

qed

lemma *ChopPlusImpChopPlus*:
 assumes $\vdash\ f \longrightarrow g$
 shows $\vdash\ f;f^\star \longrightarrow g;g^\star$
using *assms*
by (*metis AndChopB CSChopCS ChopImpChop ChopstarImp EmptyImpCS ImpCS Prop01 Prop02*
    Prop05 Prop10 inteq-reflection)

lemma *ChopChopPlusImpChopPlus*:
 $\vdash\ f;(f;f^\star) \longrightarrow f;f^\star$
proof −
 have $1:\vdash\ empty \lor\ more$ **by** (*auto simp: empty-d-def*)
 hence $2:\vdash f \longrightarrow\ empty \lor (f \land\ more)$ **by** *auto*
 hence $3:\vdash f;(f;f^\star) \longrightarrow (f;f^\star) \lor (f \land\ more);(f;f^\star)$ **by** (*rule EmptyOrChopImpRule*)
 have $4:\vdash\ f;f^\star = (f \lor (f \land\ more);(f;f^\star))$ **by** (*rule ChopPlusEqv*)
 hence $5:\vdash (f \land\ more);(f;f^\star) \longrightarrow f;f^\star$ **by** *auto*
 from 3 5 **show** *?thesis* **using** *ChopPlusImpCS RightChopImpChop* **by** *blast*
qed

lemma *CSImpCS*:
 assumes $\vdash f \longrightarrow g$
 shows $\vdash f^\star \longrightarrow g^\star$
proof −
 have $1:\vdash f \longrightarrow g$ **using** *assms* **by** *auto*
 hence $2:\vdash f;f^\star \longrightarrow g;g^\star$ **by** (*rule ChopPlusImpChopPlus*)
 hence $3:\vdash\ empty \lor f;f^\star \longrightarrow\ empty \lor\ g;g^\star$ **by** *auto*
 from 2 3 **show** *?thesis* **using** *CSEqvOrChopCS* **by** (*metis inteq-reflection*)
qed

lemma *ChopPlusIntro*:
 assumes $\vdash f \longrightarrow g \lor (g \land\ more);f$
 shows $\vdash f \land finite \longrightarrow g;g^\star$
proof −
 have $1:\vdash f \land \neg\ g \longrightarrow (g \land\ more);f$ **using** *assms* **by** *auto*
 have $2:\vdash g;g^\star = (g \lor (g \land\ more);(g;g^\star))$ **by** (*rule ChopPlusEqv*)
 have $3:\vdash f \land \neg (g;g^\star) \longrightarrow$
        $(g \land\ more);f \land \neg ((g \land\ more);(g;g^\star))$ **using** 1 2 **by** *fastforce*
 have $4:\vdash g \land\ more \longrightarrow\ more$ **by** *auto*
 from 3 4 **show** *?thesis* **using** *ChopContraB* **by** *blast*
qed

lemma *ChopPlusElim*:
 assumes $\vdash\ f \longrightarrow g$
        $\vdash (f \land\ more);g \longrightarrow g$
 shows $\vdash f;f^\star \longrightarrow g$
proof −
 have $1:\vdash f \lor (f \land more);g \longrightarrow g$

428

using *assms Prop02* **by** *blast*
have *2*: ⊢ $f^\star;f \longrightarrow g$
 using *ChopstarInductMoreL 1* **by** *blast*
from *2* **show** *?thesis*
 using *ChopplusCommute* **by** *fastforce*
qed

lemma *ChopPlusElimWithoutMore*:
 assumes ⊢ $f \longrightarrow g$
       ⊢ $f; g \longrightarrow g$
 shows ⊢ $f;f^\star \longrightarrow g$
proof −
 have *1*: ⊢ $f \longrightarrow g$ **using** *assms* **by** *blast*
 have *2*: ⊢ $(f; g) \longrightarrow g$ **using** *assms* **by** *blast*
 have *3*: ⊢ $(f \wedge more); g \longrightarrow f; g$ **by** (*rule AndChopA*)
 have *4*: ⊢ $(f \wedge more); g \longrightarrow g$ **using** *2 3 lift-imp-trans* **by** *blast*
 from *1 4* **show** *?thesis* **using** *ChopPlusElim* **by** *blast*
qed

lemma *ChopPlusEqvChopPlus*:
 assumes ⊢ $f = g$
 shows ⊢ $f;f^\star = g;g^\star$
proof −
 have *1*: ⊢ $f = g$ **using** *assms* **by** *auto*
 hence *2*: ⊢ $f \longrightarrow g$ **by** *auto*
 hence *3*: ⊢ $f;f^\star \longrightarrow g;g^\star$ **by** (*rule ChopPlusImpChopPlus*)
 have *4*: ⊢ $g \longrightarrow f$ **using** *1* **by** *auto*
 hence *5*: ⊢ $g;g^\star \longrightarrow f;f^\star$ **by** (*rule ChopPlusImpChopPlus*)
 from *3 5* **show** *?thesis* **by** *fastforce*
qed

lemma *CSEqvCS*:
 assumes ⊢ $f = g$
 shows ⊢ $f^\star = g^\star$
proof −
 have *1*: ⊢ $f = g$ **using** *assms* **by** *auto*
 hence *2*: ⊢ $f;f^\star = g;g^\star$ **by** (*rule ChopPlusEqvChopPlus*)
 hence *3*: ⊢ $(empty \vee f;f^\star) = (empty \vee g;g^\star)$ **by** *auto*
 from *3* **show** *?thesis* **using** *CSEqvOrChopCS* **by** (*metis int-eq*)
qed

lemma *AndCSA*:
 ⊢ $(f \wedge g)^\star \longrightarrow f^\star$
proof −
 have *1*: ⊢ $f \wedge g \longrightarrow f$ **by** *auto*
 from *1* **show** *?thesis* **using** *CSImpCS* **by** *blast*
qed

lemma *AndCSB*:

429

$\vdash \ (f \wedge g)^{\star} \longrightarrow g^{\star}$

proof $-$
have $1: \vdash f \wedge g \longrightarrow g$ **by** *auto*
from 1 **show** *?thesis* **using** *CSImpCS* **by** *blast*
qed

lemma *CSIntro*:
assumes $\vdash \ f \wedge \text{more} \longrightarrow (g \wedge \ \text{more}); f$
shows $\ \vdash f \wedge \text{finite} \longrightarrow g^{\star}$
proof $-$
have $\ 1: \vdash f \wedge \ \text{more} \longrightarrow (g \wedge \ \text{more}); f$
     using *assms* **by** *auto*
have $\ 2: \vdash \ \text{more} = (\neg \ \text{empty})$
     by (*auto simp: empty-d-def*)
have $\ 3: \vdash f \wedge \neg \ \text{empty} \longrightarrow (g \wedge \ \text{more}); f$
     using *1 2* **by** *fastforce*
have $\ 4: \vdash g^{\star} = (\text{empty} \vee (g \wedge \ \text{more}); g^{\star})$
     by (*rule ChopstarEqv*)
hence $41: \vdash (\neg(\text{empty} \vee (g \wedge \ \text{more}); g^{\star})) = (\neg \text{empty} \wedge \neg((g \wedge \ \text{more}); g^{\star}))$
     by *fastforce*
have $\ 411: \vdash (\neg \text{empty} \wedge \neg((g \wedge \ \text{more}); g^{\star})) = (\text{more} \wedge \neg((g \wedge \ \text{more}); g^{\star}))$
     using *NotEmptyEqvMore* **by** *fastforce*
have $\ 42: \vdash \neg(g^{\star}) = (\text{more} \wedge \neg((g \wedge \ \text{more}); g^{\star}))$
     using *4 41 411* **by** *fastforce*
have $\ 43: \vdash f \wedge \neg(g^{\star}) \longrightarrow f \wedge \text{more} \wedge \neg((g \wedge \ \text{more}); g^{\star})$
     using *42* **by** *fastforce*
have $\ 44: \vdash f \wedge \text{more} \wedge \neg((g \wedge \ \text{more}); g^{\star}) \longrightarrow (g \wedge \ \text{more}); f \wedge \neg((g \wedge \ \text{more}); g^{\star})$
     using *3 43 1* **by** *auto*
have $\ 5: \vdash f \wedge \neg (g^{\star}) \longrightarrow$
       $(g \wedge \ \text{more}); f \wedge \neg((g \wedge \ \text{more}); g^{\star})$
     using *43 44 lift-imp-trans* **by** *fastforce*
have $\ 6: \vdash g \wedge \text{more} \longrightarrow \text{more}$
     by *auto*
from $5\ 6$ **show** *?thesis* **using** *ChopContraB* **by** *blast*
qed

lemma *CSElimWithoutMore*:
assumes $\vdash \text{empty} \longrightarrow g$
     $\vdash f; g \longrightarrow g$
shows $\ \vdash f^{\star} \longrightarrow g$
proof $-$
have $1: \vdash \ \text{empty} \longrightarrow g$ **using** *assms* **by** *blast*
have $2: \vdash f; g \longrightarrow g$ **using** *assms* **by** *blast*
have $3: \vdash (f \wedge \ \text{more}); g \longrightarrow f; g$ **by** (*rule AndChopA*)
have $4: \vdash (f \wedge \ \text{more}); g \longrightarrow g$ **using** *2 3 lift-imp-trans* **by** *blast*
from $1\ 4$ **show** *?thesis* **using** *CSElim* **by** *blast*
qed

lemma *ChopAssocB*:

$\vdash (f;g);h = f;(g;h)$
using *ChopAssoc* **by** *fastforce*

lemma *CSChopEqvChopOrRule*:
 assumes $\vdash \quad f = (g^\star;\ h)$
 shows $\quad \vdash f = ((g;\ f) \lor\ h)$
proof $-$
 have $\quad 1: \vdash f = (g^\star;\ h)$ **using** *assms* **by** *auto*
 have $\quad 2: \vdash g^\star = (empty\ \lor\ (g;\ g^\star))$ **by** (*rule CSEqvOrChopCS*)
 hence $\quad 3: \vdash g^\star;\ h = (h\ \lor\ ((g;\ g^\star);\ h))$ **by** (*rule EmptyOrChopEqvRule*)
 have $\quad 4: \vdash (g;\ g^\star);\ h = \ g;\ (g^\star;\ h)$ **by** (*rule ChopAssocB*)
 hence $41: \vdash g^\star;\ h = (h \lor g;\ (g^\star;\ h))$ **using** 3 **by** *fastforce*
 have $\quad 5: \vdash g;\ f = g;\ (g^\star;\ h)$ **using** 1 **by** (*rule RightChopEqvChop*)
 hence $\quad 6: \vdash (g^\star;\ h) = (h \lor\ g;\ f)$ **using** 41 **by** *fastforce*
 hence $61: \vdash (g^\star;\ h) = ((g;\ f) \lor h)$ **by** *auto*
 from 1 61 **show** *?thesis* **by** *fastforce*
qed

lemma *CSChopIntroRule*:
 assumes $\vdash f \land \neg\ h \longrightarrow g;\ f$
 $\qquad \vdash g \longrightarrow\ more$
 shows $\quad \vdash f \land finite \longrightarrow g^\star;\ h$
proof $-$
 have $1: \vdash f \land \neg\ h \longrightarrow g;\ f$
 using *assms* **by** *blast*
 have $2: \vdash g \longrightarrow\ more$
 using *assms* **by** *blast*
 hence $3: \vdash g \longrightarrow g \land\ more$
 by *auto*
 hence $4: \vdash g;\ f \longrightarrow (g \land\ more\ );\ f$
 by (*rule LeftChopImpChop*)
 have $5: \vdash f \longrightarrow (g \land\ more\ );\ f \lor\ h$
 using 1 4 **by** *fastforce*
 have $6: \vdash g^\star = (empty\ \lor\ (g \land\ more\ );\ g^\star)$
 by (*rule ChopstarEqv*)
 hence $7: \vdash (g^\star);\ h = (h \lor\ ((g \land\ more\ );\ g^\star);\ h)$
 by (*rule EmptyOrChopEqvRule*)
 have $8: \vdash ((g \land\ more\ );\ g^\star);\ h = (g \land\ more\ );\ (g^\star;\ h)$
 by (*rule ChopAssocB*)
 have $9: \vdash (g^\star);\ h = (h \lor\ (g \land\ more\ );\ (g^\star;\ h))$
 using 7 8 **by** *fastforce*
 have $10: \vdash f \land \neg\ (g^\star;\ h) \longrightarrow (g \land more);\ f \land \neg\ ((g \land more);\ (g^\star;\ h))$
 using 5 9 **by** *fastforce*
 have $11: \vdash g \land\ more \longrightarrow\ more$
 by *fastforce*
 from 10 11 **show** *?thesis* **using** *ChopContraB* **by** *blast*
qed

lemma *DiamondAndEmptyEqvAndEmpty*:

$\vdash (\diamondsuit f \land empty) = (f \land empty)$
by (*auto simp*: *sometimes-defs empty-defs sum.case-eq-if*)

lemma *InitAndEmptyEqvAndEmpty*:
$\vdash ((init \; w) \land empty) = (w \land empty)$
proof −
 have *1*: $\vdash ((init \; w) \land empty) = ((w \land empty);\#True \land empty)$
    by (*metis init-d-def int-eq lift-and-com*)
 have *2*: $\vdash ((w \land empty);\#True \land empty) = (w \land empty);(\#True \land empty)$
     by (*meson AndChopA ChopAndA ChopAndEmptyEqvEmptyChopEmpty lift-imp-trans Prop11 Prop12*)
 have *3*: $\vdash (w \land empty);(\#True \land empty) = (w \land empty);empty$
    using *RightChopEqvChop* **by** *fastforce*
 have *4*: $\vdash (w \land empty);empty = (w \land empty)$
    using *ChopEmpty* **by** *blast*
 from *1 2 3 4* **show** *?thesis* **by** *fastforce*
qed

lemma *InitAndNotBoxInitImpNotEmpty*:
$\vdash init \; w \land \neg(\, \square \, (init \; w)) \longrightarrow \neg \; empty$
proof −
 have *1*: $\vdash ((init \; w) \land empty) = (w \land empty)$
    by (*rule InitAndEmptyEqvAndEmpty*)
 have *2*: $\vdash (\neg(\, \square \, (init \; w)) \land empty) = (\diamondsuit (\neg (init \; w)) \land empty)$
    by (*auto simp*: *always-d-def*)
 have *3*: $\vdash (\diamondsuit (\neg (init \; w)) \land empty) = (\neg (init \; w) \land empty)$
    by (*simp add*: *DiamondAndEmptyEqvAndEmpty*)
 have *4*: $\vdash (\neg (init \; w)) = (init \; (\neg \; w))$ **using** *Initprop(2)* **by** *blast*
 have *5*: $\vdash (\neg (init \; w) \land empty) = (\neg \; w \land empty)$
    using *4 InitAndEmptyEqvAndEmpty* **by** (*metis inteq-reflection*)
 have *6*: $\vdash (\neg(\, \square \, (init \; w)) \land empty) = (\neg \; w \land empty)$
    using *2 3 5* **by** *fastforce*
 have *7*: $\vdash \neg(init \; w \land \neg(\, \square \, (init \; w)) \land empty)$
    using *1 6* **by** *fastforce*
 from *7* **show** *?thesis* **by** *auto*
qed

lemma *BoxImpTrueChopAndEmpty*:
$\vdash \square \, f \longrightarrow \#True;(f \land empty)$
using *BoxAndChopImport Finprop(3)* **by** *fastforce*

lemma *BoxInitAndMoreImpBoxInitAndMoreAndFinInit*:
$\vdash \square(\, init \; w) \land \; more \; \longrightarrow (\square \, (init \; w) \land \; more \,) \land \; fin \, (\; init \; w)$
proof −
 have *1*: $\vdash fin \, (\; init \; w) = \#True \; ; (init \; w \land empty)$ **using** *FinEqvTrueChopAndEmpty* **by** *blast*
 have *2*: $\vdash \square(\, init \; w) \longrightarrow \#True;(init \; w \land empty)$ **by** (*rule BoxImpTrueChopAndEmpty*)
 from *1 2* **show** *?thesis* **by** *fastforce*
qed

lemma *CSImpBox*:

assumes ⊢ f ⟶ empty ∨ ((□ (init w) ∧ more) ∧ finite); f
shows   ⊢ (init w ∧ f) ∧ finite ⟶ □ (init w)
proof −
 have 1: ⊢ f ⟶ empty ∨ ((□(init w) ∧ more) ∧ finite); f
      using assms **by** auto
 have 2: ⊢ init w ∧ ¬(□ (init w)) ⟶ ¬ empty
      by (rule InitAndNotBoxInitImpNotEmpty)
 have 3: ⊢ init w ∧ f ∧ ¬(□ (init w)) ⟶ ((□ (init w) ∧ more) ∧ finite); f
      using 1 2 **by** fastforce
 have 4: ⊢ □ (init w) ∧ more ⟶ (□ (init w) ∧ more) ∧ fin (init w)
      by (rule BoxInitAndMoreImpBoxInitAndMoreAndFinInit)
 have 41: ⊢ (□ (init w) ∧ more) ∧ finite ⟶
            ((□ (init w) ∧ more) ∧ finite) ∧ fin (init w)
 using 4 **by** auto
 hence 5: ⊢ ((□(init w) ∧ more) ∧ finite); f ⟶
            (((□ (init w) ∧ more) ∧ finite) ∧ fin (init w)); f
      by (rule LeftChopImpChop)
 have 6: ⊢ (((□ (init w) ∧ more) ∧ finite) ∧ fin (init w)); f =
            ((□(init w) ∧ more) ∧ finite); (init w ∧ f)
      using AndFinChopEqvStateAndChop **by** blast
 have 7: ⊢ ¬(□(init w)) ⟶ (□ (init w)) yields (¬(□ (init w)))
      by (rule NotBoxStateImpBoxYieldsNotBox)
 have 8: ⊢ (□(init w)) yields (¬ (□ (init w))) ⟶
            ((□ (init w) ∧ more) ∧ finite) yields (¬(□(init w)))
      using AndYieldsA
      by (metis AndMoreAndFiniteEqvAndFmore inteq-reflection)
 have 9: ⊢ ((□(init w) ∧ more) ∧ finite); (init w ∧ f) ∧
            ((□(init w) ∧ more) ∧ finite) yields (¬(□ (init w)))
            ⟶
            ((□ (init w) ∧ more) ∧ finite); ((init w ∧ f) ∧ ¬ (□ (init w)))
      by (rule ChopAndYieldsImp)
 have 10: ⊢ (init w ∧ f) ∧ ¬(□ (init w)) ⟶
            ((□(init w) ∧ more) ∧ finite); ((init w ∧ f) ∧ ¬(□ (init w)))
      using 3 5 6 7 8 9 **by** fastforce
 have 11: ⊢ ((□(init w) ∧ more) ∧ finite); ((init w ∧ f) ∧ ¬(□ (init w))) ⟶
            more ; ((init w ∧ f) ∧ ¬(□ (init w)))
      by (metis 41 LeftChopImpChop Prop12)
 have 12: ⊢ (init w ∧ f) ∧ ¬(□ (init w)) ⟶
            more ; ((init w ∧ f) ∧ ¬(□ (init w)))
      using 10 11 **by** fastforce
 from 12 **show** ?thesis **using** MoreChopContraFiniteB **by** blast
qed

lemma BoxCSEqvBox:
 ⊢ (init w ∧ (□(init w))*) = □ (init w)
proof −
 have 1: ⊢ □(init w);□(init w) ⟶ □(init w)
 by (simp add: BoxStateChopBoxEqvBox int-iffD1)

have 2: ⊢ (*init w* ∧ *empty*) ⟶ □(*init w*)
by (*simp add*: *StateAndEmptyImpBoxState*)
have 3: ⊢ (*init w* ∧ *empty*) ∨ □(*init w*);□(*init w*) ⟶ □(*init w*)
using *1 2* **by** *fastforce*
have 4: ⊢ (*init w* ∧ *empty*);(□(*init w*))⋆ ⟶ □ (*init w*)
using *ChopstarInductR 3* **by** *blast*
have 5: ⊢ *init w* ∧ (□(*init w*))⋆ ⟶ □ (*init w*)
using *4 StateAndEmptyChop* **by** *fastforce*
have 11: ⊢ □ (*init w*) ⟶ (*init w*)
    using *BoxElim* **by** *blast*
have 12: ⊢ □(*init w*) ⟶ (□ (*init w*))⋆
    by (*rule ImpCS*)
have 13: ⊢ □ (*init w*) ⟶ *init w* ∧ (□ (*init w*))⋆
    using *11 12* **by** *fastforce*
from *5 13* **show** *?thesis* **by** *fastforce*
qed

lemma *BoxStateAndCSEqvCS*:
⊢ (□(*init w*) ∧ *f*⋆ ∧ *finite*) = (*init w* ∧ (□(*init w*) ∧ *f*)⋆ ∧ *finite*)
proof −
have 1: ⊢ □ (*init w*) ⟶ *init w*
    using *BoxElim* **by** *blast*
have 2: ⊢ (*f*⋆ ∧ *more*) = (*f* ∧ *more*); *f*⋆
    by (*rule CSAndMoreEqvAndMoreChop*)
have 3: ⊢ (□(*init w*) ∧ ((*f* ∧ *more*); *f*⋆)) =
      ((□ (*init w*) ∧ *f* ∧ *more*); (□ (*init w*) ∧ *f*⋆))
    by (*rule BoxStateAndChopEqvChop*)
have 4: ⊢ □ (*init w*) ∧ *f* ∧ *more* ⟶ (□ (*init w*) ∧ *f*) ∧ *more*
    by *auto*
hence 5: ⊢ (□ (*init w*) ∧ *f* ∧ *more*); (□ (*init w*) ∧ *f*⋆) ⟶
      ((□ (*init w*) ∧ *f*) ∧ *more*); (□ (*init w*) ∧ *f*⋆)
    by (*rule LeftChopImpChop*)
have 6: ⊢ (□(*init w*) ∧ *f*⋆) ∧ *more* ⟶
      ((□ (*init w*) ∧ *f*) ∧ *more*); (□ (*init w*) ∧ *f*⋆)
    using *2 3 5* **by** *fastforce*
hence 7: ⊢ (□ (*init w*) ∧ *f*⋆) ∧ *finite* ⟶ (□ (*init w*) ∧ *f*)⋆
    using *CSIntro* **by** *blast*
have 71: ⊢ *init w* ∧ □ (*init w*) ∧ *f*⋆ ∧ *finite* ⟶ *init w* ∧ (□ (*init w*) ∧ *f*)⋆ ∧ *finite*
    using *7* **by** *fastforce*
have 8: ⊢ □(*init w*) ∧ *f*⋆ ∧ *finite* ⟶ *init w* ∧ (□ (*init w*) ∧ *f*)⋆ ∧ *finite*
    using *1 71* **by** *fastforce*
have 11: ⊢ (□ (*init w*) ∧ *f*)⋆ ⟶ (□ (*init w*))⋆
    by (*rule AndCSA*)
have 12: ⊢ (*init w* ∧ (□ (*init w*))⋆) = □ (*init w*)
    by (*rule BoxCSEqvBox*)
have 13: ⊢ (□ (*init w*) ∧ *f*)⋆ ⟶ *f*⋆
    by (*rule AndCSB*)
have 14: ⊢ *init w* ∧ (□ (*init w*) ∧ *f*)⋆ ⟶ *init w* ∧ (□ (*init w*))⋆ ∧ *f*⋆
    using *11 13* **by** *fastforce*

have *15*: ⊢ *init w* ∧ (□ (*init w*))* ∧ *f** ⟶ □ (*init w*) ∧ *f**
        using *12* **by** *auto*
have *16*: ⊢ *init w* ∧ (□ (*init w*) ∧ *f*)* ⟶ □ (*init w*) ∧ *f**
        using *14 15 lift-imp-trans* **by** *blast*
 from *8 16* **show** *?thesis* **by** *fastforce*
qed

lemma *BaCSImpCS*:
⊢   *ba* (*f* ⟶ *g*) ∧ *finite* ⟶ *f** ⟶ *g**
proof −
 have   *1*: ⊢ *f** = (*empty* ∨ (*f* ∧ *more*); *f**)
        by (*rule ChopstarEqv*)
 have   *2*: ⊢ *g** = (*empty* ∨ (*g* ∧ *more*); *g**)
        by (*rule ChopstarEqv*)
 have *21*: ⊢ ¬(*g**) = (¬*empty* ∧ ¬((*g* ∧ *more*); *g**))
        using *2* **by** *fastforce*
hence *22*: ⊢ ¬(*g**) = (*more* ∧ ¬((*g* ∧ *more*); *g**))
        using *NotEmptyEqvMore* **by** *fastforce*
 have   *3*: ⊢ *f** ∧ ¬ (*g**) ⟶
            (*empty* ∨ (*f* ∧ *more*); *f**) ∧ *more* ∧ ¬ ((*g* ∧ *more*); *g**)
        using *1 22* **by** *fastforce*
 have *31*: ⊢ ((*empty* ∨ (*f* ∧ *more*); *f**) ∧ *more*) = ((*f* ∧ *more*); *f** ∧ *more*)
        by (*auto simp*: *empty-d-def*)
 have *32*: ⊢ *f** ∧ ¬ (*g**) ⟶ (*f* ∧ *more*); *f** ∧ ¬ ((*g* ∧ *more*); *g**)
        using *3 31* **by** *fastforce*
 have   *4*: ⊢ (*f* ⟶ *g*) ⟶ (*f* ∧ *more* ⟶ *g* ∧ *more*)
        by *auto*
hence   *5*: ⊢ *ba* (*f* ⟶ *g*) ⟶ *ba* (*f* ∧ *more* ⟶ *g* ∧ *more*)
        by (*rule BaImpBa*)
 have   *6*: ⊢ *ba* (*f* ∧ *more* ⟶ *g* ∧ *more*) ⟶
            (*f* ∧ *more*); *f** ⟶ (*g* ∧ *more*); *f**
        by (*rule BaLeftChopImpChop*)
 have   *7*: ⊢ *ba* (*f* ⟶ *g*) ∧ (*f* ∧ *more*); *f** ⟶ (*g* ∧ *more*); *f**
        using *5 6* **by** *fastforce*
 have   *8*: ⊢ (*g* ∧ *more*); *f** ∧ ¬ ((*g* ∧ *more*); *g**)
            ⟶ (*g* ∧ *more*); (*f** ∧ ¬ (*g**))
        by (*rule ChopAndNotChopImp*)
 have   *9*: ⊢ (*g* ∧ *more*); (*f** ∧ ¬ (*g**)) ⟶ *more* ; (*f** ∧ ¬ (*g**))
        by (*rule AndChopB*)
 have *10*: ⊢ *ba* (*f* ⟶ *g*) ⟶ *more* ; (*f** ∧ ¬ (*g**)) ⟶
            more ; (*ba* (*f* ⟶ *g*) ∧ *f** ∧ ¬ (*g**))
        by (*rule BaChopImpChopBa*)
 have *11*: ⊢ *ba* (*f* ⟶ *g*) ∧ *f** ∧ ¬ (*g**) ⟶
            more ; (*ba* (*f* ⟶ *g*) ∧ *f** ∧ ¬ (*g**))
        using *32 7 8 9 10* **by** *fastforce*
hence *12*: ⊢ *finite* ⟶ ¬ ((*ba* (*f* ⟶ *g*)) ∧ (*f**) ∧ (¬ (*g**)))
        using *MoreChopLoopFiniteB* **by** *blast*
 from *12* **show** *?thesis* **by** (*simp add*: *Valid-def*)

qed

lemma *BaCSEqvCS*:
$\vdash \quad ba\ (f = g) \land finite \longrightarrow (f^\star = g^\star)$
proof $-$
have *1*: $\vdash ba\ (f = g) = (ba\ (f \longrightarrow g) \land ba\ (g \longrightarrow f))$ **by** (*auto simp*: *ba-defs sum.case-eq-if*)
have *2*: $\vdash ba\ (f \longrightarrow g) \land finite \longrightarrow (f^\star \longrightarrow g^\star)$ **by** (*rule BaCSImpCS*)
have *3*: $\vdash ba\ (g \longrightarrow f) \land finite \longrightarrow (g^\star \longrightarrow f^\star)$ **by** (*rule BaCSImpCS*)
have *4*: $\vdash ba\ (f = g) \land finite \longrightarrow (f^\star \longrightarrow g^\star) \land (g^\star \longrightarrow f^\star)$ **using** *1 2 3* **by** *fastforce*
have *5*: $\vdash ((f^\star \longrightarrow g^\star) \land (g^\star \longrightarrow f^\star)) = (f^\star = g^\star)$ **by** *auto*
from *4 5* **show** *?thesis* **by** *auto*
qed

lemma *BaAndCSImport*:
$\vdash \quad ba\ f \land g^\star \land finite \longrightarrow (f \land g)^\star$
proof $-$
have *1*: $\vdash f \longrightarrow (g \longrightarrow f \land g)$ **by** *auto*
hence *2*: $\vdash \ ba\ f \longrightarrow \ ba\ (g \longrightarrow f \land g)$ **by** (*rule BaImpBa*)
have *3*: $\vdash \ ba\ (g \longrightarrow f \land g) \land finite \longrightarrow g^\star \longrightarrow (f \land g)^\star$ **by** (*rule BaCSImpCS*)
from *2 3* **show** *?thesis* **by** *fastforce*
qed

lemma *CSSkipImpFinite*:
$\vdash skip^\star \longrightarrow finite$
using *CSElimWithoutMore EmptyImpFinite SkipChopFiniteImpFinite* **by** *blast*

lemma *FiniteImpCSSkip*:
$\vdash finite \longrightarrow skip^\star$
using *CSIntro*
by (*metis* (*no-types, lifting*) *CSSkipImpFinite ChopAndB FiniteChopSkipEqvFiniteAndMore*
   FiniteChopSkipEqvSkipChopFinite ImpChopPlus Prop10 Prop12 int-iffD1 inteq-reflection)

lemma *CSSkipEqvFinite*:
$\vdash skip^\star = finite$
using *CSSkipImpFinite FiniteImpCSSkip* **by** *fastforce*

13.9   Properties of Omega

lemma *NotOmegaEmpty*:
$\vdash \neg((empty)^\omega)$
proof $-$
have *1*: $\vdash (empty)^\omega = (empty \land fmore);(empty)^\omega$
by (*simp add*: *OmegaUnroll*)
have *2*: $\vdash (empty \land fmore) = \#False$
using *NotFmoreAndEmpty* **by** *auto*
have *3*: $\vdash \#False;(empty)^\omega = \#False$
by (*metis AndInfChopEqvAndInf int-eq int-simps*(*22*))

from *1 2 3* **show** *?thesis*
by (*metis TrueW int-simps*(*3*) *inteq-reflection*)
qed

lemma *NotOmegaFalse*:
⊢ ¬((#*False*)$^\omega$)
by (*metis ChopImpDi DiIntro NotDiFalse OmegaUnroll int-iffI int-simps*(*14*)
   int-simps(*19*) *inteq-reflection*)

lemma *NotOmegaInf*:
⊢ ¬((*inf*)$^\omega$)
proof −
have *1*: ⊢ (*inf*)$^\omega$ = (*inf* ∧ *fmore*);(*inf*)$^\omega$
by (*simp add*: *OmegaUnroll*)
have *2*: ⊢ (*inf* ∧ *fmore*) = #*False*
using *FiniteChopSkipEqvFiniteAndMore FiniteChopSkipEqvSkipChopFinite*
FmoreEqvSkipChopFinite InfEqvNotFinite **by** *fastforce*
have *3*: ⊢ #*False*;(*inf*)$^\omega$ = #*False*
by (*metis AndInfChopEqvAndInf int-eq int-simps*(*22*))
from *1 2 3* **show** *?thesis*
by (*metis TrueW int-simps*(*3*) *inteq-reflection*)
qed

lemma *OmegaLenPlusOneImpInf*:
⊢ (*len*(*Suc n*))$^\omega$ ⟶ *inf*
by (*simp add*: *Valid-def infinite-defs omega-d-def len-defs sum.case-eq-if*)

lemma *InfImpOmegaLenPlusOne*:
⊢ *inf* ⟶ (*len*(*Suc n*))$^\omega$
proof −
have *1*: ⊢ *inf* ∧ #*True* ∧ □(#*True* ⟶(*len*(*Suc n*) ∧ *fmore*);#*True*) ⟶ (*len*(*Suc n*))$^\omega$
using *OmegaInduct* **by** *blast*
have *2*: ⊢ □(#*True* ⟶(*len*(*Suc n*) ∧ *fmore*);#*True*) = *inf*
by (*auto simp add*: *Valid-def len-defs fmore-defs chop-defs iprefix-length infinite-defs always-defs*
   sum.case-eq-if)
from *1 2* **show** *?thesis* **by** *fastforce*
qed

lemma *OmegaLenPlusOneEqvInf*:
⊢ (*len*(*Suc n*))$^\omega$ = *inf*
using *OmegaLenPlusOneImpInf InfImpOmegaLenPlusOne* **by** *fastforce*

lemma *OmegaSkipEqvInf*:
⊢ (*skip*)$^\omega$ = *inf*
proof −
have *1*: ⊢ *skip* = (*len 1*)
 by (*simp add*: *Valid-def skip-defs len-defs sum.case-eq-if*)
have *2*: ⊢ (*skip*)$^\omega$ = (*len 1*)$^\omega$
 using *1* **by** (*metis OmegaUnroll inteq-reflection*)
from *2* **show** *?thesis* **using** *OmegaLenPlusOneEqvInf* **by** *fastforce*

qed

lemma *OmegaTrueImpInf* :
⊢ (#*True*)^ω ⟶ *inf*
by (*simp add*: *Valid-def infinite-defs omega-d-def skip-defs sum.case-eq-if*)

lemma *InfImpOmegaTrue*:
⊢ *inf* ⟶ (#*True*)^ω
proof −
 have *1*: ⊢ *inf* ∧ #*True* ∧ □(#*True* ⟶(#*True* ∧ *fmore*);#*True*) ⟶ #*True*^ω
 using *OmegaInduct* **by** *blast*
 have *2*: ⊢ □(#*True* ⟶(#*True* ∧ *fmore*);#*True*) = *inf*
 by (*auto simp add*: *Valid-def skip-defs fmore-defs chop-defs iprefix-length infinite-defs*
    always-defs sum.case-eq-if)
 from *1 2* **show** *?thesis* **by** *fastforce*
qed

lemma *OmegaTrueEqvInf* :
⊢ (#*True*)^ω = *inf*
using *OmegaTrueImpInf InfImpOmegaTrue* **by** *fastforce*

lemma *OmegaMoreImpInf* :
⊢ (*more*)^ω ⟶ *inf*
by (*simp add*: *Valid-def infinite-defs omega-d-def more-defs sum.case-eq-if*)

lemma *InfImpOmegaMore*:
⊢ *inf* ⟶ (*more*)^ω
proof −
 have *1*: ⊢ *inf* ∧ #*True* ∧ □(#*True* ⟶(*more* ∧ *fmore*);#*True*) ⟶ *more*^ω
 using *OmegaInduct* **by** *blast*
 have *2*: ⊢ □(#*True* ⟶(*more* ∧ *fmore*);#*True*) = *inf*
 by (*auto simp add*: *Valid-def skip-defs more-defs fmore-defs chop-defs iprefix-length infinite-defs*
    always-defs sum.case-eq-if)
 from *1 2* **show** *?thesis* **by** *fastforce*
qed

lemma *OmegaMoreEqvInf* :
⊢ (*more*)^ω = *inf*
using *OmegaMoreImpInf InfImpOmegaMore* **by** *fastforce*

lemma *OmegaFiniteImpInf* :
⊢ (*finite*)^ω ⟶ *inf*
by (*simp add*: *Valid-def infinite-defs omega-d-def more-defs sum.case-eq-if*)

lemma *InfImpOmegaFinite*:
⊢ *inf* ⟶ (*finite*)^ω
proof −
 have *1*: ⊢ *inf* ∧ #*True* ∧ □(#*True* ⟶(*finite* ∧ *fmore*);#*True*) ⟶ *finite*^ω
 using *OmegaInduct* **by** *blast*

have 2: ⊢ □(#*True* —→(*finite* ∧ *fmore*);#*True*) = *inf*
by (*auto simp add*: *Valid-def skip-defs more-defs finite-defs fmore-defs chop-defs iprefix-length*
     infinite-defs always-defs sum.case-eq-if)
 from *1 2* **show** *?thesis* **by** *fastforce*
qed

lemma *OmegaFiniteEqvInf*:
⊢ (*finite*)$^\omega$ = *inf*
using *OmegaFiniteImpInf InfImpOmegaFinite* **by** *fastforce*

lemma *BoxStateAndInfImpOmegaBoxState*:
⊢ *inf* ∧ □(*init w*) —→ (□(*init w*))$^\omega$
proof −
 have 1: ⊢ *inf* ∧ (*inf* ∧ □(*init w*)) ∧
          □((*inf* ∧ □(*init w*)) —→ (□(*init w*) ∧ *fmore*);(*inf* ∧ □(*init w*))) —→ (□(*init w*))$^\omega$
  using *OmegaInduct* **by** *blast*
 have 2: ⊢ (*inf* ∧ □(*init w*)) = (*inf* ∧ (□(*init w*) ∧ *fmore*);□(*init w*))
   by (*metis* (*no-types, lifting*) *BoxStateAndChopEqvChop ChopAndInf FmoreEqvSkipChopFinite*
    OmegaFiniteEqvInf OmegaUnroll Prop10 SkipChopFiniteImpFinite inteq-reflection lift-and-com)
 have 3: ⊢ (*inf* ∧ (□(*init w*) ∧ *fmore*);□(*init w*)) = (□(*init w*) ∧ *fmore*);(*inf* ∧ □(*init w*))
   by (*metis ChopAndInf inteq-reflection lift-and-com*)
 have 4: ⊢ *inf* ∧ □(*init w*) —→ □((*inf* ∧ □(*init w*))—→ (□(*init w*) ∧ *fmore*);(*inf* ∧ □(*init w*)))
  using *2 3* **by** (*metis* (*mono-tags, lifting*) *BoxGen intD intI inteq-reflection unl-lift2*)
 from *1 4* **show** *?thesis* **by** *fastforce*
qed

lemma *OmegaBoxStateImpBoxState*:
⊢ (□(*init w*))$^\omega$ ∧ *inf* —→ □(*init w*)
proof −
 have 1: ⊢ (□(*init w*))$^\omega$ —→ *init w*
  by (*metis AndChopA BoxEqvAndEmptyOrNextBox OmegaUnroll Prop12 StateAndChop inteq-reflection*)
 have 2: ⊢ (□(*init w*))$^\omega$ —→ (□(*init w*) ∧ *fmore*);((□(*init w*))$^\omega$)
  by (*simp add*: *OmegaUnroll int-iffD1*)
 have 21: ⊢ (□(*init w*) ∧ *fmore*) —→ ○(□(*init w*))
  by (*metis AndChopB BoxStateAndChopEqvChop FmoreEqvSkipChopFinite NextAndEqvNextAndNext*
        Prop12 inteq-reflection next-d-def)
 have 22: ⊢ *finite* = (*empty* ∨ *fmore*)
  by (*auto simp add*: *Valid-def finite-defs empty-defs fmore-defs sum.case-eq-if*)
 have 23: ⊢ (□ (*init w*) ∧ *finite*) = ((□ (*init w*) ∧ *empty*) ∨ (□ (*init w*) ∧ *fmore*))
  using *22* **by** *fastforce*
 have 24: ⊢ (□ (*init w*) ∧ *empty*) = (*init w* ∧ *empty*)
  using *BoxEqvAndBox StateAndEmptyImpBoxState* **by** *fastforce*
 have 25: ⊢ ○(□(*init w*)) ∧ *fmore* —→ ○(((*init w*) ∧ *empty*) ∨ (□ (*init w*) ∧ *fmore*))
  using *23 24* **by** (*metis FmoreEqvSkipChopFinite NextAndEqvNextAndNext SkipChopEqvNext int-iffD2*
   inteq-reflection)
 have 26: ⋀ *g*. ⊢ (○((((*init w*) ∧ *empty*) ∨ (□ (*init w*) ∧ *fmore*)));*g* =
        (○(*init w* ∧ *g*) ∨ ○((□(*init w*) ∧ *fmore*);*g*))
  by (*metis* (*mono-tags, lifting*) *ChopOrEqvRule NextChop OrChopEqv StateAndEmptyChop*
      inteq-reflection next-d-def)

have 3: ⊢ (□(*init w*) ∧ *fmore*);((□(*init w*))�) ⟶

$(\bigcirc(init\ w \wedge (\Box(init\ w))^{\omega}) \vee \bigcirc((\Box(init\ w) \wedge fmore);((\Box(init\ w))^{\omega})))$

  using *23 24 26*

  by (*metis AndChopB BoxStateAndChopEqvChop FmoreEqvSkipChopFinite LeftChopImpChop*
       inteq-reflection next-d-def)

have 4: ⊢ $(\bigcirc(init\ w \wedge (\Box(init\ w))^{\omega}) \vee \bigcirc((\Box(init\ w) \wedge fmore);((\Box(init\ w))^{\omega}))) \longrightarrow$

  $\bigcirc(\ (\Box(init\ w))^{\omega})$

  by (*metis ChopAndB NextImpNext OmegaUnroll Prop02 Prop11 next-d-def*)

have 5: ⊢ $(\Box(init\ w))^{\omega} \longrightarrow \bigcirc(\ (\Box(init\ w))^{\omega})$

  using *2 3 4* **by** *fastforce*

 from *1 5* **show** *?thesis* **using** *BoxIntro* **by** (*metis Prop01 Prop05 inteq-reflection lift-and-com*)

qed

lemma *OmegaIntro*:

 assumes ⊢ $h \longrightarrow (f \wedge fmore);h$

 shows ⊢ $h \wedge inf \longrightarrow f^{\omega}$

proof −

 have 1: ⊢ $h \longrightarrow (f \wedge fmore);h$ **using** *assms* **by** *auto*

 have 2: ⊢ □ $(h \longrightarrow (f \wedge fmore);h)$ **by** (*simp add: BoxGen assms*)

 from *1 2* **show** *?thesis* **using** *OmegaInduct* **by** *fastforce*

qed

lemma *OmegaImpRule*:

 assumes ⊢ $f \longrightarrow g$

 shows ⊢ $f^{\omega} \wedge inf \longrightarrow g^{\omega}$

proof −

 have 1: ⊢ $(f \wedge fmore) \longrightarrow (g \wedge fmore)$

  using *assms* **by** *auto*

 have 2: ⊢ $(f \wedge fmore);f^{\omega} \longrightarrow (g \wedge fmore);f^{\omega}$

  using *1* **by** (*simp add: LeftChopImpChop*)

 have 3: ⊢ □$(f^{\omega} \longrightarrow (f \wedge fmore);f^{\omega}) \longrightarrow$ □$(f^{\omega} \longrightarrow (g \wedge fmore);f^{\omega})$

  by (*metis 2 OmegaUnroll int-eq-true int-simps(13) inteq-reflection*)

 have 4: ⊢ $(inf \wedge f^{\omega} \wedge$ □$(f^{\omega} \longrightarrow (f \wedge fmore);f^{\omega})) \longrightarrow$

   $inf \wedge f^{\omega} \wedge$ □$(f^{\omega} \longrightarrow (g \wedge fmore);f^{\omega})$

 using *3* **by** *fastforce*

 have 5: ⊢ $inf \wedge f^{\omega} \wedge$ □$(f^{\omega} \longrightarrow (g \wedge fmore);f^{\omega}) \longrightarrow g^{\omega}$

 using *OmegaInduct* **by** *blast*

 have 6: ⊢ $f^{\omega} \longrightarrow (f \wedge fmore);f^{\omega}$

  by (*simp add: OmegaUnroll int-iffD1*)

 have 7: ⊢□$(\ f^{\omega} \longrightarrow (f \wedge fmore);f^{\omega})$

   using *6* **by** (*simp add: BoxGen*)

 from *3 5 7* **show** *?thesis* **by** *fastforce*

qed

lemma *OmegaEqvRule*:

 assumes ⊢ $f = g$

 shows ⊢ $f^{\omega} = g^{\omega}$

using *assms* **using** *int-eq* **by** *force*

lemma *AndOmegaA*:
⊢ $(f \wedge g)^\omega \wedge \mathit{inf} \longrightarrow f^\omega$
by (*meson OmegaImpRule Prop12 int-iffD2 lift-and-com*)

lemma *AndOmegaB*:
⊢ $(f \wedge g)^\omega \wedge \mathit{inf} \longrightarrow g^\omega$
by (*meson OmegaImpRule Prop12 int-iffD2 lift-and-com*)

lemma *BaOmegaImpOmega*:
⊢ $ba\ (f \longrightarrow g) \wedge \mathit{inf} \longrightarrow f^\omega \longrightarrow g^\omega$
proof −
 have *1*: ⊢ $ba\ (f \longrightarrow g) \wedge (f \wedge fmore); f^\omega \longrightarrow ((f \longrightarrow g) \wedge (f \wedge fmore)\ ); ((f \longrightarrow g) \wedge f^\omega)$
   using *BaAndChopImport* **by** *fastforce*
 have *2*: ⊢ $(f \longrightarrow g) \wedge (f \wedge fmore) \longrightarrow (\ g \wedge fmore)$
   by *auto*
 have *3*: ⊢ $(f \longrightarrow g) \wedge f^\omega \longrightarrow f^\omega$
   by *auto*
 have *4*: ⊢ $ba\ (f \longrightarrow g) \wedge (f \wedge fmore); f^\omega \longrightarrow (g \wedge fmore);\ f^\omega$
   using *1 2 3*
   by (*metis (no-types, lifting) AndChopB ChopAndB Prop10 int-eq lift-imp-trans*)
 have *5*: ⊢ $ba\ (f \longrightarrow g) \wedge (f \wedge fmore); f^\omega \longrightarrow ((f \longrightarrow g) \wedge (f \wedge fmore)); (ba(f \longrightarrow g) \wedge f^\omega)$
   using *BaAndChopImportB* **by** *blast*
 have *6*: ⊢ $((f \longrightarrow g) \wedge (f \wedge fmore)); (ba(f \longrightarrow g) \wedge f^\omega) \longrightarrow$
        $((g \wedge fmore)); (ba(f \longrightarrow g) \wedge f^\omega)$
   using *2 LeftChopImpChop* **by** *blast*
 have *7*: ⊢ $(ba\ (f \longrightarrow g) \wedge f^\omega) \longrightarrow (g \wedge fmore); (ba\ (f \longrightarrow g) \wedge f^\omega)$
   using *OmegaUnroll 5 6* **by** *fastforce*
 have *8*: ⊢ $(ba\ (f \longrightarrow g) \wedge f^\omega) \wedge \mathit{inf} \longrightarrow g^\omega$
  using *7 OmegaIntro* **by** *blast*
 from *8* **show** *?thesis* **by** *fastforce*
qed

lemma *BaOmegaEqvOmega*:
⊢ $ba\ (f = g) \wedge \mathit{inf} \longrightarrow (f^\omega = g^\omega)$
proof −
 have *1*: ⊢ $ba\ (f = g) = (ba\ (f \longrightarrow g) \wedge ba\ (g \longrightarrow f))$ **by** (*auto simp: ba-defs sum.case-eq-if*)
 have *2*: ⊢ $ba\ (f \longrightarrow g) \wedge \mathit{inf} \longrightarrow (f^\omega \longrightarrow g^\omega)$ **using** *BaOmegaImpOmega* **by** *blast*
 have *3*: ⊢ $ba\ (g \longrightarrow f) \wedge \mathit{inf} \longrightarrow (g^\omega \longrightarrow f^\omega)$ **using** *BaOmegaImpOmega* **by** *blast*
 have *4*: ⊢ $ba\ (f = g) \wedge \mathit{inf} \longrightarrow (f^\omega \longrightarrow g^\omega) \wedge (g^\omega \longrightarrow f^\omega)$ **using** *1 2 3* **by** *fastforce*
 have *5*: ⊢ $((f^\omega \longrightarrow g^\omega) \wedge (g^\omega \longrightarrow f^\omega)) = (f^\omega = g^\omega)$ **by** *auto*
 from *4 5* **show** *?thesis* **by** *auto*
qed

lemma *BaAndOmegaImport*:
⊢ $ba\ f \wedge g^\omega \wedge \mathit{inf} \longrightarrow (f \wedge g)^\omega$
proof −
 have *1*: ⊢ $f \longrightarrow (g \longrightarrow (f \wedge g))$ **by** *auto*
 hence *2*: ⊢ $ba\ f \longrightarrow ba\ (g \longrightarrow f \wedge g)$ **by** (*rule BaImpBa*)
 have *3*: ⊢ $ba\ (g \longrightarrow f \wedge g) \wedge \mathit{inf} \longrightarrow g^\omega \longrightarrow (f \wedge g)^\omega$ **by** (*rule BaOmegaImpOmega*)

441

from *2 3* **show** *?thesis* **by** *fastforce*
qed

13.10 Properties of While

lemma *WhileEqvIf*:
$\vdash ((\text{while } (\text{init } w) \text{ do } f) \land \text{finite}) =$
  $(\text{if}_i \ (\text{init } w) \text{ then } (f; (\text{while } (\text{init } w) \text{ do } f)) \text{ else } \text{empty} \land \text{finite})$
proof $-$
have *1*: $\vdash (\text{while } (\text{init } w) \text{ do } f \land \text{finite}) =$
    $((((\text{init } w) \land f)^{\star} \land \text{ fin } (\neg \ (\text{init } w))) \land \text{finite})$
  by (*simp add*: *while-d-def*)
have *2*: $\vdash (\text{init } w \land f)^{\star} = (\text{empty} \lor ((\text{init } w \land f); (\text{init } w \land f)^{\star}))$
  by (*rule CSEqvOrChopCS*)
have *21*: $\vdash (((\text{init } w) \land f)^{\star} \land \text{ fin } (\neg \ (\text{init } w)) \land \text{finite}) =$
    $((\text{empty} \lor ((\text{init } w \land f); (\text{init } w \land f)^{\star})) \land \text{ fin } (\neg \ (\text{init } w)) \land \text{finite})$
  using *2* **by** *fastforce*
have *22*: $\vdash ((\text{empty} \lor ((\text{init } w \land f); (\text{init } w \land f)^{\star})) \land \text{ fin } (\neg \ (\text{init } w)) \land \text{finite}) =$
    $((\text{empty} \land \text{fin } (\neg(\text{init } w)) \land \text{finite}) \lor$
    $(((\text{init } w \land f); (\text{init } w \land f)^{\star}) \land \text{ fin } (\neg \ (\text{init } w)) \land \text{finite}))$
  by *auto*
have *23*: $\vdash ((((\text{init } w) \land f)^{\star} \land \text{ fin } (\neg \ (\text{init } w))) \land \text{finite}) =$
    $((\text{empty} \land \text{fin } (\neg(\text{init } w)) \land \text{finite}) \lor$
    $(((\text{init } w \land f); (\text{init } w \land f)^{\star}) \land \text{ fin } (\neg \ (\text{init } w)) \land \text{finite}))$
  using *21 22* **by** *auto*
have *3*: $\vdash (\text{empty} \land \text{ fin } (\neg \ (\text{init } w))) = (\neg \ (\text{init } w) \land \text{ empty})$
  by (*metis FinAndEmpty Prop04 lift-and-com*)
hence *31*: $\vdash (\text{empty} \land \text{ fin } (\neg \ (\text{init } w)) \land \text{finite}) = (\neg \ (\text{init } w) \land \text{ empty} \land \text{finite})$
  by *auto*
have *32*: $\vdash (\neg \ (\text{init } w) \land \text{ empty} \land \text{finite}) = (\neg \ (\text{init } w) \land \text{ empty})$
  using *FiniteAndEmptyEqvEmpty* **by** *auto*
have *33*: $\vdash (\text{empty} \land \text{fin } (\neg(\text{init } w)) \land \text{finite}) = (\neg \ (\text{init } w) \land \text{ empty})$
  using *31 32* **by** *fastforce*
have *34*: $\vdash ((\text{empty} \land \text{fin } (\neg(\text{init } w)) \land \text{finite}) \lor$
    $(((\text{init } w \land f); (\text{init } w \land f)^{\star}) \land \text{ fin } (\neg \ (\text{init } w)) \land \text{finite})) =$
    $((\neg \ (\text{init } w) \land \text{ empty}) \lor$
    $(((\text{init } w \land f); (\text{init } w \land f)^{\star}) \land \text{ fin } (\neg \ (\text{init } w)) \land \text{finite}))$
  using *23 33* **by** *fastforce*
have *4*: $\vdash (\text{init } w \land f); (\text{init } w \land f)^{\star} = (\text{init } w \land (f; (\text{init } w \land f)^{\star}))$
  by (*rule StateAndChop*)
have *41*: $\vdash (((\text{init } w \land f); (\text{init } w \land f)^{\star}) \land \text{ fin } (\neg \ (\text{init } w)) \land \text{finite}) =$
    $(\text{init } w \land (f; (\text{init } w \land f)^{\star}) \land \text{ fin } (\neg \ (\text{init } w)) \land \text{finite})$
  using *4* **by** *auto*
have *42*: $\vdash (\text{init } w \land ((f; (\text{init } w \land f)^{\star}) \land \text{ fin } (\neg \ (\text{init } w)) \land \text{finite})) =$
    $(\text{init } w \land ((f; (\text{init } w \land f)^{\star}) \land \text{ fin } (\text{init } (\neg w)) \land \text{finite}))$
  using *Initprop(2)* **by** (*metis StateAndEmptyChop int-eq*)
have *5*: $\vdash ((f; ((\text{init } w \land f)^{\star})) \land (\text{fin } (\text{init } (\neg w))) \land \text{finite})$
    $= ((f \land \text{finite}); ((\text{init } w \land f)^{\star} \land (\text{fin } (\text{init } (\neg w))) \land \text{finite}))$
  using *ChopAndFin* **by** *fastforce*
hence *49*: $\vdash (\text{init } w \land (f; (\text{init } w \land f)^{\star}) \land \text{ fin } (\neg \ (\text{init } w)) \land \text{finite }) =$

$$(init \ w \wedge (f \wedge finite); ((init \ w \wedge f)^\star \wedge (fin \ (init \ (\neg \ w))) \wedge finite))$$
  using *42* **by** *fastforce*
have *50*: ⊢ $(((init \ w \wedge f); (init \ w \wedge f)^\star) \wedge fin (\neg \ (init \ w)) \wedge finite) =$
    $(init \ w \wedge (f \wedge finite); ((init \ w \wedge f)^\star \wedge (fin \ (init \ (\neg \ w))) \wedge finite))$
  using *49 41* **by** *fastforce*
have *51*: ⊢ $(init \ w \wedge (f \wedge finite); ((init \ w \wedge f)^\star \wedge (fin \ (init \ (\neg \ w))) \wedge finite)) =$
    $(init \ w \wedge (f \wedge finite); ((init \ w \wedge f)^\star \wedge (fin (\neg \ (init \ w))) \wedge finite))$
  using *Initprop(2)*
 by $(metis \ int\text{-}simps(1) \ inteq\text{-}reflection)$
have *52*: ⊢ $(((init \ w \wedge f); (init \ w \wedge f)^\star) \wedge fin (\neg \ (init \ w)) \wedge finite) =$
   $(init \ w \wedge ((f \wedge finite); ((init \ w \wedge f)^\star \wedge fin (\neg \ (init \ w)) \wedge finite)))$
  using *50 51* **by** *fastforce*
have *53*: ⊢ $((\neg \ (init \ w) \wedge empty) \vee$
   $(((init \ w \wedge f); (init \ w \wedge f)^\star) \wedge fin (\neg \ (init \ w)) \wedge finite)) =$
   $((\neg \ (init \ w) \wedge empty) \vee$
   $(init \ w \wedge ((f \wedge finite); ((init \ w \wedge f)^\star \wedge fin (\neg \ (init \ w)) \wedge finite))))$
  using *52 34* **by** *auto*
have *6*: ⊢ $((f \wedge finite); (((init \ w \wedge f)^\star \wedge fin (\neg \ (init \ w))) \wedge finite)) =$
   $(f \wedge finite); (while \ (init \ w) \ do \ f \wedge finite)$
  by $(simp \ add: \ while\text{-}d\text{-}def)$
have *61*: ⊢ $(init \ w \wedge ((f \wedge finite); (((init \ w \wedge f)^\star \wedge fin (\neg \ (init \ w))) \wedge finite))) =$
   $(init \ w \wedge ((f \wedge finite); (while \ (init \ w) \ do \ f \wedge finite)))$
   using *6*
  by *auto*
have *62*: ⊢ $((\neg \ (init \ w) \wedge empty) \vee$
   $(init \ w \wedge ((f \wedge finite); (((init \ w \wedge f)^\star \wedge fin (\neg \ (init \ w))) \wedge finite))))$
   $=((\neg \ (init \ w) \wedge empty) \vee$
   $(init \ w \wedge ((f \wedge finite);(while \ (init \ w) \ do \ f \wedge finite))))$
  using *61* **by** *fastforce*
have *7*: ⊢ $(while \ (init \ w) \ do \ f \wedge finite)$
   $= (((\neg \ (init \ w) \wedge empty) \vee$
   $(init \ w \wedge (f \ ; \ while \ (init \ w) \ do \ f) \wedge finite)))$
  using *1 23 34 53 62*
  by $(metis \ 2 \ 22 \ ChopAndFiniteDist \ inteq\text{-}reflection)$
have *71*: ⊢ $((if_i \ (init \ w) \ then \ (f; \ (while \ (init \ w) \ do \ f)) \ else \ empty) \wedge finite)=$
   $(((\neg \ (init \ w) \wedge empty) \vee (init \ w \wedge (f; \ while \ (init \ w) \ do \ f)) \wedge finite))$
  using *FiniteAndEmptyEqvEmpty* **by** $(auto \ simp: \ ifthenelse\text{-}d\text{-}def)$
 from *7 71* **show** *?thesis* **by** *fastforce*
qed

lemma *IfAndFiniteDist*:
⊢ $(if_i \ (init \ w) \ then \ (f;g) \ else \ empty \wedge finite) =$
 $(if_i \ (init \ w) \ then \ ((f \wedge finite);(g \wedge finite)) \ else \ empty)$
proof −
 have *1*: ⊢ $(if_i \ (init \ w) \ then \ (f;g) \ else \ empty \wedge finite) =$
   $((\ (init \ w \wedge (f;g)) \vee (\neg(init \ w) \wedge empty)) \wedge finite)$
by $(auto \ simp: \ ifthenelse\text{-}d\text{-}def)$
 have *2*: ⊢ $((\ (init \ w \wedge (f;g)) \vee (\neg(init \ w) \wedge empty)) \wedge finite) =$
   $((\ (init \ w \wedge (f;g) \wedge finite) \vee (\neg(init \ w) \wedge empty \wedge finite)))$
 by *auto*

have *3*: ⊢ (*init w* ∧ (*f* ;*g*) ∧ *finite*) = (*init w* ∧ (*f* ∧ *finite*);(*g* ∧ *finite*))
 using *ChopAndFiniteDist* **by** *fastforce*
have *4*: ⊢ (¬(*init w*) ∧ *empty* ∧ *finite*) = (¬(*init w*) ∧ *empty*)
 using *FiniteAndEmptyEqvEmpty* **by** *auto*
have *5*: ⊢ (((*init w* ∧ (*f* ;*g*) ∧ *finite*) ∨ (¬(*init w*) ∧ *empty* ∧ *finite*))) =
        ((*init w* ∧ (*f* ∧ *finite*);(*g* ∧ *finite*)) ∨ (¬(*init w*) ∧ *empty*))
using *3 4* **by** *fastforce*
have *6*: ⊢ ((*init w* ∧ (*f* ∧ *finite*);(*g* ∧ *finite*)) ∨ (¬(*init w*) ∧ *empty*)) =
        (*if* $_i$ (*init w*) *then* ((*f* ∧ *finite*);(*g* ∧ *finite*)) *else* *empty*)
   by (*auto simp*: *ifthenelse-d-def*)
 from *1 2 5 6* **show** *?thesis* **by** (*metis inteq-reflection*)
qed

lemma *WhileChopEqvIf* :
⊢ ((*while* (*init w*) *do f*) ∧ *finite*); *g* =
    if $_i$ (*init w*) *then* ((*f* ∧ *finite*); ((((*while* (*init w*) *do f*) ∧ *finite*) ; *g*)) *else* *g*
proof −
 have *1*: ⊢ (*while* (*init w*) *do f* ∧ *finite*)=
          (*if* $_i$ (*init w*) *then* (*f* ; (*while* (*init w*) *do f*)) *else* *empty* ∧ *finite*)
     by (*rule WhileEqvIf*)
 have *11*: ⊢ (*if* $_i$ (*init w*) *then* (*f* ; (*while* (*init w*) *do f*)) *else* *empty* ∧ *finite*) =
         (*if* $_i$ (*init w*) *then* ((*f* ∧ *finite*); (*while* (*init w*) *do f* ∧ *finite*)) *else* *empty*)
   using *IfAndFiniteDist* **by** *fastforce*
 have *12*: ⊢ (*while* (*init w*) *do f* ∧ *finite*) =
         (*if* $_i$ (*init w*) *then* ((*f* ∧ *finite*); (*while* (*init w*) *do f* ∧ *finite*)) *else* *empty*)
 using *1 11* **by** *fastforce*
 hence *2*: ⊢ ((*while* (*init w*) *do f*) ∧ *finite*); *g* =
           (*if* $_i$ (*init w*)
            then (((*f* ∧ *finite*); (*while* (*init w*) *do f* ∧ *finite*));*g*)
            else (*empty*;*g*))
      by (*rule IfChopEqvRule*)
 have *3*: ⊢ *empty* ; *g* = *g*
      by (*rule EmptyChop*)
 have *4*: ⊢ (*if* $_i$ (*init w*)
            then (((*f* ∧ *finite*); (*while* (*init w*) *do f* ∧ *finite*));*g*)
            else (*empty*;*g*)) =
           (*if* $_i$ (*init w*)
            then (((*f* ∧ *finite*); (*while* (*init w*) *do f* ∧ *finite*));*g*)
            else *g*)
      using *3* **using** *inteq-reflection* **by** *fastforce*
 have *5*: ⊢ (((*f* ∧ *finite*); (*while* (*init w*) *do f* ∧ *finite*));*g*) =
           ((*f* ∧ *finite*);((*while* (*init w*) *do f* ∧ *finite*);*g*))
      by (*rule ChopAssocB*)
 have *6*: ⊢ (*if* $_i$ (*init w*)
            then (((*f* ∧ *finite*); (*while* (*init w*) *do f* ∧ *finite*));*g*)
            else *g*) =
           (*if* $_i$ (*init w*)
            then ((*f* ∧ *finite*);((*while* (*init w*) *do f* ∧ *finite*);*g*))
            else *g*)

using *5* **using** *inteq-reflection* **by** *fastforce*
 from *1 2 4 6* **show** *?thesis* **by** *fastforce*
qed

lemma *WhileChopEqvIfRule*:
 assumes ⊢ *f* = (*while* (*init w*) *do g* ∧ *finite*); *h*
 shows ⊢ *f* = *if$_i$* (*init w*) *then* ((*g* ∧ *finite*); *f*) *else h*
proof −
 have *1*: ⊢ *f* = (*while* (*init w*) *do g* ∧ *finite*); *h*
    using *assms* **by** *auto*
 have *2*: ⊢ (*while* (*init w*) *do g* ∧ *finite*); *h* =
        if$_i$ (*init w*) *then* ((*g* ∧ *finite*); ((*while* (*init w*) *do g* ∧ *finite*); *h*)) *else h*
    by (*rule WhileChopEqvIf*)
 have *3*: ⊢ ((*g* ∧ *finite*); *f*) = ((*g* ∧ *finite*); ((*while* (*init w*) *do g* ∧ *finite*); *h*))
    using *1* **by** (*rule RightChopEqvChop*)
 have *4*: ⊢ ((*g* ∧ *finite*); ((*while* (*init w*) *do g* ∧ *finite*); *h*)) = ((*g* ∧ *finite*); *f*)
    using *3* **by** *auto*
 have *5*: ⊢ *if$_i$* (*init w*) *then* ((*g* ∧ *finite*); ((*while* (*init w*) *do g* ∧ *finite*); *h*)) *else h* =
        if$_i$ (*init w*) *then* ((*g* ∧ *finite*); *f*) *else h*
    using *4* **using** *inteq-reflection* **by** *fastforce*
 from *1 2 5* **show** *?thesis* **by** *fastforce*
qed

lemma *WhileImpFin*:
 ⊢ *while* (*init w*) *do f* ⟶ *fin* (¬ (*init w*))
proof −
 have *1*: ⊢ (*init w* ∧ *f*)* ∧ *fin* (¬ (*init w*)) ⟶ *fin* (¬ (*init w*)) **by** *auto*
 from *1* **show** *?thesis* **by** (*simp add*: *while-d-def*)
qed

lemma *WhileEqvEmptyOrChopWhile*:
 ⊢ (*while* (*init w*) *do f* ∧ *finite*)=
    ((¬ (*init w*) ∧ *empty*) ∨ (*init w* ∧ ((*f* ∧ *more*) ∧ *finite*);(*while* (*init w*) *do f* ∧ *finite*)))
proof −
 have *1*: ⊢ (*init w* ∧ *f*)* = (*empty* ∨ ((*init w* ∧ *f*) ∧ *more*); (*init w* ∧ *f*)*)
    by (*rule ChopstarEqv*)
 have *2*: ⊢ ((*init w* ∧ *f*) ∧ *more*) = (*init w* ∧ (*f* ∧ *more*))
    by *auto*
 hence *3*: ⊢ ((*init w* ∧ *f*) ∧ *more*); (*init w* ∧ *f*)* = (*init w* ∧ *f* ∧ *more*); (*init w* ∧ *f*)*
    by (*rule LeftChopEqvChop*)
 have *4*: ⊢ (*init w* ∧ *f*)* = (*empty* ∨ (*init w* ∧ *f* ∧ *more*); (*init w* ∧ *f*)*)
    using *1 3* **by** *fastforce*
 have *5*: ⊢ ((*init w* ∧ *f*)* ∧ *fin* (¬ (*init w*)) ∧ *finite*) =
        ((*empty* ∧ *fin* (¬ (*init w*)) ∧ *finite*) ∨
        ((*init w* ∧ *f* ∧ *more*); (*init w* ∧ *f*)* ∧ *fin* (¬ (*init w*)) ∧ *finite*))
    using *1 4* **by** *fastforce*
 have *51*: ⊢ ((*empty* ∧ *fin* (¬ (*init w*))) ∧ *finite*) = ((¬ (*init w*) ∧ *empty*) ∧ *finite*)

by (*metis FinAndEmpty inteq-reflection lift-and-com*)

have *52*:⊢ ((¬ (*init w*) ∧ *empty*) ∧ *finite*) = (¬ (*init w*) ∧ *empty*)
  using *EmptyImpFinite* **by** *auto*

have *6*: ⊢ ((*empty* ∧ *fin* (¬ (*init w*))) ∧ *finite*) = (¬ (*init w*) ∧ *empty*)
  using *51 52* **by** *fastforce*

have *61*: ⊢ ((*init w* ∧ *f*)⋆ ∧ *fin* (¬ (*init w*)) ∧ *finite*) =
      ((¬ (*init w*) ∧ *empty*) ∨
      ((*init w* ∧ *f* ∧ *more*); (*init w* ∧ *f*)⋆ ∧ *fin* (¬ (*init w*)) ∧ *finite*))
    using *5 6* **by** *fastforce*

have *70*: ⊢ (*init w* ∧ *f* ∧ *more*); (*init w* ∧ *f*)⋆ = (*init w* ∧ (*f* ∧ *more*); (*init w* ∧ *f*)⋆)
    by (*rule StateAndChop*)

have *7*: ⊢ ((*init w* ∧ *f* ∧ *more*); (*init w* ∧ *f*)⋆ ∧ *fin* (*init* (¬ *w*)) ∧ *finite*) =
      (*init w* ∧ (*f* ∧ *more*); (*init w* ∧ *f*)⋆ ∧ *fin* (*init* (¬ *w*)) ∧ *finite*)
    using *70* **by** *auto*

have *71*: ⊢ ((¬ (*init w*) ∧ *empty*) ∨
      ((*init w* ∧ *f* ∧ *more*); (*init w* ∧ *f*)⋆ ∧ *fin* (¬ (*init w*)) ∧ *finite*)) =
      ((¬ (*init w*) ∧ *empty*) ∨
      (*init w* ∧ (*f* ∧ *more*); (*init w* ∧ *f*)⋆ ∧ *fin* (*init* (¬ *w*)) ∧ *finite*))
   using *7*
   by (*metis* (*no-types, hide-lams*) *ChopEmpty Initprop*(*2*) *inteq-reflection*)

have *8*: ⊢ (((*f* ∧ *more*); (*init w* ∧ *f*)⋆) ∧ *fin* (*init* (¬ *w*)) ∧ *finite*) =
      (((*f* ∧ *more*) ∧ *finite*); ((*init w* ∧ *f*)⋆ ∧ *fin* (*init* (¬ *w*)) ∧ *finite*))
    using *ChopAndFin* **by** *fastforce*

have *81*: ⊢ *fin* (*init* (¬ *w*)) = *fin* (¬ (*init w*))
    using *FinEqvFin Initprop*(*2*) **by** *fastforce*

have *82*: ⊢ ((*f* ∧ *more*); (*init w* ∧ *f*)⋆ ∧ *fin* (¬ (*init w*)) ∧ *finite*) =
      (((*f* ∧ *more*) ∧ *finite*); ((*init w* ∧ *f*)⋆ ∧ *fin* (¬ (*init w*)) ∧ *finite*))
    using *8 81*
    by (*metis inteq-reflection*)

have *83*: ⊢ (*init w* ∧ (*f* ∧ *more*); (*init w* ∧ *f*)⋆ ∧ *fin* (¬ (*init w*)) ∧ *finite*) =
      (*init w* ∧ ((*f* ∧ *more*) ∧ *finite*); ((*init w* ∧ *f*)⋆ ∧ *fin* (¬ (*init w*)) ∧ *finite*))
   using *82* **by** *fastforce*

have *84*: ⊢ ((¬ (*init w*) ∧ *empty*) ∨
      (*init w* ∧ (*f* ∧ *more*); (*init w* ∧ *f*)⋆ ∧ *fin* (*init* (¬ *w*)) ∧ *finite*)) =
      ((¬ (*init w*) ∧ *empty*) ∨
      (*init w* ∧ ((*f* ∧ *more*) ∧ *finite*); ((*init w* ∧ *f*)⋆ ∧ *fin* (¬ (*init w*)) ∧ *finite*)))
   using *83* **by** (*metis 71 81 inteq-reflection*)

have *9*: ⊢ ((*init w* ∧ *f*)⋆ ∧ *fin* (¬ (*init w*)) ∧ *finite*) =
      ((¬ (*init w*) ∧ *empty*) ∨
        (*init w* ∧ ((*f* ∧ *more*) ∧ *finite*);
              ((*init w* ∧ *f*)⋆ ∧ *fin* (¬ (*init w*)) ∧ *finite*)))
    using *84 61* **by** (*metis 71 inteq-reflection*)

have *10*: ⊢ ((*init w* ∧ *f*)⋆ ∧ *fin* (¬ (*init w*)) ∧ *finite*) =
      (((*init w* ∧ *f*)⋆ ∧ *fin* (¬ (*init w*))) ∧ *finite*)
  by *auto*

hence *11*: ⊢ ((¬ (*init w*) ∧ *empty*) ∨
        (*init w* ∧ ((*f* ∧ *more*) ∧ *finite*);
              ((*init w* ∧ *f*)⋆ ∧ *fin* (¬ (*init w*)) ∧ *finite*))) =
      ((¬ (*init w*) ∧ *empty*) ∨
        (*init w* ∧ ((*f* ∧ *more*) ∧ *finite*);

$$(((init\ w \land f)^\star \land\ fin\ (\neg\ (\ init\ w))) \land\ finite)\ )\ )$$
by (*metis 84 inteq-reflection*)
have *12*: $\vdash ((init\ w \land f)^\star \land\ fin\ (\neg\ (\ init\ w)) \land\ finite)\ =$
$$((\neg\ (\ init\ w) \land\ empty\ ) \lor$$
$$(init\ w \land ((f \land\ more) \land\ finite);$$
$$(((init\ w \land f)^\star \land\ fin\ (\neg\ (\ init\ w))) \land\ finite)\ )\ )$$
using *11 9* **by** *fastforce*
from *12* **show** *?thesis* **by** (*metis 10 inteq-reflection while-d-def*)
qed

lemma *WhileIntro*:
 assumes $\vdash\ \ \neg\ (\ init\ w) \land f \longrightarrow\ empty$
$\quad\quad \vdash init\ w \land f \longrightarrow ((g \land\ more) \land\ finite\ );\ f$
 shows $\ \vdash f \land finite \longrightarrow\ while\ (\ init\ w)\ do\ g$
proof $-$
 have *1*: $\vdash\ \ \neg\ (\ init\ w) \land f \longrightarrow\ empty$
$\quad$ **using** *assms* **by** *blast*
 have *2*: $\vdash init\ w \land f \longrightarrow ((g \land\ more) \land\ finite\ );\ f$
$\quad$ **using** *assms* **by** *blast*
 have *3*: $\vdash\ (while\ (\ init\ w)\ do\ g \land finite) =$
$$((\neg\ (init\ w) \land\ empty\ ) \lor$$
$$(init\ w \land ((g \land\ more) \land\ finite\ );\ (while\ (init\ w)\ do\ g \land finite)))$$
$\quad$ **by** (*rule WhileEqvEmptyOrChopWhile*)
 hence *31*: $\vdash \neg\ (\ while\ (init\ w)\ do\ g \land finite) =$
$$(\neg(\ (\neg\ (init\ w) \land\ empty\ ) \lor$$
$$(init\ w \land ((g \land\ more) \land\ finite\ );\ (while\ (init\ w)\ do\ g \land finite))))$$
$\quad$ **by** *fastforce*
 hence *32*: $\vdash (f \land \neg\ (\ while\ (init\ w)\ do\ g \land finite)) =$
$$(f \land \neg(\ (\neg(init\ w) \land\ empty) \lor$$
$$(init\ w \land ((g \land\ more) \land\ finite\ );\ (while\ (init\ w)\ do\ g \land finite))))$$
$\quad$ **by** *fastforce*
 have *33*: $\vdash (f \land \neg(\ (\neg(init\ w) \land\ empty) \lor$
$$(init\ w \land ((g \land more) \land\ finite);\ (while\ (init\ w)\ do\ g \land finite)))) =$$
$$(f \land \neg(\neg(init\ w) \land\ empty\ ) \land$$
$$\neg(init\ w \land ((g \land\ more) \land\ finite\ );\ (while\ (init\ w)\ do\ g \land finite)))$$
$\quad$ **by** *auto*
 have *34*: $\vdash (f \land \neg(\neg(init\ w) \land\ empty) \land$
$$\neg((init\ w) \land (((g \land\ more) \land\ finite\ );\ (while\ (init\ w)\ do\ g \land finite)))) =$$
$$(f \land\ (\ (init\ w) \lor more\ ) \land$$
$$(\neg(init\ w) \lor \neg(((g \land more) \land\ finite);\ (while\ (init\ w)\ do\ g \land finite))))$$
$\quad$ **by** (*auto simp*: *empty-d-def*)
 have *35*: $\vdash (f \land ((init\ w) \lor more) \land$
$$(\neg(init\ w) \lor \neg(((g \land more) \land\ finite);(while\ (init\ w)\ do\ g \land finite)))) =$$
$$((f \land (init\ w) \land \neg\ (((g \land\ more) \land\ finite\ );\ (while\ (\ init\ w)\ do\ g \land finite))) \lor$$
$$(f \land (init\ w) \land \neg(init\ w)) \lor$$
$$(f \land more \land \neg\ (((g \land\ more) \land\ finite\ );\ (while\ (\ init\ w)\ do\ g \land finite))) \lor$$
$$(f \land more \land \neg(init\ w)))$$
$\quad$ **by** *auto*

have 36 : ⊢ (f ∧ ¬ (while (init w) do g ∧ finite)) =
  ((f ∧ (init w) ∧ ¬ (((g ∧ more) ∧ finite); (while (init w) do g ∧ finite))) ∨
  (f ∧ (init w) ∧ ¬(init w)) ∨
  (f ∧ more ∧ ¬ (((g ∧ more) ∧ finite); (while (init w) do g ∧ finite))) ∨
  (f ∧ more ∧ ¬(init w))) **using** 32 33 34 35 **by** fastforce
have 37 : ⊢ ¬(f ∧ more ∧ ¬(init w))
  using 1 **by** (auto simp: empty-d-def)
have 38 : ⊢ (f ∧ more ∧ ¬ (((g ∧ more) ∧ finite); (while (init w) do g ∧ finite))) ⟶
  (((g ∧ more) ∧ finite); f ∧
   ¬ (((g ∧ more) ∧ finite); (while (init w) do g ∧ finite)))
  using 1 2 **by** (auto simp: empty-d-def Valid-def)
have 39 : ⊢ (f ∧ (init w) ∧ ¬ (((g ∧ more)∧ finite); (while (init w) do g ∧ finite))) ⟶
  (((g ∧ more) ∧ finite); f ∧
   ¬ (((g ∧ more) ∧ finite); (while (init w) do g ∧ finite)))
  using 2 **by** auto
have 40 : ⊢ ((f ∧ (init w) ∧ ¬ (((g ∧ more) ∧ finite); (while (init w) do g ∧ finite))) ∨
  (f ∧ (init w) ∧ ¬(init w)) ∨
  (f ∧ more ∧ ¬ (((g ∧ more) ∧ finite); (while (init w) do g ∧ finite))) ∨
  (f ∧ more ∧ ¬(init w))) ⟶
  ((g ∧ more) ∧ finite); f ∧
   ¬ (((g ∧ more) ∧ finite); (while (init w) do g ∧ finite))
  using 39 38 37 38 **by** fastforce
have 4 : ⊢ f ∧ ¬ (while (init w) do g ∧ finite) ⟶
  ((g ∧ more) ∧ finite); f ∧
   ¬ (((g ∧ more) ∧ finite); (while (init w) do g ∧ finite))
  using 36 40 **by** fastforce
have 50 : ⊢ g ∧ more ⟶ more
  by auto
have 5 : ⊢ (g ∧ more) ∧ finite ⟶ more
 by (simp add: 50 Prop05 Prop07 finite-d-def)
have 6 : ⊢ f ∧ finite ⟶ (while (init w) do g ∧ finite)
  using 4 5 ChopContraB **by** blast
from 6 **show** ?thesis **by** (simp add: Prop12)
qed

lemma WhileElim:
 assumes ⊢ ¬ (init w) ∧ empty ⟶ g
  ⊢ init w ∧ ((f ∧ more) ∧ finite); g ⟶ g
 shows ⊢ while (init w) do f ∧ finite ⟶ g
proof −
 have 1 : ⊢ (while (init w) do f ∧ finite)=
  ((¬ (init w) ∧ empty) ∨
   (init w ∧ ((f ∧ more) ∧ finite); (while (init w) do f ∧ finite)))
  by (rule WhileEqvEmptyOrChopWhile)
 hence 11 : ⊢ ((while (init w) do f ∧ finite) ∧ ¬ g) =
  (((¬(init w) ∧ empty) ∨
   (init w ∧ ((f ∧ more) ∧ finite);(while (init w) do f ∧ finite))) ∧ ¬ g)
  by auto

have   2: ⊢ ¬ (*init w*) ∧ *empty* ⟶ *g*
     using *assms* **by** *blast*
hence 21: ⊢ ¬ *g* ⟶ ¬(¬ (*init w*) ∧ *empty*)
     by *auto*
have 22: ⊢ ((¬ (*init w*) ∧ *empty*) ∨
         (*init w* ∧ ((*f* ∧ *more*) ∧ *finite*);(*while* (*init w*) *do f* ∧ *finite*))) ∧ ¬ *g* ⟶
       (*init w* ∧ ((*f* ∧ *more*) ∧ *finite*); (*while* (*init w*) *do f* ∧ *finite*))
     using *21* **by** *auto*
have 23: ⊢ (*while* (*init w*) *do f* ∧ *finite*) ∧ ¬ *g* ⟶
         (*init w* ∧ ((*f* ∧ *more*) ∧ *finite*); (*while* (*init w*) *do f* ∧ *finite*)) ∧ ¬ *g*
     using *11 21* **by** *fastforce*
have   3: ⊢ (*init w*) ∧ (((*f* ∧ *more*) ∧ *finite*); *g*) ⟶ *g*
     using *assms* **by** *blast*
hence 31: ⊢ ¬ *g* ⟶ ¬((*init w*) ∧ (((*f* ∧ *more*) ∧ *finite*); *g*))
     by *fastforce*
have 32: ⊢ (*init w* ∧ ((*f* ∧ *more*) ∧ *finite*); (*while* (*init w*) *do f* ∧ *finite*)) ∧ ¬ *g* ⟶
        (((((*f* ∧ *more*) ∧ *finite*); (*while* (*init w*) *do f* ∧ *finite*)) ∧
         ¬ (((*f* ∧ *more*) ∧ *finite*); *g*)) ∧ ¬*g*
     using *31* **by** *auto*
have   4: ⊢ (*while* (*init w*) *do f* ∧ *finite*) ∧ ¬ *g* ⟶
        (((*f* ∧ *more*) ∧ *finite*); (*while* (*init w*) *do f* ∧ *finite*)) ∧
         ¬ (((*f* ∧ *more*) ∧ *finite*); *g*)
     using *23 32* **by** *fastforce*
have   5: ⊢ (*f* ∧ *more*) ∧ *finite* ⟶ *more*
     by *auto*
from *4 5* **show** *?thesis* **using**
ChopContraB[*of LIFT*(*while* (*init w*) *do f* ∧ *finite*) *LIFT*(*g*) *LIFT*(((*f* ∧ *more*) ∧ *finite*))]
 by *auto*
qed

lemma *BaWhileImpWhile*:
⊢   *ba* (*f* ⟶ *g*) ∧ *finite* ⟶ (*while* (*init w*) *do f*) ⟶ (*while* (*init w*) *do g*)
proof −
 have 1: ⊢ (*f* ⟶ *g*) ⟶ ((*init w* ∧ *f*) ⟶ (*init w* ∧ *g*))
     by *auto*
 hence 2: ⊢ *ba* (*f* ⟶ *g*) ⟶ *ba* ((*init w* ∧ *f*) ⟶ (*init w* ∧ *g*))
     using *BaImpBa* **by** *blast*
 have 3: ⊢ *ba* ((*init w* ∧ *f*) ⟶ (*init w* ∧ *g*)) ∧ *finite* ⟶ ((*init w* ∧ *f*)⋆ ⟶ (*init w* ∧ *g*)⋆)
     by (*rule BaCSImpCS*)
 have 4: ⊢ *ba* (*f* ⟶ *g*) ∧ *finite* ⟶ ((*init w* ∧ *f*)⋆∧ *fin* (¬ (*init w*))
        ⟶ (*init w* ∧ *g*)⋆∧ *fin* (¬ (*init w*)))
     using *2 3* **by** *fastforce*
 from *4* **show** *?thesis* **by** (*simp add*: *while-d-def*)
qed

lemma *WhileImpWhile*:

449

assumes $\vdash \quad f \longrightarrow g$
shows $\vdash (\ while\ (init\ w)\ \ do\ \ f) \land finite \longrightarrow (\ while\ (init\ w)\ \ do\ \ g)$
proof $-$
have $1:\vdash f \longrightarrow g$
  using *assms* **by** *auto*
hence $2:\vdash\ ba\ (f \longrightarrow g)$
  by (*rule BaGen*)
have $3:\vdash\ ba\ (f \longrightarrow g) \land finite \longrightarrow (\ while\ (init\ w)\ \ do\ \ f) \longrightarrow (\ while\ (init\ w)\ \ do\ \ g)$
  by (*rule BaWhileImpWhile*)
have $4:\vdash\ ba\ (f \longrightarrow g) \longrightarrow (\ while\ (init\ w)\ \ do\ \ f \land finite) \longrightarrow (\ while\ (init\ w)\ \ do\ \ g)$
  using *3* **by** (*auto simp*: *Valid-def*)
from *2 4* **show** *?thesis* **using** *MP* **by** *blast*
qed

13.11 Properties of Halt

lemma *WnextAndMoreEqvNext*:
$\vdash (wnext\ f \land more) = \bigcirc f$
by (*auto simp*: *wnext-defs more-defs next-defs sum.case-eq-if*)

lemma *BoxStateAndEmptyEqvStateAndEmpty*:
$\vdash (\Box(empty = (init\ w)) \land empty) = ((init\ w) \land empty)$
by (*auto simp*: *always-defs init-defs empty-defs sum.case-eq-if*)

lemma *BoxEmptyEqvIStateqvEmptyAndStateOrNotStateNext*:
$\vdash \Box(empty = (init\ w)) = ((empty \land init\ w) \lor (\neg(init\ w) \land \bigcirc(\Box(empty = (init\ w)))))$
proof $-$
have $1:\vdash \Box(empty = (init\ w)) =$
    $((\Box(empty = (init\ w)) \land empty) \lor (\Box(empty = (init\ w)) \land more))$
  by (*auto simp*: *empty-d-def*)
have $2:\vdash (\Box(empty = (init\ w)) \land empty) = ((init\ w) \land empty)$
  using *BoxStateAndEmptyEqvStateAndEmpty* **by** *blast*
have $3:\vdash \Box(empty = (init\ w))\ = ((empty = (init\ w)) \land wnext(\Box(empty = (init\ w))))$
  using *BoxEqvAndWnextBox* **by** *blast*
hence $4:\vdash (\Box(empty = (init\ w)) \land more)\ =$
    $(((empty = (init\ w)) \land more) \land (wnext(\Box(empty = (init\ w))) \land more))$
  by *auto*
have $5:\vdash ((empty = (init\ w)) \land more) = (\neg(init\ w) \land more)$
  by (*auto simp*: *empty-d-def*)
have $6:\vdash (wnext(\Box(empty = (init\ w))) \land more) = \bigcirc(\Box(empty = (init\ w)))$
  using *WnextAndMoreEqvNext* **by** *metis*
have $7:\vdash (\Box(empty = (init\ w)) \land more) =$
    $((\neg\ (init\ w) \land more) \land (wnext(\Box(empty = (init\ w))) \land more)\ )$
  using *4 5* **by** *fastforce*
have $8:\vdash ((\neg\ (init\ w) \land more) \land (wnext(\Box(empty = (init\ w))) \land more)\ ) =$
    $((\neg\ (init\ w)) \land (wnext(\Box(empty = (init\ w))) \land more)\ )$ **by** *auto*
have $9:\vdash ((\neg\ (init\ w)) \land (wnext(\Box(empty = (init\ w))) \land more)\ ) =$
    $((\neg\ (init\ w)) \land \bigcirc(\Box(empty = (init\ w))))$ **using** *8 6* **by** *auto*
have $10:\vdash \Box(empty = (init\ w)) = (((init\ w) \land empty) \lor (\Box(empty = (init\ w)) \land more)\ )$
  using *1 2* **by** *fastforce*

450

from *7 9 10* **show** *?thesis* **by** *fastforce*
qed

lemma *HaltStateEqvIfStateThenEmptyElseNext*:
$\vdash$ *halt(init w) =* *if$_i$* *(init w)* *then* *empty* *else (* $\bigcirc$*(halt (init w)))*
proof $-$
 have *1*: $\vdash$ *halt(init w) =* $\Box$*(empty = (init w))*
    by *(simp add: halt-d-def)*
 have *2*: $\vdash$ $\Box$*(empty = (init w)) =*
        ((empty $\wedge$ init w) $\vee$ ($\neg$(init w) $\wedge$ $\bigcirc$($\Box$(empty = (init w))))))
    by *(rule BoxEmptyEqvIStateqvEmptyAndStateOrNotStateNext)*
 have *21*: $\vdash$ *((empty $\wedge$ init w) $\vee$ ($\neg$(init w) $\wedge$ $\bigcirc$($\Box$(empty = (init w)))))) =*
        ((init w $\wedge$ empty) $\vee$ ($\neg$(init w) $\wedge$ $\bigcirc$($\Box$(empty = (init w)))))))
    by *auto*
 have *22*: $\vdash$ $\bigcirc$*(halt (init w)) =* $\bigcirc$*($\Box$(empty = (init w)))*
    using *NextEqvNext* **using** *1* **by** *blast*
 have *3*: $\vdash$ *if$_i$* *(init w)* *then* *empty* *else (* $\bigcirc$*(halt (init w))) =*
        ((init w $\wedge$ empty) $\vee$ ($\neg$(init w) $\wedge$ $\bigcirc$(halt (init w))))
    by *(simp add: ifthenelse-d-def)*
 from *1 2 21 22 3* **show** *?thesis* **by** *fastforce*
qed

lemma *HaltChopEqv*:
$\vdash$ *((halt (init w)) ; f) =* *(if$_i$* *(init w)* *then (f) else ($\bigcirc$((halt (init w)); f)))*
proof $-$
 have *1*: $\vdash$ *halt(init w) =*
        (if$_i$ *(init w)* *then* *empty* *else (* $\bigcirc$*(halt (init w))))*
    by *(rule HaltStateEqvIfStateThenEmptyElseNext)*
 hence *2*: $\vdash$ *((halt(init w));f) =*
        (if$_i$ *(init w)* *then* *(empty;f)* *else (* $\bigcirc$*(halt (init w));f))*
    by *(rule IfChopEqvRule)*
 have *3*: $\vdash$ *empty ; f = f*
    by *(rule EmptyChop)*
 have *4*: $\vdash$ *($\bigcirc$ (halt (init w))); f =* $\bigcirc$*(halt (init w); f)*
    by *(rule NextChop)*
 from *2 3 4* **show** *?thesis* **by** *(metis inteq-reflection)*
qed

lemma *AndHaltChopImp*:
$\vdash$ *init w $\wedge$ (halt (init w); f) $\longrightarrow$ f*
proof $-$
 have *1*: $\vdash$ *halt (init w); f =* *if$_i$* *(init w)* *then* *f else (* $\bigcirc$*(halt (init w); f))*
    by *(rule HaltChopEqv)*
 have *2*: $\vdash$ *init w $\wedge$ if$_i$* *(init w)* *then* *f else (* $\bigcirc$*(halt (init w); f)) $\longrightarrow$ f*
    by *(auto simp: ifthenelse-d-def)*
 from *1 2* **show** *?thesis* **by** *fastforce*
qed

lemma *NotAndHaltChopImpNext*:
$\vdash$ $\neg$ *(init w) $\wedge$ (halt (init w); f) $\longrightarrow$* $\bigcirc$*(halt (init w); f)*

proof −
 have *1*: ⊢ *halt* (*init w*); *f* = *if$_i$* (*init w*) *then f else* (○(*halt* (*init w*); *f*))
    by (*rule HaltChopEqv*)
 have *2*: ⊢ ¬ (*init w*) ∧ *if$_i$* (*init w*) *then f else* (○(*halt* (*init w*); *f*)) ⟶
        ○(*halt* (*init w*); *f*)
    by (*auto simp*: *ifthenelse-d-def*)
from *1 2* **show** *?thesis* **by** *fastforce*
qed

lemma *NotAndHaltChopImpSkipYields*:
⊢ ¬ (*init w*) ∧ (*halt* (*init w*); *f*) ⟶ *skip yields* (*halt* (*init w*); *f*)
proof −
 have *1*: ⊢ ¬ (*init w*) ∧ (*halt* (*init w*); *f*) ⟶ ○(*halt* (*init w*); *f*)
    by (*rule NotAndHaltChopImpNext*)
 have *2*: ⊢ ○(*halt* (*init w*); *f*) ⟶ *skip yields* (*halt* (*init w*); *f*)
    by (*rule NextImpSkipYields*)
 from *1 2* **show** *?thesis* **by** *fastforce*
qed

lemma *FiniteChopAndEmptyEqvChopAndEmpty*:
 ⊢ ((*finite*;(*f* ∧ *empty*)) ∧ *g*) = ((*g* ∧ *finite*);(*f*∧ *empty*))
proof −
 have *1*: ⊢ *g* ∧ *finite*;(*f* ∧ *empty*) ⟶ *fin f*
  by (*metis ChopAndA DiamondFin FinAndEmpty Prop01 Prop05 inteq-reflection sometimes-d-def*)
 have *2*: ⊢ *g* ∧ *finite*;(*f* ∧ *empty*) ⟶ (*finite* ∧ *g*) ∧ *fin f*
  using *1* **by** (*metis* (*no-types*, *lifting*) *ChopAndB ChopEmpty Prop10 Prop12 int-iffD1*
   inteq-reflection)
 have *3*: ⊢ ((*finite*;(*f* ∧ *empty*)) ∧ *g*) ⟶ ((*g* ∧ *finite*);(*f*∧ *empty*))
  using *2* **using** *AndFinEqvChopAndEmpty* **by** *fastforce*
 have *4*: ⊢ ((*g* ∧ *finite*);(*f*∧ *empty*)) ⟶ ((*finite*;(*f* ∧ *empty*)) ∧ *g*)
  by (*metis AndChopB ChopAndB ChopEmpty Prop12 inteq-reflection*)
 from *3 4* **show** *?thesis* **by** *fastforce*
qed

lemma *WprevEqvEmptyOrPrev*:
⊢ *wprev f* = (*empty* ∨ *prev f*)
by (*auto simp*: *wprev-defs empty-defs prev-defs sum.case-eq-if*)

lemma *NotChopSkipEqvMoreAndNotChopSkip*:
⊢ (¬ *f*);*skip* = (*more* ∧ ¬(*f*;*skip*))
proof −
 have *1*: ⊢ *wprev f* = (*empty* ∨ *prev f*) **using** *WprevEqvEmptyOrPrev* **by** *auto*
 hence *2*: ⊢ (¬(*wprev f*)) = (¬(*empty* ∨ *prev f*)) **by** *auto*
 have *3*: ⊢ ¬(*wprev f*) = ((¬ *f*);*skip*) **by** (*simp add*: *wprev-d-def prev-d-def*)
 have *31*: ⊢ (*empty* ∨ *prev f*) = (*empty* ∨ (*f*;*skip*)) **by** (*simp add*: *prev-d-def*)
 have *32*: ⊢ (*empty* ∨ (*f*;*skip*)) = (¬*more* ∨ ¬¬(*f*;*skip*)) **by** (*simp add*: *empty-d-def*)
 have *33*: ⊢ (¬*more* ∨ ¬¬(*f*;*skip*)) = (¬(*more* ∧ ¬(*f*;*skip*))) **by** *fastforce*
 have *34*: ⊢ (*empty* ∨ *prev f*) = (¬(*more* ∧ ¬(*f*;*skip*))) **using** *31 32 33* **by** (*metis int-eq*)
 have *4*: ⊢ ¬(*empty* ∨ *prev f*) = (*more* ∧ ¬(*f*;*skip*)) **using** *34* **by** *fastforce*

from *2 3 4* **show** *?thesis* **by** *fastforce*
qed

lemma *HaltChopImpNotHaltChopNot*:
$\vdash$ halt $($ init $w)$; $f \wedge$ finite $\longrightarrow \neg ($ halt $($ init $w)$; $(\neg \ f))$
proof $-$
 have *1*: $\vdash$ halt $(init \ w)$; $f = if_i \ (init \ w)$ then f else $(\bigcirc($ halt $($ init $w)$; $f))$
      by $(rule \ HaltChopEqv)$
 have *2*: $\vdash if_i \ (init \ w)$ then f else $(\bigcirc($ halt $($ init $w)$; $f)) \longrightarrow$
          $(\ ((init \ w) \longrightarrow f) \wedge (\ \neg(init \ w) \longrightarrow (\bigcirc($ halt $($ init $w)$; $f))))$
      by $(rule \ IfThenElseImp)$
 have *3*: $\vdash$ halt $(init \ w)$; $(\neg f) =$
          $if_i \ (init \ w)$ then $(\neg f)$ else $(\bigcirc($ halt $($ init $w)$; $(\neg f)))$
      by $(rule \ HaltChopEqv)$
 have *4*: $\vdash if_i \ (init \ w)$ then $(\neg f)$ else $(\bigcirc($ halt $($ init $w)$; $(\neg f))) \longrightarrow$
          $(\ ((init \ w) \longrightarrow \neg f) \wedge (\ \neg(init \ w) \longrightarrow (\bigcirc($ halt $($ init $w)$; $(\neg f)))))$
      by $(rule \ IfThenElseImp)$
 have *5*: $\vdash$ halt $(init \ w)$; $f \wedge$ halt $(init \ w)$; $(\neg f) \longrightarrow$
          $(\ ((init \ w) \longrightarrow f) \wedge (\ \neg(init \ w) \longrightarrow (\bigcirc($ halt $($ init $w)$; $f)))) \wedge$
          $(\ ((init \ w) \longrightarrow \neg f) \wedge (\ \neg(init \ w) \longrightarrow (\bigcirc($ halt $($ init $w)$; $(\neg f)))))$
      using *1 2 3 4* **by** *fastforce*
 have *6*: $\vdash (\ ((init \ w) \longrightarrow f) \wedge (\ \neg(init \ w) \longrightarrow (\bigcirc($ halt $($ init $w)$; $f)))) \wedge$
          $(\ ((init \ w) \longrightarrow \neg f) \wedge (\ \neg(init \ w) \longrightarrow (\bigcirc($ halt $($ init $w)$; $(\neg f))))) \longrightarrow$
          $(\bigcirc($ halt $($ init $w)$; $f)) \wedge (\bigcirc($ halt $($ init $w)$; $(\neg f)))$
      by *auto*
 have *7*: $\vdash$ halt $(init \ w)$; $f \wedge$ halt $(init \ w)$; $(\neg f) \longrightarrow$
          $(\bigcirc($ halt $($ init $w)$; $f)) \wedge (\bigcirc($ halt $($ init $w)$; $(\neg f)))$
      using *5 6 lift-imp-trans* **by** *blast*
 have *8*: $\vdash ((\bigcirc($ halt $($ init $w)$; $f)) \wedge (\bigcirc($ halt $($ init $w)$; $(\neg f)))) =$
          $\bigcirc ($ halt $($ init $w)$; $f \wedge$ halt $($ init $w)$; $(\neg f))$
      using *NextAndEqvNextAndNext* **by** *fastforce*
 have *9*: $\vdash$ halt $(init \ w)$; $f \wedge$ halt $(init \ w)$; $(\neg f) \longrightarrow$
          $\bigcirc ($ halt $($ init $w)$; $f \wedge$ halt $($ init $w)$; $(\neg f))$
      using *7 8* **by** *fastforce*
 hence *10*: $\vdash$ finite $\longrightarrow \neg($ halt $(init \ w)$; $f \wedge$ halt $(init \ w)$; $(\neg f))$
      using *NextLoop* **by** *blast*
 from *10* **show** *?thesis* **by** *auto*
qed

lemma *HaltChopImpHaltYields*:
$\vdash$ halt $($ init $w)$; $f \wedge$ finite $\longrightarrow ($ halt $($ init $w))$ yields f
proof $-$
 have *1*: $\vdash$ halt $($ init $w)$; $f \wedge$ finite $\longrightarrow \neg ($ halt $($ init $w)$; $(\neg \ f))$
  by $(rule \ HaltChopImpNotHaltChopNot)$
 from *1* **show** *?thesis* **by** $(simp \ add: \ yields\text{-}d\text{-}def)$
qed

lemma *HaltChopAnd*:
$\vdash ($ halt $(init \ w))$; $f \wedge ($ halt $(init \ w))$; $g \wedge$ finite$\longrightarrow ($ halt $(init \ w))$; $(f \wedge g)$
proof $-$

453

have 1: ⊢ (*halt* (*init w*)); *g* ∧ *finite* ⟶ (*halt* (*init w*)) *yields g*
 by (*rule HaltChopImpHaltYields*)
hence 2: ⊢ (*halt* (*init w*)); *f* ∧ (*halt* (*init w*)); *g* ∧ *finite* ⟶
        (*halt* (*init w*)); *f* ∧ (*halt* (*init w*)) *yields g* **by** *auto*
have 3: ⊢ (*halt* (*init w*)); *f* ∧ (*halt* (*init w*)) *yields g* ⟶
        (*halt* (*init w*)); (*f* ∧ *g*) **by** (*rule ChopAndYieldsImp*)
from 2 3 **show** *?thesis* **by** *fastforce*
qed

lemma *HaltAndChopAndHaltChopImpHaltAndChopAnd*:
⊢ (*halt* (*init w*) ∧ *f*);*f1* ∧ (*halt* (*init w*); *g*) ∧ *finite* ⟶ (*halt* (*init w*) ∧ *f*); (*f1* ∧ *g*)
proof −
 have   1: ⊢ *f1* ⟶ ¬ *g* ∨ (*f1* ∧ *g*)
      by *auto*
 hence 2: ⊢ (*halt* (*init w*) ∧ *f*); *f1* ⟶
         (*halt* (*init w*) ∧ *f*); (¬ *g*) ∨ ((*halt* (*init w*) ∧ *f*); (*f1* ∧ *g*))
      by (*rule ChopOrImpRule*)
 have   3: ⊢ (*halt* (*init w*) ∧ *f*); (¬ *g*) ⟶ *halt* (*init w*); (¬ *g*)
      by (*rule AndChopA*)
 have 31: ⊢ (*halt* (*init w*) ∧ *f*); *f1* ⟶
         halt (*init w*); (¬ *g*) ∨ ((*halt* (*init w*) ∧ *f*); (*f1* ∧ *g*))
      using 23 **by** *fastforce*
 have   4: ⊢ *halt* (*init w*); *g* ∧ *finite* ⟶ ¬ (*halt* (*init w*); (¬ *g*))
      by (*rule HaltChopImpNotHaltChopNot*)
 hence 41: ⊢ (*halt* (*init w*); (¬ *g*)) ∧ *finite* ⟶ ¬(*halt* (*init w*); *g*)
      by *auto*
 have 42: ⊢ (*halt* (*init w*) ∧ *f*); *f1* ∧ *finite* ⟶
         ¬(*halt* (*init w*); *g*) ∨ ((*halt* (*init w*) ∧ *f*); (*f1* ∧ *g*))
      using 31 41 **by** *fastforce*
 from 42 **show** *?thesis* **by** *auto*
qed

lemma *HaltImpBoxYields*:
⊢ (*halt* (*init w*)); *f* ∧ *finite* ⟶ (□(¬ (*init w*))) *yields* ((*halt* (*init w*)); *f*)
proof −
 have   1: ⊢ (□ (¬ (*init w*))); (¬ (*halt* (*init w*); *f*)) ⟶ *di* (□ (¬ (*init w*)))
      by (*rule ChopImpDi*)
 have   2: ⊢ □ (¬ (*init w*)) ⟶ ¬ (*init w*)
      by (*rule BoxElim*)
 hence 3: ⊢ *di* (□ (¬ (*init w*))) ⟶ *di* (¬ (*init w*))
      by (*rule DiImpDi*)
 have   4: ⊢ *di* (*init* (¬ *w*)) = (*init* (¬*w*))
      by (*rule DiState*)
 have 41: ⊢ (*init* (¬ *w*)) = (¬ (*init w*))
      using *Initprop*(2) **by** *fastforce*
 have 42: ⊢ *di* (¬ (*init w*)) = (¬(*init w*))
      using 4 41 **by** (*metis inteq-reflection*)
 have   5: ⊢ ((□(¬ (*init w*))); (¬ (*halt* (*init w*); *f*))) ⟶ ¬ (*init w*)
      using 1 2 42 **using** 3 **by** *fastforce*
 hence 51: ⊢ (*halt* (*init w*); *f*) ∧ ((□(¬ (*init w*))); (¬ (*halt* (*init w*); *f*))) ⟶

$(\ halt\ (init\ w);\ f) \wedge \neg\ (\ init\ w)$
by *fastforce*

have *6*: ⊢ $halt\ (init\ w);\ f = if_i\ (init\ w)\ then\ f\ else\ (\bigcirc(\ halt\ (init\ w);\ f))$
by (*rule HaltChopEqv*)

hence *61*: ⊢ $(halt\ (init\ w);\ f \wedge \neg\ (\ init\ w)) =$
$\qquad ((if_i\ (init\ w)\ then\ f\ else\ (\bigcirc(\ halt\ (init\ w);\ f))) \wedge \neg\ (\ init\ w))$
using *6* **by** *auto*

have *62*: ⊢ $(if_i\ (init\ w)\ then\ f\ else\ (\bigcirc(\ halt\ (init\ w);\ f))) \wedge$
$\qquad \neg\ (\ init\ w) \longrightarrow (\bigcirc(\ halt\ (init\ w);\ f))$
by (*auto simp: ifthenelse-d-def*)

have *63*: ⊢ $halt\ (init\ w);\ f \wedge \neg\ (\ init\ w) \longrightarrow (\bigcirc(\ halt\ (init\ w);\ f))$
using *61 62* **by** *fastforce*

have *7*: ⊢ $(\ halt\ (init\ w);\ f) \wedge (\square(\neg\ (init\ w)));\ (\neg\ (\ halt\ (init\ w);\ f)) \longrightarrow$
$\qquad \bigcirc((\ halt\ (init\ w));\ f)$
using *51 63* **using** *lift-imp-trans* **by** *blast*

have *8*: ⊢ $\square\ (\neg\ (init\ w)) \longrightarrow\ empty\ \vee\ \bigcirc(\square(\neg(\ init\ w)))$
using *BoxBoxImpBox BoxEqvAndEmptyOrNextBox* **by** *fastforce*

hence *9*: ⊢ $((\square\ (\neg\ (\ init\ w)));\ (\neg\ (\ halt\ (init\ w);\ f))) \longrightarrow$
$\qquad \neg\ (\ halt\ (init\ w);\ f)\ \vee\ \bigcirc((\square(\neg\ (init\ w)));\ (\neg\ (\ halt\ (init\ w);\ f)))$
by (*rule EmptyOrNextChopImpRule*)

hence *10*: ⊢ $((\ halt\ (init\ w));\ f) \wedge (\square\ (\neg\ (init\ w)));\ (\neg\ (\ halt\ (init\ w);\ f)) \longrightarrow$
$\qquad \bigcirc((\square(\neg\ (init\ w)));\ (\neg\ (\ halt\ (init\ w);\ f)))$
by *fastforce*

have *11*: ⊢ $(\ halt\ (init\ w));\ f \wedge (\square\ (\neg\ (init\ w)));\ (\neg\ (\ halt\ (init\ w);\ f)) \longrightarrow$
$\qquad \bigcirc((\ halt\ (init\ w));\ f) \wedge \bigcirc((\square(\neg\ (init\ w)));\ (\neg\ (\ halt\ (init\ w);\ f)))$
using *7 10* **by** *fastforce*

have *12*: ⊢ $\bigcirc((\ halt\ (init\ w));\ f) \wedge \bigcirc((\square(\neg\ (init\ w)));\ (\neg\ (\ halt\ (init\ w);\ f)))$
$\qquad \longrightarrow\ \bigcirc(((\ halt\ (init\ w));\ f) \wedge ((\square(\neg\ (init\ w)));\ (\neg\ (\ halt\ (init\ w);\ f))))$
using *NextAndEqvNextAndNext* **by** *fastforce*

have *13*: ⊢ $(\ halt\ (init\ w));\ f \wedge (\square\ (\neg\ (init\ w)));\ (\neg\ (\ halt\ (init\ w);\ f)) \longrightarrow$
$\qquad \bigcirc(((\ halt\ (init\ w));\ f) \wedge ((\square(\neg\ (init\ w)));\ (\neg\ (\ halt\ (init\ w);\ f))))$
using *11 12* **by** *fastforce*

hence *14*: ⊢ $finite \longrightarrow \neg\ ((\ halt\ (init\ w));\ f \wedge (\square\ (\neg\ (init\ w)));\ (\neg\ (\ halt\ (init\ w);\ f)))$
using *NextLoop* **by** *blast*

hence *15*: ⊢ $(\ halt\ (init\ w));\ f \wedge finite \longrightarrow \neg\ ((\square\ (\neg\ (init\ w)));\ (\neg\ (\ halt\ (init\ w);\ f)))$
by *auto*

from *15* **show** *?thesis* **by** (*simp add: yields-d-def*)
qed

13.12   Properties of Groups of chops

lemma *NestedChopImpChop*:
 assumes ⊢ $init\ w \wedge f \longrightarrow g;\ (init\ w1 \wedge f1)$
$\qquad$ ⊢ $init\ w1 \wedge f1 \longrightarrow g1;\ (init\ w2 \wedge f2)$
 shows ⊢ $init\ w \wedge f \longrightarrow g;\ (g1;\ (init\ w2 \wedge f2))$
proof −
 have *1*: ⊢ $init\ w \wedge f \longrightarrow g;\ (init\ w1 \wedge f1)$ **using** *assms(1)* **by** *auto*
 have *2*: ⊢ $init\ w1 \wedge f1 \longrightarrow g1;\ (init\ w2 \wedge f2)$ **using** *assms(2)* **by** *auto*
 hence *3*: ⊢ $g;\ (init\ w1 \wedge f1) \longrightarrow g;\ (g1;\ (init\ w2 \wedge f2))$ **by** (*rule RightChopImpChop*)
 from *1 3* **show** *?thesis* **by** *fastforce*

qed

end

14 Infinite ITL theorems using strong chop

theory *InfiniteSChopTheorems*
 imports
   InfiniteTheorems
begin

We give the proofs of a list of Infinite ITL theorems but now using the strong chop.

14.1 Strong Chop axioms

lemma *SChopAssoc*:
$\vdash f \frown (g \frown h) = (f \frown g) \frown h$
proof $-$
 have *1*: $\vdash f \frown (g \frown h) = (f \land finite);((g \land finite);h)$
  by (*simp add*: *schop-d-def*)
 have *2*: $\vdash (f \land finite);((g \land finite);h) = ((f \land finite);(g \land finite));h$
 using *ChopAssoc* **by** *blast*
 have *3*: $\vdash ((f \land finite);(g \land finite));h = (f \frown (g \land finite));h$
   by (*simp add*: *schop-d-def*)
 have *4*: $\vdash f \frown (g \land finite) = (f \frown g \land finite)$
   by (*simp add*: *schop-d-def*)
    (*metis AndChopA ChopAndA ChopAndFiniteDist Prop11 Prop12 inteq-reflection*)
 have *5*: $\vdash (f \frown (g \land finite));h = (f \frown g \land finite);h$
  using *4* **by** (*simp add*: *LeftChopEqvChop*)
 have *6*: $\vdash (f \frown g \land finite);h = (f \frown g) \frown h$
   by (*simp add*: *schop-d-def*)
 from *1 2 3 5 6* **show** *?thesis* **by** *fastforce*
qed

lemma *OrSChopImp* :
   $\vdash (f \lor g) \frown h \longrightarrow f \frown h \lor g \frown h$
by (*auto simp add*: *schop-defs Valid-def sum.case-eq-if*)

lemma *SChopOrImp* :
   $\vdash f \frown (g \lor h) \longrightarrow f \frown g \lor f \frown h$
by (*auto simp add*: *schop-defs Valid-def sum.case-eq-if*)

lemma *EmptySChop* :
   $\vdash empty \frown f = f$
by (*metis EmptyChopSem FiniteAndEmptyEqvEmpty intI inteq-reflection lift-and-com schop-d-def*)

lemma *SChopEmpty* :

$\vdash$ *finite* $\longrightarrow$ *f* $\frown$ *empty* = *f*
by (*auto simp add*: *schop-defs finite-defs empty-defs Valid-def sum.case-eq-if*)

lemma *StateImpBf* :
   $\vdash$ *init f* $\longrightarrow$ *bf* (*init f*)
by (*simp add*: *Valid-def bf-defs init-defs sum.case-eq-if*)
   (*metis conc-def conc-iprefix-isuffix interval-intlen-gr-zero iprefix-0*)

lemma *BfBoxSChopImpSChop* :
   $\vdash$ *bf* (*f* $\longrightarrow$ *f1*) $\wedge$ $\Box$(*g* $\longrightarrow$ *g1*) $\longrightarrow$ *f* $\frown$ *g* $\longrightarrow$ *f1* $\frown$ *g1*
by (*auto simp add*: *Valid-def schop-defs bf-defs always-defs sum.case-eq-if*)

lemma *SChopstarEqv* :
   $\vdash$ (*schopstar f*) = (*empty* $\vee$ (*f* $\wedge$ *more*) $\frown$ (*schopstar f*))
using *SChopstarEqvSem Valid-def* **by** *blast*

lemma *AndMoreSChopEqvAndFmoreChop*:
$\vdash$ (*f* $\wedge$ *more*) $\frown$ *g* = (*f* $\wedge$ *fmore*);*g*
by (*simp add*: *LeftChopEqvChop AndMoreAndFiniteEqvAndFmore schop-d-def*)

lemma *SOmegaUnroll*:
$\vdash$ *f*$^\omega$ = (*f* $\wedge$ *more*) $\frown$ *f*$^\omega$
using *OmegaUnroll AndMoreSChopEqvAndFmoreChop* **by** *fastforce*

lemma *SOmegaInduct*:
   $\vdash$ (*inf* $\wedge$ *g* $\wedge$ $\Box$(*g* $\longrightarrow$ (*f* $\wedge$ *more*) $\frown$ *g*)) $\longrightarrow$ *omega f*
using *OmegaInductSem AndMoreSChopEqvAndFmoreChop Valid-def*
by (*metis inteq-reflection*)

lemma *FiniteBfGen*:
 assumes $\vdash$ *finite* $\longrightarrow$ *f*
 shows $\vdash$ *bf f*
using *assms*
by (*simp add*: *Valid-def bf-defs finite-defs sum.case-eq-if*)

lemma *BfGen*:
 assumes $\vdash$ *f*
 shows $\vdash$ *bf f*
using *assms*
by (*metis EmptyImpFinite FiniteAndEmptyEqvEmpty FiniteBfGen Prop09 int-eq-true inteq-reflection*)

14.2   ITL operators in terms of SChop

lemma *NextSChopdef* :
$\vdash$ $\bigcirc$ *f* = *skip* $\frown$ *f*
by (*metis FiniteChopSkipEqvSkipChopFinite NowImpDiamond Prop10 SkipChopFiniteImpFinite
   inteq-reflection lift-imp-trans next-d-def schop-d-def sometimes-d-def*)

lemma *DiamondSChopdef* :

$\vdash \diamond\, f = \#\, True \frown f$
by (*simp add*: *schop-d-def sometimes-d-def*)

lemma *FiniteSChopdef* :
$\vdash finite = \diamond\, empty$
by (*simp add*: *DiamondEmptyEqvFinite int-iffD1 int-iffD2 int-iffI*)

lemma *ChopSChopdef* :
$\vdash f;g = ((f \frown g) \lor (f \land inf))$
by (*metis AndInfChopEqvAndInf OrChopEqv OrFiniteInf inteq-reflection schop-d-def*)

lemma *PowerSpowerdef* :
$\vdash power\ f\ n = spower\ f\ n$
proof
(*induct n*)
case *0*
then show *?case* **by** *auto*
next
case (*Suc n*)
then show *?case*
by (*metis PowerCommute inteq-reflection pow-Suc schop-d-def spow-Suc*)
qed

lemma *SChopstarFPowerstardef* :
$\vdash schopstar\ f = fpowerstar\ f$
proof $-$
 have *1* : $\vdash schopstar\ f = (\exists k.\ spower\ (f \land more)\ k)$
 by (*simp add*: *schopstar-d-def spowerstar-d-def*)
 have *2* : $\vdash fpowerstar\ f = fpowerstar\ (f \land more)$
 using *FPSAndMoreEqvFPS* **by** *fastforce*
 have *3* : $\vdash fpowerstar\ (f \land more) = (\exists k.\ power\ (f \land more)\ k)$
 by (*simp add*: *fpowerstar-d-def*)
 have *4* : $\bigwedge n. \vdash spower\ (f \land more)\ n = power\ (f \land more)\ n$
 using *PowerSpowerdef* **by** *fastforce*
 from *1 2 3 4* **show** *?thesis* **by** *fastforce*
qed

lemma *SFinprop* :
$\vdash ((\#\, True \frown (f \land empty)) \land (\#\, True \frown (g \land empty))) = (\#\, True \frown ((f \land g) \land empty))$
$\vdash ((\#\, True \frown (f \land empty)) \lor (\#\, True \frown (g \land empty))) = (\#\, True \frown ((f \lor g) \land empty))$
$\vdash\ finite \longrightarrow (\lnot\ (\#\, True \frown (f \land empty))) = (\#\, True \frown (\lnot f \land empty))$
$\vdash (\lnot\ (\#\, True \frown (f \land empty))) = ((\#\, True \frown (\lnot f \land empty)) \lor inf)$
using *le-neq-implies-less*
by (*auto simp add*: *Valid-def finite-defs infinite-defs schop-defs empty-defs sum.case-eq-if*)

14.3   Basic Theorems

lemma *BfSChopImpSChop* :
$\vdash bf\ (f \longrightarrow f1) \longrightarrow f \frown g \longrightarrow f1 \frown g$
proof $-$

have 1:$\vdash g \longrightarrow g$ **by** *auto*
hence 2:$\vdash \Box\, (\, g \longrightarrow g)$ **by** (*rule BoxGen*)
have 3:$\vdash bf\, (\, f \longrightarrow f1) \wedge \Box(g \longrightarrow g) \longrightarrow f \frown g \longrightarrow f1 \frown g$ **by** (*rule BfBoxSChopImpSChop*)
from 2 3 **show** *?thesis* **by** *fastforce*
qed

lemma *BiImpBf* :
$\vdash bi\, f \longrightarrow bf\, f$
by (*simp add*: *bi-defs bf-defs Valid-def sum.case-eq-if*)

lemma *BiSChopImpSChop* :
$\quad \vdash bi\, (f \longrightarrow f1) \longrightarrow f \frown g \longrightarrow f1 \frown g$
proof $-$
have 1:$\vdash g \longrightarrow g$ **by** *auto*
hence 2:$\vdash \Box\, (\, g \longrightarrow g)$ **by** (*rule BoxGen*)
have 3:$\vdash bi\, (\, f \longrightarrow f1) \wedge \Box(g \longrightarrow g) \longrightarrow f \frown g \longrightarrow f1 \frown g$
using *BiImpBf BfBoxSChopImpSChop* **using** *BfSChopImpSChop* **by** *fastforce*
from 2 3 **show** *?thesis* **by** *fastforce*
qed

lemma *AndSChopA*:
$\quad \vdash (f \wedge f1) \frown g \longrightarrow f \frown g$
proof $-$
have 1:$\vdash f \wedge f1 \longrightarrow f$ **by** *auto*
hence 2:$\vdash bf\, (f \wedge f1 \longrightarrow f)$ **by** (*rule BfGen*)
have 3:$\vdash bf\, (f \wedge f1 \longrightarrow f) \longrightarrow (f \wedge f1) \frown g \longrightarrow f \frown g$ **by** (*rule BfSChopImpSChop*)
from 2 3 **show** *?thesis* **using** *MP* **by** *blast*
qed

lemma *AndSChopB*:
$\quad \vdash (f \wedge f1) \frown g \longrightarrow f1 \frown g$
proof $-$
have 1:$\vdash f \wedge f1 \longrightarrow f1$ **by** *auto*
hence 2:$\vdash\ bf\, (f \wedge f1 \longrightarrow f1)$ **by** (*rule BfGen*)
have 3:$\vdash\ bf\, (f \wedge f1 \longrightarrow f1) \longrightarrow (f \wedge f1) \frown g \longrightarrow f1 \frown g$ **by** (*rule BfSChopImpSChop*)
from 2 3 **show** *?thesis* **using** *MP* **by** *blast*
qed

lemma *NextSChop*:
$\vdash (\bigcirc f) \frown g\ = \bigcirc(f \frown g)$
proof $-$
have 1:$\vdash skip \frown (f \frown g)\ = (skip \frown f) \frown g$ **by** (*rule SChopAssoc*)
from 1 **show** *?thesis* **using** *NextSChopdef* **by** (*metis inteq-reflection*)
qed

lemma *BoxSChopImpSChop* :

$\vdash \Box (g \longrightarrow g1) \longrightarrow f \frown g \longrightarrow f \frown g1$

proof $-$

have $1: \vdash g \longrightarrow g$ **by** *auto*

hence $2: \vdash bf\ (\ g \longrightarrow g)\ $ **by** (*rule BfGen*)

have $3: \vdash bf\ (\ f \longrightarrow f\ ) \wedge \Box (g \longrightarrow g1) \longrightarrow f \frown g \longrightarrow f \frown g1$ **by** (*rule BfBoxSChopImpSChop*)

from $2\ 3$ **show** *?thesis* **by** *fastforce*

qed

lemma *LeftSChopImpSChop*:

 assumes $\vdash f \longrightarrow f1$

 shows $\vdash f \frown g \longrightarrow f1 \frown g$

proof $-$

have $1: \vdash f \longrightarrow f1$ **using** *assms* **by** *auto*

hence $2: \vdash bf\ (f \longrightarrow f1)$ **by** (*rule BfGen*)

have $3: \vdash bf\ (f \longrightarrow f1) \longrightarrow f \frown g \longrightarrow f1 \frown g$ **by** (*rule BfSChopImpSChop*)

from $2\ 3$ **show** *?thesis* **using** *MP* **by** *blast*

qed

lemma *RightSChopImpSChop*:

 assumes $\vdash g \longrightarrow g1$

 shows $\vdash f \frown g \longrightarrow f \frown g1$

proof $-$

have $1: \vdash g \longrightarrow g1$ **using** *assms* **by** *auto*

hence $2: \vdash \Box\ (g \longrightarrow g1)$ **by** (*rule BoxGen*)

have $3: \vdash \Box\ (g \longrightarrow g1) \longrightarrow f \frown g \longrightarrow f \frown g1$ **by** (*rule BoxSChopImpSChop*)

from $2\ 3$ **show** *?thesis* **using** *MP* **by** *blast*

qed

lemma *RightSChopEqvSChop*:

 assumes $\vdash g = g1$

 shows $\vdash (f \frown g) = (f \frown g1)$

proof $-$

have $1: \vdash g = g1$ **using** *assms* **by** *auto*

have $2: (\vdash g \longrightarrow g1) \Longrightarrow (\vdash f \frown g \longrightarrow f \frown g1)$ **by** (*rule RightSChopImpSChop*)

have $3: (\vdash g1 \longrightarrow g) \Longrightarrow (\vdash f \frown g1 \longrightarrow f \frown g)$ **by** (*rule RightSChopImpSChop*)

from $1\ 2\ 3$ **show** *?thesis* **by** *fastforce*

qed

lemma *BoxRightSChopEqvSChop*:

 $\vdash \Box\ (g = g1) \longrightarrow (f \frown g) = (f \frown g1)$

proof $-$

have $1: \vdash \Box\ (g = g1) = (\Box\ (g \longrightarrow g1) \wedge \Box\ (\ g1 \longrightarrow g))$

by (*auto simp add*: *Valid-def always-defs sum.case-eq-if*)

have $2: \vdash \Box\ (g \longrightarrow g1) \longrightarrow (f \frown g) \longrightarrow (f \frown g1)$ **by** (*simp add*: *BoxSChopImpSChop*)

have $3: \vdash \Box\ (g1 \longrightarrow g) \longrightarrow (f \frown g1) \longrightarrow (f \frown g)$ **by** (*simp add*: *BoxSChopImpSChop*)

from $1\ 2\ 3$ **show** *?thesis* **by** *fastforce*

qed

lemma *FiniteRightSChopEqvSChop*:
 assumes ⊢ *finite* ⟶ *g* = *g1*
 shows   ⊢ *finite* ⟶ (*f*⌢*g*) = (*f*⌢*g1*)
using *assms*
by (*simp add*: *Valid-def finite-defs schop-defs sum.case-eq-if*)

lemma *SChopOrEqv*:
 ⊢ *f*⌢(*g* ∨ *g1*) = (*f*⌢*g* ∨ *f*⌢*g1*)
proof −
 have *1*: ⊢ *g* ⟶ *g* ∨ *g1* **by** *auto*
 hence *2*: ⊢ *f*⌢*g* ⟶ *f*⌢(*g* ∨ *g1*) **by** (*rule RightSChopImpSChop*)
 have *3*: ⊢ *g1* ⟶ *g* ∨ *g1* **by** *auto*
 hence *4*: ⊢ *f*⌢*g1* ⟶ *f*⌢(*g* ∨ *g1*) **by** (*rule RightSChopImpSChop*)
 from *2 4* **show** *?thesis* **by** (*meson SChopOrImp Prop02 Prop11*)
qed

lemma *OrSChopEqv*:
 ⊢ (*f* ∨ *f1*)⌢*g* = (*f*⌢*g* ∨ *f1*⌢*g*)
proof −
 have *1*: ⊢ *f* ⟶ *f* ∨ *f1* **by** *auto*
 hence *2*: ⊢ *f*⌢*g* ⟶ (*f*∨ *f1*)⌢*g* **by** (*rule LeftSChopImpSChop*)
 have *3*: ⊢ *f1* ⟶ *f* ∨ *f1* **by** *auto*
 hence *4*: ⊢ *f1*⌢*g* ⟶ (*f*∨ *f1*)⌢*g* **by** (*rule LeftSChopImpSChop*)
 from *2 4* **show** *?thesis*
 by (*meson OrSChopImp int-iffI Prop02*)
qed

lemma *OrSChopImpRule*:
 assumes ⊢ *f* ⟶ *f1* ∨ *f2*
 shows   ⊢ *f*⌢*g* ⟶ (*f1*⌢*g*) ∨ (*f2*⌢*g*)
proof −
 have *1*: ⊢ *f* ⟶ *f1* ∨ *f2* **using** *assms* **by** *auto*
 hence *2*: ⊢ *f*⌢*g* ⟶ (*f1* ∨ *f2*)⌢*g* **by** (*rule LeftSChopImpSChop*)
 have *3*: ⊢ (*f1* ∨ *f2*)⌢ *g* = (*f1*⌢*g* ∨ *f2*⌢*g*) **by** (*rule OrSChopEqv*)
 from *2 3* **show** *?thesis* **by** *fastforce*
qed

lemma *LeftSChopEqvSChop*:
 assumes ⊢ *f* = *f1*
 shows   ⊢ *f*⌢*g* = (*f1*⌢*g*)
proof −
 have *1*: ⊢ *f* = *f1* **using** *assms* **by** *auto*
 hence *2*: ⊢ *f* ⟶ *f1* **by** *auto*
 hence *3*: ⊢ *f*⌢*g* ⟶ *f1*⌢*g* **by** (*rule LeftSChopImpSChop*)
 have   ⊢ *f1* ⟶ *f* **using** *1* **by** *auto*
 hence *4*: ⊢ *f1*⌢*g* ⟶ *f*⌢*g* **by** (*rule LeftSChopImpSChop*)

461

from _3 4_ **show** _?thesis_ **by** (_simp add_: _int-iffl_)
qed

lemma _OrSChopEqvRule_:
 assumes $\vdash f = (f1 \lor f2)$
 shows $\vdash f \frown g = ((f1 \frown g) \lor (f2 \frown g))$
proof −
 have _1_: $\vdash f = (f1 \lor f2)$ **using** _assms_ **by** _auto_
 hence _2_: $\vdash f \frown g = ((f1 \lor f2) \frown g)$ **by** (_rule LeftSChopEqvSChop_)
 have _3_: $\vdash (f1 \lor f2) \frown g = (f1 \frown g \lor f2 \frown g)$ **by** (_rule OrSChopEqv_)
 from _2 3_ **show** _?thesis_ **by** _fastforce_
qed

lemma _SChopOrImpRule_:
 assumes $\vdash g \longrightarrow g1 \lor g2$
 shows $\vdash f \frown g \longrightarrow (f \frown g1) \lor (f \frown g2)$
proof −
 have _1_: $\vdash g \longrightarrow g1 \lor g2$ **using** _assms_ **by** _auto_
 hence _2_: $\vdash f \frown g \longrightarrow f \frown (g1 \lor g2)$ **by** (_rule RightSChopImpSChop_)
 have _3_: $\vdash f \frown (g1 \lor g2) = (f \frown g1 \lor f \frown g2)$ **by** (_rule SChopOrEqv_)
 from _2 3_ **show** _?thesis_ **by** _fastforce_
qed

lemma _SChopImpDiamond_:
$\vdash f \frown g \longrightarrow \Diamond\, g$
proof −
 have _1_: $\vdash f \longrightarrow \#True$ **by** _auto_
 hence _2_: $\vdash f \frown g \longrightarrow \#True \frown g$ **by** (_rule LeftSChopImpSChop_)
 from _2_ **show** _?thesis_ **using** _DiamondSChopdef_ **by** _fastforce_
qed

lemma _BfImpDfImpDf_:
 $\vdash bf\ (f \longrightarrow g) \longrightarrow\ df\ f \longrightarrow\ df\ g$
proof −
 have _1_: $\vdash bf\ (f \longrightarrow g) \longrightarrow (f \frown \#True) \longrightarrow (g \frown \#True)$ **by** (_rule BfSChopImpSChop_)
 from _1_ **show** _?thesis_ **by** (_simp add_: _df-d-def_)
qed

lemma _DfImpDf_:
 assumes $\vdash f \longrightarrow g$
 shows $\vdash df\ f \longrightarrow\ df\ g$
proof −
 have _1_: $\vdash f \longrightarrow g$ **using** _assms_ **by** _auto_
 hence _2_: $\vdash f \frown \#True \longrightarrow g \frown \#True$ **by** (_rule LeftSChopImpSChop_)
 from _2_ **show** _?thesis_ **by** (_simp add_: _df-d-def_)

qed

lemma *BfImpBfRule*:
 assumes $\vdash f \longrightarrow g$
 shows $\vdash bf\ f \longrightarrow bf\ g$
proof $-$
 have $1: \vdash f \longrightarrow g$ **using** *assms* **by** *auto*
 hence $2: \vdash \neg\ g \longrightarrow \neg\ f$ **by** *auto*
 hence $3: \vdash\ df\ (\neg\ g) \longrightarrow\ df\ (\neg\ f)$ **by** (*rule DfImpDf*)
 hence $4: \vdash \neg\ (\ df\ (\neg\ f)) \longrightarrow \neg\ (\ df\ (\neg\ g))$ **by** *auto*
 from *4* **show** *?thesis* **by** (*simp add*: *bf-d-def*)
qed

lemma *DfEqvDf*:
 assumes $\vdash f = g$
 shows $\vdash\ df\ f\ =\ df\ g$
proof $-$
 have $1: \vdash f = g$ **using** *assms* **by** *auto*
 hence $2: \vdash f \frown \#True = g \frown \#True$ **by** (*rule LeftSChopEqvSChop*)
 from *2* **show** *?thesis* **by** (*simp add*: *df-d-def*)
qed

lemma *BfEqvBf*:
 assumes $\vdash f = g$
 shows $\vdash bf\ f\ =\ bf\ g$
proof $-$
 have $1: \vdash f = g$ **using** *assms* **by** *auto*
 hence $2: \vdash (\neg\ f) = (\neg\ g)$ **by** *auto*
 hence $3: \vdash\ df\ (\neg\ f)\ =\ df\ (\neg\ g)$ **by** (*rule DfEqvDf*)
 hence $4: \vdash (\neg\ (df\ (\neg\ f))) = (\neg\ (\ df\ (\neg\ g)))$ **by** *auto*
 from *4* **show** *?thesis* **by** (*simp add*: *bf-d-def*)
qed

lemma *LeftSChopSChopImpSChopRule*:
 assumes $\vdash (f \frown g) \longrightarrow g$
 shows $\vdash (f \frown g) \frown h \longrightarrow (g \frown h)$
proof $-$
 have $1: \vdash (f \frown g) \longrightarrow g$ **using** *assms* **by** *blast*
 hence $2: \vdash (f \frown g) \frown h \longrightarrow g \frown h$ **by** (*rule LeftSChopImpSChop*)
 have $3: \vdash f \frown (g \frown h) = (f \frown g) \frown h$ **by** (*rule SChopAssoc*)
 from *2 3* **show** *?thesis* **by** *auto*
qed

lemma *AndSChopCommute* :
 $\vdash (f \land f1) \frown g = (f1 \land f) \frown g$

463

proof −
 have 1: ⊢ (f ∧ f1) = (f1 ∧ f)   **by** *auto*
 from 1 **show** *?thesis* **by** (*rule LeftSChopEqvSChop*)
qed

lemma *BfAndSChopImport*:
 ⊢ bf f ∧ (f1⌢ g) ⟶ (f ∧ f1)⌢g
proof −
 have 1: ⊢ f ⟶ (f1 ⟶ f∧ f1) **by** *auto*
 hence 2: ⊢ bf f ⟶ bf (f1 ⟶ f∧ f1) **by** (*rule BfImpBfRule*)
 have 3: ⊢ bf (f1 ⟶ (f ∧ f1)) ⟶ f1⌢ g ⟶ (f ∧ f1)⌢ g **by** (*rule BfSChopImpSChop*)
 from 2 3 **show** *?thesis* **using** *MP* **by** *fastforce*
qed

lemma *BiAndSChopImport*:
 ⊢ bi f ∧ (f1⌢ g) ⟶ (f ∧ f1)⌢g
proof −
 have 1: ⊢ f ⟶ (f1 ⟶ f ∧ f1) **by** *auto*
 hence 2: ⊢ bi f ⟶ bi (f1 ⟶ f∧ f1) **by** (*rule BiImpBiRule*)
 have 3: ⊢ bi (f1 ⟶ (f ∧ f1)) ⟶ f1⌢ g ⟶ (f ∧ f1)⌢ g **by** (*rule BiSChopImpSChop*)
 from 2 3 **show** *?thesis* **using** *MP* **by** *fastforce*
qed

lemma *StateAndSChopImport*:
 ⊢ (init w) ∧ (f⌢g) ⟶ ((init w) ∧ f)⌢g
proof −
 have 1: ⊢ (init w)⟶ bf (init w) **by** (*rule StateImpBf*)
 hence 2: ⊢ (init w) ∧ (f⌢ g) ⟶ bf (init w) ∧ (f⌢ g) **by** *auto*
 have 3: ⊢ bf (init w) ∧ (f⌢ g) ⟶ ((init w) ∧ f)⌢ g **by** (*rule BfAndSChopImport*)
 from 2 3 **show** *?thesis* **using** *MP* **by** *fastforce*
qed

14.4   Further Properties Df and Bf

lemma *AndFiniteImpDf*:
 ⊢ f ∧ finite ⟶ df f
proof −
 have 1: ⊢ finite ⟶ f⌢ empty = f **by** (*rule SChopEmpty*)
 have 2: ⊢ empty ⟶ #True **by** *auto*
 hence 3: ⊢ f⌢ empty ⟶ f⌢ #True **by** (*rule RightSChopImpSChop*)
 have 4 : ⊢ f ∧ finite ⟶ f⌢ #True **using** 1 3 **by** *fastforce*
 from 4 **show** *?thesis* **by** (*simp add*: *df-d-def*)
qed

lemma *DfState*:
 ⊢ df (init w) = (init w)

proof −
 have $0: \vdash (init\ (\neg w)) \longrightarrow bf\ \ (init\ (\neg w))$ **using** *StateImpBf* **by** *fastforce*
 hence $1: \vdash \neg(init\ w) \longrightarrow bf\ (\ \neg\ (init\ w))$ **using** *Initprop*(2) **by** (*metis inteq-reflection*)
 hence $2: \vdash (\neg\ (init\ w)) \longrightarrow \neg\ (\ df\ (\neg\ \neg\ (init\ w)))$ **by** (*simp add*: *bf-d-def*)
 have $3: \vdash (\neg\ (init\ w) \longrightarrow \neg\ (df\ (\neg\neg(init\ w)))) \longrightarrow (\ df\ (\neg\neg(init\ w)) \longrightarrow (init\ w))$ **by** *auto*
 have $4: \vdash\ df\ (\neg\ \neg\ (init\ w)) \longrightarrow (init\ w)$ **using** *2 3 MP* **by** *blast*
 have $5: \vdash (init\ w) \longrightarrow \neg\ \neg\ (init\ w)$ **by** *auto*
 hence $6: \vdash\ df\ (init\ w) \longrightarrow\ df\ (\neg\ \neg\ (init\ w))$ **by** (*rule DfImpDf*)
 have $7: \vdash\ df\ (init\ w) \longrightarrow (init\ w)$ **using** *6 4* **using** *lift-imp-trans* **by** *metis*
 have $8: \vdash (init\ w) \wedge finite \longrightarrow\ df\ (init\ w)$ **by** (*rule AndFiniteImpDf*)
 from *7 8* **show** *?thesis*
 by (*metis NowImpDiamond Prop10 StateAndChop df-d-def int-simps*(17) *inteq-reflection*
          lift-and-com schop-d-def sometimes-d-def)
qed

lemma *StateSChop*:
 $\vdash (init\ w) \frown f \longrightarrow (init\ w)$
 by (*simp add*: *StateChopExportA schop-d-def*)

lemma *StateSChopExportA*:
 $\vdash ((init\ w) \wedge f) \frown g \longrightarrow (init\ w)$
 by (*meson AndSChopA StateSChop lift-imp-trans*)

lemma *StateAndSChop*:
 $\vdash ((init\ w) \wedge f) \frown g = ((init\ w) \wedge (f \frown g))$
 by (*simp add*: *AndSChopB StateAndSChopImport StateSChopExportA Prop11 Prop12*)

lemma *StateAndSChopImpSChopRule*:
 assumes $\vdash (init\ w) \wedge f \longrightarrow f1$
 shows $\vdash (init\ w) \wedge (f \frown g) \longrightarrow (f1 \frown g)$
proof −
 have $1: \vdash (init\ w) \wedge f \longrightarrow f1$ **using** *assms* **by** *auto*
 hence $2: \vdash ((init\ w) \wedge f) \frown g \longrightarrow f1 \frown g$ **by** (*rule LeftSChopImpSChop*)
 have $3: \vdash ((init\ w) \wedge f) \frown g = ((init\ w) \wedge (f \frown g))$ **by** (*rule StateAndSChop*)
 from *2 3* **show** *?thesis* **by** *fastforce*
qed

lemma *StateImpSChopEqvSChop* :
 assumes $\vdash (init\ w) \longrightarrow (f = f1)$
 shows $\vdash (init\ w) \longrightarrow ((f \frown g) = (f1 \frown g))$
proof −
 have $1: \vdash (init\ w) \longrightarrow (f = f1)$ **using** *assms* **by** *auto*
 hence $2: \vdash (init\ w) \wedge f \longrightarrow f1$ **by** *auto*
 hence $3: \vdash (init\ w) \wedge (f \frown g) \longrightarrow (f1 \frown g)$ **by** (*rule StateAndSChopImpSChopRule*)
 have $4: \vdash (init\ w) \wedge f1 \longrightarrow f$ **using** *1* **by** *auto*
 hence $5: \vdash (init\ w) \wedge (f1 \frown g) \longrightarrow (f \frown g)$ **by** (*rule StateAndSChopImpSChopRule*)
 from *3 5* **show** *?thesis* **by** *fastforce*

qed

lemma *ChopEqvStateAndSChop*:
  assumes ⊢ f = (init w) ∧ f1
  shows   ⊢ (f ⌢ g) = ((init w) ∧ (f1 ⌢ g))
proof −
 have 1: ⊢ f = ((init w) ∧ f1) **using** *assms* **by** *auto*
 hence 2: ⊢ f ⌢ g = (((init w) ∧ f1) ⌢ g) **by** (*rule LeftSChopEqvSChop*)
 have 3: ⊢ ((init w) ∧ f1) ⌢ g = ((init w) ∧ (f1 ⌢ g)) **by** (*rule StateAndSChop*)
 from 2 3 **show** *?thesis* **by** *fastforce*
qed

lemma *DfIntro*:
⊢ f ∧ finite ⟶ df f
proof −
 have 1: ⊢ finite ⟶ f ⌢ empty = f **by** (*rule SChopEmpty*)
 have 2: ⊢ empty ⟶ #True **by** *auto*
 hence 3: ⊢ □(empty ⟶ #True) **by** (*rule BoxGen*)
 have 4: ⊢ □(empty ⟶ #True) ⟶ (f; empty ⟶ f; #True) **by** (*rule BoxChopImpChop*)
 have 5: ⊢ f ⌢ empty ⟶ f ⌢ #True **using** 3 4 MP **by** (*simp add*: *RightSChopImpSChop*)
 hence 6: ⊢ f ⌢ empty ⟶ df f **by** (*simp add*: *df-d-def*)
 from 1 6 **show** *?thesis* **using** *AndFiniteImpDf* **by** *blast*
qed

lemma *BfElim*:
⊢ bf f ∧ finite ⟶ f
proof −
 have 1: ⊢ ¬f ∧ finite ⟶ df (¬f) **by** (*rule DfIntro*)
 have 2: ⊢ (¬f ∧ finite ⟶ df (¬f)) ⟶ (¬(df (¬f)) ⟶ ¬(¬ f ∧ finite))
 by *simp*
 have 21: ⊢ ¬(¬ f ∧ finite) = (f ∨ inf) **by** (*simp add*: *Valid-def finite-d-def*)
 have 3: ⊢ ¬ (df (¬f)) ⟶ f ∨ inf **using** 1 2 21 **by** *fastforce*
 from 3 **show** *?thesis* **by** (*simp add*: *Prop13 bf-d-def finite-d-def*)
qed

lemma *BfContraPosImpDist*:
 ⊢ bf (¬g ⟶ ¬f) ⟶ (bf f) ⟶ (bf g)
proof −
 have 1: ⊢ bf (¬g ⟶ ¬f) ⟶ (df (¬g)) ⟶ (df (¬f)) **by** (*rule BfImpDfImpDf*)
 hence 2: ⊢ bf (¬g ⟶ ¬f) ⟶ (¬(df (¬f))) ⟶ (¬(df (¬g))) **by** *auto*
 from 2 **show** *?thesis* **by** (*metis bf-d-def*)
qed

lemma *BfImpDist*:
⊢ bf (f ⟶ g) ⟶ (bf f) ⟶ (bf g)
proof −
 have 1: ⊢ (f ⟶ g) ⟶ (¬ g ⟶ ¬ f) **by** *auto*
 hence 2: ⊢ ¬ (¬ g ⟶ ¬ f) ⟶ ¬ (f ⟶ g) **by** *auto*

hence 3: ⊢ bf (¬ (¬ g ⟶ ¬ f) ⟶ ¬ (f ⟶ g)) **by** (rule BfGen)
have 4: ⊢ bf (¬ (¬ g ⟶ ¬ f) ⟶ ¬ (f ⟶ g))
　　　⟶
　　　　bf (f ⟶ g) ⟶ bf (¬ g ⟶ ¬ f) **by** (rule BfContraPosImpDist)
have 5: ⊢ bf (f ⟶ g) ⟶ bf (¬ g ⟶ ¬ f) **using** 3 4 MP **by** blast
have 6: ⊢ bf (¬ g ⟶ ¬ f) ⟶ (bf f) ⟶ (bf g) **by** (rule BfContraPosImpDist)
from 5 6 **show** ?thesis **using** lift-imp-trans **by** blast
qed

lemma FiniteImpBfImpBfRule:
 assumes ⊢ finite ⟶ (f ⟶ g)
 shows　⊢ bf f ⟶ bf g
proof −
have　1: ⊢ finite ⟶ f ⟶ g **using** assms **by** auto
have　2: ⊢ bf(f ⟶ g) **using** 1 **by** (simp add: FiniteBfGen)
have　3: ⊢ bf(f ⟶ g) ⟶ bf f ⟶ bf g **using** BfImpDist **by** blast
from 2 3 **show** ?thesis **by** fastforce
qed

lemma FiniteImpBfEqvRule:
 assumes ⊢ finite ⟶ (f = g)
 shows　⊢ bf f = bf g
proof −
have 1: ⊢ finite ⟶ (f = g) **using** assms **by** blast
have 2: ⊢ finite ⟶ (f ⟶ g) **using** 1 **by** auto
have 3: ⊢ bf f ⟶ bf g **by** (simp add: 2 FiniteImpBfImpBfRule)
have 4: ⊢ finite ⟶ (g ⟶ f) **using** 1 **by** auto
have 5: ⊢ bf g ⟶ bf f **by** (simp add: 4 FiniteImpBfImpBfRule)
from 3 5 **show** ?thesis **by** fastforce
qed

lemma IfSChopEqvRule:
 assumes ⊢ f = if$_i$ (init w) then f1 else f2
 shows　⊢ f ⌢ g = if$_i$ (init w) then (f1 ⌢ g) else (f2 ⌢ g)
proof −
have　1: ⊢ f = if$_i$ (init w) then f1 else f2
　　using assms **by** auto
hence 2: ⊢ f = (((init w) ∧ f1) ∨ ((init (¬ w)) ∧ f2))
　　by (simp add: ifthenelse-d-def init-defs Valid-def sum.case-eq-if)
hence 3: ⊢ f ⌢ g = (((init w) ∧ f1) ⌢ g ∨ ((init (¬ w)) ∧ f2) ⌢ g)
　　by (rule OrSChopEqvRule)
have　4: ⊢ ((init w) ∧ f1) ⌢ g = ((init w) ∧ (f1 ⌢ g))
　　by (rule StateAndSChop)
have　5: ⊢ ((init (¬ w)) ∧ f2) ⌢ g = ((init (¬ w)) ∧ (f2 ⌢ g))
　　by (rule StateAndSChop)
have　6: ⊢ f ⌢ g = (((init w) ∧ f1 ⌢ g) ∨ ((init (¬ w)) ∧ f2 ⌢ g))
　　using 3 4 5 **by** fastforce
from 6 **show** ?thesis **by** (simp add: ifthenelse-d-def init-defs Valid-def sum.case-eq-if)

qed

lemma *SChopOrEqvRule*:
 assumes $\vdash g = (g1 \lor g2)$
 shows $\vdash f \frown g = ((f \frown g1) \lor (f \frown g2))$
proof $-$
 have $1: \vdash g = (g1 \lor g2)$ **using** *assms* **by** *auto*
 hence $2: \vdash f \frown g = (f \frown (g1 \lor g2))$ **by** (*rule RightSChopEqvSChop*)
 have $3: \vdash f \frown (g1 \lor g2) = (f \frown g1 \lor f \frown g2)$ **by** (*rule SChopOrEqv*)
 from $2\ 3$ **show** *?thesis* **by** *fastforce*
qed

lemma *EmptyOrSChopEqv*:
 $\vdash (\ empty \ \lor \ f) \frown g = (g \lor (f \frown g))$
proof $-$
 have $1: \vdash (\ empty \ \lor \ f) \frown g = ((\ empty \frown g) \lor (f \frown g))$ **by** (*rule OrSChopEqv*)
 have $2: \vdash \ empty \frown g = g$ **by** (*rule EmptySChop*)
 from $1\ 2$ **show** *?thesis* **by** *fastforce*
qed

lemma *EmptyOrNextSChopEqv*:
 $\vdash (\ empty \ \lor \ \bigcirc f) \frown g = (g \lor \bigcirc(f \frown g))$
proof $-$
 have $1: \vdash (\ empty \ \lor \ \bigcirc f) \frown g = (g \lor ((\bigcirc f) \frown g))$ **by** (*rule EmptyOrSChopEqv*)
 have $2: \vdash (\bigcirc f) \frown g = \bigcirc(f \frown g)$ **by** (*rule NextSChop*)
 from $1\ 2$ **show** *?thesis* **by** *fastforce*
qed

lemma *EmptyOrSChopImpRule*:
 assumes $\vdash \ f \longrightarrow \ empty \ \lor \ f1$
 shows $\vdash f \frown g \longrightarrow g \lor (f1 \frown g)$
proof $-$
 have $1: \vdash f \longrightarrow \ empty \ \lor \ f1$ **using** *assms* **by** *auto*
 hence $2: \vdash f \frown g \longrightarrow (\ empty \ \lor \ f1) \frown g$ **by** (*rule LeftSChopImpSChop*)
 have $3: \vdash (\ empty \ \lor \ f1) \frown g = (g \lor (f1 \frown g))$ **by** (*rule EmptyOrSChopEqv*)
 from $2\ 3$ **show** *?thesis* **by** *fastforce*
qed

lemma *EmptyOrSChopEqvRule*:
 assumes $\vdash \ f = (empty \ \lor \ f1)$
 shows $\vdash f \frown g = (g \lor (f1 \frown g))$
proof $-$
 have $1: \vdash f = (empty \ \lor \ f1)$ **using** *assms* **by** *auto*
 hence $2: \vdash f \frown g = ((\ empty \ \lor \ f1) \frown g)$ **by** (*rule LeftSChopEqvSChop*)
 have $3: \vdash (\ empty \ \lor \ f1) \frown g = (g \lor (f1 \frown g))$ **by** (*rule EmptyOrSChopEqv*)
 from $2\ 3$ **show** *?thesis* **by** *fastforce*
qed

lemma *EmptyOrNextSChopImpRule*:
 assumes $\vdash f \longrightarrow \ empty \ \lor \ \bigcirc f1$

468

shows $\vdash f \frown g \longrightarrow g \lor \bigcirc(f1 \frown g)$
proof −
 have $1: \vdash f \longrightarrow empty \lor \bigcirc f1$ **using** *assms* **by** *auto*
 hence $2: \vdash f \frown g \longrightarrow (empty \lor \bigcirc f1) \frown g$ **by** (*rule LeftSChopImpSChop*)
 have $3: \vdash (empty \lor \bigcirc f1) \frown g = (g \lor \bigcirc(f1 \frown g))$ **by** (*rule EmptyOrNextSChopEqv*)
 from *2 3* **show** *?thesis* **by** *fastforce*
qed

lemma *EmptyOrNextSChopEqvRule*:
 assumes $\vdash f = (empty \lor \bigcirc f1)$
 shows $\vdash f \frown g = (g \lor \bigcirc(f1 \frown g))$
proof −
 have $1: \vdash f = (empty \lor \bigcirc f1)$ **using** *assms* **by** *auto*
 hence $2: \vdash f \frown g = ((empty \lor \bigcirc f1) \frown g)$ **by** (*rule LeftSChopEqvSChop*)
 have $3: \vdash (empty \lor \bigcirc f1) \frown g = (g \lor \bigcirc(f1 \frown g))$ **by** (*rule EmptyOrNextSChopEqv*)
 from *2 3* **show** *?thesis* **by** *fastforce*
qed

lemma *SChopEmptyOrImpRule*:
 assumes $\vdash g \longrightarrow empty \lor g1$
 shows $\vdash f \frown g \land finite \longrightarrow f \lor (f \frown g1)$
proof −
 have $1: \vdash g \longrightarrow empty \lor g1$ **using** *assms* **by** *auto*
 hence $2: \vdash f \frown g \longrightarrow (f \frown empty) \lor (f \frown g1)$ **by** (*rule SChopOrImpRule*)
 have $3: \vdash finite \longrightarrow f \frown empty = f$ **by** (*rule SChopEmpty*)
 from *2 3* **show** *?thesis* **by** *fastforce*
qed

lemma *BoxStateSChopBoxAndInfImpBox*:
$\vdash \square (init\ w) \frown \square (init\ w) \land inf \longrightarrow \square (init\ w)$
by (*simp add: Valid-def always-defs schop-defs init-defs sum.case-eq-if infinite-defs*
      iprefix-length iprefix-0)
  (*metis add.right-neutral iprefix-length iprefix-nth isuffix-def le-cases le-iff-add*)

lemma *BoxStateSChopBoxEqvBox*:
$\vdash \square (init\ w) \frown \square (init\ w) = \square (init\ w)$
proof −
 have $1: \vdash (\square (init\ w)) = ((init\ w) \land (empty \lor \bigcirc(\square (init\ w))))$
      by (*rule BoxEqvAndEmptyOrNextBox*)
 hence $2: \vdash (\square (init\ w) \frown \square (init\ w)) =$
           $((init\ w) \land ((empty \lor \bigcirc(\square (init\ w))) \frown \square (init\ w)))$
      by (*metis StateAndSChop inteq-reflection*)
 have $3: \vdash ((empty \lor \bigcirc(\square (init\ w))) \frown \square (init\ w)) =$
           $(\square (init\ w) \lor \bigcirc(\square (init\ w) \frown \square (init\ w)))$
      by (*rule EmptyOrNextSChopEqv*)
 have $4: \vdash (\square (init\ w) \frown \square (init\ w)) =$
           $((init\ w) \land (\square (init\ w) \lor \bigcirc(\square (init\ w) \frown \square (init\ w))))$
      using *2 3* **by** *fastforce*
 have $5: \vdash \neg (\square (init\ w)) \longrightarrow \neg (init\ w) \lor \neg(\bigcirc(\square (init\ w)))$
      by (*rule NotBoxImpNotOrNotNextBox*)

have   6: ⊢ (□ (*init w*)⌢ □ (*init w*)) ∧ ¬(□ (*init w*)) ⟶
        ○(□ (*init w*)⌢ □ (*init w*)) ∧ ¬(○(□ (*init w*)))
    using *4 5* **by** *fastforce*
hence 7: ⊢ □ (*init w*)⌢ □ (*init w*) ∧ *finite* ⟶ □ (*init w*)
    by (*rule NextContra*)
have   8: ⊢ □ (*init w*)⌢ □ (*init w*) ∧ *inf* ⟶ □ (*init w*)
    by (*rule BoxStateSChopBoxAndInfImpBox*)
have   9: ⊢ □ (*init w*)⌢ □ (*init w*) ∧ (*finite* ∨ *inf*) ⟶ □ (*init w*)
    using *7 8* **by** *fastforce*
hence 10: ⊢ □ (*init w*)⌢ □ (*init w*) ⟶ □ (*init w*)
    using *FiniteOrInfinite* **by** *fastforce*
have 11: ⊢ □ (*init w*) = ((*init w*) ∧ □ (*init w*))
    by (*rule BoxEqvAndBox*)
have 12: ⊢ *empty* ⌢ □ (*init w*) = □ (*init w*)
    by (*rule EmptySChop*)
have 13: ⊢ ((*init w*) ∧ *empty*)⌢ □ (*init w*) = ((*init w*) ∧ (*empty* ⌢ □ (*init w*)))
    by (*rule StateAndSChop*)
have 14: ⊢ □ (*init w*) = ((*init w*) ∧ *empty*)⌢ □ (*init w*)
    using *11 12 13* **by** *fastforce*
have 15: ⊢ (*init w*) ∧ *empty* ⟶ □ (*init w*)
    by (*rule StateAndEmptyImpBoxState*)
hence 16: ⊢ ((*init w*) ∧ *empty*)⌢ □ (*init w*) ⟶ □ (*init w*)⌢ □ (*init w*)
    by (*rule LeftSChopImpSChop*)
have 17: ⊢ □ (*init w*) ⟶ □ (*init w*)⌢ □ (*init w*)
    using *14 16* **by** *fastforce*
from *10 17* **show** *?thesis* **by** *fastforce*
qed

lemma *NotBoxStateImpBoxSYieldsNotBox*:
⊢ ¬(□ (*init w*)) ⟶ (□ (*init w*)) *syields* (¬(□ (*init w*)))
proof −
 have 1: ⊢ □ (*init w*)⌢ □ (*init w*) = □ (*init w*) **by** (*rule BoxStateSChopBoxEqvBox*)
 have 2: ⊢ □ (*init w*) = (¬ ¬(□ (*init w*))) **by** *auto*
 hence 3: ⊢ □ (*init w*)⌢□(*init w*) = □(*init w*)⌢ (¬¬(□(*init w*))) **by** (*rule RightSChopEqvSChop*)
 have 4: ⊢ ¬(□ (*init w*)) ⟶ ¬ (□ (*init w*)⌢ (¬ ¬ (□ (*init w*)))) **using** *1 3* **by** *auto*
 from *4* **show** *?thesis* **by** (*simp add*: *syields-d-def*)
qed

lemma *StateEqvBf* :
⊢ (*init w*) = *bf* (*init w*)
proof −
 have 1: ⊢ (*init w*) ⟶ *bf* (*init w*) **by** (*rule StateImpBf*)
 have 2: ⊢ *bf* (*init w*) ∧ *finite* ⟶ (*init w*) **by** (*rule BfElim*)
 from *1 2* **show** *?thesis*
 by (*metis DfState Initprop*(2) *Prop11 bf-d-def int-simps*(4) *inteq-reflection*)
qed

lemma *TrueSChopEqvDiamond*:

$\vdash\quad \#\mathit{True} \frown f = \Diamond f$
using *DiamondSChopdef* **by** *fastforce*

lemma *BfAndEqvBfAndBf* :
$\vdash bf(f \wedge g) = (bf\ f \wedge bf\ g)$
proof $-$
 have *1*: $\vdash f \wedge g \longrightarrow f$ **by** *auto*
 have *2*: $\vdash bf(f \wedge g) \longrightarrow bf\ f$ **by** (*simp add*: *1 BfImpBfRule*)
 have *3*: $\vdash f \wedge g \longrightarrow g$ **by** *auto*
 have *4*: $\vdash bf(f \wedge g) \longrightarrow bf\ g$ **by** (*simp add*: *3 BfImpBfRule*)
 have *5*: $\vdash f \longrightarrow (g \longrightarrow f \wedge g)$ **by** *auto*
 have *6*: $\vdash bf\ f \longrightarrow bf\ (g \longrightarrow f \wedge g)$ **by** (*simp add*: *5 BfImpBfRule*)
 have *7*: $\vdash bf\ (g \longrightarrow f \wedge g) \longrightarrow (bf\ g \longrightarrow bf(f \wedge g))$ **by** (*simp add*: *BfImpDist*)
 have *8*: $\vdash bf\ f \wedge bf\ g \longrightarrow bf\ (f \wedge g)$ **using** *6 7* **by** *fastforce*
 from *2 4 8* **show** *?thesis* **by** *fastforce*
qed

lemma *BfEqvBfImpAndBfImp*:
$\vdash bf(f = g) = (bf\ (f \longrightarrow g) \wedge bf(g \longrightarrow f))$
proof $-$
 have *1*: $\vdash (f = g) = ((f \longrightarrow g) \wedge (g \longrightarrow f))$ **by** *auto*
 have *2*: $\vdash bf(f = g) = bf((f \longrightarrow g) \wedge (g \longrightarrow f))$ **by** (*simp add*: *1 BfEqvBf*)
 have *3*: $\vdash bf((f \longrightarrow g) \wedge (g \longrightarrow f)) = (bf(f \longrightarrow g) \wedge bf(g \longrightarrow f))$ **by** (*simp add*: *BfAndEqvBfAndBf*)
 from *2 3* **show** *?thesis* **by** *fastforce*
qed

lemma *BfEqvImpSChopEqvSChop*:
$\vdash bf(f = f1) \longrightarrow f \frown g = f1 \frown g$
proof $-$
 have *1*: $\vdash bf(f = f1) = (bf\ (f \longrightarrow f1) \wedge bf(f1 \longrightarrow f))$ **by** (*simp add*: *BfEqvBfImpAndBfImp*)
 have *2*: $\vdash bf\ (f \longrightarrow f1) \longrightarrow f \frown g \longrightarrow f1 \frown g$ **by** (*simp add*: *BfSChopImpSChop*)
 have *3*: $\vdash bf(f1 \longrightarrow f) \longrightarrow f1 \frown g \longrightarrow f \frown g$ **by** (*simp add*: *BfSChopImpSChop*)
 from *1 2 3* **show** *?thesis* **by** *fastforce*
qed

lemma *BfEqvDfEqvDf* :
$\vdash bf(f = g) \longrightarrow (df\ f = df\ g)$
proof $-$
 have *1*: $\vdash bf(f = g) \longrightarrow (f \frown \#\mathit{True}) = (g \frown \#\mathit{True})$
  using *BfEqvImpSChopEqvSChop* **by** *fastforce*
 from *1* **show** *?thesis* **by** (*simp add*: *df-d-def*)
qed

lemma *FiniteImpEqvDfImpRule*:

assumes $\vdash$ *finite* $\longrightarrow f = g$
shows $\vdash df \; f = df \; g$
proof $-$
 have *1*: $\vdash$ *finite* $\longrightarrow f = g$ **using** *assms* **by** *auto*
 have *2*: $\vdash bf(f = g)$ **using** *1* **by** (*simp add: FiniteBfGen*)
 have *3*: $\vdash \; bf(f = g) \longrightarrow (df \; f = df \; g)$ **by** (*simp add: BfEqvDfEqvDf*)
 from *2 3* **show** *?thesis* **by** *fastforce*
qed

lemma *DfEmpty*:
$\vdash \quad df \;\; empty$
proof $-$
 have *1*: $\vdash \# True$ **by** *auto*
 have *2*: $\vdash \;\; empty \frown \# True = \# True$ **by** (*rule EmptySChop*)
 have *3*: $\vdash \;\; empty \frown \# True$ **using** *1 2* **by** *auto*
 from *3* **show** *?thesis* **by** (*simp add: df-d-def*)
qed

lemma *BfImpDf*:
$\vdash bf \; f \longrightarrow df \; f$
proof $-$
 have *1*: $\vdash f \longrightarrow (empty \longrightarrow f)$ **by** *auto*
 have *2*: $\vdash bf \; f \longrightarrow bf(empty \longrightarrow f)$ **by** (*simp add: 1 BfImpBfRule*)
 have *3*: $\vdash bf(empty \longrightarrow f) \longrightarrow df \; empty \longrightarrow df \; f$ **by** (*simp add: BfImpDfImpDf*)
 have *4*: $\vdash bf \; f \longrightarrow df \; empty \longrightarrow df \; f$ **using** *2 3 lift-imp-trans* **by** *blast*
 have *5*: $\vdash df \; empty$ **by** (*simp add: DfEmpty*)
 from *4 5* **show** *?thesis* **by** *fastforce*
qed

14.5 Properties of SDa and SBa

lemma *SDaEqvDtDf*:
$\vdash \quad sda \;\; f = \diamond (df \;\; f)$
proof $-$
 have *1*: $\vdash \# True \frown (f \frown \# True) = \# True \frown (f \frown \# True)$ **by** *auto*
 hence *2*: $\vdash \# True \frown (f \frown \# True) = \# True \frown \;\; df \;\; f$ **by** (*simp add: df-d-def*)
 have *3*: $\vdash \# True \frown \;\; (df \;\; f) = \diamond(\; df \;\; f)$ **by** (*simp add: TrueSChopEqvDiamond*)
 have *4*: $\vdash \# True \frown (f \frown \# True) = \diamond(\; df \;\; f)$ **using** *2 3* **by** *fastforce*
 from *4* **show** *?thesis* **by** (*simp add:sda-d-def*)
qed

lemma *SDaEqvDfDt*:
$\vdash \quad sda \;\; f = \; df \; (\diamond \; f)$
proof $-$
 have *1*: $\vdash \# True \frown f = \diamond \; f$ **by** (*rule TrueSChopEqvDiamond*)
 hence *2*: $\vdash (\# True \frown f) \frown \# True = (\diamond \; f) \frown \# True$ **by** (*rule LeftSChopEqvSChop*)
 hence *3*: $\vdash (\# True \frown f) \frown \# True = \; df(\; \diamond \; f)$ **by** (*simp add: df-d-def*)

have 4: ⊢ #True ⌢ (f ⌢ #True) = (#True ⌢ f) ⌢ #True   **by** (*rule SChopAssoc*)
have 5: ⊢ #True ⌢ (f ⌢ #True) = df (◇ f) **using** *3 4* **by** *fastforce*
 from *5* **show** *?thesis* **by** (*simp add*: *sda-d-def*)
qed

lemma *DtDfEqvDfDt*:
⊢   ◇ (df f) = df (◇ f)
by (*meson Prop04 SDaEqvDfDt SDaEqvDtDf*)

lemma *SBaEqvBfBt*:
⊢   sba f = bf(□ f)
proof −
 have 1: ⊢ sda (¬ f) = df(◇ (¬ f)) **by** (*rule SDaEqvDfDt*)
 have 2: ⊢ ◇ (¬ f) = (¬(□ f)) **by** (*rule DiamondNotEqvNotBox*)
 hence 3: ⊢ df (◇(¬ f)) = df (¬ (□ f)) **by** (*rule DfEqvDf*)
 have 4: ⊢ sda (¬ f) = df (¬(□ f)) **using** *1 3* **by** *fastforce*
 hence 5: ⊢ (¬ (sda (¬ f))) = (¬ (df (¬(□ f)))) **by** *auto*
 hence 6: ⊢ (¬ (sda (¬ f))) = bf(□ f) **by** (*simp add*: *bf-d-def*)
 from *6* **show** *?thesis* **by** (*simp add*: *sba-d-def*)
qed

lemma *DfNotEqvNotBf*:
⊢   df (¬ f) = (¬(bf f))
proof −
 have 1: ⊢ bf f = (¬ (df (¬ f))) **by** (*simp add*: *bf-d-def*)
 from *1* **show** *?thesis* **by** *auto*
qed

lemma *DfDfNotEqvNotBfBf*:
⊢ df (df (¬ f)) = (¬ (bf (bf f)))
proof −
 have 1: ⊢ df (¬ f) = (¬ bf f) **by** (*simp add*: *DfNotEqvNotBf*)
 have 2: ⊢ df (df (¬ f)) = df (¬ bf f) **by** (*simp add*: *1 DfEqvDf*)
 have 3: ⊢ df (¬ bf f) = (¬ bf (bf f)) **by** (*simp add*: *DfNotEqvNotBf*)
 from *2 3* **show** *?thesis* **by** *fastforce*
qed

lemma *DfDtEqvDtDf*:
⊢ df(◇ f) = ◇(df f)
proof −
 have 1: ⊢ (#True ⌢ f) ⌢ #True = #True ⌢ (f ⌢ #True)
 using *SChopAssoc* **by** *fastforce*
 have 2: ⊢ (◇ f) ⌢ #True = ◇(f ⌢ #True)
  using *1* **by** (*metis TrueSChopEqvDiamond int-eq*)
 from *1 2* **show** *?thesis* **by** (*simp add*: *df-d-def*)
qed

lemma *DfDtNotEqvNotBfBt*:
$\vdash df(\Diamond(\neg\ f)) = (\neg(bf(\Box\ f)))$
proof $-$
 have *1*: $\vdash \Diamond\ (\neg f) = (\neg\ (\Box\ f))$ **by** (*simp add*: *DiamondNotEqvNotBox*)
 have *2*: $\vdash df(\Diamond\ (\neg f)) = df(\neg\ (\Box\ f))$ **by** (*simp add*: *1 DfEqvDf*)
 have *3*: $\vdash df(\neg(\Box\ f)) = (\neg(bf\ (\Box\ f)))$ **by** (*simp add*: *DfNotEqvNotBf*)
 from *2 3* **show** *?thesis* **by** *fastforce*
qed

lemma *DtDfNotEqvNotBtBf*:
$\vdash \Diamond(df\ (\neg\ f)) = (\neg\ (\Box(bf\ f)))$
proof $-$
 have *1*: $\vdash df(\neg\ f) = (\neg\ (bf\ f))$ **using** *DfNotEqvNotBf* **by** *blast*
 have *2*: $\vdash \Diamond(df(\neg\ f)) = \Diamond(\neg\ (bf\ f))$ **by** (*simp add*: *1 DiamondEqvDiamond*)
 have *3*: $\vdash \Diamond(\neg\ (bf\ f)) = (\neg\ \Box(bf\ f))$ **by** (*simp add*: *DiamondNotEqvNotBox*)
 from *2 3* **show** *?thesis* **by** *fastforce*
qed

lemma *SBaEqvBtBf*:
$\vdash\quad sba\ \ f = \Box\ (bf\ \ f)$
proof $-$
 have $\ $*1*: $\vdash\ \ sda\ (\neg\ \ f) = \Diamond\ (df\ (\neg\ \ f))$ **by** (*rule SDaEqvDtDf*)
 have $\ $*2*: $\vdash\ \ df\ (\neg\ \ f) = (\neg\ (bf\ \ f))$ **by** (*rule DfNotEqvNotBf*)
 hence *3*: $\vdash \Diamond\ (df\ (\neg\ \ f)) = \Diamond(\neg\ (bf\ \ f))$ **by** (*rule DiamondEqvDiamond*)
 have $\ $*4*: $\vdash (\neg\ (\Diamond(\neg\ (bf\ \ f)))) = \Box(bf\ \ f)$ **by** (*rule NotDiamondNotEqvBox*)
 have $\ $*5*: $\vdash (\neg\ (\ sda\ (\neg\ \ f))) = \Box(bf\ \ f)$ **using** *1 2 3 4* **by** *fastforce*
 from *5* **show** *?thesis* **by** (*simp add*: *sba-d-def*)
qed

lemma *BaImpSBa*:
$\vdash ba\ f \longrightarrow sba\ f$
using *BaEqvBiBt BiImpBf SBaEqvBfBt* **by** *fastforce*

lemma *SDaImpDa*:
$\vdash sda\ f \longrightarrow da\ f$
proof $-$
 have *1*: $\vdash ba\ (\neg\ f) \longrightarrow sba\ (\neg f)$
  using *BaImpSBa* **by** *blast*
 have *2*: $\vdash \neg\ sba\ (\neg f) \longrightarrow \neg\ ba\ (\neg\ f)$
  using *1* **by** *fastforce*
 from *2* **show** *?thesis* **by** (*simp add*: *sba-d-def ba-d-def*)
qed

lemma *BtBfEqvBfBt*:
$\vdash\quad \Box\ (bf\ \ f) = bf(\ \Box\ f)$
proof $-$

have *1*: ⊢   *sba*   *f* = □ (*bf*   *f*)   **by** (*rule SBaEqvBtBf*)
have *2*: ⊢   *sba*   *f* = *bf*(□ *f*)   **by** (*rule SBaEqvBfBt*)
from *1 2* **show** *?thesis* **by** *fastforce*
qed

lemma *BoxStateEqvSBaBoxState*:
⊢   □ (*init w*) =   *sba* (□ (*init w*))
proof −
have   *1*: ⊢ (*init w*) = *bf* (*init w*)   **by** (*rule StateEqvBf*)
hence *2*: ⊢ □ (*init w*) = □ (*bf* (*init w*))   **by** (*rule BoxEqvBox*)
have   *3*: ⊢ □ (*bf* (*init w*)) = *bf*(□ (*init w*))   **by** (*rule BtBfEqvBfBt*)
have   *4*: ⊢ □ (*init w*) = □(□ (*init w*))   **by** (*rule BoxEqvBoxBox*)
hence *5*: ⊢ *bf*(□ (*init w*)) = *bf* (□(□ (*init w*)))   **by** (*rule BfEqvBf*)
have   *6*:   ⊢   *sba*(□ (*init w*)) = *bf*(□(□ (*init w*)))   **by** (*rule SBaEqvBfBt*)
from *2 3 5 6* **show** *?thesis* **by** *fastforce*
qed

lemma *SBaImpBf* :
⊢   *sba*   *f* ⟶ *bf*   *f*
proof −
have *1*: ⊢ *sba*   *f* = □(*bf*   *f*)   **by** (*rule SBaEqvBtBf*)
have *2*: ⊢ □(*bf*   *f*) ⟶ *bf*   *f*   **by** (*rule BoxElim*)
from *1 2* **show** *?thesis* **using** *lift-imp-trans* **by** *fastforce*
qed

lemma *BaImpBf* :
⊢   *ba*   *f* ⟶ *bf*   *f*
proof −
have *1*: ⊢   *ba*   *f* = □(*bi*   *f*)   **by** (*rule BaEqvBtBi*)
have *2*: ⊢ □(*bi*   *f*) ⟶ *bi*   *f*   **by** (*rule BoxElim*)
have *3*: ⊢ *bi*   *f* ⟶ *bf f*   **by** (*simp add*: *BiImpBf*)
from *1 2 3* **show** *?thesis* **using** *lift-imp-trans* **by** *fastforce*
qed

lemma *SBaImpBt*:
⊢   *sba*   *f* ∧ *finite* ⟶ □ *f*
proof −
have *1*: ⊢   *sba*   *f* = *bf*(□ *f*)   **by** (*rule SBaEqvBfBt*)
have *2*: ⊢ *bf*(□ *f*) ∧ *finite* ⟶ □ *f*   **by** (*rule BfElim*)
from *1 2* **show** *?thesis* **by** *fastforce*
qed

lemma *DiamondImpSDa*:
⊢   ◇ *f* ∧ *finite* ⟶   *sda*   *f*
using *AndFiniteImpDf SDaEqvDfDt* **by** *force*

lemma *DfImpSDa*:
⊢   *df*   *f* ⟶   *sda*   *f*
using *NowImpDiamond SDaEqvDtDf* **by** *fastforce*

lemma *BoxAndSChopImport*:
⊢ □ *h* ∧ *f* ⌢ *g* ⟶ *f* ⌢ (*h* ∧ *g*)
proof −
 have *1*: ⊢ *h* ⟶ *g* ⟶ (*h* ∧ *g*) **by** *auto*
 hence *2*: ⊢ □ *h* ⟶ □(*g* ⟶ (*h* ∧ *g*)) **by** (*rule ImpBoxRule*)
 have *3*: ⊢ □(*g* ⟶ (*h* ∧ *g*)) ⟶ *f* ⌢ *g* ⟶ *f* ⌢ (*h* ∧ *g*) **by** (*rule BoxSChopImpSChop*)
 from *2 3* **show** *?thesis* **by** *fastforce*
qed

lemma *SBaAndSChopImport*:
 ⊢   *sba f* ∧ *finite* ∧ (*g* ⌢ *g1*) ⟶ (*f* ∧ *g*) ⌢ (*f* ∧ *g1*)
proof −
 have *1*: ⊢ *sba f* ⟶ *bf f* **by** (*rule SBaImpBf*)
 have *2*: ⊢ *bf f* ∧ (*g* ⌢ *g1*) ⟶ (*f* ∧ *g*) ⌢ *g1* **by** (*rule BfAndSChopImport*)
 have *3*: ⊢ *sba f* ∧ *finite* ⟶ □ *f* **by** (*rule SBaImpBt*)
 have *4*: ⊢ □ *f* ∧ (*f* ∧ *g*) ⌢ *g1* ⟶ (*f* ∧ *g*) ⌢ (*f* ∧ *g1*) **by** (*rule BoxAndSChopImport*)
 from *1 2 3 4* **show** *?thesis* **by** *fastforce*
qed

lemma *BaAndSChopImport*:
 ⊢   *ba f* ∧ (*g* ⌢ *g1*) ⟶ (*f* ∧ *g*) ⌢ (*f* ∧ *g1*)
proof −
 have *1*: ⊢ *ba f* ⟶ *bi f* **by** (*rule BaImpBi*)
 have *2*: ⊢ *bi f* ∧ (*g* ⌢ *g1*) ⟶ (*f* ∧ *g*) ⌢ *g1* **by** (*rule BiAndSChopImport*)
 have *3*: ⊢ *ba f* ⟶ □ *f* **by** (*rule BaImpBt*)
 have *4*: ⊢ □ *f* ∧ (*f* ∧ *g*) ⌢ *g1* ⟶ (*f* ∧ *g*) ⌢ (*f* ∧ *g1*) **by** (*rule BoxAndSChopImport*)
 from *1 2 3 4* **show** *?thesis* **by** *fastforce*
qed

lemma *SChopAndCommute*:
 ⊢ *f* ⌢ (*g* ∧ *g1*) = *f* ⌢ (*g1* ∧ *g*)
proof −
 have *1*: ⊢ (*g* ∧ *g1*) = (*g1* ∧ *g*) **by** *auto*
 from *1* **show** *?thesis* **by** (*rule RightSChopEqvSChop*)
qed

lemma *SChopAndA*:
 ⊢ *f* ⌢ (*g* ∧ *g1*) ⟶ *f* ⌢ *g*
proof −
 have *1*: ⊢ (*g* ∧ *g1*) ⟶ *g* **by** *auto*
 from *1* **show** *?thesis* **by** (*rule RightSChopImpSChop*)
qed

lemma *SChopAndB*:
 ⊢ *f* ⌢ (*g* ∧ *g1*) ⟶ *f* ⌢ *g1*
proof −
 have *1*: ⊢ (*g* ∧ *g1*) ⟶ *g1* **by** *auto*
from *1* **show** *?thesis* **by** (*rule RightSChopImpSChop*)
qed

lemma *BoxStateAndSChopEqvSChop*:
⊢ (□ (*init w*) ∧ *finite* ∧ (*f* ⌢ *g*)) = ((□ (*init w*) ∧ *f*) ⌢ (□ (*init w*) ∧ *g*) ∧ *finite*)
proof −
 have 1: ⊢ □ (*init w*) = *sba*(□ (*init w*))
  by (*rule BoxStateEqvSBaBoxState*)
 have 2: ⊢ *sba*(□ (*init w*)) ∧ *finite* ∧ (*f* ⌢ *g*) ⟶ (□ (*init w*) ∧ *f*) ⌢ (□ (*init w*) ∧ *g*)
  by (*rule SBaAndSChopImport*)
 have 3: ⊢ □ (*init w*) ∧ *finite* ∧ (*f* ⌢ *g*) ⟶ (□ (*init w*) ∧ *f*) ⌢ (□ (*init w*) ∧ *g*)
  using 1 2 **by** *fastforce*
 have 11: ⊢ (□ (*init w*) ∧ *f*) ⌢ (□ (*init w*) ∧ *g*) ⟶ (□ (*init w*)) ⌢ (□ (*init w*) ∧ *g*)
  by (*rule AndSChopA*)
 have 12: ⊢ (□ (*init w*)) ⌢ (□ (*init w*) ∧ *g*) ⟶ (□ (*init w*)) ⌢ (□ (*init w*))
  by (*rule SChopAndA*)
 have 13: ⊢ (□ (*init w*)) ⌢ (□ (*init w*)) = □ (*init w*)
  by (*rule BoxStateSChopBoxEqvBox*)
 have 14: ⊢ (□ (*init w*) ∧ *f*) ⌢ (□ (*init w*) ∧ *g*) ⟶ *f* ⌢ (□ (*init w*) ∧ *g*)
  by (*rule AndSChopB*)
 have 15: ⊢ *f* ⌢ (□ (*init w*) ∧ *g*) ⟶ *f* ⌢ *g*
  by (*rule SChopAndB*)
 have 16: ⊢ (□ (*init w*) ∧ *f*) ⌢ (□ (*init w*) ∧ *g*) ⟶ □ (*init w*) ∧ (*f* ⌢ *g*)
  using 11 12 13 14 15 **by** *fastforce*
 from 3 16 **show** *?thesis* **by** *fastforce*
qed

lemma *DfEqvNotBfNot*:
 ⊢ *df f* = (¬(*bf* (¬ *f*)))
proof −
 have 1: ⊢ *bf* (¬ *f*) = (¬ (*df* (¬ ¬ *f*))) **by** (*simp add: bf-d-def*)
 hence 2: ⊢ *df* (¬ ¬ *f*) = (¬(*bf* (¬ *f*))) **by** *auto*
 have 3: ⊢ *f* = (¬ ¬ *f*) **by** *auto*
 hence 4: ⊢ *df f* = *df* (¬ ¬ *f*) **by** (*rule DfEqvDf*)
 from 2 4 **show** *?thesis* **by** *auto*
qed

lemma *SChopAndBoxImport*:
 ⊢ *f* ⌢ *g* ∧ □ *h* ⟶ *f* ⌢ (*g* ∧ *h*)
proof −
 have 1: ⊢ □ *h* ∧ *f* ⌢ *g* ⟶ *f* ⌢ (*h* ∧ *g*) **by** (*rule BoxAndSChopImport*)
 have 2: ⊢ *f* ⌢ (*h* ∧ *g*) = *f* ⌢ (*g* ∧ *h*) **by** (*rule SChopAndCommute*)
 from 1 2 **show** *?thesis* **by** *fastforce*
qed

lemma *AndSChopAndCommute*:
 ⊢ (*f* ∧ *g*) ⌢ (*f1* ∧ *g1*) = (*g* ∧ *f*) ⌢ (*g1* ∧ *f1*)
proof −
 have 1: ⊢ (*f* ∧ *g*) ⌢ (*f1* ∧ *g1*) = (*g* ∧ *f*) ⌢ (*f1* ∧ *g1*) **by** (*rule AndSChopCommute*)
 have 2: ⊢ (*g* ∧ *f*) ⌢ (*f1* ∧ *g1*) = (*g* ∧ *f*) ⌢ (*g1* ∧ *f1*) **by** (*rule SChopAndCommute*)
 from 1 2 **show** *?thesis* **by** *fastforce*
qed

lemma *SChopImpSChop*:
 assumes $\vdash f \longrightarrow f1$
       $\vdash g \longrightarrow g1$
 shows $\vdash f \frown g \longrightarrow f1 \frown g1$
 proof $-$
 have $1: \vdash f \longrightarrow f1$ **using** *assms* **by** *auto*
 hence $2: \vdash f \frown g \longrightarrow f1 \frown g$ **by** (*rule LeftSChopImpSChop*)
 have $3: \vdash g \longrightarrow g1$ **using** *assms* **by** *auto*
 hence $4: \vdash f1 \frown g \longrightarrow f1 \frown g1$ **by** (*rule RightSChopImpSChop*)
 from *2 4* **show** *?thesis* **by** *fastforce*
qed

lemma *SChopEqvSChop*:
 assumes $\vdash f = f1$
       $\vdash g = g1$
 shows $\vdash f \frown g = f1 \frown g1$
 proof $-$
 have $1: \vdash f = f1$ **using** *assms* **by** *auto*
 hence $2: \vdash f \frown g = f1 \frown g$ **by** (*rule LeftSChopEqvSChop*)
 have $3: \vdash g = g1$ **using** *assms* **by** *auto*
 hence $4: \vdash f1 \frown g = f1 \frown g1$ **by** (*rule RightSChopEqvSChop*)
 from *2 4* **show** *?thesis* **by** *fastforce*
qed

lemma *BoxSChopImpSChopBox*:
 $\vdash \ \Box h \longrightarrow f \frown g \longrightarrow f \frown (\Box h \land g)$
 proof $-$
 have $1: \vdash \Box h \longrightarrow \Box(g \longrightarrow \Box h \land g\ )$ **by** (*rule BoxImpBoxImpBox*)
 have $2: \vdash \Box(g \longrightarrow \Box h \land g\ ) \longrightarrow f \frown g \longrightarrow f \frown (\Box h \land g)$ **by** (*rule BoxSChopImpSChop*)
 from *1 2* **show** *?thesis* **by** *fastforce*
qed

lemma *NotChopEqvSYieldsNot*:
 $\vdash \ (\neg (f \frown g)) = f\, syields\ (\neg\ g)$
 proof $-$
 have $1: \vdash g = (\neg \neg\ g)$ **by** *auto*
 hence $2: \vdash f \frown g = f \frown (\neg \neg\ g)$ **by** (*rule RightSChopEqvSChop*)
 hence $3: \vdash (\neg (f \frown g)) = (\neg (f \frown (\neg \neg\ g)))$ **by** *auto*
 from *3* **show** *?thesis* **by** (*simp add*: *syields-d-def*)
qed

lemma *NotDfFalse*:
 $\vdash \ \neg (\ df\ \#False)$
 proof $-$
 have $1: \vdash (init\ \#True) \longrightarrow bf\ (init\ \#True)$ **by** (*rule StateImpBf*)
 hence $2: \vdash \#True \longrightarrow bf\ \#True$ **by** (*auto simp*: *bf-defs sum.case-eq-if*)
 have $3: \vdash \#True$ **by** *auto*

have $4 \colon \vdash bf \ \# True$ **using** *2 3 MP* **by** *auto*
hence $5 \colon \vdash \neg \ (\ df \ (\neg \ \# True))$ **by** (*simp add*: *bf-d-def*)
have $6 \colon \vdash (\neg \ \# True) = \ \# False$ **by** *auto*
hence $7 \colon \vdash \ df \ (\neg \ \# True) = \ df \ \# False$ **by** (*rule DfEqvDf*)
from *5 7* **show** *?thesis* **by** *auto*
qed

lemma *StateAndEmptySChop*:
$\vdash \ ((init \ w) \wedge \ empty \ ) \frown f = ((init \ w) \wedge f)$
proof $-$
have $1 \colon \vdash ((init \ w) \wedge \ empty \ ) \frown f = ((init \ w) \wedge \ empty \ \frown f)$ **by** (*rule StateAndSChop*)
have $2 \colon \vdash \ empty \ \frown f = f$ **by** (*rule EmptySChop*)
from *1 2* **show** *?thesis* **by** *fastforce*
qed

lemma *StateAndNextSChop*:
$\vdash \ ((init \ w) \wedge \bigcirc f) \frown g = ((init \ w) \wedge \bigcirc (f \frown g))$
proof $-$
have $1 \colon \vdash ((init \ w) \wedge \bigcirc f) \frown g = ((init \ w) \wedge (\bigcirc f) \frown g)$ **by** (*rule StateAndSChop*)
have $2 \colon \vdash (\bigcirc f) \frown g = \bigcirc (f \frown g)$ **by** (*rule NextSChop*)
from *1 2* **show** *?thesis* **by** *fastforce*
qed

lemma *NextStateAndSChop*:
$\vdash \ \bigcirc (((init \ w) \wedge f) \frown g) = (\bigcirc (init \ w) \wedge \bigcirc (f \frown g))$
proof $-$
have $1 \colon \vdash ((init \ w) \wedge f) \frown g = ((init \ w) \wedge f \frown g)$ **by** (*rule StateAndSChop*)
hence $2 \colon \vdash \bigcirc (((init \ w) \wedge f) \frown g) = \bigcirc ((init \ w) \wedge f \frown g)$ **by** (*rule NextEqvNext*)
have $3 \colon \vdash \bigcirc ((init \ w) \wedge f \frown g) = (\bigcirc (init \ w) \wedge \bigcirc (f \frown g))$ **by** (*rule NextAndEqvNextAndNext*)
from *2 3* **show** *?thesis* **by** *fastforce*
qed

lemma *StateSYieldsEqv*:
$\vdash \ ((init \ w) \longrightarrow (f \ syields \ g)) = ((init \ w) \wedge f) \ syields \ g$
proof $-$
have $1 \colon \vdash ((init \ w) \wedge f) \frown (\neg \ g) = ((init \ w) \wedge f \frown (\neg \ g))$ **by** (*rule StateAndSChop*)
hence $2 \colon \vdash ((init \ w) \longrightarrow \neg \ (f \frown (\neg \ g))) = (\neg \ (((init \ w) \wedge f) \frown (\neg \ g) \ ))$ **by** *auto*
from *2* **show** *?thesis* **by** (*simp add*: *syields-d-def*)
qed

lemma *StateAndDf*:
$\vdash \ ((init \ w) \wedge \ df \ f) = \ df \ ((init \ w) \wedge f)$
proof $-$
have $1 \colon \vdash ((init \ w) \wedge f) \frown \ \# True = \ ((init \ w) \wedge f \frown \ \# True)$ **by** (*rule StateAndSChop*)
from *1* **show** *?thesis* **by** (*metis df-d-def inteq-reflection*)
qed

lemma *DfNext*:

$\vdash \quad df (\bigcirc f) = \bigcirc (df \ f)$
proof −
have $1 : \vdash (\bigcirc f) \frown \# True = \bigcirc (f \frown \# True)$ **by** (*rule NextSChop*)
from 1 **show** *?thesis* **by** (*simp add: df-d-def*)
qed

lemma *DfNextState*:
$\vdash \quad df (\bigcirc (init \ w)) = \bigcirc (init \ w)$
proof −
have $1 : \vdash \ df (\bigcirc (init \ w)) = \bigcirc (df \ (init \ w))$ **by** (*rule DfNext*)
have $2 : \vdash \ df \ (init \ w) = (init \ w)$ **by** (*rule DfState*)
hence $3 : \vdash \bigcirc (df \ (init \ w)) = \bigcirc (init \ w)$ **by** (*rule NextEqvNext*)
from 1 3 **show** *?thesis* **by** *fastforce*
qed

lemma *DfStateAndNextStateEqvStateAndNextState*:
$\vdash df (init \ w \wedge \bigcirc (init \ w1)) = (init \ w \wedge \bigcirc (init \ w1))$
proof −
have $1 : \vdash (init \ w \wedge \bigcirc (init \ w1)) \frown \# True = (\ init \ w \wedge \bigcirc ((init \ w1) \frown \# True))$
using *StateAndNextSChop* **by** *blast*
have $2 : \vdash df (init \ w \wedge \bigcirc (init \ w1)) = (\ init \ w \wedge \bigcirc ((init \ w1) \frown \# True))$
using 1 **by** (*simp add: df-d-def*)
have $3 : \vdash df (init \ w1) = init \ w1$
by (*simp add: DfState*)
have $4 : \vdash skip \frown df (init \ w1) = skip \frown (init \ w1)$
by (*simp add: 3 RightSChopEqvSChop*)
have $5 : \vdash \bigcirc (df (init \ w1)) = \bigcirc (init \ w1)$
by (*simp add: 3 NextEqvNext*)
from 2 5 **show** *?thesis* **by** (*metis df-d-def int-eq*)
qed

lemma *StateImpBfGen*:
assumes $\vdash (init \ w) \longrightarrow f$
shows $\vdash (init \ w) \longrightarrow bf \ f$
proof −
have $1 : \vdash (init \ w) \longrightarrow f$ **using** *assms* **by** *auto*
hence $2 : \vdash \neg \ f \longrightarrow \neg \ (init \ w)$ **by** *auto*
hence $3 : \vdash \ df \ (\neg \ f) \longrightarrow \ df \ (\neg \ (init \ w))$ **by** (*rule DfImpDf*)
hence $4 : \vdash \ df \ (\neg \ f) \longrightarrow \ df \ (init \ (\neg w))$ **by** (*metis Initprop(2) inteq-reflection*)
have $5 : \vdash \ df \ (init \ (\neg \ w)) = \ (init \ (\neg \ w))$ **by** (*rule DfState*)
have $6 : \vdash \ df \ (\neg \ f) \longrightarrow \neg \ (init \ w)$ **using** 4 5 **using** *Initprop(2)* **by** *fastforce*
hence $7 : \vdash (init \ w) \longrightarrow \neg \ (\ df \ (\neg \ f))$ **by** *auto*
from 7 **show** *?thesis* **by** (*simp add: bf-d-def*)
qed

lemma *SChopAndNotSChopImp*:
$\vdash \ f \frown g \wedge \neg \ (f \frown g1) \longrightarrow f \frown (g \wedge \neg \ g1)$
proof −
have $1 : \vdash g \longrightarrow (g \wedge \neg \ g1) \vee \ g1$ **by** *auto*

hence 2 : ⊢ $f \frown g \longrightarrow f \frown ((g \land \neg\ g1) \lor\ g1)$ **by** (*rule RightSChopImpSChop*)
have 3 : ⊢ $f \frown ((g \land \neg\ g1) \lor\ g1) \longrightarrow (f \frown (g \land \neg\ g1)) \lor\ (f \frown g1)$ **by** (*rule SChopOrImp*)
have 4 : ⊢ $f \frown g \longrightarrow f \frown (g \land \neg\ g1) \lor\ f \frown g1$ **using** 2 3 MP **by** *fastforce*
from 4 **show** *?thesis* **by** *auto*
qed

lemma *SChopAndSYieldsImp*:
⊢ $f \frown g \land f\ syields\ g1 \longrightarrow f \frown (g \land g1)$
proof −
have 1 : ⊢ $g \longrightarrow (g \land g1) \lor\ \neg\ g1$ **by** *auto*
hence 2 : ⊢ $f \frown g \longrightarrow f \frown ((g \land\ g1) \lor\ \neg\ g1)$ **by** (*rule RightSChopImpSChop*)
have 3 : ⊢ $f \frown ((g \land\ g1) \lor\ \neg\ g1) \longrightarrow (f \frown (g \land\ g1)) \lor\ (f \frown (\neg\ g1))$ **by** (*rule SChopOrImp*)
have 4 : ⊢ $f \frown g \longrightarrow f \frown (g \land\ g1) \lor\ f \frown (\neg\ g1)$ **using** 2 3 MP **by** *fastforce*
hence 5 : ⊢ $f \frown g \land \neg\ (f \frown (\neg\ g1)) \longrightarrow f \frown (g \land g1)$ **by** *auto*
from 5 **show** *?thesis* **by** (*simp add*: *syields-d-def*)
qed

lemma *SChopAndSYieldsMP*:
⊢ $f \frown g \land f\ syields\ (g \longrightarrow g1) \longrightarrow f \frown g1$
proof −
have 1 : ⊢ $f \frown g \land f\ syields\ (g \longrightarrow g1) \longrightarrow f \frown (g \land (g \longrightarrow g1))$ **by** (*rule SChopAndSYieldsImp*)
have 2 : ⊢ $g \land (g \longrightarrow g1) \longrightarrow g1$ **by** *auto*
hence 3 : ⊢ $f \frown (g \land (g \longrightarrow g1)) \longrightarrow f \frown g1$ **by** (*rule RightSChopImpSChop*)
from 1 3 **show** *?thesis* **by** *fastforce*
qed

lemma *OrSYieldsImp*:
⊢ $(f \lor\ f1)\ syields\ g = ((f\ syields\ g) \land (f1\ syields\ g))$
proof −
have 1 : ⊢ $((f \lor\ f1) \frown (\neg\ g)) = ((f \frown (\neg\ g)) \lor\ (f1 \frown (\neg\ g)))$ **by** (*rule OrSChopEqv*)
hence 2 : ⊢ $(\neg\ ((f \lor\ f1) \frown (\neg\ g))) = (\neg\ (f \frown (\neg\ g)) \land \neg(f1 \frown (\neg\ g)))$ **by** *auto*
from 2 **show** *?thesis* **by** (*simp add*: *syields-d-def*)
qed

lemma *LeftSYieldsImpSYields*:
assumes ⊢ $f \longrightarrow f1$
shows ⊢ $(f1\ syields\ g) \longrightarrow (f\ syields\ g)$
proof −
have 1 : ⊢ $f \longrightarrow f1$ **using** *assms* **by** *auto*
hence 2 : ⊢ $f \frown (\neg\ g) \longrightarrow f1 \frown (\neg\ g)$ **by** (*rule LeftSChopImpSChop*)
hence 3 : ⊢ $\neg\ (f1 \frown (\neg\ g)) \longrightarrow \neg\ (f \frown (\neg\ g))$ **by** *auto*
from 3 **show** *?thesis* **by** (*simp add*: *syields-d-def*)
qed

lemma *LeftSYieldsEqvSYields*:
assumes ⊢ $f = f1$
shows ⊢ $(f\ syields\ g) = (f1\ syields\ g)$
proof −
have 1 : ⊢ $f = f1$ **using** *assms* **by** *auto*
hence 2 : ⊢ $f \frown (\neg\ g) = f1 \frown (\neg\ g)$ **by** (*rule LeftSChopEqvSChop*)

hence *3*: ⊢ (¬ (f⌢ (¬ g))) = (¬ (f1⌢ (¬ g))) **by** *auto*
from *3* **show** *?thesis* **by** (*simp add*: *syields-d-def*)
qed

14.6   Properties of SFin

lemma *SFinEqvTrueSChopAndEmpty*:
 ⊢ *sfin f = #True⌢(f ∧ empty)*
proof −
 have *01*: ⊢ *sfin f* = (¬ *fin* (¬ *f*))
  by (*simp add*: *sfin-d-def*)
 have *02*:⊢ (¬ *fin* (¬ *f*)) = (¬ (□ (*empty* ⟶ ¬ *f*)))
 by (*simp add*: *fin-d-def*)
 have *03*:⊢ (¬ (□ (*empty* ⟶ ¬ *f*))) = ◇(¬(*empty* ⟶ ¬ *f*))
  by (*simp add*: *always-d-def*)
 have *04*: ⊢ ¬(*empty* ⟶ ¬ *f*) = (*empty* ∧ *f*)
  by *auto*
 have *05*:⊢ ◇(¬(*empty* ⟶ ¬ *f*)) = ◇ (*empty* ∧ *f*)
  using *04*
  using *inteq-reflection* **by** *fastforce*
 from *01 02 03 05* **show** *?thesis*
 by (*metis SChopAndCommute TrueSChopEqvDiamond inteq-reflection*)
qed

lemma *DiamondSFin*:
 ⊢ ◇(*sfin w*) = *sfin w*
by (*metis* (*no-types*, *lifting*) *ChopAssoc FiniteChopFiniteEqvFinite FiniteOr FiniteOrInfinite*
   InfEqvNotFinite OrFiniteInf SFinEqvTrueSChopAndEmpty finite-d-def int-eq-true
   int-simps(*21*) *inteq-reflection schop-d-def sometimes-d-def*)

lemma *SChopSFinExportA*:
 ⊢ *f⌢(g ∧ sfin w)* ⟶ *sfin w*
using *DiamondSFin*
by (*metis SChopAndB SChopImpDiamond inteq-reflection lift-imp-trans*)

lemma *SFinImpBox*:
 ⊢ *sfin w* ⟶ □(*sfin w*)
by
(*metis* (*mono-tags*, *lifting*) *DiamondFin always-d-def intI int-eq int-simps*(*4*) *sfin-d-def unl-lift2*)

lemma *SFinAndSChopImport*:
 ⊢ (*sfin w*) ∧ (*f⌢g*) ⟶ *f⌢((sfin w) ∧ g)*
proof −
 have *1*: ⊢ *sfin w* ⟶ □(*sfin w*) **by** (*rule SFinImpBox*)
 hence *2*: ⊢ *sfin w* ∧ (*f⌢g*) ⟶ □(*sfin w*) ∧ (*f⌢g*) **by** *auto*
 have *3*: ⊢ □(*sfin w*) ∧ (*f⌢g*) ⟶ *f⌢((sfin w) ∧ g*) **using** *BoxAndSChopImport* **by** *blast*
 from *2 3* **show** *?thesis* **using** *MP* **by** *fastforce*
qed

lemma *SFinAndSChop*:
⊢ $(f \frown (g \land \text{sfin } w)) = (\text{sfin } w \land f \frown g)$
using *SFinAndSChopImport SChopSFinExportA SChopAndA SChopAndCommute*
by *fastforce*

lemma *SChopAndEmptyEqvEmptySChopEmpty*:
⊢ $((f \frown g) \land \text{empty}) = (f \land \text{empty}) \frown (g \land \text{empty})$
by (*auto simp*: *empty-defs schop-defs sum.case-eq-if*)

lemma *SFinAndEmpty*:
⊢ $((\text{sfin } w) \land \text{empty}) = (w \land \text{empty})$
proof −
 have 1: ⊢ $((\text{sfin } w) \land \text{empty}) = (\#\text{True} \frown (w \land \text{empty}) \land \text{empty})$
     using *SFinEqvTrueSChopAndEmpty* **by** *fastforce*
 have 2: ⊢ $(\#\text{True} \frown (w \land \text{empty}) \land \text{empty}) = ((\#\text{True} \land \text{empty}) \frown (w \land \text{empty}))$
     using *SChopAndEmptyEqvEmptySChopEmpty*
      by (*metis* (*no-types, lifting*) *Prop11 Prop12 inteq-reflection lift-and-com*)
 have 3: ⊢ $(\#\text{True} \land \text{empty}) \frown (w \land \text{empty}) = (\text{empty} \frown (w \land \text{empty}))$
     using *LeftSChopEqvSChop* **by** *fastforce*
 have 4: ⊢ $(\text{empty} \frown (w \land \text{empty})) = (w \land \text{empty})$
     using *EmptySChop* **by** *blast*
 from 1 2 3 4 **show** *?thesis* **by** *fastforce*
qed

lemma *AndSFinEqvSChopAndEmpty*:
⊢ $((f \land \text{finite}) \land \text{sfin } g) = f \frown (g \land \text{empty})$
proof −
 have 1: ⊢ $((f \land \text{finite}) \land \text{sfin } g) = (f \frown \text{empty} \land \text{sfin } g)$
     using *SChopEmpty*
     by (*metis* (*no-types, lifting*) *DiamondEmptyEqvFinite FiniteImpAnd Prop10 SChopImpDiamond*
        inteq-reflection lift-and-com)
 have 2: ⊢ $(\text{sfin } g \land f \frown \text{empty}) = (f \frown (\text{empty} \land \text{sfin } g))$
     using *SFinAndSChop* **by** *fastforce*
 have 3: ⊢ $(\text{empty} \land \text{sfin } g) = (\text{sfin } g \land \text{empty})$
     by *auto*
 have 4: ⊢ $(\text{sfin } g \land \text{empty}) = (g \land \text{empty})$
     using *SFinAndEmpty* **by** *metis*
 have 5: ⊢ $(\text{empty} \land \text{sfin } g) = (g \land \text{empty})$
     using 3 4 **by** *auto*
 hence 6: ⊢ $f \frown (\text{empty} \land \text{sfin } g) = f \frown (g \land \text{empty})$
     using *RightSChopEqvSChop* **by** *blast*
 from 1 2 5 **show** *?thesis* **by** (*metis inteq-reflection lift-and-com*)
qed

lemma *AndSFinEqvSChopStateAndEmpty*:
⊢ $((f \land \text{finite}) \land \text{sfin } (\text{init } w)) = f \frown ((\text{init } w) \land \text{empty})$
using *AndSFinEqvSChopAndEmpty* **by** *blast*

lemma *DiamondEqvEmptyOrNextDiamond*:

$\vdash \Diamond f = (f \lor \bigcirc(\Diamond f))$
proof $-$
 have *1*: $\vdash \Box (\neg f) = ((\neg f) \land wnext(\Box (\neg f)))$
 by (*simp add*: *BoxEqvAndWnextBox*)
 have *2*: $\vdash (\neg \Diamond f) = ((\neg f) \land wnext(\Box (\neg f)))$
 using *1* **by** (*simp add*: *always-d-def*)
 have *3*: $\vdash \Diamond f = (f \lor \neg(wnext(\Box (\neg f))))$
 using *2* **by** *auto*
 have *4*: $\vdash (\neg(wnext(\Box (\neg f)))) = \bigcirc(\neg\Box(\neg f))$
 by (*simp add*: *wnext-d-def*)
 have *5*: $\vdash \neg\Box(\neg f) = \Diamond f$
 by (*simp add*: *always-d-def*)
 have *6*: $\vdash \bigcirc(\neg\Box(\neg f)) = \bigcirc(\Diamond f)$
 using *5* **using** *inteq-reflection* **by** *force*
 from *3 4 6* **show** *?thesis* **by** *fastforce*
qed

lemma *SFinStateEqvStateAndEmptyOrNextSFinState*:
$\vdash sfin (init\ w) = (((init\ w) \land empty) \lor \bigcirc(sfin (init\ w)))$
proof $-$
 have *01*: $\vdash sfin (init\ w) = \#True \frown ((init\ w) \land empty)$
 by (*simp add*: *SFinEqvTrueSChopAndEmpty*)
 have *02*: $\vdash \#True \frown ((init\ w) \land empty) = \Diamond ((init\ w) \land empty)$
 by (*simp add*: *TrueSChopEqvDiamond*)
 have *03*: $\vdash \Diamond ((init\ w) \land empty) = (((init\ w) \land empty) \lor \bigcirc(sfin (init\ w)))$
   using *DiamondEqvEmptyOrNextDiamond 02 01* **by** (*metis inteq-reflection*)
 from *01 02 03* **show** *?thesis* **by** *fastforce*
qed

lemma *SFinSChopEqvOr*:
 $\vdash (sfin (init\ w)) \frown f = (((init\ w) \land f) \lor \bigcirc((sfin (init\ w)) \frown f))$
proof $-$
 have *1*: $\vdash sfin (init\ w) = (((init\ w) \land empty) \lor \bigcirc(sfin (init\ w)))$
     by (*rule SFinStateEqvStateAndEmptyOrNextSFinState*)
 hence *2*: $\vdash (sfin (init\ w)) \frown f = (((init\ w) \land empty) \lor \bigcirc(sfin (init\ w))) \frown f$
     by (*rule LeftSChopEqvSChop*)
 have *3*: $\vdash (((init\ w) \land empty) \lor \bigcirc (sfin (init\ w))) \frown f$
         $= (((init\ w) \land empty) \frown f \lor (\bigcirc (sfin (init\ w))) \frown f)$
     by (*rule OrSChopEqv*)
 have *4*: $\vdash ((init\ w) \land empty) \frown f = ((init\ w) \land f)$
     by (*rule StateAndEmptySChop*)
 have *5*: $\vdash (\bigcirc (sfin (init\ w))) \frown f = \bigcirc((sfin (init\ w)) \frown f)$
     by (*rule NextSChop*)
 from *2 3 4 5* **show** *?thesis* **by** *fastforce*
qed

lemma *SFinSChopEqvDiamond*:
$\vdash (sfin (init\ w)) \frown f = \Diamond ((init\ w) \land f)$
proof $-$

484

have 1: ⊢ (*sfin* (*init w*)) = (#*True*⌢((*init w*) ∧ *empty*))
  by (*simp add*: *SFinEqvTrueSChopAndEmpty*)
hence 2: ⊢ (*sfin* (*init w*))⌢*f* = (#*True*⌢((*init w*) ∧ *empty*))⌢*f*
    by (*rule LeftSChopEqvSChop*)
have 3: ⊢ #*True*⌢(((*init w*) ∧ *empty*)⌢*f*) = (#*True*⌢((*init w*) ∧ *empty*))⌢*f*
    by (*rule SChopAssoc*)
have 4: ⊢ #*True*⌢(((*init w*) ∧ *empty*)⌢*f*)= ◇ (((*init w*) ∧ *empty*)⌢*f*)
    using *TrueSChopEqvDiamond* **by** *blast*
have 5: ⊢ ((*init w*) ∧ *empty*)⌢*f* = ((*init w*) ∧ *f*)
    using *StateAndEmptySChop* **by** *blast*
hence 6: ⊢ ◇ (((*init w*) ∧ *empty*)⌢*f*) = ◇ ((*init w*) ∧ *f*)
    by (*rule DiamondEqvDiamond*)
from *2 3 4 6* **show** *?thesis* **by** *fastforce*
qed

lemma *SFinSYields*:
⊢   (*sfin* (*init w*)) *syields* (*init w*)
proof −
 have 1: ⊢ (*sfin* (*init w*))⌢ (¬(*init w*)) = ◇((*init w*) ∧ ¬(*init w*))
  by (*rule SFinSChopEqvDiamond*)
 have 2: ⊢ ¬(◇((*init w*) ∧ ¬ (*init w*))) **by** (*rule NotDiamondAndNot*)
 have 3: ⊢ ¬ ((*sfin* (*init w*))⌢ (¬ (*init w*))) **using** *1 2* **by** *fastforce*
 from *3* **show** *?thesis* **by** (*simp add*: *syields-d-def*)
qed

lemma *AndFiniteImpAndSFinStateOrSFinNotState*:
⊢ *f* ∧ *finite* ⟶ (*f* ∧ *sfin* (*init w*)) ∨ (*f* ∧ *sfin* (¬ (*init w*)))
by (*simp add*: *finite-defs sfin-defs Valid-def sum.case-eq-if*)

lemma *AndSFinSChopEqvStateAndSChop*:
⊢   (*f* ∧ *sfin* (*init w*))⌢ *g* = *f*⌢ ((*init w*) ∧ *g*)
proof −
 have 1: ⊢ (*sfin* (*init w*)) *syields* (*init w*)
     by (*rule SFinSYields*)
 have 2: ⊢ *f* ∧ *sfin* (*init w*) ⟶ *sfin* (*init w*)
     by *auto*
 hence 3: ⊢ (*sfin* (*init w*)) *syields* (*init w*) ⟶
         (*f* ∧ *sfin* (*init w*)) *syields* (*init w*)
     using *LeftSYieldsImpSYields* **by** *metis*
 have 4: ⊢ (*f* ∧ *sfin* (*init w*)) *syields* (*init w*)
     using *1 3 MP* **by** *fastforce*
 have 5: ⊢ (*f* ∧ *sfin* (*init w*))⌢ *g* ∧ (*f* ∧ *sfin* (*init w*)) *syields* (*init w*)
         ⟶ (*f* ∧ *sfin* (*init w*))⌢ (*g* ∧ (*init w*))
     by (*rule SChopAndSYieldsImp*)
 have 6: ⊢ (*f* ∧ *sfin* (*init w*))⌢ *g* ⟶ (*f* ∧ *sfin* (*init w*))⌢ (*g* ∧ (*init w*))
     using *4 5* **by** *fastforce*
 have 7: ⊢ (*f* ∧ *sfin* (*init w*))⌢ (*g* ∧ (*init w*)) ⟶ *f*⌢ (*g* ∧ (*init w*))
     by (*rule AndSChopA*)
 have 8: ⊢ *g* ∧ (*init w*) ⟶ (*init w*) ∧ *g*
     by *auto*

hence 9: $\vdash f \frown (g \wedge (init\ w)) \longrightarrow f \frown ((init\ w) \wedge g)$
    by (*rule RightSChopImpSChop*)
have 10: $\vdash (f \wedge sfin\ (init\ w)) \frown g \longrightarrow f \frown ((init\ w) \wedge g)$
    using 6 7 9 **by** *fastforce*
have 11: $\vdash (f \wedge finite) \longrightarrow (f \wedge sfin\ (init\ w)) \vee (f \wedge sfin\ (\neg\ (init\ w)))$
    using *AndFiniteImpAndSFinStateOrSFinNotState* **by** *blast*
hence 12: $\vdash f \frown ((init\ w) \wedge g) \longrightarrow$
      $((f \wedge sfin\ (init\ w)) \vee (f \wedge sfin\ (\neg\ (init\ w)))) \frown ((init\ w) \wedge g)$
    by (*metis FiniteImp LeftChopImpChop inteq-reflection schop-d-def*)
have 13: $\vdash ((f \wedge sfin\ (init\ w)) \vee (f \wedge sfin\ (\neg\ (init\ w)))) \frown ((init\ w) \wedge g)$
      $=$
      $((f \wedge sfin\ (init\ w)) \frown ((init\ w) \wedge g) \vee (f \wedge sfin\ (\neg\ (init\ w))) \frown ((init\ w) \wedge g))$
    by (*rule OrSChopEqv*)
have 14: $\vdash (f \wedge sfin\ (init\ (\neg\ w))) \frown ((init\ w) \wedge g) \longrightarrow \Diamond ((init\ (\neg\ w)) \wedge ((init\ w) \wedge g))$
    using *SFinSChopEqvDiamond*
    by (*metis SChopImpSChop Prop12 int-iffD1 inteq-reflection lift-and-com*)
have 141: $\vdash \neg (\Diamond ((init\ (\neg\ w)) \wedge ((init\ w) \wedge g))) \longrightarrow$
      $\neg ((f \wedge sfin\ (init\ (\neg\ w))) \frown ((init\ w) \wedge g))$
    using 14 **by** *fastforce*
have 15: $\vdash \neg (\Diamond ((init\ (\neg\ w)) \wedge ((init\ w) \wedge g)))$
    using *NotDiamondAndNot Initprop*(2) **by** (*auto simp: sometimes-defs init-defs sum.case-eq-if*)
have 151: $\vdash \neg ((f \wedge sfin\ (init\ (\neg\ w))) \frown ((init\ w) \wedge g))$
    using 15 141 **by** *fastforce*
have 1511: $\vdash (f \wedge sfin\ (\neg\ (init\ w))) \frown ((init\ w) \wedge g) \longrightarrow \#False$
    using 151 **by** (*metis Initprop*(2) *int-simps*(14) *inteq-reflection*)
have 152: $\vdash (f \wedge sfin(init\ w)) \frown ((init\ w) \wedge g) \vee (f \wedge sfin\ (\neg(init\ w))) \frown ((init\ w) \wedge g) \longrightarrow$
      $(f \wedge sfin\ (init\ w)) \frown ((init\ w) \wedge g)$
    using 1511 **by** *fastforce*
have 16: $\vdash f \frown ((init\ w) \wedge g) \longrightarrow (f \wedge sfin\ (init\ w)) \frown ((init\ w) \wedge g)$
    using 12 13 152 **by** *fastforce*
have 17: $\vdash (f \wedge sfin\ (init\ w)) \frown ((init\ w) \wedge g) \longrightarrow (f \wedge sfin\ (init\ w)) \frown g$
    by (*rule SChopAndB*)
have 18: $\vdash f \frown ((init\ w) \wedge g) \longrightarrow (f \wedge sfin\ (init\ w)) \frown g$
    using 16 17 **by** *fastforce*
from 10 18 **show** *?thesis* **by** *fastforce*
qed

lemma *DfAndSFinEqvSChopState*:
$\vdash df\ (f \wedge sfin\ (init\ w)) = f \frown (init\ w)$
proof $-$
have 1: $\vdash (f \wedge sfin(init\ w)) \frown \#True = f \frown ((init\ w) \wedge \#True)$
    by (*rule AndSFinSChopEqvStateAndSChop*)
have 2: $\vdash ((init\ w) \wedge \#True) = (init\ w)$ **by** *auto*
hence 3: $\vdash (f \frown ((init\ w) \wedge \#True)) = (f \frown (init\ w))$ **by** (*rule RightSChopEqvSChop*)
have 4: $\vdash (f \wedge sfin\ (init\ w)) \frown \#True = f \frown (init\ w)$ **using** 1 3 **by** *auto*
from 4 **show** *?thesis* **by** (*simp add: df-d-def*)
qed

lemma *SFinNotStateEqvNotSFinState*:

486

$\vdash$ *finite* $\longrightarrow$ $(\neg(sfin \ (init \ w)) \ ) = (sfin \ (init \ (\neg \ w)) \ )$
using *SFinEqvTrueSChopAndEmpty*
by (*metis InitAndEmptyEqvAndEmpty SFinprop*(*3*) *inteq-reflection*)

lemma *BfImpSFinEqvSYieldsState*:
$\vdash \ \ bf \ (f \ \longrightarrow \ sfin \ (init \ w)) = f \ syields \ (init \ w)$
proof $-$
 have *1*: $\vdash \ \ df \ (f \ \wedge \ sfin \ (init \ (\neg \ w))) = f \frown \ \ (init \ (\neg \ w))$
    by (*rule DfAndSFinEqvSChopState*)
 have *2*: $\vdash$ *finite* $\longrightarrow (f \ \wedge \ sfin(init \ (\neg \ w))) \ = (f \ \wedge \ \neg(sfin(init \ w)))$
    using *SFinNotStateEqvNotSFinState* **by** *fastforce*
 have *3*: $\vdash (f \ \wedge \ \neg \ (sfin(init \ w))) = (\neg \ (f \ \longrightarrow \ sfin \ (init \ w)))$
    by *auto*
 have *4*: $\vdash$ *finite* $\longrightarrow (f \ \wedge \ sfin(init \ (\neg \ w))) = (\neg \ (f \ \longrightarrow \ sfin(init \ w)))$
    using *2 3* **by** *fastforce*
 hence *5*: $\vdash \ df \ (f \ \wedge \ sfin \ \ (init \ (\neg \ w))) = \ df \ (\neg \ (f \ \longrightarrow \ sfin(init \ w)))$
    by (*metis DfEqvNotBfNot FiniteImpAnd df-d-def inteq-reflection schop-d-def*)
 have *6*: $\vdash \ df \ (\neg \ (f \ \longrightarrow \ sfin \ \ (init \ w))) = (\neg(\ bf \ (f \ \longrightarrow \ sfin(init \ w))))$
    by (*rule DfNotEqvNotBf*)
 have *7*: $\vdash \neg \ (bf \ (f \ \longrightarrow \ sfin \ \ (init \ w))) = f \frown(init \ (\neg \ w))$
    using *1 5 6 Initprop* **by** *fastforce*
 hence *8*: $\vdash bf \ (f \ \longrightarrow \ sfin \ \ (init \ w)) = (\neg \ (f \ \frown \ (\neg \ \ (init \ w))))$
    by (*metis Initprop*(*2*) *int-eq int-simps*(*7*))
 from *8* **show** *?thesis* **by** (*simp add*: *syields-d-def*)
qed

lemma *StateImpSYields*:
 assumes $\vdash \ \ (init \ w) \ \wedge \ f \ \longrightarrow \ sfin \ \ (init \ w1)$
 shows $\vdash (init \ w) \ \longrightarrow \ (f \ syields \ \ (init \ w1))$
proof $-$
 have *1*: $\vdash (init \ w) \ \wedge \ f \ \longrightarrow \ sfin \ \ (init \ w1)$ **using** *assms* **by** *auto*
 hence *2*: $\vdash (init \ w) \ \longrightarrow \ (f \ \longrightarrow \ sfin \ \ (init \ w1))$ **by** *auto*
 hence *3*: $\vdash (init \ w) \ \longrightarrow \ bf \ (f \ \longrightarrow \ sfin \ \ (init \ w1))$
  using *StateImpBfGen* **by** *auto*
 have *4*: $\vdash bf \ (f \ \longrightarrow \ sfin \ \ (init \ w1)) = f \ syields \ \ (init \ w1)$
    by (*rule BfImpSFinEqvSYieldsState*)
 from *3 4* **show** *?thesis* **by** *fastforce*
qed

lemma *StateAndSYieldsImpSYields*:
 assumes $\vdash \ \ (init \ w) \ \wedge \ f \ \longrightarrow \ f1$
 shows $\vdash (init \ w) \ \wedge \ (f1 \ syields \ g) \ \longrightarrow \ (f \ syields \ g)$
proof $-$
 have *1*: $\vdash (init \ w) \ \wedge \ f \ \longrightarrow \ f1$ **using** *assms* **by** *auto*
 hence *2*: $\vdash (init \ w) \ \wedge \ (f \frown \ (\neg \ g)) \ \longrightarrow \ f1 \frown \ (\neg \ g)$ **by** (*rule StateAndSChopImpSChopRule*)
 hence *3*: $\vdash (init \ w) \ \wedge \ \neg \ (f1 \frown \ (\neg \ g)) \ \longrightarrow \ \neg \ (f \frown \ (\neg \ g))$ **by** *auto*
 from *3* **show** *?thesis* **by** (*simp add*: *syields-d-def*)
qed

lemma *AndSYieldsA*:
⊢ *f syields g* ⟶ (*f* ∧ *f1*) *syields g*
proof −
have *1*: ⊢ *f* ∧ *f1* ⟶ *f* **by** *auto*
from *1* **show** *?thesis* **by** (*rule LeftSYieldsImpSYields*)
qed

lemma *AndSYieldsB*:
⊢ *f1 syields g* ⟶ (*f* ∧ *f1*) *syields g*
proof −
have *1*: ⊢ *f* ∧ *f1* ⟶ *f1* **by** *auto*
from *1* **show** *?thesis* **by** (*rule LeftSYieldsImpSYields*)
qed

lemma *RightSYieldsImpSYields*:
assumes ⊢ *g* ⟶ *g1*
shows ⊢ (*f syields g*) ⟶ (*f syields g1*)
proof −
have *1*: ⊢ *g* ⟶ *g1* **using** *assms* **by** *auto*
hence *2*: ⊢ ¬ *g1* ⟶ ¬ *g* **by** *auto*
hence *3*: ⊢ *f* ⌢ (¬ *g1*) ⟶ *f* ⌢ (¬ *g*) **by** (*rule RightSChopImpSChop*)
hence *4*: ⊢ ¬ (*f* ⌢ (¬ *g*)) ⟶ ¬ (*f* ⌢ (¬ *g1*)) **by** *auto*
from *4* **show** *?thesis* **by** (*simp add*: *syields-d-def*)
qed

lemma *RightSYieldsEqvSYields*:
assumes ⊢ *g* = *g1*
shows ⊢ (*f syields g*) = (*f syields g1*)
proof −
have *1*: ⊢ *g* = *g1* **using** *assms* **by** *auto*
hence *2*: ⊢ (¬ *g*) = (¬ *g1*) **by** *auto*
hence *3*: ⊢ *f* ⌢ (¬ *g*) = *f* ⌢ (¬ *g1*) **by** (*rule RightSChopEqvSChop*)
hence *4*: ⊢ (¬ (*f* ⌢ (¬ *g*))) = (¬ (*f* ⌢ (¬ *g1*))) **by** *auto*
from *4* **show** *?thesis* **by** (*simp add*: *syields-d-def*)
qed

lemma *BoxImpSYields*:
⊢ □ *g* ⟶ *f syields g*
proof −
have *1*: ⊢ *f* ⌢ (¬ *g*) ⟶ ◇(¬ *g*) **by** (*rule SChopImpDiamond*)
hence *2*: ⊢ ¬ (◇(¬ *g*)) ⟶ ¬ (*f* ⌢ (¬ *g*)) **by** *auto*
from *2* **show** *?thesis* **by** (*simp add*: *syields-d-def always-d-def*)
qed

lemma *BoxEqvTrueSYields*:
⊢ □ *f* = #*True syields f*
proof −
have *1*: ⊢ #*True* ⌢ (¬ *f*) = ◇ (¬ *f*) **by** (*rule TrueSChopEqvDiamond*)
hence *2*: ⊢ (¬ (#*True* ⌢ (¬ *f*))) = (¬(◇ (¬ *f*))) **by** *auto*
have *3*: ⊢ □ *f* = (¬ (◇ (¬ *f*))) **by** (*simp add*: *always-d-def*)

have 4: ⊢ □ f = (¬ (#True ⌢ (¬ f))) **using** 2 3 **by** *fastforce*
from 4 **show** ?thesis **by** (*simp add*: *syields-d-def*)
qed

lemma *SYieldsGen*:
assumes ⊢ g
shows ⊢ f syields g
proof −
 have 1: ⊢ g **using** *assms* **by** *auto*
 hence 2: ⊢ □ g **by** (*rule BoxGen*)
 have 3: ⊢ □ g ⟶ f syields g **by** (*rule BoxImpSYields*)
 from 2 3 **show** ?thesis **using** *MP* **by** *fastforce*
qed

lemma *SYieldsAndSYieldsEqvSYieldsAnd*:
 ⊢ ((f syields g) ∧ (f syields g1)) = f syields (g ∧ g1)
proof −
have 1: ⊢ f ⌢ (¬ g ∨ ¬ g1) = ((f ⌢ (¬ g)) ∨ (f ⌢ (¬ g1))) **by** (*rule SChopOrEqv*)
hence 2: ⊢ ((f ⌢ (¬ g)) ∨ (f ⌢ (¬ g1))) = f ⌢ (¬ g ∨ ¬ g1) **by** *auto*
have 3: ⊢ (¬ g ∨ ¬ g1) = (¬ (g ∧ g1)) **by** *auto*
hence 4: ⊢ f ⌢ (¬ g ∨ ¬ g1) = f ⌢ (¬ (g ∧ g1)) **by** (*rule RightSChopEqvSChop*)
have 5: ⊢ (f ⌢ (¬ g)) ∨ (f ⌢ (¬ g1)) = f ⌢ (¬ (g ∧ g1)) **using** 2 4 **by** *fastforce*
hence 6: ⊢ (¬ (f ⌢ (¬ g)) ∧ ¬ (f ⌢ (¬ g1))) = (¬ (f ⌢ (¬ (g ∧ g1))))
 by (*auto simp*: *schop-defs sum.case-eq-if*)
from 6 **show** ?thesis **by** (*simp add*: *syields-d-def*)
qed

lemma *SYieldsAndSYieldsImpAndSYieldsAnd*:
 ⊢ (f syields g) ∧ (f1 syields g1) ⟶ (f ∧ f1) syields (g ∧ g1)
proof −
have 1: ⊢ f syields g ⟶ (f ∧ f1) syields g
    by (*rule AndSYieldsA*)
have 2: ⊢ f1 syields g1 ⟶ (f ∧ f1) syields g1
    by (*rule AndSYieldsB*)
have 3: ⊢ ((f ∧ f1) syields g ∧ (f ∧ f1) syields g1) = (f ∧ f1) syields (g ∧ g1)
    by (*rule SYieldsAndSYieldsEqvSYieldsAnd*)
 from 1 2 3 **show** ?thesis **by** *fastforce*
qed

lemma *SYieldsSYieldsEqvSChopSYields*:
 ⊢ f syields (g syields h) = (f ⌢ g) syields h
proof −
have 1: ⊢ f ⌢ (g ⌢ (¬ h)) = (f ⌢ g) ⌢ (¬ h)   **by** (*rule SChopAssoc*)
hence 2: ⊢ f ⌢ (g ⌢ (¬ h)) = (f ⌢ g) ⌢ (¬ h) **by** *auto*
have 3: ⊢ g ⌢ (¬ h) = (¬ ¬ (g ⌢ (¬ h))) **by** *auto*
hence 4: ⊢ f ⌢ (g ⌢ (¬ h)) = f ⌢ (¬ ¬ (g ⌢ (¬ h))) **by** (*rule RightSChopEqvSChop*)
have 5: ⊢ f ⌢ (¬ ¬ (g ⌢ (¬ h))) = (f ⌢ g) ⌢ (¬ h) **using** 2 4 **by** *auto*
hence 6: ⊢ f ⌢ (¬ (g syields h)) = (f ⌢ g) ⌢ (¬ h) **by** (*simp add*: *syields-d-def*)
hence 7: ⊢ (¬ (f ⌢ (¬ (g syields h)))) = (¬ ((f ⌢ g) ⌢ (¬ h))) **by** *auto*
 from 7 **show** ?thesis **by** (*simp add*: *syields-d-def*)

qed

lemma *EmptyYields*:
⊢ *empty syields f = f*
proof −
 have *1*: ⊢ *empty* ⌢ (¬ *f*) = (¬ *f*) **by** (*rule EmptySChop*)
 hence *2*: ⊢ (¬ (*empty* ⌢ (¬ *f*))) = *f* **by** *auto*
 from *2* **show** *?thesis* **by** (*simp add*: *syields-d-def*)
qed

lemma *NextSYields*:
⊢ (○ *f*) *syields g = wnext (f syields g)*
proof −
 have *1*: ⊢ (○ *f*)⌢ (¬ *g*) = ○(*f*⌢ (¬ *g*)) **by** (*rule NextSChop*)
 hence *2*: ⊢ (¬ ((○ *f*)⌢ (¬ *g*))) = (¬ (○(*f*⌢ (¬ *g*)))) **by** *auto*
 hence *3*: ⊢ (○ *f*) *syields g* = (¬ (○(*f*⌢ (¬ *g*)))) **by** (*simp add*: *syields-d-def*)
 have *4*: ⊢ (¬(○(*f*⌢ (¬ *g*)))) = *wnext* (¬ (*f*⌢ (¬ *g*))) **by** (*auto simp*: *wnext-d-def*)
 have *5*: ⊢ (○ *f*) *syields g = wnext* (¬ (*f*⌢ (¬ *g*))) **using** *3 4* **by** *fastforce*
 from *5* **show** *?thesis* **by** (*simp add*: *syields-d-def*)
qed

lemma *SkipSChopEqvNext*:
⊢ *skip* ⌢ *f = ○ f*
by (*meson NextSChopdef Prop11*)

lemma *SkipSYieldsEqvWeakNext*:
⊢ *skip syields f = wnext f*
proof −
 have *1*: ⊢ *skip* ⌢ (¬ *f*) = ○(¬ *f*) **by** (*rule SkipSChopEqvNext*)
 hence *2*: ⊢ (¬ (*skip* ⌢ (¬ *f*))) = (¬(○(¬ *f*))) **by** *auto*
 have *3*: ⊢ (¬ (○(¬ *f*))) = *wnext f* **by** (*auto simp*: *wnext-d-def*)
 have *4*: ⊢ (¬ (*skip* ⌢ (¬ *f*))) = *wnext f* **using** *2 3* **by** *fastforce*
 from *4* **show** *?thesis* **by** (*simp add*: *syields-d-def*)
qed

lemma *NextImpSkipSYields*:
⊢ ○ *f* ⟶ *skip syields f*
proof −
 have *1*: ⊢ ○ *f* ⟶ *wnext f* **using** *WnextEqvEmptyOrNext* **by** *fastforce*
 have *2*: ⊢ *skip syields f = wnext f* **by** (*rule SkipSYieldsEqvWeakNext*)
 from *1 2* **show** *?thesis* **by** *fastforce*
qed

lemma *MoreEqvSkipSChopTrue*:
⊢ *more = skip* ⌢ #*True*
proof −
 have *1*: ⊢ *skip* ⌢ #*True* = ○#*True* **by** (*rule SkipSChopEqvNext*)
 hence *2*: ⊢ ○#*True* = *skip* ⌢ #*True* **by** *auto*
 from *2* **show** *?thesis* **by** (*simp add*: *more-d-def*)
qed

490

lemma *MoreSChopImpMore*:
⊢ $\quad$ *more* ⌢ *f* ⟶ *more*
proof −
 have *1*: ⊢ (○# *True*)⌢ *f* = ○(# *True*⌢ *f*) **by** (*rule NextSChop*)
 have *2*: ⊢ ○(# *True*⌢ *f*) ⟶ *more* **by** (*auto simp*: *more-defs next-defs sum.case-eq-if*)
 have *3*: ⊢ (○# *True*⌢ *f*) ⟶ *more* **using** *1 2* **by** *fastforce*
 from *3* **show** *?thesis* **by** (*metis more-d-def*)
qed

lemma *MoreSChopImpFmore*:
⊢ $\quad$ *more* ⌢ (*f* ∧ *finite*) ⟶ *fmore*
proof −
 have *1*: ⊢ *more* ⌢ (*f* ∧ *finite*)= ○(# *True*⌢ (*f* ∧ *finite*))
  by (*simp add*: *NextSChop more-d-def*)
 have *2*: ⊢ ○(# *True*⌢ (*f* ∧ *finite*)) ⟶ *fmore*
  by (*auto simp*: *fmore-defs schop-defs finite-defs more-defs next-defs sum.case-eq-if*)
 from *1 2* **show** *?thesis* **by** *fastforce*
qed

lemma *SChopMoreImpMore*:
⊢ $\quad$ *f*⌢ *more* ⟶ *more*
proof −
 have *1*: ⊢ *f* ⌢ *more* ⟶ ◇ *more* **by** (*rule SChopImpDiamond*)
 have *2*: ⊢ ◇ *more* ⟶ *more* **by** (*auto simp*: *more-defs sometimes-defs sum.case-eq-if*)
 from *1 2* **show** *?thesis* **by** *fastforce*
qed

lemma *MoreSChopEqvNextDiamond*:
⊢ $\quad$ *more* ⌢ *f* = ○(◇ *f*)
proof −
 have *1*: ⊢ *more* ⌢ *f* = (○ # *True*)⌢ *f*
  by (*simp add*: *Valid-def schop-defs more-defs next-defs finite-defs sum.case-eq-if*)
 have *2*: ⊢ (○ # *True*)⌢ *f* = ○(# *True*⌢ *f*) **by** (*rule NextSChop*)
 have *3*: ⊢ *more* ⌢ *f* = ○(# *True*⌢ *f*) **using** *1 2* **by** *fastforce*
 from *3* **show** *?thesis*
 by (*metis TrueSChopEqvDiamond inteq-reflection*)
qed

lemma *WeakNextBoxImpMoreSYields*:
⊢ $\quad$ *more syields f* = *wnext*(□ *f*)
proof −
 have *1*: ⊢ *more* ⌢ (¬ *f*) = ○(◇ (¬*f*)) **by** (*rule MoreSChopEqvNextDiamond*)
 have *2*: ⊢ ○(◇ (¬*f*)) = ○(¬(□*f*)) **by** (*auto simp*: *always-d-def*)
 have *3*: ⊢ ○(¬(□*f*)) = (¬ (*wnext*(□ *f*))) **by** (*auto simp*: *wnext-d-def*)
 have *4*: ⊢ *more* ⌢ (¬ *f*) = (¬(*more syields f*)) **by** (*simp add*: *syields-d-def*)
 from *1 2 3 4* **show** *?thesis* **by** *fastforce*
qed

lemma *NotEqvSYieldsMore*:
⊢ *finite* ⟶ (¬ *f*) = *f syields more*
proof −
 have *1*: ⊢ *finite* ⟶ *f* ⌢ *empty* = *f* **by** (*rule SChopEmpty*)
 hence *2*: ⊢ *finite* ⟶ (¬ (*f* ⌢ *empty*)) = (¬ *f*) **by** *auto*
 have *3*: ⊢ *empty* = (¬ *more*) **by** (*auto simp: empty-d-def*)
 hence *4*: ⊢ *f* ⌢ *empty* = *f* ⌢ (¬ *more*) **by** (*rule RightSChopEqvSChop*)
 hence *5*: ⊢ (¬ (*f* ⌢ *empty*)) = (¬ (*f* ⌢ (¬ *more*))) **by** *auto*
 have *6*: ⊢ *finite* ⟶ (¬ *f*) = (¬ (*f* ⌢ (¬ *more*))) **using** *2 5* **by** *fastforce*
 from *6* **show** *?thesis* **by** (*metis syields-d-def*)
qed

lemma *LeftSChopImpMoreRule*:
 assumes ⊢ *f* ⟶ *more*
 shows   ⊢ *f* ⌢ *g* ⟶ *more*
proof −
 have *1*: ⊢ *f* ⟶ *more* **using** *assms* **by** *auto*
 hence *2*: ⊢ *f* ⌢ *g* ⟶ *more* ⌢ *g* **by** (*rule LeftSChopImpSChop*)
 have *3*: ⊢ *more* ⌢ *g* ⟶ *more* **by** (*rule MoreSChopImpMore*)
 from *2 3* **show** *?thesis* **using** *lift-imp-trans* **by** *blast*
qed

lemma *LeftSChopImpFMoreRule*:
 assumes ⊢ *f* ⟶ *fmore*
 shows   ⊢ *f* ⌢ (*g* ∧ *finite*) ⟶ *fmore*
proof −
 have *1*: ⊢ *f* ⟶ *fmore* **using** *assms* **by** *auto*
 hence *2*: ⊢ *f* ⌢ (*g* ∧ *finite*) ⟶ *more* ⌢ (*g* ∧ *finite*)
  by (*metis FiniteChopSkipEqvFiniteAndMore FiniteChopSkipEqvSkipChopFinite*
        FmoreEqvSkipChopFinite LeftSChopImpSChop Prop12 inteq-reflection)
 have *3*: ⊢ *more* ⌢ (*g* ∧ *finite*) ⟶ *fmore* **using** *MoreSChopImpFmore* **by** *fastforce*
 from *2 3* **show** *?thesis* **using** *lift-imp-trans* **by** *blast*
qed

lemma *RightSChopImpMoreRule*:
 assumes ⊢   *g* ⟶ *more*
 shows   ⊢ *f* ⌢ *g* ⟶ *more*
proof −
 have *1*: ⊢ *g* ⟶ *more* **using** *assms* **by** *auto*
 hence *2*: ⊢ *f* ⌢ *g* ⟶ *f* ⌢ *more* **by** (*rule RightSChopImpSChop*)
 have *3*: ⊢ *f* ⌢ *more* ⟶ *more* **by** (*rule SChopMoreImpMore*)
 from *2 3* **show** *?thesis* **using** *lift-imp-trans* **by** *blast*
qed

lemma *NotDfEqvBfNot*:
⊢ (¬ (*df f*)) = *bf* (¬ *f*)
proof −
 have *1*: ⊢ *f* = (¬ ¬ *f*) **by** *auto*
 hence *2*: ⊢ *df f* = *df* (¬ ¬ *f*) **by** (*rule DfEqvDf*)
 hence *3*: ⊢ (¬ (*df f*)) = (¬ (*df* (¬ ¬ *f*))) **by** *auto*

from *3* **show** *?thesis* **by** (*simp add*: *bf-d-def*)
qed

lemma *SChopImpDf*:
⊢ *f* ⌢ *g* ⟶ *df f*
proof −
have *1*: ⊢ *g* ⟶ #*True* **by** *auto*
hence *2*: ⊢ *f* ⌢ *g* ⟶ *f* ⌢ #*True* **by** (*rule RightSChopImpSChop*)
from *2* **show** *?thesis* **by** (*simp add*: *df-d-def*)
qed

lemma *TrueEqvTrueSChopTrue*:
⊢ #*True* = #*True* ⌢ #*True*
proof −
have *1*: ⊢ #*True* ⌢ #*True* ⟶ #*True* **by** *auto*
have *2*: ⊢ #*True* ⟶ #*True* ⌢ #*True*
by (*metis DfState Initprop*(*4*) *df-d-def int-eq-true int-iffD1 inteq-reflection*)
from *1 2* **show** *?thesis* **by** *auto*
qed

lemma *DfEqvDfDf*:
⊢ *df f* = *df* (*df f*)
proof −
have *1*: ⊢ #*True* = #*True* ⌢ #*True* **by** (*rule TrueEqvTrueSChopTrue*)
hence *2*: ⊢ *f* ⌢ #*True* = *f* ⌢ (#*True* ⌢ #*True*) **by** (*rule RightSChopEqvSChop*)
have *3*: ⊢ *f* ⌢ (#*True* ⌢ #*True*) = (*f* ⌢ #*True*) ⌢ #*True* **by** (*rule SChopAssoc*)
have *4*: ⊢ *f* ⌢ #*True* = (*f* ⌢ #*True*) ⌢ #*True* **using** *2 3* **by** *fastforce*
from *4* **show** *?thesis* **by** (*metis df-d-def*)
qed

lemma *BfEqvBfBf*:
⊢ *bf f* = *bf*(*bf f*)
proof −
have *1*: ⊢ *df* (¬ *f*) = *df*(*df* (¬ *f*)) **by** (*rule DfEqvDfDf*)
have *2*: ⊢ *df* (¬ *f*) = (¬ (*bf f*)) **by** (*rule DfNotEqvNotBf*)
hence *3*: ⊢ *df* (*df* (¬ *f*)) = *df* (¬ (*bf f*)) **by** (*rule DfEqvDf*)
have *4*: ⊢ *df* (¬ *f*) = *df* (¬(*bf f*)) **using** *1 3* **by** *fastforce*
hence *5*: ⊢ (¬ (*df* (¬ *f*))) = (¬ (*df* (¬ (*bf f*)))) **by** *fastforce*
from *5* **show** *?thesis* **by** (*metis bf-d-def*)
qed

lemma *BfImpBfBf*:
⊢ *bf f* ⟶ *bf*(*bf f*)
proof −
have *1*: ⊢ *bf*(*bf f*) = *bf f* **using** *BfEqvBfBf* **by** *fastforce*
from *1* **show** *?thesis* **by** (*simp add*: *int-iffD2*)

qed

lemma *DfOrEqv*:

$\vdash$ *df* ($f \lor g$) = (*df* f $\lor$ *df* g)

proof −

 have *1*: $\vdash$ ($f \lor g$)$\frown$ #*True* = ($f \frown$ #*True* $\lor$ $g \frown$ #*True*) **by** (*rule OrSChopEqv*)

 from *1* **show** *?thesis* **by** (*simp add*: *df-d-def*)

qed

lemma *DfAndA*:

$\vdash$ *df* ($f \land g$) $\longrightarrow$ *df* f

proof −

 have *1*: $\vdash$ ($f \land g$)$\frown$ #*True* $\longrightarrow$ $f \frown$ #*True* **by** (*rule AndSChopA*)

 from *1* **show** *?thesis* **by** (*simp add*: *df-d-def*)

qed

lemma *DfAndB*:

$\vdash$ *df* ($f \land g$) $\longrightarrow$ *df* g

proof −

 have *1*: $\vdash$ ($f \land g$)$\frown$ #*True* $\longrightarrow$ $g \frown$ #*True* **by** (*rule AndSChopB*)

 from *1* **show** *?thesis* **by** (*simp add*: *df-d-def*)

qed

lemma *DfAndImpAnd*:

$\vdash$ *df* ($f \land g$) $\longrightarrow$ *df* $f \land$ *df* g

proof −

 have *1*: $\vdash$ *df* ($f \land g$) $\longrightarrow$ *df* f **by** (*rule DfAndA*)

 have *2*: $\vdash$ *df* ($f \land g$) $\longrightarrow$ *df* g **by** (*rule DfAndB*)

 from *1 2* **show** *?thesis* **by** *fastforce*

qed

lemma *DfSkipEqvMore*:

$\vdash$ *df* *skip* = *more*

proof −

 have *1*: $\vdash$ *skip* $\frown$ #*True* = $\bigcirc$#*True* **by** (*rule SkipSChopEqvNext*)

 have *2*: $\vdash$ $\bigcirc$#*True* = *more* **by** (*auto simp*: *more-d-def*)

 have *3*: $\vdash$ *skip* $\frown$ #*True* = *more* **using** *1 2* **by** *fastforce*

 from *3* **show** *?thesis* **by** (*simp add*: *df-d-def*)

qed

lemma *DfMoreEqvMore*:

$\vdash$ *df* *more* = *more*

proof −

 have *1*: $\vdash$ *df* ($\bigcirc$ #*True*) = $\bigcirc$(*df* #*True*) **by** (*rule DfNext*)

 have *2*: $\vdash$ $\bigcirc$(*df* #*True*) $\longrightarrow$ *more* **by** (*auto simp*: *next-defs di-defs more-defs sum.case-eq-if*)

 have *3*: $\vdash$ *df*($\bigcirc$ #*True*) $\longrightarrow$ *more* **using** *1 2* **by** *fastforce*

 hence *4*: $\vdash$ *df* *more* $\longrightarrow$ *more* **by** (*simp add*: *more-d-def*)

 have *5*: $\vdash$ *more* $\longrightarrow$ *df* *more*

by (*metis 1 4 TrueEqvTrueSChopTrue df-d-def inteq-reflection more-d-def*)
from *4 5* **show** *?thesis* **by** *fastforce*
qed

lemma *DfIfEqvRule*:
 assumes ⊢ *f* = *if_i* (*init w*) *then g else h*
 shows ⊢ *df f* = *if_i* (*init w*) *then* (*df g*) *else* (*df h*)
proof −
 have *1*: ⊢ *f* = *if_i* (*init w*) *then g else h* **using** *assms* **by** *auto*
 hence *2*: ⊢ *f* ⌢ # *True* = *if_i* (*init w*) *then* (*g* ⌢ # *True*) *else* (*h* ⌢ # *True*)
 by (*rule IfSChopEqvRule*)
 from *2* **show** *?thesis* **by** (*simp add*: *df-d-def*)
qed

lemma *SDaNotEqvNotSBa*:
 ⊢ *sda* (¬ *f*) = (¬ (*sba f*))
proof −
 have *1*: ⊢ *sba f* = (¬ (*sda* (¬ *f*))) **by** (*simp add*: *sba-d-def*)
 from *1* **show** *?thesis* **by** *fastforce*
qed

lemma *SDaEqvSDa*:
 assumes ⊢ *f* = *g*
 shows ⊢ *sda f* = *sda g*
using *assms* **using** *int-eq* **by** *force*

lemma *SDaEqvNotSBaNot*:
 ⊢ *sda f* = (¬ (*sba* (¬ *f*)))
proof −
 have *1*: ⊢ *sba* (¬ *f*) = (¬ (*sda* (¬¬ *f*))) **by** (*simp add*: *sba-d-def*)
 hence *2*: ⊢ *sda* (¬¬ *f*) = (¬ (*sba* (¬ *f*))) **by** *fastforce*
 have *3*: ⊢ *f* = (¬¬ *f*) **by** *simp*
 hence *4*: ⊢ *sda f* = *sda* (¬¬ *f*) **by** (*rule SDaEqvSDa*)
 from *2 4* **show** *?thesis* **by** *simp*
qed

lemma *SBaElim*:
 ⊢ *sba f* ∧ *finite* ⟶ *f*
proof −
 have *1*: ⊢ *sba f* = □(*bf f*) **by** (*rule SBaEqvBtBf*)
 have *2*: ⊢ *bf f* ∧ *finite* ⟶ *f* **by** (*rule BfElim*)
 hence *3*: ⊢ □(*bf f* ∧ *finite* ⟶ *f*) **by** (*rule BoxGen*)
 have *4*: ⊢ □(*bf f* ∧ *finite* ⟶ *f*) ⟶ □(*bf f* ∧ *finite*) ⟶ □ *f* **by** (*rule BoxImpDist*)
 have *5*: ⊢ □(*bf f* ∧ *finite*) ⟶ □ *f* **using** *3 4 MP* **by** *fastforce*
 have *6*: ⊢ □(*bf f* ∧ *finite*) = (□(*bf f*) ∧ *finite*)
 by (*metis* (*no-types, lifting*) *BoxEqvFiniteYields FiniteChopInfEqvInf NotChopEqvYieldsNot*
    YieldsAndYieldsEqvYieldsAnd finite-d-def inteq-reflection)

have 7: ⊢ □ f ⟶ f **by** (*rule BoxElim*)
 from *1 5 6 7* **show** *?thesis* **using** *SBaImpBt lift-imp-trans* **by** *metis*
qed

lemma *SDaIntro*:
⊢   f ∧ finite ⟶ sda f
proof −
 have 1: ⊢ sba (¬ f) ∧ finite ⟶ (¬ f)   **by** (*rule SBaElim*)
 hence 2: ⊢ ¬ ¬ f ⟶ ¬ (sba (¬ f) ∧ finite) **by** *fastforce*
 have 3: ⊢ f = (¬ ¬ f) **by** *simp*
 have 4: ⊢ sda f = (¬ (sba (¬ f))) **by** (*rule SDaEqvNotSBaNot*)
 from *2 3 4* **show** *?thesis* **by** *fastforce*
qed

lemma *SBaGen*:
 assumes ⊢   f
 shows ⊢ sba f
proof −
 have 1: ⊢   f **using** *assms* **by** *auto*
 hence 2: ⊢ □ f **by** (*rule BoxGen*)
 hence 3: ⊢ bf(□ f) **by** (*rule BfGen*)
 have 4: ⊢ sba f = bf (□ f) **by** (*rule SBaEqvBfBt*)
 from *3 4* **show** *?thesis* **by** *fastforce*
qed

lemma *SBaImpDist*:
⊢ sba (f ⟶ g) ⟶ sba f ⟶ sba g
proof −
 have 1: ⊢ bf (f ⟶ g) ⟶ (bf f ⟶ bf g) **by** (*rule BfImpDist*)
 hence 2: ⊢ □(bf (f ⟶ g) ⟶ (bf f ⟶ bf g)) **by** (*rule BoxGen*)
 have 3: ⊢ □(bf (f ⟶ g) ⟶ (bf f ⟶ bf g))
         ⟶
         (□ (bf (f ⟶ g)) ⟶ (□(bf f) ⟶ □(bf g)))
     by (*meson 2 BoxImpDist MP lift-imp-trans Prop01 Prop05 Prop09*)
 have 4: ⊢ □(bf (f ⟶ g)) ⟶ (□(bf f) ⟶ □(bf g)) **using** *2 3 MP* **by** *fastforce*
 have 5: ⊢ sba (f ⟶ g) = □(bf (f ⟶ g)) **by** (*rule SBaEqvBtBf*)
 have 6: ⊢ sba f = □(bf f) **by** (*rule SBaEqvBtBf*)
 have 7: ⊢ sba g = □(bf g) **by** (*rule SBaEqvBtBf*)
 from *4 5 6 7* **show** *?thesis* **by** *fastforce*
qed

lemma *SBaAndEqv*:
⊢   sba (f ∧ g) = (sba f ∧ sba g)
proof −
 have 1: ⊢   sba (f ∧ g) =   □(bf (f ∧ g))
     by (*rule SBaEqvBtBf*)
 have 2: ⊢ bf (f ∧ g) = (bf f ∧ bf g)
     by (*auto simp: bf-defs sum.case-eq-if*)
 hence 3: ⊢ □(bf (f ∧ g)) = □(bf f ∧ bf g)
     using *BoxEqvBox* **by** *blast*

496

have 4: $\vdash \Box(bf\ f \wedge bf\ g) = (\Box(bf\ f)\ \wedge \Box(bf\ g))$
    by (*metis 2 BoxAndBoxEqvBoxRule inteq-reflection*)
have 5: $\vdash sba\ f = \Box(bf\ f)$
    by (*rule SBaEqvBtBf*)
have 6: $\vdash sba\ g = \Box(bf\ g)$
    by (*rule SBaEqvBtBf*)
from *1 3 4 5 6* **show** *?thesis* **by** *fastforce*
qed

lemma *SBaImpSBaEqvSBa*:
$\vdash\ sba\ (f = g) \longrightarrow (sba\ f = sba\ g)$
proof $-$
have 1: $\vdash sba\ (f \longrightarrow g) \longrightarrow sba\ f \longrightarrow sba\ g$ **by** (*rule SBaImpDist*)
have 2: $\vdash sba\ (g \longrightarrow f) \longrightarrow sba\ g \longrightarrow sba\ f$ **by** (*rule SBaImpDist*)
have 3: $\vdash (f = g) = ((f \longrightarrow g) \wedge (g \longrightarrow f))$
 by *auto*
hence 31: $\vdash sba(f = g) = sba\ ((f \longrightarrow g) \wedge (g \longrightarrow f))$
 using *inteq-reflection* **by** *force*
have 4: $\vdash sba\ ((f \longrightarrow g) \wedge (g \longrightarrow f)) = (sba((f \longrightarrow g)) \wedge sba((g \longrightarrow f)))$
by (*rule SBaAndEqv*)
have 5: $\vdash ((sba\ f \longrightarrow sba\ g) \wedge (sba\ g \longrightarrow sba\ f)) = (sba\ f = sba\ g)$ **by** *auto*
from *1 2 31 4 5* **show** *?thesis* **by** *fastforce*
qed

lemma *SBaImpSBa*:
 assumes $\vdash\ f \longrightarrow g$
 shows $\vdash sba\ f \longrightarrow sba\ g$
using *SBaGen SBaImpDist MP assms* **by** *metis*

lemma *SBaEqvSBa*:
 assumes $\vdash\ f = g$
 shows $\vdash sba\ f = sba\ g$
using *SBaGen SBaImpSBaEqvSBa MP assms* **by** *metis*

lemma *SDaImpSDa*:
 assumes $\vdash\ f \longrightarrow g$
 shows $\vdash sda\ f \longrightarrow sda\ g$
using *assms* **by** (*metis SDaEqvDtDf DfAndB DiamondImpDiamond inteq-reflection Prop10*)

lemma *SDaEqvSDaSDa*:
 $\vdash\ sda\ f = sda\ (\ sda\ f)$
proof $-$
 have 1: $\vdash sda\ f = \Diamond(\ df\ f)$
    by (*rule SDaEqvDtDf*)
have 2: $\vdash df\ f = (df\ (\ df\ f))$
    by (*rule DfEqvDfDf*)
hence 3: $\vdash \Diamond\ (\ df\ f) = \Diamond\ (df\ (df\ f))$
    by (*rule DiamondEqvDiamond*)
have 4: $\vdash \Diamond\ (df\ f) = \Diamond(\Diamond\ (df\ (df\ f)))$

using *DiamondEqvDiamondDiamond DfEqvDfDf* **using** *3* **by** *fastforce*

have *5*: ⊢ ◇ (df (df f)) = df (◇ (df f))
    by (*rule DtDfEqvDfDt*)

hence *6*: ⊢ ◇(◇ (df (df f))) = ◇ (df (◇ (df f)))
    by (*rule DiamondEqvDiamond*)

have *7*: ⊢ sda f = ◇ (df(◇ (df f)))
    using *1 3 4 6* **by** *fastforce*

have *8*: ⊢ sda (◇ (df f)) = ◇(df (◇ (df f)))
    by (*rule SDaEqvDtDf*)

have *9*: ⊢ sda (sda f) = sda (◇ (df f))
    using *1* **by** (*rule SDaEqvSDa*)

from *7 8 9* **show** *?thesis* **by** *fastforce*

qed

lemma *SBaEqvSBaSBa*:
⊢ sba f = sba (sba f)

proof −

have *1*: ⊢ sda (¬ f) = sda (sda (¬ f)) **by** (*rule SDaEqvSDaSDa*)

have *2*: ⊢ sda (sda (¬ f)) = (¬ (sba (¬ (sda (¬ f))))) **by** (*rule SDaEqvNotSBaNot*)

have *3*: ⊢ (¬ (sda (sda (¬ f)))) = sba (¬ (sda (¬ f))) **by** (*auto simp: sba-d-def*)

have *4*: ⊢ (¬ (sda (¬ f))) = sba (¬ (sda (¬ f))) **using** *1 2 3* **by** *fastforce*

from *4* **show** *?thesis* **by** (*metis sba-d-def*)

qed

lemma *SBaLeftSChopImpSChop*:
⊢ sba (f ⟶ f1) ⟶ f ⌢ g ⟶ f1 ⌢ g

proof −

have *1*: ⊢ sba (f ⟶ f1) ⟶ bf (f ⟶ f1) **by** (*rule SBaImpBf*)

have *2*: ⊢ bf (f ⟶ f1) ⟶ f ⌢ g ⟶ f1 ⌢ g **by** (*rule BfSChopImpSChop*)

from *1 2* **show** *?thesis* **by** *fastforce*

qed

lemma *BaLeftSChopImpSChop*:
⊢ ba (f ⟶ f1) ⟶ f ⌢ g ⟶ f1 ⌢ g

proof −

have *1*: ⊢ ba (f ⟶ f1) ⟶ bf (f ⟶ f1) **by** (*rule BaImpBf*)

have *2*: ⊢ bf (f ⟶ f1) ⟶ f ⌢ g ⟶ f1 ⌢ g **by** (*rule BfSChopImpSChop*)

from *1 2* **show** *?thesis* **by** *fastforce*

qed

lemma *SBaRightSChopImpSChop*:
⊢ sba (g ⟶ g1) ∧ finite ⟶ f ⌢ g ⟶ f ⌢ g1

proof −

have *1*: ⊢ sba (g ⟶ g1) ∧ finite ⟶ □(g ⟶ g1) **by** (*rule SBaImpBt*)

have *2*: ⊢ □(g ⟶ g1) ⟶ f ⌢ g ⟶ f ⌢ g1 **by** (*rule BoxSChopImpSChop*)

from *1 2* **show** *?thesis* **by** *fastforce*

qed

lemma *BaRightSChopImpSChop*:

$\vdash$ $ba\ (g \longrightarrow g1) \longrightarrow f \frown g \longrightarrow f \frown g1$
proof $-$
have 1: $\vdash$ $ba\ (g \longrightarrow g1) \longrightarrow \square(g \longrightarrow g1)$ **by** (*rule BaImpBt*)
have 2: $\vdash \square(g \longrightarrow g1) \longrightarrow f \frown g \longrightarrow f \frown g1$ **by** (*rule BoxSChopImpSChop*)
from $1\ 2$ **show** *?thesis* **by** *fastforce*
qed

lemma *SChopAndSBaImport*:
$\vdash$ $(f \frown f1) \land\ sba\ g \land finite \longrightarrow (f \land g) \frown (f1 \land g)$
proof $-$
have 1: $\vdash$ $sba\ g \land finite \land (f \frown f1) \longrightarrow (g \land f) \frown (g \land f1)$ **by** (*rule SBaAndSChopImport*)
have 2: $\vdash (g \land f) \frown (g \land f1) = (f \land g) \frown (f1 \land g)$ **by** (*rule AndSChopAndCommute*)
from $1\ 2$ **show** *?thesis* **by** *fastforce*
qed

lemma *SChopAndBaImport*:
$\vdash$ $(f \frown f1) \land\ ba\ g \longrightarrow (f \land g) \frown (f1 \land g)$
proof $-$
have 1: $\vdash$ $ba\ g \land (f \frown f1) \longrightarrow (g \land f) \frown (g \land f1)$ **by** (*rule BaAndSChopImport*)
have 2: $\vdash (g \land f) \frown (g \land f1) = (f \land g) \frown (f1 \land g)$ **by** (*rule AndSChopAndCommute*)
from $1\ 2$ **show** *?thesis* **by** *fastforce*
qed

lemma *BaAndSChopImportA*:
$\vdash ba\ f \land g \frown g1 \longrightarrow (f \land g) \frown g1$
by (*meson BaAndSChopImport SChopAndB lift-imp-trans*)

lemma *BaAndSChopImportB*:
$\vdash ba\ f \land g \frown g1 \longrightarrow (f \land g) \frown (ba\ f \land g1)$
proof $-$
have 1: $\vdash ba\ f = ba\ (ba\ f)$
  by (*simp add*: *BaEqvBaBa*)
have 2: $\vdash ba\ (ba\ f) \land g \frown g1 \longrightarrow g \frown (ba\ f \land g1)$
  by (*metis AndSChopB BaAndSChopImport lift-imp-trans*)
have 3: $\vdash ba\ f \land g \frown (ba\ f \land g1) \longrightarrow (f \land g) \frown (ba\ f \land g1)$
  by (*simp add*: *BaAndSChopImportA*)
from $1\ 2\ 3$ **show** *?thesis* **by** *fastforce*
qed

lemma *SBaImpSBaImpSBaAnd*:
$\vdash sba\ h \longrightarrow sba(g \longrightarrow sba\ h \land g)$
proof $-$
have 1: $\vdash sba\ h \longrightarrow (g \longrightarrow sba\ h \land g)$ **by** *fastforce*
hence 2: $\vdash sba(sba\ h) \longrightarrow sba(g \longrightarrow sba\ h \land g)$ **by** (*rule SBaImpSBa*)
have 3: $\vdash sba\ h = sba(sba\ h)$ **by** (*rule SBaEqvSBaSBa*)
from $2\ 3$ **show** *?thesis* **by** *fastforce*
qed

lemma *SBaSChopImpSChopSBa*:
$\vdash$ *sba* *f* $\land$ *finite* $\longrightarrow$ *g* $\frown$ *g1* $\longrightarrow$ *g* $\frown$ ((*sba* *f*) $\land$ *g1*)
proof $-$
 have *1*: $\vdash$ *sba* *f* $\longrightarrow$ *sba* (*g1* $\longrightarrow$ (*sba f*) $\land$ *g1*) **by** (*rule SBaImpSBaImpSBaAnd*)
 have *2*: $\vdash$ *sba* (*g1* $\longrightarrow$ *sba* *f* $\land$ *g1*) $\land$ *finite* $\longrightarrow$ *g* $\frown$ *g1* $\longrightarrow$ *g* $\frown$ (*sba* *f* $\land$ *g1*)
by (*rule SBaRightSChopImpSChop*)
 from *1 2* **show** *?thesis* **by** *fastforce*
qed

lemma *BaSChopImpSChopBa*:
$\vdash$ *ba* *f* $\longrightarrow$ *g* $\frown$ *g1* $\longrightarrow$ *g* $\frown$ ((*ba* *f*) $\land$ *g1*)
proof $-$
 have *1*: $\vdash$ *ba* *f* $\longrightarrow$ *ba* (*g1* $\longrightarrow$ (*ba f*) $\land$ *g1*) **by** (*rule BaImpBaImpBaAnd*)
 have *2*: $\vdash$ *ba* (*g1* $\longrightarrow$ *ba* *f* $\land$ *g1*) $\longrightarrow$ *g* $\frown$ *g1* $\longrightarrow$ *g* $\frown$ (*ba* *f* $\land$ *g1*)
by (*rule BaRightSChopImpSChop*)
 from *1 2* **show** *?thesis* **by** *fastforce*
qed

lemma *DfNotSBaImpNotSBa*:
 $\vdash$ *df* ($\neg$ (*sba* *f*)) $\longrightarrow$ $\neg$ (*sba* *f*)
proof $-$
 have *1*: $\vdash$ *sba* *f* $=$ *sba*(*sba* *f*) **by** (*rule SBaEqvSBaSBa*)
 have *2*: $\vdash$ *sba* (*sba* *f*) $\longrightarrow$ *bf* (*sba* *f*) **by** (*rule SBaImpBf*)
 have *3*: $\vdash$ *sba* *f* $\longrightarrow$ *bf* (*sba* *f*) **using** *1 2* **by** *fastforce*
 hence *4*: $\vdash$ *sba* *f* $\longrightarrow$ $\neg$ (*df* ($\neg$ (*sba* *f*))) **by** (*simp add*: *bf-d-def*)
 from *4* **show** *?thesis* **by** *fastforce*
qed

lemma *DfNotBaImpNotBa*:
 $\vdash$ *df* ($\neg$ (*ba* *f*)) $\longrightarrow$ $\neg$ (*ba* *f*)
proof $-$
 have *1*: $\vdash$ *ba* *f* $=$ *ba*(*ba* *f*) **by** (*rule BaEqvBaBa*)
 have *2*: $\vdash$ *ba* (*ba* *f*) $\longrightarrow$ *bf* (*ba* *f*) **by** (*rule BaImpBf*)
 have *3*: $\vdash$ *ba* *f* $\longrightarrow$ *bf* (*ba* *f*) **using** *1 2* **by** *fastforce*
 hence *4*: $\vdash$ *ba* *f* $\longrightarrow$ $\neg$ (*df* ($\neg$ (*ba* *f*))) **by** (*simp add*: *bf-d-def*)
 from *4* **show** *?thesis* **by** *fastforce*
qed

lemma *NotSBaSChopImpNotSBa*:
$\vdash$ ($\neg$ (*sba* *f*)) $\frown$ *g* $\longrightarrow$ $\neg$ (*sba* *f*)
proof $-$
 have *1*: $\vdash$ ($\neg$ (*sba* *f*)) $\frown$ *g* $\longrightarrow$ *df* ($\neg$ (*sba* *f*)) **by** (*rule SChopImpDf*)
 have *2*: $\vdash$ *df* ($\neg$ (*sba* *f*)) $\longrightarrow$ $\neg$ (*sba* *f*) **by** (*rule DfNotSBaImpNotSBa*)
 from *1 2* **show** *?thesis* **using** *lift-imp-trans* **by** *blast*
qed

lemma *NotBaSChopImpNotSBa*:
$\vdash$ ($\neg$ (*ba* *f*)) $\frown$ *g* $\longrightarrow$ $\neg$ (*ba* *f*)
proof $-$
 have *1*: $\vdash$ ($\neg$ (*ba* *f*)) $\frown$ *g* $\longrightarrow$ *df* ($\neg$ (*ba* *f*)) **by** (*rule SChopImpDf*)

have 2: ⊢ *df* (¬ (*ba f*)) ⟶ ¬ (*ba f*) **by** (*rule DfNotBaImpNotBa*)
from *1 2* **show** *?thesis* **using** *lift-imp-trans* **by** *blast*
qed

lemma *DiamondSFinImpSFin*:
⊢ ◇ (*sfin f*) ⟶ *sfin f*
proof −
have 1: ⊢ *sfin f* = #*True*⌢(*f* ∧ *empty*)
    by (*rule SFinEqvTrueSChopAndEmpty*)
hence 2: ⊢ ◇ (*sfin f*) = #*True*⌢(#*True*⌢(*f* ∧ *empty*))
   using *DiamondSChopdef inteq-reflection* **by** *force*
have 3: ⊢ #*True*⌢(#*True*⌢(*f* ∧ *empty*)) = (#*True*⌢#*True*)⌢(*f* ∧ *empty*)
    by (*rule SChopAssoc*)
have 4: ⊢ (#*True*⌢#*True*)⌢(*f* ∧ *empty*) ⟶ #*True*⌢(*f* ∧ *empty*)
   using *1 2 3*
   by (*metis SChopImpDiamond TrueEqvTrueSChopTrue inteq-reflection*)
from *1 2 3 4* **show** *?thesis* **by** *fastforce*
qed

lemma *SChopSFinImpSFin*:
⊢ *f* ⌢ *sfin* (*init w*) ⟶ *sfin* (*init w*)
proof −
have 1: ⊢ *f* ⌢ *sfin* (*init w*) ⟶ ◇ (*sfin* (*init w*)) **by** (*rule SChopImpDiamond*)
have 2: ⊢ ◇ (*sfin* (*init w*)) ⟶ *sfin* (*init w*) **by** (*rule DiamondSFinImpSFin*)
from *1 2* **show** *?thesis* **using** *lift-imp-trans* **by** *blast*
qed

lemma *SFinImpSYieldsSFin*:
⊢ *sfin* (*init w*) ⟶ *f syields* (*sfin* (*init w*))
proof −
have 1: ⊢ *f*⌢ (*sfin* (*init* (¬ *w*))) ⟶ (*sfin* (*init* (¬ *w*)))
   by (*simp add*: *SChopSFinImpSFin*)
have 2: ⊢ *finite* ⟶ (¬ (*sfin* (*init w*))) = (*sfin* (*init* (¬ *w*)))
   using *SFinNotStateEqvNotSFinState* **by** *fastforce*
hence 3: ⊢ *finite* ⟶ *f* ⌢ (¬ (*sfin* (*init w*))) = *f*⌢ (*sfin* (*init* (¬ *w*)))
    using *FiniteRightSChopEqvSChop* **by** *blast*
have 4: ⊢ *f*⌢ (¬ (*sfin* (*init w*))) ∧ *finite* ⟶ (¬ (*sfin* (*init w*)))
   using *1 2 3* **by** *fastforce*
hence 5: ⊢ *sfin* (*init w*) ⟶ ¬ (*f*⌢ (¬ (*sfin* (*init w*))))
 by (*metis SChopImpDiamond SFinImpBox always-d-def int-simps*(*32*) *inteq-reflection lift-imp-trans*)
from *5* **show** *?thesis*
 by (*simp add*: *syields-d-def*)
 qed

lemma *SChopAndSFin*:
⊢ ((*f*⌢ *g*) ∧ (*sfin* (*init w*))) = *f*⌢ (*g* ∧ (*sfin* (*init w*)))
proof −
have 1: ⊢ *sfin* (*init w*) ⟶ *f syields* (*sfin* (*init w*))

by (*rule SFinImpSYieldsSFin*)
have 2: $\vdash (f \frown g) \land (sfin\ (init\ w)) \longrightarrow (f \frown g) \land f\ syields\ (\ sfin\ (init\ w))$
    using 1 **by** *fastforce*
have 3: $\vdash f \frown g \land f\ syields\ (\ sfin\ (init\ w)) \longrightarrow$
          $f \frown (g \land (sfin\ (init\ w)\ ))$
    using *SChopAndSYieldsImp* **by** *blast*
have 4: $\vdash (f \frown g) \land (sfin\ (init\ w)) \longrightarrow f \frown (g \land sfin\ (init\ w))$
    using 2 3 **by** (*metis* (*mono-tags*, *lifting*) *lift-imp-trans*)
from 4 **show** *?thesis*
by (*simp add*: *Prop12 SChopAndA SChopSFinExportA int-iffI*)
qed

lemma *SChopAndNotSFin*:
  $\vdash (f \frown g \land \neg\ (\ sfin\ (init\ w)) \land finite) = f \frown (g \land \neg\ (\ sfin\ (init\ w)) \land finite)$
proof −
 have 1: $\vdash (f \frown g \land sfin\ (init\ (\neg\ w))) = f \frown (g \land sfin\ (init\ (\neg\ w)))$
    by (*rule SChopAndSFin*)
 have 2: $\vdash (sfin\ (init\ (\neg\ w)) \land finite\ ) = (\ (\neg\ (\ sfin\ (init\ w)\ )) \land finite)$
    using *SFinNotStateEqvNotSFinState* **by** *fastforce*
 hence 3: $\vdash (g \land sfin\ (init\ (\neg\ w))) = (g \land \neg(\ sfin\ (init\ w)) \land finite)$
    using *DiamondEmptyEqvFinite SChopAndB SFinEqvTrueSChopAndEmpty TrueSChopEqvDiamond*
    by *fastforce*
 hence 4: $\vdash f \frown (g \land sfin\ (init\ (\neg\ w))\ ) = f \frown (g \land \neg\ (\ sfin\ (init\ w)) \land finite)$
    using *RightSChopEqvSChop* **by** *blast*
 from 1 2 4 **show** *?thesis*
 using *DiamondEmptyEqvFinite SChopAndB SFinEqvTrueSChopAndEmpty TrueSChopEqvDiamond* **by** *fastforce*
qed

lemma *SFinSChopChain*:
$\vdash (((init\ w\ )\ \land finite \longrightarrow sfin\ (init\ w1)))\frown$
    $(((init\ w1)\ \land finite \longrightarrow sfin\ (init\ w2)))$
    $\land finite$
 $\longrightarrow (((init\ w\ )\ \land finite \longrightarrow sfin\ (init\ w2)))$
proof −
 have 1: $\vdash (init\ w) \land finite \land$
        $((init\ w) \land finite \longrightarrow sfin\ (init\ w1))\frown$
        $((init\ w1) \land finite \longrightarrow sfin\ (init\ w2))$
        $\longrightarrow$
        $((init\ w) \land finite \land ((init\ w) \land finite \longrightarrow sfin\ (init\ w1)))\frown$
        $(((init\ w1) \land finite \longrightarrow sfin\ (init\ w2)) \land finite)$
    by (*metis* (*no-types*, *lifting*) *ChopAndFiniteDist StateAndSChop int-iffD2*
      inteq-reflection lift-and-com schop-d-def)
 have 2: $\vdash (init\ w) \land finite \land ((init\ w) \land finite \longrightarrow sfin\ (init\ w1)) \longrightarrow$
        $sfin\ (init\ w1)$
    by *auto*
 have 3: $\vdash ((init\ w) \land finite \land ((init\ w) \land finite \longrightarrow sfin\ (init\ w1)))\frown$
        $(((init\ w1) \land finite \longrightarrow sfin\ (init\ w2)) \land finite)$
        $\longrightarrow$
        $(\ sfin\ (init\ w1))\frown (((init\ w1) \land finite \longrightarrow sfin\ (init\ w2)) \land finite)$
    using 2 *LeftSChopImpSChop* **by** *blast*

have 4: ⊢ (sfin (init w1))⌢ (((init w1) ∧ finite ⟶ sfin (init w2))) =
◇((init w1) ∧ ((init w1) ∧ finite ⟶ sfin (init w2)))
   using SFinSChopEqvDiamond **by** blast
have 41: ⊢ ((init w1) ∧ finite ∧ ((init w1) ∧ finite ⟶ sfin (init w2))) ⟶ sfin (init w2)
   by auto
have 42: ⊢ ◇((init w1) ∧ finite ∧((init w1) ∧ finite ⟶ sfin (init w2))) ⟶ ◇(sfin(init w2))
   using 41 DiamondImpDiamond **by** blast
have 5: ⊢ ◇(sfin(init w2)) ⟶ sfin (init w2)
   using DiamondSFinImpSFin **by** blast
have 6: ⊢ (init w) ∧ finite ∧ ((init w) ∧ finite ⟶ sfin (init w1))⌢
((init w1) ∧ finite ⟶ sfin (init w2))
⟶ sfin (init w2)
   using 1 3 4 5 42
   by (metis (no-types, lifting) SFinSChopEqvDiamond inteq-reflection lift-and-com lift-imp-trans)
from 6 **show** ?thesis **by** fastforce
qed

lemma SChopRule:
 assumes ⊢ (init w) ∧ f ∧ finite ⟶ sfin (init w1)
   ⊢ (init w1)∧ f1 ∧ finite ⟶ sfin (init w2)
 shows ⊢ (init w) ∧ (f ⌢ f1) ∧ finite ⟶ sfin (init w2)
proof −
 have 1: ⊢ (init w) ∧ (f ⌢ f1) ∧ finite ⟶ ((init w) ∧ f)⌢ (f1 ∧ finite)
 using StateAndSChopImport
 by (metis (no-types, lifting) DiamondEmptyEqvFinite FiniteAndEmptyEqvEmpty SChopAssoc
   SFinAndSChop SFinEqvTrueSChopAndEmpty StateAndEmptySChop TrueSChopEqvDiamond inteq-reflection)
 have 2: ⊢ (init w) ∧ f ∧ finite ⟶ sfin (init w1) **using** assms **by** auto
 hence 3: ⊢ ((init w) ∧ f)⌢ (f1 ∧ finite) ⟶ (sfin (init w1))⌢ (f1 ∧ finite)
   by (simp add: schop-d-def)
     (metis (no-types, lifting) DiamondEmptyEqvFinite FiniteAndEmptyEqvEmpty LeftChopImpChop
       Prop10 Prop12 SFinAndSChopImport SFinEqvTrueSChopAndEmpty StateAndEmptySChop
       TrueSChopEqvDiamond inteq-reflection lift-and-com)
 have 4: ⊢ (sfin (init w1))⌢ (f1 ∧ finite) = ◇((init w1) ∧ f1 ∧ finite)
   by (rule SFinSChopEqvDiamond)
 have 5: ⊢ (init w1) ∧ f1 ∧ finite ⟶ sfin (init w2) **using** assms **by** auto
 hence 6: ⊢ ◇((init w1) ∧ f1 ∧ finite) ⟶ ◇ (sfin (init w2)) **by** (rule DiamondImpDiamond)
 have 7: ⊢ ◇(sfin (init w2)) ⟶ sfin (init w2) **using** DiamondSFinImpSFin **by** blast
 from 1 3 4 6 7 **show** ?thesis **by** fastforce
qed

lemma SChopRep:
 assumes ⊢ (init w) ∧ f ∧ finite ⟶ f1 ∧ sfin (init w1)
   ⊢ (init w1) ∧ g ∧ finite ⟶ g1
 shows ⊢ (init w) ∧ (f ⌢ g) ∧ finite ⟶ (f1 ⌢ g1)
proof −
 have 1: ⊢ (init w) ∧ f ∧ finite ⟶ (f1 ∧ sfin (init w1)) **using** assms **by** auto
 hence 2: ⊢ (init w) ∧ (f ⌢ (g ∧ finite)) ⟶ (f1 ∧ sfin (init w1))⌢ (g ∧ finite)
   by (metis DiamondEmptyEqvFinite FiniteAndEmptyEqvEmpty Prop12 SChopSFinExportA
       SFinEqvTrueSChopAndEmpty StateAndChopImpChopRule StateAndEmptySChop

503

$TrueSChopEqvDiamond$ $inteq\text{-}reflection$ $schop\text{-}d\text{-}def$)

have $3: \vdash (f1 \;\wedge\; sfin \; (init \; w1))\frown (g \wedge finite) = f1 \frown ((init \; w1) \wedge (g \wedge finite))$
    using $AndSFinSChopEqvStateAndSChop$ **by** $blast$

have $4: \vdash (init \; w1)\wedge g \wedge finite \longrightarrow g1$ **using** $assms$ **by** $auto$

hence $5: \vdash f1 \frown ((init \; w1) \wedge g \wedge finite) \longrightarrow f1 \frown g1$
    using $RightSChopImpSChop$ **by** $blast$

from 2 3 5 **show** $?thesis$

by ($metis$ ($no\text{-}types$, $lifting$) $ChopAndFiniteDist$ $Prop10$ $Prop12$ $int\text{-}eq$ $int\text{-}iffD2$ $lift\text{-}and\text{-}com$
    $schop\text{-}d\text{-}def$)

qed

lemma $SChopRepAndSFin$:

 assumes $\vdash \;(init \; w) \wedge f \wedge finite \longrightarrow f1 \wedge \; sfin \; (init \; w1)$
      $\vdash \;(init \; w1) \wedge g \wedge finite \longrightarrow g1 \wedge \; sfin \; (init \; w2)$

 shows $\vdash \;(init \; w) \wedge (f \frown g) \wedge finite \longrightarrow (f1 \frown g1) \wedge \; sfin \; (init \; w2)$

proof $-$

 have $1: \vdash (init \; w) \wedge f \wedge finite \longrightarrow f1 \wedge \; sfin \; (init \; w1)$ **using** $assms$ **by** $auto$

 have $2: \vdash (init \; w1) \wedge g \wedge finite \longrightarrow g1 \wedge \; sfin \; (init \; w2)$ **using** $assms$ **by** $auto$

 have $3: \vdash (init \; w) \wedge (f \frown g) \wedge finite \longrightarrow f1 \frown (g1 \wedge \; sfin \; (init \; w2))$

 using 1 2 **by** ($rule$ $SChopRep$)

 have $4: \vdash f1 \frown (g1 \wedge \; sfin \; (init \; w2)) \longrightarrow f1 \frown g1$ **by** ($rule$ $SChopAndA$)

 have $5: \vdash f1 \frown (g1 \wedge \; sfin \; (init \; w2)) \longrightarrow f1 \frown \; sfin \; (init \; w2)$ **by** ($rule$ $SChopAndB$)

 have $6: \vdash f1 \frown \; sfin \; (init \; w2) \longrightarrow \; sfin \; (init \; w2)$
  by ($rule$ $SChopSFinImpSFin$)

 from 1 2 3 4 5 6 **show** $?thesis$ **using** $SChopRep$ $SChopRule$ **by** $fastforce$

qed

lemma $TrueSChopMoreEqvMore$:

$\vdash \#True \frown more = more$

by ($metis$ $ChopAssoc$ $TrueChopMoreEqvMore$ $TrueEqvTrueSChopTrue$ $inteq\text{-}reflection$ $schop\text{-}d\text{-}def$)

lemma $SChopFmoreEqvFmore$:

$\vdash \#True \frown fmore = fmore$

by ($metis$ $ChopAndFiniteDist$ $TrueChopMoreEqvMore$ $fmore\text{-}d\text{-}def$ $inteq\text{-}reflection$ $schop\text{-}d\text{-}def$)

lemma $MoreSChopLoop$:

 assumes $\vdash \; f \longrightarrow \; more \frown f$

 shows $\vdash finite \longrightarrow \neg \; f$

proof $-$

 have $1: \vdash f \longrightarrow \; more \frown f$
    using $assms$ **by** $auto$

 hence $11: \vdash \Diamond \; (f) \longrightarrow \Diamond \; (more \frown f)$
    using $DiamondImpDiamond$ **by** $blast$

 have $12: \vdash \Diamond \; (more \frown f) = \#True \frown (more \frown f)$
    by ($simp$ add: $DiamondSChopdef$)

 have $13: \vdash \#True \frown (more \frown f) = (\#True \frown more) \frown f$
    by ($rule$ $SChopAssoc$)

 have $14: \vdash \; \Diamond \; (more \frown f) = more \frown f$
    using 12 13 **by** ($metis$ $TrueSChopMoreEqvMore$ $inteq\text{-}reflection$)

 have $2: \vdash \; more \frown f = \bigcirc (\Diamond \; f)$

using *MoreSChopEqvNextDiamond* **by** *blast*
have   3: ⊢ ◇ (*f*) ⟶ ○(◇ *f*)
    using *11 14 2* **by** *fastforce*
hence   4: ⊢ *finite* ⟶ ¬ (◇ *f*)
    using *NextLoop* **by** *blast*
have   5: ⊢ ¬ (◇ *f*) ⟶ ¬ *f*
    using *NowImpDiamond* **by** *fastforce*
from *4 5* **show** *?thesis* **using** *lift-imp-trans* **by** *blast*
qed

lemma *MoreSChopContra*:
 assumes ⊢   *f* ∧ ¬ *g* ⟶ (*more* ⌢ (*f* ∧ ¬ *g*))
 shows   ⊢ *f* ∧ *finite* ⟶ *g*
proof −
 have 1: ⊢ *f* ∧ ¬ *g* ⟶ (*more* ⌢ (*f* ∧ ¬ *g*)) **using** *assms* **by** *auto*
 hence 2: ⊢ *finite* ⟶ ¬ (*f* ∧ ¬ *g*) **by** (*rule MoreSChopLoop*)
 from *2* **show** *?thesis*
 by (*simp add*: *Valid-def finite-defs infinite-defs sum.case-eq-if*)
qed

lemma *MoreSChopLoopFinite*:
 assumes ⊢   *f* ∧ *finite* ⟶ *more* ⌢ *f*
 shows   ⊢ *finite* ⟶ ¬ *f*
proof −
 have   1: ⊢ *f* ∧ *finite* ⟶ *more* ⌢ *f*
    using *assms* **by** *auto*
 hence 11: ⊢ ◇ (*f* ∧ *finite*) ⟶ ◇ (*more*⌢*f*)
    using *DiamondImpDiamond* **by** *blast*
 have   12: ⊢ ◇ (*more*⌢*f*) = #*True*⌢(*more*⌢*f*)
    by (*simp add*: *DiamondSChopdef*)
 have 13: ⊢ #*True*⌢(*more*⌢*f*) = (#*True*⌢*more*)⌢*f*
    by (*rule SChopAssoc*)
 have 14: ⊢ ◇ (*more*⌢*f*) = *more*⌢*f*
    using *12 13* **by** (*metis TrueSChopMoreEqvMore inteq-reflection*)
 have   2: ⊢ *more* ⌢ *f* = ○(◇ *f*)
    using *MoreSChopEqvNextDiamond* **by** *blast*
 have   3: ⊢ ◇ (*f* ∧ *finite*) ⟶ ○(◇ *f*)
    using *11 14 2* **by** *fastforce*
 have 31: ⊢ ◇ (*f* ∧ *finite*) = ((◇ *f*) ∧ *finite*)
  by (*metis* (*no-types, lifting*) *DiamondEmptyEqvFinite FiniteAndEmptyEqvEmpty SFinAndSChop*
    SFinEqvTrueSChopAndEmpty TrueSChopEqvDiamond inteq-reflection lift-and-com)
 have 32: ⊢ (◇ *f*) ∧ *finite* ⟶ ○(◇ *f*)
  using *3 31* **by** *fastforce*
 hence 4: ⊢ *finite* ⟶ ¬ (◇ *f*)
    by (*metis* (*no-types, lifting*) *DiamondIntro FiniteChopInfEqvInf InfEqvNotFinite Prop09*
      finite-d-def int-simps(*15*) *int-simps*(*32*) *inteq-reflection sometimes-d-def*)
 have   5: ⊢ ¬ (◇ *f*) ⟶ ¬ *f*
    by (*simp add*: *NowImpDiamond*)
 from *4 5* **show** *?thesis* **using** *lift-imp-trans* **by** *fastforce*
qed

lemma *MoreSChopContraFinite*:
 assumes ⊢ $(f ∧ ¬ g) ∧ finite ⟶ (more ⌢ (f ∧ ¬ g))$
 shows ⊢ $f ∧ finite ⟶ g$
proof −
 have 1: ⊢ $(f ∧ ¬ g) ∧ finite ⟶ (more ⌢ (f ∧ ¬ g))$ **using** *assms* **by** *auto*
 hence 2: ⊢ $finite ⟶ ¬ (f ∧ ¬ g)$ **by** (*simp add*: *MoreSChopLoopFinite*)
 from 2 **show** *?thesis* **by** (*simp add*: *Valid-def*)
qed

lemma *SChopLoop*:
 assumes ⊢ $f ⟶ g⌢f$
       ⊢ $g ⟶ fmore$
 shows ⊢ $finite ⟶ ¬ f$
proof −
have 1: ⊢ $f ⟶ g⌢ f$ **using** *assms* **by** *auto*
have 2: ⊢ $g ⟶ more$ **using** *assms* **by** (*simp add*: *Prop12 fmore-d-def*)
hence 3: ⊢ $g⌢ f ⟶ more ⌢ f$ **by** (*rule LeftSChopImpSChop*)
have 4: ⊢ $f ⟶ more ⌢ f$ **using** *1 3* **by** *fastforce*
from 4 **show** *?thesis* **using** *MoreSChopLoop* **by** *auto*
qed

lemma *SChopLoopB*:
 assumes ⊢ $f ⟶ g⌢f$
       ⊢ $g ⟶ more$
 shows ⊢ $finite ⟶ ¬ f$
proof −
have 1: ⊢ $f ⟶ g⌢ f$ **using** *assms* **by** *auto*
have 2: ⊢ $g ⟶ more$ **using** *assms* **by** *auto*
hence 3: ⊢ $g⌢ f ⟶ more ⌢ f$ **by** (*rule LeftSChopImpSChop*)
have 4: ⊢ $f ⟶ more ⌢ f$ **using** *1 3* **by** *fastforce*
from 4 **show** *?thesis* **using** *MoreSChopLoop* **by** *blast*
qed

lemma *SChopContra*:
 assumes ⊢ $f ∧ ¬ g ⟶ h⌢ f ∧ ¬ (h⌢ g)$
       ⊢ $h ⟶ fmore$
 shows ⊢ $f ∧ finite ⟶ g$
proof −
have 1: ⊢ $f ∧ ¬ g ⟶ h⌢ f ∧ ¬ (h⌢ g)$ **using** *assms* **by** *auto*
have 2: ⊢ $h ⟶ more$ **using** *assms* **by** (*simp add*: *Prop12 fmore-d-def*)
have 3: ⊢ $h⌢ f ∧ ¬ (h⌢ g) ⟶ h⌢ (f ∧ ¬ g)$ **by** (*rule SChopAndNotSChopImp*)
have 4: ⊢ $h⌢ (f ∧ ¬ g) ⟶ more ⌢ (f ∧ ¬ g)$ **using** *2* **by** (*rule LeftSChopImpSChop*)
have 5: ⊢ $f ∧ ¬ g ⟶ more ⌢ (f ∧ ¬ g)$ **using** *1 3 4* **by** *fastforce*
from 5 **show** *?thesis* **using** *MoreSChopContra* **by** *auto*
qed

lemma *SChopContraB*:
 assumes ⊢ $f ∧ ¬ g ⟶ h⌢ f ∧ ¬ (h⌢ g)$
       ⊢ $h ⟶ more$

506

shows ⊢ *f* ∧ *finite* ⟶ *g*

proof −

have *1*: ⊢ *f* ∧ ¬ *g* ⟶ *h*⌢ *f* ∧ ¬ (*h*⌢ *g*) **using** *assms* **by** *auto*

have *2*: ⊢ *h* ⟶ *more* **using** *assms* **by** *auto*

have *3*: ⊢ *h*⌢ *f* ∧ ¬ (*h*⌢ *g*) ⟶ *h*⌢ (*f* ∧ ¬ *g*) **by** (*rule SChopAndNotSChopImp*)

have *4*: ⊢ *h*⌢ (*f* ∧ ¬ *g*) ⟶ *more* ⌢ (*f* ∧ ¬ *g*) **using** *2* **by** (*rule LeftSChopImpSChop*)

have *5*: ⊢ *f* ∧ ¬ *g* ⟶ *more* ⌢ (*f* ∧ ¬ *g*) **using** *1 3 4* **by** *fastforce*

from *5* **show** *?thesis* **using** *MoreSChopContra* **by** *blast*

qed

14.7   Properties of SChopstar and SChopplus

lemma *AndEmptySChopAndEmptyEqvAndEmpty*:

⊢ (*f* ∧ *empty*)⌢(*f* ∧ *empty*) = (*f* ∧ *empty*)

by (*auto simp add*: *Valid-def empty-defs schop-defs sum.case-eq-if*)

  (*metis interval-st-intlen sum.collapse*(*1*))

lemma *SPowerImpFinite*:

⊢ *spower f n* ⟶ *finite*

proof

 (*induct n*)

 case *0*

 then show *?case*

 using *EmptyImpFinite* **by** *auto*

 next

 case (*Suc n*)

 then show *?case*

 by (*metis DiamondEmptyEqvFinite FiniteAndEmptyEqvEmpty Prop10 SChopSFinExportA*

        SFinEqvTrueSChopAndEmpty TrueSChopEqvDiamond inteq-reflection spow-Suc)

 qed

lemma *SPowerCommute*:

⊢ *f* ⌢ *spower f n* = *spower f n*⌢(*f* ∧ *finite*)

proof

 (*induct n*)

 case *0*

 then show *?case*

 by (*metis ChopEmptySem EmptySChop intI inteq-reflection schop-d-def spow-0*)

 next

 case (*Suc n*)

 then show *?case*

 by (*metis SChopAssoc inteq-reflection spow-Suc*)

 qed

lemma *SChopInductL*:

 assumes ⊢ *g* ∨ *f*⌢*h* ⟶ *h*

 shows   ⊢ (*spower f n*)⌢*g* ⟶ *h*

using *assms*

by (*metis ChopInductFiniteL PowerSpowerdef Prop10 SPowerImpFinite inteq-reflection schop-d-def*)

lemma *SChopInductMoreL*:
 assumes ⊢ $g \lor (f \land more) \frown h \longrightarrow h$
 shows   ⊢ $(spower\ f\ n) \frown g \longrightarrow h$
proof
 (*induct n*)
 case *0*
 then show *?case* **using** *assms* **by** (*metis SChopInductL spow-0*)
 next
 case (*Suc n*)
 then show *?case*
 proof −
 have *1*: ⊢ $spower\ f\ (Suc\ n) \frown g\ = (f \frown spower\ f\ n) \frown g$
  by *simp*
 have *2*: ⊢ $(f \frown spower\ f\ n) \frown g = f \frown ((spower\ f\ n) \frown g)$
  by (*meson SChopAssoc Prop11*)
 have *3*: ⊢ $f \frown ((spower\ f\ n) \frown g) \longrightarrow f \frown h$
 by (*simp add*: *RightSChopImpSChop Suc.hyps*)
 have *4*: ⊢ $f \frown h = ((\ f \land more) \frown h \lor ((f \land empty)) \frown h)$
  using *neq0-conv*
  by (*auto simp add*: *Valid-def finite-defs schop-defs more-defs empty-defs sum.case-eq-if*)
      blast
 have *5*: ⊢ $((f \land more)) \frown h \longrightarrow h$ **using** *assms* **by** *auto*
 have *6*: ⊢ $((f \land empty)) \frown h \longrightarrow h$
  by (*metis AndSChopB EmptySChop inteq-reflection*)
 from *5 6 4 3 2 1* **show** *?thesis* **by** *fastforce*
 qed
qed

lemma *SChopImpFinite*:
assumes ⊢ $f \longrightarrow finite$
shows   ⊢ $g \frown f \longrightarrow finite$
using *assms*
by (*metis DiamondImpDiamond FiniteChopEqvDiamond FiniteChopFiniteEqvFinite SChopImpDiamond*
        inteq-reflection lift-imp-trans)

lemma *SChopInductR*:
 assumes ⊢ $g \lor h \frown f \longrightarrow h$
 shows   ⊢ $g \frown (spower\ f\ n) \longrightarrow h$
proof
 (*induct n*)
 case *0*
 then show *?case* **using** *assms*
   by (*metis ChopEmpty MP Prop11 Prop12 int-simps*(*33*) *lift-imp-trans schop-d-def spow-0*)
 next
 case (*Suc n*)
 then show *?case*
 proof −
 have *1*: ⊢ $g \frown (spower\ f\ (Suc\ n)) = g \frown (f \frown (spower\ f\ n))$

by *simp*
have *2*: ⊢ *g*⌢ (*f*⌢(*spower f n*)) = *g*⌢((*spower f n*)⌢ (*f* ∧ *finite*))
using *SPowerCommute* **by** (*simp add*: *SPowerCommute RightSChopEqvSChop*)
have *3*: ⊢ *g*⌢((*spower f n*)⌢ (*f* ∧ *finite*)) =
      (*g*⌢(*spower f n*))⌢ (*f* ∧ *finite*)
using *SChopAssoc* **by** *blast*
have *4*: ⊢ (*g*⌢(*spower f n*))⌢ (*f* ∧ *finite*) ⟶ *h*⌢(*f* ∧ *finite*)
using *LeftSChopImpSChop Suc.hyps* **by** *blast*
have *5*: ⊢ *h*⌢(*f* ∧ *finite*) ⟶ *h*
using *assms*
by (*metis Prop03 Prop10 SChopAndA inteq-reflection lift-imp-trans*)
from *1 2 3 4 5* **show** *?thesis* **by** *fastforce*
 qed
qed

lemma *SChopExistSPower*:
⊢ (*g*⌢(∃ *n*. *spower f n*)) = (∃ *n*. *g*⌢*spower f n*)
using *SChopExist* **by** *fastforce*

lemma *ExistSChopSPower*:
⊢ (∃ *n*. (*spower f n*)⌢*g*) = (∃ *n*. *spower f n*)⌢*g*
using *ExistSChop* **by** *fastforce*

lemma *SPowerStarCommute*:
⊢ *f*⌢(∃ *n*. *spower f n*) = (∃ *n*. *spower f n*)⌢(*f* ∧ *finite*)
proof −
 have *1*: ⊢ *f* ⌢(∃ *n*. *spower f n*) =
      (∃ *n*. *f* ⌢ *spower f n*)
 using *SChopExistSPower* **by** *blast*
 have *2*: ⊢ (∃ *n*. *f* ⌢ *spower f n*) =
      (∃ *n*. (*spower f n*)⌢(*f* ∧ *finite*))
 using *SPowerCommute* **by** *fastforce*
 have *3*: ⊢ (∃ *n*. (*spower f n*)⌢(*f* ∧ *finite*)) =
      (∃ *n*. (*spower f n*))⌢(*f* ∧ *finite*)
 using *ExistSChopSPower* **by** *blast*
 from *1 2 3* **show** *?thesis* **by** *fastforce*
qed

lemma *SPowerSucAndEmptyEqvAndEmpty*:
⊢ (*spower* (*f* ∧ *empty*) (*Suc n*)) = (*f* ∧ *empty*)
proof
 (*induct n*)
 case *0*
 then show *?case*
  by (*metis PowerSpowerdef PowerSucAndEmptyEqvAndEmpty inteq-reflection*)
 next
 case (*Suc n*)
 then show *?case*
 by (*metis AndEmptySChopAndEmptyEqvAndEmpty inteq-reflection spow-Suc*)

qed

lemma *SPowerOr*:
⊢ (*spower* (*f* ⌣ *g*) (*Suc n*)) = ((*f* ⌢ *spower* (*f* ∨ *g*) *n*) ∨
(*g* ⌢ *spower* (*f* ∨ *g*) *n*))
by (*simp add*: *FiniteOr OrSChopEqvRule*)

lemma *PowerEmptyOrMore*:
⊢ (*spower* ((*f* ∧ *empty*) ∨ (*f* ∧ *more*)) (*Suc n*)) =
((*f* ∧ *empty*)⌢(*spower* ((*f* ∧ *empty*) ∨ (*f* ∧ *more*)) *n*) ∨
(*f* ∧ *more*)⌢(*power* ((*f* ∧ *empty*) ∨ (*f* ∧ *more*)) *n*))
using *SPowerOr*
by (*metis PowerSpowerdef inteq-reflection*)

lemma *SPSEqvEmptyOrSChopSPS*:
⊢ *spowerstar f* = (*empty* ∨ *f* ⌢*spowerstar f*)
by (*simp add*: *spowerstar-d-def spowersem*)

lemma *EmptyImpSCS*:
⊢ *empty* ⟶ *schopstar f*
proof −
 have *1*: ⊢ *schopstar f* = (*empty* ∨ (*f* ∧ *more*)⌢*schopstar f*)
  by (*rule SChopstarEqv*)
 have *2*: ⊢ *empty* ⟶ *empty* ∨ (*f* ∧ *more*)⌢*schopstar f* **by** *auto*
 from *1 2* **show** *?thesis* **by** *fastforce*
qed

lemma *SCSEqvOrSChopSCS*:
⊢ *schopstar f* = (*empty* ∨ (*f* ⌢ *schopstar f*))
proof −
 have *1*: ⊢ *schopstar f* = (*empty* ∨ (*f* ∧ *more*)⌢*schopstar f*)
by (*rule SChopstarEqv*)
 have *2*: ⊢ (*f* ∧ *more*)⌢*schopstar f* ⟶ *f* ⌢*schopstar f*
by (*rule AndSChopA*)
 have *3*: ⊢ *schopstar f* ⟶ *empty* ∨ *f* ⌢ *schopstar f*
  using *1 2* **by** (*metis int-iffD1 Prop08*)
 have *4*: ⊢ *empty* ⟶ *schopstar f* **by** (*rule EmptyImpSCS*)
 have *5*: ⊢ *f* ⟶ *empty* ∨ (*f* ∧ *more*) **by** (*auto simp*: *empty-d-def*)
 have *6*: ⊢ *f* ⌢ *schopstar f* ⟶ *schopstar f* ∨ (*f* ∧ *more*)⌢ *schopstar f*
using *5* **by** (*rule EmptyOrSChopImpRule*)
 have *7*: ⊢ *schopstar f* ⟶ *empty* ∨ (*f* ∧ *more*)⌢*schopstar f*
using *1* **by** *fastforce*
 have *8*: ⊢ *f* ⌢ *schopstar f* ⟶ *empty* ∨ (*f* ∧ *more*)⌢ *schopstar f*
using *6 7* **by** *fastforce*
 hence *9*: ⊢ *f* ⌢ *schopstar f* ⟶ *schopstar f* **using** *1* **by** *fastforce*
 have *10*: ⊢ *empty* ∨ *f* ⌢ *schopstar f* ⟶ *schopstar f* **using** *9 4* **by** *fastforce*
 from *3 10* **show** *?thesis* **by** *fastforce*
qed

lemma *SPowerSChopCommute*:
⊢ ((f ∧ more))⌢spower (f ∧ more) n = spower (f ∧ more) n⌢((f ∧ more) ∧ finite)
using *SPowerCommute* **by** *auto*

lemma *SChopExist*:
⊢ (g⌢(∃ n. spower (f ∧ more) n)) = (∃ n. g⌢ spower (f ∧ more) n)
using *SChopExistSPower* **by** *auto*

lemma *ExistSChop*:
⊢ (∃ n. (spower (f ∧ more) n)⌢g) = (∃ n. spower (f ∧ more) n)⌢g
using *ExistSChopSPower* **by** *auto*

lemma *SPowerstarInductL*:
 assumes ⊢ g ∨ f⌢h ⟶ h
 shows   ⊢ (spowerstar f)⌢g ⟶ h
proof −
 have *1*: ⊢ (spowerstar f)⌢g = ((∃ n. spower f n))⌢g
 by (*simp add*: *spowerstar-d-def LeftChopEqvChop*)
 have *2*: ⊢ (∃ n. spower f n)⌢g =
         (∃ n. (spower f n)⌢g)
 using *ExistSChopSPower* **by** *fastforce*
 have *3*: ⋀ n. ⊢ (spower f n)⌢g ⟶ h
 using *SChopInductL assms* **by** *blast*
 have *4*: ⊢ (∃ n. (spower f n)⌢g) ⟶ h
 using *3* **by** (*simp add*: *Valid-def*) *fastforce*
 from *1 2 4* **show** *?thesis* **by** (*metis inteq-reflection*)
qed

lemma *SChopstarInductL*:
 assumes ⊢ g ∨ f⌢h ⟶ h
 shows   ⊢ (schopstar f)⌢ g ⟶ h
proof −
 have *1*: ⊢ (schopstar f)⌢g = (∃ n. spower (f ∧ more) n)⌢g
 by (*simp add*: *schopstar-d-def spowerstar-d-def LeftChopEqvChop*)
 have *2*: ⊢ (∃ n. spower (f ∧ more) n)⌢g =
         (∃ n. (spower (f ∧ more) n)⌢g)
 using *ExistSChopSPower* **by** *fastforce*
 have *21*: ⊢ g ∨ (f ∧ more) ⌢ h ⟶ h
   using *AndSChopA assms* **by** *fastforce*
 have *3*: ⋀ n. ⊢ (spower (f ∧ more) n)⌢g ⟶ h
 using *21 SChopInductL[of g LIFT(f ∧ more) h]* **by** *auto*
 have *4*: ⊢ (∃ n. (spower (f ∧ more) n)⌢g) ⟶ h
 using *3* **by** (*simp add*: *Valid-def*) *fastforce*
 from *1 2 4* **show** *?thesis* **by** (*metis inteq-reflection*)
qed

lemma *SChopstarInductMoreL*:
 assumes ⊢ g ∨ (f ∧ more)⌢h ⟶ h

shows $\vdash (schopstar\ f)\frown g \longrightarrow h$

proof $-$

have 1: $\vdash (schopstar\ f)\frown g = (\exists\ n.\ spower\ (f \land more)\ n)\frown g$

by (*simp add*: *schopstar-d-def spowerstar-d-def LeftChopEqvChop*)

have 2: $\vdash (\exists\ n.\ spower\ (f \land more)\ n)\frown g =$
$(\exists\ n.\ (spower\ (f \land more)\ n)\frown g)$

using *ExistSChopSPower* **by** *fastforce*

have 3: $\bigwedge n.\ \vdash (spower\ (f \land more)\ n)\frown g \longrightarrow h$

using *SChopInductL assms* **by** (*metis*)

have 4: $\vdash\ (\exists\ n.\ (spower\ (f \land more)\ n)\frown g) \longrightarrow h$

using 3 **by** *fastforce*

from $1\ 2\ 4$ **show** *?thesis*

by (*metis inteq-reflection*)

qed

lemma *SPowerstarInductR*:

assumes $\vdash g \lor h\frown f \longrightarrow h$

shows $\vdash g\frown(spowerstar\ f) \longrightarrow h$

proof $-$

have 1: $\vdash g\frown(spowerstar\ f) = g\frown((\exists\ n.\ spower\ f\ n))$

by (*simp add*: *spowerstar-d-def*)

have 2: $\vdash (g\frown(\exists\ n.\ spower\ f\ n)) = (\exists\ n.\ g\frown(spower\ f\ n))$

using *SChopExistSPower* **by** *blast*

have 3: $\bigwedge n.\ \vdash g\frown(spower\ f\ n) \longrightarrow h$

using *SChopInductR assms* **by** *blast*

have 4: $\vdash (\exists\ n.\ g\frown(spower\ f\ n)) \longrightarrow h$

using 3 **by** (*simp add*: *Valid-def*) *fastforce*

from $1\ 2\ 4$ **show** *?thesis* **by** (*metis inteq-reflection*)

qed

lemma *SChopstarInductR*:

assumes $\vdash g \lor h\frown f \longrightarrow h$

shows $\vdash g\frown(schopstar\ f) \longrightarrow h$

proof $-$

have 1: $\vdash g\frown(schopstar\ f) =$
$g\frown((\exists\ n.\ spower\ (f \land more)\ n))$

by (*simp add*: *schopstar-d-def spowerstar-d-def*)

have 2: $\vdash (g\frown(\exists\ n.\ spower\ (f \land more)\ n)) =$
$((\exists\ n.\ g\frown spower\ (f \land more)\ n))$

using *SChopExistSPower* **by** *fastforce*

have 21: $\vdash\ h \frown (f \land more) \longrightarrow h$

  using *assms*

  by (*metis Prop03 Prop10 SChopAndA inteq-reflection lift-imp-trans*)

have 22: $\vdash g \longrightarrow h$

  using *assms* **by** *auto*

have 23: $\vdash g \lor h \frown (f \land more) \longrightarrow h$

  using $21\ 22\ $ *Prop02* **by** *blast*

have 3: $\bigwedge n.\ \vdash g\frown(spower\ (f \land more)\ n) \longrightarrow h$

512

using *23 SChopInductR*[*of g h LIFT*(*f* ∧ *more*)] **by** *auto*
have *4*: ⊢ (∃ *n*. *g*⌢(*spower* (*f* ∧ *more*) *n*)) ⟶ *h*
using *3* **by** (*simp add*: *Valid-def*) *fastforce*
from *1* *2* *4* **show** *?thesis* **by** (*metis inteq-reflection*)
qed

lemma *SChopstarInductMoreR*:
 assumes ⊢ *g* ∨ *h*⌢(*f* ∧ *more*) ⟶ *h*
 shows   ⊢ *g*⌢(*schopstar f*) ⟶ *h*
proof −
 have *1*: ⊢ *g*⌢(*schopstar f*) = *g*⌢((∃ *n*. *spower* (*f* ∧ *more*) *n*))
 by (*simp add*: *schopstar-d-def spowerstar-d-def*)
 have *2*: ⊢ (*g*⌢(∃ *n*. *spower* (*f* ∧ *more*) *n*)) =
        ((∃ *n*. *g*⌢*spower* (*f* ∧ *more*) *n*))
 using *SChopExistSPower* **by** *fastforce*
 have *3*: ⋀ *n*. ⊢ *g*⌢(*spower* (*f* ∧ *more*) *n*) ⟶ *h*
 using *SChopInductR assms* **by** (*metis*)
 have *4*: ⊢ (∃ *n*. *g*⌢(*spower* (*f* ∧ *more*) *n*)) ⟶ *h*
 using *3* **by** (*simp add*: *Valid-def*) *fastforce*
 from *1* *2* *4* **show** *?thesis* **by** (*metis inteq-reflection*)
qed

lemma *SChopstarImpSPowerstar*:
⊢ *schopstar f* ⟶ *spowerstar f*
by (*metis* (*mono-tags*, *lifting*) *PowerSpowerdef SChopstarFPowerstardef fpowerstar-d-def intI*
      intensional-rews(*3*) *intensional-rews*(*6*) *inteq-reflection spowerstar-d-def*)

lemma *SPowerstarImpSChopstar*:
⊢ *spowerstar f* ⟶ *schopstar f*
by (*metis* (*mono-tags*, *lifting*) *PowerSpowerdef SChopstarFPowerstardef fpowerstar-d-def intI*
      intensional-rews(*3*) *intensional-rews*(*6*) *inteq-reflection spowerstar-d-def*)

lemma *SChopstarEqvSPowerstar*:
⊢ *schopstar f* = *spowerstar f*
using *SChopstarImpSPowerstar SPowerstarImpSChopstar* **by** *fastforce*

lemma *SCSAndMoreEqvAndMoreSChop*:
 ⊢ (*schopstar f* ∧ *more*) = (*f* ∧ *more*)⌢ *schopstar f*
proof −
 have *1*: ⊢ (*empty* ∨ (*f* ∧ *more*)⌢ *schopstar f*) ∧ *more* ⟶ (*f* ∧ *more*)⌢ *schopstar f*
     by (*auto simp*: *empty-d-def*)
 have *2*: ⊢ *schopstar f* = (*empty* ∨ (*f* ∧ *more*)⌢ *schopstar f*)
     by (*rule SChopstarEqv*)
 have *3*: ⊢ *schopstar f* ∧ *more* ⟶ (*f* ∧ *more*)⌢ *schopstar f*
     using *1 2* **by** *fastforce*
 have *4*: ⊢ (*f* ∧ *more*)⌢ *schopstar f* ⟶ *schopstar f*
     using *2* **by** *fastforce*
 have *5*: ⊢ (*f* ∧ *more*) ⟶ *more*
     by *auto*

hence $6 : \vdash (f \land \text{ more }) \frown \text{schopstar } f \longrightarrow \text{ more}$
  by (*rule LeftSChopImpMoreRule*)
have $7 : \vdash (f \land \text{ more}) \frown \text{schopstar } f \longrightarrow \text{schopstar } f \land \text{ more}$
  using *4 6* **by** *fastforce*
from *3 7* **show** *?thesis* **by** *fastforce*
qed

lemma *SPowerAndMoreAndFinite*:
$\vdash ((\text{spower } (f \land \text{more}) \ n) \land \text{finite}) = (\text{spower } (f \land \text{more}) \ n)$
by (*meson Prop10 Prop11 SPowerImpFinite*)

lemma *SCSAndFinite*:
$\vdash (\text{schopstar } f \land \text{finite}) = \text{schopstar } f$
proof $-$
have $1 : \vdash (\text{schopstar } f \land \text{finite}) = ((\exists \ n. \ \text{spower } (f \land \text{more}) \ n) \land \text{finite})$
  by (*simp add*: *schopstar-d-def spowerstar-d-def intI*)
have $2 : \vdash ((\exists \ n. \ \text{spower } (f \land \text{more}) \ n) \land \text{finite}) =$
        $(\exists \ n. \ \text{spower } (f \land \text{more}) \ n \land \text{finite})$
  by (*simp add*: *Valid-def*)
have $3 : \vdash (\exists \ n. \ \text{spower } (f \land \text{more}) \ n \land \text{finite}) =$
        $(\exists \ n. \ (\text{spower } (f \land \text{more}) \ n))$
  using *SPowerAndMoreAndFinite* **by** *fastforce*
have $4 : \vdash (\exists \ n. \ (\text{spower } (f \land \text{more}) \ n)) = \text{schopstar } f$
by (*simp add*: *schopstar-d-def spowerstar-d-def*)
from *1 2 3 4* **show** *?thesis* **by** *fastforce*
qed

lemma *SPowerchopAndFmore*:
$\vdash ((\text{spower } (f \land \text{more}) \ (\text{Suc } n)) \land \text{fmore}) = (\text{spower } (f \land \text{more}) \ (\text{Suc } n))$
by (*metis* (*no-types, lifting*) *FiniteChopSkipEqvFiniteAndMore FiniteChopSkipEqvSkipChopFinite*
   FmoreEqvSkipChopFinite LeftSChopImpMoreRule Prop10 Prop12 SPowerImpFinite int-iffD1
   inteq-reflection lift-and-com spower-d.simps(2))

lemma *ExistSPowerAndMoreExpand*:
$\vdash (\exists \ n. \ \text{spower } (f \land \text{more}) \ n) = (\text{ empty } \lor (\exists \ n. \ (\text{spower } (f \land \text{more}) \ (\text{Suc } n))))$
using *spowersem1*[*of LIFT*($f \land \text{more}$)] **by** *auto*

lemma *SCSAndMoreEqvAndFMoreSChop*:
$\vdash (\text{schopstar } f \land \text{ fmore}) = (f \land \text{ more }) \frown \text{schopstar } f$
proof $-$
have $1 : \vdash (\text{schopstar } f \land \text{ fmore}) = ((\exists \ n. \ \text{spower } (f \land \text{more}) \ n) \land \text{fmore})$
  by (*simp add*: *schopstar-d-def spowerstar-d-def intI*)
have $2 : \vdash ((\exists \ n. \ \text{spower } (f \land \text{more}) \ n) \land \text{fmore}) =$
        $(\exists \ n. \ \text{spower } (f \land \text{more}) \ n \land \text{fmore})$
  by (*simp add*: *Valid-def*)

have *3*: ⊢ (∃ *n. spower* (*f* ∧ *more*) *n* ∧ *fmore*) =
      ((*spower* (*f* ∧ *more*) *0* ∨ (∃ *n.* (*spower* (*f* ∧ *more*) (*Suc n*)))) ∧ *fmore*)
using *ExistSPowerAndMoreExpand* **by** *fastforce*
have *4*: ⊢ ((*spower* (*f* ∧ *more*) *0* ∨ (∃ *n.* (*spower* (*f* ∧ *more*) (*Suc n*)))) ∧ *fmore*) =
      (((*spower* (*f* ∧ *more*) *0* ∧ *fmore*) ∨ ((∃ *n.* (*spower* (*f* ∧ *more*) (*Suc n*))) ∧ *fmore*)))
by *auto*
have *5*: ⊢ ((((*spower* (*f* ∧ *more*) *0* ∧ *fmore*) ∨ ((∃ *n.* (*spower* (*f* ∧ *more*) (*Suc n*))) ∧ *fmore*))) =
      ((∃ *n.* (*spower* (*f* ∧ *more*) (*Suc n*))) ∧ *fmore*)
using *NotFmoreAndEmpty* **by** *fastforce*
have *6*: ⊢ ((∃ *n.* (*spower* (*f* ∧ *more*) (*Suc n*))) ∧ *fmore*) =
      (∃ *n.* (*spower* (*f* ∧ *more*) (*Suc n*)))
using *SPowerchopAndFmore* **by** *fastforce*
have *7*: ⊢ (∃ *n.* (*spower* (*f* ∧ *more*) (*Suc n*))) =
      (∃ *n.* ((*f* ∧ *more*)⌢(*spower* (*f* ∧ *more*) *n*)))
by (*simp*)
have *8*: ⊢ (∃ *n.* ((*f* ∧ *more*)⌢(*spower* (*f* ∧ *more*) *n*))) =
      (*f* ∧ *more*)⌢(∃ *n.* (*spower* (*f* ∧ *more*) *n*))
  using *SChopExist* **by** *fastforce*
have *9*: ⊢ (∃ *n.* (*spower* (*f* ∧ *more*) *n*)) =
      schopstar f
 by (*simp add*: *schopstar-d-def spowerstar-d-def intI*)
hence *10*: ⊢ (*f* ∧ *more*)⌢(∃ *n.* (*spower* (*f* ∧ *more*) *n*)) =
      (*f* ∧ *more*)⌢*schopstar f*
 by (*simp add*: *RightSChopEqvSChop*)
  from *1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 10* **show** *?thesis* **by** (*metis inteq-reflection*)
qed

lemma *SCSAndMoreImpSChopSCS*:
⊢ *schopstar f* ∧ *more* ⟶ *f* ⌢ *schopstar f*
proof −
 have *1*: ⊢ (*schopstar f* ∧ *more*) = (*f* ∧ *more*)⌢ *schopstar f* **by** (*rule SCSAndMoreEqvAndMoreSChop*)
 have *2*: ⊢ (*f* ∧ *more*)⌢ *schopstar f* ⟶ *f* ⌢ *schopstar f* **by** (*rule AndSChopA*)
 from *1 2* **show** *?thesis* **by** *fastforce*
qed

lemma *SCSMoreNotImpSChopSCSAndMore*:
⊢ *schopstar f* ∧ *more* ∧ ¬ *f* ⟶ (*f* ∧ *more*)⌢ (*schopstar f* ∧ *more*)
proof −
 have *1*: ⊢ (*schopstar f* ∧ *more*) = (*f* ∧ *more*)⌢ *schopstar f*
    by (*rule SCSAndMoreEqvAndMoreSChop*)
 have *2*: ⊢ *empty* ∨ *more*
    by (*auto simp*: *empty-d-def*)
 hence *3*: ⊢ *schopstar f* ⟶ *empty* ∨ (*schopstar f* ∧ *more*)
    by *auto*
 hence *4*: ⊢ (*f* ∧ *more*)⌢ *schopstar f* ⟶ (*f* ∧ *more*) ∨ ((*f* ∧ *more*)⌢ (*schopstar f* ∧ *more*))
    using *SChopEmptyOrImpRule*
    by (*metis 1 AndMoreAndFiniteEqvAndFmore SCSAndMoreEqvAndFMoreSChop inteq-reflection*)
 hence *5*: ⊢ (*f* ∧ *more*)⌢ *schopstar f* ∧ ¬(*f* ∧ *more*) ⟶ ((*f* ∧ *more*)⌢ (*schopstar f* ∧ *more*))
    by *fastforce*
 have *6*: ⊢ (*f* ∧ *more*)⌢ *schopstar f* = ((*f* ∧ *more*)⌢ *schopstar f* ∧ *more*) **using** *1*

by *auto*
have *7*: ⊢ ((*f* ∧ *more*)⌢ *schopstar f* ∧ ¬(*f* ∧ *more*)) =
        ((*f* ∧ *more*)⌢ *schopstar f* ∧ *more* ∧ ¬(*f* ∧ *more*))
    using *6* **by** *auto*
have *8*: ⊢ (*f* ∧ *more*)⌢ *schopstar f* ∧ *more* ∧ ¬ *f* ⟶ (*f* ∧ *more*)⌢ (*schopstar f* ∧ *more*)
    using *5 7* **by** *auto*
have *9*: ⊢ (*schopstar f* ∧ *more* ∧ ¬ *f*) = ((*schopstar f* ∧ *more*) ∧ (*more* ∧ ¬ *f*))
    by *auto*
have *10*: ⊢ ((*schopstar f* ∧ *more*) ∧ (*more* ∧ ¬ *f*)) =
        ((*f* ∧ *more*)⌢ *schopstar f* ∧ (*more* ∧ ¬ *f*))
    using *1* **by** *fastforce*
from *1 8 9 10* **show** *?thesis* **by** *fastforce*
qed

lemma *SChopplusCommuteImpA*:
⊢ *schopstar f* ⌢(*f* ∧ *finite*) ⟶ *f* ⌢*schopstar f*
by (*metis SChopstarEqvSPowerstar SPowerStarCommute int-iffD1 inteq-reflection spowerstar-d-def*)

lemma *SChopplusCommuteImpB*:
⊢ *f* ⌢*schopstar f* ⟶ *schopstar f* ⌢(*f* ∧ *finite*)
by (*metis SChopstarEqvSPowerstar SPowerStarCommute int-iffD2 inteq-reflection spowerstar-d-def*)

lemma *SChopplusCommute*:
⊢ *f* ⌢*schopstar f* = *schopstar f* ⌢(*f* ∧ *finite*)
using *SChopplusCommuteImpA SChopplusCommuteImpB* **by** *fastforce*

lemma *SCSEqvOrChopSCSB*:
⊢ *schopstar f* = (*empty* ∨ (*schopstar f* ⌢ (*f* ∧ *finite*)))
by (*meson SCSEqvOrSChopSCS SChopplusCommute Prop06*)

lemma *SCSAndMoreImpSCSSChop*:
⊢ *schopstar f* ∧ *more* ⟶ *schopstar f* ⌢ (*f* ∧ *finite*)
using *SCSAndMoreEqvAndMoreSChop SChopplusCommute SCSAndMoreImpSChopSCS* **by** *fastforce*

lemma *SPowerSChopSPower*:
⊢ (*spower* (*f* ∧ *more*) *n*)⌢ (*spower* (*f* ∧ *more*) *k*) = (*spower* (*f* ∧ *more*) (*n*+*k*))
proof
(*induct n arbitrary*: *k*)
case *0*
then show *?case* **by** (*metis EmptySChop add.left-neutral spow-0*)
next
case (*Suc n*)
then show *?case*
by (*metis PowerChopPower PowerSpowerdef SPowerAndMoreAndFinite inteq-reflection schop-d-def*)
qed

lemma *SCSSChopSCS*:
⊢ *schopstar f* ⌢ *schopstar f* = *schopstar f*
proof −

have *1*: ⊢ *schopstar f* ⌢ *schopstar f* ⟶ *schopstar f*
 by (*metis Prop02 Prop03 SChopstarEqv SChopstarEqvSPowerstar SChopstarInductMoreL*
    SPowerstarImpSChopstar inteq-reflection)
have *2*: ⊢ *schopstar f* ⟶ *schopstar f* ⌢ *schopstar f*
  by (*metis* (*no-types, lifting*) *AndSFinEqvSChopAndEmpty DiamondEmptyEqvFinite EmptyImpSCS*
     FiniteAndEmptyEqvEmpty SCSAndFinite SChopImpSChop SChopstarEqvSPowerstar
     SFinEqvTrueSChopAndEmpty SPowerstarImpSChopstar TrueSChopEqvDiamond inteq-reflection)
 from *1 2* **show** *?thesis* **by** *fastforce*
qed

lemma *NotSCSImpMore*:
⊢   ¬ (*schopstar f*) ⟶ *more*
proof −
 have *1*: ⊢ *empty* ⟶ *schopstar f* **using** *EmptyImpSCS* **by** *blast*
 hence *2*: ⊢ ¬ *empty* ∨ *schopstar f* **by** *fastforce*
 from *2* **show** *?thesis* **using** *1 NotEmptyEqvMore* **by** *fastforce*
qed

lemma *NotSCSAndInf*:
⊢ ¬(*schopstar f* ∧ *inf*)
using *InfEqvNotFinite SCSAndFinite* **by** *fastforce*

lemma *SCSSChopSCSImpSCS*:
⊢ (*schopstar f* ⌢ *schopstar f*) ⟶ *schopstar f*
by (*simp add*: *SCSSChopSCS int-iffD1*)

lemma *ImpSChopPlus*:
⊢   *f* ∧ *finite* ⟶ *f* ⌢*schopstar f*
proof −
 have *1*: ⊢ *schopstar f* = (*empty* ∨ *f* ⌢ *schopstar f*)   **by** (*rule SCSEqvOrSChopSCS*)
 hence *2*: ⊢ *f* ⌢*schopstar f* = (*f* ⌢*empty* ∨ *f* ⌢ (*f* ⌢*schopstar f*)) **using** *SChopOrEqvRule* **by** *blast*
 have *3*: ⊢ *finite* ⟶ *f* ⌢*empty* = *f* **using** *SChopEmpty* **by** *blast*
 from *2 3* **show** *?thesis* **by** *fastforce*
qed

lemma *ImpSCS*:
⊢   *f* ∧ *finite* ⟶ *schopstar f*
proof −
 have *1*: ⊢ *f* ∧ *finite* ⟶ *f* ⌢ *schopstar f* **by** (*rule ImpSChopPlus*)
 hence *2*: ⊢ *f* ∧ *finite* ⟶ *empty* ∨ *f* ⌢*schopstar f* **by** *auto*
 from *2* **show** *?thesis* **using** *SCSEqvOrSChopSCS* **by** *fastforce*
qed

lemma *SCSSChopImpSCS*:
⊢ *schopstar f* ⌢ (*f* ∧ *finite*) ⟶ *schopstar f*
proof −
 have *1*: ⊢ *f* ∧ *finite* ⟶ *schopstar f* **by** (*rule ImpSCS*)
 hence *2*: ⊢ *schopstar f* ⌢ (*f* ∧ *finite*) ⟶ *schopstar f* ⌢ *schopstar f* **by** (*rule RightSChopImpSChop*)
 hence *3*: ⊢ *schopstar f* ⌢ (*f* ∧ *finite*) ⟶ *schopstar f* ⌢ *schopstar f* **by** *auto*

have 4: ⊢ *schopstar f* ⌢ *schopstar f* ⟶ *schopstar f* **by** (*rule SCSSChopSCSImpSCS*)
from 2 3 4 **show** *?thesis* **using** *lift-imp-trans* **by** *blast*
qed

lemma *SChopPlusImpSCS*:
⊢ *f* ⌢ *schopstar f* ⟶ *schopstar f*
proof −
have 1: ⊢ *f* ⌢ *schopstar f* ⟶ *empty* ∨ *f* ⌢ *schopstar f* **by** *auto*
from 1 **show** *?thesis* **using** *SCSEqvOrSChopSCS* **by** *fastforce*
qed

lemma *SCSSChopEqvOrSChopPlusSChop*:
⊢ *schopstar f* ⌢ *g* = (*g* ∨ (*f* ⌢ *schopstar f*) ⌢ *g*)
proof −
have 1: ⊢ *schopstar f* = (*empty* ∨ *f* ⌢ *schopstar f*) **by** (*rule SCSEqvOrSChopSCS*)
from 1 **show** *?thesis* **using** *EmptyOrSChopEqvRule* **by** *blast*
qed

lemma *SCSElim*:
assumes ⊢ *empty* ⟶ *g*
⊢ (*f* ∧ *more*) ⌢ *g* ⟶ *g*
shows ⊢ *schopstar f* ⟶ *g*
proof −
have 1: ⊢ *empty* ∨ (*f* ∧ *more*) ⌢ *g* ⟶ *g*
using *assms* **using** *Prop02* **by** *blast*
have 2: ⊢ (*schopstar f*) ⌢ *empty* ⟶ *g*
using *SChopstarInductMoreL* 1 **by** *blast*
from 2 **show** *?thesis*
by (*metis AndSFinEqvSChopAndEmpty DiamondEmptyEqvFinite FiniteAndEmptyEqvEmpty SCSAndFinite*
SFinEqvTrueSChopAndEmpty TrueSChopEqvDiamond inteq-reflection)
qed

lemma *SChopstarImp*:
assumes ⊢ *f* ⌢ (*schopstar g*) ∨ *empty* ⟶ (*schopstar g*)
shows ⊢ (*schopstar f*) ⟶ (*schopstar g*)
using *assms SChopstarInductL*[*of LIFT*(*empty*) *f LIFT*(*schopstar g*)]
SChopEmpty[*of LIFT*(*schopstar f*)]
by (*metis ChopEmpty SCSAndFinite int-eq int-simps*(33) *lift-and-com schop-d-def*)

lemma *SCSSCSImpSCS*:
⊢ *schopstar* (*schopstar f*) ⟶ *schopstar f*
proof −
have 1: ⊢ ((*schopstar f*) ⌢ (*schopstar f*)) ∨ *empty* ⟶ (*schopstar f*)
by (*meson SCSSChopSCSImpSCS EmptyImpSCS Prop02*)
from 1 **show** *?thesis* **using** *SChopstarImp* **by** *blast*
qed

lemma *SCSImpSCSSCS*:

$\vdash$ *schopstar f* $\longrightarrow$ *schopstar* (*schopstar f*)
using *ImpSCS* **by** (*metis SCSAndFinite inteq-reflection*)

lemma *SCSSCSEqvSCS*:
$\vdash$ *schopstar* (*schopstar f*) = *schopstar f*
by (*simp add*: *SCSSCSImpSCS SCSImpSCSSCS int-iffI*)

lemma *RightEmptyOrSChopEqv*:
$\vdash$ $g \frown (\text{empty} \lor f) = ((g \land \text{finite}) \lor (g \frown f))$
proof −
have *1*: $\vdash$ $g \frown (\text{empty} \lor f) = (g \frown \text{empty} \lor g \frown f)$ **by** (*rule SChopOrEqv*)
have *2*: $\vdash$ *finite* $\longrightarrow$ $g \frown \text{empty} = g$ **by** (*rule SChopEmpty*)
from *1 2* **show** *?thesis* **by** (*simp add*: *RightEmptyOrChopEqv schop-d-def*)
qed

lemma *RightEmptyOrSChopEqvRule*:
assumes $\vdash$ $f = (\text{empty} \lor f1)$
shows $\vdash$ $g \frown f = ((g \land \text{finite}) \lor (g \frown f1))$
proof −
have *1*: $\vdash$ $f = (\text{empty} \lor f1)$ **using** *assms* **by** *auto*
hence *2*: $\vdash$ $g \frown f = g \frown (\text{empty} \lor f1)$ **by** (*rule RightSChopEqvSChop*)
have *3*: $\vdash$ $g \frown (\text{empty} \lor f1) = ((g \land \text{finite}) \lor (g \frown f1))$ **by** (*rule RightEmptyOrSChopEqv*)
from *2 3* **show** *?thesis* **by** *fastforce*
qed

lemma *SChopPlusEqvOrSChopSChopPlus*:
$\vdash$ $(f \frown \text{schopstar } f) = ((f \land \text{finite}) \lor f \frown (f \frown \text{schopstar } f))$
proof −
have *1*: $\vdash$ *schopstar f* = $(\text{empty} \lor f \frown \text{schopstar } f)$ **by** (*rule SCSEqvOrSChopSCS*)
from *1* **show** *?thesis* **by** (*rule RightEmptyOrSChopEqvRule*)
qed

lemma *SCSAndEmptyEqvEmpty*:
$\vdash$ (*schopstar f* $\land$ *empty*) = *empty*
using *EmptyImpSCS* **by** *fastforce*

lemma *NotAndMoreSChopAndEmpty*:
$\vdash$ $\neg(((f \land \text{more}) \frown g) \land \text{empty})$
by (*metis LeftSChopImpMoreRule Prop05 Prop12 Prop13 empty-d-def int-iffD1 int-simps*(*15*)
        inteq-reflection lift-and-com)

lemma *NotSChopAndMoreAndEmpty*:
$\vdash$ $\neg((f \frown (g \land \text{more})) \land \text{empty})$
by (*simp add*: *NotChopAndMoreAndEmpty schop-d-def*)

lemma *SChopSCSAndEmptyEqvAndEmpty*:
$\vdash$ $((f \frown \text{schopstar } f) \land \text{empty}) = (f \land \text{empty})$
proof −
have *1*: $\vdash$ $((f \frown \text{schopstar } f) \land \text{empty}) = (f \land \text{empty}) \frown (\text{schopstar } f \land \text{empty})$
    using *SChopAndEmptyEqvEmptySChopEmpty* **by** *blast*

have 2: ⊢ $(f \land empty) \frown (schopstar\ f \land empty) = (f \land empty) \frown empty$
   using *SCSAndEmptyEqvEmpty* **using** *RightSChopEqvSChop* **by** *blast*
have 3: ⊢ $(f \land empty) \frown empty = (f \land empty)$
   by (*metis AndChopA AndEmptySChopAndEmptyEqvAndEmpty ChopEmpty Prop11 SChopAndB*
     inteq-reflection schop-d-def)
show *?thesis*
 using *2 3 SChopAndEmptyEqvEmptySChopEmpty* **by** *fastforce*
qed

lemma *AndMoreSChopAndMoreEqvAndMoreSChop*:
⊢ $((f \land more) \frown g \land more) = (f \land more) \frown g$
by (*meson AndSChopB MoreSChopImpMore Prop10 Prop11 lift-imp-trans*)

lemma *AndFmoreOrAndEmptyEqvAndFinite*:
⊢ $((f \land more \land finite) \lor (f \land empty)) = (f \land finite)$
by (*auto simp add: Valid-def empty-defs more-defs finite-defs sum.case-eq-if*)

lemma *SChopPlusEqv*:
⊢ $(f \frown schopstar\ f) = ((f \land finite) \lor (f \land more) \frown (f \frown schopstar\ f))$
proof −
 have 1: ⊢ $schopstar\ f = (empty \lor (f \land more) \frown schopstar\ f)$
   by (*rule SChopstarEqv*)
 have 2: ⊢ $schopstar\ f = (empty \lor f \frown schopstar\ f)$
   by (*rule SCSEqvOrSChopSCS*)
 hence 3: ⊢ $(empty \lor f \frown schopstar\ f) = (empty \lor (f \land more) \frown schopstar\ f)$
   using *1 2* **by** *fastforce*
 have 4: ⊢ $(f \land more) \frown schopstar\ f = (f \land more) \frown (empty \lor f \frown schopstar\ f)$
   using *2* **using** *RightSChopEqvSChop* **by** *blast*
 hence 5: ⊢ $empty \lor f \frown schopstar\ f = empty \lor (f \land more) \frown (empty \lor f \frown schopstar\ f)$
   using *3 4* **by** *fastforce*
 have 6: ⊢ $(f \land more) \frown (empty \lor f \frown schopstar\ f) =$
     $((f \land more) \frown empty \lor (f \land more) \frown (f \frown schopstar\ f))$
   using *SChopOrEqv* **by** *blast*
 have 7: ⊢ $(f \land more) \frown empty = (f \land more \land finite)$
   by (*metis AndMoreAndFiniteEqvAndFmore ChopEmpty fmore-d-def inteq-reflection schop-d-def*)
 have 8: ⊢ $(empty \lor f \frown schopstar\ f) =$
     $(empty \lor (f \land more \land finite) \lor (f \land more) \frown (f \frown schopstar\ f))$
   using *5 6 7* **by** (*metis 2 3 inteq-reflection*)
 have 9: ⊢ $((empty \lor f \frown schopstar\ f) \land more) = (f \frown schopstar\ f \land more)$
   by (*auto simp: empty-d-def*)
 have 10: ⊢ $((empty \lor (f \land more \land finite) \lor (f \land more) \frown (f \frown schopstar\ f)) \land more) =$
     $(((f \land more \land finite) \lor (f \land more) \frown (f \frown schopstar\ f)) \land more)$
   by (*auto simp: empty-d-def*)
 have 11: ⊢ $(((f \land more \land finite) \lor (f \land more) \frown (f \frown schopstar\ f)) \land more) =$
     $((f \land more \land finite) \lor (f \land more) \frown (f \frown schopstar\ f))$
   using *10 6 7 int-eq*
   using *AndMoreSChopAndMoreEqvAndMoreSChop* **by** *fastforce*
 have 12: ⊢ $(f \frown schopstar\ f \land more) = ((f \land more \land finite) \lor (f \land more) \frown (f \frown schopstar\ f))$
   using *8 9 10 11* **by** *fastforce*

have *13*: ⊢ (*f* ⌢*schopstar f* ∧ *empty*) = (*f* ∧ *empty*)
    by (*rule SChopSCSAndEmptyEqvAndEmpty*)
have *14*: ⊢ ((*f* ∧ *more* ∧ *finite*) ∨ (*f* ∧ *more*) ⌢ (*f* ⌢*schopstar f*) ∨ (*f* ∧ *empty*)) =
      ((*f* ∧ *finite*) ∨ (*f* ∧ *more*) ⌢(*f* ⌢*schopstar f*))
   using *AndFmoreOrAndEmptyEqvAndFinite*[*of f*]
   by *auto*
have *15*: ⊢ *f* ⌢*schopstar f* = ((*f* ⌢*schopstar f* ∧ *empty*) ∨ (*f* ⌢*schopstar f* ∧ *more*))
    by (*auto simp*: *empty-d-def*)
from *12 13 14 15* **show** *?thesis* **by** *fastforce*
qed

lemma *SChopSChopPlusImpSChopPlus*:
⊢ *f* ⌢ (*f* ⌢*schopstar f*) ⟶ *f* ⌢*schopstar f*
proof −
 have *1*: ⊢ *empty* ∨ *more* **by** (*auto simp*: *empty-d-def*)
 hence *2*: ⊢ *f* ⟶ *empty* ∨ (*f* ∧ *more*) **by** *auto*
 hence *3*: ⊢ *f* ⌢ (*f* ⌢*schopstar f*) ⟶ (*f* ⌢*schopstar f*) ∨ (*f* ∧ *more*) ⌢(*f* ⌢*schopstar f*)
 by (*rule EmptyOrSChopImpRule*)
 have *4*: ⊢ *f* ⌢*schopstar f* = ((*f* ∧ *finite*) ∨ (*f* ∧ *more*) ⌢ (*f* ⌢*schopstar f*))
 by (*rule SChopPlusEqv*)
 hence *5*: ⊢ (*f* ∧ *more*) ⌢ (*f* ⌢*schopstar f*) ⟶ *f* ⌢*schopstar f* **by** *auto*
 from *3 5* **show** *?thesis* **using** *SChopPlusImpSCS RightSChopImpSChop* **by** *blast*
qed

lemma *SCSImpSCS*:
 assumes ⊢ *f* ⟶ *g*
 shows ⊢ *schopstar f* ⟶ *schopstar g*
using *assms*
by (*metis AndSChopB EmptyImpSCS Prop02 Prop10 SChopPlusImpSCS SChopstarImp*
    inteq-reflection lift-imp-trans)

lemma *SChopPlusImpSChopPlus*:
 assumes ⊢ *f* ⟶ *g*
 shows ⊢ *f* ⌢*schopstar f* ⟶ *g* ⌢*schopstar g*
using *assms* **by** (*simp add*: *SCSImpSCS SChopImpSChop*)

lemma *SChopPlusIntro*:
 assumes ⊢ *f* ⟶ (*g* ∧ *finite*) ∨ (*g* ∧ *more*) ⌢ *f*
 shows ⊢ *f* ∧ *finite* ⟶ *g* ⌢*schopstar g*
proof −
 have *1*: ⊢ *f* ∧ ¬ (*g* ∧ *finite*) ⟶ (*g* ∧ *more*) ⌢ *f* **using** *assms* **by** *auto*
 have *2*: ⊢ *g* ⌢*schopstar g* = ((*g* ∧ *finite*) ∨ (*g* ∧ *more*) ⌢ (*g* ⌢*schopstar g*))
 by (*rule SChopPlusEqv*)
 have *3*: ⊢ *f* ∧ ¬ (*g* ⌢*schopstar g*) ⟶
    (*g* ∧ *more*) ⌢ *f* ∧ ¬ ((*g* ∧ *more*) ⌢ (*g* ⌢*schopstar g*)) **using** *1 2*
  by *fastforce*
 have *4*: ⊢ *g* ∧ *more* ⟶ *more* **by** *auto*
 from *3 4* **show** *?thesis* **using** *SChopContraB* **by** *blast*

qed

lemma *SChopPlusElim*:
 assumes $\vdash$ $f \longrightarrow g$
       $\vdash (f \wedge$ *more* $) \frown g \longrightarrow g$
 shows $\vdash f \frown schopstar\ f \longrightarrow g$
proof $-$
 have *1*: $\vdash f \vee (f \wedge more) \frown g \longrightarrow g$
 using *assms Prop02* **by** *blast*
 have *2*: $\vdash schopstar\ f \frown f \longrightarrow g$
  using *SChopstarInductMoreL 1* **by** *blast*
 from *2* **show** *?thesis*
 using *SChopplusCommute* **by** (*metis Prop10 Prop12 SChopAndA inteq-reflection*)
qed

lemma *SChopPlusElimWithoutMore*:
 assumes $\vdash$ $f \longrightarrow g$
       $\vdash f \frown g \longrightarrow g$
 shows $\vdash f \frown schopstar\ f \longrightarrow g$
proof $-$
 have *1*: $\vdash f \longrightarrow g$ **using** *assms* **by** *blast*
 have *2*: $\vdash (f \frown g) \longrightarrow g$ **using** *assms* **by** *blast*
 have *3*: $\vdash (f \wedge$ *more* $) \frown g \longrightarrow f \frown g$ **by** (*rule AndSChopA*)
 have *4*: $\vdash (f \wedge$ *more* $) \frown g \longrightarrow g$ **using** *2 3 lift-imp-trans* **by** *blast*
 from *1 4* **show** *?thesis* **using** *SChopPlusElim* **by** *blast*
qed

lemma *SChopPlusEqvSChopPlus*:
 assumes $\vdash$ $f = g$
 shows $\vdash f \frown schopstar\ f = g \frown schopstar\ g$
proof $-$
 have *1*: $\vdash f = g$ **using** *assms* **by** *auto*
 hence *2*: $\vdash f \longrightarrow g$ **by** *auto*
 hence *3*: $\vdash f \frown schopstar\ f \longrightarrow g \frown schopstar\ g$ **by** (*rule SChopPlusImpSChopPlus*)
 have *4*: $\vdash g \longrightarrow f$ **using** *1* **by** *auto*
 hence *5*: $\vdash g \frown schopstar\ g \longrightarrow f \frown schopstar\ f$ **by** (*rule SChopPlusImpSChopPlus*)
 from *3 5* **show** *?thesis* **by** *fastforce*
qed

lemma *SCSEqvSCS*:
 assumes $\vdash$ $f = g$
 shows $\vdash schopstar\ f = schopstar\ g$
proof $-$
 have *1*: $\vdash f = g$ **using** *assms* **by** *auto*
 hence *2*: $\vdash f \frown schopstar\ f = g \frown schopstar\ g$ **by** (*rule SChopPlusEqvSChopPlus*)
 hence *3*: $\vdash (empty \vee f \frown schopstar\ f) = (empty \vee g \frown schopstar\ g)$ **by** *auto*
 from *3* **show** *?thesis* **using** *SCSEqvOrSChopSCS* **by** (*metis int-eq*)
qed

lemma *AndSCSA*:
⊢ *schopstar* (*f* ∧ *g*) ⟶ *schopstar f*
proof −
have *1*: ⊢ *f* ∧ *g* ⟶ *f* **by** *auto*
from *1* **show** *?thesis* **using** *SCSImpSCS* **by** *blast*
qed

lemma *AndSCSB*:
⊢ *schopstar* (*f* ∧ *g*) ⟶ *schopstar g*
proof −
have *1*: ⊢ *f* ∧ *g* ⟶ *g* **by** *auto*
from *1* **show** *?thesis* **using** *SCSImpSCS* **by** *blast*
qed

lemma *SCSIntro*:
assumes ⊢ *f* ∧ *more* ⟶ (*g* ∧ *more*)⌢ *f*
shows ⊢ *f* ∧ *finite* ⟶ *schopstar g*
proof −
have *1*: ⊢ *f* ∧ *more* ⟶ (*g* ∧ *more*)⌢ *f*
using *assms* **by** *auto*
have *2*: ⊢ *more* = (¬ *empty*)
by (*auto simp*: *empty-d-def*)
have *3*: ⊢ *f* ∧ ¬ *empty* ⟶ (*g* ∧ *more*)⌢ *f*
using *1 2* **by** *fastforce*
have *4*: ⊢ *schopstar g* = (*empty* ∨ (*g* ∧ *more*)⌢ *schopstar g*)
by (*rule SChopstarEqv*)
hence *41*: ⊢ (¬(*empty* ∨ (*g* ∧ *more*)⌢ *schopstar g*)) = (¬*empty* ∧ ¬((*g* ∧ *more*)⌢*schopstar g*))
by *fastforce*
have *411*: ⊢ (¬*empty* ∧ ¬((*g* ∧ *more*)⌢ *schopstar g*)) = (*more* ∧ ¬((*g* ∧ *more*)⌢ *schopstar g*))
using *NotEmptyEqvMore* **by** *fastforce*
have *42*: ⊢ ¬(*schopstar g*) = (*more* ∧ ¬((*g* ∧ *more*)⌢ *schopstar g*))
using *4 41 411* **by** *fastforce*
have *43*: ⊢*f* ∧ ¬(*schopstar g*) ⟶ *f* ∧ *more* ∧ ¬ ((*g* ∧ *more*)⌢ *schopstar g*)
using *42* **by** *fastforce*
have *44*: ⊢ *f* ∧ *more* ∧ ¬ ((*g* ∧ *more*)⌢ *schopstar g*) ⟶
(*g* ∧ *more*)⌢ *f* ∧ ¬ ((*g* ∧ *more*)⌢ *schopstar g*)
using *3 43 1* **by** *auto*
have *5*: ⊢ *f* ∧ ¬ (*schopstar g*) ⟶
(*g* ∧ *more*)⌢ *f* ∧ ¬ ((*g* ∧ *more*)⌢ *schopstar g*)
using *43 44 lift-imp-trans* **by** *fastforce*
have *6*: ⊢ *g* ∧ *more* ⟶ *more*
by *auto*
from *5 6* **show** *?thesis* **using** *SChopContraB* **by** *blast*
qed

lemma *SCSElimWithoutMore*:
assumes ⊢ *empty* ⟶ *g*
⊢ *f* ⌢ *g* ⟶ *g*
shows ⊢ *schopstar f* ⟶ *g*

proof −
 have 1: ⊢ $empty \longrightarrow g$ **using** *assms* **by** *blast*
 have 2: ⊢ $f \frown g \longrightarrow g$ **using** *assms* **by** *blast*
 have 3: ⊢ $(f \wedge more) \frown g \longrightarrow f \frown g$ **by** (*rule AndSChopA*)
 have 4: ⊢ $(f \wedge more) \frown g \longrightarrow g$ **using** *2 3 lift-imp-trans* **by** *blast*
 from *1 4* **show** *?thesis* **using** *SCSElim* **by** *blast*
qed

lemma *SChopAssocB*:
 ⊢ $(f \frown g) \frown h = f \frown (g \frown h)$
using *SChopAssoc* **by** *fastforce*

lemma *SCSChopEqvSChopOrRule*:
 assumes ⊢ $f = (schopstar\ g \frown h)$
 shows ⊢ $f = ((g \frown f) \vee h)$
proof −
 have 1: ⊢ $f = (schopstar\ g \frown h)$ **using** *assms* **by** *auto*
 have 2: ⊢ $schopstar\ g = (empty \vee (g \frown schopstar\ g))$ **by** (*rule SCSEqvOrSChopSCS*)
 hence 3: ⊢ $schopstar\ g \frown h = (h \vee ((g \frown schopstar\ g) \frown h))$ **by** (*rule EmptyOrSChopEqvRule*)
 have 4: ⊢ $(g \frown schopstar\ g) \frown h = g \frown (schopstar\ g \frown h)$ **by** (*rule SChopAssocB*)
 hence 41: ⊢ $schopstar\ g \frown h = (h \vee g \frown (schopstar\ g \frown h))$ **using** *3* **by** *fastforce*
 have 5: ⊢ $g \frown f = g \frown (schopstar\ g \frown h)$ **using** *1* **by** (*rule RightSChopEqvSChop*)
 hence 6: ⊢ $(schopstar\ g \frown h) = (h \vee g \frown f)$ **using** *41* **by** *fastforce*
 hence 61: ⊢ $(schopstar\ g \frown h) = ((g \frown f) \vee h)$ **by** *auto*
 from *1 61* **show** *?thesis* **by** *fastforce*
qed

lemma *SCSChopIntroRule*:
 assumes ⊢ $f \wedge \neg\ h \longrightarrow g \frown f$
        ⊢ $g \longrightarrow more$
 shows ⊢ $f \wedge finite \longrightarrow schopstar\ g \frown h$
proof −
 have 1: ⊢ $f \wedge \neg\ h \longrightarrow g \frown f$
      using *assms* **by** *blast*
 have 2: ⊢ $g \longrightarrow more$
      using *assms* **by** *blast*
 hence 3: ⊢ $g \longrightarrow g \wedge more$
      by *auto*
 hence 4: ⊢ $g \frown f \longrightarrow (g \wedge more) \frown f$
      by (*rule LeftSChopImpSChop*)
 have 5: ⊢ $f \longrightarrow (g \wedge more) \frown f \vee h$
      using *1 4* **by** *fastforce*
 have 6: ⊢ $schopstar\ g = (empty \vee (g \wedge more) \frown schopstar\ g)$
      by (*rule SChopstarEqv*)
 hence 7: ⊢ $(schopstar\ g) \frown h = (h \vee ((g \wedge more) \frown schopstar\ g) \frown h)$
      by (*rule EmptyOrSChopEqvRule*)
 have 8: ⊢ $((g \wedge more) \frown schopstar\ g) \frown h = (g \wedge more) \frown (schopstar\ g \frown h)$
      by (*rule SChopAssocB*)
 have 9: ⊢ $(schopstar\ g) \frown h = (h \vee (g \wedge more) \frown (schopstar\ g \frown h))$
      using *7 8* **by** *fastforce*

have 10: ⊢ f ∧ ¬ (schopstar g ⌢ h) ⟶ (g ∧ more) ⌢ f ∧ ¬ ((g ∧ more) ⌢ (schopstar g ⌢ h))
    using 5 9 **by** fastforce
have 11: ⊢ g ∧ more ⟶ more
    by fastforce
from 10 11 **show** ?thesis **using** SChopContraB **by** blast
qed

lemma BoxImpTrueSChopAndEmpty:
⊢ □ f ∧ finite⟶ #True⌢(f ∧ empty)
by (metis BoxAndSChopImport DiamondEmptyEqvFinite TrueSChopEqvDiamond inteq-reflection)

lemma BoxInitAndMoreImpBoxInitAndMoreAndSFinInit:
⊢ □(init w) ∧ more ∧ finite ⟶ (□ (init w) ∧ more) ∧ sfin (init w)
proof −
 have 1: ⊢ sfin (init w) = #True ⌢ (init w ∧ empty) **using** SFinEqvTrueSChopAndEmpty **by** blast
 have 2: ⊢ □(init w) ∧ finite ⟶ #True⌢(init w ∧ empty) **by** (rule BoxImpTrueSChopAndEmpty)
 from 1 2 **show** ?thesis **by** fastforce
qed

lemma SCSImpBox:
 assumes ⊢ f ⟶ empty ∨ ((□ (init w) ∧ more))⌢ f
 shows ⊢ (init w ∧ f) ∧ finite ⟶ □ (init w)
proof −
 have 1: ⊢ f ⟶ empty ∨ ((□(init w) ∧ more))⌢ f
    using assms **by** auto
 have 2: ⊢ init w ∧ ¬(□ (init w)) ⟶ ¬ empty
    by (rule InitAndNotBoxInitImpNotEmpty)
 have 3: ⊢ init w ∧ f ∧ ¬(□ (init w)) ⟶ ((□ (init w) ∧ more))⌢ f
    using 1 2 **by** fastforce
 have 4: ⊢ □ (init w) ∧ more ∧ finite ⟶ (□ (init w) ∧ more) ∧ sfin (init w)
    by (rule BoxInitAndMoreImpBoxInitAndMoreAndSFinInit)
 have 41: ⊢ (□ (init w) ∧ more) ∧ finite ⟶ ((□ (init w) ∧ more) ∧ finite) ∧ sfin (init w)
 using 4 **by** auto
 hence 5: ⊢ ((□(init w) ∧ more))⌢f ⟶ (((□ (init w) ∧ more) ∧ finite) ∧ sfin(init w))⌢ f
    by (metis FiniteImp LeftChopImpChop inteq-reflection schop-d-def)
 have 6: ⊢ (((□ (init w) ∧ more) ∧ finite) ∧ sfin (init w))⌢ f =
        ((□(init w) ∧ more))⌢ (init w ∧ f)
    using AndSFinSChopEqvStateAndSChop
    by (metis (no-types, lifting) 41 Prop10 Prop12 int-eq schop-d-def)
 have 7: ⊢ ¬(□(init w)) ⟶ (□ (init w)) syields (¬(□ (init w)))
    by (rule NotBoxStateImpBoxSYieldsNotBox)
 have 8: ⊢ (□(init w)) syields (¬ (□ (init w))) ⟶
        ((□ (init w) ∧ more)) syields (¬(□(init w)))
    using AndSYieldsA **by** (metis)
 have 9: ⊢ ((□(init w) ∧ more))⌢(init w ∧ f) ∧ ((□(init w) ∧ more)) syields (¬(□(init w)))
        ⟶
        ((□ (init w) ∧ more))⌢ ((init w ∧ f) ∧ ¬ (□ (init w)))
    by (rule SChopAndSYieldsImp)
 have 10: ⊢ (init w ∧ f) ∧ ¬(□ (init w)) ⟶
        ((□(init w) ∧ more))⌢ ((init w ∧ f) ∧ ¬(□ (init w)))

using *3 5 6 7 8 9* **by** *fastforce*
have *11*: ⊢ ((□(*init w*) ∧ *more*))⌢ ((*init w* ∧ *f*) ∧ ¬(□ (*init w*))) ⟶
             more ⌢ ((*init w* ∧ *f*) ∧ ¬(□ (*init w*)))
        using *AndSChopB* **by** *blast*
have *12*: ⊢ (*init w* ∧ *f*) ∧ ¬(□ (*init w*)) ⟶
             more ⌢ ((*init w* ∧ *f*) ∧ ¬(□ (*init w*)))
        using *10 11* **by** *fastforce*
from *12* **show** *?thesis* **using** *MoreSChopContra* **by** *blast*
qed

lemma *BoxSCSEqvBox*:
⊢ (*init w* ∧ *schopstar* (□(*init w*))) = (□ (*init w*) ∧ *finite*)
proof −
have *1*: ⊢ □(*init w*)⌢(□(*init w*) ∧ *finite*)⟶ □(*init w*) ∧ *finite*
 by (*metis BoxStateAndChopEqvChop FiniteChopFiniteEqvFinite int-iffD2 inteq-reflection schop-d-def*)
have *2*: ⊢ (*init w* ∧ *empty*) ⟶ □(*init w*) ∧ *finite*
 using *EmptyImpFinite StateAndEmptyImpBoxState* **by** *fastforce*
have *3*: ⊢ (*init w* ∧ *empty*) ∨ □(*init w*)⌢(□(*init w*) ∧ *finite*) ⟶ □(*init w*) ∧ *finite*
using *1 2* **by** *fastforce*
have *4*: ⊢ (*init w* ∧ *empty*)⌢*schopstar* (□(*init w*)) ⟶ □ (*init w*) ∧ *finite*
using *SChopstarInductR 3*
by (*metis* (*no-types, lifting*) *BoxBoxImpBox BoxEqvBoxBox BoxStateSChopBoxEqvBox Prop02 Prop12*
       SCSAndFinite SCSImpSCSSCS SChopImpFinite StateAndEmptyImpBoxState int-eq)
have *5*: ⊢ *init w* ∧ *schopstar* (□(*init w*)) ⟶ □ (*init w*) ∧ *finite*
using *4 StateAndEmptySChop* **by** *fastforce*
have *11*: ⊢ □ (*init w*) ⟶ (*init w*)
        using *BoxElim* **by** *blast*
have *12*: ⊢ □(*init w*) ∧ *finite* ⟶ *schopstar* (□ (*init w*))
        by (*rule ImpSCS*)
have *13*: ⊢ □ (*init w*) ∧ *finite* ⟶ *init w* ∧ *schopstar* (□ (*init w*))
        using *11 12* **by** *fastforce*
from *5 13* **show** *?thesis* **by** *fastforce*
qed

lemma *BoxStateAndSCSEqvSCS*:
⊢ (□(*init w*) ∧ *schopstar f*) = (*init w* ∧ *schopstar* (□(*init w*) ∧ *f*))
proof −
have *1*: ⊢ □ (*init w*) ⟶ *init w*
      using *BoxElim* **by** *blast*
have *2*: ⊢ (*schopstar f* ∧ *more*) = (*f* ∧ *more*)⌢ *schopstar f*
      by (*rule SCSAndMoreEqvAndMoreSChop*)
have *21*: ⊢ (*f* ∧ *more*)⌢ *schopstar f* = (*finite* ∧ (*f* ∧ *more*)⌢ *schopstar f*)
      by (*metis 2 AndMoreAndFiniteEqvAndFmore SCSAndMoreEqvAndFMoreSChop inteq-reflection*
     lift-and-com)
have *22*: ⊢ (□ (*init w*) ∧ (*f* ∧ *more*) ⌢ *schopstar f*) =
          (□ (*init w*) ∧ *finite* ∧ (*f* ∧ *more*) ⌢ *schopstar f*)

      using *21* **by** *auto*
have *23*: ⊢((□ (*init w*) ∧ *schopstar f*) ∧ *finite*) = (□ (*init w*) ∧ *schopstar f*)

using *SCSAndFinite* **by** *fastforce*

have *3*: ⊢ (□(*init w*) ∧ ((*f* ∧ *more*)⌢ *schopstar f*)) =
   ((□ (*init w*) ∧ *f* ∧ *more*)⌢ (□ (*init w*) ∧ *schopstar f*))
  using *22 23 BoxStateAndSChopEqvSChop*[*of w LIFT*(*f* ∧ *more*) *LIFT*(*schopstar f*)]
 by (*metis Prop10 Prop12 SChopImpFinite int-eq int-iffD2*)

have *4*: ⊢ □ (*init w*) ∧ *f* ∧ *more* ⟶ (□ (*init w*) ∧ *f*) ∧ *more*
   by *auto*

hence *5*: ⊢ (□ (*init w*) ∧ *f* ∧ *more*)⌢ (□ (*init w*) ∧ *schopstar f*) ⟶
   ((□ (*init w*) ∧ *f*) ∧ *more*)⌢ (□ (*init w*) ∧ *schopstar f*)
  by (*rule LeftSChopImpSChop*)

have *6*: ⊢ (□(*init w*) ∧ *schopstar f*) ∧ *more* ⟶
   ((□ (*init w*) ∧ *f*) ∧ *more*)⌢ (□ (*init w*) ∧ *schopstar f*)
   using *2 3 5* **by** *fastforce*

hence *7*: ⊢(□ (*init w*) ∧ *schopstar f*) ∧ *finite* ⟶ *schopstar* (□ (*init w*) ∧ *f*)
   using *SCSIntro* **by** *blast*

have *70*: ⊢ □ (*init w*) ∧ *schopstar f* ⟶ *schopstar* (□ (*init w*) ∧ *f*)
 using *SCSAndFinite 7* **using** *Valid-def* **by** *fastforce*

have *71*: ⊢ *init w* ∧ □ (*init w*) ∧ *schopstar f* ⟶ *init w* ∧ *schopstar* (□ (*init w*) ∧ *f*)
   using *70 SCSAndFinite* **by** *fastforce*

have *8*: ⊢ □(*init w*) ∧ *schopstar f* ⟶ *init w* ∧ *schopstar* (□ (*init w*) ∧ *f*)
   using *1 71* **by** *fastforce*

have *11*: ⊢ *schopstar* (□ (*init w*) ∧ *f*) ⟶ *schopstar* (□ (*init w*))
   by (*rule AndSCSA*)

have *12*: ⊢ (*init w* ∧ *schopstar* (□ (*init w*))) = (□ (*init w*) ∧ *finite*)
   by (*rule BoxSCSEqvBox*)

have *13*: ⊢ *schopstar* (□ (*init w*) ∧ *f*) ⟶ *schopstar f*
   by (*rule AndSCSB*)

have *14*: ⊢ *init w* ∧ *schopstar* (□ (*init w*) ∧ *f*)⟶*init w* ∧ *schopstar* (□ (*init w*)) ∧ *schopstar f*
   using *11 13* **by** *fastforce*

have *15*: ⊢ *init w* ∧ *schopstar* (□ (*init w*)) ∧ *schopstar f* ⟶ □ (*init w*) ∧ *schopstar f*
   using *12* **by** *auto*

have *16*: ⊢ *init w* ∧ *schopstar* (□ (*init w*) ∧ *f*) ⟶ □ (*init w*) ∧ *schopstar f*
   using *14 15 lift-imp-trans* **by** *blast*

from *8 16* **show** *?thesis* **by** *fastforce*

qed

lemma *SBaSCSImpSCS*:
⊢   *sba* (*f* ⟶ *g*) ⟶ *schopstar f* ⟶ *schopstar g*

proof −

 have   *1*: ⊢ *schopstar f* = (*empty* ∨ (*f* ∧ *more*)⌢ *schopstar f*)
    by (*rule SChopstarEqv*)

 have   *2*: ⊢ *schopstar g* = (*empty* ∨ (*g* ∧ *more*)⌢ *schopstar g*)
    by (*rule SChopstarEqv*)

 have *21*: ⊢ (¬(*schopstar g*)) = (¬*empty* ∧ ¬((*g* ∧ *more*)⌢ *schopstar g*))
    using *2* **by** *fastforce*

hence *22*: ⊢ (¬(*schopstar g*)) = (*more* ∧ ¬((*g* ∧ *more*)⌢ *schopstar g*))
    using *NotEmptyEqvMore* **by** *fastforce*

 have   *3*: ⊢ *schopstar f* ∧ ¬ (*schopstar g*) ⟶
     (*empty* ∨ (*f* ∧ *more*)⌢ *schopstar f*) ∧ *more* ∧ ¬ ((*g* ∧ *more*)⌢ *schopstar g*)
    using *1 22* **by** *fastforce*

527

have *31*: ⊢ ((*empty* ∨ (*f* ∧ *more*)⌢ *schopstar f*) ∧ *more*) = ((*f* ∧ *more*)⌢ *schopstar f* ∧ *more*)
   by (*auto simp*: *empty-d-def*)
have *32*: ⊢ *schopstar f* ∧ ¬ (*schopstar g*) ⟶
      (*f* ∧ *more*)⌢ *schopstar f* ∧ ¬ ((*g* ∧ *more*)⌢ *schopstar g*)
   using *3 31* **by** *fastforce*
have *4*: ⊢ (*f* ⟶ *g*) ⟶ (*f* ∧ *more* ⟶ *g* ∧ *more*)
   by *auto*
hence *5*: ⊢ *sba* (*f* ⟶ *g*) ⟶ *sba* (*f* ∧ *more* ⟶ *g* ∧ *more*)
   by (*rule SBaImpSBa*)
have *6*: ⊢ *sba* (*f* ∧ *more* ⟶ *g* ∧ *more*) ⟶
      (*f* ∧ *more*)⌢ *schopstar f* ⟶ (*g* ∧ *more*)⌢ *schopstar f*
   by (*rule SBaLeftSChopImpSChop*)
have *7*: ⊢ *sba* (*f* ⟶ *g*) ∧ (*f* ∧ *more*)⌢ *schopstar f* ⟶ (*g* ∧ *more*)⌢ *schopstar f*
   using *5 6* **by** *fastforce*
have *8*: ⊢ (*g* ∧ *more*)⌢ *schopstar f* ∧ ¬ ((*g* ∧ *more*)⌢ *schopstar g*)
      ⟶ (*g* ∧ *more*)⌢ (*schopstar f* ∧ ¬ (*schopstar g*))
   by (*rule SChopAndNotSChopImp*)
have *9*: ⊢ (*g* ∧ *more*)⌢ (*schopstar f* ∧ ¬ (*schopstar g*)) ⟶
      more ⌢ (*schopstar f* ∧ ¬ (*schopstar g*))
   by (*rule AndSChopB*)
have *10*: ⊢ *sba* (*f* ⟶ *g*) ∧ *finite* ⟶ *more* ⌢ (*schopstar f* ∧ ¬ (*schopstar g*)) ⟶
      more ⌢ (*sba* (*f* ⟶ *g*) ∧ *schopstar f* ∧ ¬ (*schopstar g*))
   using *SBaSChopImpSChopSBa* **by** *fastforce*
have *11*: ⊢ *sba* (*f* ⟶ *g*) ∧ *finite* ∧ *schopstar f* ∧ ¬ (*schopstar g*) ⟶
      more ⌢ (*sba* (*f* ⟶ *g*) ∧ *schopstar f* ∧ ¬ (*schopstar g*))
   using *32 7 8 9 10* **by** *fastforce*
have *12*: ⊢ *sba* (*f* ⟶ *g*) ∧ *schopstar f* ∧ ¬ (*schopstar g*) ⟶
      more ⌢ (*sba* (*f* ⟶ *g*) ∧ *schopstar f* ∧ ¬ (*schopstar g*))
   using *11 SCSAndFinite* **by** *fastforce*
hence *12*: ⊢ *finite* ⟶ ¬ ((*sba* (*f* ⟶ *g*)) ∧ (*schopstar f*) ∧ (¬ (*schopstar g*)))
   using *MoreSChopLoop* **by** *blast*
have *13*: ⊢ (*sba* (*f* ⟶ *g*)) ∧ *finite* ∧ (*schopstar f*) ⟶ (*schopstar g*)
 using *12* **by** *fastforce*
have *14*: ⊢ (*sba* (*f* ⟶ *g*)) ∧ (*schopstar f*) ⟶ (*schopstar g*)
 using *SCSAndFinite 13* **by** *fastforce*
from *14* **show** *?thesis* **by** *fastforce*
qed

lemma *SBaSCSEqvSCS*:
⊢ *sba* (*f* = *g*) ⟶ (*schopstar f* = *schopstar g*)
proof −
 have *1*: ⊢ *sba* (*f* = *g*) = (*sba* (*f* ⟶ *g*) ∧ *sba* (*g* ⟶ *f*))
   by (*auto simp*: *sba-defs sum.case-eq-if*)
 have *2*: ⊢ *sba* (*f* ⟶ *g*) ⟶ (*schopstar f* ⟶ *schopstar g*)   **by** (*rule SBaSCSImpSCS*)
 have *3*: ⊢ *sba* (*g* ⟶ *f*) ⟶ (*schopstar g* ⟶ *schopstar f*) **by** (*rule SBaSCSImpSCS*)
 have *4*: ⊢ *sba* (*f* = *g*) ⟶ (*schopstar f* ⟶ *schopstar g*) ∧ (*schopstar g* ⟶ *schopstar f*)
  using *1 2 3* **by** *fastforce*
 have *5*: ⊢ ((*schopstar f* ⟶ *schopstar g*) ∧ (*schopstar g* ⟶ *schopstar f*)) =
      (*schopstar f* = *schopstar g*) **by** *auto*

from *4 5* **show** *?thesis* **by** *auto*
qed

lemma *SBaAndSCSImport*:
$\vdash$ *sba f* $\land$ *schopstar g* $\longrightarrow$ *schopstar* $(f \land g)$
proof $-$
have *1*: $\vdash f \longrightarrow (g \longrightarrow f \land g)$ **by** *auto*
hence *2*: $\vdash$ *sba f* $\longrightarrow$ *sba* $(g \longrightarrow f \land g)$ **by** (*rule SBaImpSBa*)
have *3*: $\vdash$ *sba* $(g \longrightarrow f \land g) \longrightarrow$ *schopstar g* $\longrightarrow$ *schopstar* $(f \land g)$ **by** (*rule SBaSCSImpSCS*)
from *2 3* **show** *?thesis* **by** *fastforce*
qed

lemma *SCSSkipImpFinite*:
$\vdash$ *schopstar skip* $\longrightarrow$ *finite*
by (*simp add*: *EmptyImpFinite SCSElim SChopImpFinite*)

lemma *FiniteImpSCSSkip*:
$\vdash$ *finite* $\longrightarrow$ *schopstar skip*
by (*metis* (*no-types, hide-lams*) *AndMoreAndFiniteEqvAndFmore ChopAndB ChopEmpty EmptyImpFinite*
 FiniteChopSkipEqvFiniteAndMore FiniteChopSkipEqvSkipChopFinite FmoreEqvSkipChopFinite
 Prop10 SCSIntro inteq-reflection lift-and-com schop-d-def)

lemma *SCSSkipEqvFinite*:
$\vdash$ *schopstar skip* $=$ *finite*
using *SCSSkipImpFinite FiniteImpSCSSkip* **by** *fastforce*

14.8   Properties of Omega

lemma *SOmegaIntro*:
assumes $\vdash h \longrightarrow (f \land more) \frown h$
shows $\vdash h \land inf \longrightarrow f^{\omega}$
proof $-$
have *1*: $\vdash h \longrightarrow (f \land more) \frown h$ **using** *assms* **by** *auto*
have *2*: $\vdash \square (h \longrightarrow (f \land more) \frown h)$ **by** (*simp add*: *BoxGen assms*)
from *1 2* **show** *?thesis* **using** *SOmegaInduct* **by** *fastforce*
qed

14.9   Properties of SWhile

lemma *SWhileEqvIf*:
$\vdash$ *swhile* (*init w*) *do f* $=$ *if*$_i$ (*init w*) *then* $(f \frown ($ *swhile* (*init w*) *do f*)) *else* *empty*
proof $-$
have *1*: $\vdash$ *swhile* (*init w*) *do f* $=$ (*schopstar* ((*init w*) $\land$ *f*) $\land$ *sfin* ($\neg$ (*init w*)))
   by (*simp add*: *swhile-d-def*)
have *2*: $\vdash$ *schopstar* (*init w* $\land$ *f*) $=$ (*empty* $\lor$ ((*init w* $\land$ *f*) $\frown$ *schopstar* (*init w* $\land$ *f*)))
   by (*rule SCSEqvOrSChopSCS*)
have *21*: $\vdash$ (*schopstar* ((*init w*) $\land$ *f*) $\land$ *sfin* ($\neg$ (*init w*))) $=$
      ((*empty* $\lor$ ((*init w* $\land$ *f*) $\frown$ *schopstar* (*init w* $\land$ *f*))) $\land$ *sfin* ($\neg$ (*init w*)))

529

using *2* **by** *fastforce*

have *22*: ⊢ ((empty ∨ ((init w ∧ f)⌢ schopstar (init w ∧ f))) ∧ sfin (¬ (init w))) =
    ((empty ∧ sfin (¬(init w))) ∨
    (((init w ∧ f)⌢ schopstar (init w ∧ f)) ∧ sfin (¬ (init w))))

    by *auto*

have *3*: ⊢ (empty ∧ sfin (¬ (init w))) = (¬ (init w) ∧ empty)

    by (*metis Prop04 SFinAndEmpty lift-and-com*)

have *4*: ⊢ (init w ∧ f)⌢ schopstar (init w ∧ f) = (init w ∧ (f ⌢ schopstar (init w ∧ f)))

    by (*rule StateAndSChop*)

have *41*: ⊢ (((init w ∧ f)⌢ schopstar (init w ∧ f)) ∧ sfin (¬ (init w))) =
    (init w ∧ (f ⌢ schopstar (init w ∧ f)) ∧ sfin (¬ (init w)))

    using *4* **by** *auto*

have *42*: ⊢ (init w ∧ (f ⌢ schopstar (init w ∧ f)) ∧ sfin (¬ (init w))) =
    (init w ∧ (f ⌢ schopstar (init w ∧ f)) ∧ sfin (init (¬ w)))

    using *Initprop(2)* **by** (*metis StateAndEmptySChop int-eq*)

have *5*: ⊢ ((f ⌢ (schopstar (init w ∧ f))) ∧ (sfin (init (¬ w))))
    = (f ⌢ (schopstar (init w ∧ f) ∧ (sfin (init (¬ w))))))

    by (*rule SChopAndSFin*)

have *51*: ⊢ (f ⌢ (schopstar (init w ∧ f) ∧ (sfin (init (¬ w)))))) =
    (f ⌢ (schopstar (init w ∧ f) ∧ (sfin (¬ (init w))))))

    using *Initprop(2)*

    by (*metis 21 RightSChopEqvSChop inteq-reflection*)

have *52*: ⊢ (init w ∧ (f ⌢ schopstar (init w ∧ f)) ∧ sfin (¬ (init w))) =
    (init w ∧ (f ⌢ (schopstar (init w ∧ f) ∧ sfin (¬ (init w))))))

    using *42 5 51* **by** *fastforce*

have *6*: ⊢ (f ⌢ (schopstar (init w ∧ f) ∧ sfin (¬ (init w))))) = f ⌢ swhile (init w) do f

    by (*simp add: swhile-d-def*)

have *61*: ⊢ (init w ∧ (f ⌢ (schopstar (init w ∧ f) ∧ sfin (¬ (init w)))))) =
    (init w ∧ (f ⌢ swhile (init w) do f)) **using** *6*

    by *auto*

have *62*: ⊢ (empty ∧ sfin (¬ (init w))) ∨
    (((init w ∧ f)⌢ schopstar (init w ∧ f)) ∧ sfin (¬ (init w)))
    = (¬ (init w) ∧ empty) ∨ (init w ∧ (f ⌢ swhile (init w) do f))

    using *21 22 3 4 52 61* **by** *fastforce*

have *7*: ⊢ swhile (init w) do f
    = ((¬ (init w) ∧ empty) ∨ (init w ∧ (f ⌢ swhile (init w) do f)))

    using *1 21 22 62*

    by (*metis 3 41 42 5 51 inteq-reflection*)

have *71*: ⊢ if $_i$ (init w) then (f ⌢ (swhile (init w) do f)) else   empty =
    ((¬ (init w) ∧ empty) ∨ (init w ∧ (f ⌢ swhile (init w) do f)))

    by (*auto simp: ifthenelse-d-def*)

from *7 71* **show** *?thesis* **by** *fastforce*

qed

lemma *SWhileSChopEqvIf* :

⊢ (swhile (init w) do f)⌢g = if $_i$ (init w) then (f ⌢((swhile (init w) do f)⌢ g)) else g

proof −

 have *1*: ⊢ swhile (init w) do f =
    if $_i$ (init w) then (f ⌢ (swhile (init w) do f)) else   empty

by (*rule SWhileEqvIf*)
hence 2: ⊢ (*swhile* (*init w*) *do f*)⌢ *g* =
    if$_i$ (*init w*) *then* ((*f*⌢ *swhile* (*init w*) *do f*)⌢ *g*) *else* (*empty* ⌢ *g*)
    by (*rule IfSChopEqvRule*)
have 3: ⊢ *empty* ⌢ *g* = *g*
    by (*rule EmptySChop*)
have 4: ⊢ *if$_i$* (*init w*) *then* ((*f*⌢ *swhile* (*init w*) *do f*)⌢ *g*) *else* (*empty* ⌢ *g*) =
    if$_i$ (*init w*) *then* ((*f*⌢ *swhile* (*init w*) *do f*)⌢ *g*) *else g*
    using 3 **using** *inteq-reflection* **by** *fastforce*
have 5: ⊢ ((*f*⌢ *swhile* (*init w*) *do f*)⌢ *g*) = (*f*⌢ (*swhile* (*init w*) *do f*⌢ *g*))
    by (*rule SChopAssocB*)
have 6: ⊢ *if$_i$* (*init w*) *then* ((*f*⌢ *swhile* (*init w*) *do f*)⌢ *g*) *else g* =
    if$_i$ (*init w*) *then* (*f*⌢ ((*swhile* (*init w*) *do f*)⌢ *g*)) *else g*
    using 5 **using** *inteq-reflection* **by** *fastforce*
from 1 2 4 6 **show** *?thesis* **by** *fastforce*
qed

lemma *SWhileSChopEqvIfRule*:
assumes ⊢ *f* = (*swhile* (*init w*) *do g*)⌢ *h*
shows ⊢ *f* = *if$_i$* (*init w*) *then* (*g*⌢ *f*) *else h*
proof −
have 1: ⊢ *f* = (*swhile* (*init w*) *do g*)⌢ *h*
    using *assms* **by** *auto*
have 2: ⊢ (*swhile* (*init w*) *do g*)⌢ *h* =
    if$_i$ (*init w*) *then* (*g*⌢ ((*swhile* (*init w*) *do g*)⌢ *h*)) *else h*
    by (*rule SWhileSChopEqvIf*)
have 3: ⊢ (*g*⌢ *f*) = (*g*⌢ ((*swhile* (*init w*) *do g*)⌢ *h*))
    using 1 **by** (*rule RightSChopEqvSChop*)
have 4: ⊢ (*g*⌢ ((*swhile* (*init w*) *do g*)⌢ *h*)) = (*g*⌢ *f*)
    using 3 **by** *auto*
have 5: ⊢ *if$_i$* (*init w*) *then* (*g*⌢ ((*swhile* (*init w*) *do g*)⌢ *h*)) *else h* =
    if$_i$ (*init w*) *then* (*g*⌢ *f*) *else h*
    using 4 **using** *inteq-reflection* **by** *fastforce*
from 1 2 5 **show** *?thesis* **by** *fastforce*
qed

lemma *WhileImpFin*:
⊢ *while* (*init w*) *do f* ⟶ *fin* (¬ (*init w*))
proof −
have 1: ⊢ (*init w* ∧ *f*)* ∧ *fin* (¬ (*init w*)) ⟶ *fin* (¬ (*init w*)) **by** *auto*
from 1 **show** *?thesis* **by** (*simp add*: *while-d-def*)
qed

lemma *SWhileEqvEmptyOrSChopSWhile*:
⊢ *swhile* (*init w*) *do f* = ((¬ (*init w*) ∧ *empty*) ∨ (*init w* ∧ (*f* ∧ *more*)⌢*swhile* (*init w*) *do f*))
proof −
have 1: ⊢ *schopstar* (*init w* ∧ *f*) = (*empty* ∨ ((*init w* ∧ *f*)∧ *more*)⌢ *schopstar* (*init w* ∧ *f*))
    by (*rule SChopstarEqv*)
have 2: ⊢ ((*init w* ∧ *f*) ∧ *more*) = (*init w* ∧ (*f* ∧ *more*))
    by *auto*

531

hence 3: ⊢ ((*init w* ∧ *f*)∧ *more*)⌢ *schopstar* (*init w* ∧ *f*) =
   (*init w* ∧ *f* ∧ *more*)⌢ *schopstar* (*init w* ∧ *f*)
  by (*rule LeftSChopEqvSChop*)
have 4: ⊢ *schopstar* (*init w* ∧ *f*) = (*empty* ∨ (*init w* ∧ *f* ∧ *more*)⌢ *schopstar* (*init w* ∧ *f*))
  using 1 3 **by** *fastforce*
have 5: ⊢ (*schopstar* (*init w* ∧ *f*) ∧ *sfin* (¬ (*init w*))) =
   ((*empty* ∧ *sfin* (¬ (*init w*))) ∨
   ((*init w* ∧ *f* ∧ *more*)⌢ *schopstar* (*init w* ∧ *f*)∧ *sfin* (¬ (*init w*))))
  using 1 4 **by** *fastforce*
have 6: ⊢ (*empty* ∧ *sfin* (¬ (*init w*))) = (¬ (*init w*) ∧ *empty*)
  by (*meson Prop04 SFinAndEmpty lift-and-com*)
have 7: ⊢ (*init w* ∧ *f* ∧ *more*)⌢ *schopstar* (*init w* ∧ *f*) =
   (*init w* ∧ (*f* ∧ *more*)⌢ *schopstar* (*init w* ∧ *f*))
  by (*rule StateAndSChop*)
have 8: ⊢ (((*f* ∧ *more*)⌢ *schopstar* (*init w* ∧ *f*)) ∧ *sfin* (*init* (¬ *w*))) =
   ((*f* ∧ *more*)⌢ (*schopstar* (*init w* ∧ *f*) ∧ *sfin* (*init* (¬ *w*))))
  by (*rule SChopAndSFin*)
have 81: ⊢ *sfin* (*init* (¬ *w*)) = *sfin* (¬ (*init w*))
   by (*metis Initprop*(2) *SFinStateEqvStateAndEmptyOrNextSFinState inteq-reflection*)
have 82: ⊢ ((*f* ∧ *more*)⌢ *schopstar* (*init w* ∧ *f*) ∧ *sfin* (¬ (*init w*))) =
   ((*f* ∧ *more*)⌢ (*schopstar* (*init w* ∧ *f*) ∧ *sfin* (¬ (*init w*))))
  using 8 81
  by (*metis inteq-reflection*)
have 9: ⊢ (*schopstar* (*init w* ∧ *f*) ∧ *sfin* (¬ (*init w*))) =
   ((¬ (*init w*) ∧ *empty*) ∨
    (*init w* ∧ (*f* ∧ *more*)⌢ (*schopstar* (*init w* ∧ *f*) ∧ *sfin* (¬ (*init w*)))))
  using 5 6 7 82 **by** *fastforce*
from 9 **show** ?*thesis* **by** (*simp add*: *swhile-d-def*)
qed

lemma *SWhileIntro*:
 assumes ⊢ ¬ (*init w*) ∧ *f* ⟶ *empty*
   ⊢ *init w* ∧ *f* ⟶ (*g* ∧ *more*)⌢ *f*
 shows ⊢ *f* ∧ *finite* ⟶ *swhile* (*init w*) *do* *g*
proof −
 have 1: ⊢ ¬ (*init w*) ∧ *f* ⟶ *empty*
  using *assms* **by** *blast*
 have 2: ⊢ *init w* ∧ *f* ⟶ (*g* ∧ *more*)⌢ *f*
  using *assms* **by** *blast*
 have 3: ⊢ *swhile* (*init w*) *do* *g* =
   ((¬ (*init w*) ∧ *empty*) ∨ (*init w* ∧ (*g* ∧ *more*)⌢ *swhile* (*init w*) *do* *g*))
  by (*rule SWhileEqvEmptyOrSChopSWhile*)
 hence 31: ⊢ ¬ (*swhile* (*init w*) *do* *g*) =
   (¬((¬ (*init w*) ∧ *empty*) ∨ (*init w* ∧ (*g* ∧ *more*)⌢ *swhile* (*init w*) *do* *g*)))
  by *fastforce*
 hence 32: ⊢ (*f* ∧ ¬ (*swhile* (*init w*) *do* *g*)) =
   (*f* ∧ ¬((¬(*init w*) ∧ *empty*) ∨ (*init w* ∧ (*g* ∧ *more*)⌢ *swhile* (*init w*) *do* *g*)))
  by *fastforce*
 have 33: ⊢ (*f* ∧ ¬((¬(*init w*) ∧ *empty*) ∨ (*init w* ∧ (*g* ∧ *more*)⌢ *swhile* (*init w*) *do* *g*))) =
   (*f* ∧ ¬(¬(*init w*) ∧ *empty*) ∧ ¬(*init w* ∧ (*g* ∧ *more*)⌢ *swhile* (*init w*) *do* *g*))

by *auto*

have *34*: ⊢ $(f ∧ ¬(¬(init\ w) ∧ empty) ∧ ¬((init\ w) ∧ ((g ∧\ more\ ) ⌢ swhile\ (init\ w)\ do\ g))) =$
$(f ∧ (\ (init\ w) ∨ more\ ) ∧ (¬(init\ w) ∨ ¬((g ∧ more) ⌢ swhile\ (init\ w)\ do\ g)))$

    by (*auto simp*: *empty-d-def*)

have *35*: ⊢ $(f ∧ ((init\ w) ∨ more\ ) ∧ (¬(init\ w) ∨ ¬((g ∧ more) ⌢ swhile\ (init\ w)\ do\ g))) =$
$((f ∧ (init\ w) ∧ ¬((g ∧\ more\ ) ⌢ swhile\ (\ init\ w)\ do\ g)) ∨$
$(f ∧ (init\ w) ∧ ¬(init\ w)) ∨$
$(f ∧ more ∧ ¬((g ∧\ more\ ) ⌢ swhile\ (\ init\ w)\ do\ g)) ∨$
$(f ∧ more ∧ ¬(init\ w)))$

    by *auto*

have *36*: ⊢ $(f ∧ ¬(\ swhile\ (init\ w)\ do\ g)) =$
$((f ∧ (init\ w) ∧ ¬((g ∧\ more\ ) ⌢ swhile\ (\ init\ w)\ do\ g)) ∨$
$(f ∧ (init\ w) ∧ ¬(init\ w)) ∨$
$(f ∧ more ∧ ¬((g ∧\ more\ ) ⌢ swhile\ (\ init\ w)\ do\ g)) ∨$
$(f ∧ more ∧ ¬(init\ w)))$ **using** *32 33 34 35* **by** *fastforce*

have *37*: ⊢ $¬(f ∧ more ∧ ¬(init\ w))$

    using *1* **by** (*auto simp*: *empty-d-def*)

have *38*: ⊢ $(f ∧ more ∧ ¬((g ∧\ more\ ) ⌢ swhile\ (\ init\ w)\ do\ g)) \longrightarrow$
$((g ∧\ more\ ) ⌢ f ∧ ¬((g ∧\ more\ ) ⌢ swhile\ (\ init\ w)\ do\ g))$

    using *1 2* **by** (*auto simp*: *empty-d-def Valid-def*)

have *39*: ⊢ $(f ∧ (init\ w) ∧ ¬((g ∧\ more\ ) ⌢ swhile\ (\ init\ w)\ do\ g)) \longrightarrow$
$((g ∧\ more\ ) ⌢ f ∧ ¬((g ∧\ more\ ) ⌢ swhile\ (\ init\ w)\ do\ g))$

    using *2* **by** *auto*

have *40*: ⊢ $((f ∧ (init\ w) ∧ ¬((g ∧\ more\ ) ⌢ swhile\ (\ init\ w)\ do\ g)) ∨$
$(f ∧ (init\ w) ∧ ¬(init\ w)) ∨$
$(f ∧ more ∧ ¬((g ∧\ more\ ) ⌢ swhile\ (\ init\ w)\ do\ g)) ∨$
$(f ∧ more ∧ ¬(init\ w))) \longrightarrow$
$(g ∧\ more\ ) ⌢ f ∧ ¬((g ∧\ more\ ) ⌢ swhile\ (\ init\ w)\ do\ g)$

    using *39 38 37 38* **by** *fastforce*

have *4*: ⊢ $f ∧ ¬(\ swhile\ (init\ w)\ do\ g) \longrightarrow$
$(g ∧\ more\ ) ⌢ f ∧ ¬((g ∧\ more\ ) ⌢ swhile\ (\ init\ w)\ do\ g)$

    using *36 40* **by** *fastforce*

have *5*: ⊢ $g ∧\ more \longrightarrow\ more$

    by *auto*

from *4 5* **show** *?thesis* **using** *SChopContraB* **by** *blast*

qed

lemma *SWhileElim*:

 assumes ⊢ $¬(\ init\ w) ∧\ empty \longrightarrow g$

    ⊢ $init\ w ∧ (f ∧\ more\ ) ⌢ g \longrightarrow g$

 shows ⊢ $swhile\ (init\ w)\ do\ f \longrightarrow g$

proof −

 have *1*: ⊢ $swhile\ (\ init\ w)\ do\ f =$
$((¬(\ init\ w) ∧\ empty\ ) ∨ (init\ w ∧ (f ∧\ more\ ) ⌢ swhile\ (\ init\ w)\ do\ f))$

    by (*rule SWhileEqvEmptyOrSChopSWhile*)

 hence *11*: ⊢ $((swhile\ (\ init\ w)\ do\ f) ∧ ¬g) =$
$(((¬(init\ w) ∧ empty) ∨ (init\ w ∧ (f ∧ more) ⌢ swhile\ (init\ w)\ do\ f)) ∧ ¬g)$

    by *auto*

 have *2*: ⊢ $¬(\ init\ w) ∧\ empty \longrightarrow g$

    using *assms* **by** *blast*

hence *21*: ⊢ ¬ g ⟶ ¬(¬ (init w) ∧ empty)
> **by** *auto*

have *22*: ⊢ ((¬ (init w) ∧ empty) ∨ (init w ∧ (f ∧ more)⌢swhile (init w) do f)) ∧ ¬ g ⟶
> (init w ∧ (f ∧ more)⌢ swhile (init w) do f)
>
> **using** *21* **by** *auto*

have *23*: ⊢ (swhile (init w) do f) ∧ ¬ g ⟶
> (init w ∧ (f ∧ more)⌢ swhile (init w) do f) ∧ ¬ g
>
> **using** *11 21* **by** *fastforce*

have *3*: ⊢ (init w) ∧ ((f ∧ more)⌢ g) ⟶ g
> **using** *assms* **by** *blast*

hence *31*: ⊢ ¬ g ⟶ ¬((init w) ∧ ((f ∧ more)⌢ g))
> **by** *fastforce*

have *32*: ⊢ (init w ∧ (f ∧ more)⌢ swhile (init w) do f) ∧ ¬ g ⟶
> (((f ∧ more)⌢ (swhile (init w) do f)) ∧ ¬ ((f ∧ more)⌢ g)) ∧ ¬g
>
> **using** *31* **by** *auto*

have *4*: ⊢ (swhile (init w) do f) ∧ ¬ g ⟶
> ((f ∧ more)⌢ (swhile (init w) do f)) ∧ ¬ ((f ∧ more)⌢ g)
>
> **using** *23 32* **by** *fastforce*

have *5*: ⊢ f ∧ more ⟶ more
> **by** *auto*

have *6*: ⊢ (swhile (init w) do f) ∧ finite ⟶ g
> **using** *ChopContraB 4 5* **using** *SChopContraB* **by** *blast*

have *7*: ⊢ ((swhile (init w) do f) ∧ finite) = (swhile (init w) do f)
> **using** *swhile-d-def*
>
> **by** (*metis 1 DiamondEmptyEqvFinite Prop10 Prop11 Prop12 SChopAndB SFinEqvTrueSChopAndEmpty TrueSChopEqvDiamond lift-imp-trans*)

from *6 7* **show** *?thesis* **by** *fastforce*

qed

lemma *SBaSWhileImpSWhile*:

⊢ sba (f ⟶ g) ⟶ (swhile (init w) do f) ⟶ (swhile (init w) do g)

proof −

have *1*: ⊢ (f ⟶ g) ⟶ ((init w ∧ f) ⟶ (init w ∧ g))
> **by** *auto*

hence *2*: ⊢ sba (f ⟶ g) ⟶ sba ((init w ∧ f) ⟶ (init w∧ g))
> **by** (*rule SBaImpSBa*)

have *3*: ⊢ sba ((init w ∧ f) ⟶ (init w∧ g)) ⟶
> (schopstar (init w∧ f) ⟶ schopstar (init w∧ g))
>
> **by** (*rule SBaSCSImpSCS*)

have *4*: ⊢ sba (f ⟶ g) ⟶ (schopstar (init w ∧ f)∧ sfin (¬ (init w)) ⟶
> schopstar (init w∧ g) ∧ sfin (¬ (init w)))
>
> **using** *2 3* **by** *fastforce*

from *4* **show** *?thesis* **by** (*simp add*: *swhile-d-def*)

qed

lemma *SWhileImpSWhile*:

assumes ⊢ f ⟶ g

shows ⊢ (swhile (init w) do f) ⟶ (swhile (init w) do g)

proof −

have *1*: ⊢ f ⟶ g

using *assms* **by** *auto*
hence 2: ⊢ *sba* (*f* ⟶ *g*)
    by (*rule SBaGen*)
have 3: ⊢ *sba* (*f* ⟶ *g*) ⟶ (*swhile* (*init w*) *do f*) ⟶ (*swhile* (*init w*) *do g*)
    by (*rule SBaSWhileImpSWhile*)
from 2 3 **show** *?thesis* **using** *MP* **by** *blast*
qed

14.10 Properties of Halt

lemma *HaltSChopEqv*:
⊢ ((*halt* (*init w*)) ⌢ *f*) = (*if* $_i$ (*init w*) *then* (*f*) *else* (○((*halt* (*init w*))⌢ *f*)))
proof −
 have 1: ⊢ *halt*(*init w*) =
       (*if* $_i$ (*init w*) *then* *empty* *else* (○(*halt* (*init w*))))
    by (*rule HaltStateEqvIfStateThenEmptyElseNext*)
 hence 2: ⊢ ((*halt*(*init w*))⌢*f*) =
       (*if* $_i$ (*init w*) *then* (*empty*⌢*f*) *else* (○(*halt* (*init w*))⌢*f*))
    by (*rule IfSChopEqvRule*)
 have 3: ⊢ *empty* ⌢ *f* = *f*
    by (*rule EmptySChop*)
 have 4: ⊢ (○ (*halt* (*init w*)))⌢ *f* = ○(*halt* (*init w*)⌢ *f*)
    by (*rule NextSChop*)
 from 2 3 4 **show** *?thesis* **by** (*metis inteq-reflection*)
qed

lemma *AndHaltSChopImp*:
⊢ *init w* ∧ (*halt* (*init w*)⌢ *f*) ⟶ *f*
proof −
 have 1: ⊢ *halt* (*init w*)⌢ *f* = *if* $_i$ (*init w*) *then* *f* *else* (○(*halt* (*init w*)⌢ *f*))
    by (*rule HaltSChopEqv*)
 have 2: ⊢ *init w* ∧ *if* $_i$ (*init w*) *then* *f* *else* (○(*halt* (*init w*)⌢ *f*)) ⟶ *f*
    by (*auto simp: ifthenelse-d-def*)
 from 1 2 **show** *?thesis* **by** *fastforce*
qed

lemma *NotAndHaltSChopImpNext*:
⊢ ¬ (*init w*) ∧ (*halt* (*init w*)⌢ *f*) ⟶ ○(*halt* (*init w*)⌢ *f*)
proof −
 have 1: ⊢ *halt* (*init w*)⌢ *f* = *if* $_i$ (*init w*) *then* *f* *else* (○(*halt* (*init w*)⌢ *f*))
    by (*rule HaltSChopEqv*)
 have 2: ⊢ ¬ (*init w*) ∧ *if* $_i$ (*init w*) *then* *f* *else* (○(*halt* (*init w*)⌢ *f*)) ⟶
      ○(*halt* (*init w*)⌢ *f*)
    by (*auto simp: ifthenelse-d-def*)
from 1 2 **show** *?thesis* **by** *fastforce*
qed

lemma *NotAndHaltSChopImpSkipSYields*:
⊢ ¬ (*init w*) ∧ (*halt* (*init w*)⌢ *f*) ⟶ *skip syields* (*halt* (*init w*)⌢ *f*)
proof −

have *1*: ⊢ ¬ (*init w*) ∧ (*halt* (*init w*)⌢ *f*) ⟶ ○(*halt* (*init w*)⌢ *f*)
   by (*rule NotAndHaltSChopImpNext*)
have *2*: ⊢ ○(*halt* (*init w*)⌢ *f*) ⟶ *skip syields* (*halt* (*init w*)⌢ *f*)
   by (*rule NextImpSkipSYields*)
from *1 2* **show** *?thesis* **by** *fastforce*
qed

lemma *SChopAndEmptyEqvSChopAndEmpty*:
 ⊢ ((#*True*⌢(*f* ∧ *empty*)) ∧ *g*) = (*g*⌢(*f*∧ *empty*))
proof −
 have *1*: ⊢ (#*True*⌢(*f* ∧ *empty*)) ∧ *g* ⟶ *g* ⌢(*f* ∧ *empty*)
  by (*metis* (*no-types*, *lifting*) *AndSFinEqvSChopAndEmpty Prop12 int-iffD2 inteq-reflection*
     lift-and-com)
 have *2*: ⊢ *g*⌢(*f*∧ *empty*) ⟶ (#*True*⌢(*f* ∧ *empty*)) ∧ *g*
  by (*metis AndSFinEqvSChopAndEmpty Prop12 SFinEqvTrueSChopAndEmpty int-iffD1 inteq-reflection*)
 from *1 2* **show** *?thesis* **by** *fastforce*
qed

lemma *NotSChopSkipEqvFmoreAndNotSChopSkip*:
 ⊢ (¬ *f*)⌢*skip* = (*fmore* ∧ ¬(*f*⌢*skip*))
proof −
 have *1*: ⊢ (¬ *f*)⌢*skip* = ((¬*f* ∧ *finite*);*skip*)
 by (*simp add*: *schop-d-def*)
 have *2*: ⊢ (¬*f* ∧ *finite*);*skip* = (¬(*f* ∨ *inf*));*skip*
 by (*metis* (*no-types*, *lifting*) *LeftChopEqvChop finite-d-def int-simps*(*14*) *int-simps*(*33*)
         inteq-reflection)
 have *3*: ⊢ (¬(*f* ∨ *inf*));*skip* = (*more* ∧ ¬((*f* ∨ *inf*);*skip*))
  using *NotChopSkipEqvMoreAndNotChopSkip* **by** *blast*
 have *4*: ⊢ (*f* ∨ *inf*);*skip* = (*f*;*skip* ∨ *inf*)
  by (*metis AndInfChopEqvAndInf MoreAndInfEqvInf OrChopEqv inteq-reflection*)
 have *5*: ⊢ (*more* ∧ ¬((*f* ∨ *inf*);*skip*)) = (*more* ∧ ¬(*f*;*skip* ∨ *inf*))
   using *4* **by** *auto*
 have *6*: ⊢ (*more* ∧ ¬(*f*;*skip* ∨ *inf*)) = (*more* ∧ ¬(*f*;*skip*) ∧ *finite*)
  using *finite-d-def*
  by (*metis 3 4 int-simps*(*14*) *int-simps*(*33*) *inteq-reflection*)
 have *7*: ⊢ (*more* ∧ ¬(*f*;*skip*) ∧ *finite*) = (*more* ∧ ¬(*f*⌢*skip* ∨ (*f* ∧ *inf*)) ∧ *finite*)
  using *ChopSChopdef* **by** *fastforce*
 have *8*: ⊢ (*more* ∧ ¬(*f*⌢*skip* ∨ (*f* ∧ *inf*)) ∧ *finite*) =
         (*more* ∧ ¬(*f*⌢*skip*) ∧ ¬(*f* ∧ *inf*) ∧ *finite*)
 by *auto*
 have *9*: ⊢ (¬(*f* ∧ *inf*) ∧ *finite*) = *finite*
 using *finite-d-def*
 by (*metis* (*no-types*, *lifting*) *AndInfChopAndInfEqvAndInf AndInfEqvChopFalse ChopAndB ChopLoopB*
    FiniteChopMoreEqvMore NotEmptyEqvMore Prop10 RightChopImpMoreRule int-simps(*21*)
    inteq-reflection lift-and-com)
 have *10*: ⊢ (*more* ∧ ¬(*f*⌢*skip*) ∧ ¬(*f* ∧ *inf*) ∧ *finite*) =
         (*more* ∧ ¬(*f*⌢*skip*) ∧ *finite*)
 using *9* **by** *fastforce*
 have *11*: ⊢ (*more* ∧ ¬(*f*⌢*skip*) ∧ *finite*) = (*fmore* ∧ ¬(*f*⌢*skip*))
 using *fmore-d-def*

by (*metis Prop11 Prop12 lift-and-com*)
from *1 2 3 5 6 7 8 10 11* **show** *?thesis* **by** (*metis inteq-reflection*)
qed

lemma *HaltSChopImpNotHaltSChopNot*:
⊢   *halt* (*init w*)⌢ *f* ∧ *finite* ⟶ ¬ (*halt* (*init w*)⌢ (¬ *f*))
proof −
 have   *1*: ⊢ *halt* (*init w*)⌢ *f* = *if $_i$* (*init w*) *then* *f* *else* (○(*halt* (*init w*)⌢ *f*))
       by (*rule HaltSChopEqv*)
 have   *2*: ⊢ *if $_i$* (*init w*) *then* *f* *else* (○(*halt* (*init w*)⌢ *f*)) ⟶
          ((((*init w*) ⟶ *f*) ∧ (¬(*init w*) ⟶ (○(*halt* (*init w*)⌢ *f*))))
       by (*rule IfThenElseImp*)
 have   *3*: ⊢ *halt* (*init w*)⌢ (¬*f*) =
           if $_i$ (*init w*) *then* (¬*f*) *else* (○(*halt* (*init w*)⌢ (¬*f*)))
       by (*rule HaltSChopEqv*)
 have   *4*: ⊢ *if $_i$* (*init w*) *then* (¬*f*) *else* (○(*halt* (*init w*)⌢ (¬*f*))) ⟶
          ((((*init w*) ⟶ ¬*f*) ∧ (¬(*init w*) ⟶ (○(*halt* (*init w*)⌢ (¬*f*))))))
       by (*rule IfThenElseImp*)
 have   *5*: ⊢ *halt* (*init w*)⌢ *f* ∧ *halt* (*init w*)⌢ (¬*f*) ⟶
          ((((*init w*) ⟶ *f*) ∧ (¬(*init w*) ⟶ (○(*halt* (*init w*)⌢ *f*)))) ∧
          ((((*init w*) ⟶ ¬*f*) ∧ (¬(*init w*) ⟶ (○(*halt* (*init w*)⌢ (¬*f*)))))))
       using *1 2 3 4* **by** *fastforce*
 have   *6*: ⊢ ((((*init w*) ⟶ *f*) ∧ (¬(*init w*) ⟶ (○(*halt* (*init w*)⌢ *f*)))) ∧
          ((((*init w*) ⟶ ¬*f*) ∧ (¬(*init w*) ⟶ (○(*halt* (*init w*)⌢ (¬*f*)))))) ⟶
          (○(*halt* (*init w*)⌢ *f*)) ∧ (○(*halt* (*init w*)⌢ (¬*f*)))
       by *auto*
 have   *7*: ⊢ *halt* (*init w*)⌢ *f* ∧ *halt* (*init w*)⌢ (¬*f*) ⟶
          (○(*halt* (*init w*)⌢ *f*)) ∧ (○(*halt* (*init w*)⌢ (¬*f*)))
       using *5 6 lift-imp-trans* **by** *blast*
 have   *8*: ⊢ ((○(*halt* (*init w*)⌢ *f*)) ∧ (○(*halt* (*init w*)⌢ (¬*f*)))) =
          ○ (*halt* (*init w*)⌢ *f* ∧ *halt* (*init w*)⌢ (¬*f*))
       using *NextAndEqvNextAndNext* **by** *fastforce*
 have   *9*: ⊢ *halt* (*init w*)⌢ *f* ∧ *halt* (*init w*)⌢ (¬*f*) ⟶
          ○ (*halt* (*init w*)⌢ *f* ∧ *halt* (*init w*)⌢ (¬*f*))
       using *7 8* **by** *fastforce*
 hence *10*: ⊢ *finite* ⟶ ¬(*halt* (*init w*)⌢ *f* ∧ *halt* (*init w*)⌢ (¬*f*))
       using *NextLoop* **by** *blast*
 from *10* **show** *?thesis* **by** *auto*
qed

lemma *HaltSChopImpHaltSYields*:
⊢   *halt* (*init w*)⌢ *f* ∧ *finite* ⟶ (*halt* (*init w*)) *syields* *f*
proof −
 have *1*: ⊢ *halt* (*init w*)⌢ *f* ∧ *finite* ⟶ ¬ (*halt* (*init w*)⌢ (¬ *f*))
 by (*rule HaltSChopImpNotHaltSChopNot*)
 from *1* **show** *?thesis* **by** (*simp add*: *syields-d-def*)
qed

lemma *HaltSChopAnd*:
⊢ (*halt* (*init w*))⌢ *f* ∧ (*halt* (*init w*))⌢ *g* ∧ *finite* ⟶ (*halt* (*init w*))⌢ (*f* ∧ *g*)

proof −
have 1: ⊢ (halt (init w))⌢ g ∧ finite ⟶ (halt (init w)) syields g
 by (rule HaltSChopImpHaltSYields)
hence 2: ⊢ (halt (init w))⌢ f ∧ (halt (init w))⌢ g ∧ finite ⟶
        (halt (init w))⌢ f ∧ (halt (init w)) syields g **by** auto
have 3: ⊢ (halt (init w))⌢ f ∧ (halt (init w)) syields g⟶
        (halt (init w))⌢ (f ∧ g) **by** (rule SChopAndSYieldsImp)
from 2 3 **show** ?thesis **by** fastforce
qed

lemma *HaltAndSChopAndHaltSChopImpHaltAndSChopAnd*:
⊢ (halt (init w) ∧ f)⌢ f1 ∧ (halt (init w)⌢ g) ∧ finite
  ⟶ (halt (init w) ∧ f)⌢ (f1 ∧ g)
proof −
have 1: ⊢ f1 ⟶ ¬ g ∨ (f1 ∧ g)
      by auto
hence 2: ⊢ (halt (init w) ∧ f)⌢ f1 ⟶
        (halt (init w) ∧ f)⌢ (¬ g) ∨ ((halt (init w) ∧ f)⌢ (f1 ∧ g))
      by (rule SChopOrImpRule)
have 3: ⊢ (halt (init w) ∧ f)⌢ (¬ g) ⟶ halt (init w)⌢ (¬ g)
      by (rule AndSChopA)
have 31: ⊢ (halt (init w) ∧ f)⌢ f1 ⟶
        halt (init w)⌢ (¬ g) ∨ ((halt (init w) ∧ f)⌢ (f1 ∧ g))
      using 23 **by** fastforce
have 4: ⊢ halt (init w)⌢ g ∧ finite ⟶ ¬ (halt (init w)⌢ (¬ g))
      by (rule HaltSChopImpNotHaltSChopNot)
hence 41: ⊢ (halt (init w)⌢ (¬ g)) ∧ finite ⟶ ¬(halt (init w)⌢ g)
      by auto
have 42: ⊢ (halt (init w) ∧ f)⌢ f1 ∧ finite ⟶
        ¬(halt (init w)⌢ g) ∨ ((halt (init w) ∧ f)⌢ (f1 ∧ g))
      using 31 41 **by** fastforce
from 42 **show** ?thesis **by** auto
qed

lemma *HaltImpBoxSYields*:
⊢ (halt (init w))⌢ f ∧ finite ⟶ (□(¬ (init w))) syields ((halt (init w))⌢ f)
proof −
have 1: ⊢ (□ (¬ (init w)))⌢ (¬ (halt (init w)⌢ f)) ⟶ df (□ (¬ (init w)))
      by (rule SChopImpDf)
have 2: ⊢ □ (¬ (init w)) ⟶ ¬ (init w)
      by (rule BoxElim)
hence 3: ⊢ df (□ (¬ (init w))) ⟶ df (¬ (init w))
      by (rule DfImpDf)
have 4: ⊢ df (init (¬ w)) = (init (¬w))
      by (rule DfState)
have 41: ⊢ (init (¬ w)) = (¬ (init w))
      using Initprop(2) **by** fastforce
have 42: ⊢ df (¬ (init w)) = (¬(init w))
      using 4 41 **by** (metis inteq-reflection)
have 5: ⊢ ((□(¬ (init w)))⌢ (¬ (halt (init w)⌢ f))) ⟶ ¬ (init w)

using *1 2 42* **using** *3* **by** *fastforce*

hence *51*: ⊢ (*halt* (*init w*) ⌢ *f*) ∧ ((□(¬ (*init w*))) ⌢ (¬ (*halt* (*init w*) ⌢ *f*))) ⟶
(*halt* (*init w*) ⌢ *f*) ∧ ¬ (*init w*)
by *fastforce*

have *6*: ⊢ *halt* (*init w*) ⌢ *f* = *if* ᵢ (*init w*) *then* *f* *else* (○(*halt* (*init w*) ⌢ *f*))
by (*rule HaltSChopEqv*)

hence *61*: ⊢ (*halt* (*init w*) ⌢ *f* ∧ ¬ (*init w*)) =
((*if* ᵢ (*init w*) *then* *f* *else* (○(*halt* (*init w*) ⌢ *f*))) ∧ ¬ (*init w*))
using *6* **by** *auto*

have *62*: ⊢ (*if* ᵢ (*init w*) *then* *f* *else* (○(*halt* (*init w*) ⌢ *f*))) ∧
¬ (*init w*) ⟶ (○(*halt* (*init w*) ⌢ *f*))
by (*auto simp*: *ifthenelse-d-def*)

have *63*: ⊢ *halt* (*init w*) ⌢ *f* ∧ ¬ (*init w*) ⟶ (○(*halt* (*init w*) ⌢ *f*))
using *61 62* **by** *fastforce*

have *7*: ⊢ (*halt* (*init w*) ⌢ *f*) ∧ (□(¬ (*init w*))) ⌢ (¬ (*halt* (*init w*) ⌢ *f*)) ⟶
○((*halt* (*init w*)) ⌢ *f*)
using *51 63* **using** *lift-imp-trans* **by** *blast*

have *8*: ⊢ □ (¬ (*init w*)) ⟶ *empty* ∨ ○(□(¬(*init w*)))
using *BoxBoxImpBox BoxEqvAndEmptyOrNextBox* **by** *fastforce*

hence *9*: ⊢ ((□ (¬ (*init w*))) ⌢ (¬ (*halt* (*init w*) ⌢ *f*))) ⟶
¬ (*halt* (*init w*) ⌢ *f*) ∨ ○((□(¬ (*init w*))) ⌢ (¬ (*halt* (*init w*) ⌢ *f*)))
by (*rule EmptyOrNextSChopImpRule*)

hence *10*: ⊢ ((*halt* (*init w*)) ⌢ *f*) ∧ (□ (¬ (*init w*))) ⌢ (¬ (*halt* (*init w*) ⌢ *f*)) ⟶
○((□(¬ (*init w*))) ⌢ (¬ (*halt* (*init w*) ⌢ *f*)))
by *fastforce*

have *11*: ⊢ (*halt* (*init w*)) ⌢ *f* ∧ (□ (¬ (*init w*))) ⌢ (¬ (*halt* (*init w*) ⌢ *f*)) ⟶
○((*halt* (*init w*)) ⌢ *f*) ∧ ○((□(¬ (*init w*))) ⌢ (¬ (*halt* (*init w*) ⌢ *f*)))
using *7 10* **by** *fastforce*

have *12*: ⊢ ○((*halt* (*init w*)) ⌢ *f*) ∧ ○((□(¬ (*init w*))) ⌢ (¬ (*halt* (*init w*) ⌢ *f*)))
⟶ ○(((*halt* (*init w*)) ⌢ *f*) ∧ ((□(¬ (*init w*))) ⌢ (¬ (*halt* (*init w*) ⌢ *f*))))
using *NextAndEqvNextAndNext* **by** *fastforce*

have *13*: ⊢ (*halt* (*init w*)) ⌢ *f* ∧ (□ (¬ (*init w*))) ⌢ (¬ (*halt* (*init w*) ⌢ *f*)) ⟶
○(((*halt* (*init w*)) ⌢ *f*) ∧ ((□(¬ (*init w*))) ⌢ (¬ (*halt* (*init w*) ⌢ *f*))))
using *11 12* **by** *fastforce*

hence *14*: ⊢ *finite* ⟶ ¬ ((*halt* (*init w*)) ⌢ *f* ∧ (□ (¬ (*init w*))) ⌢ (¬(*halt* (*init w*) ⌢ *f*)))
using *NextLoop* **by** *blast*

hence *15*: ⊢ (*halt* (*init w*)) ⌢ *f* ∧ *finite* ⟶ ¬ ((□ (¬ (*init w*))) ⌢ (¬ (*halt* (*init w*) ⌢ *f*)))
by *auto*

from *15* **show** *?thesis* **by** (*simp add*: *syields-d-def*)

qed

14.11 Properties of Groups of strong chops

lemma *NestedSChopImpSChop*:
assumes ⊢ *init w* ∧ *f* ⟶ *g* ⌢ (*init w1* ∧ *f1*)
⊢ *init w1* ∧ *f1* ⟶ *g1* ⌢ (*init w2* ∧ *f2*)
shows ⊢ *init w* ∧ *f* ⟶ *g* ⌢ (*g1* ⌢ (*init w2* ∧ *f2*))
proof −
have *1*: ⊢ *init w* ∧ *f* ⟶ *g* ⌢ (*init w1* ∧ *f1*) **using** *assms*(*1*) **by** *auto*
have *2*: ⊢ *init w1* ∧ *f1* ⟶ *g1* ⌢ (*init w2* ∧ *f2*) **using** *assms*(*2*) **by** *auto*

539

hence $3: \vdash g \frown (\text{init } w1 \wedge f1) \longrightarrow g \frown (g1 \frown (\text{init } w2 \wedge f2))$ **by** (*rule RightSChopImpSChop*)
from *1 3* **show** *?thesis* **by** *fastforce*
qed

15 First Order Infinite ITL theorems

theory *InfiniteFOTheorems*
 imports
   InfiniteSChopTheorems
begin

We give the proofs of a list of first order infinite ITL theorems.

lemma *EExI-unl*:
 $w \models f x \Longrightarrow w \models (\exists\exists \ x. \ f x)$
using *EExValInfinite EExValFinite*
by (*meson exist-state-d-def*)

lemma *EExNoDep*:
 $\vdash (\exists\exists \ x. \ g) = g$
proof $-$
  have $1: \vdash g \longrightarrow (\exists\exists \ x. \ g)$ **by** (*meson EExI*)
  have $2: \bigwedge x. \vdash g \longrightarrow g$ **by** *simp*
  have $3: \vdash (\exists\exists \ x. \ g) \longrightarrow g$ **using** *2* **by** (*meson EExE*)
  from *1 3* **show** *?thesis* **using** *int-iffI* **by** *blast*
qed

lemma *AAxNoDep*:
 $\vdash (\forall\forall \ x. \ g) = g$
using *EExNoDep[of LIFT($\neg$ g)] AAxDef EExE EExI*
by (*simp add*: *exist-state-d-def forall-state-d-def intI*)

lemma *EExEqvRule*:
 assumes $\bigwedge x. \vdash f x = g x$
 shows $\vdash (\exists\exists \ x. \ f x) = (\exists\exists \ x. \ g x)$
by (*metis EExE EExI assms int-iffD1 int-iffD2 int-iffI lift-imp-trans*)

lemma *AAxImpEEx*:
 $\vdash (\forall\forall \ x. \ f x) \longrightarrow (\exists\exists \ x. \ f x)$
by (*simp add*: *exist-state-d-def forall-state-d-def intI*)

lemma *EExImpRule*:
 assumes $\vdash f x \longrightarrow g x$
 shows $\vdash (\exists\exists \ x. \ f x \longrightarrow \ g x)$

using *assms* **by** (*meson MP EExI*)

lemma *EExImpRuleDist*:
 assumes ⊢ *f x* ⟶ *g x*
 shows   ⊢ (∀∀ *x. f x*) ⟶ (∃∃ *x. g x*)
proof −
 have *1*: ⊢ (*f x*) ⟶ (∃∃ *x. g x*) **using** *EExI assms lift-imp-trans* **by** *blast*
 have *2*: ⊢ ¬(*f x*) ∨ (∃∃ *x. g x*) **using** *1* **by** *auto*
 have *3*: ⊢ ¬(*f x*) ⟶ (∃∃ *x.* ¬(*f x*)) **by** (*meson EExI*)
 have *4*: ⊢ (∃∃ *x.* ¬(*f x*)) = (¬(∀∀ *x. f x*)) **using** *AAxDef* **by** *fastforce*
 from *2 3 4* **show** *?thesis* **by** *fastforce*
qed

lemma *EExImpNoDepDist*:
 assumes ⊢ *f* ⟶ *g x*
 shows   ⊢ *f* ⟶ (∃∃ *x. g x*)
using *assms* **by** (*metis EExI lift-imp-trans*)

lemma *EExOrDist-1*:
 ⊢ (∃∃ *x. h x*) ⟶ (∃∃ *x.* (*f x*) ∨ (*h x*))
proof −
 have *1*: ⋀ *x.* ⊢ *h x* ⟶ *f x* ∨ *h x* **by** (*simp add: Valid-def*)
 have *2*: ⋀ *x.* ⊢ *f x* ∨ *h x* ⟶ (∃∃ *x.* (*f x*) ∨ (*h x*)) **by** (*meson EExI*)
 have *3*: ⋀ *x.* ⊢ *h x* ⟶ (∃∃ *x.* (*f x*) ∨ (*h x*)) **using** *1 2* **by** (*meson lift-imp-trans*)
 from *3* **show** *?thesis* **using** *EExE* **by** *blast*
qed

lemma *EExOrDist-2*:
 ⊢ (∃∃ *x. f x*) ⟶ (∃∃ *x.* (*f x*) ∨ (*h x*))
proof −
 have *1*: ⋀ *x.* ⊢ *f x* ⟶ *f x* ∨ *h x* **by** (*simp add: Valid-def*)
 have *2*: ⋀ *x.* ⊢ *f x* ∨ *h x* ⟶ (∃∃ *x.* (*f x*) ∨ (*h x*)) **by** (*meson EExI*)
 have *3*: ⋀ *x.* ⊢ *f x* ⟶ (∃∃ *x.* (*f x*) ∨ (*h x*)) **using** *1 2* **by** (*meson lift-imp-trans*)
 from *3* **show** *?thesis* **using** *EExE* **by** *blast*
qed

lemma *EExOrDist-3*:
 ⊢ (∃∃ *x. f x*) ∨ (∃∃ *x. h x*) ⟶ (∃∃ *x.* (*f x*) ∨ (*h x*))
using *EExOrDist-2 EExOrDist-1* **by** *fastforce*

lemma *EExOrDist-4*:
 ⊢ (∃∃ *x.* (*f x*) ∨ (*h x*)) ⟶ (∃∃ *x. f x*) ∨ (∃∃ *x. h x*)
proof −
 have *1*: ⋀ *x.* ⊢ (*f x*) ∨ (*h x*) ⟶ (∃∃ *x. f x*) ∨ (∃∃ *x. h x*)
 by (*simp add: EExI-unl intI*)
 from *1* **show** *?thesis* **by** (*simp add: EExE*)
qed

lemma *EExOrDist*:
 ⊢ ((∃∃ *x. f x*) ∨ (∃∃ *x. h x*)) = (∃∃ *x.* (*f x*) ∨ (*h x*))

using *EExOrDist-3 EExOrDist-4* **by** *fastforce*

lemma *EExOrImport-1*:
$\vdash g \longrightarrow (\exists\exists\ x.\ g \lor (f\ x))$
by (*simp add*: *EExI-unl Valid-def*)

lemma *EExOrImport-2*:
$\vdash (\exists\exists\ x.\ f\ x) \longrightarrow (\exists\exists\ x.\ g \lor (f\ x))$
by (*simp add*: *EExOrDist-1*)

lemma *EExOrImport-3*:
$\vdash (g \lor (\exists\exists\ x.\ f\ x)) \longrightarrow (\exists\exists\ x.\ g \lor (f\ x))$
using *EExOrImport-1 EExOrImport-2* **by** *fastforce*

lemma *EExOrImport-4*:
$\vdash (\exists\exists\ x.\ g \lor f\ x) \longrightarrow (g \lor (\exists\exists\ x.\ f\ x))$
proof $-$
 have *1*: $\bigwedge x. \vdash g \lor f\ x \longrightarrow g \lor (\exists\exists\ x.\ f\ x)$ **by** (*meson EExI int-iffD2 int-simps(27) Prop04 Prop08*)
 from *1* **show** *?thesis* **by** (*simp add*: *EExE*)
qed

lemma *EExOrImport*:
$\vdash (g \lor (\exists\exists\ x.\ f\ x)) = (\exists\exists\ x.\ g \lor f\ x)$
by (*metis EExOrImport-3 EExOrImport-4 int-iffI*)

lemma *EExAndImport-1*:
$\vdash g \land (\exists\exists\ x.\ f\ x) \longrightarrow (\exists\exists\ x.\ g \land f\ x)$
proof $-$
 have *1*: $\vdash (g \land (\exists\exists\ x.\ f\ x) \longrightarrow (\exists\exists\ x.\ g \land f\ x)) =$
        $((\exists\exists\ x.\ f\ x) \longrightarrow (g \longrightarrow (\exists\exists\ x.\ g \land f\ x)))$ **by** *fastforce*
 have *2*: $\bigwedge x. \vdash f\ x \longrightarrow (g \longrightarrow (\exists\exists\ x.\ g \land f\ x))$ **by** (*metis EExI int-eq lift-and-com Prop09*)
 hence *3*: $\vdash (\exists\exists\ x.\ f\ x) \longrightarrow (g \longrightarrow (\exists\exists\ x.\ g \land f\ x))$ **by** (*simp add*: *EExE*)
 from *1 3* **show** *?thesis* **by** *auto*
qed

lemma *EExAndImport-2*:
$\vdash (\exists\exists\ x.\ g \land f\ x) \longrightarrow g \land (\exists\exists\ x.\ f\ x)$
proof $-$
 have *1*: $\bigwedge x. \vdash g \land f\ x \longrightarrow g \land (\exists\exists\ x.\ f\ x)$
 by (*metis EExI int-iffD2 lift-and-com lift-imp-trans Prop12*)
 from *1* **show** *?thesis* **by** (*simp add*: *EExE*)
qed

lemma *EExAndImport*:
$\vdash (g \land (\exists\exists\ x.\ f\ x)) = (\exists\exists\ x.\ g \land f\ x)$
by (*simp add*: *EExAndImport-1 EExAndImport-2 int-iffI*)

lemma *EExAndDist*:
 assumes $\vdash f\ x \land g\ x$

shows $\vdash (\exists\exists\ x.\ f\ x) \wedge (\exists\exists\ x.\ g\ x)$
proof $-$
 have $1 : \vdash f\ x$ **using** *assms* **by** *fastforce*
 have $2 : \vdash g\ x$ **using** *assms* **by** *fastforce*
 have $3 : \vdash (\exists\exists\ x.\ f\ x)$ **using** 1 **by** (*meson EExI MP*)
 have $4 : \vdash (\exists\exists\ x.\ g\ x)$ **using** 2 **by** (*meson EExI MP*)
 from 3 4 **show** *?thesis* **by** *fastforce*
qed

lemma *EExAndNoDepDist*:
 assumes $\vdash f \wedge g\ x$
 shows $\vdash f \wedge (\exists\exists\ x.\ g\ x)$
proof $-$
 have $1 : \vdash f$ **using** *assms* **by** *fastforce*
 have $2 : \vdash g\ x$ **using** *assms* **by** *fastforce*
 have $3 : \vdash (\exists\exists\ x.\ g\ x)$ **using** 2 **by** (*meson EExI MP*)
 from 1 3 **show** *?thesis* **by** *fastforce*
qed

lemma *Spec*:
 $\vdash (\forall\forall\ x.\ f\ x) \longrightarrow f\ x$
proof $-$
 have $1 : \vdash \neg(f\ x) \longrightarrow (\exists\exists\ x.\ \neg(f\ x))$ **by** (*meson EExI*)
 have $2 : \vdash \neg(\exists\exists\ x.\ \neg(f\ x)) \longrightarrow f\ x$ **using** 1 **by** *auto*
 from 2 **show** *?thesis* **using** *AAxDef* **by** *fastforce*
qed

lemma *AAxE*:
 assumes $\vdash (\forall\forall\ x.\ f\ x)$
       $\vdash f\ x \longrightarrow g$
 shows $\vdash g$
using *MP Spec assms*(1) *assms*(2) **by** *blast*

lemma *AAxI*:
 assumes $\bigwedge x. \vdash f\ x$
 shows $\vdash (\forall\forall\ x.\ f\ x)$
using *assms* **by** (*simp add*: *Valid-def exist-state-d-def forall-state-d-def*)

lemma *AAxEqvRule*:
 assumes $\bigwedge x. \vdash f\ x = g\ x$
 shows $\vdash (\forall\forall\ x.\ f\ x) = (\forall\forall\ x.\ g\ x)$
by (*metis* (*mono-tags, lifting*) *AAxDef EExEqvRule assms int-iffD1 int-iffI*
   inteq-reflection lift-imp-neg)

lemma *AAxAndDist*:
 $\vdash (\forall\forall\ x.\ (f\ x) \wedge (g\ x)) = ((\forall\forall\ x.\ f\ x) \wedge (\forall\forall\ x.\ g\ x))$
proof $-$
 have $1 : \vdash ((\exists\exists\ x.\ \neg(f\ x)) \vee (\exists\exists\ x.\ \neg(g\ x))) = (\exists\exists\ x.\ \neg(f\ x) \vee \neg(g\ x))$ **by** (*simp add:EExOrDist*)
 have $2 : \vdash ((\exists\exists\ x.\ \neg(f\ x))) = (\neg(\forall\forall\ x.\ f\ x)\ )$ **using** *AAxDef* **by** *fastforce*

have 3: ⊢ ((∃∃ x. ¬(g x))) = (¬(∀∀ x. g x)) **using** *AAxDef* **by** *fastforce*
have 4: ⊢ ((∃∃ x. ¬(f x)) ∨ (∃∃ x. ¬(g x))) = (¬(∀∀ x. f x) ∨ ¬(∀∀ x. g x))
  using *2 3* **by** *fastforce*
have 5: ⋀ x . ⊢ (¬(f x) ∨ ¬(g x)) = (¬((f x) ∧ (g x))) **by** *auto*
have 6: ⊢ (∃∃ x. ¬(f x) ∨ ¬(g x)) = (∃∃ x. ¬((f x) ∧ (g x))) **using** *5* **by** (*simp add*: *EExEqvRule*)
have 7: ⊢ (∃∃ x. ¬((f x) ∧ (g x))) = (¬(∀∀ x. (f x) ∧ (g x))) **using** *AAxDef* **by** *fastforce*
have 8: ⊢ (¬(∀∀ x. f x) ∨ ¬(∀∀ x. g x)) = (¬((∀∀ x. f x) ∧ (∀∀ x. g x))) **by** *fastforce*
have 9: ⊢ (¬((∀∀ x. f x) ∧ (∀∀ x. g x))) = (¬(∀∀ x. (f x) ∧ (g x)))
  using *1 4 6 7 8* **by** *fastforce*
from *9* **show** *?thesis* **by** *fastforce*
qed

lemma *AAxAndImport*:
⊢ (g ∧ (∀∀ x. f x)) = (∀∀ x. g ∧ f x)
proof −
have 1: ⊢ (¬ g ∨ (∃∃ x. ¬(f x))) = (∃∃ x. ¬ g ∨ ¬(f x)) **by** (*simp add*: *EExOrImport*)
have 2: ⊢ ((∃∃ x. ¬(f x))) = (¬((∀∀ x. f x))) **using** *AAxDef* **by** *fastforce*
have 3: ⊢ (¬ g ∨ (∃∃ x. ¬(f x))) = (¬(g ∧ (∀∀ x. f x))) **using** *2* **by** *fastforce*
have 4: ⋀ x. ⊢ (¬ g ∨ ¬(f x)) = (¬(g ∧ f x)) **by** *auto*
have 5: ⊢ (∃∃ x. ¬ g ∨ ¬(f x)) = (∃∃ x. ¬(g ∧ f x)) **using** *4* **by** (*simp add*: *EExEqvRule*)
have 6: ⊢ (∃∃ x. ¬(g ∧ f x)) = (¬(∀∀ x. g ∧ f x)) **using** *AAxDef* **by** *fastforce*
have 7: ⊢ (¬(g ∧ (∀∀ x. f x))) = (¬(∀∀ x. g ∧ f x)) **using** *1 3 5 6* **by** *fastforce*
from *7* **show** *?thesis* **by** *fastforce*
qed

lemma *AAxOrImport*:
⊢ (g ∨ (∀∀ x. f x)) = (∀∀ x. g ∨ f x)
proof −
have 1: ⊢ (¬ g ∧ (∃∃ x. ¬(f x))) = (∃∃ x. ¬ g ∧ ¬(f x)) **by** (*simp add*: *EExAndImport*)
have 2: ⊢ (∃∃ x. ¬(f x)) = (¬((∀∀ x. f x))) **using** *AAxDef* **by** *fastforce*
have 3: ⊢ (¬ g ∧ (∃∃ x. ¬(f x))) = (¬(g ∨ (∀∀ x. f x))) **using** *2* **by** *fastforce*
have 4: ⋀ x. ⊢ (¬ g ∧ ¬(f x)) = (¬(g ∨ f x)) **by** *auto*
have 5: ⊢ (∃∃ x. ¬ g ∧ ¬(f x)) = (∃∃ x. ¬(g ∨ f x)) **using** *4* **by** (*simp add*: *EExEqvRule*)
have 6: ⊢ (∃∃ x. ¬(g ∨ f x)) = (¬(∀∀ x. g ∨ f x)) **using** *AAxDef* **by** *fastforce*
have 7: ⊢ (¬(g ∨ (∀∀ x. f x))) = (¬(∀∀ x. g ∨ f x)) **using** *1 3 5 6* **by** *fastforce*
from *7* **show** *?thesis* **by** *auto*
qed

lemma *EExImpChopRule*:
assumes ⊢ f x ⟶ g x
shows ⊢ (∃∃ x. h;(f x) ⟶ h;(g x))
using *RightChopImpChop*[*of f x g x h*]
  EExImpRule[*of λx. LIFT(h;(f x)) x λx. LIFT(h;(g x))*] *assms* **by** *auto*

lemma *EExChopRight*:
⊢ (∃∃ x. (f x);g) ⟶ (∃∃ x. f x);g
proof −
have 1: ⋀x. ⊢ (f x);g ⟶ (∃∃ x. f x);g **by** (*simp add*: *EExI LeftChopImpChop*)
from *1* **show** *?thesis* **by** (*simp add*: *EExE*)
qed

lemma *EExChopRightNoDep*:
⊢ (∃∃ x. (f x);g) = (∃∃ x. (f x));g
by (*auto simp add*: *exist-state-d-def Valid-def chop-defs sum.case-eq-if*)

lemma *EExChopLeft* :
 ⊢ (∃∃ x. g;(f x)) ⟶ g;(∃∃ x. f x)
proof −
 have *1*: ⋀ x. ⊢ g;(f x) ⟶ g;(∃∃ x. f x) **by** (*simp add*: *EExI RightChopImpChop*)
 from *1* **show** *?thesis* **by** (*simp add*: *EExE*)
qed

lemma *EExChopLeftNoDep*:
⊢ (∃∃ x. g;(f x)) = g;(∃∃ x. f x)
by (*auto simp add*: *exist-state-d-def Valid-def chop-defs sum.case-eq-if*)

lemma *EExEExChopEqvEExEExChop*:
⊢ (∃∃ v. (∃∃ y. (f v);(g y))) = (∃∃ y. (∃∃ v. (f v);(g y)))
by (*simp add*: *exist-state-d-def Valid-def chop-defs*) *blast*

lemma *EExEExChopEqvEExChopEExA*:
⊢ (∃∃ v. (∃∃ y. (f v);(g y))) = (∃∃ v. (f v);(∃∃ y. (g y)))
by (*simp add*: *exist-state-d-def Valid-def chop-defs sum.case-eq-if*) *blast*

lemma *EExEExChopEqvEExChopEExB*:
⊢ (∃∃ y. (∃∃ v. (f v);(g y))) = (∃∃ y. (∃∃ v. (f v)); (g y))
by (*simp add*: *exist-state-d-def Valid-def chop-defs sum.case-eq-if*) *blast*

lemma *EExEExChopEqvEExChopEExC*:
⊢ (∃∃ v. (∃∃ y. (f v);(g y))) = (∃∃ v. (f v));(∃∃ y. (g y))
by (*simp add*: *exist-state-d-def Valid-def chop-defs sum.case-eq-if*) *blast*

lemma *ExLenOrInf*:
⊢ (∃ n. len(n)) ∨ inf
by (*simp add*: *Valid-def len-defs sum.case-eq-if infinite-defs*)

lemma *CSPowerChop*:
⊢ (f⋆) = (∃n. power (f ∧ more) n);(empty ∨ (f ∧ more) ∧ inf)
by (*simp add*: *chopstar-d-def powerstar-d-def Valid-def sum.case-eq-if*)

lemma *ExChopRightNoDep*:
⊢ (∃ x. (f x);g) = (∃ x. (f x));g
by (*auto simp add*: *Valid-def chop-defs sum.case-eq-if*)

lemma *ExChopLeftNoDep*:
 ⊢ (∃ x. g;(f x)) = g;(∃ x. f x)
by (*auto simp add*: *Valid-def chop-defs sum.case-eq-if*)

lemma *ExExEqvExEx*:
⊢ (∃ x. (∃ y. (f x);(g y))) = (∃ y. (∃ x. (f x);(g y)))

by (*auto simp add: Valid-def chop-defs*)

end

16 The First Occurrence Operator in finite ITL

theory *First*
 imports
    Theorems TimeReversal
begin

Runtime verification (RV) has gained significant interest in recent years. The behaviour of a program can be verified in real time by analysing its evolving trace. This approach has two significant benefits over static verification techniques such as model checking. Firstly, it is only necessary to verify actual execution paths rather than all possible paths. Secondly, it is possible to react at runtime should the program diverge from its specified behaviour. RV does not replace traditional verification techniques but it does provide an extra layer of security.

Linear Temporal Logic (LTL) is a popular formalism for writing specifications from which RV monitors can be derived automatically. By contrast, Interval Temporal Logic (ITL) has not been as widely represented in this field despite being more expressive and compositional. The principal issue is efficiency. ITL uses non-deterministic operators to construct sequential and iterative specifications (chop and chop-star, respectively) and these introduce combinatorial complexity. Approaches to mitigate this include using a deterministic subset of ITL or adapting the semantics to include a deterministic chop operator. This work proposes an alternative approach, wholly within existing ITL, and based upon a new, derived operator called "first occurrence".

A theory of first occurrence is developed and used to derive an algebra of RV monitors.

16.1 Definitions

16.1.1 Definitions Strict Initial and Final

definition *bs-d* :: (*′a::world*) *formula* $\Rightarrow$ *′a formula*
where
  bs-d f $\equiv$ *LIFT*(*empty* $\vee$ ((*bi f*) ; *skip*))

definition *bt-d* :: (*′a::world*) *formula* $\Rightarrow$ *′a formula*
where
  bt-d f $\equiv$ *LIFT*(*empty* $\vee$ (*skip*;($\Box$ *f*)))

syntax
 -bs-d :: *lift* $\Rightarrow$ *lift* ((*bs -*) [*88*] *87*)
 -bt-d :: *lift* $\Rightarrow$ *lift* ((*bt -*) [*88*] *87*)

syntax (*ASCII*)
 -bs-d :: *lift* $\Rightarrow$ *lift* ((*bs -*) [*88*] *87*)
 -bt-d :: *lift* $\Rightarrow$ *lift* ((*bt -*) [*88*] *87*)

translations
 -bs-d ⇌ CONST bs-d
 -bt-d ⇌ CONST bt-d

definition *ds-d* :: (′*a*::*world*) *formula* ⇒ ′*a formula*
where
  ds-d f ≡ *LIFT* (¬ (*bs* (¬ *f*)))

definition *dt-d* :: (′*a*::*world*) *formula* ⇒ ′*a formula*
where
  dt-d f ≡ *LIFT* (¬ (*bt* (¬ *f*)))

syntax
 -ds-d :: *lift* ⇒ *lift* ((*ds* -) [88] 87)
 -dt-d :: *lift* ⇒ *lift* ((*dt* -) [88] 87)

syntax (*ASCII*)
 -ds-d :: *lift* ⇒ *lift* ((*ds* -) [88] 87)
 -dt-d :: *lift* ⇒ *lift* ((*dt* -) [88] 87)

translations
 -ds-d ⇌ CONST ds-d
 -dt-d ⇌ CONST dt-d

16.1.2 Definition First and Last Operators

definition *first-d* :: (′*a*::*world*) *formula* ⇒ ′*a formula*
where
  first-d f ≡ *LIFT* (*f* ∧ (*bs* (¬ *f*)))

definition *last-d* :: (′*a*::*world*) *formula* ⇒ ′*a formula*
where
  last-d f ≡ *LIFT* (*f* ∧ (*bt* (¬ *f*)))

syntax
 -first-d :: *lift* ⇒ *lift* ((▷ -) [88] 87)
 -last-d :: *lift* ⇒ *lift* ((◁ -) [88] 87)

syntax (*ASCII*)
 -first-d :: *lift* ⇒ *lift* ((*first* -) [88] 87)
 -last-d :: *lift* ⇒ *lift* ((*last* -) [88] 87)

translations
 -first-d ⇌ CONST first-d
 -last-d ⇌ CONST last-d

16.2 First and Time Reversal

lemma *BsEqvRule*:

assumes $\vdash f = g$
shows $\vdash bs\ f = bs\ g$
proof $-$
 have 1: $\vdash f = g$ **using** *assms* **by** *auto*
 hence 2: $\vdash bi(f) = bi(g)$ **by** (*simp add*: *BiEqvBi*)
 hence 3: $\vdash bi(f);skip = bi(g);skip$ **by** (*simp add*: *LeftChopEqvChop*)
 hence 4: $\vdash (empty \lor bi(f);skip) = (empty \lor bi(g);skip)$ **by** *auto*
 hence 5: $\vdash bs(f) = bs(g)$ **by** (*simp add*: *bs-d-def*)
 from *1 2 3 4 5* **show** *?thesis* **by** *auto*
qed

lemma *BtEqvRule*:
 assumes $\vdash f = g$
 shows $\vdash bt\ f = bt\ g$
proof $-$
 have 1: $\vdash f = g$ **using** *assms* **by** *auto*
 hence 2: $\vdash \Box(f) = \Box(g)$ **by** (*simp add*: *BoxEqvBox*)
 hence 3: $\vdash skip;\Box(f) = skip;\Box(g)$ **using** *RightChopEqvChop* **by** *blast*
 hence 4: $\vdash (empty \lor skip;\Box(f)) = (empty \lor skip;\Box(g))$ **by** *auto*
 hence 5: $\vdash bt(f) = bt(g)$ **by** (*simp add*: *bt-d-def*)
 from *1 2 3 4 5* **show** *?thesis* **by** *auto*
qed

lemma *FstEqvRule*:
 assumes $\vdash f = g$
 shows $\vdash \rhd f = \rhd g$
proof $-$
 have 1: $\vdash f = g$ **using** *assms* **by** *auto*
 hence 2: $\vdash (\neg\ f) = (\neg\ g)$ **by** *auto*
 hence 3: $\vdash bs(\neg\ f) = bs(\neg\ g)$ **by** (*simp add*: *BsEqvRule*)
 hence 4: $\vdash (f \land bs(\neg\ f)) = (g \land bs(\neg\ g))$ **using** *1* **by** *fastforce*
 from *4* **show** *?thesis* **by** (*simp add*:*first-d-def*)
qed

lemma *LstEqvRule*:
 assumes $\vdash f = g$
 shows $\vdash \lhd f = \lhd g$
proof $-$
 have 1: $\vdash f = g$ **using** *assms* **by** *auto*
 hence 2: $\vdash (\neg\ f) = (\neg\ g)$ **by** *auto*
 hence 3: $\vdash bt(\neg\ f) = bt(\neg\ g)$ **by** (*simp add*: *BtEqvRule*)
 hence 4: $\vdash (f \land bt(\neg\ f)) = (g \land bt(\neg\ g))$ **using** *1* **by** *fastforce*
 from *4* **show** *?thesis* **by** (*simp add*:*last-d-def*)
qed

lemma *RBsEqvBt*:
 $\vdash (bs\ f)^r = (bt\ (f^r))$
proof $-$
 have 1: $\vdash (bs\ f)^r = (empty \lor ((bi\ f)\ ;\ skip))^r$
    by (*simp add*: *bs-d-def*)

have 2: $\vdash (empty \lor ((bi\ f)\ ;\ skip))^r = (empty^r \lor ((bi\ f)\ ;\ skip)^r)$
    using *ROr* **by** *blast*
have 3: $\vdash (empty^r \lor ((bi\ f)\ ;\ skip)^r) = (empty \lor (skip^r;(bi\ f)^r))$
    using *REmptyEqvEmpty RevChop* **by** *fastforce*
have 4: $\vdash (empty \lor (skip^r;(bi\ f)^r)) = (empty \lor (skip;\Box\ (f^r)))$
    by (*metis 3 RBiEqvBox RevSkip int-eq*)
have 5: $\vdash (empty \lor (skip;\Box\ (f^r))) = (bt\ (f^r))$
    by (*simp add: bt-d-def*)
from *1 2 3 4 5* **show** *?thesis* **by** *fastforce*
qed

lemma *RRBsEqvBt*:
$\vdash (bs\ (f^r))^r = (bt\ (f))$
proof $-$
have 1: $\vdash (bs\ (f^r))^r = bt\ ((f^r)^r)$ **using** *RBsEqvBt* **by** *blast*
have 2: $\vdash bt\ ((f^r)^r) = bt\ f$ **using** *EqvReverseReverse* **using** *BtEqvRule* **by** *blast*
from *1 2* **show** *?thesis* **by** *fastforce*
qed

lemma *RBtEqvBs*:
$\vdash (bt\ f)^r = (bs\ (f^r))$
proof $-$
have 1: $\vdash (bt\ f)^r = (empty \lor (skip;\Box\ f))^r$
    by (*simp add: bt-d-def*)
have 2: $\vdash (empty \lor (skip;\Box\ f))^r = (empty^r \lor (skip;\Box\ f)^r)$
    using *ROr* **by** *blast*
have 3: $\vdash (empty^r \lor (skip;\Box\ f)^r) = (empty \lor (\Box\ f)^r;skip^r)$
    using *REmptyEqvEmpty RevChop* **by** *fastforce*
have 4: $\vdash (empty \lor (\Box\ f)^r;skip^r) = (empty \lor (bi\ (f^r));skip)$
    by (*metis 3 RBoxEqvBi RevSkip int-eq*)
have 5: $\vdash (empty \lor (bi\ (f^r));skip) = (bs\ (f^r))$
    by (*simp add: bs-d-def*)
from *1 2 3 4 5* **show** *?thesis* **by** *fastforce*
qed

lemma *RRBtEqvBs*:
$\vdash (bt\ (f^r))^r = (bs\ (f))$
proof $-$
have 1: $\vdash (bt\ (f^r))^r = bs\ ((f^r)^r)$ **using** *RBtEqvBs* **by** *blast*
have 2: $\vdash bs\ ((f^r)^r) = bs\ f$ **using** *EqvReverseReverse* **using** *BsEqvRule* **by** *blast*
from *1 2* **show** *?thesis* **by** *fastforce*
qed

lemma *RFirstEqvLast*:
$\vdash (\rhd\ f)^r = (\lhd\ (f^r))$
proof $-$
have 1: $\vdash (\rhd\ f)^r = (f \land bs(\neg\ f))^r$ **by** (*simp add: first-d-def*)
have 2: $\vdash (f \land bs(\neg\ f))^r = (f^r \land (bs\ (\neg\ f))^r)$ **using** *RAnd* **by** *blast*
have 3: $\vdash (f^r \land (bs\ (\neg\ f))^r) = (f^r \land bt\ ((\neg\ f)^r))$ **using** *RBsEqvBt* **by** *fastforce*
have 4: $\vdash (f^r \land bt\ ((\neg\ f)^r)) = (f^r \land bt\ (\neg(f^r)))$ **using** *RNot int-eq* **by** *fastforce*

have 5: $\vdash (f^r \wedge bt\,(\neg(f^r))) = (\lhd\,(f^r))$ **by** $(simp\ add\colon last\text{-}d\text{-}def)$
from $1\ 2\ 3\ 4\ 5$ **show** $?thesis$ **by** $fastforce$
qed

lemma $RRFirstEqvLast$:
$\vdash (\rhd\,(f^r))^r = (\lhd\,(f))$
proof $-$
have 1: $\vdash (\rhd\,(f^r))^r = \lhd\,((f^r)^r)$ **using** $RFirstEqvLast$ **by** $blast$
have 2: $\vdash \lhd\,((f^r)^r) = \lhd\,f$ **using** $EqvReverseReverse$ **using** $LstEqvRule$ **by** $blast$
from $1\ 2$ **show** $?thesis$ **by** $fastforce$
qed

lemma $RLastEqvFirst$:
$\vdash (\lhd\,f)^r = (\rhd\,(f^r))$
proof $-$
have 1: $\vdash (\lhd\,f)^r = (f \wedge bt(\neg\,f))^r$ **by** $(simp\ add\colon last\text{-}d\text{-}def)$
have 2: $\vdash (f \wedge bt(\neg\,f))^r = (f^r \wedge (bt\,(\neg f))^r)$ **using** $RAnd$ **by** $blast$
have 3: $\vdash (f^r \wedge (bt\,(\neg f))^r) = (f^r \wedge bs\,(\,(\neg f)^r))$ **using** $RBtEqvBs$ **by** $fastforce$
have 4: $\vdash (f^r \wedge bs\,(\,(\neg f)^r)) = (f^r \wedge bs(\neg(f^r)))$ **using** $RNot\ int\text{-}eq$ **by** $fastforce$
have 5: $\vdash (f^r \wedge bs(\neg(f^r))) = (\rhd\,(f^r))$ **by** $(simp\ add\colon first\text{-}d\text{-}def)$
from $1\ 2\ 3\ 4\ 5$ **show** $?thesis$ **by** $fastforce$
qed

lemma $RRLastEqvFirst$:
$\vdash (\lhd\,(f^r))^r = (\rhd\,(f))$
proof $-$
have 1: $\vdash (\lhd\,(f^r))^r = \rhd\,((f^r)^r)$ **using** $RLastEqvFirst$ **by** $blast$
have 2: $\vdash \rhd\,((f^r)^r) = \rhd\,f$ **using** $EqvReverseReverse$ **using** $FstEqvRule$ **by** $blast$
from $1\ 2$ **show** $?thesis$ **by** $fastforce$
qed

16.3 Semantic Theorems

16.3.1 Semantics First and Last Operators

lemma $FstAndBisem$:
$(intlen\ \sigma > 0 \wedge (\sigma \models f) \wedge (\,\sigma \models\ bi\,(\neg f);skip)) =$
$(intlen\ \sigma > 0 \wedge (\sigma \models f) \wedge (\forall\,ia < intlen\,(\sigma).\,(prefix\ ia\ \ \sigma \models \neg f))\,)$
proof $-$
have $(intlen\ \sigma > 0 \wedge (\sigma \models f) \wedge (\,\sigma \models\ bi\,(\neg f);skip)) =$
$(0 < intlen\ \sigma \wedge (\sigma \models f) \wedge$
$(\exists\,i.\,(i \le intlen\ \sigma \longrightarrow (\forall\,ia \le i.\,\neg\,(prefix\ ia\,(prefix\ i\ \sigma) \models f)) \wedge$
$intlen\ \sigma - i = Suc\ 0) \wedge i \le intlen\ \sigma)$
$)$
  using $le\text{-}trans$ **by** $(auto\ simp\ add\colon chop\text{-}defs\ bi\text{-}defs\ skip\text{-}defs,\ blast)$
also have $\ ... =$
$(0 < intlen\ \sigma \wedge (\sigma \models f) \wedge$
$(\exists\,i.\,(i \le intlen\ \sigma \longrightarrow (\forall\,ia \le i.\,\neg\,(prefix\ ia\,(prefix\ i\ \sigma) \models f)) \wedge$
$i = intlen\ \sigma - Suc\ 0) \wedge i \le intlen\ \sigma)$
$)$
  by $auto$

also have ... =
$$(0 < \text{intlen } \sigma \land (\sigma \models f) \land$$
$$(\forall \text{ia} \leq (\text{intlen } \sigma - \text{Suc } 0). \neg (\text{prefix ia } (\text{prefix } (\text{intlen } \sigma - \text{Suc } 0) \sigma) \models f))$$
$$)$$
    using *diff-le-self* **by** *blast*

also have ... =
$$(\text{intlen } \sigma > 0 \land (\sigma \models f) \land$$
$$(\forall \text{ia} < \text{intlen } (\sigma). \neg (\text{prefix ia } (\text{prefix } (\text{intlen } \sigma - \text{Suc } 0) \sigma) \models f))$$
$$) \text{ \textbf{by} } (\text{metis Suc-pred less-Suc-eq-le})$$

also have ... =
$$(\text{intlen } \sigma > 0 \land (\sigma \models f) \land$$
$$(\forall \text{ia} < \text{intlen } (\sigma). (\text{prefix ia } (\text{prefix } (\text{intlen } \sigma - \text{Suc } 0) \sigma) \models \neg f))$$
$$)$$
    by *auto*

also have ... =
$$(\text{intlen } \sigma > 0 \land (\sigma \models f) \land (\forall \text{ia} < \text{intlen } (\sigma). (\text{prefix ia } \sigma \models \neg f)))$$
    by (*simp add*: *interval-pref-pref-help*)

finally show $(\text{intlen } \sigma > 0 \land (\sigma \models f) \land (\sigma \models \text{ bi } (\neg f);\text{skip})) =$
$$(\text{intlen } \sigma > 0 \land (\sigma \models f) \land (\forall \text{ia} < \text{intlen } (\sigma). (\text{prefix ia } \sigma \models \neg f))) \quad .$$

qed

lemma *Fstsem-0*:
$(\sigma \models \triangleright f) =$
$($
 $(\sigma \models f \land \text{empty}) \lor (\text{intlen } \sigma > 0 \land (\sigma \models f) \land (\sigma \models \text{ bi } (\neg f);\text{skip}))$
$)$
using *empty-defs* **by** (*auto simp add*: *first-d-def bs-d-def*)

lemma *Emptysem*:
$(\sigma \models f \land \text{empty}) = ((\sigma \models f) \land \text{intlen } \sigma = 0)$
using *empty-defs* **by** *auto*

lemma *Fstsem*:
$(\sigma \models \triangleright f) =$
$($
 $((\sigma \models f) \land \text{intlen } \sigma = 0) \lor$
 $(\text{intlen } \sigma > 0 \land (\sigma \models f) \land (\forall \text{ia} < \text{intlen } (\sigma). (\text{prefix ia } \sigma \models \neg f)))$
$)$
using *Fstsem-0 Emptysem FstAndBisem* **by** *metis*

lemma *Lstsem*:
$(\sigma \models \triangleleft f) =$
$(((\sigma \models f) \land \text{intlen } \sigma = 0) \lor$
 $(\text{intlen } \sigma > 0 \land (\sigma \models f) \land (\forall \text{ia} < \text{intlen } \sigma. (\text{suffix } ((\text{intlen } \sigma) - \text{ia}) \sigma \models \neg f)))$
$)$

proof −
have $(\sigma \models \triangleleft f) = (\sigma \models (\triangleright (f^r))^r)$
    using *RRFirstEqvLast* **by** *fastforce*
also have ... = $(\text{intrev } \sigma \models \triangleright (f^r))$

by (*metis reverse-d-def*)
also have ... =
$($
$\quad ((intrev\ \sigma \models f^r) \wedge intlen\ (intrev\ \sigma) = 0) \vee$
$\quad (intlen\ (intrev\ \sigma) > 0 \wedge (intrev\ \sigma \models f^r) \wedge$
$\quad\quad (\forall\ ia < intlen\ (intrev\ \sigma).\ (prefix\ ia\ \ (intrev\ \sigma) \models \neg(f^r))))$
$)$
$\quad\quad$ **using** *Fstsem* **by** *blast*
also have ... =
$($
$\quad ((\ \sigma \models f) \wedge intlen\ (\sigma) = 0) \vee$
$\quad (intlen\ (\sigma) > 0 \wedge (\ \sigma \models f) \wedge$
$\quad\quad (\forall\ ia < intlen\ (intrev\ \sigma).\ (prefix\ ia\ \ (intrev\ \sigma) \models (\neg(f))^r)))$
$)$
by (*simp add*: *reverse-d-def*)
also have ... =
$($
$\quad ((\ \sigma \models f) \wedge intlen\ (\sigma) = 0) \vee$
$\quad (intlen\ (\sigma) > 0 \wedge (\ \sigma \models f) \wedge$
$\quad\quad (\forall\ ia < intlen\ (intrev\ \sigma).\ (intrev\ (prefix\ ia\ \ (intrev\ \sigma)) \models (\neg(f)))))$
$)$
by (*simp add*: *reverse-d-def*)
also have ... =
$($
$\quad ((\ \sigma \models f) \wedge intlen\ (\sigma) = 0) \vee$
$\quad (intlen\ (\sigma) > 0 \wedge (\ \sigma \models f) \wedge$
$\quad\quad (\forall\ ia < intlen\ (\sigma).\ (\ (suffix\ ((intlen\ \sigma) - ia)\ \ (\sigma)) \models (\neg(f)))))$
$)$
$\quad\quad$ **by** (*simp add*: *interval-intrev-prefix*)
finally show
$\quad (\sigma \models\ \lhd\ f) =$
$\quad ((\ (\sigma \models f) \wedge intlen\ \sigma = 0) \vee$
$\quad\quad (intlen\ \sigma > 0 \wedge (\sigma \models f) \wedge$
$\quad\quad\quad (\forall\ ia < intlen\ \sigma.\ (suffix\ ((intlen\ \sigma) - ia)\ \ \sigma \models \neg f))\ )$
$\quad)\quad .$
qed

16.3.2   Various Semantic Lemmas

lemma *DiLensem*:
$\quad (\sigma \models di\ (f \wedge len(i))) =$
$\quad\quad ((prefix\ i\ \sigma \models f) \wedge i \leq intlen\ \sigma)$
using *interval-prefix-length-good* **by** (*auto simp add*: *di-defs len-defs*)

lemma *PrefixFstsem*:
$\quad ((prefix\ i\ \sigma \models\ \rhd f) \wedge i \leq intlen\ \sigma) =$
$\quad (i \leq intlen\ \sigma \wedge$
$\quad\quad ($
$\quad\quad\quad ((prefix\ i\ \sigma \models f) \wedge i = 0) \vee$
$\quad\quad\quad (i > 0 \wedge (prefix\ i\ \sigma \models f) \wedge (\forall\ ia < i.\ (prefix\ ia\ \sigma \models \neg f)))$

552

)
)
   proof −
   have *1*: (((*(prefix i σ)* $\models$ ▷*f*)) =
    (
     ((*(prefix i σ)* $\models$ *f*) ∧ *intlen* *(prefix i σ)* = *0*) ∨
     (*intlen* *(prefix i σ)* >*0* ∧ (*(prefix i σ)* $\models$ *f*) ∧
       (∀ *ia*<*intlen* *(prefix i σ)*. *(prefix ia* *(prefix i σ)* $\models$ ¬*f*)))
)
         using *Fstsem* **by** *blast*
   hence *2*: ((*(prefix i σ)* $\models$ ▷*f*) ∧ *i*≤*intlen σ*) =
    (*i*≤*intlen σ* ∧ (
     ((*(prefix i σ)* $\models$ *f*) ∧ *intlen* *(prefix i σ)* = *0*) ∨
     (*intlen* *(prefix i σ)* >*0* ∧ (*(prefix i σ)* $\models$ *f*) ∧
       (∀ *ia*<*intlen* *(prefix i σ)*. *(prefix ia* *(prefix i σ)* $\models$ ¬*f*)))
)
)
         by *auto*
   hence *3*: ((*(prefix i σ)* $\models$ ▷*f*) ∧ *i*≤*intlen σ*) =
    (*i*≤*intlen σ* ∧ (
     ((*(prefix i σ)* $\models$ *f*) ∧ *i* = *0*) ∨
     (*i*>*0* ∧ (*(prefix i σ)* $\models$ *f*) ∧ (∀ *ia*<*i*. *(prefix ia* *(prefix i σ)* $\models$ ¬*f*)))
)
)
         by *auto*
   hence *4*: ((*(prefix i σ)* $\models$ ▷*f*) ∧ *i*≤*intlen σ*) =
    (*i*≤*intlen σ* ∧ (
     ((*(prefix i σ)* $\models$ *f*) ∧ *i* = *0*) ∨
     (*i*>*0* ∧ (*(prefix i σ)* $\models$ *f*) ∧ (∀ *ia*<*i*. *(prefix ia σ* $\models$ ¬*f*)))
)
)
        using *interval-pref-pref-3* **using** *less-imp-add-positive* **by** *fastforce*
   from *4* **show** *?thesis* **by** *auto*
   qed

   lemma *PrefixFstAndsem*:
    ((*prefix i σ* $\models$ ▷*f* ∧ *g*) ∧ *i*≤*intlen σ*) =
     (*i*≤*intlen σ* ∧
      (
       ((*prefix i σ* $\models$ *f* ∧ *g*) ∧ *i* = *0*) ∨
       (*i*>*0* ∧ (*prefix i σ* $\models$ *f* ∧ *g*) ∧ (∀ *ia*<*i*. *(prefix ia σ* $\models$ ¬*f*)))
)
)
   using *PrefixFstsem* **by** (*metis unl-lift2*)

   lemma *DiLenFstsem*:
    (*σ* $\models$ *di* (▷*f* ∧ *len(i)*)) =
     (*i*≤*intlen σ* ∧
      (
       ((*prefix i σ* $\models$ *f*) ∧ *i* = *0*) ∨

553

$(\;i{>}0 \wedge (\textit{prefix } i \; \sigma \models f) \wedge (\forall \textit{ia}{<}i. \; (\textit{prefix } \textit{ia} \; \sigma \models \neg f)))$
$\quad)$
$)$
by (*simp add*: *DiLensem PrefixFstsem*)

lemma *DiLenFstAndsem*:
$(\sigma \models \textit{di } ((\rhd f \wedge g) \wedge \textit{len}(i))) =$
$\quad (\;i{\leq}\textit{intlen } \sigma \wedge$
$\quad (\;$
$\quad (\;(\textit{prefix } i \; \sigma \models f \wedge g) \wedge i = 0) \vee$
$\quad (\;i{>}0 \wedge (\textit{prefix } i \; \sigma \models f \wedge g) \wedge (\forall \textit{ia}{<}i. \; (\textit{prefix } \textit{ia} \; \sigma \models \neg f)))$
$\quad)$
$)$
using *DiLensem PrefixFstAndsem* **by** *metis*

lemma *FstLenSamesem*:
$(\;(\;i{\leq}\textit{intlen } \sigma \wedge$
$\quad (\;$
$\quad (\;(\textit{prefix } i \; \sigma \models f) \wedge i = 0) \vee$
$\quad (\;i{>}0 \wedge (\textit{prefix } i \; \sigma \models f) \wedge (\forall \textit{ia}{<}i. \; (\textit{prefix } \textit{ia} \; \sigma \models \neg f)))$
$\quad)$
$) \wedge$
$\quad (\;j{\leq}\textit{intlen } \sigma \wedge$
$\quad (\;$
$\quad (\;(\textit{prefix } j \; \sigma \models f) \wedge j = 0) \vee$
$\quad (\;j{>}0 \wedge (\textit{prefix } j \; \sigma \models f) \wedge (\forall \textit{ia}{<}j. \; (\textit{prefix } \textit{ia} \; \sigma \models \neg f)))$
$\quad)$
$)$
$) \longrightarrow (i{=}j)$

by (*metis not-less-iff-gr-or-eq unl-lift*)

16.4   Theorems

16.4.1   Fixed length intervals

lemma *LenZeroEqvEmpty*:
$\vdash \textit{len}(0) = \textit{empty}$
by (*simp add*: *len-d-def*)

lemma *LenOneEqvSkip*:
$\vdash \textit{len}(1) = \textit{skip}$
by (*simp add*: *len-d-def ChopEmpty*)

lemma *LenNPlusOneA*:
$\vdash \textit{len}(n{+}1) = \textit{skip};\textit{len}(n)$
by (*simp add*: *len-d-def*)

lemma *LenEqvLenChopLen*:
$\vdash \textit{len}(i{+}j) = \textit{len}(i);\textit{len}(j)$

proof
 (*induct i*)
 case *0*
 then show *?case*
 by (*metis EmptyChop LenZeroEqvEmpty add.left-neutral inteq-reflection*)
 next
 case (*Suc i*)
 then show *?case*
 by (*metis ChopAssoc LenNPlusOneA add.commute add-Suc inteq-reflection plus-1-eq-Suc*)
qed

lemma *LenNPlusOneB*:
 ⊢ *len(n+1) = len(n);skip*
proof −
 have *1*: ⊢ *len(n+1) = len(n);len(1)* **by** (*rule LenEqvLenChopLen*)
 have *2*: ⊢ *len(1) = skip* **by** (*rule LenOneEqvSkip*)
 hence *3*: ⊢ *len(n);len(1) = len(n);skip* **using** *RightChopEqvChop* **by** *blast*
 from *1 3* **show** *?thesis* **by** *fastforce*
qed

lemma *LenCommute*:
 ⊢ *(skip;(len n)) = (len n);skip*
proof
 (*induct n*)
 case *0*
 then show *?case*
 by (*metis LenEqvLenChopLen LenNPlusOneA LenOneEqvSkip inteq-reflection*)
 next
 case (*Suc n*)
 then show *?case*
 by (*metis LenEqvLenChopLen LenNPlusOneA LenOneEqvSkip inteq-reflection*)
qed

lemma *SkipTrueEqvTrueSkip*:
 ⊢ *skip;#True = #True;skip*
using *TrueChopSkipEqvSkipChopTrue* **by** *fastforce*

lemma *PowerCommute*:
 ⊢ *(f;(power f n)) = ((power f n);f)*
proof
 (*induct n*)
 case *0*
 then show *?case* **using** *EmptyChop ChopEmpty pow-0* **by** (*metis int-eq*)
 next
 case (*Suc n*)
 then show *?case* **using** *ChopAssoc pow-Suc* **by** (*metis inteq-reflection*)
qed

lemma *PowerRev*:
 ⊢ *(power skip n)^r = (power skip n)*

proof
 (*induct n*)
 case *0*
 then show *?case* **using** *REmptyEqvEmpty* **by** *auto*
 next
 case (*Suc n*)
 then show *?case* **using** *PowerCommute RevChop pow-Suc* **by** (*metis RevSkip int-eq*)
qed

lemma *RLenEqvLen*:
 ⊢ $(len\ k)^r = (len\ k)$
proof
 (*induct k*)
 case *0*
 then show *?case*
 using *LenZeroEqvEmpty REmptyEqvEmpty inteq-reflection* **by** *force*
 next
 case (*Suc k*)
 then show *?case*
 by (*metis PowerRev len-d-def*)
qed

lemma *PowerSkipEqvLen*:
 ⊢ $(power\ skip\ n) = (len\ n)$
by (*simp add*: *len-d-def*)

lemma *ExistsLen*:
 ⊢ ∃ *k*. *len*(*k*)
by (*simp add*: *len-defs Valid-def*)

lemma *AndExistsLen*:
 ⊢ $f = (f \land (\exists k.\ len(k)))$
using *ExistsLen* **by** *fastforce*

lemma *AndExistsLenChop*:
 ⊢ $(f;g) = (\exists k.\ (f \land len(k));g)$
by (*simp add*: *Valid-def len-defs chop-defs*)

lemma *AndExistsLenChopR*:
 ⊢ $(f;g) = (\exists k.\ f;(g \land len(k)))$
by (*simp add*: *Valid-def len-defs chop-defs*)

lemma *LFixedAndDistr*:
 ⊢ $((f0 \land len(k));g0 \land (f1 \land len(k));g1) = ((f0 \land f1) \land len(k));(g0 \land g1)$
by (*auto simp add*: *min.absorb1 Valid-def len-defs chop-defs*)

lemma *RFixedAndDistr*:
 ⊢ $(f0;(g0 \land len(k)) \land f1;(g1 \land len(k))) = (f0 \land f1);((g0 \land g1) \land len(k))$
by (*simp add*: *Valid-def min.absorb1 len-defs chop-defs*) (*metis diff-diff-cancel*)

556

lemma *LFixedAndDistrA*:
$\vdash ((f0 \land len(k));g0 \land (f1 \land len(k));g0) = ((f0 \land f1) \land len(k));g0$
proof $-$
 have *1*: $\vdash ((f0 \land len(k));g0 \land (f1 \land len(k));g0) = ((f0 \land f1) \land len(k));(g0 \land g0)$
    by (*rule LFixedAndDistr*)
 have *2*: $\vdash ((f0 \land f1) \land len(k));(g0 \land g0) = ((f0 \land f1) \land len(k));g0$
    by *auto*
 from *1 2* **show** *?thesis* **by** *fastforce*
qed

lemma *LFixedAndDistrB*:
$\vdash ((f0 \land len(k));g0 \land (f0 \land len(k));g1) = (f0 \land len(k));(g0 \land g1)$
proof $-$
 have *1*: $\vdash ((f0 \land len(k));g0 \land (f0 \land len(k));g1) = ((f0 \land f0) \land len(k));(g0 \land g1)$
    by (*rule LFixedAndDistr*)
 have *2*: $\vdash ((f0 \land f0) \land len(k));(g0 \land g1) = (f0 \land len(k));(g0 \land g1)$
    by *auto*
 from *1 2* **show** *?thesis* **by** *fastforce*
qed

lemma *LFixedAndDistrB1*:
$\vdash (len(k);f \land len(k);g) = len(k);(f \land g)$
proof $-$
 have *1*: $\vdash len(k);f = (\#True \land len(k));f$
    by *auto*
 have *2*: $\vdash len(k);g = (\#True \land len(k));g$
    by *auto*
 have *3*: $\vdash (len(k);f \land len(k);g) = ((\#True \land len(k));f \land (\#True \land len(k));g)$
    using *1 2* **by** *auto*
 have *4*: $\vdash ((\#True \land len(k));f \land (\#True \land len(k));g) = (\#True \land len(k));(f \land g)$
    using *LFixedAndDistrB* **by** *blast*
 have *5*: $\vdash (\#True \land len(k));(f \land g) = (len(k));(f \land g)$
    by *auto*
 from *1 2 3 4 5* **show** *?thesis* **by** *auto*
qed

lemma *RFixedAndDistrA*:
$\vdash (f0;(g0 \land len(k)) \land f0;(g1 \land len(k))) = f0;((g0 \land g1) \land len(k))$
proof $-$
 have *1*: $\vdash (f0;(g0 \land len(k)) \land f0;(g1 \land len(k))) = (f0 \land f0);((g0 \land g1) \land len(k))$
    by (*rule RFixedAndDistr*)
 have *2*: $\vdash (f0 \land f0);((g0 \land g1) \land len(k)) = f0;((g0 \land g1) \land len(k))$
    by *auto*
 from *1 2* **show** *?thesis* **by** *fastforce*
qed

lemma *RFixedAndDistrB*:
$\vdash (f0;(g0 \land len(k)) \land f1;(g0 \land len(k))) = (f0 \land f1);(g0 \land len(k))$
proof $-$

have *1*: ⊢ (*f0*;(*g0* ∧ *len*(*k*)) ∧ *f1*;(*g0* ∧ *len*(*k*))) = (*f0* ∧ *f1*);((*g0* ∧ *g0*) ∧ *len*(*k*))
    by (*rule RFixedAndDistr*)
have *2*: ⊢ (*f0* ∧ *f1*);((*g0* ∧ *g0*) ∧ *len*(*k*)) = (*f0* ∧ *f1*);(*g0* ∧ *len*(*k*))
    by *auto*
from *1 2* **show** *?thesis* **by** *fastforce*
qed

lemma *ChopSkipAndChopSkip*:
⊢ (*f0*;*skip* ∧ *f1*;*skip*) = (*f0* ∧ *f1*);*skip*
proof −
 have *1*: ⊢ (*f0*;(#*True* ∧ *len*(*1*)) ∧ *f1*;(#*True* ∧ *len*(*1*))) = (*f0* ∧ *f1*);(#*True* ∧ *len*(*1*))
    by (*rule RFixedAndDistrB*)
 have *2*: ⊢ (#*True* ∧ *len*(*1*)) = *skip*
    using *LenOneEqvSkip* **by** *fastforce*
 hence *3*: ⊢ *f0*;(#*True* ∧ *len*(*1*)) = *f0*;*skip*
    using *RightChopEqvChop* **by** *blast*
 have *4*: ⊢ *f1*;(#*True* ∧ *len*(*1*)) = *f1*;*skip*
    using *2 RightChopEqvChop* **by** *blast*
 have *5*: ⊢ (*f0*;(#*True* ∧ *len*(*1*)) ∧ *f1*;(#*True* ∧ *len*(*1*))) = (*f0*;*skip* ∧ *f1*;*skip*)
    using *3 4* **by** *fastforce*
 have *6*: ⊢ (*f0* ∧ *f1*);(#*True* ∧ *len*(*1*)) = (*f0* ∧ *f1*);*skip*
    using *2 RightChopEqvChop* **by** *blast*
 from *1 5 6* **show** *?thesis* **by** *fastforce*
qed

lemma *BiAndChopSkipEqv*:
⊢ (*bi* (*f* ∧ *g*));*skip* = ((*bi f*);*skip* ∧ (*bi g*);*skip*)
proof −
 have *1*: ⊢ *bi* (*f* ∧ *g*) = ((*bi f*) ∧ (*bi g*))
    by (*auto simp add*: *bi-defs Valid-def*)
 hence *2*: ⊢ (*bi* (*f* ∧ *g*));*skip* = (*bi f* ∧ *bi g*);*skip*
    by (*rule LeftChopEqvChop*)
 have *3*: ⊢ (*bi f* ∧ *bi g*);*skip* = ((*bi f*);*skip* ∧ (*bi g*);*skip*)
    using *ChopSkipAndChopSkip* **by** *fastforce*
 from *2 3* **show** *?thesis* **by** *fastforce*
qed

lemma *DiAndChopSkipEqv*:
⊢ (*di* (*f* ∧ *g*));*skip* ⟶ (*di f*);*skip* ∧ (*di g*);*skip*
proof −
 have *1*: ⊢ *di* (*f* ∧ *g*) ⟶ (*di f*) ∧ (*di g*)
    by (*simp add*: *DiAndImpAnd*)
 hence *2*: ⊢ (*di* (*f* ∧ *g*));*skip* ⟶ (*di f* ∧ *di g*);*skip*
    by (*rule LeftChopImpChop*)
 have *3*: ⊢ (*di f* ∧ *di g*);*skip* = ((*di f*);*skip* ∧ (*di g*);*skip*)
    using *ChopSkipAndChopSkip* **by** *fastforce*
 from *2 3* **show** *?thesis* **by** *fastforce*
qed

lemma *ChopEmptyAndEmpty*:

$\vdash (f;g \wedge empty) = (f \wedge g \wedge empty)$
by (*simp add*: *Valid-def chop-defs empty-defs*)
  (*metis interval-prefix-intlen interval-suffix-zero le-zero-eq*)

lemma *ChopSkipImpMore*:
$\vdash f;skip \longrightarrow more$
using *ChopImpDiamond MoreEqvSkipChopTrue SkipTrueEqvTrueSkip TrueChopEqvDiamond* **by** *fastforce*

lemma *MoreEqvMoreChopTrue*:
$\vdash more = more;\#True$
proof $-$
 have 1: $\vdash more = skip;\#True$
    using *MoreEqvSkipChopTrue* **by** *blast*
 have 2: $\vdash \#True = \#True;\#True$
    by (*auto simp add*: *Valid-def chop-defs*)
 hence 3: $\vdash skip;\#True = skip;(\#True;\#True)$
    using *RightChopEqvChop* **by** *blast*
 have 4: $\vdash skip;(\#True;\#True) = (skip;\#True);\#True$
    using *ChopAssoc* **by** *blast*
 have 5: $\vdash (skip;\#True);\#True = more;\#True$
    using *MoreEqvSkipChopTrue* **by** (*simp add*: *more-d-def next-d-def*)
 from *1 3 4 5* **show** *?thesis* **by** *fastforce*
qed

lemma *NotNotChopSkip*:
$\vdash (\neg((\neg f) ;skip)) = (empty \vee (f;skip))$
by (*metis WprevEqvEmptyOrPrev prev-d-def wprev-d-def*)

lemma *NotChopFixed*:
$\vdash (\neg(f;(g \wedge len(k)))) = (\neg(\Diamond(g \wedge len(k))) \vee ((\neg f);(g \wedge len(k))))$
by (*auto simp add*: *len-defs Valid-def sometimes-defs chop-defs*)
  (*metis diff-diff-cancel*)

lemma *NotFixedChop*:
$\vdash (\neg((g \wedge len(k));f)) = (\neg(di(g \wedge len(k))) \vee ((g \wedge len(k));(\neg f)))$
by (*auto simp add*: *len-defs   min.absorb1 Valid-def di-defs chop-defs*)

lemma *NotChopNotSkip*:
$\vdash (\neg(f;skip)) = (empty \vee ((\neg f);skip))$
proof $-$
 have 1: $\vdash (\neg((\neg(\neg f));skip)) = (empty \vee ((\neg f);skip))$ **using** *NotNotChopSkip* **by** *blast*
 have 2: $\vdash (\neg((\neg(\neg f));skip)) = (\neg(f;skip))$ **by** *auto*
 from *1 2* **show** *?thesis* **by** *auto*
qed

16.4.2 Additional ITL theorems

lemma *BiOrBiImpBiOr*:
$\vdash bi\ f \vee bi\ g \longrightarrow bi(f \vee g)$

proof −
 have 1: ⊢ f ⟶ f ∨ g **by** auto
 hence 2: ⊢ bi f ⟶ bi(f ∨ g) **by** (rule BiImpBiRule)
 have 3: ⊢ g ⟶ f ∨ g **by** auto
 hence 4: ⊢ bi g ⟶ bi(f ∨ g) **by** (rule BiImpBiRule)
 from 2 4 **show** ?thesis **by** fastforce
qed

lemma MoreAndBiImpBiChopSkip:
 ⊢ more ∧ bi f ⟶ (bi f);skip
proof −
 have 1: ⊢ (bi f);skip = ((¬(di (¬ f)));skip) **by** (simp add: bi-d-def)
 have 2: ⊢ (¬((¬(di (¬ f)));skip)) = (empty ∨ (di (¬ f));skip) **by** (rule NotNotChopSkip)
 have 3: ⊢ empty ⟶ empty ∨ di (¬ f) **by** auto
 have 4: ⊢ (di (¬ f));skip ⟶ di (¬ f) **using** ChopImpDi DiEqvDiDi **by** fastforce
 hence 5: ⊢ (di (¬ f));skip ⟶ empty ∨ di (¬ f) **by** (rule Prop05)
 have 6: ⊢ ¬((¬(di (¬ f)));skip) ⟶ empty ∨ di (¬ f) **using** 2 3 5 **by** fastforce
 hence 7: ⊢ ¬(empty ∨ di (¬ f)) ⟶ ¬(¬((¬(di (¬ f)));skip)) **by** fastforce
 have 8: ⊢ (¬(¬((¬(di (¬ f)));skip))) = ((¬(di (¬ f)));skip) **by** auto
 have 9: ⊢ (¬(empty ∨ di (¬ f))) = (more ∧ ¬(di (¬ f)))
 using NotAndMoreEqvEmptyOr **by** fastforce
 have 10: ⊢ (more ∧ ¬(di (¬ f))) = (more ∧ bi f) **by** (simp add: bi-d-def)
 from 1 6 7 8 9 10 **show** ?thesis **by** (metis int-eq)
qed

lemma DiChopImpDiB:
 ⊢ di(f;g) ⟶ di f
proof −
 have 1: ⊢ f ; (g;#True) ⟶ di f **by** (rule ChopImpDi)
 have 2: ⊢ f ; (g;#True) = (f;g);#True **by** (rule ChopAssoc)
 from 1 2 **show** ?thesis **by** (metis di-d-def int-eq)
qed

lemma BiBiOrImpBi:
 ⊢ bi (bi f ∨ bi g) ⟶ bi f ∨ bi g
using BiElim **by** auto

lemma BiImpBiBiOr:
 ⊢ bi f ⟶ bi (bi f ∨ bi g)
proof −
 have 1: ⊢ bi f ⟶ bi f ∨ bi g **by** auto
 hence 2: ⊢ bi (bi f) ⟶ bi(bi f ∨ bi g) **using** BiImpBiRule **by** blast
 have 3: ⊢ bi (bi f) = bi f **using** BiEqvBiBi **by** fastforce
 from 2 3 **show** ?thesis **by** fastforce
qed

lemma BiImpBiBiOrB:
 ⊢ bi g ⟶ bi (bi f ∨ bi g)
proof −
 have 1: ⊢ bi g ⟶ bi f ∨ bi g **by** auto

hence *2*: ⊢ *bi* (*bi g*) ⟶ *bi*(*bi f* ∨ *bi g*)   **using** *BiImpBiRule* **by** *blast*
have *3*: ⊢ *bi* (*bi g*) = *bi g* **using** *BiEqvBiBi* **by** *fastforce*
 from *2 3* **show** *?thesis* **by** *fastforce*
qed

lemma *BiBiOrEqvBi*:
⊢ *bi* (*bi f* ∨ *bi g*) = *bi f* ∨ *bi g*
proof −
have *1*: ⊢ *bi* (*bi f* ∨ *bi g*) ⟶ *bi f* ∨ *bi g* **by** (*rule BiBiOrImpBi*)
have *2*: ⊢ *bi f* ⟶ *bi* (*bi f* ∨ *bi g*) **by** (*rule BiImpBiBiOr*)
have *3*: ⊢ *bi g* ⟶ *bi* (*bi f* ∨ *bi g*) **by** (*rule BiImpBiBiOrB*)
have *4*: ⊢ *bi f* ∨ *bi g* ⟶ *bi* (*bi f* ∨ *bi g*) **using** *2 3* **by** *fastforce*
 from *1 4* **show** *?thesis* **by** *fastforce*
qed

lemma *DiEqvOrDiChopSkipA*:
⊢ *di f* = (*f* ∨ *di*(*f*;*skip*))
proof −
have *1*: ⊢ *di f* = *f* ;#*True* **by** (*simp add*: *di-d-def*)
hence *2*: ⊢ *di f* = *f*; (*empty* ∨ *more*) **by** (*simp add*: *empty-d-def*)
hence *3*: ⊢*f*; (*empty* ∨ *more*) = (*f*;*empty* ∨ *f*;*more*) **using** *ChopOrEqv* **by** *blast*
have *4*: ⊢ *f*;*empty* = *f* **by** (*rule ChopEmpty*)
have *5*: ⊢ *more* = *skip*;#*True* **using** *MoreEqvSkipChopTrue* **by** *blast*
hence *6*: ⊢ *f*;*more* = *f*;(*skip*;#*True*) **using** *RightChopEqvChop* **by** *blast*
have *7*: ⊢ *f*;(*skip*;#*True*) = (*f*;*skip*);#*True* **by** (*rule ChopAssoc*)
 from *2 3 4 6 7* **show** *?thesis* **by** (*metis di-d-def int-eq*)
qed

lemma *DiEqvOrDiChopSkipB*:
⊢ *di f* = (*f* ∨ (*di f*);*skip*)
proof −
have *1*: ⊢ (*di f*) = (*f* ∨ *di*(*f*;*skip*)) **by** (*rule DiEqvOrDiChopSkipA*)
have *2*: ⊢ *di*(*f*;*skip*) = (*f*;*skip*);#*True* **by** (*simp add*: *di-d-def*)
have *3*: ⊢ (*f*;*skip*);#*True* = *f*;(*skip*;#*True*) **by** (*rule ChopAssocB*)
have *4*: ⊢ *di*(*f*;*skip*) = *f*;(*skip*;#*True*) **using** *2 3* **by** *fastforce*
have *5*: ⊢ *skip*;#*True* = #*True*;*skip* **by** (*rule SkipTrueEqvTrueSkip*)
hence *6*: ⊢ *f*;(*skip*;#*True*) = *f*;(#*True*;*skip*) **using** *RightChopEqvChop* **by** *blast*
have *7*: ⊢ *di*(*f*;*skip*) = *f*;(#*True*;*skip*) **using** *4 6* **by** *fastforce*
have *8*: ⊢ *f*;(#*True*;*skip*) = (*f*;#*True*);*skip* **by** (*rule ChopAssoc*)
have *9*: ⊢ (*f*;#*True*);*skip* = (*di f*);*skip* **by** (*simp add*: *di-d-def*)
have *10* : ⊢ *di*(*f*;*skip*) =(*di f*);*skip* **using** *7 8 9* **by** *fastforce*
hence *11*: ⊢ (*f* ∨ *di*(*f*;*skip*)) = (*f* ∨ (*di f*);*skip*) **by** *auto*
 from *1 11* **show** *?thesis* **by** *fastforce*
qed

lemma *BiEqvAndEmptyOrBiChopSkip*:
⊢ *bi f* = (*f* ∧ (*empty* ∨ (*bi f*);*skip*))
proof −
have *1*: ⊢ *di* (¬ *f*) = (¬ *f* ∨ (*di* (¬ *f*);*skip*)) **by** (*rule DiEqvOrDiChopSkipB*)
have *2*: ⊢ *di* (¬ *f*) = (¬(*bi f*)) **by** (*rule DiNotEqvNotBi*)

have 3: ⊢ (¬(bi f)) = (¬ f ∨ (di (¬ f);skip)) **using** *1 2* **by** *fastforce*
hence 4: ⊢ bi f = (¬(¬ f ∨ (di (¬ f);skip))) **by** *auto*
have 5: ⊢ (¬(¬ f ∨ (di (¬ f);skip))) = (f ∧ ¬(di (¬ f);skip)) **by** *auto*
have 6: ⊢ di (¬ f);skip = ((¬(bi f));skip) **by** (*simp add: 2 LeftChopEqvChop*)
hence 7: ⊢ (¬(di (¬ f);skip)) = (¬((¬(bi f));skip)) **by** *auto*
have 8: ⊢ (¬((¬(bi f));skip)) = (empty ∨ (bi f);skip) **using** *NotNotChopSkip* **by** *blast*
hence 9: ⊢ (f ∧ ¬(di (¬ f);skip)) = (f ∧ (empty ∨ (bi f);skip)) **using** *7 8* **by** *fastforce*
from *4 5 9* **show** *?thesis* **by** *fastforce*
qed

lemma *DiDiAndEqvDi*:
⊢ di (di f ∧ di g) = (di f ∧ di g)
proof −
have 1: ⊢ bi (bi (¬ f) ∨ bi (¬ g)) = (bi (¬f) ∨ bi (¬ g))
　　by (*meson BiBiOrImpBi BiImpBiBiOr BiImpBiBiOrB Prop02 int-iffI*)
have 2: ⊢ bi (¬f) = (¬ (di f))
　　by (*simp add: bi-d-def*)
have 3: ⊢ bi (¬g) = (¬ (di g))
　　by (*simp add: bi-d-def*)
have 4: ⊢ (bi (¬f) ∨ bi (¬ g)) = (¬ (di f) ∨ ¬ (di g))
　　using *2 3* **by** *fastforce*
have 5: ⊢ (¬ (di f) ∨ ¬ (di g)) = (¬(di f ∧ di g))
　　by *auto*
have 6: ⊢ bi (bi (¬ f) ∨ bi (¬ g)) = (¬(di f ∧ di g))
　　using *1 5 4* **by** *fastforce*
hence 7: ⊢ (¬(bi (bi (¬ f) ∨ bi (¬ g)))) = (di f ∧ di g)
　　by *auto*
have 8 : ⊢ (¬(bi (bi (¬ f) ∨ bi (¬ g)))) = di (¬(bi (¬ f) ∨ bi (¬ g)))
　　using *DiNotEqvNotBi* **by** *fastforce*
have 9 : ⊢ (¬(bi (¬ f) ∨ bi (¬ g))) = (di f ∧ di g)
　　using *1 7* **by** *fastforce*
hence 10: ⊢ di (¬(bi (¬ f) ∨ bi (¬ g))) = di (di f ∧ di g)
　　using *DiEqvDi* **by** *blast*
from *7 8 10* **show** *?thesis* **by** *fastforce*
qed

lemma *BiInduct*:
⊢ bi(f ⟶ wprev f) ∧ f ⟶ bi f
proof −
have 1: ⊢ □((fʳ) ⟶ wnext(fʳ)) ∧ fʳ ⟶ □(fʳ) **using** *BoxInduct* **by** *blast*
hence 2: ⊢ (□((fʳ) ⟶ wnext(fʳ)) ∧ fʳ ⟶ □(fʳ))ʳ **using** *ReverseEqv* **by** *blast*
have 3: ⊢ ((fʳ)ʳ) = f **by** (*simp add: EqvReverseReverse*)
have 4: ⊢ (□ (fʳ))ʳ = bi (f)　**using** *RRBoxEqvBi* **by** *blast*
have 5: ⊢ ((fʳ) ⟶ wnext(fʳ))ʳ = ((fʳ)ʳ ⟶ (wnext(fʳ))ʳ) **by** (*simp add: rev-fun2*)
have 6: ⊢ (wnext(fʳ))ʳ = wprev(f) **using** *RRWNextEqvWPrev* **by** *blast*
have 7: ⊢ ((fʳ)ʳ ⟶ (wnext(fʳ))ʳ) = (f ⟶ wprev(f)) **using** *6 3* **by** *fastforce*
have 8: ⊢ bi((fʳ)ʳ ⟶ (wnext(fʳ))ʳ) = bi(f ⟶ wprev(f)) **using** *7 3 BiEqvBi* **by** *blast*
have 9: ⊢ (□((fʳ) ⟶ wnext(fʳ)))ʳ = bi (((fʳ) ⟶ wnext(fʳ))ʳ)　**using** *RBoxEqvBi* **by** *blast*
have 10: ⊢ (□((fʳ) ⟶ wnext(fʳ)))ʳ = bi(f ⟶ wprev(f)) **using** *8 9 5 int-eq* **by** *fastforce*
have 11: ⊢ (□((fʳ) ⟶ wnext(fʳ)) ∧ fʳ ⟶ □(fʳ))ʳ =

$(((\Box((f^r) \longrightarrow wnext(f^r)))^r \wedge (f^r)^r \longrightarrow (\Box(f^r))^r))$ **by** (*metis int-eq rev-fun2*)

have $12{:} \vdash ((\Box((f^r) \longrightarrow wnext(f^r)))^r \wedge (f^r)^r \longrightarrow (\Box(f^r))^r) =$
$\qquad (bi(\ f \longrightarrow wprev(f)\ ) \wedge f \longrightarrow bi\ f\ )$ **using** *8 3 4 10* **by** *fastforce*

from *2 11 12* **show** *?thesis* **using** *MP* **by** *fastforce*

qed

lemma *PrevLoop*:
assumes $\vdash f \longrightarrow prev\ f$
shows $\vdash \neg\ f$
proof $-$
have $1{:} \vdash f \longrightarrow prev\ f$ **using** *assms* **by** *auto*
hence $2{:} \vdash f \longrightarrow (\ more \wedge wprev\ f\ )$
  by (*metis ChopSkipImpMore Prop05 Prop12 WprevEqvEmptyOrPrev inteq-reflection*
     lift-imp-trans prev-d-def)
hence $3{:} \vdash f \longrightarrow wprev\ f$ **by** *auto*
hence $4{:} \vdash bi(f \longrightarrow wprev\ f)$ **by** (*rule BiGen*)
have $5{:} \vdash bi(f \longrightarrow wprev\ f) \wedge f \longrightarrow bi\ f$ **by** (*rule BiInduct*)
hence $6{:} \vdash bi(f \longrightarrow wprev\ f) \longrightarrow (f \longrightarrow bi\ f)$ **by** *fastforce*
have $7{:} \vdash (f \longrightarrow bi\ f)$ **using** *4 6 MP* **by** *blast*
have $8{:} \vdash bi\ f \longrightarrow f$ **by** (*rule BiElim*)
have $9{:} \vdash f = bi\ f$ **using** *7 8* **by** *fastforce*
have $10{:} \vdash f \longrightarrow more$ **using** *2* **by** *auto*
hence $11{:} \vdash bi\ f \longrightarrow bi\ more$ **using** *BiImpBiRule* **by** *blast*
have $12{:} \vdash \neg(bi\ more)$ **using** *DiEmpty bi-d-def empty-d-def* **by** (*simp add: bi-d-def empty-d-def*)
from *7 9 11 12* **show** *?thesis* **using** *MP* **by** *fastforce*
qed

lemma *PrevImpNotPrevNot*:
$\vdash prev\ f \longrightarrow \neg(prev\ (\neg\ f))$
by (*metis (no-types, lifting) NextImpNotNextNot RPrevEqvNext ReverseEqv inteq-reflection*
   rev-fun1 rev-fun2)

lemma *BiEqvAndWprevBi*:
$\vdash bi\ f = (f \wedge wprev(bi\ f))$
using *BoxEqvAndWnextBox*
by (*metis (no-types, lifting) RBiEqvBox RRAnd RRBoxEqvBi RWPrevEqvWNext int-eq*)

lemma *DiIntroLoop*:
assumes $\vdash (f \wedge \neg\ g) \longrightarrow prev\ f$
shows $\vdash f \longrightarrow di\ g$
using *assms DiamondIntro*
by (*metis (no-types, lifting) RDiEqvDiamond RPrevEqvNext ReverseEqv inteq-reflection*
   rev-fun2 rev-fun1)

lemma *DiEqvOrChopMore*:
$\vdash di\ f = (f \vee f;more)$
proof $-$
have $1{:} \vdash di\ f = f;\#True$ **by** (*simp add: di-d-def*)

563

hence *2*: ⊢ *di f = f*; (*empty* ∨ *more*) **by** (*simp add*: *empty-d-def*)
have *3*: ⊢ *f*; (*empty* ∨ *more*) = (*f*;*empty* ∨ *f*;*more*) **by** (*simp add*: *ChopOrEqv*)
have *4*: ⊢ *f*;*empty* = *f* **by** (*rule ChopEmpty*)
from *2 3 4* **show** *?thesis* **by** *fastforce*
qed

lemma *DiAndDiEqvDiAndDiOrDiAndDi*:
⊢ (*di f* ∧ *di g*) = (*di*(*f* ∧ *di g*) ∨ *di*(*g* ∧ *di f*))
proof −
 have *1*: ⊢ *di f* = (*f* ∨ *f*;*more*)
    using *DiEqvOrChopMore* **by** *blast*
 have *2*: ⊢ *di g* = (*g* ∨ *g*;*more*)
    using *DiEqvOrChopMore* **by** *blast*
 have *3*: ⊢ (*di f* ∧ *di g*) = ((*f* ∨ *f*;*more*) ∧ (*g* ∨ *g*;*more*))
    using *1 2* **by** *fastforce*
 have *4*: ⊢ ((*f* ∨ *f*;*more*) ∧ (*g* ∨ *g*;*more*)) =
        ((*f* ∧ *g*) ∨ (*f* ∧ *g*;*more*) ∨ (*g* ∧ *f*;*more*) ∨ (*f*;*more* ∧ *g*;*more*))
    by *auto*
 have *5*: ⊢ *more* = #*True*;*skip*
    using *MoreEqvSkipChopTrue SkipTrueEqvTrueSkip* **by** *fastforce*
 hence *6*: ⊢ *f*;*more* = *f*;(#*True*;*skip*)
    using *RightChopEqvChop* **by** *blast*
 have *7*: ⊢ *f*;(#*True*;*skip*) = (*f*;#*True*);*skip*
    by (*rule ChopAssoc*)
 have *8*: ⊢ *f*;*more* = *prev* (*di f*)
    using *6 7* **by** (*metis di-d-def int-eq prev-d-def*)
 have *9*: ⊢ *g*;*more* = *g*;(#*True*;*skip*)
    using *5 RightChopEqvChop* **by** *blast*
 have *10*: ⊢ *g*;(#*True*;*skip*) = (*g*;#*True*);*skip*
    by (*rule ChopAssoc*)
 have *11*: ⊢ *g*;*more* = *prev* (*di g*)
    using *9 10* **by** (*metis di-d-def int-eq prev-d-def*)
 have *12*: ⊢ (*f*;*more* ∧ *g*;*more*) = (*prev* (*di f*) ∧ *prev* (*di g*))
    using *8 11* **by** *fastforce*
 hence *13*: ⊢ (*f*;*more* ∧ *g*;*more*) = *prev* (*di f* ∧ *di g*)
    by (*metis ChopSkipAndChopSkip int-eq prev-d-def*)
 have *14*: ⊢ (*di f* ∧ *di g*) =
        ((*f* ∧ *g*) ∨ (*f* ∧ *g*;*more*) ∨ (*g* ∧ *f*;*more*)) ∨ (*f*;*more* ∧ *g*;*more*)
    using *3 4* **by** *auto*
 have *15*: ⊢ (*di f* ∧ *di g*) =
        ((*f* ∧ *g*) ∨ (*f* ∧ *g*;*more*) ∨ (*g* ∧ *f*;*more*)) ∨ *prev* (*di f* ∧ *di g*)
    using *13 14* **by** *fastforce*
 hence *16*: ⊢ (*di f* ∧ *di g*) ⟶
        ((*f* ∧ *g*) ∨ (*f* ∧ *g*;*more*) ∨ (*g* ∧ *f*;*more*)) ∨ *prev* (*di f* ∧ *di g*)
    by *fastforce*
 hence *17*: ⊢ (*di f* ∧ *di g*) ∧ ¬((*f* ∧ *g*) ∨ (*f* ∧ *g*;*more*) ∨ (*g* ∧ *f*;*more*)) ⟶
          prev (*di f* ∧ *di g*)
    by *fastforce*
 hence *18*: ⊢ (*di f* ∧ *di g*) ⟶ *di*((*f* ∧ *g*) ∨ (*f* ∧ *g*;*more*) ∨ (*g* ∧ *f*;*more*))

using *DiIntroLoop* **by** *blast*

have *19*: ⊢ *di*((*f* ∧ *g*) ∨ (*f* ∧ *g;more*) ∨ (*g* ∧ *f;more*)) =
      (*di*(*f* ∧ *g*) ∨ *di*(*f* ∧ *g;more*) ∨ *di*(*g* ∧ *f;more*))

    by (*meson DiOrEqv Prop06*)

have *20*: ⊢ *f* ⟶ *di f*

    using *DiIntro* **by** *blast*

hence *21*: ⊢ *f* ∧ *g* ⟶ *g* ∧ *di f*

    by *auto*

hence *22*: ⊢ *di*(*f* ∧ *g*) ⟶ *di*(*g* ∧ *di f*)

    using *DiImpDi* **by** *blast*

hence *23*: ⊢ *di*(*f* ∧ *g*) ⟶ *di*(*g* ∧ *di f*) ∨ *di*(*f* ∧ *di g*)

    by *auto*

have *24*: ⊢ *g;more* ⟶ *di g*

    by (*simp add*: *ChopImpDi*)

hence *25*: ⊢ *f* ∧ *g;more* ⟶ *f* ∧ *di g*

    by *auto*

hence *26*: ⊢ *di*(*f* ∧ *g;more*) ⟶ *di*(*f* ∧ *di g*)

    using *DiImpDi* **by** *blast*

hence *27*: ⊢ *di*(*f* ∧ *g;more*) ⟶   *di*(*f* ∧ *di g*) ∨ *di*(*g* ∧ *di f*)

    by *auto*

have *28*: ⊢ *f;more* ⟶ *di f*

    by (*simp add*: *ChopImpDi*)

hence *29*: ⊢ *g* ∧ *f;more* ⟶ *g* ∧ *di f*

    by *auto*

hence *30*: ⊢ *di*(*g* ∧ *f;more*) ⟶ *di*(*g* ∧ *di f*)

    using *DiImpDi* **by** *blast*

hence *31*: ⊢ *di*(*g* ∧ *f;more*) ⟶   *di*(*f* ∧ *di g*) ∨ *di*(*g* ∧ *di f*)

    by *auto*

have *32*: ⊢ *di*(*f* ∧ *g*) ∨ *di*(*f* ∧ *g;more*) ∨ *di*(*g* ∧ *f;more*) ⟶
        di(*f* ∧ *di g*) ∨ *di*(*g* ∧ *di f*)

    using *23 27 31* **by** *fastforce*

have *33*: ⊢ *di*((*f* ∧ *g*) ∨ (*f* ∧ *g;more*) ∨ (*g* ∧ *f;more*)) ⟶
        di(*f* ∧ *di g*) ∨ *di*(*g* ∧ *di f*)

    using *19 32* **by** *fastforce*

have *34*: ⊢ (*di f* ∧ *di g*) ⟶ *di*(*f* ∧ *di g*) ∨ *di*(*g* ∧ *di f*)

    using *18 33* **by** *fastforce*

have *35*: ⊢ *f* ⟶ *di f*

    using *DiIntro* **by** *blast*

hence *36*: ⊢ *f* ∧ *di g* ⟶ *di f* ∧ *di g*

    by *auto*

hence *37*: ⊢ *di* (*f* ∧ *di g*) ⟶ *di* (*di f* ∧ *di g*)

    using *DiImpDi* **by** *blast*

have *38*: ⊢ *di* (*di f* ∧ *di g*) = (*di f* ∧ *di g*)

    using *DiDiAndEqvDi* **by** *blast*

have *39*: ⊢ *di* (*f* ∧ *di g*) ⟶ *di f* ∧ *di g*

    using *37 38* **by** *fastforce*

have *40*: ⊢ *g* ⟶ *di g*

    using *DiIntro* **by** *blast*

hence *41*: ⊢   *g* ∧ *di f* ⟶ *di f* ∧ *di g*

    by *auto*

hence *42*: ⊢ *di* (*g* ∧ *di f*) ⟶ *di* (*di f* ∧ *di g*)
    using *DiImpDi* **by** *blast*
have *43*: ⊢ *di* (*di f* ∧ *di g*) = (*di f* ∧ *di g*)
    using *DiDiAndEqvDi* **by** *fastforce*
have *44*: ⊢ *di* (*g* ∧ *di f*) ⟶ *di f* ∧ *di g*
    using *42 43* **by** *fastforce*
have *45*: ⊢ *di* (*f* ∧ *di g*) ∨ *di* (*g* ∧ *di f*) ⟶ *di f* ∧ *di g*
    using *39 44* **by** *fastforce*
from *34 45* **show** *?thesis* **by** *fastforce*
qed

lemma *BoxStateEqvBiFinState*:
⊢ □ (*init w*) = *bi* (*fin* (*init w*))
proof −
  have *1*: ⊢ ◇ (¬ (*init w*)) = #*True* ; (¬(*init w*))
    by (*simp add*: *sometimes-d-def*)
  have *2*: ⊢ ◇ (*init*(¬ *w*)) = #*True* ; *init* (¬ *w*)
    by (*simp add*: *sometimes-d-def*)
  have *3*: ⊢ *di* (#*True* ∧ *fin* (*init* (¬ *w*))) = #*True* ; *init* (¬ *w*)
    using *DiAndFinEqvChopState* **by** *blast*
  have *4*: ⊢ ◇ (*init*(¬ *w*)) = *di* (#*True* ∧ *fin* (*init* (¬ *w*)))
    using *1 2 3* **by** *fastforce*
  have *5*: ⊢ (¬ (◇ (*init*(¬ *w*)))) = (¬ (*di* (#*True* ∧ *fin* (*init* (¬ *w*)))))
    using *4* **by** *fastforce*
  have *6*: ⊢ □ (*init w*) = (¬ (*di* (#*True* ∧ *fin* (*init* (¬ *w*)))))
    using *5 always-d-def Initprop*(*2*) **by** (*metis int-eq*)
  have *7*: ⊢ □ (*init w*) = *bi* (¬ (*fin* (*init* (¬ *w*))))
    using *6* **by** (*simp add*: *bi-d-def*)
  have *8*: ⊢ *init* (¬ *w*) = (¬ (*init w*))
    using *Initprop*(*2*) **by** *fastforce*
  have *9*: ⊢ *fin* (*init* (¬ *w*)) = *fin* (¬ (*init w*))
    using *8 FinEqvFin* **by** *blast*
  have *10*: ⊢ *fin* (*init* (¬ *w*)) = (¬ (*fin* (*init w*)))
    using *8 FinNotStateEqvNotFinState FinEqvFin* **by** *blast*
  have *11*: ⊢ (¬ (*fin* (*init* (¬ *w*)))) = (*fin* (*init w*))
    using *10* **by** *fastforce*
  have *12*: ⊢ *bi* (¬ (*fin* (*init* (¬ *w*)))) = *bi* (*fin* (*init w*))
    using *11* **by** (*simp add*: *BiEqvBi*)
  have *13*: ⊢ □ (*init w*) = *bi* (*fin* (*init w*))
    using *7 12* **by** *fastforce*
  from *13* **show** *?thesis* **by** *simp*
qed

lemma *DiamondStateEqvDiFinState*:
⊢ ◇ (*init w*) = *di* (*fin* (*init w*))
proof −
  have *1*: ⊢ □ (*init* (¬ *w*)) = *bi* (*fin* (*init* (¬ *w*)))
    using *BoxStateEqvBiFinState* **by** *blast*
  have *2*: ⊢ (¬ (□ (*init* (¬ *w*)))) = (¬ (*bi* (*fin* (*init* (¬ *w*)))))
    using *1* **by** *auto*

566

have 3: ⊢ ◇ (¬ (init (¬ w))) = di (¬ (fin (init (¬ w))))
    using 2 **by** (simp add: always-d-def bi-d-def)
have 4: ⊢ ◇ (init w) = di (¬ (fin (init (¬ w))))
    by (metis 3 DiEqvNotBiNot DiState Initprop(2) StateEqvBi int-eq)
have 5: ⊢ ◇ (init w) = di (fin (init w)) **using** 4 FinNotStateEqvNotFinState
    by (metis DiEqvNotBiNot DiNotEqvNotBi inteq-reflection)
from 1 2 3 4 5 **show** ?thesis **by** simp
qed

lemma OrDiEqvDi:
⊢ (f ∨ di f) = di f
proof −
 have 1: ⊢ f ⟶ di f **using** DiIntro **by** blast
 from 1 **show** ?thesis **by** auto
qed

lemma AndDiEqv:
⊢ (f ∧ di f) = f
proof −
 have 1: ⊢ f ⟶ di f **using** DiIntro **by** blast
 from 1 **show** ?thesis **by** auto
qed

lemma BiEmptyEqvEmpty:
⊢ bi empty = empty
proof −
 have 1: ⊢ bi empty = (¬ (di (¬ empty))) **by** (simp add: bi-d-def)
 have 2: ⊢ (¬ (di (¬ empty))) = (¬ ((¬ empty);#True)) **by** (simp add: di-d-def)
 have 3: ⊢ (¬ ((¬ empty);#True)) = (¬ (more;#True)) **by** (simp add: empty-d-def)
 have 4: ⊢ more;#True = more **using** MoreEqvMoreChopTrue **by** auto
 hence 5: ⊢ (¬(more;#True)) = (¬ more) **by** fastforce
 from 1 2 3 5 **show** ?thesis **using** NotEmptyEqvMore **by** fastforce
qed

lemma EmptyChopSkipInduct:
 assumes ⊢ empty ⟶ f
     ⊢ prev f ⟶ f
 shows ⊢ f
proof −
 have 1: ⊢ empty ⟶ f **using** assms(1) **by** auto
 have 2: ⊢ prev f ⟶ f **using** assms(2) **by** blast
 have 3: ⊢ (empty ∨ prev f) ⟶ f **using** 1 2 **by** fastforce
 have 4: ⊢ wprev f = (empty ∨ prev f) **by** (simp add: WprevEqvEmptyOrPrev)
 hence 5: ⊢ wprev f ⟶ f **using** 3 **by** fastforce
 hence 6: ⊢ ¬f ⟶ ¬ (wprev f) **by** fastforce
 hence 7: ⊢ ¬f ⟶ prev (¬ f) **by** (simp add: wprev-d-def)
 hence 8: ⊢ ¬ ¬ f **by** (rule PrevLoop)
 from 8 **show** ?thesis **by** auto
qed

lemma *MoreImpImpChopSkipEqv*:
⊢ *more* ⟶ ((*f* ⟶*g*);*skip* = ((*f*;*skip*)⟶(*g*;*skip*)))
proof −
 have *01*: ⊢ (*f* ⟶*g*) = (¬ *f* ∨ *g*) **by** *auto*
 hence *02*: ⊢ (*f* ⟶*g*);*skip* = (¬ *f* ∨ *g*);*skip* **by** (*simp add*: *LeftChopEqvChop*)
 hence *1*: ⊢ (*more* ∧ (*f* ⟶*g*);*skip*) = (*more* ∧ (¬ *f* ∨ *g*);*skip*) **by** *fastforce*
 have *2*: ⊢ (¬ *f* ∨ *g*);*skip* = ((¬ *f*);*skip* ∨ *g*;*skip*)
     using *OrChopEqv* **by** *auto*
 hence *3*: ⊢ (*more* ∧ (¬ *f* ∨ *g*);*skip*) = (*more* ∧ ((¬ *f*);*skip* ∨ *g*;*skip*))
     by *auto*
 have *4*: ⊢ (¬((¬ *f*);*skip*)) = (*empty* ∨ (*f*;*skip*))
     using *NotNotChopSkip* **by** *blast*
 hence *5*: ⊢ ((¬ *f*);*skip*) = (¬(*empty* ∨ (*f*;*skip*)))
     by *fastforce*
 have *6*: ⊢ ¬(*empty* ∨ (*f*;*skip*)) = (*more* ∧ ¬(*f*;*skip*))
     using *5 NotChopSkipEqvMoreAndNotChopSkip* **by** *fastforce*
 have *7*: ⊢ ((¬ *f*);*skip* ∨ *g*;*skip*) = ((*more* ∧ ¬(*f*;*skip*)) ∨ *g*;*skip*)
     using *5 6* **by** *fastforce*
 hence *8*: ⊢ (*more* ∧(¬ *f*;*skip* ∨ *g*;*skip*)) = (*more* ∧ ((*more* ∧ ¬(*f*;*skip*)) ∨ *g*;*skip*))
     by *auto*
 have *9*: ⊢ (*more* ∧ ((*more* ∧ ¬(*f*;*skip*)) ∨ *g*;*skip*)) = (*more* ∧ (¬(*f*;*skip*) ∨ *g*;*skip*))
     by *auto*
 have *10*: ⊢ (*more* ∧ (¬(*f*;*skip*) ∨ *g*;*skip*)) = (*more* ∧ ((*f*;*skip*)⟶(*g*;*skip*)))
     by *auto*
 have *11*: ⊢ (*more* ∧ (*f* ⟶*g*);*skip*) = (*more* ∧ ((*f*;*skip*)⟶(*g*;*skip*)))
     using *1 2 3 8 9 10 7* **by** *fastforce*
 from *11* **show** *?thesis* **using** *MP* **by** *fastforce*
qed

lemma *MoreImpImpPrevEqv*:
⊢ *more* ⟶ (*prev*(*f* ⟶*g*) = (*prev f* ⟶ *prev g*))
by (*simp add*: *MoreImpImpChopSkipEqv prev-d-def*)

lemma *BiBoxNotEqvNotTrueChopChopTrue*:
⊢ *bi*(□ (¬ *f*)) = (¬((#*True*;*f*);#*True*))
by (*simp add*: *bi-d-def always-d-def di-d-def sometimes-d-def*)

lemma *DiAndEmptyEqvAndEmpty*:
⊢ (*di f* ∧ *empty*) = (*f* ∧ *empty*)
proof −
 have *1* : ⊢ *di f* = (*f* ∨ *di f*;*skip*)
     using *DiEqvOrDiChopSkipB* **by** *blast*
 hence *2*: ⊢ (*di f* ∧ *empty*) = ((*f* ∨ *di f*;*skip*) ∧ *empty*)
     by *fastforce*
 have *3* : ⊢ ((*f* ∨ *di f*;*skip*) ∧ *empty*) = ((*f* ∧ *empty*) ∨ (*di f*;*skip* ∧ *empty*))
     by *auto*
 have *4*: ⊢ ¬(*di f*;*skip* ∧ *empty*)
     by (*metis AndChopB AndDiEqv ChopAndEmptyEqvEmptyChopEmpty DiEmpty MoreEqvSkipChopTrue*
         TrueChopSkipEqvSkipChopTrue empty-d-def int-eq int-eq-true int-simps(*14*) *int-simps*(*21*)

$$\textit{lift-and-com})$$

hence $5 : \vdash ((f \wedge empty) \vee (di\ f;skip \wedge empty)) = (f \wedge empty)$
    by *auto*
from *2 3 5* **show** *?thesis* **by** *fastforce*
qed

16.4.3 Strict initial intervals

lemma *DsMoreDi*:
$\vdash ds\ f = (more \wedge (di\ f);skip)$
proof $-$
 have *1*: $\vdash ds\ f = (\neg(bs\ (\neg\ f)))$
    by (*simp add*: *ds-d-def*)
 have *2*: $\vdash (\neg(bs\ (\neg\ f))) = (\neg(empty \vee (bi\ (\neg\ f));skip))$
    by (*simp add*: *bs-d-def*)
 have *3*: $\vdash (\neg(empty \vee (bi\ (\neg\ f));skip)) = (\neg empty \wedge \neg((bi\ (\neg f));skip))$
    by *auto*
 have *4*: $\vdash (\neg empty \wedge \neg((bi\ (\neg f));skip)) = (more \wedge \neg((bi\ (\neg f));skip))$
    using *NotEmptyEqvMore* **by** *auto*
 have *5*: $\vdash (more \wedge \neg((bi\ (\neg f));skip)) = (more \wedge \neg(\neg(di\ f);skip))$
    by (*metis DiEqvNotBiNot DiIntro DiSkipEqvMore NotChopSkipEqvMoreAndNotChopSkip*
         Prop10 RightChopImpMoreRule int-simps(4) inteq-reflection lift-and-com)
 have *6*: $\vdash (more \wedge \neg((\neg(di\ f));skip)) = (more \wedge (empty \vee (di\ f);skip))$
    using *NotNotChopSkip* **by** *fastforce*
 have *7*: $\vdash (more \wedge (empty \vee (di\ f);skip)) = (more \wedge\ \ (di\ f);skip)$
    using *NotEmptyEqvMore* **by** *auto*
 from *1 2 3 4 5 6 7* **show** *?thesis* **by** *fastforce*
qed

lemma *DsDi*:
$\vdash ds\ f = (di\ f);skip$
proof $-$
 have *1*: $\vdash ds\ f = (more \wedge (di\ f);skip)$ **by** (*rule DsMoreDi*)
 have *2*: $\vdash (di\ f);skip \longrightarrow more$ **by** (*metis DiIntro DiSkipEqvMore RightChopImpMoreRule int-eq*)
 hence *3*: $\vdash (more \wedge (di\ f);skip) = (di\ f);skip$ **by** *auto*
 from *1 2* **show** *?thesis* **by** *fastforce*
qed

lemma *BsEqvNotDsNot*:
$\vdash bs\ f = (\neg(ds\ (\neg\ f)))$
proof $-$
 have *1*: $\vdash ds\ (\neg\ f)\ = (more \wedge (di\ (\neg\ f));skip)$
    by (*rule DsMoreDi*)
 hence *2*: $\vdash (\neg(ds\ (\neg\ f)))\ = (\neg(more \wedge (di\ (\neg\ f));skip))$
    by *auto*
 have *3*: $\vdash (\neg(more \wedge (di\ (\neg\ f));skip)) = (empty \vee \neg((di\ (\neg\ f));skip))$
    using *NotEmptyEqvMore* **by** *auto*
 have *4*: $\vdash (empty \vee \neg((di\ (\neg\ f));skip)) = (empty \vee \neg((\neg(bi\ f));skip))$
    using *DiNotEqvNotBi* **by** (*metis 3 inteq-reflection*)
 have *5*: $\vdash\ \ (\neg((\neg(bi\ f));skip)) = (empty \vee (bi\ f);skip)$

by (*rule NotNotChopSkip*)
hence *6*: ⊢ (*empty* ∨ ¬((¬(*bi f*));*skip*)) = (*empty* ∨ (*bi f*);*skip*)
    by *auto*
from *2 3 4 6* **show** *?thesis* **by** (*metis bs-d-def inteq-reflection*)
qed

lemma *NotBsEqvDsNot*:
⊢ (¬(*bs f*)) = *ds* (¬ *f*)
proof −
have *1*: ⊢ *bs f* = (¬(*ds* (¬ *f*))) **by** (*rule BsEqvNotDsNot*)
hence *2*: ⊢ (¬(*bs f*)) = (¬¬(*ds* (¬ *f*))) **by** *auto*
from *2* **show** *?thesis* **by** *auto*
qed

lemma *NotDsEqvBsNot*:
⊢ (¬ (*ds f*)) = *bs* (¬ *f*)
proof −
have *1*: ⊢ (¬(*ds f*)) = (¬¬(*bs* (¬*f*))) **by** (*simp add*: *ds-d-def*)
from *1* **show** *?thesis* **by** *auto*
qed

lemma *NotDsAndEmpty*:
⊢ ¬(*ds f* ∧ *empty*)
proof −
have *1*: ⊢ *ds f* = (*more* ∧ (*di f*);*skip*) **by** (*rule DsMoreDi*)
have *2*: ⊢ *more* ∧ (*di f*);*skip* ∧ *empty* ⟶ #*False* **using** *NotEmptyEqvMore* **by** *auto*
from *1 2* **show** *?thesis* **by** *fastforce*
qed

lemma *BsMoreEqvEmpty*:
⊢ *bs more* = *empty*
proof −
have *1*: ⊢ *bs more* = (*empty* ∨ (*bi more*);*skip*) **by** (*simp add*: *bs-d-def*)
have *2*: ⊢ *bi more* ⟶ #*False* **using** *DiEmpty NotEmptyEqvMore* **by** (*simp add*: *bi-d-def empty-d-def*)
hence *3*: ⊢ (*bi more*);*skip* ⟶ #*False*;*skip* **using** *LeftChopImpChop* **by** *blast*
have *31*: ⊢ #*False*;*skip* ⟶ #*False* **by** (*simp add*: *Valid-def skip-defs chop-defs*)
have *32*: ⊢ (*bi more*);*skip* ⟶ #*False* **using** *3 31* **by** *fastforce*
hence *4*: ⊢ (*empty* ∨ ((*bi more*);*skip*)) = *empty* **by** *fastforce*
from *1 4* **show** *?thesis* **by** *fastforce*
qed

lemma *BsAndEqv*:
⊢ (*bs f* ∧ *bs g*) = *bs*(*f* ∧ *g*)
proof −
have *1*: ⊢ *bs f* = (*empty* ∨ (*bi f*) ;*skip*)
    by (*simp add*: *bs-d-def*)
have *2*: ⊢ *bs g* = (*empty* ∨ (*bi g*) ;*skip*)
    by (*simp add*: *bs-d-def*)
have *3*: ⊢ (*bs f* ∧ *bs g*) = ((*empty* ∨ (*bi f*) ;*skip*) ∧ (*empty* ∨ (*bi g*) ;*skip*))
    using *1 2* **by** *fastforce*

have *4*: ⊢ ((*empty* ∨ (*bi f*) ;*skip*) ∧ (*empty* ∨ (*bi g*) ;*skip*)) =
      (*empty* ∨ ((*bi f*) ;*skip* ∧ (*bi g*) ;*skip*))
   by *auto*
have *5*: ⊢ (((*bi f*) ;*skip* ∧ (*bi g*) ;*skip*)) = *bi*(*f* ∧ *g*);*skip*
   using *BiAndChopSkipEqv* **by** *fastforce*
hence *6*: ⊢ (*empty* ∨ ((*bi f*) ;*skip* ∧ (*bi g*) ;*skip*)) = (*empty* ∨ *bi*(*f* ∧ *g*);*skip*)
   by *auto*
from *3 4 6* **show** *?thesis* **by** (*metis bs-d-def inteq-reflection*)
qed

lemma *DsEqvRule*:
 assumes ⊢ *f* = *g*
 shows ⊢ *ds f* = *ds g*
using *assms* **using** *int-eq* **by** *force*

lemma *DsOrEqv*:
⊢ (*ds f* ∨ *ds g*) = *ds* (*f* ∨ *g*)
proof −
 have *1*: ⊢ *ds f* = (¬(*bs* (¬ *f*))) **by** (*simp add*: *ds-d-def*)
 have *2*: ⊢ *ds g* = (¬(*bs* (¬ *g*))) **by** (*simp add*: *ds-d-def*)
 have *3*: ⊢ (*ds f* ∨ *ds g*) = (¬(*bs* (¬ *f*)) ∨ ¬(*bs* (¬ *g*))) **using** *1 2* **by** *fastforce*
 have *4*: ⊢ (¬(*bs* (¬ *f*)) ∨ ¬(*bs* (¬ *g*))) = (¬(*bs* (¬ *f*) ∧ *bs* (¬ *g*))) **by** *auto*
 have *5*: ⊢ (*bs* (¬ *f*) ∧ *bs* (¬ *g*)) = *bs*(¬ *f* ∧ ¬*g*) **by** (*rule BsAndEqv*)
 hence *6*: ⊢ (¬(*bs* (¬ *f*) ∧ *bs* (¬ *g*))) = (¬(*bs*(¬ *f* ∧ ¬*g*))) **by** *auto*
 have *7*: ⊢ (¬(*bs*(¬ *f* ∧ ¬*g*))) = *ds* (¬(¬ *f* ∧ ¬*g*)) **by** (*rule NotBsEqvDsNot*)
 have *8*: ⊢ (¬(¬ *f* ∧ ¬*g*)) = (*f* ∨ *g*) **by** *auto*
 hence *9*: ⊢ *ds*(¬(¬ *f* ∧ ¬*g*)) = *ds* (*f* ∨ *g*) **by** (*rule DsEqvRule*)
 from *3 4 6 7 9* **show** *?thesis* **by** *fastforce*
qed

lemma *BsOrImp*:
⊢ *bs f* ∨ *bs g* ⟶ *bs*(*f* ∨ *g*)
proof −
 have *1*: ⊢ *bi f* ∨ *bi g* ⟶ *bi*(*f* ∨ *g*)
   by (*rule BiOrBiImpBiOr*)
 hence *2*: ⊢ (*bi f* ∨ *bi g*);*skip* ⟶ (*bi*(*f* ∨ *g*));*skip*
   by (*rule LeftChopImpChop*)
 have *3*: ⊢ (*bi f*);*skip* ∨ (*bi g*);*skip* ⟶ (*bi*(*f* ∨ *g*));*skip*
   using *1 OrChopEqv 2* **by** *fastforce*
 hence *4*: ⊢ *empty* ∨ (*bi f*);*skip* ∨ (*bi g*);*skip* ⟶ *empty* ∨ (*bi*(*f* ∨ *g*));*skip*
   by *auto*
 hence *5*: ⊢ (*empty* ∨ (*bi f*);*skip*) ∨ (*empty* ∨ (*bi g*);*skip*) ⟶ *empty* ∨ (*bi*(*f* ∨ *g*));*skip*
   by *auto*
 from *5* **show** *?thesis* **by** (*simp add*: *bs-d-def*)
qed

lemma *DsAndImp*:
⊢ *ds* (*f* ∧ *g*) ⟶ *ds f* ∧ *ds g*
proof −
 have *1*: ⊢ *bs* (¬*f*) ∨ *bs* (¬*g*) ⟶ *bs*(¬*f* ∨ ¬*g*) **by** (*rule BsOrImp*)

have 2: $\vdash (\neg f \vee \neg g) = (\neg(f \wedge g))$ **by** *auto*
hence 3: $\vdash bs(\neg f \vee \neg g) = bs\ (\neg(f \wedge g))$ **by** (*rule BsEqvRule*)
have 4: $\vdash bs\ (\neg f) \vee bs\ (\neg g) \longrightarrow bs\ (\neg(f \wedge g))$ **using** *1 3* **by** *fastforce*
have 5: $\vdash bs\ (\neg f) = (\neg(ds\ f))$ **using** *NotDsEqvBsNot* **by** *fastforce*
have 6: $\vdash bs\ (\neg g) = (\neg(ds\ g))$ **using** *NotDsEqvBsNot* **by** *fastforce*
have 7: $\vdash bs\ (\neg(f \wedge g)) = (\neg(ds\ (f \wedge g)))$ **using** *NotDsEqvBsNot* **by** *fastforce*
have 8: $\vdash \neg(ds\ f) \vee \neg(ds\ g) \longrightarrow \neg(ds\ (f \wedge g))$ **using** *4 5 6 7* **by** *fastforce*
hence 9: $\vdash \neg(ds\ f \wedge ds\ g) \longrightarrow \neg(ds\ (f \wedge g))$ **by** *auto*
from *9* **show** *?thesis* **by** *auto*
qed

lemma *DsAndImpElimL*:
$\vdash ds\ (f \wedge g) \longrightarrow ds\ f$
using *DsAndImp* **by** *fastforce*

lemma *DsAndImpElimR*:
$\vdash ds\ (f \wedge g) \longrightarrow ds\ g$
using *DsAndImp* **by** *fastforce*

lemma *BiImpBs*:
$\vdash bi\ f \longrightarrow bs\ f$
proof −
have 1: $\vdash empty \longrightarrow empty \vee (bi\ f);skip$ **by** *auto*
hence 2: $\vdash empty \wedge bi\ f \longrightarrow empty \vee (bi\ f);skip$ **by** *auto*
have 2: $\vdash more \wedge bi\ f \longrightarrow (bi\ f);skip$ **by** (*rule MoreAndBiImpBiChopSkip*)
hence 3: $\vdash more \wedge bi\ f \longrightarrow empty \vee (bi\ f);skip$ **by** *auto*
have 4: $\vdash bi\ f = ((bi\ f \wedge empty) \vee (bi\ f \wedge more))$ **by** (*auto simp add: empty-d-def*)
have 5: $\vdash (empty \vee (bi\ f);skip) = bs\ f$ **by** (*simp add: bs-d-def*)
from *2 3 4 5* **show** *?thesis* **by** *fastforce*
qed

lemma *BsImpBsBs*:
$\vdash bs\ f \longrightarrow bs\ (\ bs\ f)$
proof −
have 1: $\vdash bi\ f \longrightarrow bs\ f$ **by** (*rule BiImpBs*)
hence 2: $\vdash bi\ (bi\ f) \longrightarrow bi(bs\ f)$ **by** (*rule BiImpBiRule*)
hence 3: $\vdash (bi\ f) \longrightarrow bi(bs\ f)$ **using** *BiEqvBiBi* **by** *fastforce*
hence 4: $\vdash (bi\ f);skip \longrightarrow (bi(bs\ f));skip$ **by** (*rule LeftChopImpChop*)
hence 5: $\vdash empty \vee (bi\ f);skip \longrightarrow empty \vee (bi(bs\ f));skip$ **by** *auto*
from *5* **show** *?thesis* **by** (*simp add: bs-d-def*)
qed

lemma *DsImpDi*:
$\vdash ds\ f \longrightarrow di\ f$
proof −
have 1: $\vdash bi\ (\neg\ f) \longrightarrow bs\ (\neg\ f)$ **by** (*rule BiImpBs*)
hence 2: $\vdash \neg(bs\ (\neg\ f)) \longrightarrow \neg(bi\ (\neg\ f))$ **by** *fastforce*
from *2* **show** *?thesis* **using** *NotBsEqvDsNot DiEqvNotBiNot* **by** *fastforce*
qed

lemma *BsImpBsRule*:
 assumes $\vdash f \longrightarrow g$
 shows $\vdash bs\ f \longrightarrow bs\ g$
 proof $-$
 have 1: $\vdash f \longrightarrow g$ **using** *assms* **by** *auto*
 hence 2: $\vdash bi\ f \longrightarrow bi\ g$ **by** (*rule BiImpBiRule*)
 hence 3: $\vdash (bi\ f);skip \longrightarrow (bi\ g);skip$ **by** (*rule LeftChopImpChop*)
 hence 4: $\vdash empty \vee (bi\ f);skip \longrightarrow empty \vee (bi\ g);skip$ **by** *auto*
 from 4 **show** *?thesis* **by** (*simp add*: *bs-d-def*)
 qed

lemma *DsChopImpDsB*:
 $\vdash ds\ (f;g) \longrightarrow ds\ f$
 proof $-$
 have 1: $\vdash di(f;g) \longrightarrow di\ f$ **by** (*rule DiChopImpDiB*)
 hence 2: $\vdash (di(f;g));skip \longrightarrow (di\ f);skip$ **by** (*rule LeftChopImpChop*)
 from 2 **show** *?thesis* **using** *DsDi* **by** *fastforce*
 qed

lemma *NotBsImpBsNotChop*:
 $\vdash bs\ (\neg\ f) \longrightarrow bs\ (\ \neg(f;g))$
 proof $-$
 have 1: $\vdash ds\ (f;g) \longrightarrow ds\ f$ **by** (*rule DsChopImpDsB*)
 hence 2: $\vdash \neg(ds\ f) \longrightarrow \neg(ds\ (f;g))$ **by** *fastforce*
 from 2 **show** *?thesis* **using** *NotDsEqvBsNot* **by** *fastforce*
 qed

lemma *BsOrBsEqvBsBiOrBi*:
 $\vdash (bs\ f \vee bs\ g) = bs(bi\ f \vee bi\ g)$
 proof $-$
 have 1: $\vdash (bs\ f \vee bs\ g) = ((empty \vee (bi\ f);skip) \vee (empty \vee (bi\ g);skip))$
    by (*simp add*: *bs-d-def*)
 have 2: $\vdash ((empty \vee (bi\ f);skip) \vee (empty \vee (bi\ g);skip)) = (empty \vee (bi\ f);skip \vee (bi\ g);skip)$
    by *auto*
 have 3: $\vdash ((bi\ f);skip \vee (bi\ g);skip) = (bi\ f \vee bi\ g);skip$
    using *OrChopEqv* **by** *fastforce*
 hence 4: $\vdash (empty \vee (bi\ f);skip \vee (bi\ g);skip) = (empty \vee (bi\ f \vee bi\ g);skip)$
    by *auto*
 have 5: $\vdash (bi\ f \vee bi\ g) = bi\ (\ bi\ f \vee bi\ g)$
    by (*meson BiBiOrImpBi BiImpBiBiOr BiImpBiBiOrB Prop02 int-iffI*)
 hence 6: $\vdash (bi\ f \vee bi\ g);skip = bi\ (\ bi\ f \vee bi\ g);skip$
    by (*simp add*: *LeftChopEqvChop*)
 hence 7: $\vdash (empty \vee bi\ (\ bi\ f \vee bi\ g);skip) = (empty \vee (bi\ f \vee bi\ g);skip)$
    by *auto*
 have 8: $\vdash (empty \vee (bi\ f \vee bi\ g);skip) = bs(bi\ f \vee bi\ g)$ **using** *bs-d-def*
    by (*metis 4 5 inteq-reflection*)
 from $1\ 2\ 4\ 8$ **show** *?thesis* **by** (*metis inteq-reflection*)
 qed

lemma *DiOrDsEqvDi*:
⊢ *di f* ∨ *ds f* = *di f*
proof −
 have *1*: ⊢ *di f* ⟶ *di f* ∨ *ds f* **by** *auto*
 have *2*: ⊢ *di f* ⟶ *di f* **by** *auto*
 have *3*: ⊢ *ds f* ⟶ *di f* **by** (*rule DsImpDi*)
 have *4*: ⊢ *di f* ∨ *ds f* ⟶ *di f* **using** *2 3* **by** *auto*
 from *1 4* **show** *?thesis* **by** *auto*
qed

lemma *DiAndDsEqvDs*:
⊢ (*di f* ∧ *ds f*) = *ds f*
proof −
 have *1*: ⊢ *di f* ∧ *ds f* ⟶ *ds f* **by** *auto*
 have *2*: ⊢ *ds f* ⟶ *ds f* **by** *auto*
 have *3*: ⊢ *ds f* ⟶ *di f* **by** (*rule DsImpDi*)
 have *4*: ⊢ *ds f* ⟶ *di f* ∧ *ds f* **using** *2 3* **by** *auto*
 from *1 4* **show** *?thesis* **by** *auto*
qed

lemma *OrDsEqvDi*:
⊢ (*f* ∨ *ds f*) = *di f*
proof −
 have *1*: ⊢ *ds f* = (*di f*);*skip* **by** (*rule DsDi*)
 hence *2*: ⊢ (*f* ∨ *ds f*) = (*f* ∨ (*di f*);*skip*) **by** *auto*
 from *2* **show** *?thesis* **using** *DiEqvOrDiChopSkipB* **by** *fastforce*
qed

lemma *AndBsEqvBi*:
⊢ (*f* ∧ *bs f*) = *bi f*
proof −
 have *1*: ⊢ (*f* ∧ *bs f*) = (*f* ∧ (*empty* ∨ (*bi f*);*skip*)) **by** (*simp add*: *bs-d-def*)
 from *1* **show** *?thesis* **using** *BiEqvAndEmptyOrBiChopSkip* **by** *fastforce*
qed

lemma *BsEqvBsBi*:
⊢ *bs f* = *bs* (*bi f*)
proof −
 have *1*: ⊢ *bs f* = (*empty* ∨ (*bi f*);*skip*) **by** (*simp add*: *bs-d-def*)
 have *2*: ⊢ *bi f* = *bi* (*bi f*) **by** (*rule BiEqvBiBi*)
 hence *3*: ⊢ (*bi f*);*skip* = *bi* (*bi f*);*skip* **using** *LeftChopEqvChop* **by** *blast*
 hence *4*: ⊢ (*empty* ∨ (*bi f*);*skip*) = (*empty* ∨ *bi* (*bi f*);*skip*) **by** *auto*
 from *1 4* **show** *?thesis* **by** (*simp add*: *bs-d-def*)
qed

lemma *StateImpBs*:
⊢ *init w* ⟶ *bs* (*init w*)
proof −
 have *1*: ⊢ *init w* = *bi* (*init w*) **by** (*rule StateEqvBi*)
 have *2*: ⊢ *bi* (*init w*) ⟶ *bs* (*init w*) **by** (*rule BiImpBs*)

from *1 2* **show** *?thesis* **using** *StateImpBi* **by** *fastforce*
qed

lemma *DsAndDsEqvDsAndDiOrDsAndDi*:
⊢ (*ds f* ∧ *ds g*) =(*ds* (*f* ∧ *di g*) ∨ *ds*(*g* ∧ *di f*))
proof −
 have *1*: ⊢ (*di f* ∧ *di g*) = (*di*(*f* ∧ *di g*) ∨ *di*(*g* ∧ *di f*))
    by (*rule DiAndDiEqvDiAndDiOrDiAndDi*)
 hence *2*: ⊢ (*di f* ∧ *di g*);*skip* = (*di*(*f* ∧ *di g*) ∨ *di*(*g* ∧ *di f*));*skip*
    by (*rule LeftChopEqvChop*)
 have *3*: ⊢ (*di f* ∧ *di g*);*skip* = ((*di f*);*skip* ∧ (*di g*);*skip*)
    using *ChopSkipAndChopSkip* **by** *fastforce*
 have *4*: ⊢ ((*di f*);*skip* ∧ (*di g*);*skip*) = (*di*(*f* ∧ *di g*) ∨ *di*(*g* ∧ *di f*));*skip*
    using *2 3* **by** *fastforce*
 have *5*: ⊢ (*di*(*f* ∧ *di g*) ∨ *di*(*g* ∧ *di f*));*skip* = (*di*(*f* ∧ *di g*);*skip* ∨ *di*(*g* ∧ *di f*);*skip*)
    using *OrChopEqv* **by** *blast*
 have *6*: ⊢ *ds f* = (*di f*);*skip*
    using *DsDi* **by** *blast*
 have *7*: ⊢ *ds g* = (*di g*);*skip*
    using *DsDi* **by** *blast*
 have *8*: ⊢ ((*di f*);*skip* ∧ (*di g*);*skip*) = (*ds f* ∧ *ds g*)
    using *6 7* **by** *fastforce*
 have *9*: ⊢ *ds*(*f* ∧ *di g*) = *di*(*f* ∧ *di g*);*skip*
    using *DsDi* **by** *blast*
 have *10*: ⊢ *ds*(*g* ∧ *di f*) = *di*(*g* ∧ *di f*);*skip*
    using *DsDi* **by** *blast*
 have *11*: ⊢ (*di*(*f* ∧ *di g*);*skip* ∨ *di*(*g* ∧ *di f*);*skip*) = (*ds*(*f* ∧ *di g*) ∨ *ds*(*g* ∧ *di f*))
    using *9 10* **by** *fastforce*
 from *4 5 8 11* **show** *?thesis* **by** *fastforce*
qed

lemma *BsEqvBiMoreImpChop*:
⊢ *bs f* = *bi*(*more* ⟶ *f*;*skip*)
proof −
 have *1*: ⊢ *bs f* = (*empty* ∨ (*bi f*;*skip*))
    by (*simp add*: *bs-d-def*)
 have *2*: ⊢ (*empty* ∨ (*bi f*;*skip*)) = ((¬(¬(*bi f*));*skip*))
    using *NotNotChopSkip* **by** *fastforce*
 have *3*: ⊢ ¬((¬(*bi f*));*skip*) = (¬(*di* (¬ *f*);*skip*))
    by (*simp add*: *bi-d-def*)
 have *4*: ⊢ (¬(*di* (¬ *f*);*skip*)) = (¬(((¬ *f*) ;#*True*);*skip*))
    by (*simp add*:*di-d-def*)
 have *5*: ⊢ (¬(((¬ *f*) ;#*True*);*skip*)) = (¬((¬ *f*) ;(#*True*;*skip*)))
    using *ChopAssocB* **by** *fastforce*
 have *6*: ⊢ (¬((¬ *f*) ;(#*True*;*skip*))) = (¬((¬ *f*) ;(*skip*;#*True*)))
    using *SkipTrueEqvTrueSkip TrueChopSkipEqvSkipChopTrue RightChopEqvChop* **by** *fastforce*
 have *7*: ⊢ (¬((¬ *f*) ;(*skip*;#*True*))) = (¬(((¬ *f*) ;*skip*);#*True*))
    using *ChopAssoc* **by** *fastforce*
 have *8*: ⊢ (¬(((¬ *f*) ;*skip*);#*True*)) = (¬(*di* ((¬ *f*);*skip*)))
    by (*simp add*: *di-d-def*)

have *9*: ⊢ (¬(*di* ((¬ *f*);*skip*))) = *bi* (¬((¬ *f*) ;*skip*))
    using *NotDiEqvBiNot* **by** *blast*
have *10*: ⊢ *bi* (¬((¬ *f*) ;*skip*)) = *bi*(*empty* ∨ (*f*;*skip*))
    using *NotNotChopSkip* **using** *BiEqvBi* **by** *blast*
have *11*: ⊢ *bi*(*empty* ∨ (*f*;*skip*)) = *bi*(¬ *more* ∨ (*f*;*skip*))
    by (*simp add*: *empty-d-def*)
have *12*: ⊢ (¬ *more* ∨ (*f*;*skip*)) = (*more* ⟶ *f*;*skip*) **by** *auto*
have *13*: ⊢ *bi*(¬ *more* ∨ (*f*;*skip*)) = *bi*(*more* ⟶ *f*;*skip*) **using** *12* **using** *BiEqvBi* **by** *blast*
have *14*: ⊢ *bs f* = (¬ (((¬ *f*);*skip*);#*True*)) **using** *1 2 3 4 5 6 7* **by** *fastforce*
have *15*: ⊢ (¬ (((¬ *f*);*skip*);#*True*)) = *bi*(*more* ⟶ *f*;*skip*) **using** *8 9 10 11 13* **by** *fastforce*
from *14 15* **show** *?thesis* **by** *fastforce*
qed

lemma *BoxMoreStateEqvBsFinState*:
⊢ □(*more* ⟶ ¬ (*init w*)) = *bs*(¬(*fin*(*init w*)))
proof −
 have *1*: ⊢ □(*more* ⟶ ¬ (*init w*)) = (¬(◇(¬(*more* ⟶ ¬ (*init w*)))))
    by (*simp add*: *always-d-def*)
 have *01*: ⊢ (¬(*more* ⟶ ¬ (*init w*))) = (*init w* ∧ *more*) **by** *auto*
 hence *2*: ⊢ ¬(◇(¬(*more* ⟶ ¬ (*init w*)))) = (¬(#*True*;(*init w* ∧ *more*)))
    by (*metis int-eq int-iffD1 int-simps*(*14*) *int-simps*(*6*) *sometimes-d-def*)
 have *3*: ⊢ *more* = #*True*; *skip*
    using *MoreEqvSkipChopTrue SkipTrueEqvTrueSkip* **by** *fastforce*
 have *4*: ⊢ (*init w* ∧ *more*) = (*init w* ∧ (#*True*; *skip*))
    using *3* **by** *auto*
 have *5*: ⊢ (*init w* ∧ (#*True*; *skip*))   = ((*init w* ∧ *empty*);(#*True*;*skip*))
    using *StateAndEmptyChop* **by** *fastforce*
 have *6*: ⊢ (*init w* ∧ *more*) = ((*init w* ∧ *empty*);(#*True*;*skip*))
    using *4 5* **by** *fastforce*
 have *7*: ⊢ (#*True*;(*init w* ∧ *more*)) = (#*True*;((*init w* ∧ *empty*);(#*True*;*skip*)))
    using *6 RightChopEqvChop* **by** *blast*
 have *8*: ⊢ (#*True*;((*init w* ∧ *empty*);(#*True*;*skip*))) =
      (((#*True*;(*init w* ∧ *empty*));(#*True*;*skip*)))
    using *ChopAssoc* **by** *blast*
 have *9*: ⊢ (((#*True*;(*init w* ∧ *empty*));(#*True*;*skip*))) =
      ((((#*True*;(*init w* ∧ *empty*));#*True*);*skip*))
    using *ChopAssoc* **by** *blast*
 have *10*: ⊢ (#*True*;(*init w* ∧ *more*)) =
      ((((#*True*;(*init w* ∧ *empty*));#*True*);*skip*))
    using *7 8 9* **by** *fastforce*
 hence *11*: ⊢ (¬(#*True*;(*init w* ∧ *more*))) =
      (¬((((#*True*;(*init w* ∧ *empty*));#*True*);*skip*)))
    by *auto*
 have *12*: ⊢ ¬((((#*True*;(*init w* ∧ *empty*));#*True*);*skip*)) =
      empty ∨ (¬((#*True*;(*init w* ∧ *empty*));#*True*);*skip*)
    using *NotChopNotSkip* **by** *fastforce*
 have *13*: ⊢ (¬((#*True*;(*init w* ∧ *empty*));#*True*)) = *bi*(□ (¬(*init w* ∧ *empty*)))
    using *BiBoxNotEqvNotTrueChopChopTrue* **by** *fastforce*
 hence *14*: ⊢ (¬((#*True*;(*init w* ∧ *empty*));#*True*));*skip* =
      (*bi*(□ (¬(*init w* ∧ *empty*))));*skip*

using *RightChopEqvChop* **by** (*simp add*: *LeftChopEqvChop*)

hence *15*: $\vdash$ *empty* $\lor$ ($\neg$((#*True*;(*init w* $\land$ *empty*));#*True*));*skip* $=$
   empty $\lor$(*bi*($\square$ ($\neg$(*init w* $\land$ *empty*))));*skip*

 by *auto*

have *16*: $\vdash$ ($\neg$((((#*True*;(*init w* $\land$ *empty*));#*True*);*skip*))) $=$
   (*empty* $\lor$ (*bi*($\square$ ($\neg$(*init w* $\land$ *empty*)));*skip*))

 using *12 15* **using** *14 NotChopNotSkip int-eq* **by** *fastforce*

have *171*: $\vdash$ ($\neg$(*init w* $\land$ *empty*)) $=$ ($\neg$(*init w*) $\lor$ $\neg$*empty*)

 by *auto*

hence *172*: $\vdash$ $\square$($\neg$(*init w* $\land$ *empty*)) $=$ $\square$($\neg$(*init w*) $\lor$ $\neg$*empty*)

 by (*simp add*: *BoxEqvBox*)

hence *173*: $\vdash$ *bi*($\square$($\neg$(*init w* $\land$ *empty*))) $=$ *bi*($\square$($\neg$(*init w*) $\lor$ $\neg$*empty*))

 by (*simp add*: *BiEqvBi*)

hence *174*: $\vdash$ *bi*($\square$($\neg$(*init w* $\land$ *empty*)));*skip* $=$ *bi*($\square$($\neg$(*init w*) $\lor$ $\neg$*empty*));*skip*

 using *LeftChopEqvChop* **by** *blast*

hence *17*: $\vdash$ (*empty* $\lor$ (*bi*($\square$ ($\neg$(*init w* $\land$ *empty*)));*skip*)) $=$
   (*empty* $\lor$ (*bi*($\square$ ($\neg$(*init w*) $\lor$ $\neg$*empty*));*skip*))

 by *auto*

have *181*: $\vdash$ ($\neg$(*init w*) $\lor$ $\neg$*empty*) $=$ ($\neg$*empty* $\lor$ $\neg$(*init w*))

 by *auto*

hence *18*: $\vdash$ $\square$ ($\neg$(*init w*) $\lor$ $\neg$*empty*) $=$ $\square$ ($\neg$*empty* $\lor$ $\neg$(*init w*))

 by (*simp add*: *BoxEqvBox*)

have *191*: $\vdash$ ($\neg$*empty* $\lor$ $\neg$(*init w*)) $=$ (*empty* $\longrightarrow$ $\neg$(*init w*))

 by *auto*

hence *19*: $\vdash$ $\square$ ($\neg$*empty* $\lor$ $\neg$(*init w*)) $=$ $\square$(*empty* $\longrightarrow$ $\neg$(*init w*))

 by (*simp add*: *BoxEqvBox*)

have *20*: $\vdash$ $\square$(*empty* $\longrightarrow$ $\neg$(*init w*)) $=$ *fin* ($\neg$(*init w*))

 by (*simp add*: *fin-d-def*)

have *21*: $\vdash$ *fin* ($\neg$(*init w*)) $=$ ($\neg$(*fin* (*init w*)))

 using *FinEqvFin FinNotStateEqvNotFinState Initprop*(*2*) **by** *fastforce*

have *22*: $\vdash$ *bi*($\square$ ($\neg$(*init w*) $\lor$ $\neg$*empty*)) $=$ *bi* ($\neg$(*fin* (*init w*)))

 using *18 19 20 21 BiEqvBi* **by** (*metis int-eq*)

hence *23*: $\vdash$ (*bi*($\square$ ($\neg$(*init w*) $\lor$ $\neg$*empty*)));*skip* $=$ (*bi* ($\neg$(*fin* (*init w*))));*skip*

 using *RightChopEqvChop* **by** (*simp add*: *LeftChopEqvChop*)

hence *24*: $\vdash$ (*empty* $\lor$ (*bi*($\square$ ($\neg$(*init w*) $\lor$ $\neg$*empty*)));*skip*) $=$
   (*empty* $\lor$ (*bi* ($\neg$(*fin* (*init w*))));*skip*)

 by *auto*

hence *25*: $\vdash$ (*empty* $\lor$ (*bi* ($\neg$(*fin* (*init w*))));*skip*) $=$ *bs*($\neg$(*fin* (*init w*)))

 by (*simp add*:*bs-d-def*)

from *1 2 11 16 17 24 25* **show** *?thesis* **by** *fastforce*

qed

lemma *BsFalseEqvEmpty*:

$\vdash$ *bs* #*False* $=$ *empty*

proof $-$

have *1*: $\vdash$ *bs* #*False* $=$ (*empty* $\lor$ *bi* #*False*;*skip*)

 by (*simp add*: *bs-d-def*)

have *2*: $\vdash$ $\neg$(*bi* #*False*;*skip*)

 by (*metis BiEqvAndWprevBi MoreEqvSkipChopTrue NotChopSkipEqvMoreAndNotChopSkip*
  SkipTrueEqvTrueSkip int-eq int-iffD1 int-simps(*14*) *int-simps*(*19*) *int-simps*(*2*)

int-simps(21))
from *1 2* **show** *?thesis* **by** *fastforce*
qed

16.4.4   First occurrence

lemma *FstWithAndImp*:
$\vdash \rhd f \wedge g \longrightarrow \rhd (f \wedge g)$
proof $-$
 have *1*: $\vdash (\rhd f \wedge g) = ((f \wedge (bs (\neg f))) \wedge g)$
     by (*simp add*: *first-d-def*)
 have *2*: $\vdash ((f \wedge (bs (\neg f))) \wedge g) = (f \wedge \neg(ds \, f) \wedge g)$
     using *NotDsEqvBsNot* **by** *fastforce*
 have *3*: $\vdash \neg(ds \, f) \longrightarrow \neg(ds(f \wedge g))$
     using *DsAndImpElimL* **by** *fastforce*
 hence *4*: $\vdash f \wedge \neg(ds \, f) \wedge g \longrightarrow f \wedge g \wedge \neg(ds(f \wedge g))$
     by *auto*
 have *5*: $\vdash (f \wedge g \wedge \neg(ds(f \wedge g))) = ((f \wedge g) \wedge (bs (\neg(f \wedge g))))$
     using *NotDsEqvBsNot* **by** *fastforce*
 have *6*: $\vdash ((f \wedge g) \wedge (bs (\neg(f \wedge g)))) = \rhd(f \wedge g)$
     by (*simp add*: *first-d-def*)
 from *1 2 4 5 6* **show** *?thesis* **by** *fastforce*
qed

lemma *FstWithOrEqv*:
$\vdash \rhd(f \vee g) = ((\rhd f \wedge bs (\neg g)) \vee (\rhd g \wedge bs (\neg f)))$
proof $-$
 have *1*: $\vdash \rhd(f \vee g) = ((f \vee g) \wedge bs (\neg(f \vee g)))$
     by (*simp add*: *first-d-def*)
 have *2*: $\vdash (\neg(f \vee g)) = (\neg f \wedge \neg g)$
     by *auto*
 hence *3*: $\vdash bs (\neg(f \vee g)) = bs (\neg f \wedge \neg g)$
     using *BsEqvRule* **by** *blast*
 have *4*: $\vdash bs (\neg f \wedge \neg g) = (bs (\neg f) \wedge bs (\neg g))$
     using *BsAndEqv* **by** *fastforce*
 have *5*: $\vdash ((f \vee g) \wedge bs (\neg(f \vee g))) = ((f \vee g) \wedge bs (\neg f) \wedge bs (\neg g))$
     using *3 4* **by** *fastforce*
 have *6*: $\vdash ((f \vee g) \wedge bs (\neg f) \wedge bs (\neg g)) =$
        $(((f \wedge bs (\neg f)) \wedge bs (\neg g)) \vee (g \wedge bs (\neg f) \wedge bs (\neg g)))$
     by *auto*
 have *7*: $\vdash ((f \wedge bs (\neg f)) \wedge bs (\neg g)) = (\rhd f \wedge \; bs (\neg g))$
     by (*simp add*: *first-d-def*)
 have *8*: $\vdash (g \wedge bs (\neg f) \wedge bs (\neg g)) = ((g \wedge bs (\neg g)) \wedge bs (\neg f))$
     by *auto*
 have *9*: $\vdash ((g \wedge bs (\neg g)) \wedge bs (\neg f)) = (\rhd g \wedge \; bs (\neg f))$
     by (*simp add*: *first-d-def*)
 have *10*: $\vdash ((f \wedge bs (\neg f)) \wedge bs (\neg g)) \vee (g \wedge bs (\neg f) \wedge bs (\neg g)) =$
        $(\rhd f \wedge \; bs (\neg g)) \vee (\rhd g \wedge \; bs (\neg f))$
     using *7 8 9* **by** *fastforce*
 from *1 5 6 10* **show** *?thesis* **by** (*metis 7 8 9 int-eq*)

qed

lemma *FstFstAndEqvFstAnd*:
$\vdash \triangleright(\triangleright f \wedge g) = (\triangleright f \wedge g)$
proof −
  have *1*: $\vdash (\triangleright f \wedge g) = ((f \wedge (bs\ (\neg f))) \wedge g)$ **by** (*simp add*: *first-d-def*)
  hence *2*: $\vdash \triangleright f \wedge g \longrightarrow (bs\ (\neg f))$ **by** *auto*
  hence *3*: $\vdash \triangleright f \wedge g \longrightarrow \triangleright f \wedge g \wedge (bs\ (\neg f))$ **by** *auto*
  have *4*: $\vdash \neg f \longrightarrow \neg f \vee \neg(bs\ (\neg f)) \vee \neg g$ **by** *auto*
  hence *5*: $\vdash bs\ (\neg f) \longrightarrow bs(\neg f \vee \neg(bs\ (\neg f)) \vee \neg g)$ **using** *BsImpBsRule* **by** *blast*
  have *6*: $\vdash (\neg f \vee \neg(bs\ (\neg f)) \vee \neg g) = (\neg(f \wedge bs\ (\neg f) \wedge g))$ **by** *auto*
  hence *7*: $\vdash bs(\neg f \vee \neg(bs\ (\neg f)) \vee \neg g) = bs(\neg(f \wedge bs\ (\neg f) \wedge g))$ **using** *BsEqvRule* **by** *blast*
  have *8*: $\vdash ((f \wedge bs\ (\neg f)) \wedge g) = (\triangleright f \wedge g)$ **by** (*simp add*: *first-d-def*)
  hence *9*: $\vdash (\neg(f \wedge bs\ (\neg f) \wedge g)) = (\neg(\triangleright f \wedge g))$ **by** *auto*
  hence *10*: $\vdash bs\ (\neg(f \wedge bs\ (\neg f) \wedge g)) = bs\ (\neg(\triangleright f \wedge g))$ **using** *BsEqvRule* **by** *blast*
  have *11*: $\vdash \triangleright f \wedge g \longrightarrow (\triangleright f \wedge g) \wedge\ bs\ (\neg(\triangleright f \wedge g))$ **using** *3 5 7 10* **by** *fastforce*
  hence *12*: $\vdash \triangleright f \wedge g \longrightarrow \triangleright(\triangleright f \wedge g)$ **by** (*simp add*: *first-d-def*)
  have *13*: $\vdash \triangleright(\triangleright f \wedge g) = ((\triangleright f \wedge g) \wedge\ bs\ (\neg(\triangleright f \wedge g)))$ **by** (*simp add*: *first-d-def*)
  hence *14*: $\vdash \triangleright(\triangleright f \wedge g) \longrightarrow \triangleright f \wedge g$ **by** *auto*
 from *12 14* **show** *?thesis* **by** *fastforce*
qed

lemma *FstTrue*:
$\vdash \triangleright\ \#True = empty$
proof −
 have *1*: $\vdash \triangleright\ \#True = (\#True \wedge bs\ (\neg\ \#True))$
    by (*simp add*: *first-d-def*)
 have *2*: $\vdash bs\ (\neg\ \#True) = (empty \vee (bi\ (\neg\ \#True));skip)$
    by (*simp add*: *bs-d-def*)
 have *3*: $\vdash \neg(bi\ (\neg\ \#True))$
    using *BiElim* **by** *fastforce*
 have *4*: $\vdash \neg((bi\ (\neg\ \#True));skip)$
    by (*metis AndChopA BiEqvAndEmptyOrBiChopSkip MoreEqvSkipChopTrue*
        NotChopSkipEqvMoreAndNotChopSkip SkipTrueEqvTrueSkip int-eq int-simps(*14*) *int-simps*(*21*))
 have *5*: $\vdash bs\ (\neg\ \#True) = empty$
    using *2 4* **by** *fastforce*
 from *1 5* **show** *?thesis* **by** *fastforce*
qed

lemma *FstFalse*:
$\vdash \neg(\triangleright\ \#False)$
proof −
 have *1*: $\vdash \triangleright\ \#False = (\#False \wedge bs\ \#True)$ **by** (*simp add*: *first-d-def*)
 from *1* **show** *?thesis* **by** *auto*
qed

lemma *FstChopFalseEqvFalse*:
$\vdash \neg(\triangleright f\ ;\ \#False)$
by (*simp add*: *Valid-def chop-defs*)

lemma *FstEmpty*:
⊢ ▷ *empty* = *empty*
proof −
 have *1*: ⊢ ▷ *empty* = (*empty* ∧ *bs* (¬ *empty*)) **by** (*simp add*: *first-d-def*)
 have *2*: ⊢ *bs* (¬ *empty*) = (*empty* ∨ *bi* (¬ *empty*);*skip*) **by** (*simp add*: *bs-d-def*)
 from *1 2* **show** *?thesis* **by** *fastforce*
qed

lemma *FstAndEmptyEqvAndEmpty*:
⊢ (▷*f* ∧ *empty*) = (*f* ∧ *empty*)
proof −
 have *1*: ⊢ (▷*f* ∧ *empty*) = ((*f* ∧ *bs* (¬ *f*)) ∧ *empty*) **by** (*simp add*: *first-d-def*)
 have *2*: ⊢ *bs* (¬ *f*) = (*empty* ∨ *bi* (¬*f*);*skip*) **by** (*simp add*: *bs-d-def*)
 from *1 2* **show** *?thesis* **by** *fastforce*
qed

lemma *FstEmptyOrEqvEmpty*:
⊢ ▷(*empty* ∨ *f*) = *empty*
proof −
 have *1*: ⊢ ▷(*empty* ∨ *f*) = ((▷*empty* ∧ *bs* (¬ *f*)) ∨ (▷*f* ∧ *bs* (¬ *empty*))) **using** *FstWithOrEqv* **by** *blast*
 have *2*: ⊢ (¬ *empty*) = *more* **by** (*simp add*: *empty-d-def*)
 hence *3*: ⊢ *bs* (¬ *empty*) = *bs more* **using** *BsEqvRule* **by** *blast*
 have *4*: ⊢ *bs more* = *empty* **using** *BsMoreEqvEmpty* **by** *blast*
 have *5*: ⊢ (▷*f* ∧ *bs* (¬ *empty*)) = (▷*f* ∧ *empty*) **using** *3 4* **by** *fastforce*
 have *6*: ⊢ ▷*empty* = *empty* **using** *FstEmpty* **by** *blast*
 hence *7*: ⊢ (▷*empty* ∧ *bs* (¬ *f*)) = (*empty* ∧ *bs* (¬ *f*)) **by** *auto*
 have *8*: ⊢ (*empty* ∧ *bs* (¬ *f*)) = (*empty* ∧(*empty* ∨ *bi* (¬ *f*);*skip*)) **by** (*simp add*:*bs-d-def*)
 have *9*: ⊢ (*empty* ∧(*empty* ∨ *bi* (¬ *f*);*skip*)) = *empty* **by** *auto*
 have *10*: ⊢ (*empty* ∧ *bs* (¬ *f*)) = *empty* **using** *8 9* **by** *auto*
 have *11*: ⊢ ((▷*empty* ∧ *bs* (¬ *f*)) ∨ (▷*f* ∧ *bs* (¬ *empty*))) =
        (*empty* ∨ (▷*f* ∧ *empty*)) **using** *7 10 5* **by** *fastforce*
 have *12*: ⊢ (*empty* ∨ (▷*f* ∧ *empty*)) = *empty* **by** *auto*
 from *1 11 12* **show** *?thesis* **by** *fastforce*
qed

lemma *FstChopEmptyEqvFstChopFstEmpty*:
⊢ (▷*f*;*g* ∧ *empty*) = (▷*f*;▷*g* ∧ *empty*)
proof −
 have *1*: ⊢ (▷*f*;*g* ∧ *empty*) = (▷*f* ∧ *g* ∧ *empty*) **using** *ChopEmptyAndEmpty* **by** *blast*
 have *2*: ⊢ (▷*g* ∧ *empty*) = (*g* ∧ *empty*)   **using** *FstAndEmptyEqvAndEmpty* **by** *blast*
 hence *3*: ⊢ (▷*f* ∧ *g* ∧ *empty*) = (▷*f* ∧ ▷*g* ∧ *empty*) **by** *auto*
 have *4*: ⊢ (▷*f*;▷*g* ∧ *empty*) = (▷*f* ∧ ▷*g* ∧ *empty*) **using** *ChopEmptyAndEmpty* **by** *blast*
 from *1 3 4* **show** *?thesis* **by** *fastforce*
qed

lemma *FstMoreEqvSkip*:
⊢ ▷ *more* = *skip*
proof −
 have *1*: ⊢ ▷ *more* = (*more* ∧ *bs* (¬ *more*)) **by** (*simp add*: *first-d-def*)
 have *2*: ⊢ (*more* ∧ *bs* (¬ *more*)) = (*more* ∧ (*empty* ∨ *bi* (¬ *more*);*skip*)) **by** (*simp add*:*bs-d-def*)

have 3: ⊢ (*more* ∧ (*empty* ∨ *bi* (¬ *more*);*skip*)) = (*more* ∧ *bi* (¬ *more*);*skip*) **using** *empty-d-def*
using *MoreAndEmptyOrEqvMoreAnd* **by** *fastforce*
have 4: ⊢ (*more* ∧ ((*bi* (¬ *more*));*skip*)) = ((*bi* (¬ *more*));*skip*) **using** *ChopSkipImpMore* **by** *fastforce*
have 5: ⊢ ((*bi* (¬ *more*));*skip*) = *bi empty*;*skip* **by** (*simp add*: *empty-d-def*)
have 6: ⊢ *bi empty* = *empty* **using** *BiEmptyEqvEmpty* **by** *auto*
hence 7: ⊢ *bi empty*;*skip* = *empty*;*skip* **using** *LeftChopEqvChop* **by** *blast*
have 8: ⊢ *empty*;*skip* = *skip* **using** *EmptyChop* **by** *blast*
from *1 2 3 4 5 7 8* **show** *?thesis* **by** (*metis int-eq*)
qed

lemma *FstEqvBsNotAndDi*:
⊢ ▷*f* = (*bs* (¬ *f*) ∧ *di f*)
proof −
have 1: ⊢ *bs* (¬ *f*) = (¬(*ds f*)) **by** (*simp add*: *ds-d-def*)
hence 2: ⊢ (*bs* (¬ *f*) ∧ *di f*) = (¬(*ds f*) ∧ *di f*) **by** *auto*
have 3: ⊢ *di f* = (*ds f* ∨ *f*) **using** *OrDsEqvDi* **by** *fastforce*
hence 4 : ⊢ (¬(*ds f*) ∧ *di f*) = (¬(*ds f*) ∧ (*ds f* ∨ *f*)) **by** *auto*
have 5 : ⊢ (¬(*ds f*) ∧ (*ds f* ∨ *f*)) = (¬(*ds f*) ∧ *f*) **by** *auto*
have 6 : ⊢ (¬(*ds f*) ∧ *f*) = (*f* ∧ *bs* (¬ *f*)) **using** *1* **by** *auto*
from *2 4 5 6* **show** *?thesis* **by** (*metis first-d-def int-eq*)
qed

lemma *FstOrDiEqvDi*:
⊢ (▷*f* ∨ *di f*) = *di f*
proof −
have 1: ⊢ (▷*f* ∨ *di f*) = ((*f* ∧ *bs* (¬*f*)) ∨ *di f*) **by** (*simp add*: *first-d-def*)
have 2: ⊢ ((*f* ∧ *bs* (¬*f*)) ∨ *di f*) = ((*f* ∨ *di f*) ∧ (*bs* (¬ *f*) ∨ *di f*)) **by** *auto*
have 3: ⊢ (*f* ∨ *di f*) = *di f*
by (*metis 2 DiIntro RRDiamondEqvDi int-eq Prop02 Prop03 Prop11 Prop12*)
hence 4: ⊢ ((*f* ∨ *di f*) ∧ (*bs* (¬ *f*) ∨ *di f*)) = (*di f* ∧ (*bs* (¬ *f*) ∨ *di f*)) **by** *auto*
have 5: ⊢ (*di f* ∧ (*bs* (¬ *f*) ∨ *di f*)) = *di f* **by** *auto*
from *1 2 4 5* **show** *?thesis* **by** *fastforce*
qed

lemma *FstAndDiEqvFst*:
⊢ (▷*f* ∧ *di f*) = ▷*f*
proof −
have 1: ⊢ (▷*f* ∧ *di f*) = ((*f* ∧ *bs* (¬*f*)) ∧ *di f*) **by** (*simp add*: *first-d-def*)
have 2: ⊢ (*f* ∧ *di f*) = *f* **by** (*meson DiIntro Prop10 Prop11*)
hence 3: ⊢ (*f* ∧ *bs* (¬*f*) ∧ *di f*) = (*f* ∧ *bs* (¬*f*)) **by** *auto*
from *1 3* **show** *?thesis* **by** (*metis first-d-def int-iffD2 int-iffI Prop12*)
qed

lemma *DiEqvDiFst*:
⊢ *di f* = *di* (▷ *f*)
proof −
have 1: ⊢ *di* (▷ *f*) = *di* (*f* ∧ *bs* (¬*f*))
by (*simp add*: *first-d-def*)
have 2: ⊢ *di* (*f* ∧ *bs* (¬*f*)) ⟶ *di f* ∧ *di* (*bs* (¬ *f*))
using *DiAndImpAnd* **by** *auto*

hence _3_: ⊢ _di (f ∧ bs (¬f))_ ⟶ _di f_
    by _auto_
have _4_: ⊢ _di (▷ f)_ ⟶ _di f_ **using** _1 3_
    by _fastforce_
have _5_: ⊢ _(di f ∧ empty) = (f ∧ empty)_
    using _DiAndEmptyEqvAndEmpty_ **by** _blast_
have _6_: ⊢ _(▷ f ∧ empty) = (f ∧ empty)_
    using _FstAndEmptyEqvAndEmpty_ **by** _auto_
have _7_: ⊢ _di f ∧ empty_ ⟶ _▷f_
    using _5 6_ **by** _fastforce_
have _8_: ⊢ _▷f_ ⟶ _di (▷ f)_
    using _DiIntro_ **by** _auto_
have _9_: ⊢ _di f ∧ empty_ ⟶ _di (▷ f)_
    using _7 8_ **using** _lift-imp-trans_ **by** _blast_
hence _10_: ⊢ _empty_ ⟶ _(di f_ ⟶ _di (▷ f))_
    by _auto_
have _11_: ⊢ _prev (di f_ ⟶ _di (▷ f))_⟶ _more_
    by _(simp add: ChopSkipImpMore prev-d-def)_
have _12_: ⊢ _more_ ⟶_(prev (di f_ ⟶ _di (▷ f)) = (prev(di f)_ ⟶ _prev(di (▷f))))_
    using _MoreImpImpPrevEqv_ **by** _auto_
have _13_: ⊢ _(more ∧ prev (di f_ ⟶ _di (▷ f))) = (more ∧ (prev(di f)_ ⟶ _prev(di (▷f))))_
    using _12_ **by** _fastforce_
have _14_: ⊢ _prev (di f_ ⟶ _di (▷ f)) = (more ∧ (prev(di f)_ ⟶ _prev(di (▷f))))_
    using _11 13_ **by** _fastforce_
have _15_: ⊢ _di f = (f ∨ ds f)_
    using _OrDsEqvDi_ **by** _fastforce_
have _16_: ⊢ _di f = (di f ∧ (bs (¬ f) ∨ ¬(bs (¬ f))))_
    by _auto_
have _17_: ⊢ _(di f ∧ (bs (¬ f) ∨ ¬(bs (¬ f)))) = ((di f ∧ bs (¬ f)) ∨ (di f ∧ ¬(bs (¬ f))))_
    by _auto_
have _18_: ⊢ _(di f ∧ bs (¬ f)) = ((f ∨ ds f) ∧ bs (¬ f))_
    using _15_ **by** _auto_
have _19_: ⊢ _((f ∨ ds f) ∧ bs (¬ f)) = ((f ∧ bs (¬ f)) ∨ (ds f ∧ bs (¬ f)))_
    by _auto_
have _20_: ⊢ _¬(ds f ∧ bs (¬ f))_
    by _(simp add: ds-d-def)_
have _21_: ⊢ _((f ∧ bs (¬ f)) ∨ (ds f ∧ bs (¬ f))) = (f ∧ bs (¬ f))_
    using _20_ **by** _auto_
have _22_: ⊢ _(di f ∧ bs (¬ f)) = (f ∧ bs (¬ f))_
    using _18 19 21_ **by** _fastforce_
have _23_: ⊢ _(f ∧ bs (¬ f)) = ▷f_
    by _(simp add: first-d-def)_
have _24_: ⊢ _(▷f)_ ⟶ _di (▷f)_
    using _DiIntro_ **by** _auto_
have _25_: ⊢ _(f ∧ bs (¬ f))_ ⟶ _di (▷f)_
    using _23 24_ **by** _fastforce_
have _26_: ⊢ _(di f ∧ bs (¬ f))_ ⟶ _di (▷f)_
    using _25 22_ **by** _fastforce_
hence _27_: ⊢ _(di f ∧ bs (¬ f) ∧ (prev (di f_ ⟶ _di (▷ f))))_ ⟶ _di (▷f)_
    by _auto_

have *28*: ⊢ (*di f* ∧ ¬(*bs* (¬ *f*))) = (*di f* ∧ *ds f*)
    by (*simp add*: *ds-d-def*)
hence *29*: ⊢ (*di f* ∧ ¬(*bs* (¬ *f*)) ∧ (*prev* (*di f* ⟶ *di* (▷ *f*)))) =
       (*di f* ∧ *ds f* ∧ (*prev* (*di f* ⟶ *di* (▷ *f*)))))
    by *auto*
have *30*: ⊢ *ds f* = *prev*(*di f*)
    using *DsDi* **by** (*metis prev-d-def*)
hence *31*: ⊢ (*di f* ∧ *ds f* ∧ (*prev* (*di f* ⟶ *di* (▷ *f*)))) =
       (*di f* ∧ *prev*(*di f*) ∧ (*prev* (*di f* ⟶ *di* (▷ *f*)))))
    by *auto*
have *32*: ⊢ *prev* (*di f* ⟶ *di* (▷ *f*)) ⟶ (*prev*(*di f*) ⟶ *prev*(*di* (▷*f*)))
    using *14* **by** *auto*
hence *33*: ⊢ *di f* ∧ *prev*(*di f*) ∧ *prev* (*di f* ⟶ *di* (▷ *f*)) ⟶
       di f ∧ *prev*(*di f*) ∧ (*prev*(*di f*) ⟶ *prev*(*di* (▷*f*)))
    by *auto*
have *34*: ⊢ *di f* ∧ *prev*(*di f*) ∧ (*prev*(*di f*) ⟶ *prev*(*di* (▷*f*))) ⟶ *prev*(*di* (▷*f*))
    by *auto*
have *35*: ⊢ *prev*(*di* (▷*f*))= (*di* (▷*f*));*skip*
    by (*simp add*: *prev-d-def*)
have *36*: ⊢ (*di* (▷*f*));*skip* ⟶ *di*(*di* (▷*f*))
    using *ChopImpDi* **by** *auto*
have *37*: ⊢ *di*(*di* (▷*f*)) = *di* (▷*f*)
    using *DiEqvDiDi* **by** *fastforce*
have *38*: ⊢ *di f* ∧ *prev*(*di f*) ∧ (*prev*(*di f*) ⟶ *prev*(*di* (▷*f*))) ⟶ *di* (▷*f*)
    using *37 36 35 34* **by** *fastforce*
have *39*: ⊢ *di f* ∧ ¬(*bs* (¬ *f*)) ∧ (*prev* (*di f* ⟶ *di* (▷ *f*))) ⟶ *di* (▷*f*)
    using *29 31 33 38* **by** *fastforce*
hence *40*: ⊢ ¬(*bs* (¬ *f*)) ∧ (*prev* (*di f* ⟶ *di* (▷ *f*))) ⟶ (*di f* ⟶ *di* (▷*f*))
    by *fastforce*
have *41*: ⊢ *bs* (¬ *f*) ∧ (*prev* (*di f* ⟶ *di* (▷ *f*))) ⟶(*di f* ⟶ *di* (▷*f*))
    using *27* **by** *fastforce*
have *42*: ⊢ (¬(*bs* (¬ *f*)) ∨ *bs* (¬ *f*)) ∧ (*prev* (*di f* ⟶ *di* (▷ *f*))) ⟶(*di f* ⟶ *di* (▷*f*))
    using *40 41* **by** *fastforce*
have *43*: ⊢ (¬(*bs* (¬ *f*)) ∨ *bs* (¬ *f*))
    by *auto*
have *44*: ⊢ (*prev* (*di f* ⟶ *di* (▷ *f*))) ⟶(*di f* ⟶ *di* (▷*f*))
    using *42 43* **by** *fastforce*
have *45*: ⊢ *di f* ⟶ *di* (▷*f*)
    using *10 44 EmptyChopSkipInduct* **by** *blast*
from *4 45* **show** *?thesis* **by** *fastforce*
qed

lemma *FstDiEqvFst*:
⊢ ▷(*di f*) = ▷*f*
proof −
 have *1*: ⊢ ▷(*di f*) = (*di f* ∧ *bs* (¬ (*di f*))) **by** (*simp add*: *first-d-def*)
 have *2*: ⊢ (¬ (*di f*)) = *bi* (¬ *f*) **by** (*simp add*: *NotDiEqvBiNot*)
 hence *3*: ⊢ *bs* (¬ (*di f*)) = *bs* (*bi* (¬ *f*)) **using** *BsEqvRule* **by** *blast*
 have *4*: ⊢ *bs* (*bi* (¬ *f*)) = *bs* (¬ *f*) **using** *BsEqvBsBi* **by** *fastforce*
 hence *5*: ⊢ (*di f* ∧ *bs* (¬ (*di f*))) = (*di f* ∧ *bs* (¬ *f*)) **using** *3* **by** *fastforce*

have *6* : ⊢ *di f* = (*f* ∨ *ds f*) **using** *OrDsEqvDi* **by** *fastforce*
hence *7*: ⊢ (*di f* ∧ *bs* (¬ *f*)) = ((*f* ∨ *ds f*) ∧ *bs* (¬ *f*)) **by** *auto*
have *8*: ⊢ ((*f* ∨ *ds f*) ∧ *bs* (¬ *f*)) = ((*f* ∧ *bs* (¬ *f*)) ∨ (*ds f* ∧ *bs* (¬ *f*))) **by** *auto*
have *9*: ⊢ ¬(*ds f* ∧ *bs* (¬ *f*)) **by** (*simp add: ds-d-def*)
have *10*: ⊢ (*f* ∧ *bs* (¬ *f*)) = ▷*f* **by** (*simp add: first-d-def*)
have *11*: ⊢ ((*f* ∧ *bs* (¬ *f*)) ∨ (*ds f* ∧ *bs* (¬ *f*))) = ▷*f* **using** *9 10* **by** *fastforce*
from *1 5 7 8 11* **show** *?thesis* **by** (*metis int-eq*)
qed

lemma *DiAndFstOrEqvFstOrDiAnd*:
⊢ (*di f* ∧ (▷*f* ∨ *g*)) = (▷*f* ∨ (*di f* ∧ *g*))
proof −
have *1*: ⊢ (*di f* ∧ (▷*f* ∨ *g*)) = (▷*f* ∧ *di f*) ∨ (*di f* ∧ *g*) **by** *auto*
have *2*: ⊢ (▷*f* ∧ *di f*) = ▷*f* **using** *FstAndDiEqvFst* **by** *blast*
from *1 2* **show** *?thesis* **by** *auto*
qed

lemma *DiOrFstAndEqvDi*:
⊢ *di f* ∨ (▷*f* ∧ *g*) = *di f*
proof −
have *1*: ⊢ (*di f* ∨ (▷*f* ∧ *g*)) = ((▷*f* ∨ *di f*) ∧ (*di f* ∨ *g*)) **by** *auto*
have *2*: ⊢ (▷*f* ∨ *di f*) = *di f* **using** *FstOrDiEqvDi* **by** *blast*
from *1 2* **show** *?thesis* **by** *auto*
qed

lemma *FstDiAndDiEqv*:
⊢ ▷(*di f* ∧ *di g*) = ((▷*f* ∧ *di g*) ∨ (▷*g* ∧ *di f*))
proof −
have *1*: ⊢ ▷(*di f* ∧ *di g*) = ((*di f* ∧ *di g*) ∧ *bs* (¬(*di f* ∧ *di g*))) **by** (*simp add: first-d-def*)
have *2*: ⊢ (¬(*di f* ∧ *di g*)) = (*bi* (¬*f*) ∨ *bi* (¬*g*)) **by** (*auto simp add: bi-d-def*)
hence *3*: ⊢ *bs* (¬(*di f* ∧ *di g*)) = *bs*(*bi* (¬*f*) ∨ *bi* (¬*g*)) **using** *BsEqvRule* **by** *blast*
hence *4*: ⊢ ((*di f* ∧ *di g*) ∧ *bs* (¬(*di f* ∧ *di g*))) =
        (*di f* ∧ *di g* ∧ *bs*(*bi* (¬*f*) ∨ *bi* (¬*g*))) **by** *auto*
have *5*: ⊢ (*bs* (¬*f*) ∨ *bs* (¬*g*)) = *bs*(*bi* (¬*f*) ∨ *bi* (¬*g*)) **using** *BsOrBsEqvBsBiOrBi* **by** *blast*
hence *6*: ⊢ (*di f* ∧ *di g* ∧ *bs*(*bi* (¬*f*) ∨ *bi* (¬*g*))) =
        (*di f* ∧ *di g* ∧(*bs* (¬*f*) ∨ *bs* (¬*g*))) **by** *auto*
have *7*: ⊢ (*di f* ∧ *di g* ∧(*bs* (¬*f*) ∨ *bs* (¬*g*))) =
        ((*bs* (¬*f*) ∧ *di f* ∧ *di g*) ∨ (*di f* ∧ *bs* (¬*g*) ∧ *di g*)) **by** *auto*
have *8*: ⊢ ▷*f* = (*bs* (¬*f*) ∧ *di f*) **using** *FstEqvBsNotAndDi* **by** *blast*
hence *9*: ⊢ (*bs* (¬*f*) ∧ *di f* ∧ *di g*) = (▷*f* ∧ *di g*) **by** *auto*
have *10*: ⊢ ▷*g* = (*bs* (¬*g*) ∧ *di g*) **using** *FstEqvBsNotAndDi* **by** *blast*
hence *11*: ⊢ (*di f* ∧ *bs* (¬*g*) ∧ *di g*) = (*di f* ∧ ▷*g*) **by** *auto*
have *12*: ⊢ (*di f* ∧ *di g* ∧(*bs* (¬*f*) ∨ *bs* (¬*g*))) =
        ((▷*f* ∧ *di g*) ∨ (*di f* ∧ ▷*g*)) **using** *7 9 11* **by** (*metis int-eq*)
from *1 4 6 12* **show** *?thesis* **using** *inteq-reflection lift-and-com* **by** *fastforce*
qed

lemma *BiNotFstEqvBiNot*:
⊢ *bi* (¬ (▷*f*)) = *bi* (¬ *f*)
proof −

584

have *1*: ⊢ *di f* = *di* (▷*f*) **using** *DiEqvDiFst* **by** *blast*
hence *2*: ⊢ (¬(*di f*)) = (¬(*di* (▷*f*))) **by** *auto*
 from *1 2* **show** *?thesis* **using** *NotDiEqvBiNot* **by** *fastforce*
qed

lemma *BsNotFstEqvBsNot*:
 ⊢ *bs* (¬ (▷*f*)) = *bs* (¬ *f*)
proof −
 have *1*: ⊢ *bs* (¬ (▷*f*)) = (*empty* ∨ *bi* (¬ (▷*f*));*skip*) **by** (*simp add*: *bs-d-def*)
 have *2*: ⊢ *bi* (¬ (▷*f*)) = *bi* (¬ *f*) **using** *BiNotFstEqvBiNot* **by** *blast*
 hence *3*: ⊢ *bi* (¬ (▷*f*));*skip* = *bi* (¬ *f*);*skip* **using** *LeftChopEqvChop* **by** *blast*
 hence *4*: ⊢ (*empty* ∨ *bi* (¬ (▷*f*));*skip*) = (*empty* ∨ *bi* (¬ *f*);*skip*) **by** *auto*
 from *1 4* **show** *?thesis* **by** (*simp add*: *bs-d-def*)
qed

lemma *FstState*:
 ⊢ ▷ (*init w*) = (*empty* ∧ *init w*)
proof −
 have *1*: ⊢ ▷ (*init w*) = (*init w* ∧ *bs* (¬(*init w*))) **by** (*simp add*: *first-d-def*)
 hence *2*: ⊢ ▷ (*init w*) ⟶ *init w* **by** *auto*
 have *3*: ⊢ *init w* ⟶ *bs* (*init w*) **using** *StateImpBs* **by** *auto*
 have *4*: ⊢ ▷ (*init w*) ⟶ *bs* (*init w*) **using** *2 3* **by** *fastforce*
 have *5*: ⊢ ▷ (*init w*) ⟶ *bs* (¬(*init w*)) **using** *1* **by** *auto*
 have *6*: ⊢ ▷ (*init w*) ⟶ *bs* (*init w*) ∧ *bs* (¬(*init w*)) **using** *4 5* **by** *fastforce*
 have *7*: ⊢ (*bs* (*init w*) ∧ *bs* (¬(*init w*))) = (*bs*((*init w*) ∧ ¬(*init w*))) **using** *BsAndEqv* **by** *blast*
 have *8*: ⊢ ((*init w*) ∧ ¬(*init w*)) = #*False* **by** *auto*
 hence *9*: ⊢ (*bs*((*init w*) ∧ ¬(*init w*))) = *bs* #*False* **using** *BsEqvRule* **by** *blast*
 have *10*: ⊢ *bs* #*False* = *empty* **using** *BsFalseEqvEmpty* **by** *auto*
 have *11*: ⊢ ▷ (*init w*) ⟶ *empty* **using** *10 9 7 6* **by** *fastforce*
 have *12*: ⊢ ▷ (*init w*) ⟶ *empty* ∧ *init w* **using** *11 2* **by** *fastforce*
 have *13*: ⊢ *empty* ∧ *init w* ⟶ *empty* **by** *auto*
 hence *14*: ⊢ *empty* ∧ *init w* ⟶ *empty* ∨ *bi* (¬(*init w*));*skip* **by** *auto*
 hence *15*: ⊢ *empty* ∧ *init w* ⟶ *bs* (¬(*init w*)) **by** (*simp add*: *bs-d-def*)
 have *16*: ⊢ *empty* ∧ *init w* ⟶ *init w* **by** *auto*
 have *17*: ⊢ *empty* ∧ *init w* ⟶ *init w* ∧ *bs* (¬(*init w*)) **using** *16 15* **by** *auto*
 hence *18*: ⊢ *empty* ∧ *init w* ⟶ ▷(*init w*) **by** (*simp add*: *first-d-def*)
 from *12 18* **show** *?thesis* **by** *fastforce*
qed

lemma *FstStateAndBsNotEmpty*:
 ⊢ (▷ (*init w*) ∧ *bs* (¬ *empty*)) = ▷ (*init w*)
proof −
 have *1*: ⊢ (▷ (*init w*) ∧ *bs* (¬ *empty*)) = (▷ (*init w*) ∧ *bs more*)
    using *BsEqvRule NotEmptyEqvMore* **by** (*simp add*: *empty-d-def*)
 have *2*: ⊢ (▷ (*init w*) ∧ *bs more*) = (▷ (*init w*) ∧ *empty*)
    using *BsMoreEqvEmpty* **by** *fastforce*
 have *3*: ⊢ ▷ (*init w*) = (*empty* ∧ (*init w*))
    using *FstState* **by** *blast*
 hence *4*: ⊢ (▷ (*init w*) ∧ *empty*) = (*empty* ∧ (*init w*) ∧ *empty*)
    by *auto*

585

have 5: ⊢ (*empty* ∧ (*init w*) ∧ *empty*) = (*empty* ∧ (*init w*))
    by *auto*
have 6: ⊢ (*empty* ∧ (*init w*)) = ▷(*init w*)
    using *FstState* **by** *fastforce*
from 1 2 4 5 6 **show** *?thesis* **by** *fastforce*
qed

lemma *FstStateImpFstStateOr*:
⊢ ▷(*init w*) ⟶ ▷(*init w* ∨ *f*)
proof −
have 1: ⊢ ▷(*init w*) = (*empty* ∧ *init w*)
    using *FstState* **by** *blast*
have 2: ⊢ (*empty* ∧ *init w*) = (*empty* ∧ (*empty* ∨ *bi* (¬ *f*);*skip*) ∧ *init w*)
    by *auto*
have 3: ⊢ (*empty* ∧ (*empty* ∨ *bi* (¬ *f*);*skip*) ∧ *init w*) =
        (*empty* ∧ *bs* (¬ *f*) ∧ *init w*)
    by (*simp add*: *bs-d-def*)
have 4: ⊢ (*empty* ∧ *bs* (¬ *f*) ∧ *init w*) = (*empty* ∧ *init w* ∧ *bs* (¬ *f*))
    by *auto*
have 5: ⊢ (*empty* ∧ *init w*) = ▷ (*init w*)
    using *FstState* **by** *fastforce*
hence 6: ⊢ (*empty* ∧ *init w* ∧ *bs* (¬ *f*)) = (▷ (*init w*) ∧ *bs* (¬ *f*))
    by *auto*
have 7: ⊢ ▷ (*init w*) ∧ *bs* (¬ *f*) ⟶ (▷ (*init w*) ∧ *bs* (¬ *f*)) ∨ (▷*f* ∧ *bs* (¬(*init w*)))
    by *auto*
have 8: ⊢ ▷(*init w* ∨ *f*) = ((▷ (*init w*) ∧ *bs* (¬ *f*)) ∨ (▷*f* ∧ *bs* (¬(*init w*))))
    using *FstWithOrEqv* **by** *blast*
from 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 **show** *?thesis* **by** *fastforce*
qed

lemma *FstLenSame*:
  (∀ σ. (σ ⊨ *di* (▷*f* ∧ *len*(*i*)) ∧ *di* (▷*f* ∧ *len*(*j*))) ⟶ (*i*=*j*))
by (*simp add*: *DiLenFstsem FstLenSamesem*)

lemma *FstLenSame-1*:
  ⊢ *di* (▷*f* ∧ *len*(*i*)) ∧ *di* (▷*f* ∧ *len*(*j*)) ⟶ (#*i*=#*j*)
using *FstLenSame Valid-def* **by** *fastforce*

lemma *FstAndLenSame*:
  (∀ σ. (σ ⊨ *di* ((▷*f* ∧ *g1*) ∧ *len*(*i*)) ∧ *di* ((▷*f* ∧ *g2*) ∧ *len*(*j*))) ⟶ (*i*=*j*))
using *linorder-neqE-nat* **by** (*simp add*: *DiLenFstAndsem*) *blast*

lemma *FstAndLenSame-1*:
  ⊢ *di* ((▷*f* ∧ *g1*) ∧ *len*(*i*)) ∧ *di* ((▷*f* ∧ *g2*) ∧ *len*(*j*)) ⟶ (#*i*=#*j*)
using *FstAndLenSame Valid-def* **by** *fastforce*

lemma *FstLenSameChop*:
  (∀ σ. (σ ⊨ ((▷*f* ∧ *g1*) ∧ *len*(*i*));*h1* ∧ ((▷*f* ∧ *g2*) ∧ *len*(*j*));*h2*) ⟶ (*i*=*j*))
proof

fix σ
show $(\sigma \models ((\triangleright f \wedge g1) \wedge len(i));h1 \wedge ((\triangleright f \wedge g2) \wedge len(j));h2) \longrightarrow (i{=}j)$
proof
assume 0: $(\sigma \models ((\triangleright f \wedge g1) \wedge len(i));h1 \wedge ((\triangleright f \wedge g2) \wedge len(j));h2)$
 have 1: $(\sigma \models ((\triangleright f \wedge g1) \wedge len(i));h1)$ **using** 0 **by** *auto*
 have 2: $(\sigma \models ((\triangleright f \wedge g1) \wedge len(i));h1) \longrightarrow$
       $(\sigma \models ((\triangleright f \wedge g1) \wedge len(i));\#True)$ **by** (*metis ChopImpDi Valid-def di-d-def unl-lift2*)
 have 3: $(\sigma \models di((\triangleright f \wedge g1) \wedge len(i)))$ **using** $1\ 2$ **by** (*simp add: di-d-def*)
 have 4: $(\sigma \models ((\triangleright f \wedge g2) \wedge len(j));h2)$ **using** 0 **by** *auto*
 have 5: $(\sigma \models ((\triangleright f \wedge g2) \wedge len(j));h2) \longrightarrow$
       $(\sigma \models ((\triangleright f \wedge g2) \wedge len(j));\#True)$ **by** (*metis ChopImpDi Valid-def di-d-def unl-lift2*)
 have 6: $(\sigma \models di((\triangleright f \wedge g2) \wedge len(j)))$ **using** $4\ 5$ **by** (*simp add: di-d-def*)
 have 7: $(\sigma \models di((\triangleright f \wedge g1) \wedge len(i)) \wedge di((\triangleright f \wedge g2) \wedge len(j)))$ **using** $3\ 6$ **by** *auto*
 thus $(i{=}j)$ **using** *FstAndLenSame* **by** *blast*
 qed
qed

lemma *FstLenSameChop-1*:
 $\vdash ((\triangleright f \wedge g1) \wedge len(i));h1 \wedge ((\triangleright f \wedge g2) \wedge len(j));h2 \longrightarrow (\#i{=}\#j)$
using *FstLenSameChop Valid-def* **by** *fastforce*

lemma *DiImpExistsOneDiLenAndFst*:
 $(\forall\,\sigma.\ (\sigma \models di\ f) \longrightarrow (\exists!\ k.\ (\sigma \models di(\ \triangleright f \wedge len(k)))))$
proof
 fix σ
 show $(\sigma \models di\ f) \longrightarrow (\exists!\ k.\ (\sigma \models di(\ \triangleright f \wedge len(k))))$
 proof
 assume 0: $(\sigma \models di\ f)$
 have 1: $(\sigma \models di\ (\triangleright f))$
     using 0 *DiEqvDiFst Valid-def* **by** *force*
 have 2: $(\sigma \models \triangleright f) = (\ (\sigma \models \triangleright f) \wedge (\exists k.\ (\sigma \models len(k))))$
     using *AndExistsLen[of TEMP $\triangleright f$]* **by** (*simp add: Valid-def*)
 have 3: $((\sigma \models \triangleright f) \wedge (\exists k.\ (\sigma \models len(k)))) =$
       $(\exists k.\ (\sigma \models \triangleright f) \wedge (\sigma \models len(k)))$
     by *auto*
 have 4: $(\sigma \models di(\triangleright f)) = (\exists k.\ (\sigma \models di(\triangleright f \wedge len(k))))$
     using $2\ 3$ **by** (*metis 1 DiLensem di-defs*)
 have 5: $(\exists k.\ (\sigma \models di(\triangleright f \wedge len(k))))$
     using 1 **using** 4 **by** *auto*
 then obtain i **where** 6: $(\sigma \models di(\triangleright f \wedge len(i)))$ **by** *blast*
 from 5 **obtain** j **where** 7: $(\sigma \models di(\triangleright f \wedge len(j)))$ **by** *blast*
 have 8: $(\sigma \models di(\triangleright f \wedge len(i))) \wedge (\sigma \models di(\triangleright f \wedge len(j)))$
     using $6\ 7$ **by** *auto*
 hence 9: $(\sigma \models di(\triangleright f \wedge len(i)) \wedge di(\triangleright f \wedge len(j)))$
     by *simp*
 hence 10: $i{=}j$
     using *FstLenSame* **by** *blast*
 have 11: $\bigwedge j.\ (\sigma \models di(\triangleright f \wedge len(j))) \longrightarrow (j{=}i)$
     using $9\ 10$ **using** *FstLenSame* **by** *auto*
 thus $(\exists!\ k.\ (\sigma \models di(\ \triangleright f \wedge len(k)\ )))$

using *11 5* **by** *blast*
　qed
qed

lemma *DiImpExistsOneDiLenAndFst-1*:
$\vdash$ *di f* $\longrightarrow$ $(\exists! \ k. \ (\ di(\ \rhd f \wedge len(k))))$
using *Valid-def DiImpExistsOneDiLenAndFst* **by** *fastforce*

lemma *LFstAndDist-help*:
$(\sigma \models((\rhd f \wedge g1) \wedge len(k));h1 \ \wedge \ ((\rhd f \wedge g2) \wedge len(k));h2) =$
　$(\sigma \models(((\rhd f \wedge g1) \wedge (\rhd f \wedge g2)) \wedge len(k));(h1 \wedge h2))$
using *LFixedAndDistr* **by** *fastforce*

lemma *LFstAndDist-help-1*:
$(\exists \ k. \ (\sigma \models((\rhd f \wedge g1) \wedge len(k));h1 \ \wedge \ ((\rhd f \wedge g2) \wedge len(k));h2)) =$
　　　$(\exists \ k. \ (\sigma \models(((\rhd f \wedge g1) \wedge (\rhd f \wedge g2)) \wedge len(k));(h1 \wedge h2)))$
proof
　assume *0*: $\exists k. \ \sigma \models \ ((\rhd \ f \wedge g1) \wedge len \ k) \ ; \ h1 \ \wedge \ ((\rhd \ f \wedge g2) \wedge len \ k) \ ; \ h2$
　obtain *k* **where** *1*: $\sigma \models \ ((\rhd \ f \wedge g1) \wedge len \ k) \ ; \ h1 \ \wedge \ ((\rhd \ f \wedge g2) \wedge len \ k) \ ; \ h2$
　using *0* **by** *auto*
　hence *2*: $(\sigma \models(((\rhd f \wedge g1) \wedge (\rhd f \wedge g2)) \wedge len(k));(h1 \wedge h2))$
　using *LFstAndDist-help* **by** *blast*
　show $(\exists \ k. \ (\sigma \models(((\rhd f \wedge g1) \wedge (\rhd f \wedge g2)) \wedge len(k));(h1 \wedge h2)))$
　using *2* **by** *auto*
　next
　assume *3*: $(\exists \ k. \ (\sigma \models(((\rhd f \wedge g1) \wedge (\rhd f \wedge g2)) \wedge len(k));(h1 \wedge h2)))$
　obtain *k* **where** *4*: $(\sigma \models(((\rhd f \wedge g1) \wedge (\rhd f \wedge g2)) \wedge len(k));(h1 \wedge h2))$
　using *3* **by** *auto*
　hence *5*: $(\sigma \models((\rhd f \wedge g1) \wedge len(k));h1 \ \wedge \ ((\rhd f \wedge g2) \wedge len(k));h2)$
　using *LFstAndDist-help* **by** *blast*
　show $(\exists \ k. \ (\sigma \models((\rhd f \wedge g1) \wedge len(k));h1 \ \wedge \ ((\rhd f \wedge g2) \wedge len(k));h2))$
　using *5* **by** *auto*
qed

lemma *LFstAndDistrsem*:
$(\forall \ \sigma. \ (\sigma \models((\rhd f \wedge g1);h1 \ \wedge \ (\rhd f \wedge g2);h2) = (\rhd f \wedge g1 \wedge g2);(h1 \wedge h2)))$
proof
　fix σ
　show $(\sigma \models((\rhd f \wedge g1);h1 \ \wedge \ (\rhd f \wedge g2);h2) = (\rhd f \wedge g1 \wedge g2);(h1 \wedge h2))$
　proof $-$
　　have *1*: $(\sigma \models(\rhd f \wedge g1);h1) = (\exists \ i. \ (\sigma \models((\rhd f \wedge g1) \wedge len(i));h1))$
　　using *AndExistsLenChop*[*of TEMP* $(\rhd \ f \wedge g1)$] **by** *fastforce*
　　have *2*: $(\sigma \models(\rhd f \wedge g2);h2) = (\exists \ j. \ (\sigma \models((\rhd f \wedge g2) \wedge len(j));h2))$
　　using *AndExistsLenChop*[*of TEMP* $(\rhd f \wedge g2)$] **by** *fastforce*
　　have *3*: $(\sigma \models(\rhd f \wedge g1);h1 \ \wedge \ (\rhd f \wedge g2);h2) =$
　　　　$(\ (\exists \ i \ j. \ (\sigma \models((\rhd f \wedge g1) \wedge len(i));h1 \ \wedge$
　　　　　　　　　$((\rhd f \wedge g2) \wedge len(j));h2))$
　　　　$)$
　　using *1 2* **by** *auto*
　　have *4*: $(\ (\exists \ i \ j. \ (\sigma \models((\rhd f \wedge g1) \wedge len(i));h1 \ \wedge$

588

$$((\rhd f \wedge g2) \wedge len(j));h2) \ )$$
$$) =$$
$$(\ (\exists \ k. \ (\sigma \models((\rhd f \wedge g1) \wedge len(k));h1 \ \wedge$$
$$((\rhd f \wedge g2) \wedge len(k));h2) \ )$$
$$)$$
using *FstLenSameChop* **by** *blast*
have *5*: $(\exists \ k. \ (\sigma \models((\rhd f \wedge g1) \wedge len(k));h1 \ \wedge \ ((\rhd f \wedge g2) \wedge len(k));h2)) =$
$(\exists \ k. \ (\sigma \models(((\rhd f \wedge g1) \wedge (\rhd f \wedge g2)) \wedge len(k));(h1 \wedge h2) \ ))$
using *LFstAndDist-help-1* **by** *blast*
have *6* : $(\exists \ k. \ (\sigma \models(((\rhd f \wedge g1) \wedge (\rhd f \wedge g2)) \wedge len(k));(h1 \wedge h2) \ )) =$
$(\sigma \models((\rhd f \wedge g1) \wedge (\rhd f \wedge g2));(h1 \wedge h2))$
using *AndExistsLenChop*[*of TEMP* $((\rhd \ f \wedge g1) \wedge \rhd \ f \wedge g2)$] **by** *fastforce*
have *7* : $(\sigma \models((\rhd f \wedge g1) \wedge (\rhd f \wedge g2));(h1 \wedge h2)) =$
$(\sigma \models(\rhd f \wedge g1 \wedge g2);(h1 \wedge h2))$
by (*auto simp add*: *chop-defs*)
from *3 4 5 6 7* **show** *?thesis* **by** *auto*
qed
qed

lemma *LFstAndDistr*:
$\vdash ((\rhd f \wedge g1);h1 \wedge (\rhd f \wedge g2);h2) = (\rhd f \wedge g1 \wedge g2);(h1 \wedge h2)$
using *LFstAndDistrsem* **by** *fastforce*

lemma *LFstAndDistrA*:
$\vdash ((\rhd f \wedge g1);h \wedge (\rhd f \wedge g2);h) = (\rhd f \wedge g1 \wedge g2);h$
proof $-$
have *1*: $\vdash ((\rhd f \wedge g1);h \wedge (\rhd f \wedge g2);h) = (\rhd f \wedge g1 \wedge g2);(h \wedge h)$ **using** *LFstAndDistr* **by** *blast*
have *2*: $\vdash (\rhd f \wedge g1 \wedge g2);(h \wedge h) = (\rhd f \wedge g1 \wedge g2);h$ **by** *auto*
from *1 2* **show** *?thesis* **by** *auto*
qed

lemma *LFstAndDistrB*:
$\vdash ((\rhd f \wedge g);h1 \wedge (\rhd f \wedge g);h2) = (\rhd f \wedge g);(h1 \wedge h2)$
proof $-$
have *1*: $\vdash ((\rhd f \wedge g);h1 \wedge (\rhd f \wedge g);h2) = (\rhd f \wedge g \wedge g);(h1 \wedge h2)$ **using** *LFstAndDistr* **by** *blast*
have *2*: $\vdash (\rhd f \wedge g \wedge g);(h1 \wedge h2) = (\rhd f \wedge g);(h1 \wedge h2)$ **by** *auto*
from *1 2* **show** *?thesis* **by** *auto*
qed

lemma *LFstAndDistrC*:
$\vdash ((\rhd f \ );h1 \wedge (\rhd f \ );h2) = (\rhd f);(h1 \wedge h2)$
proof $-$
have *1*: $\vdash ((\rhd f \wedge \#True);h1 \wedge (\rhd f \wedge \#True);h2) = (\rhd f \wedge \#True \wedge \#True);(h1 \wedge h2)$
using *LFstAndDistr* **by** *blast*
have *2*: $\vdash (\rhd f \wedge \#True);h1 = (\rhd f \ );h1$
by *auto*
have *3*: $\vdash (\rhd f \wedge \#True);h2 = (\rhd f \ );h2$
by *auto*
have *4*: $\vdash (\rhd f \wedge \#True \wedge \#True);(h1 \wedge h2) = (\rhd f);(h1 \wedge h2)$
by *auto*

from *1 2 3 4* **show** *?thesis* **by** *auto*
qed

lemma *LFstAndDistrD*:
$\vdash (di(\rhd f \wedge g1) \wedge di(\rhd f \wedge g2)) = di(\rhd f \wedge g1 \wedge g2)$
proof $-$
have *1*: $\vdash ((\rhd f \wedge g1);\#\mathit{True} \wedge (\rhd f \wedge g2);\#\mathit{True}) = (\rhd f \wedge g1 \wedge g2);(\#\mathit{True} \wedge \#\mathit{True})$
    using *LFstAndDistr* **by** *blast*
have *2*: $\vdash (\rhd f \wedge g1);\#\mathit{True} = di(\rhd f \wedge g1)$
    by (*simp add*: *di-d-def*)
have *3*: $\vdash (\rhd f \wedge g2);\#\mathit{True} = di(\rhd f \wedge g2)$
    by (*simp add*: *di-d-def*)
have *4*: $\vdash (\rhd f \wedge g1 \wedge g2);(\#\mathit{True} \wedge \#\mathit{True}) = di(\rhd f \wedge g1 \wedge g2)$
    by (*simp add*: *di-d-def*)
from *1 2 3 4* **show** *?thesis* **by** *fastforce*
qed

lemma *LstAndDistr*:
$\vdash (h1;(\lhd f \wedge g1) \wedge h2;(\lhd f \wedge g2)) = (h1 \wedge h2);(\lhd f \wedge g1 \wedge g2)$
proof $-$
have *1*: $\vdash ((\rhd(f^r) \wedge g1^r);(h1^r) \wedge (\rhd(f^r) \wedge (g2^r));(h2^r)) =$
      $(\rhd(f^r) \wedge (g1^r) \wedge (g2^r));((h1^r) \wedge (h2^r))$
    using *LFstAndDistr* **by** *blast*
hence *2*: $\vdash ((\rhd(f^r) \wedge g1^r);(h1^r) \wedge (\rhd(f^r) \wedge (g2^r));(h2^r))^r =$
      $((\rhd(f^r) \wedge (g1^r) \wedge (g2^r));((h1^r) \wedge (h2^r)))^r$
    using *1 REqvRule* **by** *blast*
have *3*: $\vdash (((\rhd(f^r) \wedge g1^r);(h1^r))^r \wedge ((\rhd(f^r) \wedge (g2^r));(h2^r))^r) =$
      $((\rhd(f^r) \wedge g1^r);(h1^r) \wedge (\rhd(f^r) \wedge (g2^r));(h2^r))^r$

    using *RAnd* **by** *fastforce*
have *4*: $\vdash ((h1^r)^r;(\rhd(f^r) \wedge g1^r)^r \wedge (h2^r)^r;(\rhd(f^r) \wedge (g2^r))^r) =$
      $(((\rhd(f^r) \wedge g1^r);(h1^r))^r \wedge ((\rhd(f^r) \wedge (g2^r));(h2^r))^r)$

    using *RevChop* **by** *fastforce*
have *5*: $\vdash (h1^r)^r = h1$
    using *EqvReverseReverse* **by** *blast*
have *6*: $\vdash (h2^r)^r = h2$
    using *EqvReverseReverse* **by** *blast*
have *7*: $\vdash (g1^r)^r = g1$
    using *EqvReverseReverse* **by** *blast*
have *8*: $\vdash (g2^r)^r = g2$
    using *EqvReverseReverse* **by** *blast*
have *9*: $\vdash (f^r)^r = f$
    using *EqvReverseReverse* **by** *blast*
have *10*: $\vdash (\rhd(f^r) \wedge g1^r)^r = ((\rhd(f^r))^r \wedge (g1^r)^r)$
    using *RAnd* **by** *blast*
have *11*: $\vdash (\rhd(f^r) \wedge g2^r)^r = ((\rhd(f^r))^r \wedge (g2^r)^r)$
    using *RAnd* **by** *blast*
have *12*: $\vdash (\rhd(f^r))^r = \lhd (f)$
    using *RRFirstEqvLast* **by** *blast*

have 13: $\vdash ((\rhd(f^r))^r \wedge (g1^r)^r) = (\lhd f \wedge g1)$
    using $12\ 7$ **by** *fastforce*
have 14: $\vdash ((\rhd(f^r))^r \wedge (g2^r)^r) = (\lhd f \wedge g2)$
    using $12\ 8$ **by** *fastforce*
have 15: $\vdash (h1;(\lhd f \wedge g1) \wedge h2;(\lhd f \wedge g2)) =$
       $((h1^r)^r;(\rhd(f^r) \wedge g1^r)^r \wedge (h2^r)^r;(\rhd(f^r) \wedge (g2^r))^r)$

    using $14\ 13\ 10\ 11\ 5\ 6$ **by** (*metis 4 int-eq*)
have 16: $\vdash (((\rhd(f^r) \wedge (g1^r) \wedge (g2^r));((h1^r) \wedge (h2^r)))^r) =$
      $((h1^r) \wedge (h2^r))^r;((\rhd (f^r)) \wedge (g1^r) \wedge (g2^r))^r$
    by (*simp add*: *RevChop*)
have 17: $\vdash ((\rhd (f^r)) \wedge (g1^r) \wedge (g2)^r)^r = ((\rhd (f^r))^r \wedge (g1^r)^r \wedge (g2^r)^r)$
    by (*metis inteq-reflection rev-fun2*)
have 18: $\vdash ((\rhd (f^r))^r \wedge (g1^r)^r \wedge (g2^r)^r) = (\lhd f \wedge g1 \wedge g2)$
    using $12\ 7\ 8$ **by** *fastforce*
have 19: $\vdash ((h1^r) \wedge (h2^r))^r = (h1 \wedge h2)$
    using *RRAnd* **by** *auto*
have 20: $\vdash ((h1^r) \wedge (h2^r))^r;((\rhd (f^r)) \wedge (g1^r) \wedge (g2^r))^r =$
      $(h1 \wedge h2);(\lhd f \wedge g1 \wedge g2)$
    using $19\ 17\ 18$ **using** *ChopEqvChop* **by** (*metis int-eq*)
from $15\ 4\ 3\ 2\ 16\ 20$ **show** *?thesis* **using** *int-eq* **by** *metis*
qed

lemma *LstAndDistrA*:
$\vdash (h;(\lhd f \wedge g1) \wedge h;(\lhd f \wedge g2)) = h;(\lhd f \wedge g1 \wedge g2)$
proof $-$
 have 1: $\vdash (h;(\lhd f \wedge g1) \wedge h;(\lhd f \wedge g2)) = (h \wedge h);(\lhd f \wedge g1 \wedge g2)$
    using *LstAndDistr* **by** *blast*
 have 2: $\vdash (h \wedge h);(\lhd f \wedge g1 \wedge g2) = h;(\lhd f \wedge g1 \wedge g2)$
    by *auto*
 from $1\ 2$ **show** *?thesis* **by** *auto*
qed

lemma *LstAndDistrB*:
$\vdash (h1;(\lhd f \wedge g) \wedge h2;(\lhd f \wedge g)) = (h1 \wedge h2);(\lhd f \wedge g)$
proof $-$
 have 1: $\vdash (h1;(\lhd f \wedge g) \wedge h2;(\lhd f \wedge g)) = (h1 \wedge h2);(\lhd f \wedge g \wedge g)$
    using *LstAndDistr* **by** *blast*
 have 2: $\vdash (h1 \wedge h2);(\lhd f \wedge g \wedge g) = (h1 \wedge h2);(\lhd f \wedge g)$
    by *auto*
 from $1\ 2$ **show** *?thesis* **by** *auto*
qed

lemma *LstAndDistrC*:
$\vdash (h1;(\lhd f) \wedge h2;(\lhd f)) = (h1 \wedge h2);(\lhd f)$
proof $-$
 have 1: $\vdash (h1;(\lhd f \wedge \#True) \wedge h2;(\lhd f \wedge \#True)) = (h1 \wedge h2);(\lhd f \wedge \#True \wedge \#True)$
    using *LstAndDistr* **by** *blast*
 have 2: $\vdash (h1 \wedge h2);(\lhd f \wedge \#True \wedge \#True) = (h1 \wedge h2);(\lhd f)$
    by *auto*

have 3: ⊢ h1;(◁ f ∧ #True) = h1;(◁ f)
  by auto
have 4: ⊢ h2;(◁ f ∧ #True) = h2;(◁ f)
  by auto
from 1 2 3 4 **show** ?thesis **by** auto
qed

lemma LstAndDistrD:
⊢ (◇(◁ f ∧ g1) ∧ ◇(◁ f ∧ g2)) = ◇(◁ f ∧ g1 ∧ g2)
proof −
have 1: ⊢ (#True;(◁ f ∧ g1) ∧ #True;(◁ f ∧ g2)) = (#True ∧ #True);(◁ f ∧ g1 ∧ g2)
  using LstAndDistr **by** blast
have 2: ⊢ (#True ∧ #True);(◁ f ∧ g1 ∧ g2) = ◇(◁ f ∧ g1 ∧ g2)
  by (simp add: sometimes-d-def)
have 3: ⊢ #True;(◁ f ∧ g1) = ◇(◁ f ∧ g1)
  by (simp add: sometimes-d-def)
have 4: ⊢ #True;(◁ f ∧ g2) = ◇(◁ f ∧ g2)
  by (simp add: sometimes-d-def)
from 1 2 3 4 **show** ?thesis **by** fastforce
qed

lemma NotFstChop:
⊢ (¬(▷f ;g)) = (¬(di (▷f)) ∨ (▷f ;(¬g)))
proof −
have 1: ⊢ g ⟶ #True **by** auto
hence 2: ⊢ ▷f ;g ⟶ ▷f ;#True **using** RightChopImpChop **by** blast
hence 3: ⊢ ▷f ;g ⟶ di(▷f) **by** (simp add:di-d-def)
hence 4: ⊢ ¬(di(▷f)) ⟶ ¬(▷f ;g) **by** auto
have 5: ⊢ (▷f ; (¬g) ⟶ ¬(▷f ;g)) = ((▷f ; (¬g)) ∧ (▷f ;g) ⟶ #False) **by** auto
have 6: ⊢ ((▷f ; (¬g)) ∧ (▷f ;g)) = ▷f ;(¬g ∧ g) **using** LFstAndDistrC **by** blast
have 7: ⊢ ¬(▷f ;(¬g ∧ g)) **by** (simp add: FstChopFalseEqvFalse)
have 8: ⊢ ▷f ; (¬g) ⟶ ¬(▷f ;g) **using** 5 6 7 **by** fastforce
have 9: ⊢ ¬(di(▷f)) ∨ (▷f ; (¬g)) ⟶ ¬(▷f ;g) **using** 4 8 **by** fastforce
have 10: ⊢ di(▷f) ∨ ¬(di(▷f)) **by** auto
hence 11: ⊢ (▷f ;#True) ∨ ¬(di(▷f)) **by** (simp add: di-d-def)
hence 12: ⊢ (▷f ;(g ∨ ¬g)) ∨ ¬(di(▷f)) **by** auto
have 13: ⊢ (▷f ;(g ∨ ¬g)) = ((▷f ;g) ∨ (▷f ;(¬g)))   **using** ChopOrEqv **by** fastforce
have 14: ⊢ ((▷f ;g) ∨ (▷f ;(¬g))) ∨ ¬(di(▷f))   **using** 12 13 **by** fastforce
hence 15: ⊢ ¬(▷f ;g) ⟶ ¬(di(▷f)) ∨ (▷f ; (¬g)) **by** auto
from 9 15 **show** ?thesis **by** fastforce
qed

lemma BsNotFstChop:
⊢ bs(¬(▷f ;g)) = (empty ∨ ¬(di(▷f)) ∨ (▷f ;bs(¬g)))
proof −
have 1: ⊢ bs(¬(▷f ;g))= (empty ∨ bi(¬(▷f ;g));skip)
  by (simp add:bs-d-def)
have 2: ⊢ (empty ∨ bi(¬(▷f ;g));skip) = (empty ∨ (¬(di(▷f ;g)));skip)
  by (metis 1 NotDiEqvBiNot int-eq)
have 3: ⊢ (empty ∨ (¬(di(▷f ;g)));skip) = (empty ∨ (¬((▷f ;g);#True));skip)

592

by (*simp add*: *di-d-def*)
have *4*: ⊢ (¬((▷*f*;*g*);#*True*));*skip* = (¬(▷*f*;(*g*;#*True*)));*skip*
    by (*metis ChopAssocB LeftChopEqvChop int-simps*(*15*) *inteq-reflection*)
hence *5*: ⊢ (*empty* ∨ (¬((▷*f*;*g*);#*True*));*skip*) = (*empty* ∨ (¬(▷*f*;(*g*;#*True*)));*skip*)
    by *auto*
have *6*: ⊢ (*empty* ∨ (¬(▷*f*;(*g*;#*True*)));*skip*) = (*empty* ∨ (¬(▷*f*;*di*(*g*)));*skip*)
    by (*simp add*: *di-d-def*)
have *7*: ⊢ (*empty* ∨ (¬(▷*f*;*di*(*g*)));*skip*) = (*empty* ∨ ¬(¬((¬(▷*f*;*di*(*g*)));*skip*)))
    by *auto*
have *8*: ⊢ ¬(¬((¬(▷*f*;*di*(*g*)));*skip*)) = (¬(*empty* ∨ (▷*f*;*di*(*g*));*skip*))
    using *NotNotChopSkip* **by** *fastforce*
hence *9*: ⊢ (*empty* ∨ ¬(¬((¬(▷*f*;*di*(*g*)));*skip*))) = (*empty* ∨ ¬(*empty* ∨ (▷*f*;*di*(*g*));*skip*))
    by *auto*
have *10*: ⊢ (*empty* ∨ ¬(*empty* ∨ (▷*f*;*di*(*g*));*skip*)) = (*empty* ∨ (*more* ∧ ¬((▷*f*;*di*(*g*));*skip*)))
    by (*meson 6 7 9 NotChopSkipEqvMoreAndNotChopSkip Prop04 Prop06*)
have *11*: ⊢ (*empty* ∨ (*more* ∧ ¬((▷*f*;*di*(*g*));*skip*))) = (*empty* ∨ ¬((▷*f*;*di*(*g*));*skip*))
    by (*auto simp add*: *empty-d-def*)
have *12*: ⊢ (*empty* ∨ ¬((▷*f*;*di*(*g*));*skip*)) = (*empty* ∨ ¬(▷*f*;(*di*(*g*);*skip*)))
    using *ChopAssocB 11* **by** *fastforce*
have *13*: ⊢ (¬(▷*f*;(*di*(*g*);*skip*))) = (¬(▷*f*;(*ds*(*g*))))
    using *DsDi* **using** *RightChopEqvChop* **by** *fastforce*
hence *14*: ⊢ (*empty* ∨ ¬(▷*f*;(*di*(*g*);*skip*))) = (*empty* ∨ ¬(▷*f*;(*ds*(*g*))))
    by *auto*
have *15*: ⊢ (*empty* ∨ ¬(▷*f*;(*ds*(*g*)))) = (*empty* ∨ ¬(*di* (▷*f*)) ∨ (▷*f*;(¬(*ds g*))))
    using *NotFstChop* **by** *fastforce*
have *16*: ⊢ (▷*f*;(¬(*ds g*)))= (▷*f*;(*bs* (¬*g*)))
    using *NotDsEqvBsNot RightChopEqvChop* **by** *blast*
hence *17*: ⊢ ((*empty* ∨ ¬(*di* (▷*f*))) ∨ (▷*f*;(¬(*ds g*)))) = ((*empty* ∨ ¬(*di* (▷*f*))) ∨ (▷*f*;(*bs* (¬*g*))))
    by *auto*
from *1 2 3 5 6 7 9 10 11 12 14 15 17* **show** *?thesis* **by** *fastforce*
qed

lemma *FstFstChopEqvFstChopFst*:
⊢ ▷(▷*f*;*g*) = ▷*f*;▷*g*
proof −
have *1*: ⊢ ▷(▷*f*;*g*) = ((▷*f*;*g*) ∧ *bs* (¬(▷*f*;*g*)))
    by (*simp add*: *first-d-def*)
have *2*: ⊢ *bs* (¬(▷*f*;*g*)) = (*empty* ∨ ¬(*di*(▷*f*)) ∨ (▷*f*;*bs*(¬*g*)))
    using *BsNotFstChop* **by** *auto*
hence *3*: ⊢ ((▷*f*;*g*) ∧ *bs* (¬(▷*f*;*g*))) = ((▷*f*;*g*) ∧ (*empty* ∨ ¬(*di*(▷*f*)) ∨ (▷*f*;*bs*(¬*g*))))
    by *auto*
have *4*: ⊢ ((▷*f*;*g*) ∧ (*empty* ∨ ¬(*di*(▷*f*)) ∨ (▷*f*;*bs*(¬*g*)))) =
      (((▷*f*;*g*) ∧ *empty*) ∨ ((▷*f*;*g*) ∧ ¬(*di*(▷*f*))) ∨ ((▷*f*;*g*) ∧ (▷*f*;*bs*(¬*g*))))
    by *auto*
have *5*: ⊢ ¬((▷*f*;*g*) ∧ ¬(*di*(▷*f*)))
    using *ChopImpDi* **by** *fastforce*
hence *6*: ⊢ (((▷*f*;*g*) ∧ *empty*) ∨ ((▷*f*;*g*) ∧ ¬(*di*(▷*f*))) ∨ ((▷*f*;*g*) ∧ (▷*f*;*bs*(¬*g*)))) =
      (((▷*f*;*g*) ∧ *empty*) ∨ ((▷*f*;*g*) ∧ (▷*f*;*bs*(¬*g*))))
    by *auto*
have *7*: ⊢ ((▷*f*;*g*) ∧ (▷*f*;(*bs*(¬*g*)))) = ((▷*f*;(*g* ∧ (*bs*(¬*g*)))))

using *LFstAndDistrC* **by** *blast*
hence *8*: ⊢ (((▷*f*;*g*) ∧ *empty*) ∨ ((▷*f*;*g*) ∧ (▷*f*;(*bs*(¬*g*))))) =
        (((▷*f*;*g*) ∧ *empty*) ∨ ((▷*f*;(*g* ∧ (*bs*(¬*g*)))))))
    by *auto*
have *9*: ⊢ (((▷*f*;*g*) ∧ *empty*) ∨ ((▷*f*;(*g* ∧ (*bs*(¬*g*)))))) = (((▷*f*;*g*) ∧ *empty*) ∨ ▷*f*;▷*g*)
    by (*simp add*: *first-d-def*)
have *10*: ⊢ ((▷*f*;*g*) ∧ *empty*) = ((▷*f*;▷*g*) ∧ *empty*)
    using *FstChopEmptyEqvFstChopFstEmpty* **by** *blast*
hence *11*: ⊢ (((▷*f*;*g*) ∧ *empty*) ∨ ▷*f*;▷*g*) = (((▷*f*;▷*g*) ∧ *empty*) ∨ ▷*f*;▷*g*)
    by *auto*
have *12*: ⊢ (((▷*f*;▷*g*) ∧ *empty*) ∨ ▷*f*;▷*g*) = ▷*f*;▷*g*
    by *auto*
from *1 3 4 6 8 9 11 12* **show** *?thesis* **by** (*metis inteq-reflection*)
qed

lemma *FstFixFst*:
⊢▷(▷*f*) = ▷*f*
proof −
have *1*: ⊢ ▷*f* = (▷*f*);*empty* **using** *ChopEmpty* **by** (*metis int-eq*)
hence *2*: ⊢▷(▷*f*) = ▷((▷*f*);*empty*) **using** *FstEqvRule* **by** *blast*
have *3*: ⊢ ▷((▷*f*);*empty*) = ▷*f*;▷*empty* **using** *FstFstChopEqvFstChopFst* **by** *auto*
have *4*: ⊢ ▷*f*;▷*empty* = ▷*f*;*empty* **using** *FstEmpty* **using** *RightChopEqvChop* **by** *blast*
have *5*: ⊢ ▷*f*;*empty* = ▷*f* **using** *ChopEmpty* **by** *blast*
from *2 3 4 5* **show** *?thesis* **by** *fastforce*
qed

lemma *FstCSEqvEmpty*:
⊢ ▷(*f*⋆) = *empty*
proof −
have *1*: ⊢ ▷(*f*⋆) = ▷(*empty* ∨ ((*f* ∧ *more*);*f*⋆)) **using** *ChopstarEqv FstEqvRule* **by** *blast*
from *1* **show** *?thesis* **using** *FstEmptyOrEqvEmpty* **by** *fastforce*
qed

lemma *FstIterFixFst*:
⊢ *power* (▷ *f*) *n* = ▷(*power* (▷ *f*) *n*)
proof
(*induct n*)
case *0*
then show *?case*
proof −
have *1*: ⊢ *power* (▷ *f*) *0* = *empty* **by** *auto*
have *2*: ⊢ *empty* = ▷ *empty* **using** *FstEmpty* **by** *auto*
have *3*: ⊢ ▷ *empty* = ▷(*power* (▷*f*) *0*) **by** *auto*
from *1 2 3* **show** *?thesis* **by** *auto*
qed
next
case (*Suc n*)
then show *?case*
proof −
have *4*: ⊢ (*power* (▷ *f*) (*Suc n*)) = (▷ *f*) ;(*power* (▷*f*) *n*)

by (*simp*)
have 5: ⊢ (▷ f) ;(*power* (▷f) n) = (▷ f) ; ▷ (*power* (▷ f) n)
    using *RightChopEqvChop Suc.hyps* **by** *blast*
have 6: ⊢ (▷ f) ; ▷ (*power* (▷ f) n) = ▷(▷f;(*power* (▷ f) n))
    using *FstFstChopEqvFstChopFst* **by** *fastforce*
have 7: ⊢ ▷(▷f;(*power* (▷ f) n)) = ▷(*power* (▷ f) (*Suc* n))
    by *simp*
from 4 5 6 7 **show** *?thesis* **by** *fastforce*
  qed
qed

lemma *DsImpNotFst*:
⊢ *ds f* ⟶ (¬(▷f))
proof −
 have 1: ⊢ (*ds f* ∧ ▷f) = (*ds f* ∧ (f ∧ bs (¬ f))) **by** (*simp add*: *first-d-def*)
 have 2: ⊢ (*ds f* ∧ (f ∧ bs (¬ f))) = (*ds f* ∧ f ∧ ¬(*ds f*)) **using** *NotDsEqvBsNot* **by** *fastforce*
 from 1 2 **show** *?thesis* **by** *fastforce*
qed

lemma *FstLenAndEqvLenAnd*:
⊢ ▷(*len*(k) ∧ f) = (*len*(k) ∧ f)
proof −
 have 1: ⊢ *len*(k) ∧ f ∧ *ds*(*len*(k)∧ f) ⟶ *ds* (*len*(k))
    using *DsAndImpElimL* **by** *fastforce*
 hence 2: ⊢ *len*(k) ∧ f ∧ *ds*(*len*(k)∧ f) ⟶ (*di*(*len*(k)));*skip*
    using *DsDi* **by** *fastforce*
 hence 3: ⊢ *len*(k) ∧ f ∧ *ds*(*len*(k)∧ f) ⟶ ((*len*(k);#*True*));*skip*
    by (*simp add*: *di-d-def*)
 hence 4: ⊢ *len*(k) ∧ f ∧ *ds*(*len*(k)∧ f) ⟶ (*len*(k);(#*True*;*skip*))
    using *ChopAssocB* **by** *fastforce*
 hence 5: ⊢ *len*(k) ∧ f ∧ *ds*(*len*(k)∧ f) ⟶ (*len*(k);(*skip*;#*True*))
    using *SkipTrueEqvTrueSkip TrueChopSkipEqvSkipChopTrue RightChopEqvChop* **by** *fastforce*
 hence 6: ⊢ *len*(k) ∧ f ∧ *ds*(*len*(k)∧ f) ⟶ (*len*(k);(*skip*;#*True*)) ∧ *len*(k)
    by *auto*
 hence 7: ⊢ *len*(k) ∧ f ∧ *ds*(*len*(k)∧ f) ⟶ (*len*(k);(*skip*;#*True*)) ∧ *len*(k);*empty*
    using *ChopEmpty* **by** (*metis int-eq*)
 hence 8: ⊢ *len*(k) ∧ f ∧ *ds*(*len*(k)∧ f) ⟶ (*len*(k);((*skip*;#*True*) ∧ *empty*))
    using *LFixedAndDistrB1* **by** *fastforce*
 have 9: ⊢ ¬(*len*(k);((*skip*;#*True*) ∧ *empty*))
    by (*simp add*: *empty-d-def more-d-def next-d-def chop-defs Valid-def*)
 have 10: ⊢ *len*(k) ∧ f ⟶ ¬(*ds*(*len*(k)∧ f))
    using 8 9 **by** *fastforce*
 hence 11: ⊢ *len*(k) ∧ f ⟶ bs (¬(*len*(k)∧ f))
    using *NotDsEqvBsNot* **by** *fastforce*
 hence 12: ⊢ *len*(k) ∧ f ⟶ (*len*(k) ∧ f) ∧ bs (¬(*len*(k)∧ f))
    by *auto*
 hence 13: ⊢ *len*(k) ∧ f ⟶ ▷(*len*(k) ∧ f)
    by (*simp add*: *first-d-def*)
 have 14: ⊢ ▷(*len*(k) ∧ f) ⟶ *len*(k) ∧ f
    by (*auto simp add*: *first-d-def*)

from *13 14* **show** *?thesis* **by** *fastforce*
qed

lemma *FstAndElimL*:
⊢ ▷*f* ⟶ *f*
by (*auto simp add*: *first-d-def*)

lemma *FstImpNotDiChopSkip*:
⊢ ▷*f* ⟶ ¬(*di f*;*skip*)
proof −
have *1*: ⊢ ▷*f* ⟶ *bs* (¬ *f*) **by** (*auto simp add*: *first-d-def*)
hence *2*: ⊢ ▷*f* ⟶ ¬(*ds f*) **using** *NotDsEqvBsNot* **by** *fastforce*
have *3*: ⊢ *ds f* = *di f* ; *skip* **using** *DsDi* **by** *blast*
hence *4*: ⊢ (¬(*ds f*)) = (¬(*di f*;*skip*)) **by** *auto*
from *2 4* **show** *?thesis* **by** *fastforce*
qed

lemma *FstImpNotDiChopSkipB*:
⊢ ▷*f* ⟶ ¬(*di* (*f*;*skip*))
proof −
have *1*: ⊢ ▷*f* ⟶ *bs* (¬ *f*)
    by (*auto simp add*: *first-d-def*)
hence *2*: ⊢ ▷*f* ⟶ ¬(*ds f*)
    using *NotDsEqvBsNot* **by** *fastforce*
have *3*: ⊢ *ds f* = *di f* ; *skip*
    using *DsDi* **by** *blast*
have *4*: ⊢ *di f* ; *skip* = (*f*;#*True*);*skip*
    by (*simp add*: *di-d-def*)
have *5*: ⊢(*f*;#*True*);*skip* = *f*;(#*True*;*skip*)
    using *ChopAssocB* **by** *blast*
have *6*: ⊢ *f*;(#*True*;*skip*) = *f*;(*skip*;#*True*)
    using *SkipTrueEqvTrueSkip* **using** *TrueChopSkipEqvSkipChopTrue RightChopEqvChop* **by** *blast*
have *7*: ⊢ *f*;(*skip*;#*True*) = (*f*;*skip*);#*True*
    using *ChopAssoc* **by** *blast*
have *8*: ⊢ (*f*;*skip*);#*True* = *di*(*f*;*skip*)
    by (*simp add*: *di-d-def*)
have *9*: ⊢ (¬(*ds f*)) = (¬(*di*(*f*;*skip*)))
    using *3 4 5 6 7 8* **by** *fastforce*
from *2 9* **show** *?thesis* **by** *fastforce*
qed

lemma *FstImpDiEqv*:
⊢ ▷*f* ⟶ (*di f* = *f*)
proof −
have *1*: ⊢ ▷*f* ⟶ ¬(*di f*;*skip*) **using** *FstImpNotDiChopSkip* **by** *blast*
have *2*: ⊢ *di f* ⟶ *f* ∨ (*di f*;*skip*) **using** *DiEqvOrDiChopSkipB* **by** *fastforce*
have *3*: ⊢ ▷*f* ∧ *di f* ⟶ (*f* ∨ (*di f*;*skip*)) ∧ ¬(*di f*;*skip*) **using** *1 2* **by** *fastforce*
have *4*: ⊢ ((*f* ∨ (*di f*;*skip*)) ∧ ¬(*di f*;*skip*)) = (*f* ∧ ¬(*di f*;*skip*)) **by** *auto*
have *5*: ⊢ ▷*f* ∧ *di f* ⟶ *f* ∧ ¬(*di f*;*skip*) **using** *3 4* **by** *fastforce*
hence *6*: ⊢ ▷*f* ∧ *di f* ⟶ *f* **by** *fastforce*

596

hence 7: ⊢ ▷f ⟶ (di f ⟶ f) **using** *FstAndElimL* **by** *fastforce*
have 8: ⊢ f ⟶ di f **using** *DiIntro* **by** *auto*
hence 9: ⊢ ▷f ⟶ (f ⟶ (di f)) **by** *auto*
from 7 9 **show** ?thesis **by** *fastforce*
qed

lemma *FstAndDiFstAndEqvFstAnd*:
⊢ (▷f ∧ di(▷f ∧ g)) = (▷f ∧ g)
proof −
have 1: ⊢ ▷f ∧ di(▷f ∧ g) ⟶ ▷ f
    by *auto*
have 2: ⊢ ▷f ∧ di(▷f ∧ g) ⟶ di(▷f ∧ g)
    by *auto*
have 3: ⊢ di(▷f ∧ g) = ((▷f ∧ g) ∨ di((▷f ∧ g);skip))
    using *DiEqvOrDiChopSkipA* **by** *blast*
have 4: ⊢ di((▷f ∧ g);skip) = ((▷f ∧ g);skip);#True
    by (*simp add*: *di-d-def*)
have 5: ⊢ ▷f ∧ di(▷f ∧ g) ⟶ (▷f ∧ g) ∨ ((▷f ∧ g);skip);#True
    using 2 3 4 **by** *fastforce*
have 6: ⊢ ▷f ∧ g ⟶ f
    using *FstAndElimL* **by** *fastforce*
hence 7: ⊢ ((▷f ∧ g);skip);#True ⟶ (f;skip);#True
    by (*simp add*: *LeftChopImpChop*)
hence 8: ⊢ ((▷f ∧ g);skip);#True ⟶ di(f;skip)
    by (*simp add*: *di-d-def*)
have 9: ⊢ ▷f ⟶ ¬(di(f;skip))
    using *FstImpNotDiChopSkipB* **by** *blast*
have 10: ⊢ ▷f ∧ di(▷f ∧ g) ⟶ ((▷f ∧ g) ∨ di(f;skip))
    using 5 8 **by** *fastforce*
have 11: ⊢ ▷f ∧ di(▷f ∧ g) ⟶ ¬(di(f;skip)) ∧ ((▷f ∧ g) ∨ di(f;skip))
    using 9 10 1 **by** *fastforce*
have 12: ⊢ (¬(di(f;skip)) ∧ ((▷f ∧ g) ∨ di(f;skip))) = (¬(di(f;skip)) ∧ ((▷f ∧ g)))
    by *auto*
have 13: ⊢ ▷f ∧ di(▷f ∧ g) ⟶ (▷f ∧ g)
    using 11 12 **by** *auto*
have 14: ⊢ (▷f ∧ g) ⟶ ▷f
    by *auto*
hence 15: ⊢ (▷f ∧ g) ⟶ di(▷f ∧ g)
    using *DiIntro* **by** *auto*
have 16: ⊢ (▷f ∧ g) ⟶ ▷f ∧ di(▷f ∧ g)
    using 14 15 **by** *auto*
from 13 16 **show** ?thesis **by** *fastforce*
qed

lemma *FstAndDiImpBsNotAndDi*:
⊢ (▷f ∧ di g) ⟶ (bs (¬(di f ∧ g)))
proof −
have 1: ⊢ (▷f ∧ di g) ∧ ¬(bs (¬(di f ∧ g))) ⟶ ds(di f ∧ g)
    by (*auto simp add*: *ds-d-def*)
hence 2: ⊢ (▷f ∧ di g) ∧ ¬(bs (¬(di f ∧ g))) ⟶ ds(di f)

using *DsAndImp* **by** *fastforce*

hence *3*: ⊢ (▷*f* ∧ *di g*) ∧ ¬(*bs* (¬(*di f* ∧ *g*))) ⟶ *di*(*di f*);*skip*

    using *DsDi* **by** *fastforce*

hence *4*: ⊢ (▷*f* ∧ *di g*) ∧ ¬(*bs* (¬(*di f* ∧ *g*))) ⟶ *di f*;*skip*

    using *DiEqvDiDi* **by** (*metis int-eq*)

hence *5*: ⊢ (▷*f* ∧ *di g*) ∧ ¬(*bs* (¬(*di f* ∧ *g*))) ⟶ *ds f*

    using *DsDi* **by** *fastforce*

hence *6*: ⊢ (▷*f* ∧ *di g*) ∧ ¬(*bs* (¬(*di f* ∧ *g*))) ⟶¬ (▷ *f*)

    using *DsImpNotFst* **by** *fastforce*

from *6* **show** *?thesis* **by** *auto*

qed

lemma *FstFstOrEqvFstOrL*:

⊢ ▷(▷*f* ∨ *g*) = ▷(*f* ∨ *g*)

proof −

have *1*: ⊢ ▷(*f* ∨ *g*) = ((*f* ∨ *g*) ∧ *bs* (¬(*f* ∨ *g*)))

    by (*simp add*: *first-d-def*)

have *2*: ⊢ (¬(*f* ∨ *g*)) = (¬*f* ∧ ¬ *g*)

    by *auto*

hence *3*: ⊢ *bs*(¬(*f* ∨ *g*)) = *bs* (¬*f* ∧ ¬ *g*)

    using *BsEqvRule* **by** *blast*

have *4*: ⊢ *bs* (¬*f* ∧ ¬ *g*) = (*bs* (¬ *f*) ∧ *bs* (¬ *g*))

    using *BsAndEqv* **by** *fastforce*

hence *5*: ⊢ ((*f* ∨ *g*) ∧ *bs*(¬(*f* ∨ *g*))) = ((*f* ∨ *g*) ∧ *bs* (¬ *f*) ∧ *bs* (¬ *g*))

    using *4 3* **by** *fastforce*

have *6*: ⊢ ((*f* ∨ *g*) ∧ *bs* (¬ *f*) ∧ *bs* (¬ *g*)) =

      (((*f* ∧ *bs* (¬ *f*)) ∨ (*g* ∧ *bs* (¬ *f*))) ∧ *bs* (¬ *g*))

    by *auto*

have *7*: ⊢ (((*f* ∧ *bs* (¬ *f*)) ∨ (*g* ∧ *bs* (¬ *f*))) ∧ *bs* (¬ *g*)) =

      ((▷*f* ∨ (*g* ∧ *bs* (¬ *f*))) ∧ *bs* (¬ *g*))

    by (*simp add*: *first-d-def*)

have *8*: ⊢ ((▷*f* ∨ (*g* ∧ *bs* (¬ *f*))) ∧ *bs* (¬ *g*)) =

      (((▷*f* ∨ *g*) ∧ (▷*f* ∨ *bs* (¬*f*))) ∧ *bs* (¬ *g*))

    by *auto*

have *9*: ⊢ (((▷*f* ∨ *g*) ∧ (▷*f* ∨ *bs* (¬*f*))) ∧ *bs* (¬ *g*)) =

      (((▷*f* ∨ *g*) ∧ ((*f* ∧ *bs* (¬ *f*)) ∨ *bs* (¬*f*))) ∧ *bs* (¬ *g*))

    by (*simp add*: *first-d-def*)

have *10*: ⊢ (((▷*f* ∨ *g*) ∧ ((*f* ∧ *bs* (¬ *f*)) ∨ *bs* (¬*f*))) ∧ *bs* (¬ *g*)) =

      ((▷*f* ∨ *g*) ∧ *bs* (¬ *f*) ∧ *bs* (¬ *g*))

    by *auto*

have *11*: ⊢ ((▷*f* ∨ *g*) ∧ *bs* (¬ *f*) ∧ *bs* (¬ *g*)) =

      ((▷*f* ∨ *g*) ∧ *bs*(¬(▷*f*))∧ *bs* (¬ *g*))

    using *BsNotFstEqvBsNot* **by** *fastforce*

have *12*: ⊢ ((▷*f* ∨ *g*) ∧ *bs*(¬(▷*f*))∧ *bs* (¬ *g*)) =

      ((▷*f* ∨ *g*) ∧ *bs* (¬(▷*f*) ∧ ¬ *g*))

    using *BsAndEqv* **by** *fastforce*

have *13*: ⊢ (¬(▷*f*) ∧ ¬ *g*) = (¬(▷*f* ∨ *g*))

    by *auto*

hence *14*: ⊢ *bs* (¬(▷*f*) ∧ ¬ *g*) = *bs* (¬(▷*f* ∨ *g*))

    using *BsEqvRule* **by** *blast*

hence *15*: ⊢ ((▷f ∨ g) ∧ bs (¬(▷f) ∧ ¬ g)) = ((▷f ∨ g) ∧ bs (¬(▷f ∨ g)))
    by *auto*
have *16*: ⊢ ((▷f ∨ g) ∧ bs (¬(▷f ∨ g))) = ▷(▷f ∨ g)
    by (*simp add*: *first-d-def*)
from *16 15 12 11 10 9 8 7 6 5 1* **show** *?thesis* **by** (*metis int-eq*)
qed

lemma *FstFstOrEqvFstOrR*:
⊢ ▷(f ∨ ▷g)= ▷(f ∨ g)
proof −
have *1*: ⊢ (f ∨ ▷g)= (▷g ∨ f) **by** *auto*
hence *2*: ⊢ ▷ (f ∨ ▷g)= ▷(▷g ∨ f) **using** *FstEqvRule* **by** *blast*
have *3*: ⊢ ▷(▷g ∨ f) = ▷(g ∨ f) **using** *FstFstOrEqvFstOrL* **by** *blast*
have *4*: ⊢ (g ∨ f) = (f ∨ g) **by** *auto*
hence *5*: ⊢ ▷(g ∨ f) = ▷(f ∨ g) **using** *FstEqvRule* **by** *blast*
from *2 3 5* **show** *?thesis* **by** *fastforce*
qed

lemma *FstFstOrEqvFstOr*:
⊢ ▷(▷f ∨ ▷g) = ▷(f ∨ g)
proof −
have *1*: ⊢ ▷(▷f ∨ ▷g) = ▷(f ∨ ▷g) **using** *FstFstOrEqvFstOrL* **by** *blast*
have *2*: ⊢ ▷(f ∨ ▷g) = ▷(f ∨ g) **using** *FstFstOrEqvFstOrR* **by** *blast*
from *1 2* **show** *?thesis* **by** *fastforce*
qed

lemma *FstLenEqvLen*:
⊢ ▷(len(k)) = len(k)
proof −
have *1*: ⊢ ▷(len(k) ∧ #True) = (len(k) ∧ #True) **using** *FstLenAndEqvLenAnd* **by** *blast*
have *2*: ⊢ (len(k) ∧ #True) = len(k) **by** *auto*
hence *3*: ⊢ ▷(len(k) ∧ #True) = ▷(len(k)) **using** *FstEqvRule* **by** *blast*
from *1 2 3* **show** *?thesis* **by** *auto*
qed

lemma *FstSkip*:
⊢ ▷ skip = skip
proof −
have *1*: ⊢ skip = len(1) **using** *LenOneEqvSkip* **by** *fastforce*
hence *2*: ⊢ ▷skip = ▷ (len(1)) **using** *FstEqvRule* **by** *blast*
have *3*: ⊢ ▷(len(1)) = len(1) **using** *FstLenEqvLen* **by** *blast*
from *1 2 3* **show** *?thesis* **using** *LenOneEqvSkip* **by** *fastforce*
qed

lemma *HaltStateEqvFstFinState*:
⊢ halt (init w) = ▷ (fin (init w))
proof −
have *1*: ⊢ halt (init w) = □(empty = (init w)) **by** (*simp add*: *halt-d-def*)
have *21*: ⊢ (empty = (init w)) = (((empty ⟶ (init w)) ∧ ((init w) ⟶ empty)))
    by *auto*

hence 2: ⊢ □(*empty* = (*init w*)) = (□((*empty* ⟶ (*init w*)) ∧ ((*init w*) ⟶ *empty*)))
  by (*simp add*: *BoxEqvBox*)
have 3: ⊢ (□((*empty* ⟶ (*init w*)) ∧ ((*init w*) ⟶ *empty*))) =
    (□((*empty* ⟶ (*init w*))) ∧ □((*init w*) ⟶ *empty*))
  by (*metis 21 BoxAndBoxEqvBoxRule int-eq*)
have 4: ⊢ ((*init w*) ⟶ *empty*) = (*more* ⟶ ¬(*init w*))
  by (*auto simp add*: *empty-d-def*)
hence 5: ⊢ □ ((*init w*) ⟶ *empty*) = □ (*more* ⟶ ¬(*init w*)) **using** *BoxEqvBox* **by** *blast*
have 6: ⊢ □ (*more* ⟶ ¬(*init w*)) = *bs*(¬(*fin*(*init w*))) **using** *BoxMoreStateEqvBsFinState* **by** *blast*
have 7: ⊢ □((*empty* ⟶ (*init w*))) = *fin*(*init w*) **by** (*simp add*: *fin-d-def*)
have 8: ⊢ (□((*empty* ⟶ (*init w*))) ∧ □((*init w*) ⟶ *empty*)) =
    (*fin*(*init w*) ∧ *bs*(¬(*fin*(*init w*)))) **using** *5 6 7* **by** *fastforce*
from *1 2 3 8* **show** *?thesis* **by** (*metis first-d-def inteq-reflection*)
qed

lemma *FstLenEqvLenFst*:
⊢ ▷(*len k* ; *f*) = *len k* ; ▷ *f*
proof −
  have 1: ⊢ *len k* ; *f* = ▷(*len k*) ; *f* **using** *FstLenEqvLen LeftChopEqvChop* **by** *fastforce*
  have 2: ⊢ ▷(*len k* ; *f*) = ▷ (▷(*len k*) ; *f*) **using** *1 FstEqvRule* **by** *blast*
  have 3: ⊢ ▷ (▷(*len k*) ; *f*) = ▷(*len k*) ; ▷*f* **using** *FstFstChopEqvFstChopFst* **by** *blast*
  have 4: ⊢ ▷(*len k*) ; ▷*f* = *len k* ; ▷*f* **using** *FstLenEqvLen LeftChopEqvChop* **by** *fastforce*
  from *2 3 4* **show** *?thesis* **by** *fastforce*
qed

lemma *FstNextEqvNextFst*:
⊢ ▷(○ *f*) = ○(▷ *f*)
proof −
  have 1: ⊢ ▷(○ *f*) = ▷(*skip* ; *f*) **using** *FstEqvRule* **by** (*simp add*: *next-d-def*)
  have 2: ⊢ *skip* ; *f* = ▷*skip* ; *f* **using** *FstSkip* **using** *LeftChopEqvChop* **by** *fastforce*
  have 3: ⊢ ▷(*skip* ; *f*) = ▷ (▷*skip* ; *f*) **using** *2 FstEqvRule LeftChopEqvChop* **by** *blast*
  have 4: ⊢ ▷ (▷*skip* ; *f*) = ▷*skip* ; ▷*f* **using** *3 FstFstChopEqvFstChopFst* **by** *blast*
  have 5: ⊢ ▷*skip* ; ▷*f* = *skip* ; ▷*f* **using** *4 FstSkip LeftChopEqvChop* **by** *blast*
  have 6: ⊢ *skip* ; ▷*f* = ○(▷ *f*) **by** (*simp add*: *next-d-def*)
  from *1 2 3 4 5 6* **show** *?thesis* **by** *fastforce*
qed

lemma *FstDiamondStateEqvHalt*:
⊢ ▷ (◇ (*init w*)) = *halt* (*init w*)
proof −
  have 1: ⊢ ◇ (*init w*) = ◇ ((*init w*) ∧ #*True*) **by** *simp*
  have 2: ⊢ *fin* (*init w*) ; #*True* = ◇ ((*init w*) ∧ #*True*) **using** *1 FinChopEqvDiamond* **by** *blast*
  have 3: ⊢ *fin* (*init w*) ; #*True* = *di* (*fin* (*init w*)) **by** (*simp add*: *di-d-def*)
  have 4: ⊢ (◇ (*init w*)) = (*di* (*fin* (*init w*))) **using** *1 2 3* **by** *fastforce*
  have 5: ⊢ ▷ (◇ (*init w*)) = ▷ (*di* (*fin* (*init w*))) **using** *4 FstEqvRule* **by** *blast*
  hence 6: ⊢ ▷ (◇ (*init w*)) = ▷ (*fin* (*init w*)) **using** *FstDiEqvFst* **by** *fastforce*
  hence 7: ⊢ ▷ (◇ (*init w*)) = *halt* (*init w*) **using** *HaltStateEqvFstFinState* **by** *fastforce*
  from *7* **show** *?thesis* **by** *simp*
qed

lemma *FstBoxStateEqvStateAndEmpty*:
$\vdash \rhd (\Box (init\ w)) = ((init\ w) \land empty)$
proof $-$
  have *1*: $\vdash ((init\ w) \land (\Box (init\ w))^\star) = \Box (init\ w)$
  using *BoxCSEqvBox* **by** *blast*
  have *2*: $\vdash \Box (init\ w) = ((init\ w) \land (\Box (init\ w))^\star)$
  using *1* **by** *auto*
  hence *3*: $\vdash \Box (init\ w) = ((init\ w) \land (\Box (init\ w))^\star)$
  by *blast*
  have *4*: $\vdash ((init\ w) \land empty)\ ;\ (\Box (init\ w))^\star = ((init\ w) \land (\Box (init\ w))^\star)$
  using *StateAndEmptyChop* **by** *blast*
  have *5*: $\vdash ((init\ w) \land (\Box (init\ w))^\star)\ =\ ((init\ w) \land empty)\ ;\ (\Box (init\ w))^\star$
  using *4* **by** *fastforce*
  have *6*: $\vdash \Box (init\ w) = ((init\ w) \land empty)\ ;\ (\Box (init\ w))^\star$
  using *3 5* **by** *fastforce*
  have *7*: $\vdash ((init\ w) \land empty)\ ;\ (\Box (init\ w))^\star = \rhd (init\ w)\ ;\ (\Box (init\ w))^\star$
  using *FstState* **by** (*metis AndChopCommute int-eq*)
  have *8*: $\vdash \Box (init\ w) = \rhd (init\ w)\ ;\ (\Box (init\ w))^\star$
  using *6 7* **by** *fastforce*
  have *9*: $\vdash \rhd (\Box (init\ w)) = \rhd (\rhd (init\ w)\ ;\ (\Box (init\ w))^\star)$
  using *8 FstEqvRule* **by** *blast*
  have *10*: $\vdash \rhd (\rhd (init\ w)\ ;\ (\Box (init\ w))^\star) = \rhd (init\ w)\ ;\ \rhd ((\Box (init\ w))^\star)$
  using *FstFstChopEqvFstChopFst* **by** *blast*
  have *11*: $\vdash \rhd (init\ w)\ ;\ \rhd ((\Box (init\ w))^\star) = \rhd (init\ w)\ ;\ empty$
  using *RightChopEqvChop FstCSEqvEmpty* **by** *blast*
  have *12*: $\vdash \rhd (init\ w)\ ;\ empty = \rhd (init\ w)$
  using *RightChopEqvChop ChopEmpty* **by** *blast*
  have *13*: $\vdash \rhd (init\ w) = ((init\ w) \land empty)$
  using *FstState* **by** *fastforce*
  from *9 10 11 12 13* **show** *?thesis* **by** *fastforce*
qed

lemma *FstAndFstStarEqvFst*:
$\vdash (\rhd f \land (\rhd f)^\star) = \rhd f$
proof $-$
  have *1*: $\vdash (\rhd f)^\star = (empty \lor (\rhd f);(\rhd f)^\star)$
    using *CSEqvOrChopCS* **by** *fastforce*
  have *2*: $\vdash ((\rhd f)^\star \land \rhd f) = ((empty \lor (\rhd f);(\rhd f)^\star) \land \rhd f)$
    using *1* **by** *fastforce*
  have *3*: $\vdash ((empty \lor (\rhd f);(\rhd f)^\star) \land \rhd f) = ((empty \land \rhd f) \lor ((\rhd f);(\rhd f)^\star \land \rhd f))$
    by *auto*
  have *4*: $\vdash ((\rhd f)^\star \land \rhd f) = ((empty \land \rhd f) \lor ((\rhd f);(\rhd f)^\star \land \rhd f))$
    using *2 3* **by** *fastforce*
  have *5*: $\vdash ((\rhd f);(\rhd f)^\star \land \rhd f) = ((\rhd f);(\rhd f)^\star \land \rhd f;empty)$
    using *ChopEmpty* **by** (*metis inteq-reflection*)
  have *6*: $\vdash ((\rhd f);(\rhd f)^\star \land \rhd f;empty) = (\rhd f);((\rhd f)^\star \land empty)$
    using *LFstAndDistrC* **by** *blast*
  have *7*: $\vdash ((\rhd f)^\star \land empty) = empty$
    using *EmptyImpCS* **by** *fastforce*
  have *8*: $\vdash (\rhd f);((\rhd f)^\star \land empty) = \rhd f$

      using *7 ChopEmpty* **by** (*metis inteq-reflection*)
  have *9*: ⊢ $((\rhd f);(\rhd f)^\star \wedge \rhd f) = \rhd f$
      using *5 6 8* **by** *fastforce*
  have *10*: ⊢ $((\rhd f)^\star \wedge \rhd f) = ((empty \wedge \rhd f) \vee \rhd f)$
      using *4 9* **by** *fastforce*
  have *11*: ⊢ $((empty \wedge \rhd f) \vee \rhd f) = \rhd f$
      by *auto*
  have *12*: ⊢ $((\rhd f)^\star \wedge \rhd f) = \rhd f$
      using *10 11* **by** *fastforce*
  from *12* **show** *?thesis* **by** *auto*
qed

lemma *HaltStateEqvFstHaltState*:
  ⊢ $halt(init(w)) = \rhd(halt(init(w)))$
proof −
  have *1*: ⊢ $halt\ (init\ w) = \rhd\ (fin\ (init\ w))$
      by (*simp add*: *HaltStateEqvFstFinState*)
  have *2*: ⊢ $\rhd\ (fin\ (init\ w)) = \rhd\ (\rhd\ (fin\ (init\ w)))$
    using *FstEqvRule FstFixFst* **by** *fastforce*
  have *3*: ⊢ $\rhd\ (\rhd\ (fin\ (init\ w))) = \rhd(halt(init(w)))$
     using *FstEqvRule HaltStateEqvFstFinState* **by** *fastforce*
    from *1 2 3* **show** *?thesis* **by** *fastforce*
qed

lemma *DiHaltAndDiHaltAndEqvDiHaltAndAnd*:
  ⊢ $(di(halt\ (init\ w) \wedge f) \wedge di(halt\ (init\ w) \wedge g)) = di(halt\ (init\ w) \wedge f \wedge g)$
proof −
  have *1*: ⊢ $(di(halt\ (init\ w) \wedge f) \wedge di(halt\ (init\ w) \wedge g)) =$
        $(di(\rhd(fin\ (init\ w)) \wedge f) \wedge di\ (\rhd(fin\ (init\ w)) \wedge g))$
      using *HaltStateEqvFstFinState* **by** (*metis LFstAndDistrD inteq-reflection*)
  have *2*: ⊢ $(di(\rhd(fin\ (init\ w)) \wedge f) \wedge di(\rhd(fin\ (init\ w)) \wedge g)) =$
        $di(\rhd(fin\ (init\ w)) \wedge f \wedge g)$
      using *LFstAndDistrD* **by** *fastforce*
  have *3*: ⊢ $di(\rhd(fin\ (init\ w)) \wedge f \wedge g) = di(halt\ (init\ w) \wedge f \wedge g)$
      using *HaltStateEqvFstFinState* **by** (*metis DiEqvDi int-eq lift-and-com*)
  from *1 2 3* **show** *?thesis* **using** *int-eq* **by** *metis*
qed

lemma *counter-ex-lhs*:
⊢ $((\rhd(len(5)) \wedge \rhd(len(2)))\ ;\ (len(5) \vee len(2))) = \#False$
proof −
 have *1*: ⊢ $((\rhd(len(5)) \wedge \rhd(len(2)))\ ;\ (len(5) \vee len(2))) =$
      $(len(5) \wedge len(2));\ (len(5) \vee len(2))$
    by (*metis FstLenAndEqvLenAnd FstLenEqvLen LeftChopEqvChop inteq-reflection*)
 have *2*: ⊢ $(len(5) \wedge len(2)) = \#False$
    by (*simp add*: *Valid-def len-defs*)
 have *3*: ⊢ $((len(5) \wedge len(2));\ (len(5) \vee len(2))) = (\#False;(len(5) \vee len(2)))$

by (*simp add*: *2 LeftChopEqvChop*)
 have *4*: ⊢ (*#False*;(*len*(*5*) ∨ *len*(*2*))) = *#False*
     by (*simp add*: *Valid-def chop-defs*)
 from *1 3 4* **show** *?thesis* **by** *fastforce*
qed

lemma *counter-ex-rhs*:
 ⊢ ((▷ (*len*(*5*)) ; (*len*(*5*) ∨ *len*(*2*))) ∧ (▷ (*len*(*2*)) ; (*len*(*5*) ∨ *len*(*2*)))) = *len*(*7*)
proof −
 have *1*: ⊢ (▷ (*len*(*5*)) ; (*len*(*5*) ∨ *len*(*2*))) =
         len(*5*);(*len*(*5*) ∨ *len*(*2*))
     using *FstLenEqvLen LeftChopEqvChop* **by** *blast*
 have *2*: ⊢ (▷ (*len*(*2*)) ; (*len*(*5*) ∨ *len*(*2*))) =
         len(*2*) ;(*len*(*5*) ∨ *len*(*2*))
     using *FstLenEqvLen LeftChopEqvChop* **by** *blast*
 have *3*: ⊢ *len*(*5*);(*len*(*5*) ∨ *len*(*2*)) =
         ((*len*(*5*);*len*(*5*)) ∨ (*len*(*5*);*len*(*2*)))
     by (*simp add*: *ChopOrEqv*)
 have *4*: ⊢ ((*len*(*5*);*len*(*5*)) ∨ (*len*(*5*);*len*(*2*))) =
         (*len*(*10*) ∨ *len*(*7*))
     using *LenEqvLenChopLen inteq-reflection* **by** *fastforce*
 have *5*: ⊢ *len*(*2*) ;(*len*(*5*) ∨ *len*(*2*)) =
         ((*len*(*2*);*len*(*5*)) ∨ (*len*(*2*);*len*(*2*)))
     by (*simp add*: *ChopOrEqv*)
 have *6*: ⊢ ((*len*(*2*);*len*(*5*)) ∨ (*len*(*2*);*len*(*2*))) =
         (*len*(*7*) ∨ *len*(*4*))
     using *LenEqvLenChopLen inteq-reflection* **by** *fastforce*
 have *7*: ⊢ ((*len*(*10*) ∨ *len*(*7*)) ∧ (*len*(*7*) ∨ *len*(*4*))) =
         ((*len*(*7*) ∨ *len*(*10*)) ∧ (*len*(*7*) ∨ *len*(*4*)))
     by *fastforce*
 have *8*: ⊢ ((*len*(*7*) ∨ *len*(*10*)) ∧ (*len*(*7*) ∨ *len*(*4*))) =
         (*len*(*7*) ∨ (*len*(*10*) ∧ *len*(*4*)))
     by *fastforce*
 have *9*: ⊢ (*len*(*10*) ∧ *len*(*4*)) = *#False*
     by (*simp add*: *Valid-def len-defs*)
 have *10* : ⊢ (*len*(*7*) ∨ (*len*(*10*) ∧ *len*(*4*))) = *len*(*7*)
     using *9* **by** *auto*
 have *11*: ⊢ ((▷ (*len*(*5*)) ; (*len*(*5*) ∨ *len*(*2*))) ∧ (▷ (*len*(*2*)) ; (*len*(*5*) ∨ *len*(*2*)))) =
         (*len*(*5*);(*len*(*5*) ∨ *len*(*2*)) ∧ *len*(*2*) ;(*len*(*5*) ∨ *len*(*2*)))
     using *1 2* **by** *fastforce*
 have *12*: ⊢ (*len*(*5*);(*len*(*5*) ∨ *len*(*2*)) ∧ *len*(*2*) ;(*len*(*5*) ∨ *len*(*2*))) = *len*(*7*)
       using *10 3 4 5 6*
     by *fastforce*
 from *11 12* **show** *?thesis* **by** *fastforce*
qed

end

17   Monitors

theory *Monitor*
imports *First*

begin

The RV monitors language is introduced plus the algebraic properties of the monitor operators.

17.1   Syntax

datatype (*'a* ::*world*) *monitor* =
    mFIRST-d 'a formula   ((*FIRST* -) [*84*] *83*)
 | *mUPTO-d 'a monitor 'a monitor* ((- *UPTO* -) [*84,84*] *83*)
 | *mTHRU-d 'a monitor 'a monitor* ((- *THRU* -) [*84,84*] *83*)
 | *mTHEN-d 'a monitor 'a monitor* ((- *THEN* -) [*84,84*] *83*)
 | *mWITH-d 'a monitor 'a formula* ((- *WITH* -) [*84,84*] *83*)

fun *MON* :: (*'a*::*world*) *monitor* $\Rightarrow$ *'a formula*
where (*MON* (*FIRST f*)) = *LIFT*($\triangleright$ *f*)
    | (*MON* (*a UPTO b*)) = *LIFT*($\triangleright$((*MON a*) $\vee$ (*MON b*)))
    | (*MON* (*a THRU b*)) = *LIFT*($\triangleright$(*di*(*MON a*) $\wedge$ *di*(*MON b*)))
    | (*MON* (*a THEN b*)) = *LIFT*((*MON a*);(*MON b*))
    | (*MON* (*a WITH f*)) = *LIFT*((*MON a*) $\wedge$ *f*)

syntax
 -*MON* :: *'a monitor* $\Rightarrow$ *lift* (($\mathcal{M}$ -) [*80*] *80*)

translations
 -*MON* == *CONST MON*

definition *eq-d* :: (*'a*:: *world*) *monitor* $\Rightarrow$ *'a monitor* $\Rightarrow$ *bool* ((- $\simeq$ -) [*84,84*] *83*)
where
 eq-d a b $\equiv$ ($\vdash$ ($\mathcal{M}$ *a*) = ($\mathcal{M}$ *b*))

lemma *MonEqRefl*:
 a $\simeq$ *a*
by (*simp add*: *eq-d-def*)

lemma *MonEqSym*:
 assumes *a* $\simeq$ *b*
 shows   *b* $\simeq$ *a*
using *assms* **by** (*metis eq-d-def inteq-reflection*)

lemma *MonEqTrans*:
 assumes *a* $\simeq$ *b*
        b $\simeq$ *c*
 shows   *a* $\simeq$ *c*
using *assms*(*1*) *assms*(*2*) **by** (*metis eq-d-def inteq-reflection*)

lemma *MonEq*:
 $(a \simeq b) = (\vdash (\mathcal{M}\ a) = (\mathcal{M}\ b))$
by (*simp add*: *eq-d-def*)

lemma *MonEqSubstWith*:
 assumes $a \simeq b$
 shows $(a\ WITH\ f) \simeq (b\ WITH\ f)$
using *assms* **by** (*metis MON*.*simps*(5) *eq-d-def inteq-reflection lift-and-com*)

lemma *MonEqSubstThen*:
 assumes $a1 \simeq b1$
   $a2 \simeq b2$
 shows $(a1\ THEN\ a2) \simeq (b1\ THEN\ b2)$
using *assms*(1) *assms*(2) **by** (*simp add*: *ChopEqvChop eq-d-def*)

lemma *MonEqSubstUpto*:
 assumes $a1 \simeq b1$
   $a2 \simeq b2$
 shows $(a1\ UPTO\ a2) \simeq (b1\ UPTO\ b2)$
using *assms*(1) *assms*(2) **by** (*metis* (*mono-tags*, *lifting*) *MON*.*simps*(2) *eq-d-def int-eq MonEqRefl*)

lemma *MonEqSubstThru*:
 assumes $a1 \simeq b1$
   $a2 \simeq b2$
 shows $(a1\ THRU\ a2) \simeq (b1\ THRU\ b2)$
using *assms*(1) *assms*(2) **by** (*metis* (*mono-tags*, *lifting*) *MON*.*simps*(3) *eq-d-def int-eq MonEqRefl*)

17.2   Derived Monitors

definition *HALT-d* :: ($'a$ :: *world*) *formula* $\Rightarrow$ $'a$ *monitor*
where *HALT-d w* $\equiv$ *FIRST*(*LIFT*(*fin* (*init w*)))

definition *LEN-d* :: *nat* $\Rightarrow$ ($'a$ ::*world*) *monitor*
where
 LEN-d k $\equiv$ *FIRST* (*LIFT*(*len k*))

definition *EMPTY-d* :: ($'a$:: *world*) *monitor*
where
 EMPTY-d $\equiv$ *FIRST* (*LIFT*(*empty*))

definition *SKIP-d* :: ($'a$:: *world*) *monitor*
where
 SKIP-d $\equiv$ *FIRST* (*LIFT* (*skip*))

syntax
 -HALT-d :: *lift* $\Rightarrow$ $'a$ *monitor*   ((HALT -) [84] 83)
 -LEN-d   :: *nat* $\Rightarrow$ $'a$ *monitor*   ((LEN -) [84] 83)
 -EMPTY-d :: $'a$ *monitor*   ((EMPTY))
 -SKIP-d :: $'a$ *monitor*   ((SKIP))

605

syntax (*ASCII*)

-HALT-d	:: lift ⇒ 'a monitor	((HALT -) [84] 83)
-LEN-d	:: nat ⇒ 'a monitor	((LEN -) [84] 83)
-EMPTY-d	:: 'a monitor	((EMPTY))
-SKIP-d	:: 'a monitor	((SKIP))

translations

-HALT-d ⇌ CONST HALT-d
-LEN-d ⇌ CONST LEN-d
-EMPTY-d ⇌ CONST EMPTY-d
-SKIP-d ⇌ CONST SKIP-d

definition *GUARD-d* :: ('a::world) formula ⇒ 'a monitor
where
 GUARD-d w ≡ (EMPTY WITH LIFT(init w))

primrec *TIMES-d* :: ('a :: world) monitor ⇒ nat ⇒ 'a monitor
where
  TIMES-0 : TIMES-d a 0   = EMPTY
| TIMES-Suc: TIMES-d a (Suc k) = (a THEN (TIMES-d a k))

syntax

-GUARD-d :: lift ⇒ 'a monitor		((GUARD -) [84] 83)
-TIMES-d :: ['a monitor,nat] ⇒ 'a monitor		((- TIMES -) [84,84] 83)

syntax (*ASCII*)

-GUARD-d :: lift ⇒ 'a monitor		((GUARD -) [84] 83)
-TIMES-d :: ['a monitor,nat] ⇒ 'a monitor		((- TIMES -) [84,84] 83)

translations

 -GUARD-d ⇌ CONST GUARD-d
 -TIMES-d ⇌ CONST TIMES-d

definition *FAIL-d* :: ('a:: world) monitor
where
 FAIL-d ≡ GUARD (#False)

definition *ALWAYS-d* :: ('a :: world) monitor ⇒ 'a formula ⇒ 'a monitor
where
 ALWAYS-d a w ≡ (a WITH LIFT((bi (fin (init w)))))

definition *SOMETIME-d* :: ('a :: world) monitor ⇒ 'a formula ⇒ 'a monitor
where
 SOMETIME-d a w ≡ (a WITH LIFT((di (fin (init w)))))

definition *LIMIT-d* :: ('a :: world) formula ⇒ 'a formula

where
 LIMIT-d f ≡ *LIFT*(*bs* (¬ *f*))

definition *UNTIL-d* :: (′*a* :: *world*) *formula* ⇒ ′*a formula* ⇒ ′*a monitor*
  where
 UNTIL-d w1 w2 ≡ (*HALT w2*) *WITH* (*LIFT*(*bm w1*))

syntax
 -FAIL-d   :: ′*a monitor*   (*FAIL*)
 -ALWAYS-d   :: [′*a monitor*,*lift*] ⇒ ′*a monitor* ((- *ALWAYS* -) [*84*,*84*] *83*)
 -SOMETIME-d :: [′*a monitor*,*lift*] ⇒ ′*a monitor* ((- *SOMETIME* -) [*84*,*84*] *83*)
 -LIMIT-d   :: *lift* ⇒ *lift*   ((*Limit* -) [*84*] *83*)
 -UNTIL-d   :: [*lift*,*lift*] ⇒ ′*a monitor*   ((- *UNTIL* -) [*84*,*84*] *83*)

syntax (*ASCII*)
 -FAIL-d   :: ′*a monitor*   (*FAIL*)
 -ALWAYS-d   :: [′*a monitor*,*lift*] ⇒ ′*a monitor* ((- *ALWAYS* -) [*84*,*84*] *83*)
 -SOMETIME-d :: [′*a monitor*,*lift*] ⇒ ′*a monitor* ((- *SOMETIME* -) [*84*,*84*] *83*)
 -LIMIT-d   :: *lift* ⇒ *lift*   ((*Limit* -) [*84*] *83*)
 -UNTIL-d   :: [*lift*,*lift*] ⇒ ′*a monitor*   ((- *UNTIL* -) [*84*,*84*] *83*)

translations
 -FAIL-d   ⇌ *CONST FAIL-d*
 -ALWAYS-d   ⇌ *CONST ALWAYS-d*
 -SOMETIME-d ⇌ *CONST SOMETIME-d*
 -LIMIT-d   ⇌ *CONST LIMIT-d*
 -UNTIL-d   ⇌ *CONST UNTIL-d*

definition *WITHIN-d* :: (′*a* :: *world*) *monitor* ⇒ ′*a formula* ⇒ ′*a monitor*
where
 WITHIN-d a f ≡ (*a WITH LIFT*(*Limit f*))

syntax
 -WITHIN-d :: [′*a monitor*,*lift*] ⇒ ′*a monitor* ((- *WITHIN* -) [*84*,*84*] *83*)

syntax (*ASCII*)
 -WITHIN-d :: [′*a monitor*,*lift*] ⇒ ′*a monitor* ((- *WITHIN* -) [*84*,*84*] *83*)

translations
 -WITHIN-d ⇌ *CONST WITHIN-d*

definition *AND-d* :: (′*a* :: *world*) *monitor* ⇒ ′*a monitor* ⇒ ′*a monitor*
where
 AND-d a b ≡ (*a WITH LIFT*($\mathcal{M}$ *b*))

definition *ITERATE-d* :: (′*a* :: *world*) *monitor* ⇒ ′*a monitor* ⇒ ′*a monitor*
where
 ITERATE-d a b ≡ (*a WITH* (*LIFT* ($\mathcal{M}$ *b*)*))

607

syntax
 -AND-d   :: ['a monitor,'a monitor] ⇒ 'a monitor ((- AND -) [84,84] 83)
 -ITERATE-d :: ['a monitor,'a monitor] ⇒ 'a monitor ((- ITERATE -) [84,84] 83)

syntax (*ASCII*)
 -AND-d   :: ['a monitor,'a monitor] ⇒ 'a monitor ((- AND -) [84,84] 83)
 -ITERATE-d :: ['a monitor,'a monitor] ⇒ 'a monitor ((- ITERATE -) [84,84] 83)

translations
 -AND-d   ⇌ CONST AND-d
 -ITERATE-d ⇌ CONST ITERATE-d

definition *STAR-d* :: ('a :: world) monitor ⇒ 'a formula ⇒ 'a monitor
where
 STAR-d a f ≡ ((FIRST LIFT($\diamond$ f)) ITERATE (a))

definition *REPEAT-d* :: ('a :: world) monitor ⇒ 'a formula ⇒ 'a monitor
where
 REPEAT-d a w ≡ ((HALT w) ITERATE (a WITH LIFT(keep(¬ (init w)))))

syntax
 -STAR-d   :: ['a monitor,lift] ⇒ 'a monitor ((- STAR -) [84,84] 83)
 -REPEAT-d :: ['a monitor,lift] ⇒ 'a monitor ((- REPEATUNTIL -) [84,84] 83)

syntax (*ASCII*)
 -STAR-d   :: ['a monitor,lift] ⇒ 'a monitor ((- STAR -) [84,84] 83)
 -REPEAT-d :: ['a monitor,lift] ⇒ 'a monitor ((- REPEATUNTIL -) [84,84] 83)

translations
 -STAR-d   ⇌ CONST STAR-d
 -REPEAT-d ⇌ CONST REPEAT-d

17.3   Monitor Laws

lemma *MFixFst*:
⊢ (𝓜 a) = ▷ (𝓜 a)
proof
 (*induct a*)
 case (*mFIRST-d x*)
 then show *?case*
  proof −
   have 1: ⊢ (𝓜 (FIRST x)) = ▷ x **by** *simp*
   have 2: ⊢ ▷ x = ▷ (▷ x) **using** *FstFixFst* **by** *fastforce*
   have 3: ⊢ ▷ (▷ x) = ▷(𝓜 (FIRST x)) **by** *simp*
   from *1 2 3* **show** *?thesis* **by** *fastforce*
  qed
 next

case (*mUPTO-d a1 a2*)
then show *?case*
proof −
have *1*: ⊢ (𝓜 (*a1 UPTO a2*)) = ▷((𝓜 *a1*) ∨ (𝓜 *a2*))
  by (*simp*)
have *2*: ⊢ ▷((𝓜 *a1*) ∨ (𝓜 *a2*)) = ▷(▷((𝓜 *a1*) ∨ (𝓜 *a2*)))
  using *FstFixFst* **by** *fastforce*
have *3*: ⊢ ▷(▷((𝓜 *a1*) ∨ (𝓜 *a2*))) = ▷(𝓜 (*a1 UPTO a2*))
  using *2* **by** *simp*
from *1 2 3* **show** *?thesis* **by** *fastforce*
qed
next
case (*mTHRU-d a1 a2*)
then show *?case*
proof −
have *1*: ⊢ (𝓜 (*a1 THRU a2*)) = ▷(*di* (𝓜 *a1*) ∧ *di*(𝓜 *a2*))
  by (*simp*)
have *2*: ⊢ ▷(*di* (𝓜 *a1*) ∧ *di*(𝓜 *a2*)) = ▷(▷(*di*(𝓜 *a1*) ∧ *di*(𝓜 *a2*)))
  using *FstFixFst* **by** *fastforce*
have *3*: ⊢ ▷(▷(*di* (𝓜 *a1*) ∧ *di*(𝓜 *a2*))) = ▷(𝓜 (*a1 THRU a2*))
  using *2* **by** *simp*
from *1 2 3* **show** *?thesis* **by** *fastforce*
qed
next
case (*mTHEN-d a1 a2*)
then show *?case*
proof −
have *1*: ⊢ (𝓜 (*a1 THEN a2*)) = (𝓜 *a1*) ; (𝓜 *a2*)
  by (*simp*)
have *2*: ⊢ (𝓜 *a1*) ; (𝓜 *a2*) = ▷(𝓜 *a1*) ; ▷(𝓜 *a2*)
  using *ChopEqvChop mTHEN-d.hyps*(*1*) *mTHEN-d.hyps*(*2*) **by** *blast*
have *3*: ⊢ ▷(𝓜 *a1*) ; ▷(𝓜 *a2*) = ▷(▷(𝓜 *a1*) ; (𝓜 *a2*))
  using *FstFstChopEqvFstChopFst* **by** *fastforce*
have *4*: ⊢ ▷(▷(𝓜 *a1*) ; (𝓜 *a2*)) = ▷((𝓜 *a1*) ; (𝓜 *a2*))
  using *FstEqvRule LeftChopEqvChop mTHEN-d.hyps*(*1*) **by** (*metis inteq-reflection*)
have *5*: ⊢ ▷((𝓜 *a1*) ; (𝓜 *a2*)) = ▷(𝓜 (*a1 THEN a2*))
  using *4* **by** *simp*
from *1 2 3 4 5* **show** *?thesis* **by** *fastforce*
qed
next
case (*mWITH-d a x2*)
then show *?case*
proof −
have *1*: ⊢ (𝓜 (*a WITH x2*)) = ((𝓜 *a*) ∧ (*x2*))
  by (*simp*)
have *2*: ⊢ ((𝓜 *a*) ∧ (*x2*)) = (▷(𝓜 *a*) ∧ (*x2*))
  using *mWITH-d.hyps* **by** *fastforce*
have *3*: ⊢ (▷(𝓜 *a*) ∧ (*x2*)) = ▷(▷(𝓜 *a*) ∧ (*x2*))
  using *FstFstAndEqvFstAnd* **by** *fastforce*
have *4*: ⊢ ▷(▷(𝓜 *a*) ∧ (*x2*)) = ▷((𝓜 *a*) ∧ (*x2*))

609

using _2 FstEqvRule_ **by** _fastforce_
  have _5_: ⊢ ▷((𝓜 _a_) ∧ (_x2_)) = ▷(𝓜 (_a WITH x2_))
      using _4_ **by** _simp_
  from _1 2 3 4 5_ **show** _?thesis_ **by** (_metis inteq-reflection_)
 qed
qed

lemma _MGuardFalseEqvFalse_:
 ⊢ 𝓜(_GUARD_ #_False_) = #_False_
proof −
 have _1_: ⊢ 𝓜(_GUARD_ #_False_) = 𝓜(_EMPTY WITH LIFT_(_init_ #_False_)) **by** (_simp add_: _GUARD-d-def_)
 have _2_: ⊢ 𝓜(_EMPTY WITH LIFT_(_init_ #_False_)) = (𝓜(_EMPTY_) ∧ (_init_ #_False_)) **by** (_simp_)
 have _3_: ⊢ #_False_ = (_init_ #_False_) **by** (_simp add_:_init-defs Valid-def_)
 have _4_: ⊢ (𝓜(_EMPTY_) ∧ (_init_ #_False_)) = (𝓜(_EMPTY_) ∧ #_False_) **using** _3_ **by** _auto_
 have _5_: ⊢ (𝓜(_EMPTY_) ∧ #_False_) = #_False_ **by** _simp_
 have _6_: ⊢ (𝓜(_EMPTY_) ∧ (_init_ #_False_)) = #_False_ **using** _4 5_ **by** _simp_
 have _7_: ⊢ 𝓜(_EMPTY WITH LIFT_(_init_ #_False_)) = #_False_ **using** _2 6_ **by** _fastforce_
 have _8_: ⊢ 𝓜(_GUARD_ #_False_) = #_False_ **using** _1 7_ **by** _fastforce_
 from _8_ **show** _?thesis_ **by** _auto_
qed

lemma _MFirstFalseEqvFalse_:
 ⊢ 𝓜(_FIRST LIFT_ #_False_) = #_False_
proof −
 have _1_: ⊢ 𝓜(_FIRST LIFT_ #_False_) = ▷ #_False_ **by** (_simp_)
 have _2_: ⊢ 𝓜(_FIRST LIFT_ #_False_) = #_False_ **using** _FstFalse_ **by** _fastforce_
 from _2_ **show** _?thesis_ **by** _auto_
qed

lemma _MFailAlt_:
 ⊢ 𝓜 _FAIL_ = #_False_
proof −
 have _1_: ⊢ 𝓜 _FAIL_ = 𝓜 (_GUARD_ (#_False_)) **by** (_simp add_: _FAIL-d-def_)
 have _2_: ⊢ 𝓜(_GUARD_ (#_False_)) = #_False_ **using** _MGuardFalseEqvFalse_ **by** _auto_
 from _1 2_ **show** _?thesis_ **by** _fastforce_
qed

lemma _MFailEqvFirstFalseWithinEmpty_:
 FAIL ≃ ((_FIRST LIFT_ #_False_) _WITHIN empty_)
proof −
 have _1_: ⊢ 𝓜 ((_FIRST LIFT_ #_False_) _WITHIN_ (_empty_)) =
        𝓜((_FIRST LIFT_ #_False_) _WITH LIFT_(_Limit empty_))
    by (_simp add_: _WITHIN-d-def_)
 have _2_: ⊢ 𝓜((_FIRST LIFT_ #_False_) _WITH LIFT_(_Limit empty_)) =
        (𝓜(_FIRST LIFT_ #_False_) ∧ (_Limit empty_))
    by (_simp_)
 have _3_: ⊢ 𝓜((_FIRST LIFT_ #_False_) _WITH LIFT_(_Limit empty_)) = #_False_
    using _MFirstFalseEqvFalse_ **by** _auto_
 have _4_: ⊢ 𝓜((_FIRST LIFT_ #_False_) _WITHIN_ (_empty_)) = #_False_
    using _1 3_ **by** _fastforce_

have 5 : ⊢ $\mathcal{M}(\ FAIL) = \#False$
    using *MFailAlt* **by** *simp*
 from *4 5* **show** *?thesis* **using** *MonEq* **by** (*metis int-eq*)
 qed

lemma *MEmptyAlt*:
⊢ $\mathcal{M}\ EMPTY = empty$
proof −
 have 1 : ⊢ $\mathcal{M}\ (\ EMPTY) = \mathcal{M}((\ FIRST\ LIFT\ empty))$ **by** (*simp add*: *EMPTY-d-def*)
 have 2 : ⊢ $\mathcal{M}\ ((\ FIRST\ LIFT\ empty)) = \triangleright\ empty$ **by** (*simp*)
 have 3 : ⊢ $\triangleright\ empty = empty$ **using** *FstEmpty* **by** *auto*
 from *1 2 3* **show** *?thesis* **by** *fastforce*
qed

lemma *MSkipAlt*:
⊢ $\mathcal{M}\ SKIP = skip$
proof −
 have 1 : ⊢ $\mathcal{M}\ SKIP = \mathcal{M}\ (FIRST\ LIFT\ skip)$ **by** (*simp add*: *SKIP-d-def*)
 have 2 : ⊢ $\mathcal{M}\ (FIRST\ LIFT\ skip) = \triangleright\ skip$ **by** (*simp*)
 have 3 : ⊢ $\triangleright\ skip = skip$ **using** *FstSkip* **by** *simp*
 from *1 2 3* **show** *?thesis* **by** *fastforce*
qed

lemma *MGuardAlt*:
⊢ $\mathcal{M}\ (GUARD(w)) = (empty \wedge init\ w)$
proof −
 have 1 : ⊢ $\mathcal{M}(GUARD(w)) = \mathcal{M}(EMPTY\ WITH\ (\ LIFT\ (init\ w)))$ **by** (*simp add*:*GUARD-d-def*)
 have 2 : ⊢ $\mathcal{M}(EMPTY\ WITH\ (\ LIFT(init\ w))) = (\mathcal{M}(\ EMPTY) \wedge (\ init\ w))$ **by** (*simp*)
 have 3 : ⊢ $(\mathcal{M}(\ EMPTY) \wedge (\ init\ w)) = (empty \wedge (\ init\ w))$ **using** *MEmptyAlt* **by** *fastforce*
 have 4 : ⊢ $(empty \wedge (\ init\ w)) = (empty \wedge init\ w)$ **by** *simp*
 from *1 2 3 4* **show** *?thesis* **by** *fastforce*
qed

lemma *MLengthAlt*:
⊢ $\mathcal{M}\ (LEN(k)) = len(k)$
proof −
 have 1 : ⊢ $\mathcal{M}(LEN(k)) = \mathcal{M}(FIRST\ LIFT(len(k)))$ **by** (*simp add*:*LEN-d-def*)
 have 2 : ⊢ $\mathcal{M}(FIRST\ LIFT(len(k))) = \triangleright(len(k))$ **by** (*simp*)
 have 3 : ⊢ $\triangleright(len(k)) = len(k)$ **using** *FstLenEqvLen* **by** *blast*
 from *1 2 3* **show** *?thesis* **by** *fastforce*
qed

lemma *MAlwaysAlt*:
⊢ $\mathcal{M}(a\ ALWAYS\ w) = (\mathcal{M}(a) \wedge \Box\ (init\ w))$
proof −
 have 1 : ⊢ $\mathcal{M}(a\ ALWAYS\ w) = \mathcal{M}(a\ WITH\ LIFT(bi\ (fin\ (init\ w))))$
    by (*simp add*: *ALWAYS-d-def*)
 have 2 : ⊢ $\mathcal{M}(a\ WITH\ LIFT(bi\ (fin\ (init\ w)))) = (\mathcal{M}(a) \wedge (bi\ (fin\ (init\ w))))$
    by (*simp*)
 have 3 : ⊢ $(\mathcal{M}(a) \wedge (bi\ (fin\ (init\ w)))) = (\mathcal{M}(a) \wedge \Box\ (init\ w))$

using *BoxStateEqvBiFinState* **by** *fastforce*
  from *1 2 3* **show** *?thesis* **by** *fastforce*
qed

lemma *MSometimeAlt*:
$\vdash \mathcal{M}(a\ SOMETIME\ w) = (\mathcal{M}(a) \wedge \diamond (init\ w))$
proof −
  have *1*: $\vdash \mathcal{M}(a\ SOMETIME\ w) = \mathcal{M}(a\ WITH\ LIFT(di\ (fin\ (init\ w))))$
      by (*simp add*: *SOMETIME-d-def*)
  have *2*: $\vdash \mathcal{M}(a\ WITH\ LIFT(di\ (fin\ (init\ w)))) = (\mathcal{M}(a) \wedge (di\ (fin\ (init\ w))))$
      by (*simp*)
  have *3*: $\vdash \mathcal{M}(a\ WITH\ LIFT(di\ (fin\ (init\ w)))) = (\mathcal{M}(a) \wedge \diamond (init\ w))$
      using *DiamondStateEqvDiFinState* **by** *fastforce*
  from *1 2 3* **show** *?thesis* **by** *fastforce*
qed

lemma *MWithinAlt*:
$\vdash \mathcal{M}(a\ WITHIN\ f) = (\mathcal{M}(a) \wedge (bs\ (\neg\ f)))$
proof −
  have *1*: $\vdash \mathcal{M}(a\ WITHIN\ f) = \mathcal{M}(a\ WITH\ LIFT(bs\ (\neg\ f)))$
      by (*simp add*: *WITHIN-d-def LIMIT-d-def*)
  have *2*: $\vdash \mathcal{M}(a\ WITH\ LIFT(bs\ (\neg\ f))) = (\mathcal{M}(a) \wedge (bs\ (\neg\ f)))$
      by (*simp*)
  from *1 2* **show** *?thesis* **by** *fastforce*
qed

lemma *MTimesAlt*:
$\vdash \mathcal{M}(a\ TIMES\ k) = power\ (\mathcal{M}(a))\ k$
proof
 (*induct k*)
 case *0*
 then show *?case*
  proof −
   have *1*: $\vdash \mathcal{M}\ (a\ TIMES\ 0) = \mathcal{M}\ EMPTY$ **by** *simp*
   have *2*: $\vdash \mathcal{M}\ EMPTY = empty$ **using** *MEmptyAlt* **by** *simp*
   have *3*: $\vdash empty = power\ (\mathcal{M}\ a)\ 0$ **by** *simp*
   from *1 2 3* **show** *?thesis* **by** *auto*
  qed
 next
 case (*Suc k*)
 then show *?case*
  proof −
  have *1*: $\vdash \mathcal{M}(\ a\ TIMES\ Suc\ k) = \mathcal{M}(a\ THEN\ (a\ TIMES\ k)\ )$
      by *simp*
  have *2*: $\vdash \mathcal{M}(a\ THEN\ (a\ TIMES\ k)\ ) = (\mathcal{M}\ a);(\mathcal{M}\ (a\ TIMES\ k))$
      by (*simp*)
  have *3*: $\vdash (\mathcal{M}\ a);(\mathcal{M}(a\ TIMES\ k)) = (\mathcal{M}\ a);(power\ (\mathcal{M}\ a)\ k)$
      using *RightChopEqvChop Suc.hyps* **by** *blast*
  have *4*: $\vdash (\mathcal{M}\ a);(power\ (\mathcal{M}\ a)\ k) = power\ (\mathcal{M}\ a)\ (Suc\ k)$

by *simp*
  from *1 2 3 4* **show** *?thesis* **by** *fastforce*
 qed
qed

lemma *MUptoAlt*:
⊢ $\mathcal{M}(a\ UPTO\ b) = ((\ (\mathcal{M}\ a) \land bi\ (\neg(\mathcal{M}\ b))) \lor ((\mathcal{M}\ b) \land bi\ (\neg(\mathcal{M}\ a))) \lor ((\mathcal{M}\ a) \land (\mathcal{M}\ b)))$
proof −
  have *1*: ⊢ $\mathcal{M}\ (a\ UPTO\ b) = \triangleright((\mathcal{M}\ a) \lor (\mathcal{M}\ b))$
     by *(simp)*
  have *2*: ⊢ $\triangleright((\mathcal{M}\ a) \lor (\mathcal{M}\ b)) = ((\triangleright(\mathcal{M}\ a) \land\ (bs\ (\neg(\mathcal{M}\ b)))) \lor (\triangleright(\mathcal{M}\ b) \land\ (bs\ (\neg(\mathcal{M}\ a)))))$
    using *FstWithOrEqv* **by** *blast*
  have *3*: ⊢ $((\triangleright(\mathcal{M}\ a) \land\ (bs\ (\neg(\mathcal{M}\ b)))) \lor (\triangleright(\mathcal{M}\ b) \land\ (bs\ (\neg(\mathcal{M}\ a))))) =$
      $(((\mathcal{M}\ a) \land ((\mathcal{M}\ b) \lor \neg(\mathcal{M}\ b)) \land\ (bs\ (\neg(\mathcal{M}\ b)))) \lor$
      $((\mathcal{M}\ b) \land ((\mathcal{M}\ a) \lor \neg(\mathcal{M}\ a)) \land\ (bs\ (\neg(\mathcal{M}\ a)))))$
    using *MFixFst* **by** *fastforce*
  have *4*: ⊢ $(((\mathcal{M}\ a) \land ((\mathcal{M}\ b) \lor \neg(\mathcal{M}\ b)) \land\ (bs\ (\neg(\mathcal{M}\ b)))) \lor$
      $((\mathcal{M}\ b) \land ((\mathcal{M}\ a) \lor \neg(\mathcal{M}\ a)) \land\ (bs\ (\neg(\mathcal{M}\ a))))) =$
      $(((\mathcal{M}\ a) \land (\ ((\mathcal{M}\ b) \land bs\ (\neg(\mathcal{M}\ b))) \lor (\neg(\mathcal{M}\ b) \land bs\ (\neg(\mathcal{M}\ b))))\ ) \lor$
      $((\mathcal{M}\ b) \land (\ ((\mathcal{M}\ a) \land bs\ (\neg(\mathcal{M}\ a))) \lor (\neg(\mathcal{M}\ a) \land bs\ (\neg(\mathcal{M}\ a))))\ ))$
    by *auto*
  have *5*: ⊢ $(((\mathcal{M}\ a) \land (\ ((\mathcal{M}\ b) \land bs\ (\neg(\mathcal{M}\ b))) \lor (\neg(\mathcal{M}\ b) \land bs\ (\neg(\mathcal{M}\ b))))\ ) \lor$
      $((\mathcal{M}\ b) \land (\ ((\mathcal{M}\ a) \land bs\ (\neg(\mathcal{M}\ a))) \lor (\neg(\mathcal{M}\ a) \land bs\ (\neg(\mathcal{M}\ a))))\ )) =$
      $(((\mathcal{M}\ a) \land (\ (\triangleright(\mathcal{M}\ b)) \lor (\neg(\mathcal{M}\ b) \land bs\ (\neg(\mathcal{M}\ b))))\ ) \lor$
      $((\mathcal{M}\ b) \land (\ (\triangleright(\mathcal{M}\ a)) \lor (\neg(\mathcal{M}\ a) \land bs\ (\neg(\mathcal{M}\ a))))\ ))$
    by *(simp add: first-d-def)*
  have *6*: ⊢ $(((\mathcal{M}\ a) \land (\ (\triangleright(\mathcal{M}\ b)) \lor (\neg(\mathcal{M}\ b) \land bs\ (\neg(\mathcal{M}\ b))))\ ) \lor$
      $((\mathcal{M}\ b) \land (\ (\triangleright(\mathcal{M}\ a)) \lor (\neg(\mathcal{M}\ a) \land bs\ (\neg(\mathcal{M}\ a))))\ )) =$
      $(((\mathcal{M}\ a) \land (\ ((\mathcal{M}\ b)) \lor (\neg(\mathcal{M}\ b) \land bs\ (\neg(\mathcal{M}\ b))))\ ) \lor$
      $((\mathcal{M}\ b) \land (\ ((\mathcal{M}\ a)) \lor (\neg(\mathcal{M}\ a) \land bs\ (\neg(\mathcal{M}\ a))))\ ))$
    using *MFixFst* **by** *fastforce*
  have *7*: ⊢ $(\neg(\mathcal{M}\ b) \land bs\ (\neg(\mathcal{M}\ b))) = bi(\neg(\mathcal{M}\ b))$
    using *AndBsEqvBi* **by** *blast*
  have *8*: ⊢ $(\neg(\mathcal{M}\ a) \land bs\ (\neg(\mathcal{M}\ a))) = bi(\neg(\mathcal{M}\ a))$
    using *AndBsEqvBi* **by** *blast*
  have *9*: ⊢ $(((\mathcal{M}\ a) \land (\ ((\mathcal{M}\ b)) \lor ((\neg(\mathcal{M}\ b)) \land bs(\neg(\mathcal{M}\ b))))\ ) \lor$
      $((\mathcal{M}\ b) \land (\ ((\mathcal{M}\ a)) \lor ((\neg(\mathcal{M}\ a)) \land bs(\neg(\mathcal{M}\ a))))\ )) =$
      $(((\mathcal{M}\ a) \land (\ ((\mathcal{M}\ b)) \lor (\ bi(\neg(\mathcal{M}\ b))))\ ) \lor$
      $((\mathcal{M}\ b) \land (\ ((\mathcal{M}\ a)) \lor (\ bi(\neg(\mathcal{M}\ a))))\ ))$
    using *7 8* **by** *fastforce*
  have *10*: ⊢ $(((\mathcal{M}\ a) \land (\ ((\mathcal{M}\ b)) \lor (\ bi(\neg(\mathcal{M}\ b))))\ ) \lor$
      $((\mathcal{M}\ b) \land (\ ((\mathcal{M}\ a)) \lor (\ bi(\neg(\mathcal{M}\ a))))\ )) =$
      $((((\mathcal{M}\ a) \land (\mathcal{M}\ b)) \lor (\ (\mathcal{M}\ a) \land bi(\neg(\mathcal{M}\ b)))) \lor$
      $((\ (\mathcal{M}\ b) \land (\mathcal{M}\ a)) \lor (\ (\mathcal{M}\ b) \land bi(\neg(\mathcal{M}\ a)))))$
    by *auto*
  have *11*: ⊢ $((((\mathcal{M}\ a) \land (\mathcal{M}\ b)) \lor (\ (\mathcal{M}\ a) \land bi(\neg(\mathcal{M}\ b)))) \lor$
      $((\ (\mathcal{M}\ b) \land (\mathcal{M}\ a)) \lor (\ (\mathcal{M}\ b) \land bi(\neg(\mathcal{M}\ a))))) =$
      $((\ (\mathcal{M}\ a) \land bi\ (\neg(\mathcal{M}\ b))) \lor ((\mathcal{M}\ b) \land bi\ (\neg(\mathcal{M}\ a))) \lor ((\mathcal{M}\ a) \land (\mathcal{M}\ b)))$
    by *auto*
 from *1 2 3 4 5 6 9 10 11* **show** *?thesis* **by** *(metis int-eq)*

qed

lemma *MThruAlt*:
⊢ $\mathcal{M}(a \ THRU \ b) = (((\mathcal{M} \ a) \land di(\mathcal{M} \ b)) \lor ((\mathcal{M} \ b) \land di(\mathcal{M} \ a)))$
proof −
  have *1*: ⊢ $\mathcal{M}(a \ THRU \ b) = \triangleright(di(\mathcal{M} \ a) \land di(\mathcal{M} \ b))$
    by (*simp*)
  have *2*: ⊢ $\triangleright(di(\mathcal{M} \ a) \land di(\mathcal{M} \ b)) = ((\triangleright(\mathcal{M} \ a) \land di(\mathcal{M} \ b)) \lor (\triangleright(\mathcal{M} \ b) \land di(\mathcal{M} \ a)))$
    using *FstDiAndDiEqv* **by** *auto*
  have *3*: ⊢ $((\triangleright(\mathcal{M} \ a) \land di(\mathcal{M} \ b)) \lor (\triangleright(\mathcal{M} \ b) \land di(\mathcal{M} \ a))) =$
      $(((\mathcal{M} \ a) \land di(\mathcal{M} \ b)) \lor ((\mathcal{M} \ b) \land di(\mathcal{M} \ a)))$
    using *MFixFst* **by** *fastforce*
 from *1 2 3* **show** *?thesis* **by** *fastforce*
qed

lemma *MHaltAlt*:
⊢ $\mathcal{M}(HALT \ w) = halt(init \ w)$
proof −
  have *1*: ⊢ $\mathcal{M}(HALT \ w) = \mathcal{M}(FIRST \ LIFT(fin \ (init \ w)))$ **by** (*simp add*: *HALT-d-def*)
  have *2*: ⊢ $\mathcal{M}(FIRST \ LIFT(fin \ (init \ w))) = \triangleright (fin \ (init \ w))$ **by** (*simp*)
  have *3*: ⊢ $\triangleright (fin \ (init \ w)) = halt(init \ w)$ **using** *HaltStateEqvFstFinState* **by** *fastforce*
  from *1 2 3* **show** *?thesis* **by** *fastforce*
qed

lemma *MFailUpto*:
$(FAIL \ UPTO \ a) \simeq (\ a)$
proof −
  have *1*: ⊢ $\mathcal{M}(FAIL \ UPTO \ a) = \triangleright(\ (\mathcal{M} \ FAIL) \lor (\mathcal{M} \ a))$ **by** (*simp*)
  have *2*: ⊢ $(\mathcal{M} \ FAIL \lor \mathcal{M} \ a) = (\#False \lor \mathcal{M} \ a)$ **using** *MFailAlt* **by** *auto*
  have *3*: ⊢ $\triangleright(\mathcal{M} \ FAIL \lor (\mathcal{M} \ a)) = \triangleright(\#False \lor (\mathcal{M} \ a))$ **using** *2 FstEqvRule* **by** *blast*
  have *4*: ⊢ $(\#False \lor (\mathcal{M} \ a)) = \mathcal{M} \ a$ **by** *simp*
  have *5*: ⊢ $\triangleright(\#False \lor (\mathcal{M} \ a)) = \triangleright(\mathcal{M} \ a)$ **using** *4 FstEqvRule* **by** *blast*
  have *6*: ⊢ $\triangleright(\mathcal{M} \ a) = \mathcal{M} \ a$ **using** *MFixFst* **by** *fastforce*
  from *1 2 3 4 5 6* **show** *?thesis* **using** *MonEq* **by** (*metis int-eq*)
qed

lemma *MFailThru*:
$(FAIL \ THRU \ (\ a)) \simeq \ FAIL$
proof −
  have *1*: ⊢ $\mathcal{M} \ (FAIL \ THRU \ (\ a)) = \triangleright(di(\mathcal{M} \ FAIL) \land di(\mathcal{M} \ a))$
    by (*simp*)
  have *2*: ⊢ $\triangleright(di \ (\mathcal{M} \ FAIL) \land di(\mathcal{M} \ a)) = \triangleright(di \ (\#False) \land di(\mathcal{M} \ a))$
    using *MFailAlt* **by** (*metis 1 int-eq*)
  have *3*: ⊢ $di \ \#False = \#False$
    by (*simp add*: *di-defs Valid-def*)
  hence *4*: ⊢ $\triangleright(di \ (\#False) \land di(\mathcal{M} \ a)) = \triangleright(\ (\#False) \land di(\mathcal{M} \ a))$
    by (*metis 2 inteq-reflection*)
  have *5*: ⊢ $\triangleright(\ (\#False) \land di(\mathcal{M} \ a)) = \triangleright\#False$
    using *FstEqvRule* **by** *fastforce*
  have *6*: ⊢ $\triangleright\#False = \#False$ **using** *FstFalse*

    by *auto*
  have *7*: ⊢ #*False* = *M FAIL*
    using *MFailAlt* **by** *auto*
 from *1 2 4 5 6 7* **show** *?thesis* **using** *MonEq* **by** (*metis int-eq*)
qed

lemma *MFailAnd*:
(*FAIL AND a*) ≃ *FAIL*
proof −
  have *1*: ⊢ *M* (*FAIL AND a*) = (*M FAIL* ∧ (*M a*)) **by** (*simp add*: *AND-d-def*)
  have *2*: ⊢ (*M FAIL* ∧ (*M a*)) = (#*False* ∧ (*M a*)) **using** *MFailAlt* **by** *fastforce*
  have *3*: ⊢ (#*False* ∧ (*M a*)) = #*False* **by** *auto*
  have *4*: ⊢ *M*(*FAIL AND a*) = #*False* **using** *1 2 3* **by** *fastforce*
  have *5*: ⊢ #*False* = *M FAIL* **using** *MFailAlt* **by** *auto*
  from *1 2 3 4 5* **show** *?thesis* **using** *MonEq* **by** (*metis int-eq*)
qed

lemma *MThenFail*:
(*a THEN FAIL*) ≃ *FAIL*
proof −
  have *1*: ⊢ *M* (*a THEN FAIL*) = (*M a*);(*M FAIL*) **by** (*simp*)
  have *2*: ⊢ (*M a*);(*M FAIL*) = (*M a*);#*False* **by** (*simp add*: *MFailAlt RightChopEqvChop*)
  have *3*: ⊢ (*M a*);#*False* = #*False* **by** (*simp add*: *chop-d-def Valid-def*)
  have *4*: ⊢ #*False* = *M FAIL* **using** *MFailAlt* **by** *auto*
 from *1 2 3 4* **show** *?thesis* **using** *MonEq* **by** (*metis int-eq*)
qed

lemma *MFailThen*:
(*FAIL THEN a*) ≃ *FAIL*
proof −
  have *1*: ⊢ *M*(*FAIL THEN a*) = (*M FAIL*);(*M a*) **by** (*simp*)
  have *2*: ⊢ (*M FAIL*);(*M a*) = #*False*;(*M a*) **using** *MFailAlt* **using** *LeftChopEqvChop* **by** *blast*
  have *3*: ⊢ #*False*;(*M a*) = #*False* **by** (*simp add*: *chop-d-def Valid-def*)
  have *4*: ⊢ #*False* = *M FAIL* **using** *MFailAlt* **by** *auto*
 from *1 2 3 4* **show** *?thesis* **using** *MonEq* **by** (*metis int-eq*)
qed

lemma *MFailWith*:
(*FAIL WITH f*) ≃ *FAIL*
proof −
  have *1*: ⊢ *M* (*FAIL WITH f*) = ((*M FAIL*) ∧ *f*) **by** (*simp*)
  have *2*: ⊢ ((*M FAIL*) ∧ *f*) = (#*False* ∧ *f*) **using** *MFailAlt* **by** *auto*
  have *3*: ⊢ (#*False* ∧ *f*) = #*False* **by** *simp*
  have *4*: ⊢ #*False* = *M FAIL* **using** *MFailAlt* **by** *auto*
 from *1 2 3 4* **show** *?thesis* **using** *MonEq* **by** (*metis int-eq*)
qed

lemma *MWithFalse*:
(*a WITH* (*LIFT*(#*False*))) ≃ *FAIL*
proof −

have *1*: ⊢ 𝓜 (*a WITH LIFT*(#*False*)) = ((𝓜 *a*) ∧ #*False*) **by** (*simp*)
have *2*: ⊢ ((𝓜 *a*) ∧ #*False*) = 𝓜 *FAIL* **using** *MFailAlt* **by** *auto*
from *1 2* **show** *?thesis* **using** *MonEq* **by** (*metis int-eq*)
qed

lemma *MWithTrue*:
 (*a WITH* (*LIFT*(#*True*))) ≃ *a*
proof −
 have *1*: ⊢ 𝓜 (*a WITH LIFT*(#*True*)) = ((𝓜 *a*) ∧ #*True*) **by** (*simp*)
 have *2*: ⊢ ((𝓜 *a*) ∧ #*True*) = 𝓜 *a* **by** *simp*
 from *1 2* **show** *?thesis* **using** *MonEq* **by** (*metis int-eq*)
qed

lemma *MEmptyUpto*:
 (*EMPTY UPTO a*) ≃ *EMPTY*
proof −
 have *1*: ⊢ 𝓜 (*EMPTY UPTO a*) = ▷(𝓜 *EMPTY* ∨ (𝓜 *a*)) **by** (*simp*)
 have *2*: ⊢ 𝓜 *EMPTY* = *empty* **using** *MEmptyAlt* **by** *auto*
 hence *3*: ⊢ (𝓜 *EMPTY* ∨ (𝓜 *a*)) = (*empty* ∨ (𝓜 *a*)) **by** *auto*
 hence *4*: ⊢ ▷(𝓜 *EMPTY* ∨ 𝓜 *a*) = ▷(*empty* ∨ 𝓜 *a*) **using** *FstEqvRule* **by** *blast*
 have *5*: ⊢ ▷(*empty* ∨ 𝓜 *a*) = *empty* **using** *FstEmptyOrEqvEmpty* **by** *blast*
 have *6*: ⊢ *empty* = 𝓜 *EMPTY* **using** *MEmptyAlt* **by** *auto*
 from *1 4 5 6* **show** *?thesis* **using** *MonEq* **by** (*metis int-eq*)
qed

lemma *MEmptyThru*:
 (*EMPTY THRU a*) ≃ (*a*)
proof −
 have *1*: ⊢ 𝓜(*EMPTY THRU a*) = ▷(*di*(𝓜 *EMPTY*) ∧ *di*(𝓜 *a*)) **by** (*simp*)
 have *2*: ⊢ *di*(𝓜 *EMPTY*) = *di empty* **using** *MEmptyAlt DiEqvDi* **by** *blast*
 hence *3*: ⊢ (*di*(𝓜 *EMPTY*) ∧ *di*(𝓜 *a*)) = (*di empty* ∧ *di*(𝓜 *a*)) **by** *auto*
 hence *4*: ⊢ (*di empty* ∧ *di*(𝓜 *a*)) = *di*(𝓜 *a*) **using** *DiEmpty* **by** *auto*
 have *5*: ⊢ (*di*(𝓜 *EMPTY*) ∧ *di*(𝓜 *a*)) = *di*(𝓜 *a*) **using** *3 4* **by** *fastforce*
 hence *6*: ⊢ ▷(*di*(𝓜 *EMPTY*) ∧ *di*(𝓜 *a*)) = ▷(*di*(𝓜 *a*)) **using** *FstEqvRule* **by** *blast*
 have *7*: ⊢ ▷(*di*(𝓜 *a*)) = ▷(𝓜 *a*) **using** *FstDiEqvFst* **by** *blast*
 have *8*: ⊢ ▷(𝓜 *a*) = (𝓜 *a*) **using** *MFixFst* **by** *fastforce*
 from *1 6 7 8* **show** *?thesis* **using** *MonEq* **by** (*metis int-eq*)
qed

lemma *MThenEmpty*:
 (*a THEN EMPTY*) ≃ (*a*)
proof −
 have *1*: ⊢ 𝓜(*a THEN EMPTY*) = (𝓜 *a*); (𝓜 *EMPTY*) **by** (*simp*)
 have *2*: ⊢ (𝓜 *a*); (𝓜 *EMPTY*) = (𝓜 *a*); *empty* **by** (*simp add*: *MEmptyAlt RightChopEqvChop*)
 have *3*: ⊢ (𝓜 *a*); *empty* = (𝓜 *a*) **using** *ChopEmpty* **by** *auto*
 from *1 2 3* **show** *?thesis* **using** *MonEq* **by** (*metis int-eq*)
qed

lemma *MEmptyThen*:
 (*EMPTY THEN a*) ≃ *a*

proof −
  have *1*: ⊢ $\mathcal{M}$(*EMPTY THEN a*) = ($\mathcal{M}$ *EMPTY*);($\mathcal{M}$ *a*) **by** (*simp*)
  have *2*: ⊢ ($\mathcal{M}$ *EMPTY*);($\mathcal{M}$ *a*) = *empty*;($\mathcal{M}$ *a*) **by** (*simp add*: *MEmptyAlt LeftChopEqvChop*)
  have *3*: ⊢ *empty*;($\mathcal{M}$ *a*) = ($\mathcal{M}$ *a*) **by** (*simp add*: *EmptyChop*)
 from *1 2 3* **show** *?thesis* **using** *MonEq* **by** (*metis int-eq*)
qed

lemma *MEmptyIterate*:
 (*EMPTY ITERATE b*) ≃ *EMPTY*
proof −
  have *1*: ⊢ $\mathcal{M}$(*EMPTY ITERATE b*) = $\mathcal{M}$(*EMPTY WITH LIFT*($\mathcal{M}$ *b*)*)
    by (*simp add*: *ITERATE-d-def*)
  have *2*: ⊢ $\mathcal{M}$ (*EMPTY WITH LIFT*($\mathcal{M}$ *b*)*) = ($\mathcal{M}$ *EMPTY* ∧ ($\mathcal{M}$ *b*)*)
    by (*simp*)
  have *3*: ⊢ ($\mathcal{M}$ *EMPTY* ∧ ($\mathcal{M}$ *b*)*) = (*empty* ∧ ($\mathcal{M}$ *b*)*)
    using *MEmptyAlt* **by** *auto*
  have *4*: ⊢ (*empty* ∧ ($\mathcal{M}$ *b*)*) = (*empty* ∧ (*empty* ∨ ((($\mathcal{M}$ *b*) ∧ *more*);($\mathcal{M}$ *b*)*)))
    using *ChopstarEqv* **by** *fastforce*
  have *5*: ⊢ (*empty* ∧ (*empty* ∨ ((($\mathcal{M}$ *b*) ∧ *more*);($\mathcal{M}$ *b*)*))) = *empty*
    by *auto*
  have *6*: ⊢ $\mathcal{M}$(*EMPTY ITERATE b*) = $\mathcal{M}$ *EMPTY*
    using *1 2 3 4 5 MEmptyAlt* **by** *fastforce*
 from *6* **show** *?thesis* **using** *MonEq* **by** (*metis int-eq*)
qed

lemma *MIterateIdemp*:
 (*a ITERATE a*) ≃ (*a*)
proof −
  have *1*: ⊢ $\mathcal{M}$(*a ITERATE a*) = $\mathcal{M}$ (*a WITH LIFT*($\mathcal{M}$ *a*)*) **by** (*simp add*: *ITERATE-d-def*)
  have *2*: ⊢ $\mathcal{M}$(*a WITH LIFT*($\mathcal{M}$ *a*)*) = (($\mathcal{M}$ *a*) ∧ ($\mathcal{M}$ *a*)*) **by** (*simp*)
  have *3*: ⊢ (($\mathcal{M}$ *a*) ∧ ($\mathcal{M}$ *a*)*) = (▷($\mathcal{M}$ *a*) ∧ (▷($\mathcal{M}$ *a*))*) **using** *MFixFst*
  by (*metis ImpCS inteq-reflection Prop10*)
  have *4*: ⊢ (▷($\mathcal{M}$ *a*) ∧ (▷($\mathcal{M}$ *a*))*) = ▷($\mathcal{M}$ *a*) **using** *FstAndFstStarEqvFst* **by** *fastforce*
  have *5*: ⊢ ▷($\mathcal{M}$ *a*) = $\mathcal{M}$ *a* **using** *MFixFst* **by** *fastforce*
  from *1 2 3 4 5* **show** *?thesis* **using** *MonEq* **by** (*metis int-eq*)
qed

lemma *MUptoIdemp*:
 (*a UPTO a*) ≃ (*a*)
proof −
  have *1*: ⊢ $\mathcal{M}$(*a UPTO a*) = ▷(($\mathcal{M}$ *a*) ∨ ($\mathcal{M}$ *a*)) **by** *auto*
  have *2*: ⊢ ▷(($\mathcal{M}$ *a*) ∨ ($\mathcal{M}$ *a*)) = ▷($\mathcal{M}$ *a*) **using** *FstEqvRule* **by** *fastforce*
  have *3*: ⊢ ▷($\mathcal{M}$ *a*) = ($\mathcal{M}$ *a*) **using** *MFixFst* **by** *fastforce*
 from *1 2 3* **show** *?thesis* **using** *MonEq* **by** (*metis int-eq*)
qed

lemma *MThruIdemp*:
 (*a THRU a*) ≃ (*a*)
proof −
  have *1*: ⊢ $\mathcal{M}$(*a THRU a*) = ▷(*di*($\mathcal{M}$ *a*) ∧ *di*($\mathcal{M}$ *a*)) **by** *auto*

have 2: ⊢ ▷($di(\mathcal{M}\ a) \wedge di(\mathcal{M}\ a)$)) = ▷($di\ (\mathcal{M}\ a)$) **using** *FstEqvRule* **by** *fastforce*
have 3: ⊢ ▷($di\ (\mathcal{M}\ a)$) = ▷($\mathcal{M}\ a$) **using** *FstDiEqvFst* **by** *blast*
have 4: ⊢ ▷($\mathcal{M}\ a$) = ($\mathcal{M}\ a$) **using** *MFixFst* **by** *fastforce*
from *1 2 3 4* **show** *?thesis* **using** *MonEq* **by** (*metis int-eq*)
qed

lemma *MAndIdemp*:
(a *AND* a) ≃ (a)
proof −
have 1: ⊢ $\mathcal{M}(a$ *AND* $a) = ((\mathcal{M}\ a) \wedge (\mathcal{M}\ a))$ **by** (*simp add: AND-d-def*)
have 2: ⊢ $((\mathcal{M}\ a) \wedge (\mathcal{M}\ a)) = (\mathcal{M}\ a)$ **by** *fastforce*
from *1 2* **show** *?thesis* **using** *MonEq* **by** (*metis int-eq*)
qed

lemma *MWithIdemp*:
((a *WITH* f) *WITH* f) ≃ (a *WITH* f)
proof −
have 1: ⊢ $\mathcal{M}($ (a *WITH* f) *WITH* f) = $(((\mathcal{M}\ a) \wedge (\ f)) \wedge (\ f))$ **by** *auto*
have 2: ⊢ $(((\mathcal{M}\ a) \wedge (\ f)) \wedge (\ f)) = ((\mathcal{M}\ a) \wedge (\ f))$ **by** *fastforce*
have 3: ⊢ $((\mathcal{M}\ a) \wedge (\ f)) = \mathcal{M}(a$ *WITH* f) **by** *auto*
from *1 2 3* **show** *?thesis* **using** *MonEq* **by** (*metis int-eq*)
qed

lemma *MUptoCommut*:
(a *UPTO* b) ≃ (b *UPTO* a)
proof −
have 1: ⊢ $\mathcal{M}(a$ *UPTO* $b) = ▷((\mathcal{M}\ a) \vee (\mathcal{M}\ b))$ **by** (*simp*)
have 2: ⊢ $((\mathcal{M}\ a) \vee (\mathcal{M}\ b)) = ((\mathcal{M}\ b) \vee (\mathcal{M}\ a))$ **by** *auto*
hence 3: ⊢ $▷((\mathcal{M}\ a) \vee (\mathcal{M}\ b)) = ▷((\mathcal{M}\ b) \vee (\mathcal{M}\ a))$ **using** *FstEqvRule* **by** *blast*
have 4: ⊢ $▷((\mathcal{M}\ b) \vee (\mathcal{M}\ a)) = \mathcal{M}(b$ *UPTO* a) **by** *auto*
from *1 3 4* **show** *?thesis* **using** *MonEq* **by** (*metis int-eq*)
qed

lemma *MThruCommut*:
(a *THRU* b) ≃ (b *THRU* a)
proof −
have 1: ⊢ $\mathcal{M}(a$ *THRU* $b) = ▷(di(\mathcal{M}\ a) \wedge di(\mathcal{M}\ b))$ **by** (*simp*)
have 2: ⊢ $(di(\mathcal{M}\ a) \wedge di(\mathcal{M}\ b)) = (di(\mathcal{M}\ b) \wedge di(\mathcal{M}\ a))$ **by** *auto*
hence 3: ⊢ $▷(di(\mathcal{M}\ a) \wedge di(\mathcal{M}\ b)) = ▷(di(\mathcal{M}\ b) \wedge di(\mathcal{M}\ a))$ **using** *FstEqvRule* **by** *blast*
have 4: ⊢ $▷(di(\mathcal{M}\ b) \wedge di(\mathcal{M}\ a)) = \mathcal{M}(b$ *THRU* a) **by** *auto*
from *1 3 4* **show** *?thesis* **using** *MonEq* **by** (*metis int-eq*)
qed

lemma *MAndCommut*:
(a *AND* b) ≃ (b *AND* a)
proof −
have 1: ⊢ $\mathcal{M}(a$ *AND* $b) = ((\mathcal{M}\ a) \wedge (\mathcal{M}\ b))$ **by** (*simp add: AND-d-def*)
have 2: ⊢ $((\mathcal{M}\ a) \wedge (\mathcal{M}\ b)) = ((\mathcal{M}\ b) \wedge (\mathcal{M}\ a))$ **by** *auto*
have 3: ⊢ $((\mathcal{M}\ b) \wedge (\mathcal{M}\ a)) = \mathcal{M}(b$ *AND* a) **by** (*simp add: AND-d-def*)
from *1 2 3* **show** *?thesis* **using** *MonEq* **by** (*metis int-eq*)

qed

lemma *MWithCommut*:
$((a\ WITH\ f)\ WITH\ g) \simeq ((a\ WITH\ g)\ WITH\ f)$
proof $-$
  have *1*: $\vdash \mathcal{M}((a\ WITH\ f)\ WITH\ g) = (((\mathcal{M}\ a) \wedge (f)) \wedge (g))$ **by** *auto*
  have *2*: $\vdash (((\mathcal{M}\ a) \wedge (f)) \wedge (g)) = (((\mathcal{M}\ a) \wedge (g)) \wedge (f))$ **by** *auto*
  have *3*: $\vdash (((\mathcal{M}\ a) \wedge (g)) \wedge (f)) = \mathcal{M}((a\ WITH\ g)\ WITH\ f)$ **by** *auto*
  from *1 2 3* **show** *?thesis* **using** *MonEq* **by** (*metis int-eq*)
qed

lemma *MWithAbsorp*:
$((a\ WITH\ f)\ WITH\ g) \simeq (a\ WITH\ LIFT(f \wedge g))$
proof $-$
  have *1*: $\vdash \mathcal{M}((a\ WITH\ f)\ WITH\ g) = (((\mathcal{M}\ a) \wedge (f)) \wedge (g))$ **by** *auto*
  have *2*: $\vdash (((\mathcal{M}\ a) \wedge (f)) \wedge (g)) = ((\mathcal{M}\ a) \wedge (f \wedge g))$ **by** *auto*
  from *1 2* **show** *?thesis* **by** (*simp add*: *MonEq*)
qed

lemma *MUptoAssoc*:
$((a\ UPTO\ b)\ UPTO\ c) \simeq (a\ UPTO\ (b\ UPTO\ c))$
proof $-$
  have *1*: $\vdash \mathcal{M}((a\ UPTO\ b)\ UPTO\ c) = \rhd(\mathcal{M}(a\ UPTO\ b) \vee (\mathcal{M}\ c))$
    by (*simp*)
  have *2*: $\vdash \rhd(\mathcal{M}(a\ UPTO\ b) \vee (\mathcal{M}\ c)) = \rhd(\rhd((\mathcal{M}\ a) \vee (\mathcal{M}\ b)) \vee (\mathcal{M}\ c))$
    by *auto*
  have *3*: $\vdash \rhd(\rhd((\mathcal{M}\ a) \vee (\mathcal{M}\ b)) \vee (\mathcal{M}\ c)) = \rhd(((\mathcal{M}\ a) \vee (\mathcal{M}\ b)) \vee (\mathcal{M}\ c))$
    using *FstFstOrEqvFstOrL* **by** *blast*
  have *4*: $\vdash (((\mathcal{M}\ a) \vee (\mathcal{M}\ b)) \vee (\mathcal{M}\ c)) = ((\mathcal{M}\ a) \vee ((\mathcal{M}\ b) \vee (\mathcal{M}\ c)))$
    by *auto*
  hence *5*: $\vdash \rhd(((\mathcal{M}\ a) \vee (\mathcal{M}\ b)) \vee (\mathcal{M}\ c)) = \rhd((\mathcal{M}\ a) \vee ((\mathcal{M}\ b) \vee (\mathcal{M}\ c)))$
    using *FstEqvRule* **by** *blast*
  have *6*: $\vdash \rhd((\mathcal{M}\ a) \vee ((\mathcal{M}\ b) \vee (\mathcal{M}\ c))) = \rhd((\mathcal{M}\ a) \vee \rhd((\mathcal{M}\ b) \vee (\mathcal{M}\ c)))$
    using *FstFstOrEqvFstOrR* **by** *fastforce*
  have *7*: $\vdash \rhd((\mathcal{M}\ a) \vee \rhd((\mathcal{M}\ b) \vee (\mathcal{M}\ c))) = \rhd((\mathcal{M}\ a) \vee \mathcal{M}(b\ UPTO\ c))$
    by *auto*
  have *8*: $\vdash \rhd((\mathcal{M}\ a) \vee \mathcal{M}(b\ UPTO\ c)) = \mathcal{M}(a\ UPTO\ (b\ UPTO\ c))$
    by *auto*
  from *1 2 3 5 6 7 8* **show** *?thesis* **using** *MonEq* **by** (*metis int-eq*)
qed

lemma *MThruAssoc*:
$((a\ THRU\ b)\ THRU\ c) \simeq (a\ THRU\ (b\ THRU\ c))$
proof $-$
  have *1*: $\vdash \mathcal{M}((a\ THRU\ b)\ THRU\ c) = \rhd(di(\rhd(di(\mathcal{M}\ a) \wedge di(\mathcal{M}\ b))) \wedge di(\mathcal{M}\ c))$
    by *auto*
  have *2*: $\vdash di(\rhd(di(\mathcal{M}\ a) \wedge di(\mathcal{M}\ b))) = di((di(\mathcal{M}\ a) \wedge di(\mathcal{M}\ b)))$
    using *DiEqvDiFst* **by** *fastforce*
  have *3*: $\vdash di((di(\mathcal{M}\ a) \wedge di(\mathcal{M}\ b))) = (di(\mathcal{M}\ a) \wedge di(\mathcal{M}\ b))$
    using *DiDiAndEqvDi* **by** *blast*

have 4: ⊢ $di(\rhd(di(\mathcal{M}\ a) \wedge di(\mathcal{M}\ b))) = (di(\mathcal{M}\ a) \wedge di(\mathcal{M}\ b))$
    using 2 3 **by** *fastforce*
hence 5: ⊢ $(di(\rhd(di(\mathcal{M}\ a) \wedge di(\mathcal{M}\ b))) \wedge di(\mathcal{M}\ c)) = (di(\mathcal{M}\ a) \wedge di(\mathcal{M}\ b) \wedge di(\mathcal{M}\ c))$
    by *auto*
have 6: ⊢ $(di(\mathcal{M}\ b) \wedge di(\mathcal{M}\ c)) = di\ (di(\mathcal{M}\ b) \wedge di(\mathcal{M}\ c))$
    using *DiDiAndEqvDi* **by** *fastforce*
have 7: ⊢ $di\ (di(\mathcal{M}\ b) \wedge di(\mathcal{M}\ c)) = di\ (\rhd(di(\mathcal{M}\ b) \wedge di(\mathcal{M}\ c)))$
    using *DiEqvDiFst* **by** *blast*
have 8: ⊢ $(di(\mathcal{M}\ b) \wedge di(\mathcal{M}\ c)) = di\ (\rhd(di(\mathcal{M}\ b) \wedge di(\mathcal{M}\ c)))$
    using 6 7 **by** *fastforce*
hence 9: ⊢ $(di(\mathcal{M}\ a) \wedge di(\mathcal{M}\ b) \wedge di(\mathcal{M}\ c)) = (di(\mathcal{M}\ a) \wedge di\ (\rhd(di(\mathcal{M}\ b) \wedge di(\mathcal{M}\ c))))$
    by *auto*
have 10: ⊢ $(di(\rhd(di(\mathcal{M}\ a) \wedge di(\mathcal{M}\ b))) \wedge di(\mathcal{M}\ c)) =$
       $(di(\mathcal{M}\ a) \wedge di\ (\rhd(di(\mathcal{M}\ b) \wedge di(\mathcal{M}\ c))))$
    using 5 9 **by** *fastforce*
hence 11: ⊢ $\rhd(di(\rhd(di(\mathcal{M}\ a) \wedge di(\mathcal{M}\ b))) \wedge di(\mathcal{M}\ c)) =$
       $\rhd(di(\mathcal{M}\ a) \wedge di\ (\rhd(di(\mathcal{M}\ b) \wedge di(\mathcal{M}\ c))))$
    using *FstEqvRule* **by** *fastforce*
have 12: ⊢ $\rhd(di(\mathcal{M}\ a) \wedge di\ (\rhd(di(\mathcal{M}\ b) \wedge di(\mathcal{M}\ c)))) = \mathcal{M}(a\ THRU\ (b\ THRU\ c))$
    by *auto*
 from 1 11 12 **show** *?thesis* **using** *MonEq* **by** (*metis int-eq*)
qed

lemma *MAndAssoc*:
 $((a\ AND\ b)\ AND\ c) \simeq (a\ AND\ (b\ AND\ c))$
proof −
  have 1: ⊢ $\mathcal{M}((a\ AND\ b)\ AND\ c) = ((\mathcal{M}\ a) \wedge (\mathcal{M}\ b) \wedge (\mathcal{M}\ c))$
    using *AND-d-def* **by** (*metis MON.simps(5) MWithAbsorp eq-d-def*)
  have 2: ⊢ $((\mathcal{M}\ a) \wedge (\mathcal{M}\ b) \wedge (\mathcal{M}\ c)) = \mathcal{M}\ (a\ AND\ (b\ AND\ c))$
    using *AND-d-def* **by** (*simp add: AND-d-def*)
 from 1 2 **show** *?thesis* **using** *MonEq* **by** (*metis int-eq*)
qed

lemma *MThenAssoc*:
 $((a\ THEN\ b)\ THEN\ c) \simeq (a\ THEN\ (b\ THEN\ c))$
proof −
  have 1: ⊢ $\mathcal{M}((a\ THEN\ b)\ THEN\ c) = ((\mathcal{M}\ a);(\mathcal{M}\ b));(\mathcal{M}\ c)$ **by** *auto*
  have 2: ⊢ $((\mathcal{M}\ a);(\mathcal{M}\ b));(\mathcal{M}\ c) = (\mathcal{M}\ a);((\mathcal{M}\ b);(\mathcal{M}\ c))$ **using** *ChopAssocB* **by** *blast*
  have 3: ⊢ $(\mathcal{M}\ a);((\mathcal{M}\ b);(\mathcal{M}\ c)) = \mathcal{M}(a\ THEN\ (b\ THEN\ c))$ **by** *auto*
 from 1 2 3 **show** *?thesis* **using** *MonEq* **by** (*metis int-eq*)
qed

lemma *MUptoThruAbsorp*:
 $(a\ UPTO\ (a\ THRU\ b)) \simeq a$
proof −
  have 1: ⊢ $\mathcal{M}(a\ UPTO\ (a\ THRU\ b)) = \rhd((\mathcal{M}\ a) \vee \rhd(di(\mathcal{M}\ a) \wedge di(\mathcal{M}\ b)))$
    by *simp*
  have 2: ⊢ $\rhd((\mathcal{M}\ a) \vee \rhd(di(\mathcal{M}\ a) \wedge di(\mathcal{M}\ b))) =$
      $\rhd((\mathcal{M}\ a) \vee (di(\mathcal{M}\ a) \wedge di(\mathcal{M}\ b)))$
    using *FstFstOrEqvFstOrR* **by** *auto*

have 3: $\vdash$ $((\mathcal{M}\ a) \vee (di(\mathcal{M}\ a) \wedge di(\mathcal{M}\ b)\ )) =$
$(((\mathcal{M}\ a) \vee di(\mathcal{M}\ a)) \wedge ((\mathcal{M}\ a) \vee di(\mathcal{M}\ b)))$
 by *auto*

have 4: $\vdash (((\mathcal{M}\ a) \vee di(\mathcal{M}\ a)) \wedge ((\mathcal{M}\ a) \vee di(\mathcal{M}\ b))) =$
$((di(\mathcal{M}\ a)) \wedge ((\mathcal{M}\ a) \vee di(\mathcal{M}\ b)))$
 using *OrDiEqvDi* **by** *fastforce*

have 5: $\vdash ((\mathcal{M}\ a) \vee (di(\mathcal{M}\ a) \wedge di(\mathcal{M}\ b)\ )) =$
$((di(\mathcal{M}\ a)) \wedge ((\mathcal{M}\ a) \vee di(\mathcal{M}\ b)))$
 using *3 4* **by** *auto*

hence 6: $\vdash \rhd ((\mathcal{M}\ a) \vee (di(\mathcal{M}\ a) \wedge di(\mathcal{M}\ b)\ )) =$
$\rhd ((di(\mathcal{M}\ a)) \wedge ((\mathcal{M}\ a) \vee di(\mathcal{M}\ b)))$
 using *FstEqvRule* **by** *blast*

have 7: $\vdash \rhd ((di(\mathcal{M}\ a)) \wedge ((\mathcal{M}\ a) \vee di(\mathcal{M}\ b))) =$
$((di(\mathcal{M}\ a)) \wedge ((\mathcal{M}\ a) \vee di(\mathcal{M}\ b)) \wedge$
$bs\ (\neg(\ (di(\mathcal{M}\ a)) \wedge ((\mathcal{M}\ a) \vee di(\mathcal{M}\ b)))))$
 by (*auto simp add*: *first-d-def*)

have 8: $\vdash ((di(\mathcal{M}\ a)) \wedge ((\mathcal{M}\ a) \vee di(\mathcal{M}\ b))) =$
$((di(\mathcal{M}\ a) \wedge (\mathcal{M}\ a)) \vee (di(\mathcal{M}\ a) \wedge di(\mathcal{M}\ b)))$
 by *auto*

hence 9: $\vdash (\neg((di(\mathcal{M}\ a)) \wedge ((\mathcal{M}\ a) \vee di(\mathcal{M}\ b)))) =$
$(\neg((di(\mathcal{M}\ a) \wedge (\mathcal{M}\ a)) \vee (di(\mathcal{M}\ a) \wedge di(\mathcal{M}\ b))))$
 by *fastforce*

have 10: $\vdash (\neg((di(\mathcal{M}\ a) \wedge (\mathcal{M}\ a)) \vee (di(\mathcal{M}\ a) \wedge di(\mathcal{M}\ b)))) =$
$(\neg(((\mathcal{M}\ a)) \vee (di(\mathcal{M}\ a) \wedge di(\mathcal{M}\ b))))$
 using *AndDiEqv* **using** *5* **by** *auto*

have 11: $\vdash (\neg(((\mathcal{M}\ a)) \vee (di(\mathcal{M}\ a) \wedge di(\mathcal{M}\ b)))) =$
$(\neg(\mathcal{M}\ a) \wedge \neg(di(\mathcal{M}\ a) \wedge di(\mathcal{M}\ b)))$
 by *auto*

have 12: $\vdash (\neg((di(\mathcal{M}\ a)) \wedge ((\mathcal{M}\ a) \vee di(\mathcal{M}\ b)))) =$
$(\neg(\mathcal{M}\ a) \wedge \neg(di(\mathcal{M}\ a) \wedge di(\mathcal{M}\ b)))$
 using *9 10 11* **by** *auto*

hence 13: $\vdash bs\ (\neg((di(\mathcal{M}\ a)) \wedge ((\mathcal{M}\ a) \vee di(\mathcal{M}\ b)))) =$
$bs\ (\neg(\mathcal{M}\ a) \wedge \neg(di(\mathcal{M}\ a) \wedge di(\mathcal{M}\ b)))$
 using *BsEqvRule* **by** *blast*

have 14: $\vdash bs\ ((\neg(\mathcal{M}\ a)) \wedge \neg(di(\mathcal{M}\ a) \wedge di(\mathcal{M}\ b))) =$
$(bs\ ((\neg(\mathcal{M}\ a))) \wedge bs(\neg(di(\mathcal{M}\ a) \wedge di(\mathcal{M}\ b))))$
 using *BsAndEqv* **by** *fastforce*

have 141: $\vdash bs\ (\neg((di(\mathcal{M}\ a)) \wedge ((\mathcal{M}\ a) \vee di(\mathcal{M}\ b)))) =$
$(bs\ ((\neg(\mathcal{M}\ a))) \wedge bs(\neg(di(\mathcal{M}\ a) \wedge di(\mathcal{M}\ b))))$
 using *13 14* **by** *fastforce*

hence 15: $\vdash ((di(\mathcal{M}\ a)) \wedge ((\mathcal{M}\ a) \vee di(\mathcal{M}\ b)) \wedge$
$bs\ (\neg((di(\mathcal{M}\ a)) \wedge ((\mathcal{M}\ a) \vee di(\mathcal{M}\ b)))))) =$
$((di(\mathcal{M}\ a)) \wedge ((\mathcal{M}\ a) \vee di(\mathcal{M}\ b)) \wedge$
$bs\ ((\neg(\mathcal{M}\ a))) \wedge bs(\neg(di(\mathcal{M}\ a) \wedge di(\mathcal{M}\ b))))$
 by *auto*

have 16: $\vdash ((di(\mathcal{M}\ a)) \wedge ((\mathcal{M}\ a) \vee di(\mathcal{M}\ b)) \wedge$
$bs\ ((\neg(\mathcal{M}\ a))) \wedge bs(\neg(di(\mathcal{M}\ a) \wedge di(\mathcal{M}\ b)))) =$
$((bs\ ((\neg(\mathcal{M}\ a))) \wedge di(\mathcal{M}\ a)) \wedge ((\mathcal{M}\ a) \vee di(\mathcal{M}\ b)) \wedge$
$bs(\neg(di(\mathcal{M}\ a) \wedge di(\mathcal{M}\ b))))$
 by *auto*

have 17: $\vdash ((bs\ ((\neg(\mathcal{M}\ a))) \land di(\mathcal{M}\ a)) \land ((\mathcal{M}\ a) \lor di(\mathcal{M}\ b)) \land$
$bs(\neg(di(\mathcal{M}\ a) \land di(\mathcal{M}\ b)))) =$
$((\triangleright(\mathcal{M}\ a)) \land ((\mathcal{M}\ a) \lor di(\mathcal{M}\ b)) \land$
$bs(\neg(di(\mathcal{M}\ a) \land di(\mathcal{M}\ b))))$
  using *FstEqvBsNotAndDi* **by** *fastforce*

have 18: $\vdash ((\triangleright(\mathcal{M}\ a)) \land ((\mathcal{M}\ a) \lor di(\mathcal{M}\ b)) \land$
$bs(\neg(di(\mathcal{M}\ a) \land di(\mathcal{M}\ b)))) =$
$(((\mathcal{M}\ a)) \land ((\mathcal{M}\ a) \lor di(\mathcal{M}\ b)) \land$
$bs(\neg(di(\mathcal{M}\ a) \land di(\mathcal{M}\ b))))$
  using *MFixFst* **by** *fastforce*

have 19: $\vdash (((\mathcal{M}\ a)) \land ((\mathcal{M}\ a) \lor di(\mathcal{M}\ b)) \land$
$bs(\neg(di(\mathcal{M}\ a) \land di(\mathcal{M}\ b)))) =$
$(((\mathcal{M}\ a)) \land\ bs(\neg(di(\mathcal{M}\ a) \land di(\mathcal{M}\ b))))$
  by *auto*

have 20: $\vdash\ \ (\neg(di(\mathcal{M}\ a) \land di(\mathcal{M}\ b))) = (\neg(di(\mathcal{M}\ a)) \lor \neg(di(\mathcal{M}\ b))\ )$
  by *auto*

have 21: $\vdash (\neg(di(\mathcal{M}\ a)) \lor \neg(di(\mathcal{M}\ b))\ ) = ((bi\ (\neg(\mathcal{M}\ a))) \lor (bi\ (\neg(\mathcal{M}\ b)))\ )$
  by (*simp add*: *bi-d-def*)

have 22: $\vdash (\neg(di(\mathcal{M}\ a) \land di(\mathcal{M}\ b))) = ((bi\ (\neg(\mathcal{M}\ a))) \lor (bi\ (\neg(\mathcal{M}\ b))))$
  using *20 21* **by** *auto*

hence 23: $\vdash bs\ (\neg(di(\mathcal{M}\ a) \land di(\mathcal{M}\ b))) = bs\ ((bi\ (\neg(\mathcal{M}\ a))) \lor (bi(\neg(\mathcal{M}\ b))))$
  using *BsEqvRule* **by** *blast*

have 24: $\vdash bs\ ((bi\ (\neg(\mathcal{M}\ a))) \lor (bi\ (\neg(\mathcal{M}\ b)))) = bs\ (\neg(\mathcal{M}\ a)) \lor bs\ (\neg(\mathcal{M}\ b))$
  using *BsOrBsEqvBsBiOrBi* **by** *fastforce*

have 25: $\vdash bs\ (\neg(di(\mathcal{M}\ a) \land di(\mathcal{M}\ b))) = (bs\ (\neg(\mathcal{M}\ a)) \lor bs\ (\neg(\mathcal{M}\ b)))$
  using *23 24* **using** *BsOrBsEqvBsBiOrBi* **by** *fastforce*

hence 26: $\vdash ((\mathcal{M}\ a) \land bs\ (\neg(di(\mathcal{M}\ a) \land di(\mathcal{M}\ b)))) =$
$((\mathcal{M}\ a) \land (bs\ (\neg(\mathcal{M}\ a)) \lor bs\ (\neg(\mathcal{M}\ b))))$
  by *auto*

have 27: $\vdash ((\mathcal{M}\ a) \land (bs\ (\neg(\mathcal{M}\ a)) \lor bs\ (\neg(\mathcal{M}\ b)))) =$
$(\triangleright(\mathcal{M}\ a) \land (bs\ (\neg(\mathcal{M}\ a)) \lor bs\ (\neg(\mathcal{M}\ b))))$
  using *MFixFst* **by** *fastforce*

have 28: $\vdash (\triangleright(\mathcal{M}\ a) \land (bs\ (\neg(\mathcal{M}\ a)) \lor bs\ (\neg(\mathcal{M}\ b)))) =$
$((\mathcal{M}\ a) \land bs\ (\neg(\mathcal{M}\ a)) \land (bs\ (\neg(\mathcal{M}\ a)) \lor bs\ (\neg(\mathcal{M}\ b))))$
  by (*auto simp add*: *first-d-def*)

have 29: $\vdash ((\mathcal{M}\ a) \land bs\ (\neg(\mathcal{M}\ a)) \land (bs\ (\neg(\mathcal{M}\ a)) \lor bs\ (\neg(\mathcal{M}\ b)))) =$
$((\mathcal{M}\ a) \land bs\ (\neg(\mathcal{M}\ a)))$
  by *auto*

have 30: $\vdash ((\mathcal{M}\ a) \land bs\ (\neg(\mathcal{M}\ a))) = \triangleright(\mathcal{M}\ a)$
  by (*simp add*: *first-d-def*)

have 31: $\vdash \triangleright(\mathcal{M}\ a) = (\mathcal{M}\ a)$
  using *MFixFst* **by** *fastforce*

have 32: $\vdash \mathcal{M}(a\ UPTO\ (a\ THRU\ b)) =$
$((di(\mathcal{M}\ a)) \land ((\mathcal{M}\ a) \lor di(\mathcal{M}\ b)) \land$
$bs\ (\neg(\ (di(\mathcal{M}\ a)) \land ((\mathcal{M}\ a) \lor di(\mathcal{M}\ b)))))$
  using *1 2 6 7* **by** *fastforce*

have 33: $\vdash ((di(\mathcal{M}\ a)) \land ((\mathcal{M}\ a) \lor di(\mathcal{M}\ b)) \land$
$bs\ (\neg(\ (di(\mathcal{M}\ a)) \land ((\mathcal{M}\ a) \lor di(\mathcal{M}\ b))))) =$
$(((\mathcal{M}\ a)) \land\ bs(\neg(di(\mathcal{M}\ a) \land di(\mathcal{M}\ b))))$
  using *15 16 17 18 19* **by** (*metis int-eq*)

have 34: $\vdash (((\mathcal{M}\ a)) \wedge\ bs(\neg(di(\mathcal{M}\ a) \wedge di(\mathcal{M}\ b)))) = (\mathcal{M}\ a)$
  using $26\ 27\ 28\ 29\ 30\ 31$ **by** ($metis\ int\text{-}eq$)
 from $32\ 33\ 34$ **show** $?thesis$ **using** $MonEq$ **by** ($metis\ int\text{-}eq$)
qed

lemma $MThruUptoAbsorp$:
$(a\ THRU\ (a\ UPTO\ b)) \simeq (a)$
proof $-$
 have 1: $\vdash \mathcal{M}(a\ THRU\ (a\ UPTO\ b)) = \rhd(di(\mathcal{M}\ a) \wedge di(\rhd((\mathcal{M}\ a) \vee (\mathcal{M}\ b))))$
  by $simp$
 have 2: $\vdash \rhd(di(\mathcal{M}\ a) \wedge di(\rhd((\mathcal{M}\ a) \vee (\mathcal{M}\ b)))) =$
   $\rhd(di(\mathcal{M}\ a) \wedge di(((\mathcal{M}\ a) \vee (\mathcal{M}\ b))))$
  by ($metis\ DiEqvDiFst\ FstEqvRule\ inteq\text{-}reflection\ lift\text{-}and\text{-}com$)
 have 3: $\vdash \rhd(di(\mathcal{M}\ a) \wedge di(((\mathcal{M}\ a) \vee (\mathcal{M}\ b)))) =$
   $\rhd(di(\mathcal{M}\ a) \wedge (di(\mathcal{M}\ a) \vee di(\mathcal{M}\ b)))$
  by ($metis\ DiOrEqv\ FstEqvRule\ inteq\text{-}reflection\ lift\text{-}and\text{-}com$)
 have 4: $\vdash (di(\mathcal{M}\ a) \wedge (di(\mathcal{M}\ a) \vee di(\mathcal{M}\ b))) = (di(\mathcal{M}\ a))$
  by $auto$
 hence 5: $\vdash \rhd(di(\mathcal{M}\ a) \wedge (di(\mathcal{M}\ a) \vee di(\mathcal{M}\ b))) = \rhd(di(\mathcal{M}\ a))$
  using $FstEqvRule$ **by** $blast$
 have 6: $\vdash \rhd(di(\mathcal{M}\ a)) = \rhd(\mathcal{M}\ a)$
  using $FstDiEqvFst$ **by** $blast$
 have 7: $\vdash \rhd(\mathcal{M}\ a) = (\mathcal{M}\ a)$
  using $MFixFst$ **by** $fastforce$
 from $1\ 2\ 3\ 5\ 6\ 7$ **show** $?thesis$ **using** $MonEq$ **by** ($metis\ int\text{-}eq$)
qed

lemma $MUptoThruDistrib$:
$(a\ UPTO\ (b\ THRU\ c)) \simeq ((a\ UPTO\ b)\ THRU\ (a\ UPTO\ c))$
proof $-$
 have 1: $\vdash \mathcal{M}((a\ UPTO\ b)\ THRU\ (a\ UPTO\ c)) =$
   $\rhd(\ di(\rhd((\mathcal{M}\ a) \vee (\mathcal{M}\ b))) \wedge di(\rhd((\mathcal{M}\ a) \vee (\mathcal{M}\ c)))\ )$
  by $simp$
 have 2: $\vdash (\ di(\rhd((\mathcal{M}\ a) \vee (\mathcal{M}\ b))) \wedge di(\rhd((\mathcal{M}\ a) \vee (\mathcal{M}\ c)))\ ) =$
   $(\ di(((\mathcal{M}\ a) \vee (\mathcal{M}\ b))) \wedge di(((\mathcal{M}\ a) \vee (\mathcal{M}\ c)))\ )$
  using $DiEqvDiFst$ **by** $fastforce$
 have 3: $\vdash (\ di(((\mathcal{M}\ a) \vee (\mathcal{M}\ b))) \wedge di(((\mathcal{M}\ a) \vee (\mathcal{M}\ c)))\ ) =$
   $((\ di(\mathcal{M}\ a) \vee di(\mathcal{M}\ b)) \wedge (di(\mathcal{M}\ a) \vee di(\mathcal{M}\ c)))$
  using $DiOrEqv$ **by** $fastforce$
 have 4: $\vdash ((\ di(\mathcal{M}\ a) \vee di(\mathcal{M}\ b)) \wedge (di(\mathcal{M}\ a) \vee di(\mathcal{M}\ c))) =$
   $(di(\mathcal{M}\ a) \vee (di(\mathcal{M}\ b) \wedge di(\mathcal{M}\ c)))$
  by $auto$
 have 5: $\vdash (\ di(\rhd((\mathcal{M}\ a) \vee (\mathcal{M}\ b))) \wedge di(\rhd((\mathcal{M}\ a) \vee (\mathcal{M}\ c)))\ ) =$
   $(di(\mathcal{M}\ a) \vee (di(\mathcal{M}\ b) \wedge di(\mathcal{M}\ c)))$
  using $2\ 3\ 4$ **by** $fastforce$
 hence 6: $\vdash \rhd(\ di(\rhd((\mathcal{M}\ a) \vee (\mathcal{M}\ b))) \wedge di(\rhd((\mathcal{M}\ a) \vee (\mathcal{M}\ c)))\ ) =$
   $\rhd(\ di(\mathcal{M}\ a) \vee (di(\mathcal{M}\ b) \wedge di(\mathcal{M}\ c))\ )$
  using $FstEqvRule$ **by** $blast$
 have 7: $\vdash \rhd(\ di(\mathcal{M}\ a) \vee (di(\mathcal{M}\ b) \wedge di(\mathcal{M}\ c))\ ) =$
   $\rhd(\ \rhd(di(\mathcal{M}\ a)) \vee \rhd(di(\mathcal{M}\ b) \wedge di(\mathcal{M}\ c))\ )$

    using *FstFstOrEqvFstOr* **by** *fastforce*
  have *8*: ⊢ ▷(*di*(*M a*)) = ▷((*M a*))
    using *FstDiEqvFst* **by** *blast*
  have *9*: ⊢ ▷((*M a*)) = (*M a*)
    using *MFixFst* **by** *fastforce*
  have *10*: ⊢ ▷(*di*(*M a*)) = (*M a*)
    using *8 9* **by** *fastforce*
  hence *11*: ⊢ (▷(*di*(*M a*)) ∨ ▷(*di*(*M b*) ∧ *di*(*M c*))) =
       ((*M a*) ∨ ▷(*di*(*M b*) ∧ *di*(*M c*)))
    by *auto*
  hence *12*: ⊢ ▷(▷(*di*(*M a*)) ∨ ▷(*di*(*M b*) ∧ *di*(*M c*))) =
       ▷((*M a*) ∨ ▷(*di*(*M b*) ∧ *di*(*M c*)))
    using *FstEqvRule* **by** *blast*
  have *13*: ⊢▷((*M a*) ∨ ▷(*di*(*M b*) ∧ *di*(*M c*))) = *M*(*a* UPTO (*b* THRU *c*))
    by *simp*
 from *1 6 7 12 13* **show** *?thesis* **using** *MonEq* **by** (*metis int-eq*)
qed

lemma *MThruUptoDistrib*:
(*a* THRU (*b* UPTO *c*)) ≃ ((*a* THRU *b*) UPTO (*a* THRU *c*))
proof −
  have *1*: ⊢ *M*((*a* THRU *b*) UPTO (*a* THRU *c*)) =
    ▷(▷(*di*(*M a*) ∧ *di*(*M b*)) ∨ ▷(*di*(*M a*) ∧ *di*(*M c*)))
      by *simp*
  have *2*: ⊢ ▷(▷(*di*(*M a*) ∧ *di*(*M b*)) ∨ ▷(*di*(*M a*) ∧ *di*(*M c*))) =
    ▷((*di*(*M a*) ∧ *di*(*M b*)) ∨ (*di*(*M a*) ∧ *di*(*M c*)))
    using *FstFstOrEqvFstOr* **by** *auto*
  have *3*: ⊢ ((*di*(*M a*) ∧ *di*(*M b*)) ∨ (*di*(*M a*) ∧ *di*(*M c*))) =
    (*di*(*M a*) ∧ (*di*(*M b*) ∨ *di*(*M c*))) **by** *auto*
  have *4*: ⊢ (*di*(*M a*) ∧ (*di*(*M b*) ∨ *di*(*M c*))) =
    (*di*(*M a*) ∧ *di*((*M b*) ∨ (*M c*))) **using** *DiOrEqv* **by** *fastforce*
  have *5*: ⊢ (*di*(*M a*) ∧ *di*((*M b*) ∨ (*M c*))) =
    (*di*(*M a*) ∧ *di*(▷((*M b*) ∨ (*M c*)))) **using** *DiEqvDiFst* **by** *fastforce*
  have *6*: ⊢ ((*di*(*M a*) ∧ *di*(*M b*)) ∨ (*di*(*M a*) ∧ *di*(*M c*))) =
    (*di*(*M a*) ∧ *di*(▷((*M b*) ∨ (*M c*)))) **using** *3 4 5* **by** *fastforce*
 hence *7*: ⊢ ▷((*di*(*M a*) ∧ *di*(*M b*)) ∨ (*di*(*M a*) ∧ *di*(*M c*))) =
    ▷(*di*(*M a*) ∧ *di*(▷((*M b*) ∨ (*M c*)))) **using** *FstEqvRule* **by** *blast*
  have *8*: ⊢ ▷(*di*(*M a*) ∧ *di*(▷((*M b*) ∨ (*M c*)))) =
    M(*a* THRU (*b* UPTO *c*)) **by** *simp*
 from *1 2 7 8* **show** *?thesis* **using** *MonEq* **by** (*metis int-eq*)
qed

lemma *MThruUptoRDistrib*:
((*a* THRU *b*) UPTO *c*) ≃ ((*a* UPTO *c*) THRU (*b* UPTO *c*))
proof −
  have *1*: ((*a* THRU *b*) UPTO *c*) ≃ (*c* UPTO (*a* THRU *b*))
    using *MUptoCommut* **by** *auto*
  have *2*: (*c* UPTO (*a* THRU *b*)) ≃ ((*c* UPTO *a*) THRU (*c* UPTO *b*))
    using *MUptoThruDistrib* **by** *auto*
  have *3*: (*c* UPTO *a*) ≃ (*a* UPTO *c*)

using *MUptoCommut* **by** *auto*
  have *4*: $(c\ UPTO\ b) \simeq (b\ UPTO\ c)$
    using *MUptoCommut* **by** *auto*
  have *5*: $((c\ UPTO\ a)\ THRU\ (c\ UPTO\ b)) \simeq ((a\ UPTO\ c)\ THRU\ (c\ UPTO\ b))$
    using *3* **by** (*simp add*: *MonEqRefl MonEqSubstThru*)
  have *6*: $((a\ UPTO\ c)\ THRU\ (c\ UPTO\ b)) \simeq ((c\ UPTO\ b)\ THRU\ (a\ UPTO\ c))$
    using *MThruCommut* **by** *auto*
  have *7*: $((c\ UPTO\ b)\ THRU\ (a\ UPTO\ c)) \simeq ((b\ UPTO\ c)\ THRU\ (a\ UPTO\ c))$
    using *4* **by** (*simp add*: *MonEqRefl MonEqSubstThru*)
  from *1 2 5 6 7* **show** *?thesis* **using** *MThruCommut MonEq* **by** (*metis int-eq*)
qed

lemma *MUptoThruRDistrib*:
$((a\ UPTO\ b)\ THRU\ c) \simeq ((a\ THRU\ c)\ UPTO\ (b\ THRU\ c))$
proof $-$
  have *1*: $((a\ UPTO\ b)\ THRU\ c) \simeq (c\ THRU\ (a\ UPTO\ b))$
    using *MThruCommut* **by** *auto*
  have *2*: $(c\ THRU\ (a\ UPTO\ b)) \simeq ((c\ THRU\ a)\ UPTO\ (c\ THRU\ b))$
    using *MThruUptoDistrib* **by** *auto*
  have *3*: $(c\ THRU\ a) \simeq (a\ THRU\ c)$
    using *MThruCommut* **by** *auto*
  have *4*: $(c\ THRU\ b) \simeq (b\ THRU\ c)$
    using *MThruCommut* **by** *auto*
  have *5*: $((c\ THRU\ a)\ UPTO\ (c\ THRU\ b)) \simeq ((a\ THRU\ c)\ UPTO\ (c\ THRU\ b))$
    using *3* **by** (*simp add*: *MonEqRefl MonEqSubstUpto*)
  have *6*: $((a\ THRU\ c)\ UPTO\ (c\ THRU\ b)) \simeq ((c\ THRU\ b)\ UPTO\ (a\ THRU\ c))$
    using *MUptoCommut* **by** *auto*
  have *7*: $((c\ THRU\ b)\ UPTO\ (a\ THRU\ c)) \simeq ((b\ THRU\ c)\ UPTO\ (a\ THRU\ c))$
    using *4* **by** (*simp add*: *MonEqRefl MonEqSubstUpto*)
  from *1 2 5 6 7* **show** *?thesis* **using** *MUptoCommut MonEq* **by** (*metis int-eq*)
qed

lemma *MWithAndDistrib*:
$((a\ AND\ b)\ WITH\ f) \simeq ((a\ WITH\ f)\ AND\ (b\ WITH\ f))$
proof $-$
  have *1*: $\vdash \mathcal{M}((a\ AND\ b)\ WITH\ f) = (\mathcal{M}(a\ AND\ b) \wedge f)$
    by (*simp*)
  have *2*: $\vdash \mathcal{M}(a\ AND\ b) = \mathcal{M}(a\ WITH\ LIFT(\mathcal{M}\ b))$
    by (*simp add*: *AND-d-def*)
  have *3*: $\vdash (\mathcal{M}(a\ AND\ b) \wedge f) = (\mathcal{M}(a\ WITH\ LIFT(\mathcal{M}\ b)) \wedge f)$
    using *2* **by** *auto*
  have *4*: $\vdash \mathcal{M}(a\ WITH\ (LIFT(\ (\mathcal{M}\ b) \wedge f))) = (\mathcal{M}(a) \wedge \mathcal{M}(b) \wedge f)$
    by *simp*
  have *5*: $\vdash (\mathcal{M}(a) \wedge \mathcal{M}(b) \wedge f) = ((\mathcal{M}(a) \wedge f) \wedge (\mathcal{M}(b) \wedge f))$
    by *auto*
  have *6*: $\vdash ((\mathcal{M}(a) \wedge f) \wedge (\mathcal{M}(b) \wedge f)) = (\mathcal{M}(a\ WITH\ f) \wedge \mathcal{M}(\ b\ WITH\ f))$
    by *simp*
  have *7*: $\vdash (\mathcal{M}(a\ WITH\ f) \wedge \mathcal{M}(b\ WITH\ f)) = \mathcal{M}((a\ WITH\ f)\ WITH\ LIFT(\mathcal{M}(b\ WITH\ f)))$
    by *simp*
  have *8*: $\vdash \mathcal{M}((a\ WITH\ f)\ WITH\ LIFT(\mathcal{M}(b\ WITH\ f))) = \mathcal{M}((a\ WITH\ f)\ AND\ (b\ WITH\ f))$

by (*simp add*: *AND-d-def*)

  from *1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8* **show** *?thesis* **using** *MonEq* **by** (*metis AND-d-def MWithAbsorp int-eq*)

qed

lemma *MHaltWithAndDistrib*:

$((((HALT\ w)\ WITH\ f)\ AND\ ((HALT\ w)\ WITH\ g)) \simeq ((HALT\ w)\ WITH\ LIFT(f \wedge g))$

proof $-$

  have $1: \vdash \mathcal{M}(((HALT\ w)\ WITH\ f)\ AND\ ((HALT\ w)\ WITH\ g)) =$

      $\mathcal{M}(((HALT\ w)\ WITH\ f)\ WITH\ LIFT(\mathcal{M}((HALT\ w)\ WITH\ g)))$

    by (*simp add*: *AND-d-def*)

  have $2: \vdash \mathcal{M}(((HALT\ w)\ WITH\ f)\ WITH\ LIFT(\mathcal{M}((HALT\ w)\ WITH\ g))) =$

      $(\mathcal{M}(HALT\ w) \wedge f \wedge \mathcal{M}(HALT\ w) \wedge g)$

    by *auto*

  have $3: \vdash (\mathcal{M}(HALT\ w) \wedge f \wedge \mathcal{M}(HALT\ w) \wedge g) = (\mathcal{M}(HALT\ w) \wedge f \wedge g)$

    by *auto*

  have $4: \vdash (\mathcal{M}(HALT\ w) \wedge f \wedge g) = \mathcal{M}((HALT\ w)\ WITH\ LIFT(f \wedge g))$

    by *auto*

  from *1 2 3 4* **show** *?thesis* **using** *MonEq* **by** (*metis int-eq*)

qed

lemma *MHaltWithUptoHaltWithEqvHaltWithOr*:

$((((HALT\ w)\ WITH\ f)\ UPTO\ ((HALT\ w)\ WITH\ g)) \simeq ((HALT\ w)\ WITH\ LIFT(f \vee g))$

proof $-$

  have $1: \vdash \mathcal{M}(((HALT\ w)\ WITH\ f)\ UPTO\ ((HALT\ w)\ WITH\ g)) =$

      $\rhd(\mathcal{M}((HALT\ w)\ WITH\ f) \vee \mathcal{M}((HALT\ w)\ WITH\ g))$

    by (*simp*)

  have $2: \vdash \rhd(\mathcal{M}((HALT\ w)\ WITH\ f) \vee \mathcal{M}((HALT\ w)\ WITH\ g)) =$

      $\rhd((\mathcal{M}(HALT\ w) \wedge f) \vee (\mathcal{M}(HALT\ w) \wedge g))$

    by *auto*

  have $3: \vdash ((\mathcal{M}(HALT\ w) \wedge f) \vee (\mathcal{M}(HALT\ w) \wedge g)) = (\mathcal{M}(HALT\ w) \wedge (f \vee g))$

    by *auto*

  have $4: \vdash \rhd((\mathcal{M}(HALT\ w) \wedge f) \vee (\mathcal{M}(HALT\ w) \wedge g)) = \rhd(\mathcal{M}(HALT\ w) \wedge (f \vee g))$

    using *3 FstEqvRule* **by** *fastforce*

  have $5: \vdash \rhd(\mathcal{M}(HALT\ w) \wedge (f \vee g)) = \rhd(\mathcal{M}((HALT\ w)\ WITH\ LIFT(f \vee g)))$

    by *simp*

  have $6: \vdash \mathcal{M}(((HALT\ w)\ WITH\ LIFT(f \vee g))) = \rhd(\mathcal{M}((HALT\ w)\ WITH\ LIFT(f \vee g)))$

    using *MFixFst* **by** *blast*

  from *1 2 3 4 5 6* **show** *?thesis* **using** *MonEq* **by** (*metis int-eq*)

qed

lemma *MHaltWithThruHaltWithEqvHaltWithAndHaltWith*:

$((((HALT\ w)\ WITH\ f)\ THRU\ ((HALT\ w)\ WITH\ g)) \simeq ((((HALT\ w)\ WITH\ f)\ AND\ ((HALT\ w)\ WITH\ g))$

proof $-$

  have $1: \vdash \mathcal{M}(((HALT\ w)\ WITH\ f)\ THRU\ ((HALT\ w)\ WITH\ g)) =$

      $\rhd(\ di(\mathcal{M}(HALT\ w) \wedge f) \wedge di(\mathcal{M}(HALT\ w) \wedge g)\ )$

    by *simp*

  have $2: \vdash (di(\mathcal{M}(HALT\ w) \wedge f) \wedge di(\mathcal{M}(HALT\ w) \wedge g)) =$

      $(di(halt(init\ w) \wedge f) \wedge di(halt(init\ w) \wedge g))$

    using *MHaltAlt DiEqvDi*

    by (*metis* (*no-types*, *lifting*) *inteq-reflection lift-and-com*)

have *3*: ⊢ (*di*(*halt*(*init w*) ∧ *f*) ∧ *di*(*halt*(*init w*) ∧ *g*)) =
$\qquad$ *di*(*halt*(*init w*) ∧ *f* ∧ *g*)
$\qquad$ **using** *DiHaltAndDiHaltAndEqvDiHaltAndAnd* **by** *fastforce*
have *4*: ⊢ *di*(*halt*(*init w*) ∧ *f* ∧ *g*) = *di*(𝓜(*HALT w*) ∧ *f* ∧ *g*)
$\qquad$ **by** (*metis DiEqvDi MHaltAlt inteq-reflection lift-and-com*)
have *5*: ⊢ (*di*(𝓜(*HALT w*) ∧ *f*) ∧ *di*(𝓜(*HALT w*) ∧ *g*)) = *di*(𝓜(*HALT w*) ∧ *f* ∧ *g*)
$\qquad$ **using** *2 3 4* **by** *fastforce*
have *6*: ⊢ ▷(*di*(𝓜(*HALT w*) ∧ *f*) ∧ *di*(𝓜(*HALT w*) ∧ *g*)) = ▷(*di*(𝓜(*HALT w*) ∧ *f* ∧ *g*))
$\qquad$ **using** *5 FstEqvRule* **by** *blast*
have *7*: ⊢ ▷(*di*(𝓜(*HALT w*) ∧ *f* ∧ *g*)) = ▷(𝓜(*HALT w*) ∧ *f* ∧ *g*)
$\qquad$ **using** *FstDiEqvFst* **by** *fastforce*
have *8*: ⊢ ▷(𝓜(*HALT w*) ∧ *f* ∧ *g*) = ▷(𝓜((*HALT w*) *WITH LIFT*(*f* ∧ *g*)))
$\qquad$ **by** *simp*
have *9*: ⊢ 𝓜((*HALT w*) *WITH LIFT*(*f* ∧ *g*)) = ▷(𝓜((*HALT w*) *WITH LIFT*(*f* ∧ *g*)))
$\qquad$ **using** *MFixFst* **by** *blast*
have *10*: ⊢ 𝓜(((*HALT w*) *WITH f*) *THRU* ((*HALT w*) *WITH g*)) = 𝓜((*HALT w*) *WITH LIFT*(*f* ∧ *g*))
$\qquad$ **using** *1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 int-eq* **by** *metis*
have *11*: ⊢ 𝓜(((*HALT w*) *WITH f*) *AND* ((*HALT w*) *WITH g*)) = 𝓜((*HALT w*) *WITH LIFT*(*f* ∧ *g*))
$\qquad$ **using** *MHaltWithAndDistrib* **using** *eq-d-def* **by** *blast*
have *12*: ⊢ 𝓜((*HALT w*) *WITH LIFT*(*f* ∧ *g*)) = 𝓜(((*HALT w*) *WITH f*) *AND* ((*HALT w*) *WITH g*))
$\qquad$ **using** *11* **by** *fastforce*
from *10 12* **show** *?thesis* **using** *MonEq* **by** (*metis int-eq*)
qed

lemma *MThenAndDistrib*:
(*a THEN* (*b AND c*)) ≃ ((*a THEN b*) *AND* (*a THEN c*))
proof −
$\quad$ **have** *1*: ⊢ 𝓜(*a THEN* (*b AND c*)) = (𝓜(*a*)) ; (𝓜(*b AND c*))
$\qquad$ **by** *simp*
$\quad$ **have** *2*: ⊢ (𝓜(*a*)) ; (𝓜(*b AND c*)) = (𝓜(*a*)) ; (𝓜(*b*) ∧ 𝓜(*c*))
$\qquad$ **by** (*simp add: AND-d-def*)
$\quad$ **have** *3*: ⊢ (𝓜(*a*)) ; (𝓜(*b*) ∧ 𝓜(*c*)) = ▷ (𝓜(*a*)) ; (𝓜(*b*) ∧ 𝓜(*c*))
$\qquad$ **using** *MFixFst LeftChopEqvChop* **by** *blast*
$\quad$ **have** *4*: ⊢ ▷ (𝓜(*a*)) ; (𝓜(*b*) ∧ 𝓜(*c*)) = ((▷ (𝓜(*a*)) ; (𝓜(*b*))) ∧ (▷ (𝓜(*a*)) ; (𝓜(*c*))))
$\qquad$ **using** *LFstAndDistrC* **by** *fastforce*
$\quad$ **have** *5*: ⊢((▷ (𝓜(*a*)) ; (𝓜(*b*))) ∧ (▷ (𝓜(*a*)) ; (𝓜(*c*)))) =
$\qquad$ (((𝓜(*a*)) ; (𝓜(*b*))) ∧ ((𝓜(*a*)) ; (𝓜(*c*)))) **using** *MFixFst*
$\qquad$ **by** (*metis 4 inteq-reflection*)
$\quad$ **have** *6*: ⊢ (((𝓜(*a*)) ; (𝓜(*b*))) ∧ ((𝓜(*a*)) ; (𝓜(*c*)))) =
$\qquad$ (𝓜(*a THEN b*) ∧ 𝓜(*a THEN c*))
$\qquad$ **by** *simp*
$\quad$ **have** *7*: ⊢ (𝓜(*a THEN b*) ∧ 𝓜(*a THEN c*)) = 𝓜((*a THEN b*) *AND* (*a THEN c*))
$\qquad$ **by** (*simp add: AND-d-def*)
$\quad$ **from** *1 2 3 4 5 6 7* **show** *?thesis* **using** *MonEq* **by** (*metis int-eq*)
qed

lemma *MThenUptoDistrib*:
(*a THEN* (*b UPTO c*)) ≃ ((*a THEN b*) *UPTO* (*a THEN c*))
proof −
have *1*: ⊢ (𝓜 (*a THEN* (*b UPTO c*))) = ((𝓜 *a*);(▷((𝓜 *b*) ∨ (𝓜 *c*))))

by *simp*

have *2*: ⊢ ((𝓜 *a*);(▷((𝓜 *b*) ∨ (𝓜 *c*)))) = (▷(𝓜 *a*);(▷((𝓜 *b*) ∨ (𝓜 *c*))))
    by (*simp add*: *MFixFst LeftChopEqvChop*)

have *3*: ⊢ (▷(𝓜 *a*);(▷((𝓜 *b*) ∨ (𝓜 *c*)))) = ((▷(▷(𝓜 *a*);((𝓜 *b*) ∨ (𝓜 *c*)))))
    using *FstFstChopEqvFstChopFst* **by** *fastforce*

have *4*: ⊢ ▷(𝓜 *a*);((𝓜 *b*) ∨ (𝓜 *c*)) = (𝓜 *a*);((𝓜 *b*) ∨ (𝓜 *c*))
    using *MFixFst* **by** (*metis LeftChopEqvChop inteq-reflection*)

have *5*: ⊢ (𝓜 *a*);((𝓜 *b*) ∨ (𝓜 *c*)) = ((𝓜 *a*);(𝓜 *b*) ∨ (𝓜 *a*);(𝓜 *c*))
    by (*simp add*: *ChopOrEqv*)

have *6*: ⊢ ((𝓜 *a*);(𝓜 *b*) ∨ (𝓜 *a*);(𝓜 *c*)) = (𝓜(*a* THEN *b*) ∨ 𝓜(*a* THEN *c*))
    by *simp*

have *7*: ⊢ ▷(𝓜 *a*);((𝓜 *b*) ∨ (𝓜 *c*)) = (𝓜(*a* THEN *b*) ∨ 𝓜(*a* THEN *c*))
    using *6 5 4* **by** *fastforce*

have *8*: ⊢ ▷(▷(𝓜 *a*);((𝓜 *b*) ∨ (𝓜 *c*))) = ▷(𝓜(*a* THEN *b*) ∨ 𝓜(*a* THEN *c*))
    using *7* **by** (*simp add*: *FstEqvRule*)

have *9*: ⊢ ▷(𝓜(*a* THEN *b*) ∨ 𝓜(*a* THEN *c*)) = 𝓜((*a* THEN *b*) UPTO (*a* THEN *c*))
    by *simp*

 from *9 7 1 2 3* **show** *?thesis* **by** (*metis eq-d-def inteq-reflection*)

qed

lemma *MThenThruDistrib*:
 (*a* THEN (*b* THRU *c*)) ≃ ((*a* THEN *b*) THRU (*a* THEN *c*))
proof −
 have *1*: ⊢ 𝓜(*a* THEN (*b* THRU *c*)) = (𝓜 *a*);▷(*di*(𝓜 *b*) ∧ *di*(𝓜 *c*))
    by *simp*

have *2*: ⊢ (𝓜 *a*);▷(*di*(𝓜 *b*) ∧ *di*(𝓜 *c*)) = ▷(𝓜 *a*);▷(*di*(𝓜 *b*) ∧ *di*(𝓜 *c*))
    by (*simp add*: *MFixFst LeftChopEqvChop*)

have *3*: ⊢ ▷(𝓜 *a*);▷(*di*(𝓜 *b*) ∧ *di*(𝓜 *c*)) = ▷(▷(𝓜 *a*);(*di*(𝓜 *b*) ∧ *di*(𝓜 *c*)))
    using *FstFstChopEqvFstChopFst* **by** *fastforce*

have *4*: ⊢ ▷(𝓜 *a*);(*di*(𝓜 *b*) ∧ *di*(𝓜 *c*)) = (▷(𝓜 *a*);*di*(𝓜 *b*) ∧ ▷(𝓜 *a*);*di*(𝓜 *c*))
    by (*meson LFstAndDistrC Prop11*)

have *5*: ⊢ (▷(𝓜 *a*);*di*(𝓜 *b*) ∧ ▷(𝓜 *a*);*di*(𝓜 *c*)) = ((𝓜 *a*);*di*(𝓜 *b*) ∧ (𝓜 *a*);*di*(𝓜 *c*))
    using *MFixFst* **by** (*metis 4 int-eq*)

have *6*: ⊢ (𝓜 *a*);*di*(𝓜 *b*) = (𝓜 *a*);((𝓜 *b*);#*True*)
    by (*simp add*: *di-d-def*)

have *7*: ⊢ (𝓜 *a*);((𝓜 *b*);#*True*) = ((𝓜 *a*);(𝓜 *b*));#*True*
    by (*simp add*: *ChopAssoc*)

have *8*: ⊢ ((𝓜 *a*);(𝓜 *b*));#*True* = *di*((𝓜 *a*);(𝓜 *b*))
    by (*simp add*: *di-d-def*)

have *9*: ⊢ (𝓜 *a*);*di*(𝓜 *b*) = *di*((𝓜 *a*);(𝓜 *b*))
    using *8 7 6* **by** *fastforce*

have *10*: ⊢ (𝓜 *a*);*di*(𝓜 *c*) = (𝓜 *a*);((𝓜 *c*);#*True*)
    by (*simp add*: *di-d-def*)

have *11*: ⊢ (𝓜 *a*);((𝓜 *c*);#*True*) = ((𝓜 *a*);(𝓜 *c*));#*True*
    by (*simp add*: *ChopAssoc*)

have *12*: ⊢ ((𝓜 *a*);(𝓜 *c*));#*True* = *di*((𝓜 *a*);(𝓜 *c*))
    by (*simp add*: *di-d-def*)

have *13*: ⊢ (𝓜 *a*);*di*(𝓜 *c*) = *di*((𝓜 *a*);(𝓜 *c*))
    using *12 11 10* **by** *fastforce*

have *14*: ⊢ ((𝓜 *a*);*di*(𝓜 *b*) ∧ (𝓜 *a*);*di*(𝓜 *c*)) = (*di*((𝓜 *a*);(𝓜 *b*)) ∧ *di*((𝓜 *a*);(𝓜 *c*)))

    using *13 9* **by** *fastforce*
have *15*: ⊢ (*di*((𝓜 *a*);(𝓜 *b*)) ∧ *di*((𝓜 *a*);(𝓜 *c*))) = (*di*(𝓜(*a* THEN *b*)) ∧ *di*(𝓜(*a* THEN *c*)))
    by *simp*
have *16*: ⊢ ▷(𝓜 *a*);(*di*(𝓜 *b*) ∧ *di*(𝓜 *c*)) = (*di*(𝓜(*a* THEN *b*)) ∧ *di*(𝓜(*a* THEN *c*)))
    using *15 14 4 5* **by** *fastforce*
have *17*: ⊢ ▷(▷(𝓜 *a*);(*di*(𝓜 *b*) ∧ *di*(𝓜 *c*))) = ▷(*di*(𝓜(*a* THEN *b*)) ∧ *di*(𝓜(*a* THEN *c*)))
    using *16* **by** (*simp add*: *FstEqvRule*)
have *18*: ⊢ ▷(*di*(𝓜(*a* THEN *b*)) ∧ *di*(𝓜(*a* THEN *c*))) = 𝓜((*a* THEN *b*) THRU (*a* THEN *c*))
    by *simp*
 from *18 16 1 2 3* **show** *?thesis* **by** (*metis eq-d-def int-eq*)
qed

18   Finite ITL Examples

theory *Example*
imports
   FOTheorems TimeReversal
begin

18.1   Example 1

definition *F1* :: *nat statefun* ⇒ *temporal*
where *F1 w* ≡ *TEMP* □ (#*0* ≤ $*w*)

definition *Init1* :: *nat statefun* ⇒ *temporal*
where *Init1 w* ≡ *TEMP* $*w* = #*0*

lemma *init1*:
 (⟨*s0*,*s1*,*s2*⟩ ⊨ *len*(*2*) ∧ *Init1 w*) = ((*w s0*) = *0*)
by (*simp add*: *Init1-def current-val-d-def len-defs*)

lemma *exist-test-F1* :
 ⊢ ∃∃ *w*. *F1 w*
proof −
 have *1*: ⋀ *w*. ⊢ *F1 w* **by** (*simp add*: *always-defs current-val-d-def F1-def Valid-def*)
 from *1* **show** *?thesis* **by** (*meson EExI MP*)
qed

18.2   Example 2

locale *Test* =
 fixes *v* :: *state* ⇒ *nat*
 fixes *v1* :: *state* ⇒ *nat*
 fixes *y* :: *state* ⇒ *bool*
 fixes *z* :: *state* ⇒ *int*

fixes *F2* :: *nat statefun* ⇒ *temporal*
fixes *F3* :: *bool statefun* ⇒ *temporal*
fixes *F4* :: *int statefun* ⇒ *temporal*
fixes *F5* :: *nat statefun* ⇒ *temporal*
fixes *Init2* :: *nat statefun* ⇒ *temporal*
fixes *Init3* :: *bool statefun* ⇒ *temporal*
defines *F2* ≡ (λ *v*. *TEMP* □ (#0 ≤ $v))
defines *F3* ≡ (λ *p*. *TEMP* □ ($p ∨ ¬ $p))
defines *F4* ≡ (λ *z*. *TEMP* □ (#0 ≤ $z ∨ $z < #0))
defines *F5* ≡ (λ *v*. *TEMP* $v=#0 ∧ v gets $v+#1)
defines *Init2* ≡ (λ *v*. *TEMP* $v = #0)
defines *Init3* ≡ (λ *p*. *TEMP* $p)

lemma (**in** *Test*) *currentval-test* :
  (*s* ⊨ ($v = #0)) = ((*v* (*nth s 0*)) = 0)
by (*simp add*: *current-val-d-def*)

lemma (**in** *Test*) *nextempty-test* :
  (⟨*s0*⟩ ⊨ *v*$) = (ε *x*. *x*=*x*)
by (*simp add*: *next-val-d-def*)

lemma (**in** *Test*) *nextempty-test-1* :
  (⟨*s0*⟩ ⊨ *v*$ = *v*$)
by *simp*

lemma (**in** *Test*) *nextempty-test-2* :
  (⟨*s0*⟩ ⊨ *v*$ = *v1*$)
by (*simp add*: *Test.nextempty-test*)

lemma (**in** *Test*) *nextcurrent-test*:
  (⟨*s0,s1*⟩ ⊨ *skip* ∧ ($v=#0) ∧ (*v*$=$v+#1)) = (((*v s0*) = 0) ∧ ((*v s1*) = 1))
unfolding *current-val-d-def next-val-d-def skip-defs* **by** *auto*

lemma (**in** *Test*) *nextcurrentfinpenult-test*:
  (⟨*s0,s1,s2,s3*⟩ ⊨ *len(3)* ∧ *v* =: !*v*−#1 ∧ *v* ← #3 ∧ $v=#0 ∧ *v* := $v+#1) =
    (((*v s0*) = 0) ∧ ((*v s1*) = 1 ∧ (*v* (*s2*)) = 2 ∧ ((*v s3*) = 3   )))
unfolding *current-val-d-def next-val-d-def fin-val-d-def penult-val-d-def*
        next-assign-d-def prev-assign-d-def temporal-assign-d-def len-defs **by** *auto*

lemma (**in** *Test*) *stable-test*:
  (⟨*s0,s1,s2,s3*⟩ ⊨ *len(3)* ∧ *stable v* ∧ $v=#0) =
    ((*v s0*) = 0 ∧ (*v s1*) = 0 ∧ (*v s2*) = 0 ∧ (*v s3*) = 0)
by (*auto simp*: *stable-defs len-defs*
        current-val-d-def next-val-d-def Nitpick.case-nat-unfold)

lemma (**in** *Test*) *revnextcurrentfinpenult-test*:
  (⟨*s0,s1,s2,s3*⟩ ⊨ (*len 3* ∧ *v*! = !*v*−#1 ∧ !*v* = #3 ∧ $v=#0 ∧ *v*$=$v+#1)^r) =
    (((*v s3*) = 0) ∧ ((*v s2*) = 1 ∧ (*v* (*s1*)) = 2 ∧ ((*v s0*) = 3   )))

unfolding *reverse-d-def len-defs current-val-d-def next-val-d-def*
      penult-val-d-def fin-val-d-def **by** *auto*

lemma (**in** *Test*) *exist-test-F2* :
⊢ ∃∃ *v*. *F2 v*
proof −
 have *1*: ⊢ *F2 v* **by** (*simp add*: *always-defs current-val-d-def F2-def Valid-def*)
 from *1* **show** *?thesis* **by** (*meson EExI MP*)
qed

lemma (**in** *Test*) *exist-test-F3* :
⊢ ∃∃ *y*. *F3 y*
proof −
 have *1*: ⊢ *F3 y* **by** (*simp add*: *always-defs current-val-d-def F3-def Valid-def*)
 from *1* **show** *?thesis* **by** (*meson EExI MP*)
qed

18.3 Example 3

locale *Test1* =
 fixes *v* :: *state* ⇒ *nat*
 fixes *F5* :: *nat statefun* ⇒ *nat* ⇒ *temporal*
 defines *F5* ≡ (λ *v n*. *TEMP* v=#0 ∧ *v gets* v+#1 ∧ *fin*(v=#*n*))

lemma (**in** *Test1*) *test-E-F5-1*:
(
      x (*Interval.nth w* (*0*::*nat*)) = (*0*::*nat*) ∧
      (∀ *i*<*intlen w*. *x* (*Interval.nth w* (*Suc i*)) = *Suc* (*x* (*Interval.nth w i*))) ∧
      x (*Interval.nth w* (*intlen w*)) = *n*) ⟶
 (
      x (*Interval.nth w* (*0*::*nat*)) = (*0*::*nat*) ∧
      (∀ *i*≤*intlen w*. *x* (*Interval.nth w* (*i*)) = *i*) ∧
      x (*Interval.nth w* (*intlen w*)) = *n*)
proof *auto*
 show ⋀*i*. *x* (*Interval.nth w 0*) = *0* ⟹
      ∀ *i*<*intlen w*. *x* (*Interval.nth w* (*Suc i*)) = *Suc* (*x* (*Interval.nth w i*)) ⟹
      n = *x* (*Interval.nth w* (*intlen w*)) ⟹ *i* ≤ *intlen w* ⟹ *x* (*Interval.nth w i*) = *i*
  proof −
  fix *i*
  assume *0*: *x* (*Interval.nth w 0*) = *0*
  assume *1*: ∀ *i*<*intlen w*. *x* (*Interval.nth w* (*Suc i*)) = *Suc* (*x* (*Interval.nth w i*))
  assume *2*: *n* = *x* (*Interval.nth w* (*intlen w*))
  assume *3*: *i* ≤ *intlen w*
  show *x* (*Interval.nth w i*) = *i*
  using *0 1 2 3*
   proof (*induct i*)
   case *0*
   then show *?case* **by** *simp*
   next

case (*Suc i*)
  then show *?case* **by** *simp*
  qed
  qed
qed

lemma (**in** *Test1*) *test-E-F5-2*:
(
    x (*Interval.nth w* (*0*::*nat*)) = (*0*::*nat*) ∧
    (∀ *i*≤*intlen w*. *x* (*Interval.nth w* (*i*)) = *i*) ∧
    x (*Interval.nth w* (*intlen w*)) = *n*) ⟶ (
    x (*Interval.nth w* (*0*::*nat*)) = (*0*::*nat*) ∧
    (∀ *i*<*intlen w*. *x* (*Interval.nth w* (*Suc i*)) = *Suc* (*x* (*Interval.nth w i*))) ∧
    x (*Interval.nth w* (*intlen w*)) = *n*)

by *simp*

lemma (**in** *Test1*) *test-E-F5-3*:
(
    x (*Interval.nth w* (*0*::*nat*)) = (*0*::*nat*) ∧
    (∀ *i*<*intlen w*. *x* (*Interval.nth w* (*Suc i*)) = *Suc* (*x* (*Interval.nth w i*))) ∧
    x (*Interval.nth w* (*intlen w*)) = *n*) =
 (
    x (*Interval.nth w* (*0*::*nat*)) = (*0*::*nat*) ∧
    (∀ *i*≤*intlen w*. *x* (*Interval.nth w* (*i*)) = *i*) ∧
    x (*Interval.nth w* (*intlen w*)) = *n*)
using *test-E-F5-1 test-E-F5-2* **by** *auto*

lemma (**in** *Test1*) *test-E-F5-4*:
(∃*x*::*state* ⇒ *nat*.
    x (*Interval.nth w* (*0*::*nat*)) = (*0*::*nat*) ∧
    (∀ *i*<*intlen w*. *x* (*Interval.nth w* (*Suc i*)) = *Suc* (*x* (*Interval.nth w i*))) ∧
    x (*Interval.nth w* (*intlen w*)) = *n*) =
 (∃*x*::*state* ⇒ *nat*.
    x (*Interval.nth w* (*0*::*nat*)) = (*0*::*nat*) ∧
    (∀ *i*≤*intlen w*. *x* (*Interval.nth w* (*i*)) = *i*) ∧
    x (*Interval.nth w* (*intlen w*)) = *n*)
by (*simp add*: *Test1.test-E-F5-3*)

lemma (**in** *Test1*) *test-E-F5*:
⊢ (∃∃ *v*. (*F5 v n*)) ⟶ (*len n*)
by (*auto simp add*: *Valid-def F5-def exist-state-d-def gets-defs current-val-d-def*
        fin-defs sub-def len-defs)
  (*metis Test1.test-E-F5-1 nat-le-linear*)

18.4   Example 4

locale *Testrev* =

632

fixes *x* :: *state* ⇒ *nat*
fixes *F1* :: *nat statefun* ⇒ *temporal*
defines *F1* ≡ (λ *v*. *TEMP* $v=#0 ∧ *skip* ∧ *v*:= $v+#1)

lemma (**in** *Testrev*) *testrev1*:
  (σ ⊨ *F1* (*x*)) = (*intlen* σ = 1 ∧ (*x* (*nth* σ 0)) = 0 ∧ (*x* (*nth* σ 1)) = 1)
by (*simp add*: *F1-def skip-defs next-assign-d-def next-val-d-def current-val-d-def* , *auto*)

lemma (**in** *Testrev*) *testrev2*:
  (σ ⊨ (*F1* (*x*))^r) = (*intlen* σ = 1 ∧ (*x* (*nth* σ 0)) = 1 ∧ (*x* (*nth* σ 1)) = 0)
proof −
 have (σ ⊨ (*F1* (*x*))^r) = (σ ⊨ ($x=#0 ∧ *skip* ∧ *x* := $x+#1)^r)
     by (*simp add*: *F1-def*)
 also have … =
        (σ ⊨ (($x=#0)^r ∧ *skip*^{r} ∧ (*x* := $x+#1)^r))
     by (*simp add*: *all-rev-eq*)
 also have … =
        (σ ⊨ ((!*x*=#0) ∧ *skip* ∧ (*x*! = !*x*+#1)))
     using *RRAnd*
     by (*simp add*: *all-rev-eq*(1) *all-rev-eq*(12) *all-rev-eq*(3) *all-rev-eq*(8) *all-rev-eq*(9)
       next-assign-d-def)
 also have … =
        (σ ⊨ ((*x*$=#0) ∧ *skip* ∧ ($x = *x*$+#1)))
     by (*simp add*: *skip-defs next-val-d-def finval-defs penultval-defs current-val-d-def* , *auto*)
 also have … =
        (*intlen* σ = 1 ∧ (*x* (*nth* σ 0)) = 1 ∧ (*x* (*nth* σ 1)) = 0)
     by (*simp add*: *skip-defs next-val-d-def current-val-d-def* , *auto*)
 finally show (σ ⊨ (*F1* (*x*))^r) = (*intlen* σ = 1 ∧ (*x* (*nth* σ 0)) = 1 ∧ (*x* (*nth* σ 1)) = 0) .
qed

18.5 Example 5

lemma *revnextcurrentfinpenult*:
 ⊢ (*v*$ = $v)^r = (*v*!=!*v*)
proof −
 have *1*: ⊢ (*v*$ = $v)^r = ((*v*$)^r = ($v)^r) **by** (*simp add*: *rev-fun2*)
 have *2*: ⊢ ((*v*$)^r = (*v*!)) **by** (*simp add*: *rev-next*)
 have *3*: ⊢ (($v)^r = (!*v*)) **by** (*simp add*: *rev-current*)
 have *4*: ⊢ (((*v*$)^r = ($v)^r) = ((*v*!) = (!*v*))) **by** (*metis 1 2 3 inteq-reflection*)
 from *1 4* **show** *?thesis* **by** *fastforce*
qed

19   Monitor Example

theory *MonitorExample*
imports

FOTheorems Monitor
begin

locale *Test* =
 fixes *v* :: *state* ⇒ *nat*
 fixes *y* :: *state* ⇒ *bool*
 fixes *z* :: *state* ⇒ *nat*
 fixes *F2* :: *nat statefun* ⇒ *temporal*
 fixes *F3* :: *bool statefun* ⇒ *temporal*
 fixes *F4* :: *nat statefun* ⇒ *temporal*
 fixes *F5* :: *nat statefun* ⇒ *temporal*
 fixes *Init2* :: *nat statefun* ⇒ *temporal*
 fixes *Init3* :: *bool statefun* ⇒ *temporal*
 fixes *Mon1* :: *state monitor*
 fixes *Mon2* :: *state monitor*
 fixes *Mon3* :: *state monitor*
 fixes *Mon4* :: *state monitor*
 fixes *Mon5* :: *state monitor*
 fixes *Mon6* :: *state monitor*
 defines *F2* ≡ (λ *v*. *TEMP* □ (#0 ≤ $v))
 defines *F3* ≡ (λ *p*. *TEMP* □ ($p ∨ ¬ $p))
 defines *F4* ≡ (λ *z*. *TEMP* $z=#0 ∧ z gets $z+#1)
 defines *F5* ≡ (λ *z*. *TEMP* fin($z=#4))
 defines *Init2* ≡ (λ *v*. *TEMP* $v = #0)
 defines *Init3* ≡ (λ *p*. *TEMP* $p)
 defines *Mon1* ≡ *FIRST*(*F2 v*)
 defines *Mon2* ≡ *EMPTY UPTO Mon1*
 defines *Mon3* ≡ *Mon1 WITH* (*F2 v*)
 defines *Mon4* ≡ *Mon2 THEN Mon1*
 defines *Mon5* ≡ *Mon3 THRU Mon4*
 defines *Mon6* ≡ (*FIRST F4 z*) *WITH* (*F5 z*)

lemma (**in** *Test*) *test*:
 ⊢ 𝓜(*Mon1*) = *empty*
proof −
 have *1*: ⊢ 𝓜(*Mon1*) = ▷(□ (#0 ≤ $v))
    using *F2-def Mon1-def* **by** *fastforce*
 have *2*: ⊢ □ (#0 ≤ $v)
    by (*simp add*: *Valid-def always-defs current-val-d-def*)
 have *3*: ⊢ ▷(□ (#0 ≤ $v)) = *empty*
    using *2* **by** (*metis FstTrue int-eq int-eq-true*)
 from *1 2 3* **show** *?thesis* **by** *fastforce*
qed

lemma (**in** *Test*) *test1*:
 ⊢ 𝓜(*Mon2*) = *empty*
proof −

have 1: ⊢ $\mathcal{M}(Mon2) = \mathcal{M}(EMPTY\ UPTO\ Mon1)$
    using *Mon2-def* **by** *fastforce*
have 2: ⊢ $\mathcal{M}(EMPTY\ UPTO\ Mon1) = \triangleright(\mathcal{M}(EMPTY) \vee \mathcal{M}(Mon1))$
    by *fastforce*
have 3: ⊢ $\triangleright(\mathcal{M}(EMPTY) \vee \mathcal{M}(Mon1)) = \triangleright(empty \vee empty)$
    using *test* **by** (*metis 2 MEmptyAlt int-eq*)
have 4: ⊢ $\triangleright(empty \vee empty) = empty$
    using *FstEmptyOrEqvEmpty* **by** *blast*
 from *1 2 3 4* **show** *?thesis* **by** *fastforce*
qed

lemma (**in** *Test*) *test2*:
⊢ $\mathcal{M}(Mon3) = empty$
proof −
 have 1: ⊢ $\mathcal{M}(Mon3) = \mathcal{M}(Mon1\ WITH\ (F2\ v))$ **using** *Mon3-def* **by** *fastforce*
 have 2: ⊢ $\mathcal{M}(Mon1\ WITH\ (F2\ v)) = (\mathcal{M}(Mon1) \wedge (F2\ v))$ **by** *fastforce*
 have 3: ⊢ $(\mathcal{M}(Mon1) \wedge (F2\ v)) = (empty \wedge (F2\ v))$ **using** *test* **by** *fastforce*
 have 4: ⊢ $(F2\ v)$ **by** (*simp add: F2-def Valid-def always-defs current-val-d-def*)
 have 5: ⊢ $(empty \wedge (F2\ v)) = empty$ **using** *4* **by** *fastforce*
 from *1 2 3 5* **show** *?thesis* **by** *fastforce*
qed

lemma (**in** *Test*) *test3*:
⊢ $\mathcal{M}(Mon4) = empty$
proof −
 have 1: ⊢ $\mathcal{M}(Mon4) = \mathcal{M}(Mon2\ THEN\ Mon1)$
    using *Mon4-def* **by** *fastforce*
 have 2: ⊢ $\mathcal{M}(Mon2\ THEN\ Mon1) = (\mathcal{M}(Mon2))\ ;(\mathcal{M}(Mon1))$
    by *fastforce*
 have 3: ⊢ $(\mathcal{M}(Mon2))\ ;(\mathcal{M}(Mon1)) = empty;empty$
    using *test test1* **using** *ChopEqvChop* **by** *blast*
 have 4: ⊢ $empty;\ empty = empty$
    by (*simp add: ChopEmpty*)
 from *1 2 3 4* **show** *?thesis* **by** *fastforce*
qed

lemma (**in** *Test*) *test4*:
⊢ $\mathcal{M}(Mon5) = empty$
proof −
 have 1: ⊢ $\mathcal{M}(Mon5) = \mathcal{M}(Mon3\ THRU\ Mon4)$
    using *Mon5-def* **by** *fastforce*
 have 2: ⊢ $\mathcal{M}(Mon3\ THRU\ Mon4) = \triangleright(di(\mathcal{M}(Mon3)) \wedge di(\mathcal{M}(Mon4)))$
    by *fastforce*
 have 3: ⊢ $(di(\mathcal{M}(Mon3)) \wedge di(\mathcal{M}(Mon4))) = (di(empty) \wedge di(empty))$
    using *test3 test2* **by** (*metis inteq-reflection lift-and-com*)
 hence 4: ⊢ $\triangleright(di(\mathcal{M}(Mon3)) \wedge di(\mathcal{M}(Mon4))) = \triangleright(di(empty) \wedge di(empty))$
    by (*simp add: FstEqvRule*)
 have 5: ⊢ $\triangleright(di(empty) \wedge di(empty)) = \triangleright(di(empty))$
    by *simp*
 have 6: ⊢ $\triangleright(di(empty)) = empty$

using *FstDiEqvFst FstEmpty* **by** *fastforce*
from *6 5 4 2 1* **show** *?thesis* **by** *fastforce*
qed

lemma (**in** *Test*) *test5*:
⊢ $\mathcal{M}$ (*Mon6*) = (▷($z=\#0 \wedge z$ gets $z+\#1) \wedge$ *fin*($z=\#4$))
proof −
have *1*: ⊢ $\mathcal{M}$(*Mon6*) = ($\mathcal{M}$(*FIRST F4 z*) ∧ (*F5 z*))
    using *Mon6-def* **by** *fastforce*
have *2*: ⊢ ($\mathcal{M}$(*FIRST F4 z*) ∧ (*F5 z*)) = (▷(*F4 z*) ∧ *fin*($z=\#4$))
    using *F5-def* **by** *fastforce*
have *3*: ⊢ (▷(*F4 z*) ∧ *fin*($z=\#4$)) = (▷($z=\#0 \wedge z$ gets $z+\#1) \wedge$ *fin*($z=\#4$))
    using *F4-def* **by** *fastforce*
from *1 2 3* **show** *?thesis* **by** *fastforce*
qed

lemma (**in** *Test*) *test5-1*:
⊢ ▷($z=\#0 \wedge z$ gets $z+\#1) \wedge$ *fin*($z=\#4$) ⟶
  ▷(($z=\#0 \wedge z$ gets $z+\#1) \wedge$ *fin*($z=\#4$))

using *FstWithAndImp* **by** *blast*

lemma (**in** *Test*) *test5-2*:
($s \models$ ($z=\#0 \wedge z$ gets $z+\#1) \wedge$ *fin*($z=\#4$)) =
(z (*nth s 0*) $=0 \wedge (\forall \ i<$ *intlen s*. z (*nth s* (*Suc i*)) = *Suc*(z (*nth s i*))) ∧
  z (*nth s* (*intlen s*)) = 4)
by (*simp add*: *gets-defs fin-defs current-val-d-def sub-def*)

lemma (**in** *Test*) *test5-3*:
(z (*nth s 0*) $=0 \wedge (\forall \ i<$ *intlen s*. z (*nth s* (*Suc i*)) = *Suc*(z (*nth s i*))) ∧
  z (*nth s* (*intlen s*)) = 4)
  ⟹
(z (*nth s 0*) $=0 \wedge (\forall \ i\leq$ *intlen s*. z (*nth s i*) = *i*)
∧ z (*nth s* (*intlen s*)) = 4)

proof −
assume *0*: (z (*nth s 0*) $=0 \wedge (\forall \ i<$ *intlen s*. z (*nth s* (*Suc i*)) = *Suc*(z (*nth s i*))) ∧
  z (*nth s* (*intlen s*)) = 4)
show (z (*nth s 0*) $=0 \wedge (\forall \ i\leq$ *intlen s*. z (*nth s i*) = *i*)
∧ z (*nth s* (*intlen s*)) = 4)
proof −
  have *1*: z (*nth s 0*) $=0$ **using** *0* **by** *auto*
  have *2*: z (*nth s* (*intlen s*)) = 4 **using** *0* **by** *auto*
  have *3*: ($\forall \ i\leq$ *intlen s*. z (*nth s i*) = *i*)
  proof
   fix *i*
   show $i \leq$ *intlen s* ⟶ z (*Interval.nth s i*) = *i*
   proof
    (*induct i*)
    case *0*

then show *?case* **by** (*simp add*: *1*)
    next
    case (*Suc i*)
    then show *?case* **by** (*simp add*: *0*)
    qed
  qed
  from *1 2 3* **show** *?thesis* **by** *auto*
 qed
qed

lemma (**in** *Test*) *test5-4*:
  (*z* (*nth s 0*) =*0* ∧ (∀ *i*≤ *intlen s*. *z* (*nth s i*) = *i*)
  ∧ *z* (*nth s* (*intlen s*)) = *4*) ⟹
  (*z* (*nth s 0*) =*0* ∧ (∀ *i*< *intlen s*. *z* (*nth s* (*Suc i*)) = *Suc*(*z* (*nth s i*))) ∧
  z (*nth s* (*intlen s*)) = *4*)

proof −
 assume *0*: (*z* (*nth s 0*) =*0* ∧ (∀ *i*≤ *intlen s*. *z* (*nth s i*) = *i*)
  ∧ *z* (*nth s* (*intlen s*)) = *4*)
 show (*z* (*nth s 0*) =*0* ∧ (∀ *i*< *intlen s*. *z* (*nth s* (*Suc i*)) = *Suc*(*z* (*nth s i*))) ∧
  z (*nth s* (*intlen s*)) = *4*)
 proof −
  have *1*: *z* (*nth s 0*) =*0* **using** *0* **by** *auto*
  have *2*: *z* (*nth s* (*intlen s*)) = *4* **using** *0* **by** *auto*
  have *3*: (∀ *i*< *intlen s*. *z* (*nth s* (*Suc i*)) = *Suc*(*z* (*nth s i*))) **by** (*simp add*: *0*)
  from *1 2 3* **show** *?thesis* **by** *auto*
 qed
qed

lemma (**in** *Test*) *test5-5*:
  (*z* (*nth s 0*) =*0* ∧ (∀ *i*< *intlen s*. *z* (*nth s* (*Suc i*)) = *Suc*(*z* (*nth s i*))) ∧
  z (*nth s* (*intlen s*)) = *4*)
  =
 (*z* (*nth s 0*) =*0* ∧ (∀ *i*≤ *intlen s*. *z* (*nth s i*) = *i*)
  ∧ *z* (*nth s* (*intlen s*)) = *4*)

using   *test5-3 test5-4* **by** *blast*

lemma (**in** *Test*) *test5-6* :
 (*z* (*nth s 0*) =*0* ∧ (∀ *i*≤ *intlen s*. *z* (*nth s i*) = *i*)
 ∧ *z* (*nth s* (*intlen s*)) = *4*) =
 (*intlen s* =*4* ∧ (∀ *i*≤ *intlen s*. *z* (*nth s i*) = *i*))

by *auto*

lemma (**in** *Test*) *test5-7* :
 (*s* ⊨ ($*z*=#*0* ∧ *z gets* $*z*+#*1*) ∧ *fin*($*z*=#*4*)) =
 (*intlen s* =*4* ∧ (∀ *i*≤ *intlen s*. *z* (*nth s i*) = *i*))
using *test5-6 test5-5 test5-2* **by** *fastforce*

lemma (**in** *Test*) *test5-8* :
 $(s \models \rhd(((\$z=\#0 \land z \text{ gets } \$z+\#1) \land \text{fin}(\$z=\#4)))) =$
 $($
  $((s \models (\$z=\#0 \land z \text{ gets } \$z+\#1) \land \text{fin}(\$z=\#4)) \land \text{intlen } s = 0) \lor$
  $(0 < \text{intlen } s \land (s \models \$z=\#0 \land z \text{ gets } \$z+\#1 \land \text{fin}(\$z=\#4)) \land$
   $(\forall \, ia < \text{intlen } s. \, (\text{prefix } ia \, s \models \neg((\$z=\#0 \land z \text{ gets } \$z+\#1) \land \text{fin}(\$z=\#4)))))$
 $)$

using *Fstsem*[*of TEMP* $(\$z=\#0 \land z \text{ gets } \$z+\#1) \land \text{fin}(\$z=\#4)$]
by *simp*

lemma (**in** *Test*) *test5-9* :
 $\neg((s \models (\$z=\#0 \land z \text{ gets } \$z+\#1) \land \text{fin}(\$z=\#4)) \land \text{intlen } s = 0)$
using *test5-7* **by** *simp*

lemma (**in** *Test*) *test5-10*:
  $(s \models (\$z=\#0 \land z \text{ gets } \$z+\#1) \land \text{fin}(\$z=\#4))$
  $\Longrightarrow$
  $0 < \text{intlen } s \land$
  $(\forall \, ia < \text{intlen } s. \, (\text{prefix } ia \, s \models \neg((\$z=\#0 \land z \text{ gets } \$z+\#1) \land \text{fin}(\$z=\#4))))$

proof $-$
 assume 0: $s \models (\$z=\#0 \land z \text{ gets } \$z+\#1) \land \text{fin}(\$z=\#4)$
 show $0 < \text{intlen } s \land$
      $(\forall \, ia < \text{intlen } s. \, (\text{prefix } ia \, s \models \neg((\$z=\#0 \land z \text{ gets } \$z+\#1) \land \text{fin}(\$z=\#4))))$
 proof $-$
  have 1: $0 < \text{intlen } s$ **using** *test5-7* 0 **by** *simp*
  have 2: $(\forall \, ia < \text{intlen } s. \, (\text{prefix } ia \, s \models \neg((\$z=\#0 \land z \text{ gets } \$z+\#1) \land \text{fin}(\$z=\#4))))$
  proof
   fix *ia*
   show $ia < \text{intlen } s \longrightarrow$
      $(\text{prefix } ia \, s \models \neg ((\$z = \#0 \land z \text{ gets } \$z + \#1) \land \text{fin} (\$z = \#4)))$
   proof $-$
   have 1: $(\text{prefix } ia \, s \models \neg ((\$z = \#0 \land z \text{ gets } \$z + \#1) \land \text{fin} (\$z = \#4))) =$
        $(\neg((\text{prefix } ia \, s \models ((\$z = \#0 \land z \text{ gets } \$z + \#1) \land \text{fin} (\$z = \#4)))))$
          by *auto*
   have 2: $(\text{prefix } ia \, s \models ((\$z = \#0 \land z \text{ gets } \$z + \#1) \land \text{fin} (\$z = \#4))) =$
        $(\text{intlen } (\text{prefix } ia \, s) = 4 \land (\forall \, i \leq \text{intlen}(\text{prefix } ia \, s) \, . \, z \, (\text{nth } (\text{prefix } ia \, s) \, i) = i))$
          using *test5-7* **by** *simp*
   have 3: $ia < \text{intlen } s \longrightarrow \neg(\text{intlen } (\text{prefix } ia \, s) = 4 \land$
                      $(\forall \, i \leq \text{intlen}(\text{prefix } ia \, s) \, . \, z \, (\text{nth } (\text{prefix } ia \, s) \, i) = i))$
          using 0 **using** *test5-7* **by** *auto*
  from $1 \, 2 \, 3$ **show** *?thesis* **by** *blast*
  qed
 qed
 from $1 \, 2$ **show** *?thesis* **by** *auto*
 qed
qed

lemma (**in** *Test*) *test5-11* :

$(s \models \rhd((\$z = \#0 \wedge z \text{ gets } \$z + \#1) \wedge \text{fin}(\$z = \#4))) =$
$(s \models (\$z = \#0 \wedge z \text{ gets } \$z + \#1) \wedge \text{fin}(\$z = \#4))$
using *test5-8 test5-9 test5-10* **by** *fastforce*

lemma (**in** *Test*) *test5-12* :
$\vdash \rhd((\$z = \#0 \wedge z \text{ gets } \$z + \#1) \wedge \text{fin}(\$z = \#4)) = ((\$z = \#0 \wedge z \text{ gets } \$z + \#1) \wedge \text{fin}(\$z = \#4))$
using *test5-11* **by** (*simp add*: *Valid-def*)

end

20   Filter on Intervals

theory *IntervalFilter*
 imports
   Interval
begin

The filter operator on intervals is defined. The definition of filter is slightly more complicated than the one for lists as an interval has at least one state and one needs to ensure that the filter operator always returns an interval. The lemmas involving the filter on intervals are similar to those for the filter operator on lists only a bit more complicated.

20.1   Definitions

definition *opfx* :: $'a$ *interval* $\Rightarrow$ $'a$ *interval* $\Rightarrow$ *bool*
 where *opfx xs ys* = $(\exists zs.\ ys = xs \ominus zs \vee ys = xs)$

definition *sopfx* :: $'a$ *interval* $\Rightarrow$ $'a$ *interval* $\Rightarrow$ *bool*
where *sopfx xs ys* $\longleftrightarrow$ *opfx xs ys* $\wedge$ *xs* $\neq$ *ys*

interpretation *opfx-order*: *order opfx sopfx*
proof *standard*
 show $\bigwedge x\ y.\ \text{sopfx } x\ y = (\text{opfx } x\ y \wedge \neg \text{ opfx } y\ x)$
 by (*auto simp add*: *opfx-def sopfx-def*)
   (*metis One-nat-def add-diff-cancel-left' diff-is-0-eq interval-intlen-intapp le-add1 nat.simps(3)*)
 show $\bigwedge x.\ \text{opfx } x\ x$
   by (*auto simp add*: *opfx-def sopfx-def*)
 show $\bigwedge x\ y\ z.\ \text{opfx } x\ y \implies \text{opfx } y\ z \implies \text{opfx } x\ z$
   by (*auto simp add*: *opfx-def sopfx-def*)
 show $\bigwedge x\ y.\ \text{opfx } x\ y \implies \text{opfx } y\ x \implies x = y$
   by (*auto simp add*: *opfx-def sopfx-def*)
     (*metis One-nat-def add-diff-cancel-left' diff-is-0-eq interval-intlen-intapp le-add1 nat.simps(3)*)
qed

definition *osfx* :: $'a$ *interval* $\Rightarrow$ $'a$ *interval* $\Rightarrow$ *bool*
where *osfx xs ys* = $(\exists zs.\ ys = zs \ominus xs \vee ys = xs)$

definition *sosfx* :: *'a interval* $\Rightarrow$ *'a interval* $\Rightarrow$ *bool*
where *sosfx xs ys* $\longleftrightarrow$ *osfx xs ys* $\land$ *xs* $\neq$ *ys*

interpretation *osfx-order*: *order osfx sosfx*
proof *standard*
 show $\bigwedge x\ y.\ sosfx\ x\ y = (osfx\ x\ y \land \neg\ osfx\ y\ x)$
  by (*auto simp add*: *osfx-def sosfx-def*)
   (*metis add-diff-cancel-right' add-eq-0-iff-both-eq-0 diff-is-0-eq' interval-intapp-assoc*
    interval-intlen-intapp le-add1 not-one-le-zero)
 show $\bigwedge x.\ osfx\ x\ x$
  by (*auto simp add*: *osfx-def sosfx-def*)
 show $\bigwedge x\ y\ z.\ osfx\ x\ y \implies osfx\ y\ z \implies osfx\ x\ z$
  by (*auto simp add*: *osfx-def sosfx-def*)
   (*metis interval-intapp-assoc*)
 show $\bigwedge x\ y.\ osfx\ x\ y \implies osfx\ y\ x \implies x = y$
  by (*auto simp add*: *osfx-def sosfx-def*)
   (*metis add-diff-cancel-right' add-eq-0-iff-both-eq-0 diff-is-0-eq' interval-intapp-assoc*
   interval-intlen-intapp le-add1 not-one-le-zero)
qed

primrec *distinct* :: *'a interval* $\Rightarrow$ *bool*
 where *distinct* $\langle x \rangle \longleftrightarrow$ *True*
  | *distinct* $(x \odot xs) \longleftrightarrow x \notin set\ xs \land distinct\ xs$

primrec *remdups* :: *'a interval* $\Rightarrow$ *'a interval*
 where *remdups* $\langle x \rangle = \langle x \rangle$
  | *remdups* $(x \odot xs) = (if\ x \in set\ xs\ then\ remdups\ xs\ else\ x \odot remdups\ xs)$

primrec *filter* :: $('a \Rightarrow bool) \Rightarrow$ *'a interval* $\Rightarrow$ *'a interval*
 where *filter P* $\langle x \rangle = \langle x \rangle$
  | *filter P* $(x \odot xs) = (if\ (\exists\ y \in set\ xs.\ P\ y)\ then$
                $(if\ P\ x\ then\ x \odot filter\ P\ xs\ else\ filter\ P\ xs)$
                $else\ \langle x \rangle)$

primrec *nfilter* :: $('a \Rightarrow bool) \Rightarrow$ *'a interval* $\Rightarrow$ *nat* $\Rightarrow$ *nat interval*
 where *nfilter P* $\langle x \rangle\ n$ = $\langle n \rangle$
  | *nfilter P* $(x \odot xs)\ n = (if\ (\exists\ y \in set\ xs.\ P\ y)\ then$
                $(if\ P\ x\ then\ n \odot (nfilter\ P\ xs\ (Suc\ n))$
                    $else\ nfilter\ P\ xs\ (Suc\ n))$
              $else\ \langle n \rangle)$

primrec *prefixes* :: *'a interval* $\Rightarrow$ *'a interval interval*
 where
  prefixes $\langle x \rangle = \langle \langle x \rangle \rangle$
 | *prefixes* $(x \odot xs) = \langle x \rangle \odot (map\ ((\odot)\ x)\ (prefixes\ xs))$

primrec *suffixes* :: *'a interval* $\Rightarrow$ *'a interval interval*
where

$$\text{suffixes } \langle x \rangle = \langle \langle x \rangle \rangle$$
$$| \text{ suffixes } (x{\odot}xs) = (x{\odot}xs) \odot (\text{suffixes } xs)$$

20.2 Lemmas

20.2.1 opfx and sopfx

lemma *opfxI* [*intro?*]:
 assumes $ys = xs \ominus zs \vee ys = xs$
 shows $opfx\ xs\ ys$
using *assms* **unfolding** *opfx-def* **by** *blast*

lemma *opfxE* [*elim?*]:
 assumes $opfx\ xs\ ys$
 obtains zs **where** $ys = xs \ominus zs \vee ys = xs$
 using *assms* **unfolding** *opfx-def* **by** *blast*

lemma *sopfxI′* [*intro?*]:
  $ys = xs \ominus (zs) \implies sopfx\ xs\ ys$
unfolding *sopfx-def opfx-def*
by (*metis add-le-same-cancel1 interval-intlen-intapp le-add1 not-one-le-zero*)

lemma *sopfxE′* [*elim?*]:
 assumes $sopfx\ xs\ ys$
 obtains zs **where** $ys = xs \ominus zs$
 using *assms* **unfolding** *sopfx-def opfx-def* **by** *blast*

lemma *opfx-state* [*simp*]:
 $opfx\ \langle intfirst\ xs \rangle\ xs$
unfolding *opfx-def intfirst-def*
by (*metis intapp-St interval-hd-tail interval-intfirst-suffix interval-suffix-intlen*
    interval-suffix-zero le0 order.order-iff-strict)

lemma *opfx-snoc* [*simp*]:
 $opfx\ xs\ (ys \ominus \langle y \rangle) \longleftrightarrow xs = ys{\ominus}\langle y \rangle \vee opfx\ xs\ ys$
unfolding *opfx-def*
by (*metis interval-intapp-eq-intapp-conv2 interval-intapp-not-state*)

lemma *cons-pfx-cons* [*simp*]:
  $opfx\ (x{\odot}xs)\ (y{\odot}ys) = (x {=} y \wedge opfx\ xs\ ys)$
by (*auto simp add: opfx-def*)

lemma *opfx-code* [*code*]:
 $opfx\ \langle intfirst\ xs \rangle\ xs \longleftrightarrow True$
 $opfx\ (x{\odot}xs)\ \langle y \rangle \longleftrightarrow False$
 $opfx\ (x{\odot}xs)\ (y{\odot}ys) \longleftrightarrow (x{=}y \wedge opfx\ xs\ ys)$
proof *simp-all*
 show $opfx\ \langle nth\ xs\ 0 \rangle\ xs$
 using *opfx-state* **by** *auto*
 show $\neg\ opfx\ (x \odot xs)\ \langle y \rangle$
 by (*simp add: opfx-def*)

qed

lemma *same-opfx-opfx* [*simp*]:
 opfx (*xs* $\ominus$ *ys*) (*xs* $\ominus$ *zs*) = *opfx* *ys* *zs*
by (*induct* *xs*) *simp-all*

lemma *same-opfx-state* [*simp*]:
  opfx (*xs* $\ominus$ *ys*) (*xs* $\ominus$ $\langle y \rangle$) = (*ys* = $\langle y \rangle$)
by (*meson* *interval-same-intapp-eq* *opfx-order*.*less-le-not-le* *opfx-snoc* *sopfxI*′)

lemma *opfx-opfx* [*simp*]:
 assumes *opfx* *xs* *ys*
 shows   *opfx* *xs* (*ys* $\ominus$ *zs*)
using *assms* **unfolding** *opfx-def* **by** *fastforce*

lemma *intapp-opfxD*:
 assumes *opfx* (*xs* $\ominus$ *ys*) *zs*
 shows   *opfx* *xs* *zs*
using *assms* **by** (*auto simp add*: *opfx-def*)

lemma *opfx-cons*:
 opfx *xs* (*y* $\odot$ *ys*) = (*xs* = $\langle y \rangle$ $\vee$ ($\exists$ *zs*. *xs* = *y* $\odot$ *zs* $\wedge$ *opfx* *zs* *ys*))
by (*case-tac* *xs*) (*auto simp add*: *opfx-def*)

lemma *opfx-intapp*:
 opfx *xs* (*ys* $\ominus$ *zs*) = (*opfx* *xs* *ys* $\vee$ ($\exists$ *us*. *xs* = *ys* $\ominus$ *us* $\wedge$ *opfx* *us* *zs*))
proof (*induct* *zs* *rule*: *interval-rev-induct*)
case (*St* *y*)
then show *?case* **by** (*meson* *opfx-snoc* *same-opfx-opfx*)
next
case (*snoc* *x* *xs*)
then show *?case* **by** (*metis* *interval-intapp-assoc* *opfx-snoc*)
qed

lemma *intapp-one-opfx*:
assumes *opfx* *xs* *ys*
      intlen *xs* < *intlen* *ys*
  shows *opfx* (*xs* $\ominus$ $\langle$*nth* *ys* ((*intlen* *xs*)+1)$\rangle$) *ys*
using *assms*
proof (*unfold opfx-def*)
 assume *1*: $\exists$ *zs*. *ys* = *xs* $\ominus$ *zs* $\vee$ *ys* = *xs*
   then obtain *sk* **where** *2*: *ys* = *xs* $\ominus$ *sk* $\vee$ *ys* = *xs*
   by *fastforce*
 assume *3*: *intlen* *xs* < *intlen* *ys*
 have *4*: *intlen* *sk* $\geq$ *0*
   by *auto*
 have *5*: $\exists$ *v*. *ys* = *xs* $\ominus$ ((*intfirst* *sk*) $\odot$ *v*) $\vee$ *ys* = *xs* $\ominus$ $\langle$*intfirst* *sk*$\rangle$
    by (*metis* *2* *3* *4* *interval-hd-tail* *interval-nth-zero-intfirst* *interval-suffix-intlen*
       interval-suffix-zero *le-eq-less-or-eq* *nat-neq-iff*)
 have *6*: *ys* $\neq$ *xs*

using *3* **by** *blast*
have *7*: (*intfirst sk*) = *nth* (*xs* ⊖ *sk*) ((*intlen xs*) + *1*)
  by (*simp add*: *interval-intapp-nth*)
have *8*: *ys* = *xs* ⊖ *sk*
  using *2 6* **by** *auto*
have *9*: *nth* (*xs* ⊖ *sk*) ((*intlen xs*) + *1*) = *nth* (*ys*) ((*intlen xs*) + *1*)
  using *8* **by** *blast*
thus ∃ *zs*. *ys* = (*xs* ⊖ ⟨*nth ys* ((*intlen xs*) + *1*)⟩) ⊖ *zs* ∨ *ys* = *xs* ⊖ ⟨*nth ys* ((*intlen xs*) + *1*)⟩
   using *5 7 9*
  by *simp*
qed

lemma *opfx-intlen-le*:
 assumes *opfx xs ys*
 shows   *intlen xs* ≤ *intlen ys*
 using *assms* **by** (*auto simp add*: *opfx-def*)

lemma *opfx-same-cases*:
 assumes *opfx xs1 ys*
     opfx xs2 ys
 shows *opfx xs1 xs2* ∨ *opfx xs2 xs1*
using *assms* **unfolding** *opfx-def* **using** *interval-intapp-eq-intapp-conv2*
by *metis*

lemma *opfx-intlen-opfx*:
 assumes *opfx ps xs*
    opfx qs xs
    intlen ps ≤ *intlen qs*
 shows *opfx ps qs*
using *assms*
by (*auto simp*: *opfx-def*)
  (*metis assms*(*2*) *dual-order.antisym interval-intapp-eq-intapp-conv opfx-intapp opfx-intlen-le*)

lemma *set-mono-opfx*:
 assumes *opfx xs ys*
 shows *set xs* ⊆ *set ys*
using *assms* **by** (*auto simp*: *opfx-def*)

lemma *prefix-is-opfx*:
 opfx (*prefix n xs*) *xs*
by (*auto simp*: *opfx-def*)
 (*metis Suc-eq-plus1 add-diff-inverse-nat interval-intapp-prefix-suffix interval-prefix-intlen-gr-1*
     less-Suc-eq-0-disj less-Suc-eq-le not-less)

lemma *map-mono-opfx*:
 assumes *opfx xs ys*
 shows *opfx* (*map f xs*) (*map f ys*)
using *assms* **by** (*auto simp*: *opfx-def*)

lemma *opfx-intlen-less*:

assumes *sopfx xs ys*
shows *intlen xs < intlen ys*
using *assms* **by** (*auto simp*: *sopfx-def opfx-def*)

lemma *opfx-snocD*:
 assumes *opfx* (*xs⊖⟨x⟩*) *ys*
 shows *sopfx xs ys*
using *assms intapp-opfxD opfx-order.antisym sopfxI' sopfx-def* **by** *blast*

lemma *sopfx-simps* [*simp*, *code*]:
 sopfx xs ⟨y⟩ ⟷ False
 sopfx ⟨x⟩ (x⊙xs) ⟷ True
 sopfx (x⊙xs) (y⊙ys) ⟷ x=y ∧ sopfx xs ys
proof (*simp-all add*: *sopfx-def*)
 show *opfx xs ⟨y⟩ ⟶ xs = ⟨y⟩*
  by (*metis interval-intapp-not-state opfxE*)
 show *opfx ⟨x⟩ (x ⊙ xs)*
  by (*simp add*: *opfx-cons*)
 show (*x = y ∧ opfx xs ys ∧ (x = y ⟶ xs ≠ ys)*) = (*x = y ∧ opfx xs ys ∧ xs ≠ ys*)
  by *auto*
qed

lemma *prefix-sopfx*:
 assumes *sopfx xs ys*
 shows *sopfx* (*prefix n xs*) *ys*
using *assms*
proof (*induct n arbitrary*: *xs ys*)
case *0*
then show *?case*
 proof (*cases ys*)
 case (*St x1*)
 then show *?thesis*
  using *0.prems* **by** *auto*
 next
 case (*Cons x21 x22*)
 then show *?thesis*
  using *0.prems opfx-order.le-less-trans prefix-is-opfx* **by** *blast*
 qed
next
case (*Suc n*)
then show *?case*
 using *opfx-order.order.strict-trans1 prefix-is-opfx* **by** *blast*
qed

lemma *osfxI* [*intro?*]:
 assumes *ys = zs ⊖ xs ∨ ys =xs*
 shows *osfx xs ys*
using *assms* **unfolding** *osfx-def* **by** *blast*

lemma *osfxE* [*elim?*]:

assumes *osfx xs ys*
obtains *zs* **where** *ys= zs ⊖ xs ∨ ys = xs*
 using *assms* **unfolding** *osfx-def* **by** *blast*

lemma *osfx-tl* [*simp*]:
 assumes *intlen xs >0*
 shows *osfx (suffix 1 xs) xs*
using *assms*
proof (*induct xs*)
case (*St x*)
then show *?case* **by** *simp*
next
case (*Cons x1a xs*)
then show *?case* **by** (*auto simp*: *osfx-def*) (*metis intapp.simps(1)*)
qed

lemma *osfx-suffix* [*simp*]:
assumes *i≤ intlen xs*
 shows *osfx (suffix i xs) xs*
using *assms*
proof (*cases i*)
case *0*
then show *?thesis* **by** *simp*
next
case (*Suc nat*)
then show *?thesis*
  proof (*cases xs*)
  case (*St x1*)
  then show *?thesis* **unfolding** *osfx-def* **by** *simp*
  next
  case (*Cons x21 x22*)
  then show *?thesis* **unfolding** *osfx-def*
  by (*metis Suc Suc-eq-plus1 assms interval-intapp-prefix-suffix less-le-trans zero-less-Suc*)
  qed
qed

lemma *osfx-prefix* [*simp*]:
assumes *osfx xs ys*
 shows *ys = (prefix (intlen ys − intlen xs −1) ys) ⊖ xs ∨ ys =xs*
using *assms*
by (*auto simp add*: *osfx-def*)
  (*metis diff-zero interval-prefix-intapp interval-prefix-intlen*)

lemma *osfx-snoc* [*simp*]:
 osfx xs (ys⊖ ⟨y⟩) ⟷
  xs = ⟨y⟩ ∨ (∃ zs. xs = zs ⊖ ⟨y⟩ ∧ osfx zs ys)
proof (*cases xs*)
case (*St x1*)

645

then show *?thesis* **by** (*metis interval-intlast-intapp interval-intlast-intapp2 osfxI osfx-prefix*)
next
case (*Cons x21 x22*)
then show *?thesis*
  proof *auto*
   show *xs = x21 ⊙ x22 ⟹ osfx (x21 ⊙ x22) (ys ⊖ ⟨y⟩) ⟹ ∃ zs. x21 ⊙ x22 = zs ⊖ ⟨y⟩ ∧ osfx zs ys*
    using *interval-intapp-eq-intapp-conv2 interval-intapp-not-state* **unfolding** *osfx-def*
      by (*metis interval.distinct(1)*)
   show *⋀zs. xs = zs ⊖ ⟨y⟩ ⟹ x21 ⊙ x22 = zs ⊖ ⟨y⟩ ⟹ osfx zs ys ⟹ osfx (zs ⊖ ⟨y⟩) (ys ⊖ ⟨y⟩)*
    unfolding *osfx-def* **by** (*metis interval-intapp-assoc*)
    qed
qed

lemma *snoc-osfx-snoc* [*simp*]:
osfx (xs ⊖ ⟨x⟩) (ys ⊖ ⟨y⟩) = (x = y ∧ osfx xs ys)
by (*simp add*: *osfx-def*)
   (*metis interval-intapp-assoc interval-intapp-eq-conv*)

lemma *same-osfx-osfx* [*simp*]:
osfx (ys ⊖ xs) (zs ⊖ xs) = osfx ys zs
unfolding *osfx-def*
by (*metis interval-intapp-assoc interval-intapp-same-eq*)

lemma *same-suffix-state* [*simp*]:
osfx (ys ⊖ xs) (x⊙xs) = (ys = ⟨x⟩)
unfolding *osfx-def*
by (*metis intapp-St interval-intapp-assoc interval-intapp-not-state interval-intapp-same-eq*)

lemma *osfx-cons*:
 osfx xs (y⊙ys) ⟷ xs = y⊙ys ∨ osfx xs ys
unfolding *osfx-def*
by (*auto simp*: *interval-cons-eq-intapp-conv*)

lemma *osfx-intapp*:
 osfx xs (ys ⊖ zs) ⟷
   osfx xs zs ∨ (∃ xs'. xs = xs' ⊖ zs ∧ osfx xs' ys)
by (*auto simp*: *osfx-def interval-intapp-eq-intapp-conv2*)

lemma *osfx-intlen*:
assumes *osfx xs ys*
 shows   *intlen xs ≤ intlen ys*
using *assms* **by** (*auto simp add*: *osfx-def*)

lemma *osfx-same-cases*:
assumes *osfx xs₁ ys*
        osfx xs₂ ys
shows   *osfx xs₁ xs₂ ∨ osfx xs₂ xs₁*
using *assms* **unfolding** *osfx-def* **by** (*metis interval-intapp-eq-intapp-conv2*)

lemma *osfx-intlen-osfx*:

646

assumes *osfx ps xs*
      osfx qs xs
      intlen ps $\leq$ intlen qs
shows   *osfx ps qs*
using *assms*
by (*auto simp: osfx-def interval-intapp-eq-intapp-conv2*)

lemma *osfx-intlen-less*:
assumes *sosfx xs ys*
shows   *intlen xs $<$ intlen ys*
using *assms* **by** (*auto simp: sosfx-def osfx-def*)

lemma *osfx-ConsD′*:
assumes *osfx (x⊙xs) ys*
shows   *sosfx xs ys*
using *assms*
by (*simp add: sosfx-def osfx-def*)
  (*metis interval-intapp-assoc interval-rev-eq-cons-iff interval-rev-intapp*
   interval-rev-rev-ident opfx-order.dual-order.strict-iff-order sopfxI′)

lemma *suffix-sosfx*:
assumes *sosfx xs ys*
shows   *sosfx (suffix n xs) ys*
using *assms*
proof (*induct n arbitrary: xs ys*)
case *0*
then show *?case* **by** *simp*
next
case (*Suc n*)
then show *?case*
   proof (*cases xs*)
   case (*St x1*)
   then show *?thesis* **using** *Suc.prems* **by** *auto*
   next
   case (*Cons x21 x22*)
   then show *?thesis*
   using *Suc.hyps Suc.prems osfx-ConsD′ osfx-order.less-imp-le* **by** *fastforce*
   qed
qed

lemma *sosfx-tl* [*simp*]:
 assumes *intlen xs >0*
 shows   *sosfx (suffix 1 xs) xs*
using *assms*
proof (*induct xs*)
case (*St x*)
then show *?case* **by** *simp*
next
case (*Cons x1a xs*)

647

then show *?case* **using** *osfx-ConsD′* **by** *force*
qed

lemma *state-osfx* [*simp*]:
  osfx ⟨intlast xs⟩ xs
using *osfx-suffix* **by** *fastforce*

lemma *osfx-state* [*simp*]:
  $(osfx\ xs\ \langle intlast\ xs\rangle) = (xs = \langle intlast\ xs\rangle)$
using *osfx-order.antisym state-osfx* **by** *auto*

lemma *osfx-ConsI*:
assumes *osfx xs ys*
shows   *osfx xs* ($x \odot ys$)
using *assms*
by (*metis interval.inject*(2) *interval-hd-tail intlen.simps*(2) *le-add1*
      osfx-suffix plus-1-eq-Suc osfx-order.order.trans zero-less-Suc)

lemma *osfx-ConsD*:
assumes *osfx* ($x \odot xs$) *ys*
shows   *osfx xs ys*
using *assms*
by (*meson osfx-ConsD′ osfx-order.less-imp-le*)

lemma *osfx-intappI*:
assumes *osfx xs ys*
shows   *osfx xs* ($zs \ominus ys$)
using *assms* **by** (*metis interval-intapp-assoc osfx-def*)

lemma *osfx-intappD*:
assumes *osfx* ($zs \ominus xs$) *ys*
shows   *osfx xs ys*
using *assms osfxI osfx-order.dual-order.trans* **by** *blast*

lemma *sosfx-set-subset*:
assumes *sosfx xs ys*
shows   *set xs* $\subseteq$ *set ys*
using *assms* **by** (*auto simp*: *sosfx-def osfx-def*)

lemma *set-mono-osfx*:
assumes *osfx xs ys*
shows   *set xs* $\subseteq$ *set ys*
using *assms* **by** (*auto simp*: *osfx-def*)

lemma *osfx-ConsD2*:
assumes *osfx* ($x \odot xs$) ($y \odot ys$)
shows   *osfx xs ys*
using *assms*
proof −
 assume *osfx* ($x \odot xs$) ($y \odot ys$)

then obtain *zs* **where** *y⊙ys = zs ⊖ (x⊙xs) ∨ y⊙ys = x⊙xs*
  using *osfxE* **by** *blast*
 then show *?thesis*
 by (*metis assms interval.inject*(*2*) *osfx-ConsD osfx-cons*)
qed

lemma *osfx-to-opfx* [*code*]:
 osfx xs ys ⟷ opfx (*intrev xs*) (*intrev ys*)
unfolding *opfx-def*
by (*metis interval-rev-intapp interval-rev-rev-ident osfx-def*)

lemma *sosfx-to-sopfx* [*code*]:
 sosfx xs ys ⟷ sopfx (*intrev xs*) (*intrev ys*)
by (*auto simp*: *osfx-to-opfx sosfx-def sopfx-def*)

lemma *map-mono-osfx*:
assumes *osfx xs ys*
shows   *osfx* (*map f xs*) (*map f ys*)
using *assms* **by** (*auto elim*!: *osfxE intro*: *osfxI*)

lemma *prefix-subset*:
assumes *k≤intlen xs*
 shows   *set* (*prefix k xs*) ≤ *set xs*
using *assms* **by** (*simp add*: *prefix-is-opfx set-mono-opfx*)

lemma *suffix-subset*:
assumes *k≤intlen xs*
shows   *set* (*suffix k xs*) ≤ *set xs*
using *assms* **by** (*simp add*: *set-mono-osfx*)

20.2.2   distinct and remdups

lemma *distinct-intapp* [*simp*]:
 distinct (*xs⊖ys*) = (*distinct xs ∧ distinct ys ∧ set xs ∩ set ys = {}*)
by (*induct xs*) *auto*

lemma *distinct-osfx*:
assumes *distinct ys*
      osfx xs ys
shows   *distinct xs*
using *assms*
proof (*clarsimp elim*!: *osfxE*)
 show ⋀*zs. distinct ys ⟹ ys = zs ⊖ xs ∨ ys = xs ⟹ distinct xs*
 using *distinct-intapp* **by** *blast*
qed

lemma *distinct-tl*:
assumes *distinct xs*
      intlen xs > 0

shows   *distinct (suffix 1 xs)*
using *assms*
by (*cases xs*) *auto*

lemma *distinct-intrev* [*simp*]:
 distinct (intrev xs) = distinct xs
by (*induct xs*) *auto*

lemma *set-remdups* [*simp*]:
 set (remdups xs) = set xs
by (*induct xs*) *auto*

lemma *distinct-remdups* [*iff*]:
 distinct (remdups xs)
by (*induct xs*) *auto*

lemma *distinct-remdups-id*:
assumes *distinct xs*
shows   *remdups xs = xs*
using *assms*
by (*induct xs*) *auto*

lemma *remdups-id-iff-distinct* [*simp*]:
 remdups xs = xs ⟷ distinct xs
by (*metis distinct-remdups distinct-remdups-id*)

lemma *distinct-map*:
 distinct (map f xs) = (distinct xs ∧ inj-on f (set xs))
by (*induct xs*) *auto*

lemma *distinct-prefix* [*simp*]:
assumes *distinct xs*
shows   *distinct (prefix i xs)*
using *assms*
proof (*induct xs arbitrary*: *i*)
case (*St x*)
then show *?case* **by** *simp*
next
case (*Cons x1a xs*)
then show *?case*
  proof (*cases i*)
  case *0*
  then show *?thesis* **by** *auto*
  next
  case (*Suc nat*)
  then show *?thesis*
  using *Cons.hyps Cons.prems prefix-is-opfx set-mono-opfx* **by** *fastforce*
  qed
qed

lemma *distinct-suffix* [*simp*]:
assumes *distinct xs*
shows *distinct* (*suffix i xs*)
using *assms*
proof
 (*induct xs arbitrary*: *i*)
 case (*St x*)
 then show *?case* **by** *simp*
 next
 case (*Cons x1a xs*)
 then show *?case*
   proof (*cases i*)
   case *0*
   then show *?thesis* **using** *Cons.prems* **by** *auto*
   next
   case (*Suc nat*)
   then show *?thesis* **using** *interval-intapp-prefix-suffix* *Cons.hyps Cons.prems* **by** *auto*
   qed
qed

lemma *distinct-conv-nth*:
 distinct xs =
  (∀ *i* ≤ *intlen xs*. (∀ *j* ≤ *intlen xs*. *i* ≠ *j* ⟶ *nth xs i* ≠ *nth xs j*))
proof
 (*induction xs*)
 case (*St x*)
 then show *?case* **by** *simp*
 next
 case (*Cons x1a xs*)
 then show *?case*
   by (*auto simp add*: *Cons nth-Cons interval-nth-and-set split*: *nat.split-asm*)
     blast+
qed

lemma *distinct-Ex1*:
assumes *distinct xs*
        x ∈ *set xs*
shows (∃!*i*. *i*≤ *intlen xs* ∧ (*nth xs i*) = *x*)
using *assms*
by (*metis distinct-conv-nth interval-nth-and-set*)

lemma *inj-on-nth*:
assumes *distinct xs*
shows (∀ *i* ∈ *I*. *i* ≤ *intlen xs* ⟹ *inj-on* (*nth xs*) *I*)
using *assms*
by (*meson distinct-conv-nth inj-onI*)

lemma *bij-betw-nth*:

assumes *distinct xs*

  $A = \{..< intlen\ xs+1\}$

  $B = set\ xs$

 shows   *bij-betw* $((nth)\ xs)\ A\ B$

 using *assms* **unfolding** *bij-betw-def*

proof (*auto intro!: inj-on-nth simp: set-conv-nth*)

  show $\bigwedge xa.$ *distinct xs* $\Longrightarrow$

    $A = \{..<Suc\ (intlen\ xs)\} \Longrightarrow$

    $B = set\ xs \Longrightarrow xa < Suc\ (intlen\ xs) \Longrightarrow nth\ xs\ xa \in set\ xs$

   by (*meson interval-nth-and-set less-Suc-eq-le*)

  show $\bigwedge x.$ *distinct xs* $\Longrightarrow$

    $A = \{..<Suc\ (intlen\ xs)\} \Longrightarrow$

    $B = set\ xs \Longrightarrow x \in set\ xs \Longrightarrow x \in nth\ xs\ `\ \{..<Suc\ (intlen\ xs)\}$

   using *interval-nth-and-set* **by** (*metis image-iff lessThan-iff less-Suc-eq-le*)

qed

lemma *card-distinct*:

 assumes *card (set xs) = intlen xs +1*

 shows   *distinct xs*

using *assms*

proof

 (*induct xs*)

 case (*St x*)

 then show *?case* **by** *simp*

 next

 case (*Cons x1a xs*)

 then show *?case*

  proof (*cases x1a* $\in$ *set xs*)

  case *True*

  then show *?thesis*

  by (*metis Cons.prems remdups.simps(2) set-remdups add-Suc interval-card-intlen intlen.simps(2)*

    not-less-eq-eq plus-1-eq-Suc)

  next

  case *False*

  then show *?thesis* **using** *Cons.hyps Cons.prems* **by** *auto*

  qed

qed

lemma *finite-interval*:

 assumes *finite A*

 shows $(A \neq \{\} \longrightarrow (\exists xs.\ set\ xs = A))$

using *assms*

proof (*induct rule:finite-induct*)

case *empty*

then show *?case* **by** *simp*

next

case (*insert x F*)

then show *?case* **by** (*metis insert-is-Un interval.set(1) interval-set-intapp*)

qed

lemma *finite-distinct-interval*:
assumes *finite A*
$\qquad$ $A \neq \{\}$
shows $(\exists\ xs.\ set\ xs = A \land distinct\ xs)$
using *assms* **by** (*metis distinct-remdups finite-interval set-remdups*)

lemma *remdups-eq-state-iff* [*simp*]:
$(remdups\ xs = \langle x \rangle) = (\forall\ i \le intlen\ xs.\ (nth\ xs\ i) = x\ )$
proof
(*induct xs*)
case (*St x*)
then show *?case* **by** *auto*
next
case (*Cons x1a xs*)
then show *?case*
proof $-$
$\quad$ **have** *1*: $(\forall i \le intlen\ (x1a \odot xs).\ nth\ (x1a \odot xs)\ i = x) =$
$\qquad$ $(\ x1a = x \land (\forall i \le intlen\ (xs).\ nth\ (xs)\ i = x))$
$\qquad$ **by** *auto*
$\qquad$ (*metis Nitpick.case-nat-unfold Suc-eq-plus1 le-diff-conv*)
$\quad$ **have** *2*: $(remdups\ (x1a \odot xs) = \langle x \rangle) =$
$\qquad$ $((if\ x1a \in set\ xs\ then\ remdups\ xs\ else\ x1a \odot remdups\ xs) = \langle x \rangle)$
$\qquad$ **by** *simp*
$\quad$ **have** *3*: $((if\ x1a \in set\ xs\ then\ remdups\ xs\ else\ x1a \odot remdups\ xs) = \langle x \rangle) =$
$\qquad$ $(remdups\ xs = \langle x \rangle \land x1a \in set\ xs)$
$\quad$ **by** *auto*
$\quad$ **have** *4*: $(remdups\ xs = \langle x \rangle \land x1a \in set\ xs) =$
$\qquad$ $((\forall i \le intlen\ (xs).\ nth\ (xs)\ i = x) \land x1a \in set\ xs)$
$\quad$ **using** *Cons.hyps* **by** *blast*
$\quad$ **have** *5*: $(\forall i \le intlen\ (xs).\ nth\ (xs)\ i = x) \land x1a \in set\ xs \longrightarrow x1a = x$
$\qquad$ **by** (*metis interval-nth-and-set*)
$\quad$ **show** *?thesis*
$\quad$ **by** (*metis 1 2 3 5 Cons.hyps set-remdups interval.set-intros(1)*)
$\quad$ **qed**
qed

lemma *remdups-eq-state-right-iff* [*simp*]:
$(\langle x \rangle = remdups\ xs) = (\forall\ i \le intlen\ xs.\ (nth\ xs\ i) = x\ )$
by (*metis remdups-eq-state-iff*)

lemma *length-remdups-leq* [*iff*]:
$intlen(remdups\ xs) \le intlen\ xs$
by (*induct xs*) *auto*

lemma *length-remdups-eq*[*iff*]:
$\quad$ $(intlen\ (remdups\ xs) = intlen\ xs) = (remdups\ xs = xs)$
proof
(*induct xs*)
case (*St x*)

then show *?case* **by** *simp*
next
case (*Cons x1a xs*)
then show *?case*
by (*metis length-remdups-leq remdups.simps*(*2*) *add-left-cancel intlen.simps*(*2*) *not-less-eq-eq*
    plus-1-eq-Suc)
qed

lemma *distinct-card*:
assumes *distinct xs*
shows   *card*(*set xs*) = *Suc*(*intlen xs*)
using *assms*
by (*induct xs*) *simp-all*

20.2.3   prefixes and suffixes

lemma *in-set-prefixes* [*simp*]:
xs ∈ *set* (*prefixes ys*) ⟷ *opfx xs ys*
proof
(*induct xs arbitrary*: *ys*)
case (*St x*)
then show *?case*
  proof (*cases ys*)
  case (*St x1*)
  then show *?thesis* **using** *opfxE* **by** *force*
  next
  case (*Cons x21 x22*)
  then show *?thesis* **unfolding** *opfx-def* **by** *auto*
  qed
next
case (*Cons x1a xs*)
then show *?case*
  proof (*cases ys*)
  case (*St x1*)
  then show *?thesis* **by** (*simp add*: *opfx-code*(*2*))
  next
  case (*Cons x21 x22*)
  then show *?thesis*
    proof (*auto simp add*: *opfx-def*)
      show *ys* = *x21* ⊙ *x22* ⟹
          xs ∈ *interval.set* (*prefixes x22*) ⟹
          x1a = *x21* ⟹
          x22 ≠ *xs* ⟹
          ∃ *zs*. *x22* = *xs* ⊖ *zs*
        using *Cons.hyps opfxE* **by** *blast*
      show ⋀*zs*. *ys* = *x1a* ⊙ *xs* ⊖ *zs* ⟹
            x21 = *x1a* ⟹ *x22* = *xs* ⊖ *zs* ⟹
            x1a ⊙ *xs* ∈ (⊙) *x1a* ' *interval.set* (*prefixes* (*xs* ⊖ *zs*))
        by (*simp add*: *Cons.hyps*)
      show *ys* = *x1a* ⊙ *xs* ⟹

$x22 = xs \implies$

$x21 = x1a \implies$

$x1a \odot xs \in (\odot) \; x1a \; ` \; interval.set \; (prefixes \; xs)$

    using *Cons.hyps* **by** *blast*

  qed

 qed

qed

lemma *intlen-prefixes* [*simp*]:

 intlen (*prefixes xs*) = *intlen xs*

by (*induction xs*) *auto*

lemma *distinct-prefixes* [*intro*]:

 distinct (*prefixes xs*)

proof (*induction xs*)

case (*St x*)

then show *?case* **by** (*auto simp*: *distinct-map*)

next

case (*Cons x1a xs*)

then show *?case* **by** (*auto simp*: *distinct-map*)

            (*meson inj-onI interval.inject*(2))

qed

lemma *prefixes-snoc* [*simp*]:

 prefixes ($xs\ominus\langle x\rangle$) = (*prefixes xs*) $\ominus \langle xs\ominus\langle x\rangle\rangle$

by (*induction xs*) *auto*

lemma *intfirst-prefixes* [*simp*]:

 intfirst (*prefixes xs*) = $\langle$*intfirst xs*$\rangle$

by (*cases xs*) *auto*

lemma *intlast-prefixes* [*simp*]:

 intlast (*prefixes xs*) = *xs*

by (*induction xs*)

  (*simp-all add*: *intlast-map interval-nth-map*)

lemma *prefixes-intapp*:

 prefixes ($xs\ominus ys$) =

 prefixes xs $\ominus$ *map* ($\lambda ys'. \; xs \ominus ys'$) (*prefixes ys*)

proof

(*induction xs arbitrary*: *ys*)

case (*St x*)

then show *?case* **by** *simp*

next

case (*Cons x1a xs*)

then show *?case*

  proof $-$

   have *1*: *prefixes* (($x1a \odot xs$) $\ominus ys$) = $\langle x1a\rangle \odot$ (*map* (($\odot$) *x1a*) (*prefixes* ($xs \ominus ys$)))

```
      by simp
    have 2: prefixes (xs ⊖ ys) = prefixes xs ⊖ map ((⊖) xs) (prefixes ys)
      by (simp add: Cons.IH)
    have 3: ⟨x1a⟩ ⊙ (map ((⊙) x1a) (prefixes (xs ⊖ ys))) =
            ⟨x1a⟩ ⊙ (map ((⊙) x1a) (prefixes xs ⊖ map ((⊖) xs) (prefixes ys)))

      using 2 by auto
    show ?thesis by (simp add: 3)
  qed
qed


lemma prefixes-eq-snoc:
  prefixes ys = xs ⊖ ⟨x⟩ ⟷
  (∃ z zs. ys = zs⊖⟨z⟩ ∧ xs = prefixes zs) ∧ x = ys
proof (cases ys rule: interval-rev-cases)
case (St x)
then show ?thesis using interval-intapp-not-state by (metis prefixes.simps(1))
next
case (snoc ys y)
then show ?thesis by auto
qed


lemma set-prefixes-eq:
  set (prefixes xs) = {ys. opfx ys xs}
by auto


lemma card-set-prefixes [simp]:
  card (set (prefixes xs)) = Suc (intlen xs)
by (simp add: distinct-card distinct-prefixes)


lemma set-prefixes-append:
  set (prefixes (xs⊖ys)) = set (prefixes xs) ∪ {xs ⊖ ys' | ys'. ys' ∈ set (prefixes ys)}
by (subst prefixes-intapp) auto



lemma in-set-suffixes [simp]:
  xs ∈ set(suffixes ys) ⟷ osfx xs ys
proof (induct ys)
case (St x)
then show ?case using osfx-prefix by fastforce
next
case (Cons x1a ys)
then show ?case by (simp add: osfx-cons)
qed

lemma interval-sfx-state:
  set(suffixes ⟨x⟩) = {⟨x⟩}
by simp


lemma interval-sfx-cons:
```

set(*suffixes* (*x*⊙*xs*)) = {*x*⊙*xs*} ∪ *set*(*suffixes xs*)
by *auto*

lemma *set-suffixes-sfx*:
set (*suffixes xs*) = {*suffix i xs*| *i*. *i*≤*intlen xs*}
proof
(*induction xs*)
case (*St x*)
then show *?case* **by** *auto*
next
case (*Cons x1a xs*)
then show *?case*
  proof *auto*
  show *set* (*suffixes xs*) = {*suffix i xs* |*i*. *i* ≤ *intlen xs*} ⟹
    ∃*i*. *x1a* ⊙ *xs* = (*case i of 0* ⇒ *x1a* ⊙ *xs* | *Suc m* ⇒ *suffix m xs*) ∧ *i* ≤ *Suc* (*intlen xs*)
  by *force*
  show ⋀*i*. *set* (*suffixes xs*) = {*suffix i xs* |*i*. *i* ≤ *intlen xs*} ⟹
    i ≤ *intlen xs* ⟹ ∃*ia*. *suffix i xs* = (*case ia of 0* ⇒ *x1a* ⊙ *xs* | *Suc m* ⇒ *suffix m xs*) ∧
        ia ≤ *Suc* (*intlen xs*)
  by *force*
  show ⋀*i*. *set* (*suffixes xs*) = {*suffix i xs* |*i*. *i* ≤ *intlen xs*} ⟹
    (*case i of 0* ⇒ *x1a* ⊙ *xs* | *Suc m* ⇒ *suffix m xs*) ≠ *x1a* ⊙ *xs* ⟹
    i ≤ *Suc* (*intlen xs*) ⟹ ∃*ia*. (*case i of 0* ⇒ *x1a* ⊙ *xs* | *Suc m* ⇒
    suffix m xs) = *suffix ia xs* ∧ *ia* ≤ *intlen xs*
    by (*metis Nitpick.case-nat-unfold Suc-eq-plus1 le-diff-conv*)
  qed
qed

lemma *set-sfx-exists*:
 (∃*i*≤*intlen xs*. *f* (*suffix i xs*)) = (*set* (*suffixes xs*) ∩ {*ys*. *f*(*ys*)} ≠ {})
proof *rule*+
 show ∃*i*≤*intlen xs*. *f* (*suffix i xs*) ⟹ *set* (*suffixes xs*) ∩ {*ys*. *f ys*} = {} ⟹ *False*
 by (*meson disjoint-iff-not-equal in-set-suffixes mem-Collect-eq osfx-suffix*)
 show *set* (*suffixes xs*) ∩ {*ys*. *f ys*} ≠ {} ⟹ ∃*i*≤*intlen xs*. *f* (*suffix i xs*)
  using *in-set-suffixes mem-Collect-eq set-suffixes-sfx* **by** *auto force*
qed

lemma *set-suffixes-osfx*:
set(*suffixes xs*) = {*ys*. *osfx ys xs*}
by *auto*

lemma *distinct-suffixes* [*intro*]:
 distinct(*suffixes xs*)
proof (*induct xs*)
case (*St x*)
then show *?case* **by** *simp*
next
case (*Cons x1a xs*)
then show *?case*

by *auto* (*metis Suc-n-not-n intlen.simps*(*2*) *osfx-ConsD osfx-cons osfx-order.antisym plus-1-eq-Suc*)
qed

lemma *intlen-suffixes* [*simp*]:
 intlen (*suffixes xs*) = *intlen xs*
by (*induct xs*) *simp-all*

lemma *suffixes-snoc* [*simp*]:
suffixes (*xs* ⊖ ⟨*x*⟩) = (*map* (λ *ys. ys* ⊖ ⟨*x*⟩) (*suffixes xs*)) ⊖ ⟨⟨*x*⟩⟩
by (*induct xs*) *simp-all*

lemma *intfirst-suffixes* [*simp*]:
 intfirst (*suffixes xs*) = *xs*
by (*induct xs*) *simp-all*

lemma *intlast-suffixes* [*simp*]:
intlast (*suffixes xs*) = ⟨*intlast xs*⟩
by (*induct xs*) *simp-all*

lemma *suffixes-intapp*:
 suffixes (*xs* ⊖ *ys*) = *map* (λ *xs'. xs'* ⊖ *ys*) (*suffixes xs*) ⊖ (*suffixes ys*)
proof
 (*induction xs arbitrary*: *ys*)
 case (*St x*)
 then show *?case* **by** *simp*
 next
 case (*Cons x1a ys*)
 then show *?case*
  proof (*cases ys*)
  case (*St x1*)
  then show *?thesis* **by** *simp*
  next
  case (*Cons x21 x22*)
  then show *?thesis* **by** (*auto simp add*: *Cons.IH*)
  qed
qed

lemma *card-set-suffixes* [*simp*]:
 card (*set* (*suffixes xs*)) = *Suc* (*intlen xs*)
by (*simp add*: *distinct-card distinct-suffixes*)

lemma *set-suffixes-intapp*:
set (*suffixes* (*xs*⊖*ys*)) = {*xs'* ⊖ *ys* | *xs'. xs'* ∈ *set* (*suffixes xs*)} ∪ *set* (*suffixes ys*)
proof (*subst suffixes-intapp*)
 show *set* (*map* (λ*xs'. xs'* ⊖ *ys*) (*suffixes xs*) ⊖ *suffixes ys*) =
     {*xs'* ⊖ *ys* |*xs'. xs'* ∈ *set* (*suffixes xs*)} ∪ *set* (*suffixes ys*)
 proof (*cases xs*)
 case (*St x1*)
 then show *?thesis* **by** *simp*

next
 case (*Cons x21 x22*)
 then show *?thesis* **by** *force*
 qed
qed

lemma *map-first-suffixes* [*simp*]:
 map (λ *xs. nth xs 0*) (*suffixes xs*) = *xs*
by (*induct xs*) *auto*

lemma *suffixes-conv-prefixes*:
  (*suffixes xs*) = *intrev* (*map intrev* (*prefixes* (*intrev xs*)))
by (*induction xs*) *auto*

lemma *prefixes-conv-suffixes*:
  (*prefixes xs*) = *intrev* (*map intrev* (*suffixes* (*intrev xs*)))
by (*induction xs*) (*auto simp add*: *intrev-map*)

lemma *prefixes-intrev*:
  prefixes (*intrev xs*) = *intrev* (*map intrev* (*suffixes xs*))
by (*induction xs*) *auto*

lemma *suffixes-intrev*:
  suffixes (*intrev xs*) = *intrev* (*map intrev* (*prefixes xs*))
by (*induction xs*) (*auto simp add*: *intrev-map*)

lemma *nth-suffixes*:
 assumes *i*$\leq$ *intlen*(*suffixes xs*)
 shows *nth* (*suffixes xs*) *i* = (*suffix i xs*)
using *assms*
proof (*induct xs arbitrary*:*i*)
case (*St x*)
then show *?case* **by** *simp*
next
case (*Cons x1a xs*)
then show *?case* **by** (*simp add*: *Nitpick.case-nat-unfold*)
qed

lemma *suffix-suffixes*:
 assumes *i* $\leq$ *intlen* (*suffixes xs*)
 shows *suffix i* (*suffixes xs*) = *suffixes* (*suffix i xs*)
using *assms*
proof (*induct xs arbitrary*:*i*)
case (*St x*)
then show *?case* **by** *simp*
next
case (*Cons x1a xs*)
then show *?case* **by** (*simp add*: *Nitpick.case-nat-unfold*)
qed

20.2.4 filter and nfilter

lemma *sfxfilter-intlen-a*:
 assumes $\exists\ ys \in set\ (suffixes\ \langle x\rangle).\ P\ ys$
 shows $intlen\ (filter\ P\ (suffixes\ \langle x\rangle)) = 0$
using *assms* **by** *simp*

lemma *sfxfilter-intlen-b*:
 assumes $(\exists\ ys \in set\ (suffixes\ (x{\odot}xs)).\ P\ ys)$
        $(\exists\ ys \in set\ (suffixes\ xs).\ P\ ys)$
        $P\ (x{\odot}xs)$
 shows $intlen\ (filter\ P\ (suffixes\ (x{\odot}xs))) = intlen(filter\ P\ (suffixes\ xs)){+}1$
using *assms* **by** *simp*

lemma *sfxfilter-intlen-c*:
 assumes $(\exists\ ys \in set\ (suffixes\ (x{\odot}xs)).\ P\ ys)$
        $(\exists\ ys \in set\ (suffixes\ xs).\ P\ ys)$
        $\neg\ P\ (x{\odot}xs)$
 shows $intlen\ (filter\ P\ (suffixes\ (x{\odot}xs))) = intlen(filter\ P\ (suffixes\ xs))$
using *assms* **by** *simp*

lemma *sfxfilter-intlen-d*:
 assumes $(\exists\ ys \in set\ (suffixes\ (x{\odot}xs)).\ P\ ys)$
        $\neg(\exists\ ys \in set\ (suffixes\ xs).\ P\ ys)$
 shows $intlen\ (filter\ P\ (suffixes\ (x{\odot}xs))) = 0$
using *assms* **by** *simp*

lemma *filter-intlen-a*:
 assumes $\exists\ ys \in set\ \langle x\rangle.\ P\ ys$
 shows $intlen\ (filter\ P\ \langle x\rangle) = 0$
using *assms* **by** *simp*

lemma *nfilter-intlen-a*:
 assumes $\exists\ ys \in set\ \langle x\rangle.\ P\ ys$
 shows $intlen\ (nfilter\ P\ \langle x\rangle\ n) = 0$
using *assms* **by** *simp*

lemma *filter-intlen-b*:
 assumes $(\exists\ ys \in set\ (x{\odot}xs).\ P\ ys)$
        $(\exists\ ys \in set\ (xs).\ P\ ys)$
        $P\ (x)$
 shows $intlen\ (filter\ P\ (x{\odot}xs)) = intlen(filter\ P\ (xs)){+}1$
using *assms* **by** *simp*

lemma *nfilter-intlen-b*:
 assumes $(\exists\ ys \in set\ (x{\odot}xs).\ P\ ys)$
        $(\exists\ ys \in set\ (xs).\ P\ ys)$
        $P\ (x)$
 shows $intlen\ (nfilter\ P\ (x{\odot}xs)\ n) = intlen(nfilter\ P\ (xs)\ (Suc\ n)){+}1$
using *assms* **by** *simp*

lemma *filter-intlen-c*:
 assumes $(\exists\ ys \in set\ (x \odot xs).\ P\ ys)$
   $(\exists\ ys \in set\ (xs).\ P\ ys)$
   $\neg\ P\ (x)$
 shows $intlen\ (filter\ P\ (x \odot xs)) = intlen(filter\ P\ (xs))$
using *assms* **by** *simp*

lemma *nfilter-intlen-c*:
 assumes $(\exists\ ys \in set\ (x \odot xs).\ P\ ys)$
   $(\exists\ ys \in set\ (xs).\ P\ ys)$
   $\neg\ P\ (x)$
 shows $intlen\ (nfilter\ P\ (x \odot xs)\ n) = intlen(nfilter\ P\ (xs)\ (Suc\ n))$
using *assms* **by** *simp*

lemma *filter-intlen-d*:
 assumes $(\exists\ ys \in set\ (\ (x \odot xs)).\ P\ ys)$
   $\neg(\exists\ ys \in set\ (\ xs).\ P\ ys)$
 shows $intlen\ (filter\ P\ (\ (x \odot xs))) = 0$
using *assms* **by** *simp*

lemma *nfilter-intlen-d*:
 assumes $(\exists\ ys \in set\ (\ (x \odot xs)).\ P\ ys)$
   $\neg(\exists\ ys \in set\ (\ xs).\ P\ ys)$
 shows $intlen\ (nfilter\ P\ (x \odot xs)\ n) = 0$
using *assms* **by** *simp*

lemma *sfxfilter-nth-a*:
 assumes $(\exists\ ys \in set\ (suffixes\ \langle x \rangle).\ P\ ys)$
   $j \leq intlen(filter\ P\ (suffixes\ \langle x \rangle))$
 shows $nth\ (filter\ P\ (suffixes\ \langle x \rangle))\ j = \langle x \rangle$
using *assms* **by** *simp*

lemma *sfxfilter-nth-b1*:
 assumes $(\exists\ ys \in set\ (suffixes\ (x \odot xs)).\ P\ ys)$
   $(\exists\ ys \in set\ (suffixes\ xs).\ P\ ys)$
   $P\ (x \odot xs)$
 shows $nth\ (filter\ P\ (suffixes\ (x \odot xs)))\ 0 = x \odot xs$
using *assms* **by** *simp*

lemma *sfxfilter-nth-b2*:
 assumes $(\exists\ ys \in set\ (suffixes\ (x \odot xs)).\ P\ ys)$
   $(\exists\ ys \in set\ (suffixes\ xs).\ P\ ys)$
   $P\ (x \odot xs)$
   $(Suc\ j) \leq intlen\ (filter\ P\ (suffixes\ (x \odot xs)))$
 shows $nth\ (filter\ P\ (suffixes\ (x \odot xs)))\ (Suc\ j) = nth\ (filter\ P\ (suffixes\ (xs)))\ j$
using *assms* **by** *auto*

lemma *sfxfilter-nth-c*:
 assumes $(\exists\ ys \in set\ (suffixes\ (x \odot xs)).\ P\ ys)$
   $(\exists\ ys \in set\ (suffixes\ xs).\ P\ ys)$

$\neg\ P\ (x \odot xs)$

$j \le$ *intlen* (*filter P* (*suffixes* ($x \odot xs$)))

shows *nth* (*filter P* (*suffixes* ($x \odot xs$))) $j =$ *nth* (*filter P* (*suffixes* (*xs*))) j

using *assms* **by** *auto*

lemma *sfxfilter-nth-d*:

assumes ($\exists\ ys \in$ *set* (*suffixes* ($x \odot xs$)). *P ys*)

$\neg(\exists\ ys \in$ *set* (*suffixes xs*). *P ys*)

$j \le$ *intlen* (*filter P* (*suffixes* ($x \odot xs$)))

shows *nth* (*filter P* (*suffixes* ($x \odot xs$))) $j = x \odot xs$

using *assms* **by** *auto*

lemma *nfilter-nth-a*:

assumes ($\exists\ ys \in$ *set* ($\langle x \rangle$). *P ys*)

$j \le$ *intlen*(*nfilter P* ($\langle x \rangle$) *n*)

shows *nth* (*nfilter P* ($\langle x \rangle$) *n*) $j = n$

using *assms* **by** *auto*

lemma *filter-nth-a*:

assumes ($\exists\ ys \in$ *set* ($\langle x \rangle$). *P ys*)

$j \le$ *intlen*(*filter P* ($\langle x \rangle$))

shows *nth* (*filter P* ($\langle x \rangle$)) $j = x$

using *assms* **by** *simp*

lemma *nfilter-nth-b1*:

assumes ($\exists\ ys \in$ *set* (($x \odot xs$)). *P ys*)

($\exists\ ys \in$ *set* (*xs*). *P ys*)

P (*x*)

shows *nth* (*nfilter P* (($x \odot xs$)) *n*) $0 = n$

using *assms* **by** *simp*

lemma *filter-nth-b1*:

assumes ($\exists\ ys \in$ *set* (($x \odot xs$)). *P ys*)

($\exists\ ys \in$ *set* (*xs*). *P ys*)

P (*x*)

shows *nth* (*filter P* (($x \odot xs$))) $0 = x$

using *assms* **by** *simp*

lemma *nfilter-nth-b2*:

assumes ($\exists\ ys \in$ *set* (($x \odot xs$)). *P ys*)

($\exists\ ys \in$ *set* (*xs*). *P ys*)

P (*x*)

(*Suc j*) $\le$ *intlen* (*nfilter P* (($x \odot xs$)) *n*)

shows *nth* (*nfilter P* (($x \odot xs$)) *n*) (*Suc j*) $=$ *nth* (*nfilter P* ((*xs*)) (*Suc n*)) *j*

using *assms* **by** *auto*

lemma *filter-nth-b2*:

assumes ($\exists\ ys \in$ *set* (($x \odot xs$)). *P ys*)

($\exists\ ys \in$ *set* (*xs*). *P ys*)

P (*x*)

$(Suc\ j) \leq intlen\ (filter\ P\ (\ (x\odot xs)))$
 shows $nth\ (filter\ P\ (\ (x\odot xs)))\ (Suc\ j) = nth\ (filter\ P\ (\ (xs)))\ j$
using *assms* **by** *auto*

lemma *nfilter-nth-c*:
 assumes $(\exists\ ys \in set\ (\ (x\odot xs)).\ P\ ys)$
        $(\exists\ ys \in set\ (\ xs).\ P\ ys)$
        $\neg\ P\ (x)$
        $j \leq intlen\ (nfilter\ P\ (\ (x\odot xs))\ n)$
 shows $nth\ (nfilter\ P\ (\ (x\odot xs))\ n)\ j = \ nth\ (nfilter\ P\ (\ (xs))\ (Suc\ n))\ j$
using *assms* **by** *auto*

lemma *filter-nth-c*:
 assumes $(\exists\ ys \in set\ (\ (x\odot xs)).\ P\ ys)$
        $(\exists\ ys \in set\ (\ xs).\ P\ ys)$
        $\neg\ P\ (x)$
        $j \leq intlen\ (filter\ P\ (\ (x\odot xs)))$
 shows $nth\ (filter\ P\ (\ (x\odot xs)))\ j = \ nth\ (filter\ P\ (\ (xs)))\ j$
using *assms* **by** *auto*

lemma *nfilter-nth-d*:
 assumes $(\exists\ ys \in set\ (\ (x\odot xs)).\ P\ ys)$
        $\neg(\exists\ ys \in set\ (\ xs).\ P\ ys)$
        $j \leq intlen\ (nfilter\ P\ (\ (x\odot xs))\ n)$
 shows $nth\ (nfilter\ P\ (\ (x\odot xs))\ n)\ j\ = n$
using *assms* **by** *auto*

lemma *filter-nth-d*:
 assumes $(\exists\ ys \in set\ (\ (x\odot xs)).\ P\ ys)$
        $\neg(\exists\ ys \in set\ (\ xs).\ P\ ys)$
        $j \leq intlen\ (filter\ P\ (\ (x\odot xs)))$
 shows $nth\ (filter\ P\ (\ (x\odot xs)))\ j\ = x$
using *assms* **by** *auto*

lemma *sfxfilter-nth-cons*:
 $nth\ (filter\ P\ (suffixes\ (x\odot xs)))\ j =$
  $(if\ (\exists\ ys \in set\ (suffixes\ xs).\ P\ ys)\ then$
     $(if\ P\ (x\odot xs)\ then$
       $(if\ j{=}0\ then\ (x\odot xs)\ else\ nth\ (filter\ P\ (suffixes\ xs))\ (j{-}1))$
      $else\ nth\ (filter\ P\ (suffixes\ xs))\ j)$
   $else\ (x\odot xs))$
by $(simp\ add:\ Nitpick.case\text{-}nat\text{-}unfold)$

lemma *sfxfilter-nth-cons-a*:
 $nth\ (filter\ P\ (suffixes\ (x\odot xs)))\ j =$
  $(if\ (\exists\ ys \in set\ (suffixes\ xs).\ P\ ys)\ then$
     $(if\ P\ (x\odot xs)\ then$
       $(case\ j\ of\ 0 \Rightarrow x\odot xs\ |\ Suc\ m \Rightarrow nth\ (filter\ P\ (suffixes\ xs))\ m)$

```
      else nth (filter P (suffixes xs)) j)
   else (x⊙xs))
by (simp add: Nitpick.case-nat-unfold)


lemma nfilter-nth-cons:
 nth (nfilter P ( (x⊙xs)) n) j =
  (if (∃ ys ∈ set ( xs). P ys) then
     (if P (x) then
       (if j=0 then (n) else nth (nfilter P ( xs) (Suc n)) (j−1))
       else nth (nfilter P  (xs) (Suc n)) j)
   else (n))
by (simp add: Nitpick.case-nat-unfold)


lemma nfilter-nth-cons-a:
 nth (nfilter P ( (x⊙xs)) n) j =
  (if (∃ ys ∈ set ( xs). P ys) then
     (if P (x) then
       (case j of 0 ⇒ n | Suc m ⇒ nth (nfilter P ( xs) (Suc n)) m)
       else nth (nfilter P  (xs) (Suc n)) j)
   else (n))
by (simp add: Nitpick.case-nat-unfold)


lemma filter-nth-cons:
 nth (filter P ( (x⊙xs))) j =
  (if (∃ ys ∈ set ( xs). P ys) then
     (if P (x) then
       (if j=0 then (x) else nth (filter P ( xs)) (j−1))
       else nth (filter P  (xs)) j)
   else (x))
by (simp add: Nitpick.case-nat-unfold)


lemma filter-nth-cons-a:
 nth (filter P ( (x⊙xs))) j =
  (if (∃ ys ∈ set ( xs). P ys) then
     (if P (x) then
       (case j of 0 ⇒ x | (Suc m) ⇒ nth (filter P ( xs)) m)
       else nth (filter P  (xs)) j)
   else (x))
by (simp add: Nitpick.case-nat-unfold)


lemma sfxfilter-nth:
 assumes (∃ ys ∈ set (suffixes xs). P ys)
      i ≤ intlen (filter P (suffixes xs))
 shows   P (nth (filter P (suffixes xs)) i)
using assms
proof
 (induction xs arbitrary: i)
 case (St x)
 then show ?case by simp
 next
```

case (*Cons x1a xs*)
then show *?case*
  proof (*cases* $\exists\, a{\in}set$ (*suffixes xs*). *P a*)
   show ($\bigwedge i.\ \exists\, a{\in}set$ (*suffixes xs*). *P a* $\Longrightarrow$
       $i \le intlen$ (*filter P* (*suffixes xs*)) $\Longrightarrow$
       P (*nth* (*filter P* (*suffixes xs*)) *i*)) $\Longrightarrow$
       $\exists\, a{\in}set$ (*suffixes* (*x1a* $\odot$ *xs*)). *P a* $\Longrightarrow$
       $i \le intlen$ (*filter P* (*suffixes* (*x1a* $\odot$ *xs*))) $\Longrightarrow$
       $\exists\, a{\in}set$ (*suffixes xs*). *P a* $\Longrightarrow$
       P (*nth* (*filter P* (*suffixes* (*x1a* $\odot$ *xs*))) *i*)
     by (*auto simp add*: *nat.split-sels*(2))
   show ($\bigwedge i.\ \exists\, a{\in}set$ (*suffixes xs*). *P a* $\Longrightarrow$
       $i \le intlen$ (*filter P* (*suffixes xs*)) $\Longrightarrow$
       P (*nth* (*filter P* (*suffixes xs*)) *i*)) $\Longrightarrow$
       $\exists\, a{\in}set$ (*suffixes* (*x1a* $\odot$ *xs*)). *P a* $\Longrightarrow$
       $i \le intlen$ (*filter P* (*suffixes* (*x1a* $\odot$ *xs*))) $\Longrightarrow$
       $\neg$ ($\exists\, a{\in}set$ (*suffixes xs*). *P a*) $\Longrightarrow$
       P (*nth* (*filter P* (*suffixes* (*x1a* $\odot$ *xs*))) *i*)
   by *simp*
  qed
qed

lemma *nfilter-intlen*:
 assumes ($\exists\ x \in set\ xs.\ P\ x$)
 shows   *intlen*(*nfilter P xs n*) $=$ *intlen* (*filter P xs*)
using *assms*
proof
 (*induction xs arbitrary*: *n*)
 case (*St x*)
 then show *?case* **by** *simp*
 next
 case (*Cons x1a xs*)
 then show *?case* **by** *simp*
qed

lemma *nfilter-upper-bound*:
 assumes ($\exists\ x \in set\ xs.\ P\ x$)
     $i{\le}intlen$ (*nfilter P xs n*)
 shows   (*nth* (*nfilter P xs n*) *i*) $\le$ *n*+*intlen xs*
using *assms*
proof
 (*induct xs arbitrary*: *i n*)
 case (*St x*)
 then show *?case* **by** *simp*
 next
 case (*Cons x1a xs*)
 then show *?case*
 proof (*cases* $\exists\ x \in set\ xs.\ P\ x$)

665

show $(\bigwedge i\ n.\ \exists a{\in}set\ xs.\ P\ a \Longrightarrow$
$\qquad i \le intlen\ (nfilter\ P\ xs\ n) \Longrightarrow nth\ (nfilter\ P\ xs\ n)\ i \le n + intlen\ xs) \Longrightarrow$
$\quad \exists a{\in}set\ (x1a \odot xs).\ P\ a \Longrightarrow$
$\quad i \le intlen\ (nfilter\ P\ (x1a \odot xs)\ n) \Longrightarrow$
$\quad \exists x{\in}set\ xs.\ P\ x \Longrightarrow$
$\quad nth\ (nfilter\ P\ (x1a \odot xs)\ n)\ i \le n + intlen\ (x1a \odot xs)$
  proof *auto*
  show $\bigwedge x.\ (\bigwedge i\ n.\ i \le intlen\ (nfilter\ P\ xs\ n) \Longrightarrow nth\ (nfilter\ P\ xs\ n)\ i \le n + intlen\ xs) \Longrightarrow$
$\qquad i \le Suc\ (intlen\ (nfilter\ P\ xs\ (Suc\ n))) \Longrightarrow$
$\quad x \in set\ xs \Longrightarrow$
$\quad P\ x \Longrightarrow$
$\quad P\ x1a \Longrightarrow$
$\quad (case\ i\ of\ 0 \Rightarrow n \mid Suc\ k \Rightarrow nth\ (nfilter\ P\ xs\ (Suc\ n))\ k) \le Suc\ (n + intlen\ xs)$
    by (*cases i, simp, fastforce*)
  show $\bigwedge x.\ (\bigwedge i\ n.\ i \le intlen\ (nfilter\ P\ xs\ n) \Longrightarrow nth\ (nfilter\ P\ xs\ n)\ i \le n + intlen\ xs) \Longrightarrow$
$\qquad i \le intlen\ (nfilter\ P\ xs\ (Suc\ n)) \Longrightarrow$
$\quad x \in set\ xs \Longrightarrow P\ x \Longrightarrow \neg\ P\ x1a \Longrightarrow nth\ (nfilter\ P\ xs\ (Suc\ n))\ i \le Suc\ (n + intlen\ xs)$
    by *force*
 qed
 show $(\bigwedge i\ n.\ \exists a{\in}set\ xs.\ P\ a \Longrightarrow$
$\qquad i \le intlen\ (nfilter\ P\ xs\ n) \Longrightarrow nth\ (nfilter\ P\ xs\ n)\ i \le n + intlen\ xs) \Longrightarrow$
$\quad \exists a{\in}set\ (x1a \odot xs).\ P\ a \Longrightarrow$
$\quad i \le intlen\ (nfilter\ P\ (x1a \odot xs)\ n) \Longrightarrow$
$\quad \neg\ (\exists x{\in}set\ xs.\ P\ x) \Longrightarrow$
$\quad nth\ (nfilter\ P\ (x1a \odot xs)\ n)\ i \le n + intlen\ (x1a \odot xs)$
   by *simp*
 qed
qed

lemma *nfilter-lower-bound*:
 assumes $(\exists\ x \in set\ xs.\ P\ x)$
$\qquad i \le intlen\ (nfilter\ P\ xs\ n)$
 shows $\quad n \le (nth\ (nfilter\ P\ xs\ n)\ i)$
using *assms*
proof
(*induction xs arbitrary: i n*)
case (*St x*)
then show *?case* **by** *simp*
next
case (*Cons x1a xs*)
then show *?case*
 proof (*cases* $\exists\ x \in set\ xs.\ P\ x$)
  show $(\bigwedge i\ n.\ \exists a{\in}set\ xs.\ P\ a \Longrightarrow i \le intlen\ (nfilter\ P\ xs\ n) \Longrightarrow n \le nth\ (nfilter\ P\ xs\ n)\ i) \Longrightarrow$
$\qquad \exists a{\in}set\ (x1a \odot xs).\ P\ a \Longrightarrow$
$\quad i \le intlen\ (nfilter\ P\ (x1a \odot xs)\ n) \Longrightarrow$
$\quad \exists x{\in}set\ xs.\ P\ x \Longrightarrow$
$\quad n \le nth\ (nfilter\ P\ (x1a \odot xs)\ n)\ i$
  proof *auto*
  show $\bigwedge x.\ (\bigwedge i\ n.\ i \le intlen\ (nfilter\ P\ xs\ n) \Longrightarrow n \le nth\ (nfilter\ P\ xs\ n)\ i) \Longrightarrow$
$\qquad i \le Suc\ (intlen\ (nfilter\ P\ xs\ (Suc\ n))) \Longrightarrow$

666

$x \in set\ xs \Longrightarrow$
$P\ x \Longrightarrow$
$P\ x1a \Longrightarrow$
$n \leq (case\ i\ of\ 0 \Rightarrow n \mid Suc\ k \Rightarrow nth\ (nfilter\ P\ xs\ (Suc\ n))\ k)$
by (*metis Suc-leD add-le-imp-le-left nat.split-sels(1) order-refl plus-1-eq-Suc*)
show $\bigwedge x.\ (\bigwedge i\ n.\ i \leq intlen\ (nfilter\ P\ xs\ n) \Longrightarrow n \leq nth\ (nfilter\ P\ xs\ n)\ i) \Longrightarrow$
$i \leq intlen\ (nfilter\ P\ xs\ (Suc\ n)) \Longrightarrow$
$x \in set\ xs \Longrightarrow$
$P\ x \Longrightarrow$
$\neg\ P\ x1a \Longrightarrow$
$n \leq nth\ (nfilter\ P\ xs\ (Suc\ n))\ i$
using *Suc-leD* **by** *blast*
qed
show $(\bigwedge i\ n.\ \exists a \in set\ xs.\ P\ a \Longrightarrow i \leq intlen\ (nfilter\ P\ xs\ n) \Longrightarrow n \leq nth\ (nfilter\ P\ xs\ n)\ i) \Longrightarrow$
$\exists a \in set\ (x1a \odot xs).\ P\ a \Longrightarrow$
$i \leq intlen\ (nfilter\ P\ (x1a \odot xs)\ n) \Longrightarrow$
$\neg\ (\exists x \in set\ xs.\ P\ x) \Longrightarrow$
$n \leq nth\ (nfilter\ P\ (x1a \odot xs)\ n)\ i$
by *auto*
qed
qed

lemma *nfilter-filter*:
assumes $(\exists\ x \in set\ xs.\ P\ x)$
$i \leq intlen\ (nfilter\ P\ xs\ n)$
shows $(nth\ xs\ ((nth\ (nfilter\ P\ xs\ n)\ i) - n)\ ) = (nth\ (filter\ P\ xs)\ i)$
using *assms*
proof
(*induct xs arbitrary: i n*)
case (*St x*)
then show *?case* **by** *simp*
next
case (*Cons x1a xs*)
then show *?case*
proof (*cases* $\exists\ x \in set\ xs.\ P\ x$)
show $(\bigwedge i\ n.\ \exists a \in set\ xs.$
$P\ a \Longrightarrow$
$i \leq intlen\ (nfilter\ P\ xs\ n) \Longrightarrow$
$nth\ xs\ (nth\ (nfilter\ P\ xs\ n)\ i - n) = nth\ (filter\ P\ xs)\ i) \Longrightarrow$
$\exists a \in set\ (x1a \odot xs).$
$P\ a \Longrightarrow$
$i \leq intlen\ (nfilter\ P\ (x1a \odot xs)\ n) \Longrightarrow$
$\exists x \in set\ xs.$
$P\ x \Longrightarrow$
$nth\ (x1a \odot xs)\ (nth\ (nfilter\ P\ (x1a \odot xs)\ n)\ i - n) =$
$nth\ (filter\ P\ (x1a \odot xs))\ i$
proof *auto*
show $\bigwedge x.\ (\bigwedge i\ n.$
$i \leq intlen\ (nfilter\ P\ xs\ n) \Longrightarrow$

667

$\qquad$ nth xs (nth (nfilter P xs n) i − n) = nth (filter P xs) i) $\Longrightarrow$

$\quad$ i ≤ Suc (intlen (nfilter P xs (Suc n))) $\Longrightarrow$

$\quad$ x ∈ set xs $\Longrightarrow$

$\quad$ P x $\Longrightarrow$

$\quad$ P x1a $\Longrightarrow$

$\quad$ (case (case i of 0 ⇒ n | Suc k ⇒ nth (nfilter P xs (Suc n)) k) − n of 0 ⇒ x1a

$\quad$ | Suc x ⇒ nth xs x) =

$\quad$ (case i of 0 ⇒ x1a | Suc k ⇒ nth (filter P xs) k)

$\qquad$ **proof** (cases i)

$\qquad$ **show** ⋀x. (⋀i n. i ≤ intlen (nfilter P xs n) $\Longrightarrow$

$\qquad\quad$ nth xs (nth (nfilter P xs n) i − n) = nth (filter P xs) i) $\Longrightarrow$

$\qquad\quad$ i ≤ Suc (intlen (nfilter P xs (Suc n))) $\Longrightarrow$

$\qquad\quad$ x ∈ set xs $\Longrightarrow$

$\qquad\quad$ P x $\Longrightarrow$

$\qquad\quad$ P x1a $\Longrightarrow$

$\qquad\quad$ i = 0 $\Longrightarrow$

$\qquad\quad$ (case (case i of 0 ⇒ n | Suc k ⇒ nth (nfilter P xs (Suc n)) k) − n of 0 ⇒ x1a

$\quad$ | Suc x ⇒ nth xs x) =

$\quad$ (case i of 0 ⇒ x1a | Suc k ⇒ nth (filter P xs) k)

$\qquad$ **by** simp

$\qquad$ **show** ⋀x nat.

$\qquad\quad$ (⋀i n. i ≤ intlen (nfilter P xs n) $\Longrightarrow$

$\qquad\quad$ nth xs (nth (nfilter P xs n) i − n) = nth (filter P xs) i) $\Longrightarrow$

$\qquad\quad$ i ≤ Suc (intlen (nfilter P xs (Suc n))) $\Longrightarrow$

$\qquad\quad$ x ∈ set xs $\Longrightarrow$

$\qquad\quad$ P x $\Longrightarrow$

$\qquad\quad$ P x1a $\Longrightarrow$

$\qquad\quad$ i = Suc nat $\Longrightarrow$

$\qquad\quad$ (case (case i of 0 ⇒ n | Suc k ⇒ nth (nfilter P xs (Suc n)) k) − n of 0 ⇒ x1a

$\qquad\qquad$ | Suc x ⇒ nth xs x) =

$\qquad\quad$ (case i of 0 ⇒ x1a | Suc k ⇒ nth (filter P xs) k)

$\qquad$ **by** simp

$\qquad$ (metis (full-types) Suc-diff-le diff-Suc-Suc nfilter-lower-bound old.nat.simps(5))

$\quad$ **qed**

$\quad$ **show** ⋀x.

$\qquad\quad$ (⋀i n. i ≤ intlen (nfilter P xs n) $\Longrightarrow$

$\qquad\quad$ nth xs (nth (nfilter P xs n) i − n) = nth (filter P xs) i) $\Longrightarrow$

$\qquad\quad$ i ≤ intlen (nfilter P xs (Suc n)) $\Longrightarrow$

$\qquad\quad$ x ∈ set xs $\Longrightarrow$

$\qquad\quad$ P x $\Longrightarrow$

$\qquad\quad$ ¬ P x1a $\Longrightarrow$

$\qquad\quad$ (case nth (nfilter P xs (Suc n)) i − n of 0 ⇒ x1a | Suc x ⇒ nth xs x) =

$\qquad\quad$ nth (filter P xs) i

$\quad$ **by** (metis Nitpick.case-nat-unfold Suc-eq-plus1 Suc-le-lessD diff-diff-left neq0-conv

$\qquad$ nfilter-lower-bound zero-less-diff)

$\quad$ **qed**

show (⋀i n.

$\qquad$ ∃a∈set xs. P a $\Longrightarrow$

$\qquad$ i ≤ intlen (nfilter P xs n) $\Longrightarrow$

$\qquad$ nth xs (nth (nfilter P xs n) i − n) = nth (filter P xs) i) $\Longrightarrow$

$$\exists\, a \in set\ (x1a \odot xs).$$
$$P\ a \Longrightarrow$$
$$i \le intlen\ (nfilter\ P\ (x1a \odot xs)\ n) \Longrightarrow$$
$$\neg\ (\exists\, x \in set\ xs.\ P\ x) \Longrightarrow$$
$$nth\ (x1a \odot xs)\ (nth\ (nfilter\ P\ (x1a \odot xs)\ n)\ i - n) =$$
$$nth\ (filter\ P\ (x1a \odot xs))\ i$$
by *auto*
qed
qed

lemma *set-filter* [*simp*]:
assumes $(\exists\ x \in set\ xs.\ P\ x)$
shows *set* (*filter P xs*) = $\{x.\ x \in set\ xs \wedge P\ x\}$
using *assms*
proof
(*induct xs*)
case (*St x*)
then show *?case* **by** (*simp add*: *Collect-conv-if*)
next
case (*Cons x1a xs*)
then show *?case* **by** *auto*
qed

lemma *set-nfilter* [*simp*]:
assumes $(\exists\ x \in set\ xs.\ P\ x)$
shows *set* (*nfilter P xs n*) = $\{n+k | k\ .\ \ k \le intlen\ xs \wedge P\ (nth\ xs\ k)\}$
using *assms*
proof
(*induction xs arbitrary*: *n*)
case (*St x*)
then show *?case* **by** *auto*
next
case (*Cons x1a xs*)
then show *?case*
 proof (*auto simp add*: *nat.split*)
  show $\bigwedge y\ k.\ (\bigwedge n.\ \exists x \in set\ xs.\ P\ x \Longrightarrow$
  $$set\ (nfilter\ P\ xs\ n) = \{n + k\ | k.\ k \le intlen\ xs \wedge P\ (nth\ xs\ k)\}) \Longrightarrow$$
  $$P\ x1a \Longrightarrow$$
  $$y \in set\ xs \Longrightarrow$$
  $$P\ y \Longrightarrow$$
  $$0 < k \Longrightarrow$$
  $$k \le Suc\ (intlen\ xs) \Longrightarrow$$
  $$\forall x2.\ k = Suc\ x2 \longrightarrow P\ (nth\ xs\ x2) \Longrightarrow$$
  $$\exists\, ka.\ k = Suc\ ka \wedge ka \le intlen\ xs \wedge P\ (nth\ xs\ ka)$$
   by (*metis Suc-le-mono gr0-implies-Suc*)
  show $\bigwedge k.\ P\ x1a \Longrightarrow$
  $$\forall x \in set\ xs.\ \neg\ P\ x \Longrightarrow$$
  $$k \le Suc\ (intlen\ xs) \Longrightarrow$$
  $$\forall x2.\ k = Suc\ x2 \longrightarrow P\ (nth\ xs\ x2) \Longrightarrow$$

$k = 0$
      by (*metis Suc-le-mono le-SucE le-zero-eq nth-set zero-induct*)
    show $\bigwedge x\ y\ k.$
      $(\bigwedge n.\ \exists x \in set\ xs.\ P\ x \Longrightarrow$
          $set\ (nfilter\ P\ xs\ n) = \{n + k\ |k.\ k \leq intlen\ xs \wedge P\ (nth\ xs\ k)\}) \Longrightarrow$
      $x \in set\ xs \Longrightarrow$
      $P\ x \Longrightarrow$
      $P\ x1a \Longrightarrow$
      $y \in set\ xs \Longrightarrow$
      $P\ y \Longrightarrow$
      $0 < k \Longrightarrow$
      $k \leq Suc\ (intlen\ xs) \Longrightarrow$
      $\forall x2.\ k = Suc\ x2 \longrightarrow P\ (nth\ xs\ x2) \Longrightarrow$
      $\exists ka.\ k = Suc\ ka \wedge ka \leq intlen\ xs \wedge P\ (nth\ xs\ ka)$
      by (*metis Suc-le-mono gr0-implies-Suc*)
    show $\bigwedge x\ y\ k.$
      $(\bigwedge n.\ \exists x \in set\ xs.\ P\ x \Longrightarrow$
          $set\ (nfilter\ P\ xs\ n) = \{n + k\ |k.\ k \leq intlen\ xs \wedge P\ (nth\ xs\ k)\}) \Longrightarrow$
      $x \in set\ xs \Longrightarrow$
      $P\ x \Longrightarrow$
      $\neg\ P\ x1a \Longrightarrow$
      $y \in set\ xs \Longrightarrow$
      $P\ y \Longrightarrow$
      $k \leq Suc\ (intlen\ xs) \Longrightarrow$
      $0 < k \Longrightarrow$
      $\forall x2.\ k = Suc\ x2 \longrightarrow P\ (nth\ xs\ x2) \Longrightarrow$
      $\exists ka.\ k = Suc\ ka \wedge ka \leq intlen\ xs \wedge P\ (nth\ xs\ ka)$
      by (*metis Suc-less-eq gr0-implies-Suc not-less*)
    qed
qed

lemma *set-minus-filter-out*:
assumes $(\exists\ z \in set\ xs.\ (\lambda\ x.\ \neg(x = y))\ z)$
 shows $set\ xs - \{y\} = set(filter\ (\lambda\ x.\ \neg(x = y))\ xs)$
using *assms*
by (*induct xs*) *auto*

lemma *filter-filter* [*simp*]:
assumes $\exists\ x \in set\ (filter\ Q\ xs).\ P\ x$
      $\exists\ x \in set\ xs.\ Q\ x$
      $\exists\ x \in set\ xs.\ P\ x \wedge Q\ x$
shows $filter\ P\ (filter\ Q\ xs) = filter\ (\lambda x.\ P\ x \wedge Q\ x)\ xs$
using *assms*
by (*induct xs*) *auto*

lemma *length-nfilter-le* [*simp*]:
 $intlen\ (nfilter\ P\ xs\ n) \leq intlen\ xs$
by (*induct xs arbitrary*: *n*) (*auto simp add*: *le-SucI*)

lemma *length-filter-le* [*simp*]:

intlen (*filter P xs*) ≤ *intlen xs*
by (*induct xs*) (*auto simp add*: *le-SucI*)

lemma *sfxfilter-bound*:
 assumes (∃ *ys* ∈ *set* (*suffixes xs*). *P ys*)
 shows   *intlen* (*filter P* (*suffixes xs*)) ≤ *intlen xs*
using *assms* **by** (*metis length-filter-le intlen-suffixes*)

lemma *filter-bound*:
 assumes (∃ *ys* ∈ *set* (*xs*). *P ys*)
 shows   *intlen* (*filter P* (*xs*)) ≤ *intlen xs*
using *assms* **by** *auto*

lemma *sfxfilter-nth-bound*:
 assumes (∃ *ys* ∈ *set* (*suffixes xs*). *P ys*)
        j ≤ *intlen* (*filter P* (*suffixes xs*))
 shows   *intlen* ((*nth* (*filter P* (*suffixes xs*)) *j*)) ≤ *intlen xs*
using *assms*
by (*metis* (*mono-tags*, *lifting*) *set-filter in-set-suffixes mem-Collect-eq nth-set osfx-intlen*)

lemma *sfxfilter-nth-suffix*:
 assumes (∃ *ys* ∈ *set* (*suffixes xs*). *P ys*)
        j≤ *intlen* (*filter P* (*suffixes xs*))
 shows *nth* (*filter P* (*suffixes xs*)) *j* =
        suffix (*intlen xs* − *intlen*(*nth*(*filter P* (*suffixes xs*)) *j*)) *xs*
using *assms*
proof
 (*induction xs arbitrary*: *j*)
 case (*St x*)
 then show *?case* **by** *simp*
 next
 case (*Cons x1a xs*)
 then show *?case*
  proof (*cases j*)
   show (⋀*j*. ∃ *a*∈*set* (*suffixes xs*). *P a* ⟹
        j ≤ *intlen* (*filter P* (*suffixes xs*)) ⟹
        nth (*filter P* (*suffixes xs*)) *j* =
        suffix (*intlen xs* − *intlen* (*nth* (*filter P* (*suffixes xs*)) *j*)) *xs*) ⟹
        ∃ *a*∈*set* (*suffixes* (*x1a* ⊙ *xs*)). *P a* ⟹
        j ≤ *intlen* (*filter P* (*suffixes* (*x1a* ⊙ *xs*))) ⟹
        j = *0* ⟹
        nth (*filter P* (*suffixes* (*x1a* ⊙ *xs*))) *j* =
        suffix (*intlen* (*x1a* ⊙ *xs*) − *intlen* (*nth* (*filter P* (*suffixes* (*x1a* ⊙ *xs*))) *j*)) (*x1a* ⊙ *xs*)
     by (*simp-all add*: *Suc-diff-le sfxfilter-nth-bound*)
   show ⋀*nat*. (⋀*j*. ∃ *a*∈*set* (*suffixes xs*). *P a* ⟹
           j ≤ *intlen* (*filter P* (*suffixes xs*)) ⟹
           nth (*filter P* (*suffixes xs*)) *j* =
           suffix (*intlen xs* − *intlen* (*nth* (*filter P* (*suffixes xs*)) *j*)) *xs*) ⟹
        ∃ *a*∈*set* (*suffixes* (*x1a* ⊙ *xs*)). *P a* ⟹
        j ≤ *intlen* (*filter P* (*suffixes* (*x1a* ⊙ *xs*))) ⟹

$j = \text{Suc nat} \implies$
nth (filter P (suffixes (x1a ⊙ xs))) j =
suffix (intlen (x1a ⊙ xs) − intlen (nth (filter P (suffixes (x1a ⊙ xs))) j)) (x1a ⊙ xs)
proof (cases (∃ ys ∈ set (suffixes xs). P ys))
 show ⋀nat. (⋀j. ∃ a∈set (suffixes xs). P a ⟹
            $j \leq$ intlen (filter P (suffixes xs)) ⟹
            nth (filter P (suffixes xs)) j =
            suffix (intlen xs − intlen (nth (filter P (suffixes xs)) j)) xs) ⟹
        ∃ a∈set (suffixes (x1a ⊙ xs)). P a ⟹
        $j \leq$ intlen (filter P (suffixes (x1a ⊙ xs))) ⟹
        $j = \text{Suc nat}$ ⟹
        ∃ ys∈set (suffixes xs). P ys ⟹
        nth (filter P (suffixes (x1a ⊙ xs))) j =
        suffix (intlen (x1a ⊙ xs) − intlen (nth (filter P (suffixes (x1a ⊙ xs))) j)) (x1a ⊙ xs)
  proof auto
   show ⋀nat x.
  (⋀j. $j \leq$ intlen (filter P (suffixes xs)) ⟹
      nth (filter P (suffixes xs)) j =
      suffix (intlen xs − intlen (nth (filter P (suffixes xs)) j)) xs) ⟹
  nat $\leq$ intlen (filter P (suffixes xs)) ⟹
  $j = \text{Suc nat}$ ⟹
  osfx x xs ⟹
  P x ⟹
  P (x1a ⊙ xs) ⟹
  nth (filter P (suffixes xs)) nat =
  (case Suc (intlen xs) − intlen (nth (filter P (suffixes xs)) nat) of 0 ⇒ x1a ⊙ xs
  | Suc m ⇒ suffix m xs)
    by (metis (full-types) Suc-diff-le diff-le-self interval-suffix-suc osfx-intlen osfx-suffix
       suffix.simps(2))
   show ⋀nat x.
       (⋀j. $j \leq$ intlen (filter P (suffixes xs)) ⟹
         nth (filter P (suffixes xs)) j =
         suffix (intlen xs − intlen (nth (filter P (suffixes xs)) j)) xs) ⟹
       Suc nat $\leq$ intlen (filter P (suffixes xs)) ⟹
       $j = \text{Suc nat}$ ⟹
       osfx x xs ⟹
       P x ⟹
       ¬ P (x1a ⊙ xs) ⟹
      nth (filter P (suffixes xs)) (Suc nat) =
      (case Suc (intlen xs) − intlen (nth (filter P (suffixes xs)) (Suc nat)) of 0 ⇒ x1a ⊙ xs
        | Suc m ⇒ suffix m xs)
     by (metis (full-types) Suc-diff-le diff-le-self interval-suffix-suc osfx-intlen osfx-suffix
       suffix.simps(2))
 qed
show ⋀nat.
       (⋀j. ∃ a∈set (suffixes xs). P a ⟹
           $j \leq$ intlen (filter P (suffixes xs)) ⟹
           nth (filter P (suffixes xs)) j =
           suffix (intlen xs − intlen (nth (filter P (suffixes xs)) j)) xs) ⟹
       ∃ a∈set (suffixes (x1a ⊙ xs)). P a ⟹

672

$j \leq$ intlen (filter P (suffixes (x1a $\odot$ xs))) $\Longrightarrow$
$j = $ Suc nat $\Longrightarrow$
$\neg$ ($\exists$ ys$\in$set (suffixes xs). P ys) $\Longrightarrow$
nth (filter P (suffixes (x1a $\odot$ xs))) $j =$
suffix (intlen (x1a $\odot$ xs) $-$ intlen (nth (filter P (suffixes (x1a $\odot$ xs))) j)) (x1a $\odot$ xs)
by *simp*
qed
qed
qed

lemma *initfilter-sfxfilter-exists*:
($\exists$ ys $\in$ set (suffixes xs). P ((nth ys 0))) = ($\exists$ x $\in$ set xs. P x)
by (*metis interval-nth-and-set interval-nth-map intlen-suffixes map-first-suffixes*)

lemma *initfilter-sfxfilter*:
assumes $\exists$ ys $\in$ set (suffixes xs). P ((nth ys 0))
shows filter P xs = map (λs. (nth s 0)) (filter (λys. P(nth ys 0)) (suffixes xs))

using *assms*
proof
(*induction xs*)
case (*St x*)
then show *?case* **by** *simp*
next
case (*Cons x1a xs*)
then show *?case*
proof *auto*
show $\bigwedge$y. ($\exists$ ys$\in$set (suffixes xs). P (nth ys 0) $\Longrightarrow$
filter P xs =
map (λs. nth s 0) (filter (λys. P (nth ys 0)) (suffixes xs))) $\Longrightarrow$
osfx y xs $\Longrightarrow$
P (nth y 0) $\Longrightarrow$
P x1a $\Longrightarrow$
$\exists$x$\in$set xs. P x
by (*metis in-set-suffixes interval-nth-and-set interval-nth-map intlen-suffixes map-first-suffixes*)
show $\bigwedge$x. $\forall$ y$\in$set (suffixes xs). $\neg$ P (nth y 0) $\Longrightarrow$ P x1a $\Longrightarrow$ x $\in$ set xs $\Longrightarrow$ P x $\Longrightarrow$ False
by (*metis interval-nth-and-set interval-nth-map intlen-suffixes map-first-suffixes*)
show $\bigwedge$ys y.
($\exists$ ys$\in$set (suffixes xs). P (nth ys 0) $\Longrightarrow$
filter P xs =
map (λs. nth s 0) (filter (λys. P (nth ys 0)) (suffixes xs))) $\Longrightarrow$
osfx ys xs $\Longrightarrow$
P (nth ys 0) $\Longrightarrow$
osfx y xs $\Longrightarrow$
P (nth y 0) $\Longrightarrow$
P x1a $\Longrightarrow$
$\exists$x$\in$set xs. P x
by (*metis in-set-suffixes interval-nth-and-set interval-nth-map intlen-suffixes map-first-suffixes*)
show $\bigwedge$ys y.
($\exists$ ys$\in$set (suffixes xs). P (nth ys 0) $\Longrightarrow$

```
            filter P xs =
            map (λs. nth s 0) (filter (λys. P (nth ys 0)) (suffixes xs))) ⟹
            osfx ys xs ⟹
            P (nth ys 0) ⟹
            osfx y xs ⟹
            P (nth y 0) ⟹
            ¬ P x1a ⟹
            ∀x∈set xs. ¬ P x ⟹
            ∃y. ⟨y⟩ = filter (λys. P (nth ys 0)) (suffixes xs) ∧ nth y 0 = x1a
    by (metis in-set-suffixes interval-nth-and-set interval-nth-map intlen-suffixes map-first-suffixes)
  qed
qed
```

lemma *filter-nth*:
 assumes (∃ x ∈ set xs. P x)
        i≤ intlen (filter P xs)
 shows   (∃ k ≤ intlen xs. nth(filter P xs) i = nth xs k)
proof −
 have *1*: ∃ ys ∈ set (suffixes xs). P ((nth ys 0))
   using *assms*
   by (simp add: initfilter-sfxfilter-exists)
 have *2*: ⋀i. i≤ intlen (filter P xs) ⟶
        nth(filter P xs) i = nth (map (λs. (nth s 0)) (filter (λys. P(nth ys 0)) (suffixes xs))) i
  using *1 initfilter-sfxfilter* **by** *force*
 have *3*: ⋀i. i ≤ intlen (filter (λys. P(nth ys 0)) (suffixes xs)) ⟶
        nth (filter (λys. P(nth ys 0)) (suffixes xs)) i =
         suffix (intlen xs − intlen(nth(filter (λys. P(nth ys 0)) (suffixes xs)) i)) xs

     by (meson 1 sfxfilter-nth-suffix)
 have *4*: ⋀i. i ≤ intlen (filter (λys. P(nth ys 0)) (suffixes xs)) ⟶
          nth (map (λs. (nth s 0)) (filter (λys. P(nth ys 0)) (suffixes xs))) i =
          (λs. (nth s 0)) (nth (filter (λys. P(nth ys 0)) (suffixes xs)) i)

       using *interval-nth-map* **by** *blast*
 have *5*: ⋀i. i ≤ intlen (filter (λys. P(nth ys 0)) (suffixes xs)) ⟶
        (λs. (nth s 0)) (nth (filter (λys. P(nth ys 0)) (suffixes xs)) i) =
   (λs. (nth s 0)) (suffix (intlen xs − intlen(nth(filter (λys. P(nth ys 0)) (suffixes xs)) i)) xs)

     using *3* **by** *auto*
 have *6*: (∃ k ≤ intlen xs.
        nth (map (λs. (nth s 0)) (filter (λys. P(nth ys 0)) (suffixes xs))) i = nth xs k)
   by (metis (no-types, lifting) 2 set-filter assms interval-nth-and-set
      mem-Collect-eq nth-set)
 show *?thesis*
 by (simp add: 2 6 assms)
qed

lemma *interval-sfx-nth-zero*:
 set xs = {(nth ys 0)| ys. ys ∈ set(suffixes xs) }
proof

```
(induct xs)
case (St x)
then show ?case
by auto
next
case (Cons x1a xs)
then show ?case
  by auto (metis   interval-nth-zero)
qed
```

lemma *interval-sfx-1*:
assumes *ys ∈ set(suffixes xs)*
shows   *(nth ys 0) ∈ set xs*
using *assms interval-sfx-nth-zero* **by** *fastforce*

lemma *sum-length-filter-compl-help*:
 assumes *∃ x ∈ set xs. P x*
       ∃ x ∈ set xs. ¬ P x
 shows   *intlen xs > 0*
using *assms*
proof
```
(induct xs)
case (St x)
then show ?case by simp
next
case (Cons x1a xs)
then show ?case
  using interval-intlen-cons-1 by blast
qed
```

lemma *filter-id-conv*:
 assumes *∃ x ∈ set xs. P x*
 shows *(filter P xs = xs) = (∀ x∈set xs. P x)*
using *assms*
proof
```
(induct xs)
case (St x)
then show ?case by auto
next
case (Cons x1a xs)
then show ?case
 by (auto simp add: interval-set-nonempty)
    (metis length-filter-le Suc-n-not-le-n intlen.simps(2) plus-1-eq-Suc)
qed
```

lemma *sum-length-filter-compl*:
assumes *∃ x ∈ set xs. P x*
       ∃ x ∈ set xs. ¬ P x
 shows *intlen(filter P xs) + intlen(filter (λx. ¬P x) xs) +1 = intlen xs*
using *assms*

proof
(*induct xs*)
case (*St x*)
then show *?case* **by** *auto*
next
case (*Cons x1a xs*)
then show *?case*
 proof (*cases* (∃ *y*∈*set xs*. *P y*))
 case *True*
 then show *?thesis*
  proof (*cases* (∃ *y*∈*set xs*. ¬ *P y*))
  case *True*
  then show *?thesis*
    proof *auto*
    show ⋀*y x*. *y* ∈ *set xs* ⟹
        ¬ *P y* ⟹
        x ∈ *set xs* ⟹
        P x ⟹
        ¬ *P x1a* ⟹
        Suc (*intlen* (*filter P xs*) + *intlen* (*filter* (λ*x*. ¬ *P x*) *xs*)) = *intlen xs*
     using *Cons.hyps* **by** *fastforce*
    show ⋀*y x*. *y* ∈ *set xs* ⟹
        ¬ *P y* ⟹
        x ∈ *set xs* ⟹
        P x ⟹
        P x1a ⟹ *Suc* (*intlen* (*filter P xs*) + *intlen* (*filter* (λ*x*. ¬ *P x*) *xs*)) = *intlen xs*
     using *Cons.hyps* **by** *fastforce*
    show ⋀*y*. *y* ∈ *set xs* ⟹
      ∀ *x*∈*set xs*. ¬ *P x* ⟹ ¬ *P x1a* ⟹ *Suc* (*intlen* (*filter* (λ*x*. ¬ *P x*) *xs*)) = *intlen xs*
     using *Cons.prems*(*1*) **by** *auto*
    show ⋀*y*. *y* ∈ *set xs* ⟹
      ∀ *x*∈*set xs*. ¬ *P x* ⟹ *P x1a* ⟹ *intlen* (*filter* (λ*x*. ¬ *P x*) *xs*) = *intlen xs*
    by (*metis filter-id-conv*)
   qed
  next
  case *False*
  then show *?thesis*
    proof *auto*
    show ⋀*y*. ∀ *y*∈*set xs*. *P y* ⟹ *P x1a* ⟹ *y* ∈ *set xs* ⟹
        Suc (*intlen* (*filter P xs*)) = *intlen xs*
     using *Cons.prems* **by** *auto*
    show *P x1a* ⟹ *set xs* = {} ⟹ *intlen xs* = *0*
     using *True* **by** *blast*
    show ⋀*y*. ∀ *y*∈*set xs*. *P y* ⟹ ¬ *P x1a* ⟹ *y* ∈ *set xs* ⟹
        intlen (*filter P xs*) = *intlen xs*
    by (*metis filter-id-conv*)
    show ¬ *P x1a* ⟹ *set xs* = {} ⟹ *intlen xs* = *0*
     using *interval-set-nonempty* **by** *blast*
   qed
  qed

next
case *False*
then show *?thesis*
    proof *auto*
     show $\bigwedge y. \forall x \in set\ xs.\ \neg\ P\ x \Longrightarrow$
       $\neg\ P\ x1a \Longrightarrow y \in set\ xs \Longrightarrow$
         $Suc\ (intlen\ (filter\ (\lambda x.\ \neg\ P\ x)\ xs)) = intlen\ xs$
      using *Cons.prems(1)* **by** *auto*
     show $\neg\ P\ x1a \Longrightarrow set\ xs = \{\} \Longrightarrow intlen\ xs = 0$
      using *interval-set-nonempty* **by** *blast*
     show $\bigwedge y. \forall x \in set\ xs.\ \neg\ P\ x \Longrightarrow$
       $P\ x1a \Longrightarrow y \in set\ xs \Longrightarrow$
       $intlen\ (filter\ (\lambda x.\ \neg\ P\ x)\ xs) = intlen\ xs$
      by (*metis filter-id-conv*)
     show $P\ x1a \Longrightarrow set\ xs = \{\} \Longrightarrow intlen\ xs = 0$
      using *interval-set-nonempty* **by** *blast*
   qed
 qed
qed

lemma *filter-intlen-imp*:
assumes $\exists\ x \in set\ xs.\ P\ x \wedge Q\ x$
shows $intlen\ (filter\ (\lambda x.\ P\ x \wedge Q\ x)\ xs) \leq intlen\ (filter\ P\ xs)$
using *assms*
proof (*induct xs*)
case (*St x*)
then show *?case* **by** *simp*
next
case (*Cons x1a xs*)
then show *?case* **by** *force*
qed

lemma *subset-filter*:
assumes $(\exists\ x \in set\ xs.\ P\ x)$
shows $set\ (filter\ P\ xs) \leq set\ (filter\ (\lambda\ x.\ P\ x \vee Q\ x)\ xs)$
proof $-$
 have *1*: $(\exists\ x \in set\ xs.\ P\ x \vee Q\ x)$
  using *assms* **by** *blast*
 have *2*: $set\ (filter\ (\lambda\ x.\ P\ x \vee Q\ x)\ xs) = \{x \in set\ xs.\ P\ x \vee Q\ x\}$
  using *assms set-filter*[*of xs* $(\lambda\ x.\ P\ x \vee Q\ x)$] **by** *blast*
 have *3*: $set\ (filter\ P\ xs) = \{x \in set\ xs.\ P\ x\}$
  using *assms set-filter* **by** *auto*
 have *4*: $\{x \in set\ xs.\ P\ x\} \leq \{x \in set\ xs.\ P\ x \vee Q\ x\}$
   by *auto*
 show *?thesis* **by** (*simp add*: *2 3 4*)
qed

lemma *set-filter-not*:
 assumes $\exists\ x \in set\ xs.\ P\ x$
     $\exists\ x \in set\ xs.\ \neg\ (P\ x)$

shows $\;$ *set (filter ($\lambda x. \neg (P\ x)$) xs) = set xs $-$ set (filter P xs)*

using *assms*
$\;$**proof** (*induct xs*)
$\;$**case** (*St x*)
$\;$**then show** *?case* **by** *auto*
$\;$**next**
$\;$**case** (*Cons x1a xs*)
$\;$**then show** *?case*
$\;\;$**proof** $-$
$\;\;$**have** *1*: *set (filter ($\lambda x. \neg P\ x$) (x1a $\odot$ xs)) =*
$\qquad\quad$*set (if ($\exists\, y \in$ set xs. $\neg P\ y$) then*
$\qquad\qquad\quad$*(if $\neg P$ x1a then x1a $\odot$ filter ($\lambda\ x. \neg P\ x$) xs else filter ($\lambda\ x. \neg P\ x$) xs)*
$\qquad\qquad\quad$*else $\langle$x1a$\rangle$)*

$\qquad\;$**by** *simp*
$\;\;$**have** *2*: *set (if ($\exists\, y \in$ set xs. $\neg P\ y$) then*
$\qquad\qquad\quad$*(if $\neg P$ x1a then x1a $\odot$ filter ($\lambda\ x. \neg P\ x$) xs else filter ($\lambda\ x. \neg P\ x$) xs)*
$\qquad\qquad\quad$*else $\langle$x1a$\rangle$) =*
$\qquad\quad$*(if ($\exists\, y \in$ set xs. $\neg P\ y$) then*
$\qquad\qquad\quad$*(if $\neg P$ x1a then set (x1a $\odot$ filter ($\lambda\ x. \neg P\ x$) xs)*
$\qquad\qquad\qquad\quad$*else set (filter ($\lambda\ x. \neg P\ x$) xs))*
$\qquad\qquad\quad$*else set ($\langle$x1a$\rangle$))*
$\qquad\;$**by** *simp*
$\;\;$**have** *3*: *(if ($\exists\, y \in$ set xs. $\neg P\ y$) then*
$\qquad\qquad\quad$*(if $\neg P$ x1a then set (x1a $\odot$ filter ($\lambda\ x. \neg P\ x$) xs)*
$\qquad\qquad\qquad\quad$*else set (filter ($\lambda\ x. \neg P\ x$) xs))*
$\qquad\qquad\quad$*else set ($\langle$x1a$\rangle$)) =*
$\qquad\quad$*(if ($\exists\, y \in$ set xs. $\neg P\ y$) then*
$\qquad\qquad\quad$*(if $\neg P$ x1a then set ($\langle$x1a$\rangle$) $\cup$ set(filter ($\lambda\ x. \neg P\ x$) xs)*
$\qquad\qquad\qquad\quad$*else set (filter ($\lambda\ x. \neg P\ x$) xs))*
$\qquad\qquad\quad$*else set ($\langle$x1a$\rangle$))*

$\qquad\quad$**by** *simp*
$\;\;$**have** *4*: *set (filter P (x1a $\odot$ xs)) $\;=$*
$\qquad\qquad$*set (if ($\exists\, y \in$ set xs. $\;P\ y$) then*
$\qquad\qquad\quad$*(if $\;P$ x1a then x1a $\odot$ filter P xs else filter P xs)*
$\qquad\qquad\quad$*else $\langle$x1a$\rangle$)*

$\qquad\;$**by** *simp*
$\;\;$**have** *5*: *set (if ($\exists\, y \in$ set xs. $\;P\ y$) then*
$\qquad\qquad\quad$*(if $\;P$ x1a then x1a $\odot$ filter P xs else filter P xs)*
$\qquad\qquad\quad$*else $\langle$x1a$\rangle$) =*
$\qquad\quad$*(if ($\exists\, y \in$ set xs. $\;P\ y$) then*
$\qquad\qquad\quad$*(if $\;P$ x1a then set (x1a $\odot$ filter P xs) else set (filter P xs))*
$\qquad\qquad\quad$*else set $\langle$x1a$\rangle$)*

$\qquad\;$**by** *simp*
$\;\;$**have** *6*: *(if ($\exists\, y \in$ set xs. $\;P\ y$) then*
$\qquad\qquad\quad$*(if $\;P$ x1a then set (x1a $\odot$ filter P xs) else set (filter P xs))*
$\qquad\qquad\quad$*else set $\langle$x1a$\rangle$) =*

$(if\ (\exists\, y \in set\ xs.\ \ P\ y)\ then$

$\qquad (if\ \ P\ x1a\ then\ set\ (\langle x1a\rangle)\ \cup\ set(\ filter\ P\ xs)\ else\ set\ (filter\ P\ xs))$

$\qquad else\ set\ \langle x1a\rangle)$

by *simp*

have 7: $(if\ (\exists\, y \in set\ xs.\ \neg\ P\ y)\ then$

$\qquad\quad (if\ \neg\ P\ x1a\ then\ set\ (\langle x1a\rangle)\ \cup\ set(\ filter\ (\lambda\ x.\ \neg\ P\ x)\ xs)$

$\qquad\qquad\qquad else\ set\ (filter\ (\lambda\ x.\ \neg\ P\ x)\ xs))$

$\qquad\quad else\ set\ (\langle x1a\rangle)) =$

$\qquad set\ (x1a \odot xs)\ -$

$\qquad\ (if\ (\exists\, y \in set\ xs.\ \ P\ y)\ then$

$\qquad\quad (if\ \ P\ x1a\ then\ set\ (\langle x1a\rangle)\ \cup\ set(\ filter\ P\ xs)\ else\ set\ (filter\ P\ xs))$

$\qquad\quad else\ set\ \langle x1a\rangle)$

using *Cons.prems* **by** *auto*

show *?thesis* **using** *1 3 4 6 7* **by** *presburger*

qed

qed

lemma *set-filter-cap*:

assumes $\exists\ x \in set\ xs.\ f\ x$

$\qquad\quad \exists\ x \in set\ xs.\ \neg\ f\ x$

shows $\quad set\ (filter\ f\ xs)\ \cap\ set\ (filter\ (\lambda\ x.\ \neg\ f\ x)\ xs) = \{\}$

using *assms* **by** *auto*

lemma *filter-nth-or*:

assumes $\exists\ x \in set\ xs.\ P\ x$

shows $\quad \exists\ x \in set\ (filter\ (\lambda x.\ P\ x\ \vee\ Q\ x)\ xs).\ P\ x$

proof $-$

have 1: $\exists\ i \le intlen(filter\ (\lambda x.\ P\ x\ \vee\ Q\ x)\ xs).\ P\ (nth\ (filter\ (\lambda x.\ P\ x)\ xs)\ i)$

  using *assms* **by** (*metis* (*mono-tags*, *lifting*) *set-filter interval-intlen-gr-zero*

  mem-Collect-eq nth-set)

obtain *i* **where** 2: $i \le intlen(filter\ (\lambda x.\ P\ x\ \vee\ Q\ x)\ xs)\ \wedge\ P\ (nth\ (filter\ (\lambda x.\ P\ x)\ xs)\ i)\ \wedge$

$\qquad\qquad\quad (nth\ (filter\ (\lambda x.\ P\ x)\ xs)\ i) \in set\ (filter\ P\ xs)$

  using *1*

  by (*metis* (*mono-tags*, *lifting*) *set-filter assms interval-intlen-gr-zero*

    mem-Collect-eq nth-set)

have 3: $set\ (filter\ P\ xs) \le set\ (filter\ (\lambda x.\ P\ x\ \vee\ Q\ x)\ xs)$

  using *assms subset-filter*[*of xs P*] **by** *auto*

have 4: $(nth\ (filter\ (\lambda x.\ P\ x)\ xs)\ i) \in set\ (filter\ P\ xs)$

  using *2* **by** *auto*

have 5: $(nth\ (filter\ (\lambda x.\ P\ x)\ xs)\ i) \in set\ (filter\ (\lambda x.\ P\ x\ \vee\ Q\ x)\ xs)$

  using *3 4* **by** *blast*

 from *2 5* **show** *?thesis* **by** *blast*

qed

lemma *filter-intapp1*:

assumes $\forall\ x \in set\ xs.\ \neg\ P\ x$

$\qquad\quad P\ x1a$

$\qquad\quad P\ y$

679

$y \in set\ ys$

shows *filter P (xs $\ominus$ ys) = filter P ys*

using *assms*

by (*induct xs*) *auto*

lemma *filter-intapp* [*simp*]:

assumes ($\exists\ x \in set\ (xs \ominus ys).\ P\ x$)

   ($\exists\ x \in set\ xs.\ P\ x$)

   ($\exists\ x \in set\ ys.\ P\ x$)

shows *filter P (xs$\ominus$ys) = (filter P xs) $\ominus$ (filter P ys)*

using *assms*

proof

 (*induct xs arbitrary*: *ys*)

 case (*St x*)

 then show *?case* **by** *simp*

 next

 case (*Cons x1a xs*)

 then show *?case*

  proof

  (*cases* ($\exists\ x \in set\ xs.\ P\ x$))

  case *True*

  then show *?thesis* **using** *Cons.hyps Cons.prems*(*3*) **by** *auto*

  next

  case *False*

  then show *?thesis*

   proof *auto*

    show $\bigwedge y.\ \forall x \in set\ xs.\ \neg\ P\ x \Longrightarrow$

        $P\ x1a \Longrightarrow P\ y \Longrightarrow y \in set\ ys \Longrightarrow$

        filter P (xs $\ominus$ ys) = filter P ys

     by (*simp add*: *filter-intapp1*)

    show $\forall x \in set\ xs.\ \neg\ P\ x \Longrightarrow P\ x1a \Longrightarrow \exists x \in set\ xs \cup set\ ys.\ P\ x$

     using *Cons.prems*(*3*) **by** *blast*

    show $\bigwedge y.\ \forall x \in set\ xs.\ \neg\ P\ x \Longrightarrow$

      $\neg\ P\ x1a \Longrightarrow P\ y \Longrightarrow y \in set\ ys \Longrightarrow$

      filter P (xs $\ominus$ ys) = x1a $\odot$ filter P ys

     using *Cons.prems*(*2*) **by** *auto*

    show $\forall x \in set\ xs.\ \neg\ P\ x \Longrightarrow \neg\ P\ x1a \Longrightarrow \exists x \in set\ xs \cup set\ ys.\ P\ x$

     using *Cons.prems*(*2*) **by** *auto*

   qed

 qed

qed

lemma *filter-True*:

assumes $\forall x \in set\ xs.\ P\ x$

shows *filter P xs = xs*

using *assms*

by (*meson filter-id-conv length-filter-le nth-set*)

lemma *nfilter-map*:

 assumes $\exists\ x \in set\ (map\ f\ xs).\ P\ x$

shows   *nfilter P (map f xs) n = (nfilter (P∘f) xs n)*
using *assms*
by (*induct xs arbitrary*: *n*) *auto*

lemma *filter-map*:
assumes ∃ *x* ∈ *set* (*map f xs*). *P x*
shows   *filter P (map f xs) = map f (filter (P∘f) xs)*
using *assms*
by (*induct xs*) *auto*

lemma *length-nfilter-map*[*simp*]:
assumes ∃ *x* ∈ *set* (*map f xs*). *P x*
shows *intlen* (*nfilter P (map f xs) n*) = *intlen*(*nfilter (P ∘ f) xs n*)
using *assms* **by** (*simp add*:*nfilter-map*)

lemma *length-filter-map*[*simp*]:
assumes ∃ *x* ∈ *set* (*map f xs*). *P x*
shows *intlen* (*filter P (map f xs)*) = *intlen*(*filter (P ∘ f) xs*)
using *assms* **by** (*simp add*:*filter-map*)

lemma *nfilter-is-subset* [*simp*]:
 assumes ∃ *x* ∈ *set xs*. *P x*
 shows   *set* (*nfilter P xs n*) ≤ {*n+k*|*k*. *k≤intlen xs*}
using *assms* **by** *auto*

lemma *filter-is-subset* [*simp*]:
 assumes ∃ *x* ∈ *set xs*. *P x*
 shows   *set* (*filter P xs*) ≤ *set xs*
using *assms* **by** *auto*

lemma *length-nfilter-less*:
assumes ∃ *x* ∈ *set xs*. *P x*
         x ∈ *set xs*
         ¬ *P x*
 shows *intlen*(*nfilter P xs n*) < *intlen xs*
using *assms*
proof
 (*induct xs arbitrary*: *n*)
 case (*St x*)
 then show *?case* **by** *simp*
 next
 case (*Cons x1a xs*)
 then show *?case* **using** *le-imp-less-Suc length-nfilter-le* **by** (*simp-all*, *blast*)
qed

lemma *length-filter-less*:
assumes ∃ *x* ∈ *set xs*. *P x*
         x ∈ *set xs*
         ¬ *P x*

shows *intlen*(*filter P xs*) < *intlen xs*
using *assms*
proof
(*induct xs*)
case (*St x*)
then show *?case* **by** *simp*
next
case (*Cons x1a xs*)
then show *?case*
  using *length-filter-le le-imp-less-Suc* **by** (*simp-all*, *blast*)
qed

lemma *nfilter-set*:
 assumes ∃ *x* ∈ *set xs*. *P x*
 shows *set* (*nfilter P xs n*) = {*n*+*i*|*i*. *i*≤*intlen xs* ∧ *P*(*nth xs i*)}
using *assms* **by** *auto*

lemma *State-eq-filterD*:
assumes ∃ *x* ∈ *set ys*. *P x*
  ⟨*x*⟩ = *filter P ys*
 shows (∃ *us vs* . (*ys* = ⟨*x*⟩ ∨
  (*ys* = *x* ⊙ *vs* ∧ (∀ *v* ∈ *set vs*. ¬ *P v*)) ∨
  (*ys* = *us* ⊖ ⟨*x*⟩ ∧ (∀ *u* ∈ *set us*. ¬ *P u*)) ∨
  (*ys* = *us* ⊖ (*x* ⊙ *vs*) ∧ (∀ *u* ∈ *set us*. ¬ *P u*) ∧ (∀ *v* ∈ *set vs*. ¬ *P v*))
) ∧ *P x*
)
using *assms*
proof
(*induct ys*)
case (*St x*)
then show *?case* **by** *auto*
next
case (*Cons x1a ys*)
then show *?case*
 proof
  (*cases P x1a*)
  case *True*
  then show *?thesis*
   by (*metis Cons.prems*(2) *filter.simps*(2) *interval.distinct*(1) *interval.inject*(1))
  next
  case *False*
  then show *?thesis*
   proof
    (*cases x* = *x1a*)
    case *True*
    then show *?thesis*
     using *Cons.hyps Cons.prems*(1) *Cons.prems*(2) *False* **by** *auto*
    next
    case *False*

then show *?thesis*
 proof −
  have *1*: $\exists\ x \in set\ ys.\ P\ x$
      using *Cons.prems*(*2*) *False interval.simps*(*15*) **by** *fastforce*
  have *2*: $\neg\ P\ x1a$
      using *1 Cons.prems*(*2*) **by** *auto*
  have *3*: $P\ x$
      using *1 2 Cons.hyps Cons.prems*(*2*) **by** *auto*
  have *4*: $(\langle x\rangle = filter\ P\ (x1a \odot ys)) =$
        $(if\ (\exists\,y{\in}set\ ys.\ P\ y)\ then$
                    $(if\ P\ x1a\ then\ \langle x\rangle = x1a \odot filter\ P\ ys\ else\ \langle x\rangle = filter\ P\ ys)$
                    $else\ \langle x\rangle = \langle x1a\rangle)$

      using *2* **by** *auto*
  have *5*: $\langle x\rangle = filter\ P\ ys$
      by (*simp add*: *1 2 Cons.prems*(*2*))
  have *6*: $(\exists\ us\ vs\ .$
          $(ys = \langle x\rangle\ \vee$
          $(ys = x \odot vs \wedge (\forall\ v \in set\ vs.\ \neg\ P\ v))\ \vee$
          $(ys = us \ominus \langle x\rangle \wedge (\forall\ u \in set\ us.\ \neg\ P\ u))\ \vee$
          $(ys = us \ominus (x \odot vs) \wedge (\forall\ u \in set\ us.\ \neg\ P\ u) \wedge (\forall\ v \in set\ vs.\ \neg\ P\ v))$
          $)\wedge P\ x)$
      using *1 Cons.hyps Cons.prems*(*2*) **by** *auto*
  obtain *us vs* **where** *61*: $(ys = \langle x\rangle\ \vee$
                    $(ys = x \odot vs \wedge (\forall\ v \in set\ vs.\ \neg\ P\ v))\ \vee$
                    $(ys = us \ominus \langle x\rangle \wedge (\forall\ u \in set\ us.\ \neg\ P\ u))\ \vee$
                    $(ys = us \ominus (x \odot vs) \wedge (\forall\ u \in set\ us.\ \neg\ P\ u) \wedge (\forall\ v \in set\ vs.\ \neg\ P\ v))$
                    $)\wedge P\ x$
      using *6* **by** *auto*
  have *7*: $ys = \langle x\rangle \longrightarrow$
        $(x1a \odot ys = \langle x\rangle\ \vee$
        $(x1a \odot ys = x \odot vs \wedge (\forall\,v{\in}set\ vs.\ \neg\ P\ v))\ \vee$
        $(x1a \odot ys = \langle x1a\rangle \ominus \langle x\rangle \wedge (\forall\,u{\in}set\ \langle x1a\rangle.\ \neg\ P\ u))\ \vee$
        $(x1a \odot ys = \langle x1a\rangle \ominus x \odot vs \wedge (\forall\,u{\in}set\ \langle x1a\rangle.\ \neg\ P\ u) \wedge (\forall\,v{\in}set\ vs.\ \neg\ P\ v)))$
        $\wedge\ P\ x$

      using *1 Cons.prems*(*2*) **by** *auto*
  have *8*: $(ys = x \odot vs \wedge (\forall\ v \in set\ vs.\ \neg\ P\ v)) \longrightarrow$
        $(x1a \odot ys = \langle x\rangle\ \vee$
        $x1a \odot ys = x \odot vs \wedge (\forall\,v{\in}set\ vs.\ \neg\ P\ v)\ \vee$
        $x1a \odot ys = \langle x1a\rangle \ominus \langle x\rangle \wedge (\forall\,u{\in}set\ \langle x1a\rangle.\ \neg\ P\ u)\ \vee$
        $x1a \odot ys = \langle x1a\rangle \ominus x \odot vs \wedge (\forall\,u{\in}set\ \langle x1a\rangle.\ \neg\ P\ u) \wedge (\forall\,v{\in}set\ vs.\ \neg\ P\ v))$
        $\wedge\ P\ x$

      using *1 Cons.prems*(*2*) **by** *fastforce*
  have *9*: $(ys = us \ominus \langle x\rangle \wedge (\forall\ u \in set\ us.\ \neg\ P\ u)) \longrightarrow$
        $(x1a \odot ys = \langle x\rangle\ \vee$
        $x1a \odot ys = x \odot vs \wedge (\forall\,v{\in}set\ vs.\ \neg\ P\ v)\ \vee$
        $x1a \odot ys = (x1a \odot us) \ominus \langle x\rangle \wedge (\forall\,u{\in}set\ (x1a \odot us).\ \neg\ P\ u)\ \vee$
        $x1a \odot ys = (x1a \odot us) \ominus x \odot vs \wedge (\forall\,u{\in}set\ (x1a \odot us).\ \neg\ P\ u) \wedge$

683

$(\forall\, v \in set\ vs.\ \neg\ P\ v))$
                  $\wedge\ P\ x$
            using *2 3* **by** *auto*
        have *10*: $(ys = us \ominus (x \odot vs) \wedge (\forall\ u \in set\ us.\ \neg\ P\ u) \wedge (\forall\ v \in set\ vs.\ \neg\ P\ v)) \longrightarrow$
                  $(x1a \odot ys = \langle x\rangle\ \vee$
                  $x1a \odot ys = x \odot vs \wedge (\forall\, v \in set\ vs.\ \neg\ P\ v)\ \vee$
                  $x1a \odot ys = (x1a \odot us) \ominus \langle x\rangle \wedge (\forall\, u \in set\ (x1a \odot us).\ \neg\ P\ u)\ \vee$
                  $x1a \odot ys = (x1a \odot us) \ominus x \odot vs \wedge (\forall\, u \in set\ (x1a \odot us).\ \neg\ P\ u)\ \wedge$
                  $(\forall\, v \in set\ vs.\ \neg\ P\ v))$
                  $\wedge\ P\ x$
            using *2 3* **by** *auto*
        have *11*: $(\ (ys = \langle x\rangle\ \vee$
              $(ys = x \odot vs \wedge (\forall\ v \in set\ vs.\ \neg\ P\ v))\ \vee$
              $(ys = us \ominus \langle x\rangle \wedge (\forall\ u \in set\ us.\ \neg\ P\ u))\ \vee$
              $(ys = us \ominus (x \odot vs) \wedge (\forall\ u \in set\ us.\ \neg\ P\ u) \wedge (\forall\ v \in set\ vs.\ \neg\ P\ v))$
              $)\wedge\ P\ x) \longrightarrow (\exists\ us\ vs.$
                $(x1a \odot ys = \langle x\rangle\ \vee$
                $x1a \odot ys = x \odot vs \wedge (\forall\, v \in set\ vs.\ \neg\ P\ v)\ \vee$
                $x1a \odot ys = us \ominus \langle x\rangle \wedge (\forall\, u \in set\ us.\ \neg\ P\ u)\ \vee$
                $x1a \odot ys = us \ominus x \odot vs \wedge (\forall\, u \in set\ us.\ \neg\ P\ u) \wedge (\forall\, v \in set\ vs.\ \neg\ P\ v))$
                $\wedge\ P\ x$
                $)$
          using *3*
          using *10 7 8 9 False* **by** *blast*
        show *?thesis* **using** *11 3 61* **by** *blast*
      qed
    qed
  qed
qed

lemma *filter-eq-StateD*:
 assumes $\exists\ x \in set\ ys.\ P\ x$
        $filter\ P\ ys = \langle x\rangle$
 shows $(\exists\ us\ vs\ .\ (ys = \langle x\rangle\ \vee$
      $(ys = x \odot vs \wedge (\forall\ v \in set\ vs.\ \neg\ P\ v))\ \vee$
      $(ys = us \ominus \langle x\rangle \wedge (\forall\ u \in set\ us.\ \neg\ P\ u))\ \vee$
      $(ys = us \ominus (x \odot vs) \wedge (\forall\ u \in set\ us.\ \neg\ P\ u) \wedge (\forall\ v \in set\ vs.\ \neg\ P\ v))$
      $)\wedge\ P\ x)$
using *assms State-eq-filterD[of ys P x]* **by** *simp*

lemma *filter-eq-State-iff*:
 assumes $\exists\ x \in set\ ys.\ P\ x$
 shows $(filter\ P\ ys = \langle x\rangle)\ =$
      $(\exists\ us\ vs\ .\ (ys = \langle x\rangle\ \vee$
      $(ys = x \odot vs \wedge (\forall\ v \in set\ vs.\ \neg\ P\ v))\ \vee$
      $(ys = us \ominus \langle x\rangle \wedge (\forall\ u \in set\ us.\ \neg\ P\ u))\ \vee$
      $(ys = us \ominus (x \odot vs) \wedge (\forall\ u \in set\ us.\ \neg\ P\ u) \wedge (\forall\ v \in set\ vs.\ \neg\ P\ v))$
      $)\wedge\ P\ x)$
proof $-$

have *1*: (*filter P ys* = ⟨*x*⟩) ⟹
  (∃ *us vs* . (*ys* = ⟨*x*⟩ ∨
  (*ys* = *x* ⊙ *vs* ∧ (∀ *v* ∈ *set vs*. ¬ *P v*)) ∨
  (*ys* = *us* ⊖ ⟨*x*⟩ ∧ (∀ *u* ∈ *set us*. ¬ *P u*)) ∨
  (*ys* = *us* ⊖ (*x* ⊙ *vs*) ∧ (∀ *u* ∈ *set us*. ¬ *P u*) ∧ (∀ *v* ∈ *set vs*. ¬ *P v*))
) ∧ *P x*)

  using *assms* **by** (*rule State-eq-filterD*) *simp*
have *2*: (∃ *us vs* . (*ys* = ⟨*x*⟩ ∨
  (*ys* = *x* ⊙ *vs* ∧ (∀ *v* ∈ *set vs*. ¬ *P v*)) ∨
  (*ys* = *us* ⊖ ⟨*x*⟩ ∧ (∀ *u* ∈ *set us*. ¬ *P u*)) ∨
  (*ys* = *us* ⊖ (*x* ⊙ *vs*) ∧ (∀ *u* ∈ *set us*. ¬ *P u*) ∧ (∀ *v* ∈ *set vs*. ¬ *P v*))
) ∧ *P x*) ⟹ (*filter P ys* = ⟨*x*⟩)
    by (*auto simp add*: *filter-intapp1*)
  from *1 2* **show** *?thesis* **by** *metis*
qed

lemma *Cons-eq-filterD*:
assumes ∃ *x* ∈ *set ys*. *P x*
    (*x*⊙ *xs* = *filter P ys*)
 shows (∃ *us vs*. (*ys* = *x*⊙ *vs* ∨
            ys = *us* ⊖ (*x* ⊙ *vs*) ∧ (∀ *u* ∈ *set us*. ¬ *P u*))
            ∧ (∃ *x* ∈ *set vs*. *P x*)
            ∧ *P x* ∧ *xs* = *filter P vs*)
using *assms*
proof
(*induct ys*)
case (*St x*)
then show *?case* **by** *auto*
next
case (*Cons x1a ys*)
then show *?case*
  proof (*cases P x1a*)
   case *True*
   then show *?thesis*
    by (*metis Cons.prems*(*2*) *filter.simps*(*2*) *interval.inject*(*2*) *interval.simps*(*4*))
   next
   case *False*
   then show *?thesis*
    proof (*cases x* = *x1a*)
    case *True*
    then show *?thesis*
     using *Cons.hyps Cons.prems*(*2*) *False* **by** *force*
    next
    case *False*
    then show *?thesis*
     proof −
     have *1*: ∃ *x* ∈ *set ys*. *P x*
        by (*metis Cons.prems*(*2*) *filter.simps*(*2*) *interval.simps*(*4*))
     have *2*: ¬ *P x1a*

using *1 Cons.prems*(*2*) *False* **by** *auto*

have *4*: $(x \odot xs = filter\ P\ (x1a \odot ys)) =$
$(if\ (\exists y \in set\ ys.\ P\ y)\ then$
$(if\ P\ x1a\ then\ x \odot xs = x1a \odot filter\ P\ ys\ else\ x \odot xs = filter\ P\ ys)$
$else\ x \odot xs = \langle x1a \rangle)$
by *simp*

have *5*: $x \odot xs = filter\ P\ ys$
by (*simp add*: *1 2 Cons.prems*(*2*))

have *6*: $(\exists\ us\ vs.\ (ys = x \odot vs\ \lor$
$ys = us \ominus (x \odot vs) \land (\forall\ u\ \in set\ us.\ \neg\ P\ u))$
$\land\ (\exists\ x \in set\ vs.\ P\ x)$
$\land\ P\ x \land xs = filter\ P\ vs)$
by (*simp add*: *1 2 Cons.hyps Cons.prems*(*2*))

obtain *us vs* **where** *61*: $(ys = x \odot vs\ \lor$
$ys = us \ominus (x \odot vs) \land (\forall\ u\ \in set\ us.\ \neg\ P\ u))$
$\land\ (\exists\ x \in set\ vs.\ P\ x)$
$\land\ P\ x \land xs = filter\ P\ vs$
using *6* **by** *auto*

have *62*: $P\ x \land (\exists\ x \in set\ vs.\ P\ x)$
using *61* **by** *blast*

have *7*: $ys = x \odot vs \land P\ x \land xs = filter\ P\ vs \longrightarrow$
$(x1a \odot ys = x \odot vs\ \lor$
$x1a \odot ys = \langle x1a \rangle \ominus x \odot vs \land (\forall\ u \in set\ \langle x1a \rangle.\ \neg\ P\ u))$
$\land\ (\exists\ x \in set\ vs.\ P\ x)$
$\land\ P\ x \land xs = filter\ P\ vs$

by (*simp add*: *2 62*)

have *8*: $ys = us \ominus (x \odot vs) \land (\forall\ u\ \in set\ us.\ \neg\ P\ u) \land P\ x \land xs = filter\ P\ vs \longrightarrow$
$(x1a \odot ys = x \odot vs\ \lor$
$x1a \odot ys = (x1a \odot us) \ominus x \odot vs \land (\forall\ u \in set\ (x1a \odot us).\ \neg\ P\ u))$
$\land\ (\exists\ x \in set\ vs.\ P\ x)$
$\land\ P\ x \land xs = filter\ P\ vs$

using *2 62* **by** *auto*

have *9*: $(ys = x \odot vs\ \lor$
$ys = us \ominus (x \odot vs) \land (\forall\ u\ \in set\ us.\ \neg\ P\ u)) \land P\ x \land xs = filter\ P\ vs \longrightarrow$
$(\exists\ us\ vs.$
$(x1a \odot ys = x \odot vs\ \lor$
$x1a \odot ys = us \ominus x \odot vs \land (\forall\ u \in set\ us.\ \neg\ P\ u))$
$\land\ (\exists\ x \in set\ vs.\ P\ x)$
$\land\ P\ x \land xs = filter\ P\ vs)$

using *7 8* **by** *blast*

show *?thesis* **using** *61 9* **by** *blast*

qed

qed

qed

qed

lemma *filter-eq-ConsD*:
assumes $\exists\ x \in set\ ys.\ P\ x$
      $(filter\ P\ ys = x \odot xs)$
 shows $(\exists\ us\ vs.\ (ys = x\odot\ vs\ \lor$
               $ys = us \ominus (x \odot vs) \land (\forall\ u\ \in set\ us.\ \neg\ P\ u))$
             $\land\ (\exists\ x \in set\ vs.\ P\ x)$
             $\land\ P\ x \land xs = filter\ P\ vs)$
using *assms Cons-eq-filterD*[*of ys*]   **by** *simp*

lemma *filter-eq-Cons-iff*:
assumes $(\exists\ x \in set\ ys.\ P\ x)$
 shows $(filter\ P\ ys = x \odot xs)\ =$
      $($
      $(\exists\ us\ vs.\ (ys = x\odot\ vs\ \lor$
               $ys = us \ominus (x \odot vs) \land (\forall\ u\ \in set\ us.\ \neg\ P\ u))$
          $\land\ (\exists\ x \in set\ vs.\ P\ x)$
          $\land\ P\ x \land xs = filter\ P\ vs))$
proof $-$
 have *1*: $(filter\ P\ ys = x \odot xs) \Longrightarrow$
      $($
      $(\exists\ us\ vs.\ (ys = x\odot\ vs\ \lor$
               $ys = us \ominus (x \odot vs) \land (\forall\ u\ \in set\ us.\ \neg\ P\ u))$
          $\land\ (\exists\ x \in set\ vs.\ P\ x)$
          $\land\ P\ x \land xs = filter\ P\ vs))$

     using *assms* **by** (*rule Cons-eq-filterD*) *simp*
 have *2*: $($
      $(\exists\ us\ vs.\ (ys = x\odot\ vs\ \lor$
               $ys = us \ominus (x \odot vs) \land (\forall\ u\ \in set\ us.\ \neg\ P\ u))$
          $\land\ (\exists\ x \in set\ vs.\ P\ x)$
          $\land\ P\ x \land xs = filter\ P\ vs)) \Longrightarrow$
      $(filter\ P\ ys = x \odot xs)$

   by (*auto simp add*: *filter-intapp1*)
 from *1 2* **show** *?thesis* **by** *metis*
qed

lemma *Cons-eq-filter-iff*:
assumes $(\exists\ x \in set\ ys.\ P\ x)$
 shows $(x \odot xs = filter\ P\ ys\ )\ =$
      $($
      $(\exists\ us\ vs.\ (ys = x\odot\ vs\ \lor$
             $ys = us \ominus (x \odot vs) \land (\forall\ u\ \in set\ us.\ \neg\ P\ u))$
        $\land\ (\exists\ x \in set\ vs.\ P\ x)$
        $\land\ P\ x \land xs = filter\ P\ vs))$
proof $-$
 have *1*: $(x \odot xs = filter\ P\ ys\ ) = (filter\ P\ ys = x \odot xs)$
  by *auto*

have 2: (*filter P ys* = *x* ⊙ *xs*) =
    (
    (∃ *us vs*. (*ys* = *x*⊙ *vs* ∨
          ys = *us* ⊖ (*x* ⊙ *vs*) ∧ (∀ *u* ∈ *set us*. ¬ *P u*))
       ∧ (∃ *x* ∈ *set vs*. *P x*)
       ∧ *P x* ∧ *xs* = *filter P vs*))

  using *assms*
  by (*simp add*: *filter-eq-Cons-iff*)
from *1 2* **show** *?thesis* **by** *auto*
qed

lemma *nfilter-cong*[*fundef-cong*]:
 assumes *xs* = *ys*
     (⋀*x*. *x* ∈ *set ys* ⟹ *P x* = *Q x*)
 shows   *nfilter P xs n* = *nfilter Q ys n*
using *assms* **by** (*induction xs arbitrary*: *ys n*) *auto*

lemma *filter-cong*[*fundef-cong*]:
 assumes *xs* = *ys*
     (⋀*x*. *x* ∈ *set ys* ⟹ *P x* = *Q x*)
 shows   *filter P xs* = *filter Q ys*
using *assms* **by** (*induct ys arbitrary*: *xs*) *auto*

lemma *remdups-filter*:
 assumes ∃ *x* ∈ *set xs* . *P x*
shows *remdups*(*filter P xs*) = *filter P* (*remdups xs*)
using *assms*
by (*induct xs*) *auto*

lemma *distinct-map-filter*:
assumes ∃ *x* ∈ *set xs* . *P x*
     distinct (*map f xs*)
shows   *distinct* (*map f* (*filter P xs*))
using *assms* **by** (*induct xs*) *auto*

lemma *distinct-nfilter* [*simp*]:
 distinct (*nfilter P xs n*)
by (*induction xs arbitrary*: *n*) *auto*

lemma *distinct-filter* [*simp*]:
assumes *distinct xs*
shows *distinct* (*filter P xs*)
using *assms* **by** (*induct xs*) *auto*

lemma *distinct-length-filter*:
assumes ∃ *x* ∈ *set xs* . *P x*
     distinct xs
shows *intlen* (*filter P xs*) +1 = *card* ({*x*. *P x*} *Int set xs*)

using *assms* **by** (*induct xs*) *auto*

lemma *filter-mono-osfx*:
assumes $\exists\ x \in set\ xs$. $P\ x$
      osfx xs ys
shows *osfx* (*filter P xs*) (*filter P ys*)
using *assms*
proof (*auto simp*: *osfx-def*)
fix $x :: {'}a$ **and** $zs :: {'}a\ interval$
assume *a1*: $P\ x$
assume *a2*: $x \in set\ xs$
assume *a3*: *filter P* ($zs \ominus xs$) $\neq$ *filter P xs*
assume *a4*: $ys = zs \ominus xs$
have *f5*: $\forall\ i\ p\ a\ aa\ ia$.
      $((\exists\ a.\ (a::{'}a) \in set\ i \wedge p\ a) \vee \neg\ p\ a \vee \neg\ p\ aa \vee aa \notin set\ ia) \vee$
       filter p ($i \ominus ia$) = *filter p ia*
by (*metis* (*no-types*) *filter-intapp1*)
obtain $aa :: ({'}a \Rightarrow bool) \Rightarrow {'}a\ interval \Rightarrow {'}a$ **where**
$\forall\ x3\ x4$. ($\exists\ v5.\ v5 \in set\ x4 \wedge x3\ v5$) = ($aa\ x3\ x4 \in set\ x4 \wedge x3\ (aa\ x3\ x4)$)
by *moura*
then have $\forall\ i\ p\ a\ ab\ ia.\ aa\ p\ i \in set\ i \wedge p\ (aa\ p\ i) \vee \neg\ p\ a \vee \neg\ p\ ab \vee$
      $ab \notin set\ ia \vee$ *filter p* ($i \ominus ia$) = *filter p ia*
using *f5* **by** *presburger*
then have *f6*: $\exists\ a.\ a \in set\ zs \wedge P\ a$
using *a3 a2 a1* **by** *blast*
have *osfx xs* ($zs \ominus xs$)
using *a4 assms*(*2*) **by** *blast*
then show $\exists\ i.$ *filter P* ($zs \ominus xs$) = $i \ominus$ *filter P xs*
using *f6 a2 a1* **by** (*meson filter-intapp set-mono-osfx subsetD*)
qed

lemma *idx-nfilter-mono*:
assumes $\exists\ x \in set\ xs$. $P\ x$
     $na <intlen$ (*nfilter P xs n*)
shows *nth* (*nfilter P xs n*) $na <$ *nth* (*nfilter P xs n*) (*Suc na*)
using *assms*
proof (*induct xs arbitrary*: *n na*)
case (*St x*)
then show *?case* **by** *simp*
next
case (*Cons x1a xs*)
then show *?case*
  proof (*cases* $\exists\ x \in set\ xs$. $P\ x$)
    show ($\bigwedge na\ n.$
       $\exists\ a \in set\ xs.\ P\ a \Longrightarrow$
       $na < intlen$ (*nfilter P xs n*) $\Longrightarrow$
       nth (*nfilter P xs n*) $na <$ *nth* (*nfilter P xs n*) (*Suc na*)) $\Longrightarrow$
       $\exists\ a \in set$ ($x1a \odot xs$). $P\ a \Longrightarrow$

689

$na <$ intlen (nfilter P (x1a ⊙ xs) n) $\Longrightarrow$
$\exists x \in$ set xs. P x $\Longrightarrow$
nth (nfilter P (x1a ⊙ xs) n) na $<$ nth (nfilter P (x1a ⊙ xs) n) (Suc na)
proof (cases na)
 show ($\bigwedge$na n.
     $\exists a \in$ set xs. P a $\Longrightarrow$
     $na <$ intlen (nfilter P xs n) $\Longrightarrow$
     nth (nfilter P xs n) na $<$ nth (nfilter P xs n) (Suc na)) $\Longrightarrow$
    $\exists a \in$ set (x1a ⊙ xs). P a $\Longrightarrow$
    $na <$ intlen (nfilter P (x1a ⊙ xs) n) $\Longrightarrow$
    $\exists x \in$ set xs. P x $\Longrightarrow$
    $na = 0 \Longrightarrow$
    nth (nfilter P (x1a ⊙ xs) n) na $<$ nth (nfilter P (x1a ⊙ xs) n) (Suc na)
  proof (cases P x1a)
   show ($\bigwedge$na n.
       $\exists a \in$ set xs. P a $\Longrightarrow$
       $na <$ intlen (nfilter P xs n) $\Longrightarrow$
       nth (nfilter P xs n) na $<$ nth (nfilter P xs n) (Suc na)) $\Longrightarrow$
      $\exists a \in$ set (x1a ⊙ xs). P a $\Longrightarrow$
      $na <$ intlen (nfilter P (x1a ⊙ xs) n) $\Longrightarrow$
      $\exists x \in$ set xs. P x $\Longrightarrow$
      $na = 0 \Longrightarrow$
      P x1a $\Longrightarrow$
      nth (nfilter P (x1a ⊙ xs) n) na $<$ nth (nfilter P (x1a ⊙ xs) n) (Suc na)
    by (simp add: Suc-le-lessD nfilter-lower-bound)
   show ($\bigwedge$na n.
       $\exists a \in$ set xs. P a $\Longrightarrow$
       $na <$ intlen (nfilter P xs n) $\Longrightarrow$
       nth (nfilter P xs n) na $<$ nth (nfilter P xs n) (Suc na)) $\Longrightarrow$
      $\exists a \in$ set (x1a ⊙ xs). P a $\Longrightarrow$
      $na <$ intlen (nfilter P (x1a ⊙ xs) n) $\Longrightarrow$
      $\exists x \in$ set xs. P x $\Longrightarrow$
      $na = 0 \Longrightarrow$
      $\neg$ P x1a $\Longrightarrow$
      nth (nfilter P (x1a ⊙ xs) n) na $<$ nth (nfilter P (x1a ⊙ xs) n) (Suc na)
    by simp
 qed
 show $\bigwedge$nat.
     ($\bigwedge$na n.
      $\exists a \in$ set xs. P a $\Longrightarrow$
      $na <$ intlen (nfilter P xs n) $\Longrightarrow$
      nth (nfilter P xs n) na $<$ nth (nfilter P xs n) (Suc na)) $\Longrightarrow$
     $\exists a \in$ set (x1a ⊙ xs). P a $\Longrightarrow$
     $na <$ intlen (nfilter P (x1a ⊙ xs) n) $\Longrightarrow$
     $\exists x \in$ set xs. P x $\Longrightarrow$
     $na =$ Suc nat $\Longrightarrow$
     nth (nfilter P (x1a ⊙ xs) n) na $<$ nth (nfilter P (x1a ⊙ xs) n) (Suc na)
   by auto
 qed
 show ($\bigwedge$na n.

$\exists\,a{\in}set\;xs.\;P\;a \Longrightarrow$
$na < intlen\;(nfilter\;P\;xs\;n) \Longrightarrow$
$nth\;(nfilter\;P\;xs\;n)\;na < nth\;(nfilter\;P\;xs\;n)\;(Suc\;na)) \Longrightarrow$
$\exists\,a{\in}set\;(x1a \odot xs).\;P\;a \Longrightarrow$
$na < intlen\;(nfilter\;P\;(x1a \odot xs)\;n) \Longrightarrow$
$\neg\;(\exists\,x{\in}set\;xs.\;P\;x) \Longrightarrow$
$nth\;(nfilter\;P\;(x1a \odot xs)\;n)\;na < nth\;(nfilter\;P\;(x1a \odot xs)\;n)\;(Suc\;na)$

by *auto*
qed
qed

lemma *idx-nfilter*:
assumes $\exists\;x \in set\;xs\;.\;P\;x$
shows *index-sequence* (*intfirst*((*nfilter P xs n*))) (*nfilter P xs n*)
using *assms* **by** (*simp add*: *index-sequence-def idx-nfilter-mono*)

lemma *idx-nfilter-expand*:
assumes $\exists\;x \in set\;xs\;.\;P\;x$
shows $\forall\,na{<}intlen\;(nfilter\;P\;xs\;n).\;nth\;(nfilter\;P\;xs\;n)\;na < nth\;(nfilter\;P\;xs\;n)\;(Suc\;na)$
using *assms idx-nfilter* **by** (*simp add*: *index-sequence-def*)

lemma *idx-nfilter-gr-eq*:
 assumes $\exists\;x \in set\;xs\;.\;P\;x$
   $k \leq j$
   $j \leq intlen(nfilter\;P\;xs\;n)$
 shows $nth\;(nfilter\;P\;xs\;n)\;k \leq\;nth\;(nfilter\;P\;xs\;n)\;j$
using *assms* **by** (*meson idx-nfilter interval-idx-less-eq*)

lemma *idx-nfilter-gr*:
 assumes $\exists\;x \in set\;xs\;.\;P\;x$
 shows $(\forall\;j\;.\;\;k{<}\;j \wedge j \leq intlen(nfilter\;P\;xs\;n) \longrightarrow$
       $nth\;(nfilter\;P\;xs\;n)\;k <\;nth\;(nfilter\;P\;xs\;n)\;j)$
using *assms*
by (*meson Suc-leI dual-order.strict-trans1 idx-nfilter-expand idx-nfilter-gr-eq*)

lemma *idx-nfilter-less-eq*:
 assumes $\exists\;x \in set\;xs\;.\;P\;x$
   $k{\leq}\;intlen(nfilter\;P\;xs\;n)$
 shows $(\forall\;j \leq k.\;\;nth\;(nfilter\;P\;xs\;n)\;j \leq\;nth\;(nfilter\;P\;xs\;n)\;k)$
using *assms* **by** (*simp add*: *idx-nfilter-gr-eq*)

lemma *idx-nfilter-less*:
 assumes $\exists\;x \in set\;xs\;.\;P\;x$
   $k{\leq}\;intlen(nfilter\;P\;xs\;n)$
 shows $(\forall\;j < k.\;\;nth\;(nfilter\;P\;xs\;n)\;j <\;nth\;(nfilter\;P\;xs\;n)\;k)$
using *assms*

by (*simp add*: *idx-nfilter-gr*)

lemma *nfilter-prefix-set-0*:
assumes $k \leq$ *intlen xs*
$\qquad \exists\ x \in$ *set* (*prefix k xs*) . *P x*
 shows *intlen* (*nfilter P* (*prefix k xs*) *n*) $\leq$ *intlen* (*nfilter P xs n*)
using *assms*
proof (*induct xs arbitrary*: *n k*)
case (*St x*)
then show *?case* **by** *simp*
next
case (*Cons x1a xs*)
then show *?case*
  proof (*cases k*)
   show ($\bigwedge k\ n.$
       $k \leq$ *intlen xs* $\Longrightarrow$
       $\exists\ a \in set$ (*prefix k xs*). *P a* $\Longrightarrow$
       intlen (*nfilter P* (*prefix k xs*) *n*) $\leq$ *intlen* (*nfilter P xs n*)) $\Longrightarrow$
       $k \leq$ *intlen* (*x1a* $\odot$ *xs*) $\Longrightarrow$
       $\exists\ a \in set$ (*prefix k* (*x1a* $\odot$ *xs*)). *P a* $\Longrightarrow$
       $k = 0 \Longrightarrow$
       intlen (*nfilter P* (*prefix k* (*x1a* $\odot$ *xs*)) *n*) $\leq$ *intlen* (*nfilter P* (*x1a* $\odot$ *xs*) *n*)
    by *simp*
   show $\bigwedge nat.$
       ($\bigwedge k\ n.$
         $k \leq$ *intlen xs* $\Longrightarrow$
         $\exists\ a \in set$ (*prefix k xs*). *P a* $\Longrightarrow$
         intlen (*nfilter P* (*prefix k xs*) *n*) $\leq$ *intlen* (*nfilter P xs n*)) $\Longrightarrow$
         $k \leq$ *intlen* (*x1a* $\odot$ *xs*) $\Longrightarrow$
         $\exists\ a \in set$ (*prefix k* (*x1a* $\odot$ *xs*)). *P a* $\Longrightarrow$
         $k =$ *Suc nat* $\Longrightarrow$
         intlen (*nfilter P* (*prefix k* (*x1a* $\odot$ *xs*)) *n*) $\leq$ *intlen* (*nfilter P* (*x1a* $\odot$ *xs*) *n*)
   using *prefix-subset* **by** *simp blast*
  qed
qed

lemma *nfilter-subset*:
 assumes $\exists\ x \in$ *set* (*prefix k xs*) . *P x*
     $k \leq$ *intlen xs*
 shows *set* (*nfilter P* (*prefix k xs*) *n*) $\leq$ *set* (*nfilter P xs n*)
using *assms*
proof (*induct xs arbitrary*:*k n*)
case (*St x*)
then show *?case* **by** *auto*
next
case (*Cons x1a xs*)
then show *?case*

proof (*cases k*)
  show ($\bigwedge$*k n*.
        $\exists$ *a*∈*set* (*prefix k xs*). *P a* $\Longrightarrow$
        k ≤ *intlen xs* $\Longrightarrow$
        set (*nfilter P* (*prefix k xs*) *n*) ⊆ *set* (*nfilter P xs n*)) $\Longrightarrow$
     $\exists$ *a*∈*set* (*prefix k* (*x1a* ⊙ *xs*)). *P a* $\Longrightarrow$
     k ≤ *intlen* (*x1a* ⊙ *xs*) $\Longrightarrow$
     k = 0 $\Longrightarrow$
     set (*nfilter P* (*prefix k* (*x1a* ⊙ *xs*)) *n*) ⊆ *set* (*nfilter P* (*x1a* ⊙ *xs*) *n*)
    by *simp*
  show $\bigwedge$*nat*.
     ($\bigwedge$*k n*.
       $\exists$ *a*∈*set* (*prefix k xs*). *P a* $\Longrightarrow$
       k ≤ *intlen xs* $\Longrightarrow$
       set (*nfilter P* (*prefix k xs*) *n*) ⊆ *set* (*nfilter P xs n*)) $\Longrightarrow$
     $\exists$ *a*∈*set* (*prefix k* (*x1a* ⊙ *xs*)). *P a* $\Longrightarrow$
     k ≤ *intlen* (*x1a* ⊙ *xs*) $\Longrightarrow$
     k = *Suc nat* $\Longrightarrow$
     set (*nfilter P* (*prefix k* (*x1a* ⊙ *xs*)) *n*) ⊆ *set* (*nfilter P* (*x1a* ⊙ *xs*) *n*)
      by (*auto simp add*: *nth-set*)
  qed
qed

lemma *nfilter-prefix-set*:
 assumes $\exists$ *x* ∈ *set* (*prefix k xs*) . *P x*
      k≤ *intlen xs*
 shows   *set* (*nfilter P* (*prefix k xs*) *n*) = {*n*+*i*|*i*. *i*≤ *k* ∧ *P*(*nth xs i*)}
using *assms*
by *auto*

lemma *nfilter-suffix-set*:
 assumes $\exists$ *x* ∈ *set* (*suffix k xs*) . *P x*
      k≤ *intlen xs*
 shows *set* (*nfilter P* (*suffix k xs*) *n*) = {*n*+*i*|*i*. *i* ≤ *intlen xs*−*k* ∧ *P*(*nth xs* (*k*+*i*))}
using *assms* **by** *auto*

lemma *nfilter-suffix-set-a*:
 assumes $\exists$ *x* ∈ *set* (*suffix k xs*) . *P x*
      k≤ *intlen xs*
 shows   *set* (*nfilter P* (*suffix k xs*) *n*) = {*n*+(*j*−*k*)|*j*. *k*≤*j* ∧ *j* ≤ *intlen xs* ∧ *P*(*nth xs j*)}
using *assms* *Nat.le-diff-conv2* **by** *auto fastforce*

lemma *nfilter-suffix-set-b*:
 assumes $\exists$ *x* ∈ *set* (*suffix k xs*) . *P x*
      k≤ *intlen xs*
 shows *set* (*nfilter P* (*suffix k xs*) *n*) = {*n*+*i*|*i*. *i* ≤ *intlen xs*−*k* ∧ *P*(*nth xs* (*i*+*k*))}
using *assms* *nfilter-suffix-set* **by** (*auto simp add*: *add.commute*)

lemma *nfilter-card*:
assumes $\exists\ x \in set\ xs\ .\ P\ x$
 shows $intlen\ (nfilter\ P\ xs\ n)\ +1 = card\ (set\ (nfilter\ P\ xs\ n))$
using *assms*
by (*induct xs arbitrary*: *n*) *auto*

lemma *nfilter-prefix-set-1*:
assumes $k \leq\ intlen\ xs$
   $\exists\ x \in set\ (prefix\ k\ xs)\ .\ P\ x$
 shows $set\ (prefix\ (intlen(nfilter\ P\ (prefix\ k\ xs)\ n))\ (nfilter\ P\ xs\ n)) =$
     $\{(nth\ (nfilter\ P\ xs\ n)\ i)\ |\ i.\ i \leq\ intlen(nfilter\ P\ (prefix\ k\ xs)\ n)\}$
using *prefix-set*[*of* (*intlen*(*nfilter P* (*prefix k xs*) *n*)) (*nfilter P xs n*)]
by (*simp add*: *assms*(*1*) *assms*(*2*) *nfilter-prefix-set-0*)

lemma *nfilter-prefix-subset*:
assumes $\exists\ x \in set\ xs\ .\ P\ x$
   $k \leq\ intlen\ (nfilter\ P\ xs\ n)$
shows $set\ (prefix\ k\ (nfilter\ P\ xs\ n)) \leq\ set\ (nfilter\ P\ xs\ n)$
using *assms*
using *prefix-subset* **by** *blast*

lemma *intlen-filter-conv-card*:
assumes $\exists\ x \in set\ xs.\ P\ x$
 shows $intlen\ (filter\ P\ xs) +\ 1 = card\ \{i.\ i \leq\ intlen\ xs \wedge P\ (nth\ xs\ i)\}$
proof $-$
 have *1*: $intlen\ (filter\ P\ xs) = intlen(nfilter\ P\ xs\ 0)$
  by (*simp add*: *assms nfilter-intlen*)
 have *2*: $intlen\ (nfilter\ P\ xs\ 0) +1 = card\ (set\ (nfilter\ P\ xs\ 0))$
   by (*meson assms nfilter-card*)
 have *3*: $set\ (nfilter\ P\ xs\ 0) = \{i|i.\ i \leq\ intlen\ xs \wedge P(nth\ xs\ i)\}$
   using *assms* **by** *auto*
 show *?thesis*
 using *1 2 3* **by** *auto*
qed

lemma *nfilter-all*:
 assumes $\exists\ x \in set\ xs.\ P\ x$
 shows $((nfilter\ P\ xs\ n) = [n..\leq n+intlen\ xs]) = (\forall x \in set\ xs.\ P\ x)$
using *assms*
proof (*induction xs arbitrary*: *n*)
case (*St x*)
then show *?case* **by** (*simp add*: *upt-same*)
next
case (*Cons x1a xs*)
then show *?case*
  proof (*cases* $\exists\ x \in set\ xs.\ P\ x$)
   show ($\bigwedge n.$
      $\exists a \in set\ xs.\ P\ a \Longrightarrow$
      $(nfilter\ P\ xs\ n = [n..\leq n + intlen\ xs]) = (\forall a \in set\ xs.\ P\ a)) \Longrightarrow$

694

$\exists\, a{\in}set\ (x1a \odot xs).\ P\ a \Longrightarrow$
$\exists\, x{\in}set\ xs.\ P\ x \Longrightarrow$
$(nfilter\ P\ (x1a \odot xs)\ n = [n..{\leq}n + intlen\ (x1a \odot xs)]) = (\forall\, a{\in}set\ (x1a \odot xs).\ P\ a)$
proof *auto*
  show $\bigwedge x\ xa.$
      $(\bigwedge n.\ (nfilter\ P\ xs\ n = [n..{\leq}n + intlen\ xs]) = (\forall\, x{\in}set\ xs.\ P\ x)) \Longrightarrow$
      $x \in set\ xs \Longrightarrow$
      $P\ x \Longrightarrow$
      $P\ x1a \Longrightarrow$
      $n \odot nfilter\ P\ xs\ (Suc\ n) = [n..{\leq}n + intlen\ xs] \ominus \langle Suc\ (n + intlen\ xs)\rangle \Longrightarrow$
      $xa \in set\ xs \Longrightarrow$
      $P\ xa$
  by (*metis add.right-neutral add-diff-cancel-left' add-right-imp-eq interval-intlen-cons*
    interval-intlen-intapp intlen.simps(1) length-nfilter-less nat-less-le upt-length)
  show $\bigwedge x.$
      $(\bigwedge n.\ nfilter\ P\ xs\ n = [n..{\leq}n + intlen\ xs]) \Longrightarrow$
      $x \in set\ xs \Longrightarrow$
      $P\ x1a \Longrightarrow$
      $\forall\, x{\in}set\ xs.\ P\ x \Longrightarrow$
      $Suc\ 0 \leq intlen\ xs \Longrightarrow$
      $n \odot [Suc\ n..{\leq}n + intlen\ xs] \ominus \langle Suc\ (n + intlen\ xs)\rangle =$
      $[n..{\leq}n + intlen\ xs] \ominus \langle Suc\ (n + intlen\ xs)\rangle$
using *upt-rec* **by** *auto*
  show $\bigwedge x.$
      $(\bigwedge n.\ nfilter\ P\ xs\ n = [n..{\leq}n + intlen\ xs]) \Longrightarrow$
      $x \in set\ xs \Longrightarrow$
      $P\ x1a \Longrightarrow$
      $\forall\, x{\in}set\ xs.\ P\ x \Longrightarrow$
      $\neg\, Suc\ 0 \leq intlen\ xs \Longrightarrow$
      $\langle n,\ Suc\ (n + intlen\ xs)\rangle = [n..{\leq}n + intlen\ xs] \ominus \langle Suc\ (n + intlen\ xs)\rangle$
  by (*simp add*: *upt-rec*)
  show $\bigwedge x.$
      $(\bigwedge n.\ (nfilter\ P\ xs\ n = [n..{\leq}n + intlen\ xs]) = (\forall\, x{\in}set\ xs.\ P\ x)) \Longrightarrow$
      $x \in set\ xs \Longrightarrow$
      $P\ x \Longrightarrow$
      $\neg\, P\ x1a \Longrightarrow$
      $nfilter\ P\ xs\ (Suc\ n) = [n..{\leq}n + intlen\ xs] \ominus \langle Suc\ (n + intlen\ xs)\rangle \Longrightarrow False$
  by (*metis Suc-n-not-le-n interval-intfirst-intapp2 interval-intlen-gr-zero*
    interval-nth-zero-intfirst le-add1 nfilter-lower-bound upt-intfirst)
qed
  show $(\bigwedge n.\ \exists\, a{\in}set\ xs.\ P\ a \Longrightarrow (nfilter\ P\ xs\ n = [n..{\leq}n + intlen\ xs]) = (\forall\, a{\in}set\ xs.\ P\ a)) \Longrightarrow$
    $\exists\, a{\in}set\ (x1a \odot xs).\ P\ a \Longrightarrow$
    $\neg\, (\exists\, x{\in}set\ xs.\ P\ x) \Longrightarrow$
    $(nfilter\ P\ (x1a \odot xs)\ n = [n..{\leq}n + intlen\ (x1a \odot xs)]) = (\forall\, a{\in}set\ (x1a \odot xs).\ P\ a)$
  proof *auto*
   show $\bigwedge x.\ P\ x1a \Longrightarrow$
      $\forall\, x{\in}set\ xs.\ \neg\, P\ x \Longrightarrow$
      $\langle n\rangle = [n..{\leq}n + intlen\ xs] \ominus \langle Suc\ (n + intlen\ xs)\rangle \Longrightarrow$
      $x \in set\ xs \Longrightarrow$
      $False$

by (*metis interval-intapp-not-state*)
    show *P x1a* $\Longrightarrow$
        set xs = {} $\Longrightarrow$
        $\langle n \rangle = [n..{\leq}n + intlen\ xs] \ominus \langle Suc\ (n + intlen\ xs)\rangle$
   using *interval-set-nonempty* **by** *blast*
  qed
 qed
qed

lemma *filter-nfilter-prefix-intlen-0*:
  assumes *P* (*nth xs* ((*nth* (*nfilter P xs n*) *k*) $-n$))
      k $\leq$ *intlen* (*filter P xs*)
  shows ($\exists\ x \in set\ xs.\ P\ x$)
using *assms*
by (*induction xs arbitrary*: *k*) *auto*

lemma *nfilter-intlen-n-zero*:
 assumes ($\exists\ x \in set\ xs.\ P\ x$)
 shows *intlen* (*nfilter P xs n*) = *intlen* (*nfilter P xs 0*)
using *assms*
proof
(*induction xs arbitrary*: *n*)
case (*St x*)
then show *?case* **by** *simp*
next
case (*Cons x1a xs*)
then show *?case*
  proof (*cases n*)
   show ($\bigwedge n.\ \exists a{\in}set\ xs.\ P\ a \Longrightarrow intlen\ (nfilter\ P\ xs\ n) = intlen\ (nfilter\ P\ xs\ 0)) \Longrightarrow$
      $\exists a{\in}set\ (x1a \odot xs).\ P\ a \Longrightarrow$
      $n = 0 \Longrightarrow$
      intlen (*nfilter P* (*x1a* $\odot$ *xs*) *n*) = *intlen* (*nfilter P* (*x1a* $\odot$ *xs*) *0*)
    by *blast*
   show $\bigwedge$*nat*.
      ($\bigwedge n.\ \exists a{\in}set\ xs.\ P\ a \Longrightarrow intlen\ (nfilter\ P\ xs\ n) = intlen\ (nfilter\ P\ xs\ 0)) \Longrightarrow$
      $\exists a{\in}set\ (x1a \odot xs).\ P\ a \Longrightarrow$
      $n = Suc\ nat \Longrightarrow$
      intlen (*nfilter P* (*x1a* $\odot$ *xs*) *n*) = *intlen* (*nfilter P* (*x1a* $\odot$ *xs*) *0*)
    by (*simp add*: *nfilter-intlen*)
  qed
qed

lemma *nfilter-nth-n-zero-a*:
 assumes ($\exists\ x \in set\ xs.\ P\ x$)
      k $\leq$ *intlen* (*nfilter P xs n*)
 shows *n* $\leq$ (*nth* (*nfilter P xs n*) *k*)
using *assms* **by** (*simp add*: *nfilter-lower-bound*)

lemma *nfilter-nth-n-zero*:

696

assumes $(\exists\ x \in set\ xs.\ P\ x)$

$\qquad k \leq intlen\ (nfilter\ P\ xs\ n)$

shows $(nth\ (nfilter\ P\ xs\ n)\ k) - n = nth\ (nfilter\ P\ xs\ 0)\ k$

using *assms*

proof

$(induction\ xs\ arbitrary:\ n\ k)$

case $(St\ x)$

then show *?case* **by** *simp*

next

case $(Cons\ x1a\ xs)$

then show *?case*

  proof $(cases\ (\exists\ x \in set\ xs.\ P\ x))$

  show $(\bigwedge k\ n.$

$\qquad\qquad \exists\ a \in set\ xs.\ P\ a \Longrightarrow$

$\qquad\qquad k \leq intlen\ (nfilter\ P\ xs\ n) \Longrightarrow$

$\qquad\qquad nth\ (nfilter\ P\ xs\ n)\ k - n = nth\ (nfilter\ P\ xs\ 0)\ k) \Longrightarrow$

$\qquad\quad \exists\ a \in set\ (x1a \odot xs).\ P\ a \Longrightarrow$

$\qquad\quad k \leq intlen\ (nfilter\ P\ (x1a \odot xs)\ n) \Longrightarrow$

$\qquad\quad \exists\ x \in set\ xs.\ P\ x \Longrightarrow$

$\qquad\quad nth\ (nfilter\ P\ (x1a \odot xs)\ n)\ k - n = nth\ (nfilter\ P\ (x1a \odot xs)\ 0)\ k$

    proof $(cases\ P\ x1a)$

   show $(\bigwedge k\ n.$

$\qquad\qquad \exists\ a \in set\ xs.\ P\ a \Longrightarrow$

$\qquad\qquad k \leq intlen\ (nfilter\ P\ xs\ n) \Longrightarrow$

$\qquad\qquad nth\ (nfilter\ P\ xs\ n)\ k - n = nth\ (nfilter\ P\ xs\ 0)\ k) \Longrightarrow$

$\qquad\quad \exists\ a \in set\ (x1a \odot xs).\ P\ a \Longrightarrow$

$\qquad\quad k \leq intlen\ (nfilter\ P\ (x1a \odot xs)\ n) \Longrightarrow$

$\qquad\quad \exists\ x \in set\ xs.\ P\ x \Longrightarrow$

$\qquad\quad P\ x1a \Longrightarrow$

$\qquad\quad nth\ (nfilter\ P\ (x1a \odot xs)\ n)\ k - n = nth\ (nfilter\ P\ (x1a \odot xs)\ 0)\ k$

    proof $(cases\ k)$

   show $(\bigwedge k\ n.$

$\qquad\qquad \exists\ a \in set\ xs.\ P\ a \Longrightarrow$

$\qquad\qquad k \leq intlen\ (nfilter\ P\ xs\ n) \Longrightarrow$

$\qquad\qquad nth\ (nfilter\ P\ xs\ n)\ k - n = nth\ (nfilter\ P\ xs\ 0)\ k) \Longrightarrow$

$\qquad\quad \exists\ a \in set\ (x1a \odot xs).\ P\ a \Longrightarrow$

$\qquad\quad k \leq intlen\ (nfilter\ P\ (x1a \odot xs)\ n) \Longrightarrow$

$\qquad\quad \exists\ x \in set\ xs.\ P\ x \Longrightarrow$

$\qquad\quad P\ x1a \Longrightarrow$

$\qquad\quad k = 0 \Longrightarrow$

$\qquad\quad nth\ (nfilter\ P\ (x1a \odot xs)\ n)\ k - n = nth\ (nfilter\ P\ (x1a \odot xs)\ 0)\ k$

  by *auto*

    show $\bigwedge nat.$

$\qquad\quad (\bigwedge k\ n.$

$\qquad\qquad \exists\ a \in set\ xs.\ P\ a \Longrightarrow$

$\qquad\qquad k \leq intlen\ (nfilter\ P\ xs\ n) \Longrightarrow$

$\qquad\qquad nth\ (nfilter\ P\ xs\ n)\ k - n = nth\ (nfilter\ P\ xs\ 0)\ k) \Longrightarrow$

$\qquad\quad \exists\ a \in set\ (x1a \odot xs).\ P\ a \Longrightarrow$

$\qquad\quad k \leq intlen\ (nfilter\ P\ (x1a \odot xs)\ n) \Longrightarrow$

$\qquad\quad \exists\ x \in set\ xs.\ P\ x \Longrightarrow$

    P x1a $\Longrightarrow$
    k = Suc nat $\Longrightarrow$
    nth (nfilter P (x1a ⊙ xs) n) k − n = nth (nfilter P (x1a ⊙ xs) 0) k
  proof *auto*
   fix *nat*
   fix *x*
   show $(\bigwedge k\ n.$
       k ≤ intlen (nfilter P xs n) $\Longrightarrow$
       nth (nfilter P xs n) k − n = nth (nfilter P xs 0) k) $\Longrightarrow$
      nat ≤ intlen (nfilter P xs (Suc n)) $\Longrightarrow$
      P x1a $\Longrightarrow$
      k = Suc nat $\Longrightarrow$
      x ∈ set xs $\Longrightarrow$
      P x $\Longrightarrow$
      nth (nfilter P xs (Suc n)) nat − n = nth (nfilter P xs (Suc 0)) nat
    proof −
     assume *a0*: $(\bigwedge k\ n.$
        k ≤ intlen (nfilter P xs n) $\Longrightarrow$
        nth (nfilter P xs n) k − n = nth (nfilter P xs 0) k)
    assume *a1*: *nat ≤ intlen (nfilter P xs (Suc n))*
    assume *a2*: *P x1a*
    assume *a3*: *k = Suc nat*
    assume *a4*: *x ∈ set xs*
    assume *a5*: *P x*
    show *nth (nfilter P xs (Suc n)) nat − n = nth (nfilter P xs (Suc 0)) nat*
     proof −
     have *1*: *nth (nfilter P xs (Suc n)) nat − (Suc n) = nth (nfilter P xs 0) nat*
       using *a0 a1* **by** *blast*
     have *2*: *nth (nfilter P xs (Suc 0)) nat − (Suc 0) = nth (nfilter P xs 0) nat*
      by (*metis a0 a1 a4 a5 nfilter-intlen-n-zero*)
     show *?thesis*
     by (*metis 1 2 One-nat-def Suc-diff-le a1 a4 a5 diff-Suc-Suc*
       nfilter-intlen-n-zero nfilter-lower-bound
       ordered-cancel-comm-monoid-diff-class.add-diff-inverse plus-1-eq-Suc)
     qed
    qed
   qed
   qed
show $(\bigwedge k\ n.$
   ∃ a∈set xs. P a $\Longrightarrow$
   k ≤ intlen (nfilter P xs n) $\Longrightarrow$
   nth (nfilter P xs n) k − n = nth (nfilter P xs 0) k) $\Longrightarrow$
  ∃ a∈set (x1a ⊙ xs). P a $\Longrightarrow$
  k ≤ intlen (nfilter P (x1a ⊙ xs) n) $\Longrightarrow$
  ∃ x∈set xs. P x $\Longrightarrow$
  ¬ P x1a $\Longrightarrow$
  nth (nfilter P (x1a ⊙ xs) n) k − n = nth (nfilter P (x1a ⊙ xs) 0) k
 proof *auto*
 fix *x*
 show $(\bigwedge k\ n.$

698

$$k \leq intlen\ (nfilter\ P\ xs\ n) \Longrightarrow$$
$$nth\ (nfilter\ P\ xs\ n)\ k - n = nth\ (nfilter\ P\ xs\ 0)\ k) \Longrightarrow$$
$$k \leq intlen\ (nfilter\ P\ xs\ (Suc\ n)) \Longrightarrow$$
$$\neg\ P\ x1a \Longrightarrow$$
$$x \in set\ xs \Longrightarrow$$
$$P\ x \Longrightarrow$$
$$nth\ (nfilter\ P\ xs\ (Suc\ n))\ k - n = nth\ (nfilter\ P\ xs\ (Suc\ 0))\ k$$

proof −

assume *b0*: ($\bigwedge k\ n$.

$$k \leq intlen\ (nfilter\ P\ xs\ n) \Longrightarrow$$
$$nth\ (nfilter\ P\ xs\ n)\ k - n = nth\ (nfilter\ P\ xs\ 0)\ k)$$

assume *b1*: $k \leq intlen\ (nfilter\ P\ xs\ (Suc\ n))$

assume *b2*: $\neg\ P\ x1a$

assume *b3*: $x \in set\ xs$

assume *b4*: $P\ x$

show $nth\ (nfilter\ P\ xs\ (Suc\ n))\ k - n = nth\ (nfilter\ P\ xs\ (Suc\ 0))\ k$

proof −

have *3*: $nth\ (nfilter\ P\ xs\ (Suc\ n))\ k - (Suc\ n) = nth\ (nfilter\ P\ xs\ 0)\ k$

using *b0 b1* **by** *blast*

have *4*: $nth\ (nfilter\ P\ xs\ (Suc\ 0))\ k - (Suc\ 0) = nth\ (nfilter\ P\ xs\ 0)\ k$

by (*metis b0 b1 b3 b4 nfilter-intlen-n-zero*)

show *?thesis*

by (*metis 3 4 One-nat-def Suc-diff-le b1 b3 b4 diff-Suc-Suc nfilter-intlen-n-zero*
nfilter-lower-bound ordered-cancel-comm-monoid-diff-class.add-diff-inverse
plus-1-eq-Suc)

qed

qed

qed

qed

show ($\bigwedge k\ n$.

$$\exists a \in set\ xs.\ P\ a \Longrightarrow$$
$$k \leq intlen\ (nfilter\ P\ xs\ n) \Longrightarrow$$
$$nth\ (nfilter\ P\ xs\ n)\ k - n = nth\ (nfilter\ P\ xs\ 0)\ k) \Longrightarrow$$
$$\exists a \in set\ (x1a \odot xs).\ P\ a \Longrightarrow$$
$$k \leq intlen\ (nfilter\ P\ (x1a \odot xs)\ n) \Longrightarrow$$
$$\neg\ (\exists x \in set\ xs.\ P\ x) \Longrightarrow$$
$$nth\ (nfilter\ P\ (x1a \odot xs)\ n)\ k - n = nth\ (nfilter\ P\ (x1a \odot xs)\ 0)\ k$$

by *auto*

qed

qed

lemma *nfilter-n-zero*:

assumes ($\exists\ x \in set\ xs.\ P\ x$)

shows $(nfilter\ P\ xs\ n) = map\ (\lambda i.\ i+n)\ (nfilter\ P\ xs\ 0)$

using *assms*

proof −

have *1*: $intlen\ (nfilter\ P\ xs\ n) = intlen\ (map\ (\lambda i.\ i+n)\ (nfilter\ P\ xs\ 0))$

using *assms nfilter-intlen-n-zero* **by** *fastforce*

have *2*: $\bigwedge k.\ k \leq$ *intlen* (*nfilter P xs n*) $\longrightarrow$
       nth (*nfilter P xs n*) *k* = *nth* (*map* ($\lambda i.\ i+n$) (*nfilter P xs 0*)) *k*
 using *assms nfilter-nth-n-zero*[*of xs P - n*]
 by (*metis interval-nth-map le-add-diff-inverse2 nfilter-lower-bound*)
 show *?thesis* **by** (*simp add: 1 2 interval-eq-nth-eq*)
qed

lemma *nfilter-n-zero-a*:
 assumes ($\exists\ x \in set\ xs.\ P\ x$)
 shows   (*nfilter P xs 0*) = *map* ($\lambda i.\ i-n$) (*nfilter P xs n*)
proof $-$
 have *1*: *intlen*(*nfilter P xs 0*) = *intlen*(*map* ($\lambda i.\ i-n$) (*nfilter P xs n*))
   by (*metis assms interval-intlen-map nfilter-intlen-n-zero*)
 have *2*: $\bigwedge k.\ k \leq$ *intlen* (*nfilter P xs 0*) $\longrightarrow$
       nth (*nfilter P xs 0*) *k* = *nth* (*map* ($\lambda i.\ i-n$) (*nfilter P xs n*)) *k*
   using *assms*
   by (*simp add: 1 interval-nth-map nfilter-nth-n-zero*)
 show *?thesis*
 using *1 2 interval-eq-nth-eq* **by** *blast*
qed

lemma *nfilter-count*:
 assumes ($\exists\ x \in set\ xs.\ P\ x$)
 shows   *card* {(*nth* (*nfilter P xs n*) *k*)| *k*. *k* $\leq$ *intlen* (*nfilter P xs n*)} =
      intlen (*nfilter P xs n*) +1
using *assms nfilter-card*[*of xs P n*]   *set-nfilter*[*of xs P n*]
 interval-nth-and-set **by** (*simp add: set-nth*)

lemma *nfilter-holds*:
 assumes ($\exists\ x \in set\ xs.\ P\ x$)
 shows ($\forall\ x \in set$ (*nfilter P xs n*). *P* (*nth xs* ($x-n$)))
using *assms* **by** *auto*

lemma *nfilter-holds-not*:
 assumes ($\exists\ x \in set\ xs.\ P\ x$)
 shows ($\forall\ x \in$ ({$i+n$| *i*. *i* $\leq$ *intlen xs*} $-$ (*set* (*nfilter P xs n*))). $\neg\ P$ (*nth xs* ($x-n$)))
using *assms* **by** *auto*

lemma *nfilter-holds-a*:
 assumes ($\exists\ x \in set\ xs.\ P\ x$)
 shows ($\forall\ i \leq intlen\ xs.$ ($i+n$) $\in set$ (*nfilter P xs n*) $\longrightarrow P$ (*nth xs i*))
using *assms* **by** *auto*

lemma *nfilter-holds-not-a*:
 assumes ($\exists\ x \in set\ xs.\ P\ x$)
 shows ($\forall\ i \leq$ *intlen xs*. *P* (*nth xs i*) $\longrightarrow$ ($i+n$) $\in set$ (*nfilter P xs n*))
using *assms* **by** *auto*

lemma *nfilter-holds-b*:
 assumes ($\exists\ x \in set\ xs.\ P\ x$)
  shows   ($\forall\ i \leq intlen\ xs.\ (i+n) \in set\ (nfilter\ P\ xs\ n) = P\ (nth\ xs\ i)$)
using *assms* **by** *auto*

lemma *nfilter-holds-c*:
 assumes ($\exists\ x \in set\ xs.\ P\ x$)
       $i \leq intlen\ xs$
  shows   ($i+n) \in set\ (nfilter\ P\ xs\ n) = P\ (nth\ xs\ i)$
by (*simp add*: *assms(1) assms(2)*)

lemma *nfilter-holds-d*:
 assumes ($\exists\ x \in set\ xs.\ P\ x$)
       $n \leq i$
       $i \leq intlen\ xs + n$
  shows $i \in set\ (nfilter\ P\ xs\ n) = P\ (nth\ xs\ (i-n))$
using *assms*
by (*metis diff-add le-diff-conv nfilter-holds-b*)

lemma *nfilter-holds-not-b*:
 assumes ($\exists\ x \in set\ xs.\ P\ x$)
       $n \leq i$
       $i \leq intlen\ xs + n$
  shows $i \notin set\ (nfilter\ P\ xs\ n) = (\neg\ P\ (nth\ xs\ (i-n)))$
using *assms* **by** *auto*

lemma *nfilter-disjoint-set-coset*:
 assumes ($\exists\ x \in set\ xs.\ P\ x$)
  shows   ($\{i+n|\ i.\ i \leq intlen\ xs\} - (set\ (nfilter\ P\ xs\ n))) \cap (set\ (nfilter\ P\ xs\ n)) = \{\}$
using *assms* **by** *auto*

lemma *nfilter-not-before*:
 assumes ($\exists\ x \in set\ xs.\ P\ x$)
       $i < (nth\ (nfilter\ P\ xs\ 0)\ 0)$
 shows $\neg\ P\ (nth\ xs\ i)$
 proof $-$
 have 0: $(nth\ (nfilter\ P\ xs\ 0)\ 0) \leq intlen\ xs$
     by (*metis add.left-neutral assms(1) interval-intlen-gr-zero nfilter-upper-bound*)
 have 1: $i \notin set\ (nfilter\ P\ xs\ 0)$
   using *assms*
   proof (*induction xs arbitrary*: *i*)
   case (*St x*)
   then show *?case* **by** *simp*
   next
   case (*Cons x1a xs*)
   then show *?case*
     by (*metis idx-nfilter interval-idx-greater interval-nth-and-set interval-nth-zero-intfirst leD*)
   qed
 have 2: $i \notin set\ (nfilter\ P\ xs\ 0) \wedge i \leq intlen\ xs \longrightarrow \neg\ P\ (nth\ xs\ (i))$
     by (*metis add.right-neutral nfilter-holds-not-a nth-set*)

701

have *3*: *i* ≤ *intlen xs*
    using *0 assms*(*2*) **by** *linarith*
 from *0 1 2 3* **show** *?thesis* **by** *auto*
qed

lemma *nfilter-n-not-before*:
 assumes (∃ *x* ∈ *set* (*suffix n xs*). *P x*)
     n ≤ *intlen xs*
     n ≤ *i*
     i < (*nth* (*nfilter P* (*suffix n xs*) *n*) *0*)
 shows ¬ *P* (*nth xs* (*i*))
proof −
 have *0*: (*nth* (*nfilter P* (*suffix n xs*) *n*) *0*) ≤ *intlen xs*
   by (*metis assms*(*1*) *assms*(*2*) *interval-intlen-gr-zero interval-suffix-length-good*
    le-add-diff-inverse nfilter-upper-bound)
 have *1*: *i* ∉ *set* (*nfilter P* (*suffix n xs*) *n*)
  using *assms*
  proof (*induction xs arbitrary*: *i*)
  case (*St x*)
  then show *?case* **by** *simp*
  next
  case (*Cons x1a xs*)
  then show *?case*
   by (*metis idx-nfilter interval-idx-greater interval-nth-and-set interval-nth-zero-intfirst leD*)
  qed
 have *2*: *i* ∉ *set* (*nfilter P* (*suffix n xs*) *n*) ∧ *n* ≤ *i* ∧ *i* ≤ *intlen xs* ⟶ ¬ *P* (*nth xs* (*i*))
   using *assms nfilter-holds-not-a*[*of* (*suffix n xs*) *P n*]
   by (*metis add-le-imp-le-right interval-nth-suffix interval-suffix-length le-add-diff-inverse2*
    ordered-cancel-comm-monoid-diff-class.add-diff-inverse)
 have *3*: *n* ≤ *i* ∧ *i* ≤ *intlen xs*
   using *0 assms*(*3*) *assms*(*4*) **by** *linarith*
 from *0 1 2 3* **show** *?thesis* **by** *auto*
qed

lemma *nfilter-not-after*:
 assumes (∃ *x* ∈ *set xs*. *P x*)
     (*nth* (*nfilter P xs 0*) (*intlen* (*nfilter P xs 0*))) < *i*
      i ≤ *intlen xs*
 shows   ¬ *P* (*nth xs* (*i*))
proof −
 have *1*: *i* ∉ *set* (*nfilter P xs 0*)
  using *assms*
   proof (*induction xs arbitrary*: *i*)
   case (*St x*)
   then show *?case* **by** *auto*
   next
   case (*Cons x1a xs*)
   then show *?case*
    by (*metis idx-nfilter-gr-eq interval-nth-and-set leD le-refl*)
  qed

have *2*: *i* ∉ *set* (*nfilter P xs 0*) ∧ *i* ≤ *intlen xs* ⟶ ¬ *P* (*nth xs* (*i*))
  by (*metis add.right-neutral nfilter-holds-b nth-set*)
 have *3*: *i* ≤ *intlen xs*
   by (*simp add*: *assms*(*3*))
 from *1 2 3* **show** *?thesis* **by** *auto*
qed

lemma *nfilter-n-not-after*:
 assumes (∃ *x* ∈ *set* (*suffix n xs*). *P x*)
       n ≤ *intlen xs*
       (*nth* (*nfilter P* (*suffix n xs*) *n*) (*intlen* (*nfilter P* (*suffix n xs*) *n*))) < *i*
        i ≤ *intlen xs*
 shows   ¬ *P* (*nth xs* (*i*))
 proof −
 have *1*: *i* ∉ *set* (*nfilter P* (*suffix n xs*) *n*)
   using *assms*
     proof (*induction xs arbitrary*: *i*)
     case (*St x*)
     then show *?case* **by** *auto*
     next
     case (*Cons x1a xs*)
     then show *?case*
        by (*metis idx-nfilter-gr-eq interval-nth-and-set leD le-eq-less-or-eq*)
     qed
 have *2*: *i* ∉ *set* (*nfilter P* (*suffix n xs*) *n*) ∧ *n* ≤ *i* ∧ *i* ≤ *intlen xs* ⟶ ¬ *P* (*nth xs* (*i*))
     using *assms nfilter-holds-not-a*[*of suffix n xs P n*]
      by (*metis add-le-imp-le-left interval-nth-suffix interval-suffix-length le-add-diff-inverse2*
      ordered-cancel-comm-monoid-diff-class.add-diff-inverse)
 have *3*: *n* ≤ *i* ∧ *i* ≤ *intlen xs*
    by (*meson assms*(*1*) *assms*(*3*) *assms*(*4*) *dual-order.strict-implies-order dual-order.strict-trans2*
       nfilter-nth-n-zero-a order-refl)
 from *1 2 3* **show** *?thesis* **by** *auto*
qed

lemma *nfilter-not-between-help-a*:
assumes (⋀*k i*.
        ∃ *a*∈*set xs*. *P a* ⟹
        k < *intlen* (*nfilter P xs 0*) ⟹
        nth (*nfilter P xs 0*) *k* < *i* ⟹
        i < *nth* (*nfilter P xs 0*) (*Suc k*) ⟹
        nth (*nfilter P xs 0*) (*Suc k*) ≤ *intlen xs* ⟹
        i ∉ *set* (*nfilter P xs 0*))
       ∃ *a*∈*set* (*x1a* ⊙ *xs*). *P a*
       k < *intlen* (*nfilter P* (*x1a* ⊙ *xs*) *0*)
       nth (*nfilter P* (*x1a* ⊙ *xs*) *0*) *k* < *i*
       i < *nth* (*nfilter P* (*x1a* ⊙ *xs*) *0*) (*Suc k*)
       nth (*nfilter P* (*x1a* ⊙ *xs*) *0*) (*Suc k*) ≤ *intlen* (*x1a* ⊙ *xs*)
       ∃ *x*∈*set xs*. *P x*
       P x1a

703

shows $i \notin set\ (nfilter\ P\ (x1a \odot xs)\ 0)$
proof $-$
 have 1: $k=0 \implies i \notin set\ (nfilter\ P\ (x1a \odot xs)\ 0)$
  using *assms* **by** *auto*
   (*metis One-nat-def Suc-less-eq2 diff-Suc-1 interval-intlen-gr-zero nfilter-not-before*
  nfilter-nth-n-zero)
 have 2: $\bigwedge n.\ k= (Suc\ n) \implies i \notin set\ (nfilter\ P\ (x1a \odot xs)\ 0)$
  using *assms*
  proof *auto*
   fix n
   fix x
   fix ka
   assume *a0*: $k= Suc\ n$
   assume *a1*: $(\bigwedge k\ i.\ k < intlen\ (nfilter\ P\ xs\ 0) \implies$
        $nth\ (nfilter\ P\ xs\ 0)\ k < i \implies$
        $i < nth\ (nfilter\ P\ xs\ 0)\ (Suc\ k) \implies$
        $nth\ (nfilter\ P\ xs\ 0)\ (Suc\ k) \leq intlen\ xs \implies$
        $\neg\ P\ (nth\ xs\ i))$
   assume *a2*: $n < intlen\ (nfilter\ P\ xs\ (Suc\ 0))$
   assume *a3*: $nth\ (nfilter\ P\ xs\ (Suc\ 0))\ n < Suc\ ka$
   assume *a4*: $Suc\ ka < nth\ (nfilter\ P\ xs\ (Suc\ 0))\ (Suc\ n)$
   assume *a5*: $nth\ (nfilter\ P\ xs\ (Suc\ 0))\ (Suc\ n) \leq Suc\ (intlen\ xs)$
   assume *a6*: $P\ x1a$
   assume *a7*: $x \in set\ xs$
   assume *a8*: $P\ x$
   assume *a9*: $i = Suc\ ka$
   assume *a10*: $P\ (nth\ xs\ ka)$
   show *False*
    proof $-$
     have 3: $n < intlen\ (nfilter\ P\ xs\ 0) \implies$
        $nth\ (nfilter\ P\ xs\ 0)\ n < ka \implies$
        $ka < nth\ (nfilter\ P\ xs\ 0)\ (Suc\ n) \implies$
        $nth\ (nfilter\ P\ xs\ 0)\ (Suc\ n) \leq intlen\ xs \implies$
        $\neg\ P\ (nth\ xs\ ka)$
       using *a1*[*of n ka*] **by** *auto*
     have 4: $n < intlen\ (nfilter\ P\ xs\ 0)$
       by (*metis a2 a7 a8 nfilter-intlen-n-zero*)
     have 5: $nth\ (nfilter\ P\ xs\ 0)\ (Suc\ n) \leq intlen\ xs$
       by (*metis 4 Suc-leI add.commute add.right-neutral assms(7) nfilter-upper-bound*)
     have 6: $\exists x \in set\ xs.\ P\ x$
       using *assms(7)* **by** *auto*
     have 7: $Suc\ 0 \leq intlen\ (nfilter\ P\ xs\ (Suc\ n))$
       by (*metis One-nat-def Suc-le-mono Suc-mono a2 a7 a8 interval-intlen-gr-zero le-SucE*
          nfilter-intlen-n-zero not-add-less1 plus-1-eq-Suc)
     have 8: $ka < nth\ (nfilter\ P\ xs\ 0)\ (Suc\ n)$
       using *nfilter-nth-n-zero*[*of xs P Suc n Suc 0*] *a4 6 a2* **by** *linarith*
     have 9: $nth\ (nfilter\ P\ xs\ 0)\ n < ka$
       using *a2 a3 a7 a8 nfilter-nth-n-zero*[*of xs P n Suc 0*]
       by (*metis One-nat-def add.commute dual-order.strict-implies-order less-diff-conv2*
          nfilter-lower-bound plus-1-eq-Suc)

have 10: ¬ P (nth xs ka)
    using 3 4 9 8 5 **by** auto
  from a10 10 **show** ?thesis **by** auto
 qed
qed
show ?thesis **using** 1 2
using gr0-implies-Suc **by** blast
qed

lemma nfilter-not-between-help-b:
assumes ($\bigwedge$k i.
   ∃ a∈set xs. P a $\Longrightarrow$
   k < intlen (nfilter P xs 0) $\Longrightarrow$
   nth (nfilter P xs 0) k < i $\Longrightarrow$
   i < nth (nfilter P xs 0) (Suc k) $\Longrightarrow$
   nth (nfilter P xs 0) (Suc k) ≤ intlen xs $\Longrightarrow$
   i ∉ set (nfilter P xs 0))
   ∃ a∈set (x1a ⊙ xs). P a
   k < intlen (nfilter P (x1a ⊙ xs) 0)
   nth (nfilter P (x1a ⊙ xs) 0) k < i
   i < nth (nfilter P (x1a ⊙ xs) 0) (Suc k)
   nth (nfilter P (x1a ⊙ xs) 0) (Suc k) ≤ intlen (x1a ⊙ xs)
   ∃ x∈set xs. P x
   ¬ P x1a
 shows i ∉ set (nfilter P (x1a ⊙ xs) 0)
proof −
 have 1: k=0 $\Longrightarrow$ i ∉ set (nfilter P (x1a ⊙ xs) 0)
   using assms
   proof auto
    fix x :: $'$a **and** ka :: nat
    assume a1: nth (nfilter P xs (Suc 0)) 0 < Suc ka
    assume a2: x ∈ set xs
    assume a3: P x
    assume a4: $\bigwedge$k i. ⟦k < intlen (nfilter P xs 0); nth (nfilter P xs 0) k < i;
           i < nth (nfilter P xs 0) (Suc k); nth (nfilter P xs 0) (Suc k) ≤ intlen xs⟧
           $\Longrightarrow$ ¬ P (nth xs i)
    assume a5: P (nth xs ka)
    assume a6: 0 < intlen (nfilter P xs (Suc 0))
    assume a7: Suc ka < nth (nfilter P xs (Suc 0)) (Suc 0)
    assume a8: nth (nfilter P xs (Suc 0)) (Suc 0) ≤ Suc (intlen xs)
    have f9: ∃ a. a ∈ set xs ∧ P a
    using a3 a2 **by** blast
    then have f10: 0 ≤ intlen (nfilter P xs (Suc 0)) $\longrightarrow$ nth (nfilter P xs (Suc 0)) 0 ≠ 0
    by (metis le-zero-eq nfilter-lower-bound not-less-eq-eq)
    have f11: intlen (nfilter P xs (Suc 0)) = intlen (nfilter P xs 0)
    using f9 **by** (meson nfilter-intlen-n-zero)
    obtain nn :: nat $\Rightarrow$ nat $\Rightarrow$ nat **where**
    f12: nth (nfilter P xs (Suc 0)) (Suc 0) = Suc (nn (nth (nfilter P xs (Suc 0)) (Suc 0)) ka) ∧
       ka < nn (nth (nfilter P xs (Suc 0)) (Suc 0)) ka
    using a7 **by** (meson Suc-less-eq2)

705

then have *nth (nfilter P xs 0) (Suc 0) = nn (nth (nfilter P xs (Suc 0)) (Suc 0)) ka*
using *f9 a6* **by** (*metis One-nat-def diff-Suc-1 le-zero-eq neq0-conv*
            nfilter-nth-n-zero not-less-eq-eq)
then have ¬ *0 ≤ intlen (nfilter P xs 0)*
using *f12 f11 f10 f9 a8 a6 a5 a4 a1*
 by (*metis One-nat-def Suc-le-mono diff-Suc-1 less-Suc-eq-0-disj nfilter-nth-n-zero*)
then show *False*
by *blast*
qed
have *2*: ⋀*n. k= (Suc n) ⟹ i ∉ set (nfilter P (x1a ⊙ xs) 0)*
  using *assms*
  proof *auto*
  fix *n*
  fix *x*
  fix *ka*
  assume *a0*: *k = Suc n*
  assume *a1*: (⋀*k i. k < intlen (nfilter P xs 0) ⟹*
            nth (nfilter P xs 0) k < i ⟹
            i < nth (nfilter P xs 0) (Suc k) ⟹
            nth (nfilter P xs 0) (Suc k) ≤ intlen xs ⟹
            ¬ *P (nth xs i))*
  assume *a2*: *Suc n < intlen (nfilter P xs (Suc 0))*
  assume *a3*: *nth (nfilter P xs (Suc 0)) (Suc n) < Suc ka*
  assume *a4*: *Suc ka < nth (nfilter P xs (Suc 0)) (Suc (Suc n))*
  assume *a5*: *nth (nfilter P xs (Suc 0)) (Suc (Suc n)) ≤ Suc (intlen xs)*
  assume *a6*: ¬ *P x1a*
  assume *a7*: *x ∈ set xs*
  assume *a8*: *P x*
  assume *a9*: *i = Suc ka*
  assume *a10*: *P (nth xs ka)*
  show *False*
  proof −
   have *3*: *Suc n < intlen (nfilter P xs 0) ⟹*
         nth (nfilter P xs 0) (Suc n) < ka ⟹
         ka < nth (nfilter P xs 0) (Suc (Suc n)) ⟹
         nth (nfilter P xs 0) (Suc (Suc n)) ≤ intlen xs ⟹
         ¬ *P (nth xs ka)*
     using *a1[of Suc n ka]* **by** *auto*
   have *4*: *(Suc n) < intlen (nfilter P xs 0)*
     by (*metis a2 a7 a8 nfilter-intlen-n-zero*)
   have *5*: *nth (nfilter P xs 0) (Suc (Suc n)) ≤ intlen xs*
     by (*metis 4 Suc-leI a7 a8 add.left-neutral nfilter-upper-bound*)
   have *6*: ∃*x∈set xs. P x*
     by (*simp add: assms(7)*)
   have *7*: *Suc 0 ≤ intlen (nfilter P xs (Suc n))*
     by (*metis One-nat-def Suc-le-mono Suc-mono a2 a7 a8 interval-intlen-gr-zero le-SucE*
        nfilter-intlen-n-zero not-add-less1 plus-1-eq-Suc)
   have *8*: *ka < nth (nfilter P xs 0) (Suc (Suc n))*
     using *nfilter-nth-n-zero[of xs P Suc (Suc n) Suc 0] a4 6 a2* **by** *linarith*
   have *9*: *nth (nfilter P xs 0) (Suc n) < ka*

```
        using  a2 a3 a7 a8 nfilter-nth-n-zero[of xs P Suc n Suc 0 ]
          by (metis One-nat-def add.commute dual-order.strict-implies-order less-diff-conv2
              nfilter-lower-bound plus-1-eq-Suc)
      have 10: ¬ P (nth xs ka)
        using 3 4 9 8 5 by auto
      from a10 10 show ?thesis by auto
    qed
   qed
  show ?thesis using 1 2
  using gr0-implies-Suc by blast
qed



lemma nfilter-not-between-help:
 assumes (∃ x ∈ set xs. P x)
        k < intlen (nfilter P xs 0)
        (nth (nfilter P xs 0) k ) < i
        i < (nth (nfilter P xs 0) (Suc k) )
        (nth (nfilter P xs 0) (Suc k) ) ≤ intlen xs
 shows i ∉ set (nfilter P xs 0)
using assms
proof (induction xs arbitrary: i k)
case (St x)
then show ?case by simp
next
case (Cons x1a xs)
then show ?case
   proof (cases (∃ x ∈ set xs. P x))
    show (⋀k i.
            ∃ a∈set xs. P a ⟹
            k < intlen (nfilter P xs 0) ⟹
            nth (nfilter P xs 0) k < i ⟹
            i < nth (nfilter P xs 0) (Suc k) ⟹
            nth (nfilter P xs 0) (Suc k) ≤ intlen xs ⟹
            i ∉ set (nfilter P xs 0)) ⟹
         ∃ a∈set (x1a ⊙ xs). P a ⟹
         k < intlen (nfilter P (x1a ⊙ xs) 0) ⟹
         nth (nfilter P (x1a ⊙ xs) 0) k < i ⟹
         i < nth (nfilter P (x1a ⊙ xs) 0) (Suc k) ⟹
         nth (nfilter P (x1a ⊙ xs) 0) (Suc k) ≤ intlen (x1a ⊙ xs) ⟹
         ∃ x∈set xs. P x ⟹
         i ∉ set (nfilter P (x1a ⊙ xs) 0)
    proof (cases P x1a)
     show (⋀k i.
             ∃ a∈set xs. P a ⟹
             k < intlen (nfilter P xs 0) ⟹
             nth (nfilter P xs 0) k < i ⟹
             i < nth (nfilter P xs 0) (Suc k) ⟹
             nth (nfilter P xs 0) (Suc k) ≤ intlen xs ⟹
             i ∉ set (nfilter P xs 0)) ⟹
```

707

$\exists a \in set\ (x1a \odot xs).\ P\ a \Longrightarrow$
$k < intlen\ (nfilter\ P\ (x1a \odot xs)\ 0) \Longrightarrow$
$nth\ (nfilter\ P\ (x1a \odot xs)\ 0)\ k < i \Longrightarrow$
$i < nth\ (nfilter\ P\ (x1a \odot xs)\ 0)\ (Suc\ k) \Longrightarrow$
$nth\ (nfilter\ P\ (x1a \odot xs)\ 0)\ (Suc\ k) \leq intlen\ (x1a \odot xs) \Longrightarrow$
$\exists x \in set\ xs.\ P\ x \Longrightarrow$
$P\ x1a \Longrightarrow$
$i \notin set\ (nfilter\ P\ (x1a \odot xs)\ 0)$
 using *nfilter-not-between-help-a*[*of xs P x1a k i*] **by** *simp*
 show $(\bigwedge k\ i.$
   $\exists a \in set\ xs.\ P\ a \Longrightarrow$
   $k < intlen\ (nfilter\ P\ xs\ 0) \Longrightarrow$
   $nth\ (nfilter\ P\ xs\ 0)\ k < i \Longrightarrow$
   $i < nth\ (nfilter\ P\ xs\ 0)\ (Suc\ k) \Longrightarrow$
   $nth\ (nfilter\ P\ xs\ 0)\ (Suc\ k) \leq intlen\ xs \Longrightarrow$
   $i \notin set\ (nfilter\ P\ xs\ 0)) \Longrightarrow$
  $\exists a \in set\ (x1a \odot xs).\ P\ a \Longrightarrow$
  $k < intlen\ (nfilter\ P\ (x1a \odot xs)\ 0) \Longrightarrow$
  $nth\ (nfilter\ P\ (x1a \odot xs)\ 0)\ k < i \Longrightarrow$
  $i < nth\ (nfilter\ P\ (x1a \odot xs)\ 0)\ (Suc\ k) \Longrightarrow$
  $nth\ (nfilter\ P\ (x1a \odot xs)\ 0)\ (Suc\ k) \leq intlen\ (x1a \odot xs) \Longrightarrow$
  $\exists x \in set\ xs.\ P\ x \Longrightarrow$
  $\neg\ P\ x1a \Longrightarrow$
  $i \notin set\ (nfilter\ P\ (x1a \odot xs)\ 0)$
  using *nfilter-not-between-help-b*[*of xs P x1a k i*] **by** *simp*
 qed
 show $(\bigwedge k\ i.$
   $\exists a \in set\ xs.\ P\ a \Longrightarrow$
   $k < intlen\ (nfilter\ P\ xs\ 0) \Longrightarrow$
   $nth\ (nfilter\ P\ xs\ 0)\ k < i \Longrightarrow$
   $i < nth\ (nfilter\ P\ xs\ 0)\ (Suc\ k) \Longrightarrow$
   $nth\ (nfilter\ P\ xs\ 0)\ (Suc\ k) \leq intlen\ xs \Longrightarrow$
   $i \notin set\ (nfilter\ P\ xs\ 0)) \Longrightarrow$
  $\exists a \in set\ (x1a \odot xs).\ P\ a \Longrightarrow$
  $k < intlen\ (nfilter\ P\ (x1a \odot xs)\ 0) \Longrightarrow$
  $nth\ (nfilter\ P\ (x1a \odot xs)\ 0)\ k < i \Longrightarrow$
  $i < nth\ (nfilter\ P\ (x1a \odot xs)\ 0)\ (Suc\ k) \Longrightarrow$
  $nth\ (nfilter\ P\ (x1a \odot xs)\ 0)\ (Suc\ k) \leq intlen\ (x1a \odot xs) \Longrightarrow$
  $\neg\ (\exists x \in set\ xs.\ P\ x) \Longrightarrow$
  $i \notin set\ (nfilter\ P\ (x1a \odot xs)\ 0)$
 by *auto*
 qed
qed

lemma *nfilter-not-between*:
 assumes $(\exists\ x \in set\ xs.\ P\ x)$
   $(nth\ (nfilter\ P\ xs\ 0)\ k\ ) < i$
   $i < (nth\ (nfilter\ P\ xs\ 0)\ (Suc\ k)\ )$
   $k < intlen\ (nfilter\ P\ xs\ 0)$

shows $\neg P (nth \ xs \ (i))$
proof $-$
have 0: $(nth \ (nfilter \ P \ xs \ 0) \ (Suc \ k) \ ) \le intlen \ xs$
    by $(metis \ Suc\text{-}leI \ add\text{-}cancel\text{-}right\text{-}left \ assms(1) \ assms(4) \ nfilter\text{-}upper\text{-}bound)$
have 1: $i \le intlen \ xs$
    using 0 assms(3) **by** *linarith*
have 2: $k < intlen \ (nfilter \ P \ xs \ 0) \wedge (nth \ (nfilter \ P \ xs \ 0) \ k \ ) < i \ \wedge$
      $i < (nth \ (nfilter \ P \ xs \ 0) \ (Suc \ k) \ ) \ \wedge (nth \ (nfilter \ P \ xs \ 0) \ (Suc \ k) \ ) \le intlen \ xs \longrightarrow$
      $i \notin set \ (nfilter \ P \ xs \ 0)$
  using assms(1)
   proof $(induction \ xs \ arbitrary: i \ k)$
   case $(St \ x)$
   then show *?case* **by** *simp*
   next
   case $(Cons \ x1a \ xs)$
   then show *?case* **using** *assms nfilter-not-between-help*
   by *metis*
   qed
have $3 : i \notin set \ (nfilter \ P \ xs \ 0) \wedge \ i \le intlen \ xs \longrightarrow \neg P \ (nth \ xs \ (i))$
  by $(metis \ add.right\text{-}neutral \ nfilter\text{-}holds\text{-}b \ nth\text{-}set)$
from 0 1 2 3 **show** *?thesis* **using** *assms* **by** *blast*
qed

lemma *idx-imp-distinct*:
 assumes *index-sequence* $(nth \ xs \ 0) \ xs$
 shows $distinct \ xs$
using *assms*
proof $(induction \ xs)$
case $(St \ x)$
then show *?case* **by** *simp*
next
case $(Cons \ x1a \ xs)$
then show *?case*
 by $(auto \ simp \ add: interval\text{-}idx\text{-}expand1)$
   $(metis \ interval\text{-}idx\text{-}expand1 \ interval\text{-}idx\text{-}greater\text{-}first \ interval\text{-}nth\text{-}and\text{-}set$
    $interval\text{-}nth\text{-}zero\text{-}intfirst \ le\text{-}zero\text{-}eq \ not\text{-}less \ not\text{-}less\text{-}iff\text{-}gr\text{-}or\text{-}eq)$
qed

lemma *idx-set-eq*:
 assumes *index-sequence* $(nth \ xs \ 0) \ xs$
     index-sequence $(nth \ ys \ 0) \ ys$
     $set \ xs = set \ ys$
 shows $xs = ys$
using *assms*
proof
 $(induction \ xs \ arbitrary: ys)$

case (*St x*)

then show *?case*

  by (*metis Suc-leI index-sequence-def interval.simps*(*15*) *interval-suffix-intlen*
      interval-suffix-zero le0 nat-neq-iff neq0-conv nth-set singletonD)

next

case (*Cons x1a xs*)

then show *?case*

  proof (*cases ys*)

  case (*St x1*)

  then show *?thesis*

  using *Cons.prems*(*1*) *Cons.prems*(*3*) *interval-idx-less-last-1 le-eq-less-or-eq nth-set* **by** *fastforce*

  next

  case (*Cons x21 x22*)

  then show *?thesis*

   proof (*cases x1a = x21*)

   case *True*

   then show *?thesis*

    proof −

    have *1*: *intlen* (*x1a⊙xs*) = *intlen* (*x21⊙x22*)

        by (*metis Cons.prems*(*1*) *Cons.prems*(*2*) *Cons.prems*(*3*) *distinct-card idx-imp-distinct*
          local.Cons nat.inject)

    have *2*: *index-sequence* (*nth xs 0*) *xs*

        using *Cons.prems*(*1*) *interval-idx-expand1* **by** *auto*

    have *3*: *index-sequence* (*nth x22 0*) *x22*

        using *Cons.prems*(*2*) *interval-idx-expand1 local.Cons* **by** *auto*

    have *4*: *distinct xs*

        using *2 idx-imp-distinct* **by** *auto*

    have *5*: *distinct x22*

        by (*simp add*: *3 idx-imp-distinct*)

    have *6*: *set* (*x1a⊙xs*) = {*x1a*} ∪ *set xs*

        by *auto*

    have *7*: *x1a* ∉ *set xs*

        by (*meson Cons.prems*(*1*) *distinct.simps*(*2*) *idx-imp-distinct*)

    have *8*: *set* (*x21⊙x22*) = {*x21*} ∪ *set x22*

        by *auto*

    have *9*: *x21* ∉ *set x22*

        using *Cons.prems*(*2*) *idx-imp-distinct local.Cons* **by** *fastforce*

    have *10*: *set xs* =*set x22*

        using *7 9 Cons.prems*(*3*) *True local.Cons* **by** *fastforce*

    have *11*: *xs* = *x22*

        using *10 2 3 Cons.IH* **by** *blast*

    have *12*: *x1a⊙ xs* = *x21⊙x22*

        by (*simp add*: *11 True*)

   show *?thesis* **by** (*simp add*: *12 local.Cons*)

   qed

  next

  case *False*

  then show *?thesis*

  by (*metis Cons.prems*(*1*) *Cons.prems*(*2*) *Cons.prems*(*3*) *IntervalFilter.distinct.simps*(*2*)
      dual-order.strict-trans idx-imp-distinct interval-hd-in-set interval-idx-expand1

interval-nth-zero interval-set-ConsD local.Cons not-less-iff-gr-or-eq)
  qed
  qed
qed

lemma *filter-nfilter-prefix-idx-a*:
  assumes P (*nth xs* ((*nth* (*nfilter P xs 0*) k)))
          $k \leq intlen$ (*filter P xs*)
  shows   *index-sequence* (*nth* (*prefix* k (*nfilter P xs 0*)) 0) (*prefix* k (*nfilter P xs 0*))
using *assms* **by** (*auto simp add*: *index-sequence-def*)
            (*metis diff-zero filter-nfilter-prefix-intlen-0 idx-nfilter-mono*)

lemma *filter-nfilter-prefix-idx-a-1*:
  assumes $\exists$ $x \in set\ xs.\ P\ x$
          $k \leq intlen$ (*filter P xs*)
  shows   *index-sequence* (*nth* (*prefix* k (*nfilter P xs 0*)) 0) (*prefix* k (*nfilter P xs 0*))
using *assms* **by** (*auto simp add*: *index-sequence-def*)
            (*meson idx-nfilter-mono*)

lemma *filter-nfilter-suffix-idx-a*:
  assumes P (*nth xs* ((*nth* (*nfilter P xs 0*) k)))
          $k \leq intlen$ (*filter P xs*)
  shows   *index-sequence* (*nth* (*suffix* k (*nfilter P xs 0*)) 0) (*suffix* k (*nfilter P xs 0*))
using *assms* **by** (*simp add*: *index-sequence-def*)
 (*metis add.commute diff-zero filter-nfilter-prefix-intlen-0 idx-nfilter-mono less-diff-conv*)

lemma *filter-nfilter-suffix-idx-a-1*:
  assumes $\exists$ $x \in set\ xs.\ P\ x$
          $k \leq intlen$ (*filter P xs*)
  shows   *index-sequence* (*nth* (*suffix* k (*nfilter P xs 0*)) 0) (*suffix* k (*nfilter P xs 0*))
using *assms*
by (*simp add*: *index-sequence-def idx-nfilter-mono nfilter-intlen*)

lemma *filter-nfilter-prefix-idx-b*:
  assumes P (*nth xs* ((*nth* (*nfilter P xs 0*) k)))
          $k \leq intlen$ (*filter P xs*)
  shows   *index-sequence* (*nth* (*nfilter P* (*prefix* ((*nth* (*nfilter P xs 0*) k)) *xs*) 0) 0)
          (*nfilter P* (*prefix* ((*nth* (*nfilter P xs 0*) k)) *xs*) 0)
using *assms idx-nfilter*[*of* (*prefix* (*nth* (*nfilter P xs 0*) k) *xs*) P 0]
by (*metis add.right-neutral interval-intlast-intfirst interval-nth-intlen-intlast*
   interval-nth-suffix interval-nth-zero-intfirst le0 le-refl nth-set)

lemma *filter-nfilter-prefix-idx-b-1*:
  assumes $\exists$ $x \in set\ xs.\ P\ x$
          $k \leq intlen$ (*filter P xs*)
  shows   *index-sequence* (*nth* (*nfilter P* (*prefix* ((*nth* (*nfilter P xs 0*) k)) *xs*) 0) 0)
          (*nfilter P* (*prefix* ((*nth* (*nfilter P xs 0*) k)) *xs*) 0)
using *assms*
by (*metis filter-nfilter-prefix-idx-b nfilter-holds nfilter-intlen nfilter-nth-n-zero nth-set*)

lemma *filter-nfilter-suffix-idx-b*:
 assumes *P* (*nth xs* ((*nth* (*nfilter P xs 0*) *k*)))
          k ≤ *intlen* (*filter P xs*)
 shows   *index-sequence* (*nth* (*nfilter P* (*suffix* ((*nth* (*nfilter P xs 0*) *k*)) *xs*)
                          (*nth* (*nfilter P xs 0*) *k*)) *0*)
            (*nfilter P* (*suffix* ((*nth* (*nfilter P xs 0*) *k*)) *xs*)
                    (*nth* (*nfilter P xs 0*) *k*))
using *assms*
by (*auto simp add*: *index-sequence-def*)
 (*metis idx-nfilter-mono interval-intfirst-suffix interval-intlen-gr-zero interval-nth-zero-intfirst*
   interval-suffix-length-code length-nfilter-le less-le-trans not-less0 nth-set)

lemma *filter-nfilter-suffix-idx-b-1*:
  assumes ∃ *x* ∈ *set xs*. *P x*
          k ≤ *intlen* (*filter P xs*)
   shows   *index-sequence* (*nth* (*nfilter P* (*suffix* ((*nth* (*nfilter P xs 0*) *k*)) *xs*)
                                (*nth* (*nfilter P xs 0*) *k*)) *0*)
              (*nfilter P* (*suffix* ((*nth* (*nfilter P xs 0*) *k*)) *xs*) (*nth* (*nfilter P xs 0*) *k*))
using *assms*
by (*metis filter-nfilter-suffix-idx-b nfilter-holds nfilter-intlen nfilter-nth-n-zero nth-set*)

lemma *filter-nfilter-prefix-set-eq*:
  assumes *P* (*nth xs* ((*nth* (*nfilter P xs 0*) *k*)))
          k ≤ *intlen* (*filter P xs*)
  shows   *set* (*prefix k* (*nfilter P xs 0*)) =
          set (*nfilter P* (*prefix* ((*nth* (*nfilter P xs 0*) *k*)) *xs*) *0*)
proof −
 have *1*: (*nth* (*nfilter P xs 0*) *k*) ≤ *intlen xs*
   by (*metis assms*(*1*) *assms*(*2*) *diff-zero filter-nfilter-prefix-intlen-0 interval-intlen-gr-zero*
     nfilter-intlen nfilter-upper-bound ordered-cancel-comm-monoid-diff-class.*add-diff-inverse*)
 have *2*: ∃*x* ∈ *set xs* . *P x*
   using *1 assms*(*1*) *nth-set* **by** *blast*
 have *3*: { *i*. *i*≤ *intlen*(*prefix* ((*nth* (*nfilter P xs 0*) *k*)) *xs*) ∧
             P (*nth* (*prefix* ((*nth* (*nfilter P xs 0*) *k*)) *xs*) *i*)} =

         { *i*. *i*≤ (*nth* (*nfilter P xs 0*) *k*) ∧ *P* (*nth xs i*)}
   using *1* **by** *auto*
 have *4*: ∃ *x* ∈ *set*(*prefix* ((*nth* (*nfilter P xs 0*) *k*))   *xs*). *P x*
  by (*metis 1 assms*(*1*) *interval-nth-prefix interval-prefix-length-good nth-set order-refl*)
 have *5*: { *i*. *i*≤ (*nth* (*nfilter P xs 0*) *k*) ∧ *P* (*nth xs i*)} =
         { *i*. *i*≤ (*nth* (*nfilter P xs 0*) *k*) ∧ *i*∈*set*(*nfilter P xs 0*)}
   using *4 1 2 nfilter-holds-b* **by** *auto*
 have *6*: { *i*. *i*≤ (*nth* (*nfilter P xs 0*) *k*) ∧ *i*∈*set*(*nfilter P xs 0*)} =
         { *i*. *i*≤ (*nth* (*nfilter P xs 0*) *k*) ∧
             i∈{(*nth* (*nfilter P xs 0*) *j*)| *j*. *j*≤ *intlen*(*nfilter P xs 0*)}   }
     by (*auto simp add*: *interval-nth-and-set*)
 have *7*: { *i*. *i*≤ (*nth* (*nfilter P xs 0*) *k*) ∧
             i∈{(*nth* (*nfilter P xs 0*) *j*)| *j*. *j*≤ *intlen*(*nfilter P xs 0*)}   } =
         { (*nth* (*nfilter P xs 0*) *j*) | *j*. *j*≤ *k* }

    using *assms 2* **by** (*auto simp add*: *nfilter-intlen*,
       metis dual-order.antisym idx-nfilter interval-idx-less-eq le-cases nfilter-intlen,
       metis idx-nfilter-less-eq nfilter-intlen)
have *8*: $k\leq$ *intlen* (*nfilter P xs 0*)
  by (*simp add*: *2 assms*(*2*) *nfilter-intlen*)
have *9*: { (*nth* (*nfilter P xs 0*) *j*) | *j. j*$\leq$ *k* } = *set* (*prefix k* (*nfilter P xs 0*))
  using *8 2* **using** *prefix-set* **by** *force*
have *10*: *set* (*nfilter P* (*prefix* ((*nth* (*nfilter P xs 0*) *k*)) *xs*) *0*) =
     { *i. i*$\leq$ ((*nth* (*nfilter P xs 0*) *k*)) $\wedge$
        P (*nth* (*prefix* ((*nth* (*nfilter P xs 0*) *k*)) *xs*) *i*)}
  using *4 1 nfilter-prefix-set* **by** *auto*
have *11*: *intlen*(*prefix* ((*nth* (*nfilter P xs 0*) *k*)) *xs*) = ((*nth* (*nfilter P xs 0*) *k*))
  using *1 interval-prefix-length-good* **by** *blast*
have *12*: { *i. i*$\leq$ ((*nth* (*nfilter P xs 0*) *k*)) $\wedge$
        P (*nth* (*prefix* ((*nth* (*nfilter P xs 0*) *k*)) *xs*) *i*)} =
     { *i. i*$\leq$ *intlen*(*prefix* ((*nth* (*nfilter P xs 0*) *k*)) *xs*) $\wedge$
        P (*nth* (*prefix* ((*nth* (*nfilter P xs 0*) *k*)) *xs*) *i*)}
  using *11* **by** *auto*
show *?thesis*
using *10 12 3 5 6 7 9* **by** *auto*
qed

lemma *filter-nfilter-prefix-set-eq-1*:
 assumes $\exists x \in$ *set xs . P x*
      $k \leq$ *intlen* (*filter P xs*)
 shows   *set* (*prefix k* (*nfilter P xs 0*)) =
      set (*nfilter P* (*prefix* ((*nth* (*nfilter P xs 0*) *k*)) *xs*) *0*)
proof $-$
 have *1*: (*nth* (*nfilter P xs 0*) *k*) $\leq$ *intlen xs*
  by (*metis assms*(*1*) *assms*(*2*) *diff-zero interval-intlen-gr-zero*
  nfilter-intlen nfilter-upper-bound ordered-cancel-comm-monoid-diff-class.add-diff-inverse)
 have *2*: $\exists x \in$ *set xs . P x*
  using *1 assms*(*1*) *nth-set* **by** *blast*
 have *3*: { *i. i*$\leq$ *intlen*(*prefix* ((*nth* (*nfilter P xs 0*) *k*)) *xs*) $\wedge$
        P (*nth* (*prefix* ((*nth* (*nfilter P xs 0*) *k*)) *xs*) *i*)} =

     { *i. i*$\leq$ (*nth* (*nfilter P xs 0*) *k*) $\wedge$ *P* (*nth xs i*)}
  using *1* **by** *auto*
 have *4*: $\exists$ *x* $\in$ *set*(*prefix* ((*nth* (*nfilter P xs 0*) *k*))   *xs*). *P x*
  using *assms 1 2 nfilter-holds*[*of xs P 0*]
  by (*metis interval-intlast-prefix interval-nth-intlen-intlast le-refl nfilter-intlen*
    nfilter-nth-n-zero nth-set)
 have *5*: { *i. i*$\leq$ (*nth* (*nfilter P xs 0*) *k*) $\wedge$ *P* (*nth xs i*)} =
     { *i. i*$\leq$ (*nth* (*nfilter P xs 0*) *k*) $\wedge$ *i*$\in$*set*(*nfilter P xs 0*)}
  using *4 1 2 nfilter-holds-b* **by** *auto*
 have *6*: { *i. i*$\leq$ (*nth* (*nfilter P xs 0*) *k*) $\wedge$ *i*$\in$*set*(*nfilter P xs 0*)} =
     { *i. i*$\leq$ (*nth* (*nfilter P xs 0*) *k*) $\wedge$
       i$\in${(*nth* (*nfilter P xs 0*) *j*)| *j. j*$\leq$ *intlen*(*nfilter P xs 0*)} }
  by (*auto simp add*: *interval-nth-and-set*)
 have *7*: { *i. i*$\leq$ (*nth* (*nfilter P xs 0*) *k*) $\wedge$

$i \in \{(nth \ (nfilter \ P \ xs \ 0) \ j)| \ j. \ j \leq \ intlen(nfilter \ P \ xs \ 0)\} \quad \} =$
$\{ \ (nth \ (nfilter \ P \ xs \ 0) \ j) \ | \ j. \ j \leq \ k \ \}$
  using *assms* *2* **by** (*auto simp add*: *nfilter-intlen*,
    metis dual-order.antisym idx-nfilter interval-idx-less-eq le-cases nfilter-intlen,
    metis idx-nfilter-less-eq nfilter-intlen)
have *8*: $k \leq \ intlen \ (nfilter \ P \ xs \ 0)$
  by (*simp add*: *2 assms(2) nfilter-intlen*)
have *9*: $\{ \ (nth \ (nfilter \ P \ xs \ 0) \ j) \ | \ j. \ j \leq \ k \ \} = \ set \ (prefix \ k \ (nfilter \ P \ xs \ 0))$
  using *8 2* **using** *prefix-set* **by** *force*
have *10*: $set \ (nfilter \ P \ (prefix \ ((nth \ (nfilter \ P \ xs \ 0) \ k) \ ) \ xs) \ 0) =$
    $\{ \ i. \ i \leq \ ((nth \ (nfilter \ P \ xs \ 0) \ k)) \ \wedge$
        $P \ (nth \ (prefix \ ((nth \ (nfilter \ P \ xs \ 0) \ k)) \ xs) \ i)\}$
  using *4 1 nfilter-prefix-set* **by** *auto*
have *11*: $intlen(prefix \ ((nth \ (nfilter \ P \ xs \ 0) \ k)) \ xs) = ((nth \ (nfilter \ P \ xs \ 0) \ k))$
  using *1 interval-prefix-length-good* **by** *blast*
have *12*: $\{ \ i. \ i \leq \ ((nth \ (nfilter \ P \ xs \ 0) \ k)) \ \wedge$
        $P \ (nth \ (prefix \ ((nth \ (nfilter \ P \ xs \ 0) \ k)) \ xs) \ i)\} =$
    $\{ \ i. \ i \leq \ intlen(prefix \ ((nth \ (nfilter \ P \ xs \ 0) \ k)) \ xs) \ \wedge$
        $P \ (nth \ (prefix \ ((nth \ (nfilter \ P \ xs \ 0) \ k)) \ xs) \ i)\}$
  using *11* **by** *auto*
show *?thesis*
using *10 12 3 5 6 7 9* **by** *auto*
qed

lemma *filter-nfilter-suffix-set-eq*:
 assumes $P \ (nth \ xs \quad (\ (nth \ (nfilter \ P \ xs \ 0) \ k)) \ )$
       $k \leq \ intlen \ (filter \ P \ xs)$
 shows $\quad set \ (nfilter \ P \ (suffix \ (nth \ (nfilter \ P \ xs \ 0) \ k) \ xs) \ (nth \ (nfilter \ P \ xs \ 0) \ k)) =$
       $set \ (suffix \ k \ (nfilter \ P \ xs \ 0))$

proof $-$
 have *1*: $(nth \ (nfilter \ P \ xs \ 0) \ k) \ \leq \ intlen \ xs$
  by (*metis assms(1) assms(2) diff-zero filter-nfilter-prefix-intlen-0 interval-intlen-gr-zero*
  nfilter-intlen nfilter-upper-bound ordered-cancel-comm-monoid-diff-class.add-diff-inverse)
 have *2*: $\exists x \in \ set \ xs \ . \ P \ x$
  using *1 assms(1) nth-set* **by** *blast*
 have *4*: $\exists \ x \in \ set(suffix \ ((nth \ (nfilter \ P \ xs \ 0) \ k)) \quad xs). \ P \ x$
  using *1 assms(1) interval-nth-and-set* **by** *force*
 have *10*: $set \ (nfilter \ P \ (suffix \ ((nth \ (nfilter \ P \ xs \ 0) \ k) \ ) \ xs) \ (nth \ (nfilter \ P \ xs \ 0) \ k)) =$
    $\{ \ (nth \ (nfilter \ P \ xs \ 0) \ k) + i| \ i. \ i \leq \ intlen \ xs - (nth \ (nfilter \ P \ xs \ 0) \ k) \ \wedge$
        $P \ (nth \ xs \ (i + (nth \ (nfilter \ P \ xs \ 0) \ k)) \ )\}$

  using *nfilter-suffix-set-b*[*of* $((nth \ (nfilter \ P \ xs \ 0) \ k)) \ xs \ P \ (nth \ (nfilter \ P \ xs \ 0) \ k)$]
  using *1 4* **by** *blast*
 have *5*: $\{ \ (nth \ (nfilter \ P \ xs \ 0) \ k) + i| i. \ i \leq \ intlen \ xs - (nth \ (nfilter \ P \ xs \ 0) \ k) \ \wedge$
      $P \ (nth \ xs \ (i + (nth \ (nfilter \ P \ xs \ 0) \ k)) \ )\}$
      $=$
    $\{ \ (nth \ (nfilter \ P \ xs \ 0) \ k) + i| i. \ i \leq \ intlen \ xs - (nth \ (nfilter \ P \ xs \ 0) \ k) \ \wedge$
      $i + (nth \ (nfilter \ P \ xs \ 0) \ k) \in set(nfilter \ P \ xs \ 0)\}$
  using *4 1 2 nfilter-holds-b* **by** *auto*

have *51*: { (*nth* (*nfilter P xs 0*) *k*)+*i* |*i*. *i*≤ *intlen xs* − (*nth* (*nfilter P xs 0*) *k*) ∧
 i+(*nth* (*nfilter P xs 0*) *k*)∈*set*(*nfilter P xs 0*)} =
 { (*nth* (*nfilter P xs 0*) *k*)+*i*| *i*.
 (*nth* (*nfilter P xs 0*) *k*) ≤ *i* + (*nth* (*nfilter P xs 0*) *k*) ∧
 i + (*nth* (*nfilter P xs 0*) *k*)≤ *intlen xs* ∧
 i+(*nth* (*nfilter P xs 0*) *k*)∈*set*(*nfilter P xs 0*)}

 using *1* **by** *auto*
have *52*: { (*nth* (*nfilter P xs 0*) *k*)+*i*| *i*.
 (*nth* (*nfilter P xs 0*) *k*) ≤ *i* + (*nth* (*nfilter P xs 0*) *k*) ∧
 i + (*nth* (*nfilter P xs 0*) *k*)≤ *intlen xs* ∧
 i+(*nth* (*nfilter P xs 0*) *k*)∈*set*(*nfilter P xs 0*)} =
 { *j*. (*nth* (*nfilter P xs 0*) *k*) ≤ *j* ∧ *j*≤ *intlen xs* ∧ *j*∈*set*(*nfilter P xs 0*)}

 by (*metis* (*no-types*, *lifting*) *add-diff-cancel-right'* *le0* *le-add-diff-inverse*
 le-add-same-cancel2)
have *53*: { *j*. (*nth* (*nfilter P xs 0*) *k*) ≤ *j* ∧ *j*≤ *intlen xs* ∧ *j*∈*set*(*nfilter P xs 0*)} =
 { *j*. (*nth* (*nfilter P xs 0*) *k*) ≤ *j* ∧
 j≤ *intlen xs* ∧ *j*∈{(*nth* (*nfilter P xs 0*) *jj*)| *jj*. *jj*≤ *intlen*(*nfilter P xs 0*)} }

 by (*auto simp add*: *interval-nth-and-set*)
have *54*: { *j*. (*nth* (*nfilter P xs 0*) *k*) ≤ *j* ∧
 j≤ *intlen xs* ∧ *j*∈{(*nth* (*nfilter P xs 0*) *jj*)| *jj*. *jj*≤ *intlen*(*nfilter P xs 0*)} } =
 { (*nth* (*nfilter P xs 0*) *j*) | *j*. *k* ≤*j* ∧ *j* ≤ *intlen* (*nfilter P xs 0*) }

 using *assms 2* **by** (*auto*,
 metis dual-order.*antisym idx-nfilter-less-eq le-cases nfilter-intlen*,
 metis idx-nfilter-gr-eq,
 metis add-cancel-right-left nfilter-upper-bound)
have *8*: *k*≤ *intlen* (*nfilter P xs 0*)
 by (*simp add*: *2 assms*(*2*) *nfilter-intlen*)
have *9*: { (*nth* (*nfilter P xs 0*) *j*) | *j*. *k* ≤*j* ∧ *j* ≤ *intlen* (*nfilter P xs 0*) } =
 set (*suffix k* (*nfilter P xs 0*))
 using *8 2* **using** *suffix-set-a* **by** *blast*
show *?thesis*
 using *10 5 51 52 53 54 9* **by** *simp*
qed

lemma *filter-nfilter-suffix-set-eq-1*:
 assumes ∃*x* ∈ *set xs* . *P x*
 k ≤ *intlen* (*filter P xs*)
 shows   *set* (*nfilter P* (*suffix* (*nth* (*nfilter P xs 0*) *k*) *xs*) (*nth* (*nfilter P xs 0*) *k*)) =
 set (*suffix k* (*nfilter P xs 0*))

proof −
 have *1*: (*nth* (*nfilter P xs 0*) *k*) ≤ *intlen xs*
 by (*metis assms*(*1*) *assms*(*2*) *diff-zero interval-intlen-gr-zero*
 nfilter-intlen nfilter-upper-bound ordered-cancel-comm-monoid-diff-class.*add-diff-inverse*)
 have *2*: ∃*x* ∈ *set xs* . *P x*
 using *assms*(*1*) **by** *blast*

715

have _4_: ∃ _x_ ∈ _set_(_suffix_ ((_nth_ (_nfilter P xs 0_) _k_))   _xs_). _P x_
  using _nfilter-holds_[_of xs P 0_]
  by (_metis 1 2 assms_(_2_) _interval-intfirst-suffix interval-nth-zero-intfirst le0_
    nfilter-intlen nfilter-nth-n-zero nth-set)
have _10_: _set_ (_nfilter P_ (_suffix_ ((_nth_ (_nfilter P xs 0_) _k_)) _xs_) (_nth_ (_nfilter P xs 0_) _k_)) =
     { (_nth_ (_nfilter P xs 0_) _k_)+_i_| _i_. _i_≤ _intlen xs_ − (_nth_ (_nfilter P xs 0_) _k_) ∧
       _P_ (_nth xs_ (_i_+(_nth_ (_nfilter P xs 0_) _k_)))}

  using _nfilter-suffix-set-b_[_of_ (_nth_ (_nfilter P xs 0_) _k_) _xs P_ (_nth_ (_nfilter P xs 0_) _k_)]
   using _1 4_ **by** _blast_
have _5_: { (_nth_ (_nfilter P xs 0_) _k_)+_i_|_i_. _i_≤ _intlen xs_ − (_nth_ (_nfilter P xs 0_) _k_) ∧
    _P_ (_nth xs_ (_i_+(_nth_ (_nfilter P xs 0_) _k_)))}
   =
   { (_nth_ (_nfilter P xs 0_) _k_)+_i_|_i_. _i_≤ _intlen xs_ − (_nth_ (_nfilter P xs 0_) _k_) ∧
    _i_+(_nth_ (_nfilter P xs 0_) _k_)∈_set_(_nfilter P xs 0_)}
  using _4 1 2 nfilter-holds-b_ **by** _auto_
have _51_: { (_nth_ (_nfilter P xs 0_) _k_)+_i_ |_i_. _i_≤ _intlen xs_ − (_nth_ (_nfilter P xs 0_) _k_) ∧
    _i_+(_nth_ (_nfilter P xs 0_) _k_)∈_set_(_nfilter P xs 0_)} =
  { (_nth_ (_nfilter P xs 0_) _k_)+_i_| _i_.
    (_nth_ (_nfilter P xs 0_) _k_) ≤ _i_ + (_nth_ (_nfilter P xs 0_) _k_) ∧
    _i_ + (_nth_ (_nfilter P xs 0_) _k_)≤ _intlen xs_ ∧
    _i_+(_nth_ (_nfilter P xs 0_) _k_)∈_set_(_nfilter P xs 0_)}

   using _1_ **by** _auto_
have _52_: { (_nth_ (_nfilter P xs 0_) _k_)+_i_| _i_.
    (_nth_ (_nfilter P xs 0_) _k_) ≤ _i_ + (_nth_ (_nfilter P xs 0_) _k_) ∧
    _i_ + (_nth_ (_nfilter P xs 0_) _k_)≤ _intlen xs_ ∧
    _i_+(_nth_ (_nfilter P xs 0_) _k_)∈_set_(_nfilter P xs 0_)} =
  { _j_. (_nth_ (_nfilter P xs 0_) _k_) ≤ _j_ ∧ _j_≤ _intlen xs_ ∧ _j_∈_set_(_nfilter P xs 0_)}

  by (_metis_ (_no-types, lifting_) _add-diff-cancel-right′ le0 le-add-diff-inverse_
    le-add-same-cancel2)
have _53_: { _j_. (_nth_ (_nfilter P xs 0_) _k_) ≤ _j_ ∧ _j_≤ _intlen xs_ ∧ _j_∈_set_(_nfilter P xs 0_)} =
   { _j_. (_nth_ (_nfilter P xs 0_) _k_) ≤ _j_ ∧
    _j_≤ _intlen xs_ ∧ _j_∈{(_nth_ (_nfilter P xs 0_) _jj_)| _jj_. _jj_≤ _intlen_(_nfilter P xs 0_)} }

  by (_auto simp add_: _interval-nth-and-set_)
have _54_: { _j_. (_nth_ (_nfilter P xs 0_) _k_) ≤ _j_ ∧
    _j_≤ _intlen xs_ ∧ _j_∈{(_nth_ (_nfilter P xs 0_) _jj_)| _jj_. _jj_≤ _intlen_(_nfilter P xs 0_)} } =
  { (_nth_ (_nfilter P xs 0_) _j_) | _j_. _k_ ≤_j_ ∧ _j_ ≤ _intlen_ (_nfilter P xs 0_) }

  using _assms 2_ **by** (_auto_,
   metis dual-order.antisym idx-nfilter-less-eq le-cases nfilter-intlen,
   simp add: _2 idx-nfilter-less-eq_,
   metis add-cancel-right-left nfilter-upper-bound)
have _8_: _k_≤ _intlen_ (_nfilter P xs 0_)
  by (_simp add_: _2 assms_(_2_) _nfilter-intlen_)
have _9_: { (_nth_ (_nfilter P xs 0_) _j_) | _j_. _k_ ≤_j_ ∧ _j_ ≤ _intlen_ (_nfilter P xs 0_) } =
   set (_suffix k_ (_nfilter P xs 0_))
  using _8 2_ **using** _suffix-set-a_ **by** _blast_

show *?thesis*
using *10 5 51 52 53 54 9* **by** *simp*
qed

lemma *nfilter-nfilter-prefix*:
  assumes *P* (*nth xs* ((*nth* (*nfilter P xs 0*) *k*)))
      k ≤ intlen (*filter P xs*)
  shows (*prefix k* (*nfilter P xs 0*)) =
      (*nfilter P* (*prefix* ((*nth* (*nfilter P xs 0*) *k*)) *xs*) *0*)
using *assms*
by (*meson filter-nfilter-prefix-idx-a filter-nfilter-prefix-idx-b*
  filter-nfilter-prefix-set-eq idx-set-eq)

lemma *nfilter-nfilter-prefix-1*:
  assumes *∃ x ∈ set xs . P x*
      k ≤ intlen (*filter P xs*)
  shows (*prefix k* (*nfilter P xs 0*)) =
      (*nfilter P* (*prefix* ((*nth* (*nfilter P xs 0*) *k*)) *xs*) *0*)
using *assms*
by (*meson filter-nfilter-prefix-idx-a-1 filter-nfilter-prefix-idx-b-1*
  filter-nfilter-prefix-set-eq-1 idx-set-eq)

lemma *nfilter-nfilter-suffix*:
  assumes *P* (*nth xs* ((*nth* (*nfilter P xs 0*) *k*)))
      k ≤ intlen (*filter P xs*)
  shows (*suffix k* (*nfilter P xs 0*)) =
      (*nfilter P* (*suffix* ((*nth* (*nfilter P xs 0*) *k*)) *xs*) (*nth* (*nfilter P xs 0*) *k*))
using *assms*
by (*metis filter-nfilter-suffix-idx-a filter-nfilter-suffix-idx-b*
  filter-nfilter-suffix-set-eq idx-set-eq)

lemma *nfilter-nfilter-suffix-1*:
  assumes *∃ x ∈ set xs . P x*
      k ≤ intlen (*filter P xs*)
  shows (*suffix k* (*nfilter P xs 0*)) =
      (*nfilter P* (*suffix* ((*nth* (*nfilter P xs 0*) *k*)) *xs*) (*nth* (*nfilter P xs 0*) *k*))
proof −
 have *1*: *P* (*nth xs* ((*nth* (*nfilter P xs 0*) *k*)))
  by (*metis assms*(*1*) *assms*(*2*) *nfilter-holds nfilter-intlen nfilter-nth-n-zero nth-set*)
 show *?thesis* **using** *assms 1 nfilter-nfilter-suffix* **by** *auto*
qed

lemma *nfilter-map-filter*:
 assumes (*∃ x ∈ set xs. P x*)
 shows *map* (*λn.* (*nth xs n*)) (*nfilter P xs 0*) = *filter P xs*
proof −
 have *1*: *intlen* (*map* (*λn.* (*nth xs n*)) (*nfilter P xs 0*)) = *intlen* (*filter P xs*)

by (*simp add*: *assms nfilter-intlen*)
have 2: ⋀i. i≤ *intlen* (*map* (λn. (*nth xs n*)) (*nfilter P xs 0*)) ⟶
        (*nth* (*map* (λn. (*nth xs n*)) (*nfilter P xs 0*)) i) =
        (*nth* (*filter P xs*) i)
  by (*metis assms diff-zero interval-intlen-map interval-nth-map nfilter-filter*)
 from 1 2 **show** *?thesis*
 using *interval-eq-nth-eq* **by** *blast*
qed

lemma *filter-nfilter-prefix*:
  assumes *P* (*nth xs*   ((*nth* (*nfilter P xs 0*) *k*)))
        k ≤ *intlen* (*filter P xs*)
  shows   (*prefix k* (*filter P xs*))   =
          (*filter P* (*prefix* ((*nth* (*nfilter P xs 0*) *k*)) *xs*))
proof −
 have 1: ∃x ∈ *set xs* . *P x*
  by (*metis assms(1) assms(2) diff-zero filter-nfilter-prefix-intlen-0*)
 have 2: (*filter P xs*) = *map* (λs. *nth xs s*) (*nfilter P xs 0*)
   by (*simp add*: 1 *nfilter-map-filter*)
 have 3: (*prefix k* (*filter P xs*)) =
        (*prefix k* (*map* (λs. *nth xs s*) (*nfilter P xs 0*)))
 by (*simp add*: 2)
 have 4: (*prefix k* (*map* (λs. *nth xs s*) (*nfilter P xs 0*))) =
        map (λs. *nth xs s*) (*prefix k* (*nfilter P xs 0*))
   by (*simp add*: 1 *assms(2) map-prefix nfilter-intlen*)
 have 5: ∃ x ∈ *set*(*prefix* ((*nth* (*nfilter P xs 0*) *k*))   *xs*). *P x*
  by (*metis* 1 *add.left-neutral assms(1) assms(2) interval-intlast-prefix interval-nth-and-set*
    interval-nth-intlen-intlast nfilter-intlen nfilter-upper-bound order-refl)
 have 6: (*filter P* (*prefix* ((*nth* (*nfilter P xs 0*) *k*)) *xs*)) =
        map (λs. (*nth* (*prefix* ((*nth* (*nfilter P xs 0*) *k*)) *xs*) *s*))
        (*nfilter P* (*prefix* ((*nth* (*nfilter P xs 0*) *k*)) *xs*) *0*)
     by (*simp add*: 5 *nfilter-map-filter*)
 have 7: *map* (λs. *nth xs s*) (*prefix k* (*nfilter P xs 0*)) =
        map (λs. *nth xs s*) (*nfilter P* (*prefix* ((*nth* (*nfilter P xs 0*) *k*)) *xs*) *0*)
  by (*simp add*: *assms(1) assms(2) nfilter-nfilter-prefix*)
 have 8: *map* (λs. *nth xs s*) (*nfilter P* (*prefix* ((*nth* (*nfilter P xs 0*) *k*)) *xs*) *0*) =
        map (λs. (*nth* (*prefix* ((*nth* (*nfilter P xs 0*) *k*)) *xs*) *s*))
        (*nfilter P* (*prefix* ((*nth* (*nfilter P xs 0*) *k*)) *xs*) *0*)

    using 1 5 **by** *simp*
 show *?thesis*
 by (*simp add*: 3 4 6 7 8)
qed

lemma *filter-nfilter-prefix-1*:
  assumes ∃x ∈ *set xs* . *P x*
        k ≤ *intlen* (*filter P xs*)
  shows   (*prefix k* (*filter P xs*))   =
          (*filter P* (*prefix* ((*nth* (*nfilter P xs 0*) *k*)) *xs*))
proof −

have *1*: *P* (*nth xs* ((*nth* (*nfilter P xs 0*) *k*)))
  by (*metis assms*(*1*) *assms*(*2*) *nfilter-holds nfilter-intlen nfilter-nth-n-zero nth-set*)
show *?thesis* **using** *assms 1 filter-nfilter-prefix* **by** *auto*
qed

lemma *filter-nfilter-prefix-intlen*:
  assumes *P* (*nth xs* ((*nth* (*nfilter P xs 0*) *k*)))
      k ≤ *intlen* (*filter P xs*)
  shows *intlen*(*prefix k* (*filter P xs*)) =
      intlen(*filter P* (*prefix* ((*nth* (*nfilter P xs 0*) *k*)) *xs*))
using *assms*
by (*simp add*: *filter-nfilter-prefix*)

lemma *filter-nfilter-prefix-intlen-1*:
  assumes ∃ *x* ∈ *set xs* . *P x*
      k ≤ *intlen* (*filter P xs*)
  shows *intlen*(*prefix k* (*filter P xs*)) =
      intlen(*filter P* (*prefix* ((*nth* (*nfilter P xs 0*) *k*)) *xs*))
using *assms*
by (*simp add*: *filter-nfilter-prefix-1*)

lemma *filter-nfilter-prefix-nth*:
  assumes *P* (*nth xs* ((*nth* (*nfilter P xs 0*) *k*)))
      k ≤ *intlen* (*filter P xs*)
      j ≤ *intlen*(*prefix k* (*filter P xs*))
  shows *nth* (*prefix k* (*filter P xs*)) *j* =
      nth (*filter P* (*prefix* ((*nth* (*nfilter P xs 0*) *k*)) *xs*)) *j*
using *assms*
by (*simp add*: *filter-nfilter-prefix*)

lemma *filter-nfilter-prefix-nth-1*:
  assumes ∃ *x* ∈ *set xs* . *P x*
      k ≤ *intlen* (*filter P xs*)
      j ≤ *intlen*(*prefix k* (*filter P xs*))
  shows *nth* (*prefix k* (*filter P xs*)) *j* =
      nth (*filter P* (*prefix* ((*nth* (*nfilter P xs 0*) *k*)) *xs*)) *j*
using *assms*
by (*simp add*: *filter-nfilter-prefix-1*)

lemma *nfilter-map-shift*:
assumes ∃ *x* ∈ *set xs*. *P x*
shows *map* (λ*s*. *nth xs* (*s*+*n*)) (*nfilter P xs 0*) =
    map (λ*s*. *nth xs s*) (*nfilter P xs n*)
proof −
 have *1*: *intlen* (*map* (λ*s*. *nth xs* (*s*+*n*)) (*nfilter P xs 0*)) =
      intlen (*map* (λ*s*. *nth xs s*) (*nfilter P xs n*))
  by (*metis assms*(*1*) *interval-intlen-map nfilter-intlen-n-zero*)
 have *2*: ⋀*i*. *nth* (*map* (λ*s*. *nth xs* (*s*+*n*)) (*nfilter P xs 0*)) *i* =
      (*nth xs* ((*nth* (*nfilter P xs 0*) *i*) +*n*))
   by (*simp add*: *interval-nth-map*)

719

have 3: $\bigwedge$i. nth (map (λs. nth xs s) (nfilter P xs n)) i =
     (nth xs ((nth (nfilter P xs n) i)))
  by (simp add: interval-nth-map)
have 4: $\bigwedge$i. (nth (nfilter P xs 0) i) +n = (nth (nfilter P xs n) i)
  by (metis assms(1) interval-nth-map nfilter-n-zero)
show ?thesis
by (metis 1 2 3 4 interval-eq-nth-eq)
qed

lemma nfilter-map-shift-suffix:
 assumes $\exists$ x $\in$ set(suffix ((nth (nfilter P xs 0) k))   xs). P x
 shows map (λs. nth xs (s+(nth (nfilter P xs 0) k)))
      (nfilter P (suffix ((nth (nfilter P xs 0) k)) xs) 0) =
      map (λs. nth xs s)
      (nfilter P (suffix ((nth (nfilter P xs 0) k)) xs) (nth (nfilter P xs 0) k))
proof $-$
 have 1: intlen (map (λs. nth xs (s+(nth (nfilter P xs 0) k)))
      (nfilter P (suffix ((nth (nfilter P xs 0) k)) xs) 0)) =
      intlen (map (λs. nth xs s)
      (nfilter P (suffix ((nth (nfilter P xs 0) k)) xs) (nth (nfilter P xs 0) k)))
   by (metis assms interval-intlen-map nfilter-intlen-n-zero)
 have 2: $\bigwedge$i. nth (map (λs. nth xs (s+(nth (nfilter P xs 0) k)))
      (nfilter P (suffix ((nth (nfilter P xs 0) k)) xs) 0)) i =
      nth xs
      ((nth (nfilter P (suffix ((nth (nfilter P xs 0) k)) xs) 0) i) + (nth (nfilter P xs 0) k))

   using interval-nth-map **by** blast
 have 3: $\bigwedge$i.
      nth (map (λs. nth xs s)
           (nfilter P (suffix ((nth (nfilter P xs 0) k)) xs)
               (nth (nfilter P xs 0) k))) i =
      nth xs (nth (nfilter P (suffix ((nth (nfilter P xs 0) k)) xs)
               (nth (nfilter P xs 0) k)) i)

   using interval-nth-map **by** blast
 have 4: $\bigwedge$i. (nth (nfilter P (suffix ((nth (nfilter P xs 0) k)) xs) 0) i)
      + (nth (nfilter P xs 0) k) =
      (nth (nfilter P (suffix ((nth (nfilter P xs 0) k)) xs) (nth (nfilter P xs 0) k)) i)
  by (metis assms interval-nth-map nfilter-n-zero)
 show ?thesis
 by (metis 1 2 3 4 interval-eq-nth-eq)
qed

lemma filter-nfilter-suffix:
  assumes P (nth xs   ((nth (nfilter P xs 0) k)))
        k $\leq$ intlen (filter P xs)
  shows   (suffix k (filter P xs))   =
        (filter P (suffix ((nth (nfilter P xs 0) k)) xs))
proof $-$
 have 1: $\exists$ x $\in$ set xs . P x

by (*metis assms*(*1*) *assms*(*2*) *diff-zero filter-nfilter-prefix-intlen-0*)
have *2*: (*filter P xs*) = *map* (λ*s. nth xs s*) (*nfilter P xs 0*)
  by (*simp add*: *1 nfilter-map-filter*)
have *3*: (*suffix k* (*filter P xs*)) =
      (*suffix k* (*map* (λ*s. nth xs s*) (*nfilter P xs 0*)))
 by (*simp add*: *2*)
have *4*: (*suffix k* (*map* (λ*s. nth xs s*) (*nfilter P xs 0*))) =
     map (λ*s. nth xs s*) (*suffix k* (*nfilter P xs 0*))
 by (*simp add*: *1 assms*(*2*) *map-suffix nfilter-intlen*)
have *5*: ∃ *x* ∈ *set*(*suffix* ((*nth* (*nfilter P xs 0*) *k*)) *xs*). *P x*
 by (*metis 1 add-cancel-right-left assms*(*1*) *assms*(*2*) *interval-intfirst-suffix*
   interval-intlen-gr-zero interval-nth-and-set interval-nth-zero-intfirst nfilter-intlen
   nfilter-upper-bound)
have *6*: (*filter P* (*suffix* ((*nth* (*nfilter P xs 0*) *k*)) *xs*)) =
     map (λ*s. nth* (*suffix* ((*nth* (*nfilter P xs 0*) *k*)) *xs*) *s*)
     (*nfilter P* (*suffix* ((*nth* (*nfilter P xs 0*) *k*)) *xs*) *0*)

  by (*simp add*: *5 nfilter-map-filter*)
have *7*: *map* (λ*s. nth* (*suffix* ((*nth* (*nfilter P xs 0*) *k*)) *xs*) *s*)
     (*nfilter P* (*suffix* ((*nth* (*nfilter P xs 0*) *k*)) *xs*) *0*) =
    map (λ*s. nth xs* (*s*+(*nth* (*nfilter P xs 0*) *k*)))
    (*nfilter P* (*suffix* ((*nth* (*nfilter P xs 0*) *k*)) *xs*) *0*)

using *1 5* **by** (*simp add*: *add.commute*)
have *8*: *map* (λ*s. nth xs* (*s*+(*nth* (*nfilter P xs 0*) *k*)))
     (*nfilter P* (*suffix* ((*nth* (*nfilter P xs 0*) *k*)) *xs*) *0*) =
    map (λ*s. nth xs s*)
    (*nfilter P* (*suffix* ((*nth* (*nfilter P xs 0*) *k*)) *xs*) (*nth* (*nfilter P xs 0*) *k*))

  using *5 nfilter-map-shift-suffix* **by** *metis*
have *9*: *map* (λ*s. nth xs s*)
     (*nfilter P* (*suffix* ((*nth* (*nfilter P xs 0*) *k*)) *xs*) (*nth* (*nfilter P xs 0*) *k*)) =
    map (λ*s. nth xs s*)
    (*suffix k* (*nfilter P xs 0*))

  by (*simp add*: *assms*(*1*) *assms*(*2*) *nfilter-nfilter-suffix*)
show *?thesis*
 by (*simp add*: *3 4 6 7 8 9*)
qed

lemma *filter-nfilter-suffix-1*:
  assumes ∃ *x* ∈ *set xs*. *P x*
      k ≤ *intlen* (*filter P xs*)
  shows   (*suffix k* (*filter P xs*))   =
      (*filter P* (*suffix* ((*nth* (*nfilter P xs 0*) *k*)) *xs*))
proof −
 have *1*: ∃ *x* ∈ *set xs* . *P x*
  using *assms* **by** *auto*
 have *2*: (*filter P xs*) = *map* (λ*s. nth xs s*) (*nfilter P xs 0*)

    by (*simp add*: *1 nfilter-map-filter*)
  have *3*: (*suffix k* (*filter P xs*)) =
      (*suffix k* (*map* (λs. *nth xs s*) (*nfilter P xs 0*)))
  by (*simp add*: *2*)
  have *4*: (*suffix k* (*map* (λs. *nth xs s*) (*nfilter P xs 0*))) =
      map (λs. *nth xs s*) (*suffix k* (*nfilter P xs 0*))
  by (*simp add*: *1 assms*(*2*) *map-suffix nfilter-intlen*)
  have *5*: ∃ *x* ∈ *set*(*suffix* ((*nth* (*nfilter P xs 0*) *k*))   *xs*). *P x*
  using *assms nth-set 2*
  by (*metis add.right-neutral diff-zero interval-intlen-map interval-nth-suffix le0 nfilter-holds*)
  have *6*: (*filter P* (*suffix* ((*nth* (*nfilter P xs 0*) *k*)) *xs*)) =
      map (λs. *nth* (*suffix* ((*nth* (*nfilter P xs 0*) *k*)) *xs*) *s*)
       (*nfilter P* (*suffix* ((*nth* (*nfilter P xs 0*) *k*)) *xs*) *0*)

    by (*simp add*: *5 nfilter-map-filter*)
  have *7*: *map* (λs. *nth* (*suffix* ((*nth* (*nfilter P xs 0*) *k*)) *xs*) *s*)
      (*nfilter P* (*suffix* ((*nth* (*nfilter P xs 0*) *k*)) *xs*) *0*) =
      map (λs. *nth xs* (*s*+(*nth* (*nfilter P xs 0*) *k*)))
      (*nfilter P* (*suffix* ((*nth* (*nfilter P xs 0*) *k*)) *xs*) *0*)

   using *1 5*
   by (*metis add.right-neutral interval-nth-suffix interval-suffix-suffix le0*)
  have *8*: *map* (λs. *nth xs* (*s*+(*nth* (*nfilter P xs 0*) *k*)))
      (*nfilter P* (*suffix* ((*nth* (*nfilter P xs 0*) *k*)) *xs*) *0*) =
      map (λs. *nth xs s*)
      (*nfilter P* (*suffix* ((*nth* (*nfilter P xs 0*) *k*)) *xs*) (*nth* (*nfilter P xs 0*) *k*))

   using *5 nfilter-map-shift-suffix* **by** *metis*
  have *9*: *map* (λs. *nth xs s*)
      (*nfilter P* (*suffix* ((*nth* (*nfilter P xs 0*) *k*)) *xs*) (*nth* (*nfilter P xs 0*) *k*)) =
      map (λs. *nth xs s*)
      (*suffix k* (*nfilter P xs 0*))

    by (*simp add*: *assms*(*1*) *assms*(*2*) *nfilter-nfilter-suffix-1*)
 show *?thesis*
 by (*simp add*: *3 4 6 7 8 9*)
qed

lemma *filter-nfilter-suffix-intlen*:
  assumes *P* (*nth xs* ((*nth* (*nfilter P xs 0*) *k*)))
      k ≤ *intlen* (*filter P xs*)
  shows   *intlen*(*suffix k* (*filter P xs*))   =
      intlen(*filter P* (*suffix* ((*nth* (*nfilter P xs 0*) *k*)) *xs*))
using *assms*
by (*simp add*: *filter-nfilter-suffix*)

lemma *filter-nfilter-suffix-intlen-1*:
  assumes ∃ *x* ∈ *set xs*. *P x*
      k ≤ *intlen* (*filter P xs*)
  shows   *intlen*(*suffix k* (*filter P xs*)) =

$$intlen(filter\ P\ (suffix\ ((nth\ (nfilter\ P\ xs\ 0)\ k)\ )\ xs))$$
using *assms*
by (*simp add*: *filter-nfilter-suffix-1*)

lemma *filter-nfilter-suffix-nth*:
  assumes P ($nth\ xs$ ((nth ($nfilter\ P\ xs\ 0$) k)))
        $k \leq intlen$ ($filter\ P\ xs$)
        $j \leq$ $intlen(suffix\ k$ ($filter\ P\ xs$))
  shows nth ($suffix\ k$ ($filter\ P\ xs$)) j =
        nth ($filter\ P$ ($suffix$ ((nth ($nfilter\ P\ xs\ 0$) k)) xs)) j
using *assms*
by (*simp add*: *filter-nfilter-suffix*)

lemma *filter-nfilter-suffix-nth-1*:
  assumes $\exists\ x \in set\ xs.\ P\ x$
        $k \leq intlen$ ($filter\ P\ xs$)
        $j \leq$ $intlen(suffix\ k$ ($filter\ P\ xs$))
  shows nth ($suffix\ k$ ($filter\ P\ xs$)) j =
        nth ($filter\ P$ ($suffix$ ((nth ($nfilter\ P\ xs\ 0$) k)) xs)) j
using *assms*
by (*simp add*: *filter-nfilter-suffix-1*)

lemma *nfilter-intlast*:
 assumes P ($intlast$ ($prefix\ k\ xs$))
      $k \leq intlen\ xs$
 shows   ($nth\ xs$ (($intlast$ ($nfilter\ P$ ($prefix\ k\ xs$) n))$-n$)) = ($intlast$ ($prefix\ k\ xs$))
using *assms*
proof (*induction xs arbitrary*: $n\ k$)
case ($St\ x$)
then show *?case* **by** *simp*
next
case ($Cons\ x1a\ xs$)
then show *?case*
  proof (*auto simp add*: *min.absorb1 split*:*nat.split*)
   show $\bigwedge x2\ x.$
       ($\bigwedge k\ n.\ P$ ($nth\ xs\ k$) $\Longrightarrow$
         $k \leq intlen\ xs \Longrightarrow$
         $nth\ xs$ (nth ($nfilter\ P$ ($prefix\ k\ xs$) n) ($intlen$ ($nfilter\ P$ ($prefix\ k\ xs$) n)) $-\ n$) =
         $nth\ xs\ k$) $\Longrightarrow$
      P ($nth\ xs\ x2$) $\Longrightarrow$
      $x \in set$ ($prefix\ x2\ xs$) $\Longrightarrow$
      $P\ x \Longrightarrow$
      $k = Suc\ x2 \Longrightarrow$
      $x2 \leq intlen\ xs \Longrightarrow$
      nth ($nfilter\ P$ ($prefix\ x2\ xs$) ($Suc\ n$)) ($intlen$ ($nfilter\ P$ ($prefix\ x2\ xs$) ($Suc\ n$))) $\leq n \Longrightarrow$
      $x1a = nth\ xs\ x2$
    by (*metis le-refl nfilter-nth-n-zero-a not-less-eq-eq*)
   show $\bigwedge x2\ x\ x2a.$
       ($\bigwedge k\ n.\ P$ ($nth\ xs\ k$) $\Longrightarrow$
         $k \leq intlen\ xs \Longrightarrow$

$$nth\ xs\ (nth\ (nfilter\ P\ (prefix\ k\ xs)\ n)\ (intlen\ (nfilter\ P\ (prefix\ k\ xs)\ n)) - n) =$$
$$nth\ xs\ k) \implies$$
$$P\ (nth\ xs\ x2) \implies$$
$$x \in set\ (prefix\ x2\ xs) \implies$$
$$P\ x \implies$$
$$k = Suc\ x2 \implies$$
$$x2 \leq intlen\ xs \implies$$
$$nth\ (nfilter\ P\ (prefix\ x2\ xs)\ (Suc\ n))\ (intlen\ (nfilter\ P\ (prefix\ x2\ xs)\ (Suc\ n))) - n =$$
$$Suc\ x2a \implies$$
$$nth\ xs\ x2a = nth\ xs\ x2$$

by (*metis Suc-eq-plus1 add-diff-cancel-left' diff-diff-left plus-1-eq-Suc*)

show $\bigwedge x2.$

$(\bigwedge k\ n.\ P\ (nth\ xs\ k) \implies$

$\quad k \leq intlen\ xs \implies$

$\quad nth\ xs\ (nth\ (nfilter\ P\ (prefix\ k\ xs)\ n)\ (intlen\ (nfilter\ P\ (prefix\ k\ xs)\ n)) - n) =$

$\quad\quad nth\ xs\ k) \implies$

$P\ (nth\ xs\ x2) \implies$

$\forall x \in set\ (prefix\ x2\ xs).\ \neg\ P\ x \implies$

$k = Suc\ x2 \implies$

$x2 \leq intlen\ xs \implies$

$x1a = nth\ xs\ x2$

using *interval-intlast-prefix nth-set* **by** *fastforce*

qed

qed

lemma *nfilter-intfirst*:

assumes $P\ (intfirst\ (suffix\ k\ xs))$

$\quad\quad k \leq intlen\ xs$

shows $intfirst\ (nfilter\ P\ (suffix\ k\ xs)\ n) = n$

using *assms*

proof (*induction xs arbitrary*: $k\ n$)

case ($St\ x$)

then show *?case* **by** *simp*

next

case ($Cons\ x1a\ xs$)

then show *?case*

$\quad$ **by** (*auto split*:*nat.split*)

qed

lemma *filter-intlast*:

assumes $P\ (intlast\ (prefix\ n\ xs))$

$\quad\quad n \leq intlen\ xs$

shows $intlast\ (filter\ P\ (prefix\ n\ xs)) = (intlast\ (prefix\ n\ xs))$

using *assms*

proof (*induction n arbitrary*: xs)

case 0

then show *?case* **by** *simp*

next

case ($Suc\ n$)

then show *?case*

proof (*cases xs*)
case (*St x1*)
then show *?thesis*
by *simp*
next
case (*Cons x21 x22*)
then show *?thesis*
    proof *auto*
     show $\bigwedge x.$ *xs = x21 $\odot$ x22* $\Longrightarrow$
        x $\in$ set (*prefix n x22*) $\Longrightarrow$
        P x $\Longrightarrow$
        nth (*filter P* (*prefix n x22*)) (*intlen* (*filter P* (*prefix n x22*))) =
        nth x22 (*min n* (*intlen x22*))
     using *Suc.IH Suc.prems* **by** *auto*
     show *xs = x21 $\odot$ x22* $\Longrightarrow$
        $\forall x \in$*set* (*prefix n x22*). $\neg$ *P x* $\Longrightarrow$
        x21 = nth x22 (*min n* (*intlen x22*))
     using *Suc.prems nth-set* **by** *force*
    qed
  qed
qed

lemma *filter-intfirst*:
 assumes *P* (*intfirst* (*suffix n xs*))
 shows *intfirst* (*filter P* (*suffix n xs*)) = (*intfirst* (*suffix n xs*))
using *assms*
proof (*induction xs arbitrary*: *n*)
case (*St x*)
then show *?case* **by** *simp*
next
case (*Cons x1a xs*)
then show *?case* **by** (*auto split:nat.split*)
qed

lemma *filter-nth-aa*:
 assumes ($\exists$ *x $\in$ set xs. P x*)
      n $\leq$ intlen (*filter P xs*)
 shows *P* (*nth* (*filter P xs*) *n*)
using *assms set-filter nth-set* **by** *fastforce*

lemma *filter-length-zero-conv-a*:
 assumes ($\exists x \in$*set xs. P x*)
      intlen (*filter P xs*) =0
 shows ($\exists$ *k $\leq$ intlen xs. P* (*nth xs k*) $\wedge$
      ($\forall$ *j $\leq$ intlen xs. j $\neq$ k* $\longrightarrow$ $\neg$ *P* (*nth xs j*)))
proof $-$
 have *1*: *P* (*nth xs* (*nth* (*nfilter P xs 0*) *0*))
  by (*metis nfilter-map-filter assms*(*1*) *assms*(*2*) *filter-nth-aa interval-nth-map*

le-numeral-extra(*3*))
have *2*: (*nth* (*nfilter P xs 0*) *0*) ≤ *intlen xs*
  by (*metis assms*(*1*) *diff-zero interval-intlen-gr-zero nfilter-upper-bound*
    ordered-cancel-comm-monoid-diff-class.add-diff-inverse)
have *3*: (∀ *j* ≤ *intlen xs*. *j* ≠ (*nth* (*nfilter P xs 0*) *0*) ⟶ ¬ *P* (*nth xs j*))
  using *assms nfilter-not-after*[*of xs P*] *nfilter-not-before*[*of xs P*]
  by (*metis linorder-neqE-nat nfilter-intlen*)
show *?thesis*
using *1 2 3* **by** *blast*
qed

lemma *filter-length-zero-conv-c*:
(∃ *k* ≤ *intlen xs*. *P* (*nth xs k*) ∧
    (∀ *j* ≤ *intlen xs*. *j* ≠ *k* ⟶ ¬ *P* (*nth xs j*))) =
  (∃ *k* ≤ *intlen xs*. *P* (*nth xs k*) ∧
    (∀ *j* ≤ *intlen xs*. *j*<*k* ∨ *k*<*j* ⟶ ¬ *P* (*nth xs j*)))

using *antisym-conv3* **by** *auto*

lemma *filter-length-zero-conv-d*:
 (∃ *k* ≤ *intlen xs*. *P* (*nth xs k*) ∧
    (∀ *j* ≤ *intlen xs*. *j*<*k* ∨ *k*<*j* ⟶ ¬ *P* (*nth xs j*))) =
 (∃ *k* ≤ *intlen xs*. *P* (*nth xs k*) ∧
  (∀ *j*. *j*<*k* ⟶ ¬ *P* (*nth xs j*)) ∧
  (∀ *j* ≤ *intlen xs*. *k*<*j* ⟶ ¬ *P* (*nth xs j*))
)

by *auto*

lemma *filter-length-zero-conv-b*:
 assumes (∃ *k* ≤ *intlen xs*. *P* (*nth xs k*) ∧
    (∀ *j* ≤ *intlen xs*. *j* ≠ *k* ⟶ ¬ *P* (*nth xs j*)))
 shows   (∃ *x*∈*set xs*. *P x*) ∧ *intlen* (*filter P xs*) =*0*
proof −
have *1*: (∃ *x*∈*set xs*. *P x*)
  using *assms nth-set* **by** *auto*
obtain *k* **where** *2*: *k* ≤ *intlen xs* ∧ *P* (*nth xs k*) ∧
    (∀ *j* ≤ *intlen xs*. *j* ≠ *k* ⟶ ¬ *P* (*nth xs j*))
  using *assms* **by** *auto*
have *3*: *intlen* (*filter P xs*) =*0*
 using *1 2*
  proof (*induct xs arbitrary*: *k*)
  case (*St x*)
  then show *?case* **by** *simp*
  next
  case (*Cons x1a xs*)
  then show *?case*
      proof (*cases k*)
       show (⋀*k*. ∃ *a*∈*set xs*. *P a* ⟹
            k ≤ *intlen xs* ∧ *P* (*nth xs k*) ∧ (∀ *j*≤*intlen xs*. *j* ≠ *k* ⟶ ¬ *P* (*nth xs j*)) ⟹

726

        intlen (filter P xs) = 0) $\Longrightarrow$
      $\exists\,a \in$ set (x1a $\odot$ xs). P a $\Longrightarrow$
      $k \leq$ intlen (x1a $\odot$ xs) $\wedge$ P (nth (x1a $\odot$ xs) k) $\wedge$
      ($\forall\,j \leq$intlen (x1a $\odot$ xs). j $\neq$ k $\longrightarrow$ $\neg$ P (nth (x1a $\odot$ xs) j)) $\Longrightarrow$
      k = 0 $\Longrightarrow$ intlen (filter P (x1a $\odot$ xs)) = 0
   by (*metis Suc-le-mono filter-intlen-d interval-nth-Suc interval-nth-and-set intlen.simps(2)*
      le0 not-less-eq-eq order-refl plus-1-eq-Suc)
  show $\bigwedge$*nat.*
     ($\bigwedge$k. $\exists\,a \in$set xs. P a $\Longrightarrow$
      $k \leq$ intlen xs $\wedge$ P (nth xs k) $\wedge$
      ($\forall\,j \leq$intlen xs. j $\neq$ k $\longrightarrow$ $\neg$ P (nth xs j)) $\Longrightarrow$
      intlen (filter P xs) = 0) $\Longrightarrow$
     $\exists\,a \in$set (x1a $\odot$ xs). P a $\Longrightarrow$
     $k \leq$ intlen (x1a $\odot$ xs) $\wedge$ P (nth (x1a $\odot$ xs) k) $\wedge$
     ($\forall\,j \leq$intlen (x1a $\odot$ xs). j $\neq$ k $\longrightarrow$ $\neg$ P (nth (x1a $\odot$ xs) j)) $\Longrightarrow$
     k = Suc nat $\Longrightarrow$
     intlen (filter P (x1a $\odot$ xs)) = 0
   by *fastforce*
 qed
 qed
show *?thesis*
using *1 3* **by** *blast*
qed

lemma *filter-length-zero-conv*:
 (($\exists\,x \in$set xs. P x) $\wedge$ intlen (filter P xs) =0) =
 ($\exists$ $k \leq$ intlen xs. P (nth xs k) $\wedge$
      ($\forall$ $j \leq$ intlen xs. j $\neq$ k $\longrightarrow$ $\neg$ P (nth xs j)))
using *filter-length-zero-conv-a*[*of xs P*] *filter-length-zero-conv-b*[*of xs P*]
by *blast*

lemma *filter-length-zero-conv-1*:
 (($\exists\,x \in$set xs. P x) $\wedge$ intlen (filter P xs) =0) =
 ($\exists$ $k \leq$ intlen xs. P (nth xs k) $\wedge$
      ($\forall$ $j \leq$ intlen xs. j$<$k $\vee$ k$<$j $\longrightarrow$ $\neg$ P (nth xs j)))
proof $-$
 have *1*: (($\exists\,x \in$set xs. P x) $\wedge$ intlen (filter P xs) =0) =
    ($\exists$ $k \leq$ intlen xs. P (nth xs k) $\wedge$
     ($\forall$ $j \leq$ intlen xs. j $\neq$ k $\longrightarrow$ $\neg$ P (nth xs j)))
  by (*simp add*: *filter-length-zero-conv*)
 have *2*: ($\exists$ $k \leq$ intlen xs. P (nth xs k) $\wedge$
     ($\forall$ $j \leq$ intlen xs. j $\neq$ k $\longrightarrow$ $\neg$ P (nth xs j))) =
    ($\exists$ $k \leq$ intlen xs. P (nth xs k) $\wedge$
     ($\forall$ $j \leq$ intlen xs. j$<$k $\vee$ k$<$j $\longrightarrow$ $\neg$ P (nth xs j)))
   by *fastforce*
 show *?thesis* **by** (*simp add*: *1 2*)
qed

lemma *filter-length-zero-conv-2*:
 (($\exists\,x \in$set xs. P x) $\wedge$ intlen (filter P xs) =0) =

$(\exists\ k \le intlen\ xs.\ P\ (nth\ xs\ k)\ \wedge$
  $(\forall\ j.\ j{<}k\ \longrightarrow\ \neg\ P\ (nth\ xs\ j))\ \wedge$
  $(\forall\ j \le intlen\ xs.\ k{<}j\ \longrightarrow\ \neg\ P\ (nth\ xs\ j))$
  $)$
proof −
 have *1*: $((\exists x{\in}set\ xs.\ P\ x)\ \wedge\ \ intlen\ (filter\ P\ xs)\ {=}0) =$
  $(\exists\ k \le intlen\ xs.\ P\ (nth\ xs\ k)\ \wedge$
        $(\forall\ j \le intlen\ xs.\ j{<}k \vee k{<}j\ \longrightarrow\ \neg\ P\ (nth\ xs\ j)))$
  by (*simp add*: *filter-length-zero-conv-1*)
 have *2*: $(\exists\ k \le intlen\ xs.\ P\ (nth\ xs\ k)\ \wedge$
        $(\forall\ j \le intlen\ xs.\ j{<}k \vee k{<}j\ \longrightarrow\ \neg\ P\ (nth\ xs\ j))) =$
  $(\exists\ k \le intlen\ xs.\ P\ (nth\ xs\ k)\ \wedge$
  $(\forall\ j.\ j{<}k\ \longrightarrow\ \neg\ P\ (nth\ xs\ j))\ \wedge$
  $(\forall\ j \le intlen\ xs.\ k{<}j\ \longrightarrow\ \neg\ P\ (nth\ xs\ j))$
  $)$
  using *dual-order.strict-trans1* **by** *auto*
 from *1 2* **show** *?thesis* **by** *auto*
qed

lemma *filter-suffixes-map-help-0-0*:
assumes $\exists\ x \in set\ (x1a{\odot}xs).\ P\ x$
      $\neg\ P\ x1a$
shows $(filter\ P\ (suffix\ (nth(nfilter\ P\ (x1a{\odot}xs)\ 0)\ 0)\ (x1a{\odot}xs))) = (filter\ P\ xs)$
using *assms*
by (*metis filter.simps*(*2*) *filter-nfilter-suffix-1 interval-set-ConsD interval-suffix-zero le0*)

lemma *filter-suffixes-map-help-0*:
assumes $j \le nth(nfilter\ P\ xs\ 0)\ 0$
      $\exists\ x \in set\ xs.\ P\ x$
shows $(filter\ P\ (suffix\ (nth(nfilter\ P\ xs\ 0)\ 0)\ xs)) = (filter\ P\ (suffix\ j\ xs))$
using *assms*
proof (*induct xs arbitrary*:*j*)
case (*St x*)
then show *?case* **by** *simp*
next
case (*Cons x1a xs*)
then show *?case*
  proof (*cases* $\exists a{\in}set\ xs.\ P\ a$)
  case *True*
  then show *?thesis*
    proof (*cases P x1a*)
    case *True*
    then show *?thesis*
    by (*metis Cons.prems*(*1*) *le-zero-eq nfilter-nth-cons*)
    next
    case *False*
    then show *?thesis*
      proof (*cases j*)
      case *0*
      then show *?thesis*

by (*metis Cons.prems*(*2*) *False filter.simps*(*2*) *True interval-suffix-zero
    filter-suffixes-map-help-0-0*)
next
case (*Suc nat*)
then show *?thesis*
   proof (*auto split*: *nat.split*)
   show $\bigwedge$*x. j = Suc nat* $\Longrightarrow$
         nth (*nfilter P xs* (*Suc 0*)) *0 = 0* $\Longrightarrow$
         P x1a $\Longrightarrow$
         x $\in$ *set xs* $\Longrightarrow$
         P x $\Longrightarrow$
         x1a $\odot$ *filter P xs = filter P* (*suffix nat xs*)
   using *False* **by** *blast*
   show *j = Suc nat* $\Longrightarrow$
         nth (*nfilter P xs* (*Suc 0*)) *0 = 0* $\Longrightarrow$
         P x1a $\Longrightarrow$
         $\forall$*x*$\in$*set xs.* $\neg$ *P x* $\Longrightarrow$
         $\langle$*x1a*$\rangle$ *= filter P* (*suffix nat xs*)
   using *False* **by** *blast*
   show $\bigwedge$*x. j = Suc nat* $\Longrightarrow$
         nth (*nfilter P xs* (*Suc 0*)) *0 = 0* $\Longrightarrow$
         $\neg$ *P x1a* $\Longrightarrow$
         x $\in$ *set xs* $\Longrightarrow$
         P x $\Longrightarrow$
         filter P xs = filter P (*suffix nat xs*)
    by (*metis Cons.prems*(*1*) *add-leD1 nfilter.simps*(*2*) *not-one-le-zero plus-1-eq-Suc*)
   show *j = Suc nat* $\Longrightarrow$
         nth (*nfilter P xs* (*Suc 0*)) *0 = 0* $\Longrightarrow$
         $\neg$ *P x1a* $\Longrightarrow$
         $\forall$*x*$\in$*set xs.* $\neg$ *P x* $\Longrightarrow$
         $\langle$*x1a*$\rangle$ *= filter P* (*suffix nat xs*)
   using *True* **by** *blast*
   show $\bigwedge$*x2 x.*
         j = Suc nat $\Longrightarrow$
         nth (*nfilter P xs* (*Suc 0*)) *0 = Suc x2* $\Longrightarrow$
         P x1a $\Longrightarrow$
         x $\in$ *set xs* $\Longrightarrow$
         P x $\Longrightarrow$
         x1a $\odot$ *filter P xs = filter P* (*suffix nat xs*)
   using *False* **by** *blast*
   show $\bigwedge$*x2.*
         j = Suc nat $\Longrightarrow$
         nth (*nfilter P xs* (*Suc 0*)) *0 = Suc x2* $\Longrightarrow$
         P x1a $\Longrightarrow$
         $\forall$*x*$\in$*set xs.* $\neg$ *P x* $\Longrightarrow$
         $\langle$*x1a*$\rangle$ *= filter P* (*suffix nat xs*)
   using *False* **by** *blast*
   show $\bigwedge$*x2 x.*
         j = Suc nat $\Longrightarrow$
         nth (*nfilter P xs* (*Suc 0*)) *0 = Suc x2* $\Longrightarrow$

$\neg P\ x1a \implies$

$x \in set\ xs \implies$

$P\ x \implies$

filter P (suffix x2 xs) = filter P (suffix nat xs)

by (*metis Cons.hyps Cons.prems*(1) *One-nat-def Suc-le-mono add-diff-cancel-left' le0*
nfilter.simps(2) *nfilter-nth-n-zero plus-1-eq-Suc*)

show $\bigwedge$*x2*.

$j = Suc\ nat \implies$

nth (nfilter P xs (Suc 0)) 0 = Suc x2 $\implies$

$\neg P\ x1a \implies$

$\forall x \in set\ xs.\ \neg P\ x \implies$

$\langle x1a \rangle$ = filter P (suffix nat xs)

using *True* **by** *blast*

 qed

 qed

 qed

 next

 case *False*

 then show *?thesis*

 using *Cons.prems*(1) **by** *auto*

 qed

qed

lemma *filter-suffixes-map-help-0-a*:

assumes $j \le nth(nfilter\ P\ (suffixes\ xs)\ 0)\ 0$

  $\exists\ x \in set\ (suffixes\ xs).\ P\ x$

shows (filter P (suffixes (suffix (nth(nfilter P (suffixes xs) 0) 0) xs))) =

  (filter P (suffixes (suffix j xs)))

proof $-$

 have 1: (suffix (nth(nfilter P (suffixes xs) 0) 0) (suffixes xs)) =

   (suffixes (suffix (nth(nfilter P (suffixes xs) 0) 0) xs))

 by (*metis assms*(2) *diff-zero interval-intlen-gr-zero nfilter-upper-bound*
  ordered-cancel-comm-monoid-diff-class.add-diff-inverse suffix-suffixes)

 have 2: nth(nfilter P (suffixes xs) 0) 0 $\le$ intlen (suffixes xs)

  by (*metis add.left-neutral assms*(2) *interval-intlen-gr-zero nfilter-upper-bound*)

 have 3: (suffix j (suffixes xs)) = (suffixes (suffix j xs))

  using *2 suffix-suffixes assms le-trans* **by** *blast*

 show *?thesis*

 using *1 3 assms filter-suffixes-map-help-0* **by** *fastforce*

qed

lemma *filter-suffixes-map-help-1*:

assumes $j \le nth(nfilter\ P\ xs\ 0)\ 1$

  $0 < intlen(filter\ P\ xs)$

   nth(nfilter P xs 0) 0 < j

  $\exists\ x \in set\ xs.\ P\ x$

shows (filter P (suffix (nth(nfilter P xs 0) 1) xs)) = (filter P (suffix j xs))

using *assms*

proof (*induct xs arbitrary:j*)

case (*St x*)

then show *?case* **by** *simp*
next
case (*Cons x1a xs*)
then show *?case*
  proof (*cases* ∃ *a*∈*set xs*. *P a*)
  case *True*
  then show *?thesis*
    proof (*cases P x1a*)
    case *True*
    then show *?thesis*
      proof (*cases j*)
      case *0*
      then show *?thesis*
      using *Cons.prems*(*3*) **by** *blast*
      next
      case (*Suc nat*)
      then show *?thesis*
        proof (*auto split*: *nat.split*)
        show ⋀*x*.
            j = *Suc nat* ⟹
            nth (*nfilter P xs* (*Suc 0*)) *0* = *0* ⟹
            nth (*nfilter P xs* (*Suc 0*)) (*Suc 0*) = *0* ⟹
            P x1a ⟹
            x ∈ *set xs* ⟹
            P x ⟹
            x1a ⊙ *filter P xs* = *filter P* (*suffix nat xs*)
          by (*metis Cons.prems*(*1*) *Cons.prems*(*3*) *One-nat-def add-diff-cancel-left' leD*
           nfilter-nth-cons plus-1-eq-Suc)
        show *j* = *Suc nat* ⟹
            nth (*nfilter P xs* (*Suc 0*)) *0* = *0* ⟹
            nth (*nfilter P xs* (*Suc 0*)) (*Suc 0*) = *0* ⟹
            P x1a ⟹
            ∀ *x*∈*set xs*. ¬ *P x* ⟹
            ⟨*x1a*⟩ = *filter P* (*suffix nat xs*)
         using *Cons.prems*(*2*) **by** *auto*
        show ⋀*x*.
            j = *Suc nat* ⟹
            nth (*nfilter P xs* (*Suc 0*)) *0* = *0* ⟹
            nth (*nfilter P xs* (*Suc 0*)) (*Suc 0*) = *0* ⟹
            ¬ *P x1a* ⟹
            x ∈ *set xs* ⟹
            P x ⟹
            filter P xs = *filter P* (*suffix nat xs*)
         using *True* **by** *blast*
        show *j* = *Suc nat* ⟹
            nth (*nfilter P xs* (*Suc 0*)) *0* = *0* ⟹
            nth (*nfilter P xs* (*Suc 0*)) (*Suc 0*) = *0* ⟹
            ¬ *P x1a* ⟹ ∀ *x*∈*set xs*. ¬ *P x* ⟹
            ⟨*x1a*⟩ = *filter P* (*suffix nat xs*)
         using *True* **by** *blast*

show $\bigwedge$x2 x.
    j = Suc nat $\implies$
    nth (nfilter P xs (Suc 0)) 0 = 0 $\implies$
    nth (nfilter P xs (Suc 0)) (Suc 0) = Suc x2 $\implies$
    P x1a $\implies$
    x $\in$ set xs $\implies$
    P x $\implies$
    x1a $\odot$ filter P xs = filter P (suffix nat xs)
  by (*metis Cons.prems*(1) *Cons.prems*(3) *One-nat-def add-diff-cancel-left' leD*
    nfilter-nth-cons plus-1-eq-Suc)
show $\bigwedge$x2.
    j = Suc nat $\implies$
    nth (nfilter P xs (Suc 0)) 0 = 0 $\implies$
    nth (nfilter P xs (Suc 0)) (Suc 0) = Suc x2 $\implies$
    P x1a $\implies$
    $\forall$ x$\in$set xs. $\neg$ P x $\implies$
    $\langle$x1a$\rangle$ = filter P (suffix nat xs)
  using *Cons.prems*(2) **by** *auto*
show $\bigwedge$x2 x.
    j = Suc nat $\implies$
    nth (nfilter P xs (Suc 0)) 0 = 0 $\implies$
    nth (nfilter P xs (Suc 0)) (Suc 0) = Suc x2 $\implies$
    $\neg$ P x1a $\implies$
    x $\in$ set xs $\implies$
    P x $\implies$
    filter P (suffix x2 xs) = filter P (suffix nat xs)
  using *True* **by** *blast*
show $\bigwedge$x2.
    j = Suc nat $\implies$
    nth (nfilter P xs (Suc 0)) 0 = 0 $\implies$
    nth (nfilter P xs (Suc 0)) (Suc 0) = Suc x2 $\implies$
    $\neg$ P x1a $\implies$
    $\forall$ x$\in$set xs. $\neg$ P x $\implies$
    $\langle$x1a$\rangle$ = filter P (suffix nat xs)
  using *True* **by** *blast*
show $\bigwedge$x2 x.
    j = Suc nat $\implies$
    nth (nfilter P xs (Suc 0)) 0 = Suc x2 $\implies$
    nth (nfilter P xs (Suc 0)) (Suc 0) = 0 $\implies$
    P x1a $\implies$
    x $\in$ set xs $\implies$
    P x $\implies$
    filter P (suffix x2 xs) = filter P (suffix nat xs)
  by (*metis Cons.prems*(1) *One-nat-def Suc-le-mono add-diff-cancel-left'*
    interval-intlen-gr-zero nfilter-nth-cons nfilter-nth-n-zero plus-1-eq-Suc
    filter-suffixes-map-help-0)
show $\bigwedge$x2.
    j = Suc nat $\implies$
    nth (nfilter P xs (Suc 0)) 0 = Suc x2 $\implies$
    nth (nfilter P xs (Suc 0)) (Suc 0) = 0 $\implies$

$P\ x1a \implies$
$\forall x \in set\ xs.\ \neg\ P\ x \implies$
$\langle x1a \rangle = filter\ P\ (suffix\ nat\ xs)$
  using *Cons.prems*(2) **by** *auto*
show $\bigwedge x2\ x.$
    $j = Suc\ nat \implies$
    $nth\ (nfilter\ P\ xs\ (Suc\ 0))\ 0 = Suc\ x2 \implies$
    $nth\ (nfilter\ P\ xs\ (Suc\ 0))\ (Suc\ 0) = 0 \implies$
    $\neg\ P\ x1a \implies$
    $x \in set\ xs \implies$
    $P\ x \implies$
    $filter\ P\ xs = filter\ P\ (suffix\ nat\ xs)$
  using *True* **by** *blast*
show $\bigwedge x2.$
    $j = Suc\ nat \implies$
    $nth\ (nfilter\ P\ xs\ (Suc\ 0))\ 0 = Suc\ x2 \implies$
    $nth\ (nfilter\ P\ xs\ (Suc\ 0))\ (Suc\ 0) = 0 \implies$
    $\neg\ P\ x1a \implies$
    $\forall x \in set\ xs.\ \neg\ P\ x \implies$
    $\langle x1a \rangle = filter\ P\ (suffix\ nat\ xs)$
  using *True* **by** *blast*
show $\bigwedge x2\ x2a\ x.$
    $j = Suc\ nat \implies$
    $nth\ (nfilter\ P\ xs\ (Suc\ 0))\ 0 = Suc\ x2 \implies$
    $nth\ (nfilter\ P\ xs\ (Suc\ 0))\ (Suc\ 0) = Suc\ x2a \implies$
    $P\ x1a \implies$
    $x \in set\ xs \implies$
    $P\ x \implies$
    $filter\ P\ (suffix\ x2\ xs) = filter\ P\ (suffix\ nat\ xs)$
 using *nfilter-nth-n-zero*[*of - P - Suc 0*] *nfilter-nth-cons*[*of P x1a xs*]
 by (*metis Cons.prems*(1) *One-nat-def Suc-le-mono add-diff-cancel-left′ add-leD1*
    interval-intlen-gr-zero not-one-le-zero plus-1-eq-Suc filter-suffixes-map-help-0)
show $\bigwedge x2\ x2a.$
    $j = Suc\ nat \implies$
    $nth\ (nfilter\ P\ xs\ (Suc\ 0))\ 0 = Suc\ x2 \implies$
    $nth\ (nfilter\ P\ xs\ (Suc\ 0))\ (Suc\ 0) = Suc\ x2a \implies$
    $P\ x1a \implies$
    $\forall x \in set\ xs.\ \neg\ P\ x \implies$
    $\langle x1a \rangle = filter\ P\ (suffix\ nat\ xs)$
  using *Cons.prems*(2) **by** *auto*
show $\bigwedge x2\ x2a\ x.$
    $j = Suc\ nat \implies$
    $nth\ (nfilter\ P\ xs\ (Suc\ 0))\ 0 = Suc\ x2 \implies$
    $nth\ (nfilter\ P\ xs\ (Suc\ 0))\ (Suc\ 0) = Suc\ x2a \implies$
    $\neg\ P\ x1a \implies$
    $x \in set\ xs \implies$
    $P\ x \implies$
    $filter\ P\ (suffix\ x2a\ xs) = filter\ P\ (suffix\ nat\ xs)$
  using *True* **by** *blast*
show $\bigwedge x2\ x2a.$

$j = Suc\ nat \Longrightarrow$
$nth\ (nfilter\ P\ xs\ (Suc\ 0))\ 0 = Suc\ x2 \Longrightarrow$
$nth\ (nfilter\ P\ xs\ (Suc\ 0))\ (Suc\ 0) = Suc\ x2a \Longrightarrow$
$\neg\ P\ x1a \Longrightarrow$
$\forall x \in set\ xs.\ \neg\ P\ x \Longrightarrow$
$\langle x1a \rangle = filter\ P\ (suffix\ nat\ xs)$

  using *True* **by** *blast*

 qed

 qed

next

case *False*

then show *?thesis*

 proof (*auto split*: *nat.split*)

 show $\bigwedge x2\ x.$
$\neg\ P\ x1a \Longrightarrow$
$nth\ (nfilter\ P\ xs\ (Suc\ 0))\ (Suc\ 0) = 0 \Longrightarrow$
$j = Suc\ x2 \Longrightarrow$
$x \in set\ xs \Longrightarrow$
$P\ x \Longrightarrow$
$filter\ P\ xs = filter\ P\ (suffix\ x2\ xs)$

  by (*metis Cons.prems*(*2*) *Cons.prems*(*4*) *One-nat-def Suc-leI filter-intlen-c*
    nfilter-intlen nfilter-lower-bound not-one-le-zero)

 show $\bigwedge x2.$
$\neg\ P\ x1a \Longrightarrow$
$nth\ (nfilter\ P\ xs\ (Suc\ 0))\ (Suc\ 0) = 0 \Longrightarrow$
$j = Suc\ x2 \Longrightarrow$
$\forall x \in set\ xs.\ \neg\ P\ x \Longrightarrow$
$\langle x1a \rangle = filter\ P\ (suffix\ x2\ xs)$

  using *True* **by** *blast*

 show $\bigwedge x2\ x.$
$\neg\ P\ x1a \Longrightarrow$
$nth\ (nfilter\ P\ xs\ (Suc\ 0))\ (Suc\ 0) = Suc\ x2 \Longrightarrow$
$j = 0 \Longrightarrow$
$x \in set\ xs \Longrightarrow$
$P\ x \Longrightarrow$
$filter\ P\ (suffix\ x2\ xs) = filter\ P\ xs$

  using *Cons.prems*(*3*) **by** *blast*

 show $\bigwedge x2\ x2a\ x.$
$\neg\ P\ x1a \Longrightarrow$
$nth\ (nfilter\ P\ xs\ (Suc\ 0))\ (Suc\ 0) = Suc\ x2 \Longrightarrow$
$j = Suc\ x2a \Longrightarrow$
$x \in set\ xs \Longrightarrow$
$P\ x \Longrightarrow$
$filter\ P\ (suffix\ x2\ xs) = filter\ P\ (suffix\ x2a\ xs)$

  proof $-$

   fix *x2*

   fix *x2a*

   fix *x*

   assume *a0*: $\neg\ P\ x1a$

   assume *a1*: $nth\ (nfilter\ P\ xs\ (Suc\ 0))\ (Suc\ 0) = Suc\ x2$

734

assume *a2*: $j = Suc\ x2a$
assume *a3*: $x \in set\ xs$
assume *a4*: $P\ x$
show *filter P (suffix x2 xs) = filter P (suffix x2a xs)*
proof −
have *1*: $j{>}0$
using *Cons.prems(3) gr-implies-not-zero* **by** *blast*
have *2*: $x2a = j{-}1$
by (*simp add*: *a2*)
have *3*: $x2 = nth\ (nfilter\ P\ xs\ (Suc\ 0))\ (Suc\ 0) - (Suc\ 0)$
by (*simp add*: *a1*)
have *4*: $(nth\ (nfilter\ P\ xs\ (Suc\ 0))\ (Suc\ 0) - (Suc\ 0)) = (nth\ (nfilter\ P\ xs\ 0)\ (Suc\ 0))$
by (*metis Cons.prems(2) Cons.prems(4) Suc-leI True a0 filter-intlen-c*
nfilter-intlen nfilter-nth-n-zero)
have *5*: $0 < intlen\ (filter\ P\ xs)$
using *Cons.prems(2) Cons.prems(4) a0* **by** *auto*
have *6*: $\exists a \in set\ xs.\ P\ a$
using *True* **by** *blast*
have *7*: *filter P (suffix x2 xs) = filter P (suffix (nth (nfilter P xs 0) (Suc 0)) xs)*
by (*simp add*: *3 4*)
have *8*: *filter P (suffix x2a xs) = filter P (suffix (j−1) xs)*
using *2* **by** *blast*
have *9*: $j{-}1 \le nth\ (nfilter\ P\ xs\ 0)\ 1$
by (*metis 2 3 4 Cons.prems(1) One-nat-def Suc-le-mono True a0 a1 a2*
nfilter-nth-cons)
have *10*: $(nth\ (nfilter\ P\ xs\ (Suc\ 0))\ 0) - (Suc\ 0) = (nth\ (nfilter\ P\ xs\ 0)\ 0)$
by (*meson a3 a4 interval-intlen-gr-zero nfilter-nth-n-zero*)
have *101*: $(nth\ (nfilter\ P\ xs\ 0)\ 0) < j - (Suc\ 0)$
using *10 2 a0 6 a2 Cons.prems nfilter-nth-cons[of P x1a xs - -]*
filter-nth-aa[of xs P j−1]
nfilter-nth-n-zero[of xs P] nfilter-holds[of x1a⊙xs P]
by *simp-all*
(*metis 2 One-nat-def diff-less-mono interval-intlen-gr-zero nfilter-lower-bound*)
have *11*: *filter P (suffix (nth (nfilter P xs 0) 1) xs) = filter P (suffix (j−1) xs)*
using *101 5 9 Cons.hyps True* **by** *simp*
show *?thesis* **using** *3 4 5 6 Cons.hyps Cons.prems*
by (*metis 11 2 One-nat-def*)
qed
qed
show $\bigwedge x2\ x2a.$
$\neg\ P\ x1a \Longrightarrow$
$nth\ (nfilter\ P\ xs\ (Suc\ 0))\ (Suc\ 0) = Suc\ x2 \Longrightarrow$
$j = Suc\ x2a \Longrightarrow$
$\forall x \in set\ xs.\ \neg\ P\ x \Longrightarrow$
$\langle x1a \rangle = filter\ P\ (suffix\ x2a\ xs)$
using *True* **by** *blast*
qed
qed
next
case *False*

735

then show *?thesis*
  using *Cons.prems(2)* **by** *auto*
  qed
qed

lemma *filter-suffixes-map-help-j-a*:
assumes $(\bigwedge j\ i.\ j \le nth\ (nfilter\ P\ xs\ 0)\ (Suc\ i) \implies$
        $i < intlen\ (filter\ P\ xs) \implies$
        $nth\ (nfilter\ P\ xs\ 0)\ i < j \implies$
        $filter\ P\ (suffix\ (nth\ (nfilter\ P\ xs\ 0)\ (Suc\ i))\ xs) = filter\ P\ (suffix\ j\ xs))$
    $x2a \le x2$
    $i < Suc\ (intlen\ (filter\ P\ xs))$
    $(case\ i\ of\ 0 \Rightarrow 0\ |\ Suc\ k \Rightarrow nth\ (nfilter\ P\ xs\ (Suc\ 0))\ k) < Suc\ x2a$
    $P\ x1a$
    $x \in set\ xs$
    $P\ x$
    $nth\ (nfilter\ P\ xs\ (Suc\ 0))\ i = Suc\ x2$
    $j = Suc\ x2a$
shows $filter\ P\ (suffix\ x2\ xs) = filter\ P\ (suffix\ x2a\ xs)$
proof $-$
 have *1*: $i{=}0 \longrightarrow filter\ P\ (suffix\ x2\ xs) = filter\ P\ (suffix\ x2a\ xs)$
   using *assms*
   by (*metis One-nat-def add-diff-cancel-left′ interval-intlen-gr-zero nfilter-nth-n-zero*
     plus-1-eq-Suc filter-suffixes-map-help-0)
 have *2*: $i{>}0 \longrightarrow (nth\ (nfilter\ P\ xs\ (Suc\ 0))\ (i{-}1)) - (Suc\ 0) = nth\ (nfilter\ P\ xs\ 0)\ (i{-}1)$
  by (*metis One-nat-def Suc-leI add-leD1 assms(3) assms(6) assms(7) le-add-diff-inverse2*
    less-Suc-eq-le nfilter-intlen nfilter-nth-n-zero)
 have *21*: $i{>}0 \longrightarrow\ nth\ (nfilter\ P\ xs\ (Suc\ 0))\ (i{-}1) < Suc\ \ x2a$
   using *assms(4)*
   by (*metis Nitpick.case-nat-unfold less-Suc0 not-less-eq*)
 have *22*: $i{>}0 \longrightarrow nth\ (nfilter\ P\ xs\ (Suc\ 0))\ (i{-}1) > 0$
  by (*metis One-nat-def Suc-leI add-leD1 assms(3) assms(6) assms(7) gr-zeroI le-add-diff-inverse2*
    less-Suc-eq-le nfilter-intlen nfilter-lower-bound not-one-le-zero)
 have *23*: $i{>}0 \longrightarrow nth\ (nfilter\ P\ xs\ 0)\ (i{-}1) < x2a$
  using *2 21 22* **by** *linarith*
 have *24*: $i{>}0 \longrightarrow x2a \le nth\ (nfilter\ P\ xs\ 0)\ i$
  by (*metis One-nat-def add-diff-cancel-left′ assms(2) assms(3) assms(6) assms(7) assms(8)*
    less-Suc-eq-le nfilter-intlen nfilter-nth-n-zero plus-1-eq-Suc)
 have *25*: $i{>}0 \longrightarrow i - 1 < intlen\ (filter\ P\ xs)$
 using *assms(3)* **by** *linarith*
 have *3*: $i{>}0 \longrightarrow filter\ P\ (suffix\ (nth\ (nfilter\ P\ xs\ 0)\ i)\ xs\ ) = filter\ P\ (suffix\ x2a\ xs)$
  by (*metis 23 24 25 One-nat-def Suc-pred assms(1)*)
 have *4*: $i{>}0 \longrightarrow\ (nth\ (nfilter\ P\ xs\ 0)\ i) = x2$
  by (*metis 25 One-nat-def Suc-leI Suc-pred add-diff-cancel-left′ assms(6) assms(7) assms(8)*
    nfilter-intlen nfilter-nth-n-zero plus-1-eq-Suc)
 from *1 2 3 4* **show** *?thesis* **by** *auto*
qed

lemma *filter-suffixes-map-help-j-b*:
assumes $(\bigwedge j\ i.\ j \le nth\ (nfilter\ P\ xs\ 0)\ (Suc\ i) \implies$

$i < $ intlen (filter P xs) $\implies$
nth (nfilter P xs 0) $i < j \implies$
filter P (suffix (nth (nfilter P xs 0) (Suc i)) xs) = filter P (suffix j xs))
$x2a \le x2$
$i < $ intlen (filter P xs)
nth (nfilter P xs (Suc 0)) $i < $ Suc x2a
$\neg$ P x1a
$x \in$ set xs
P x
nth (nfilter P xs (Suc 0)) (Suc i) = Suc x2
$j = $ Suc x2a

shows filter P (suffix x2 xs) = filter P (suffix x2a xs)
proof $-$
  have 0: i=0 $\longrightarrow$ (nth (nfilter P xs (Suc 0)) (Suc i)) $-$ (Suc 0) = nth (nfilter P xs 0) (Suc i)
   by (*metis Suc-leI assms(3) assms(6) assms(7) nfilter-intlen nfilter-nth-n-zero*)
  have 00: i=0 $\longrightarrow$ (nth (nfilter P xs 0) (Suc i)) = x2
    using 0 assms(8) **by** linarith
  have 03: i=0 $\longrightarrow$ nth (nfilter P xs 0) $i < $ x2a
   by (*metis One-nat-def add-diff-cancel-left' assms(4) assms(6) assms(7) interval-intlen-gr-zero*
     less-Suc-eq-0-disj nfilter-lower-bound nfilter-nth-n-zero not-one-le-zero plus-1-eq-Suc)
  have 04: i=0 $\longrightarrow$ $i < $ intlen (filter P xs)
   using assms(3) **by** blast
  have 05: i=0 $\longrightarrow$ x2a $\le$ nth (nfilter P xs 0) (Suc i)
    using 00 assms(2) **by** blast
  have 1: i=0 $\longrightarrow$ filter P (suffix x2 xs) = filter P (suffix x2a xs)
   using 00 03 05 assms(1) assms(3) **by** blast
  have 2: i>0 $\longrightarrow$ (nth (nfilter P xs (Suc 0)) (i)) $-$ (Suc 0) = nth (nfilter P xs 0) (i)
  by (*metis assms(3) assms(6) assms(7) less-imp-le-nat nfilter-intlen nfilter-nth-n-zero*)
  have 21: i>0 $\longrightarrow$ nth (nfilter P xs (Suc 0)) $i < $Suc x2a
    using assms(4) **by** auto
  have 22: i>0 $\longrightarrow$ nth (nfilter P xs (Suc 0)) (i) >0
   by (*metis assms(3) assms(6) assms(7) gr-zeroI idx-nfilter-gr less-imp-le-nat*
    nfilter-intlen not-less0)
  have 23: i>0 $\longrightarrow$ nth (nfilter P xs 0) (i) $< $ x2a
  using 2 22 assms(4) **by** linarith
  have 24: i>0 $\longrightarrow$ x2a $\le$ nth (nfilter P xs 0) (Suc i)
   using assms **by** (*metis One-nat-def Suc-leI add-diff-cancel-left' nfilter-intlen*
   nfilter-nth-n-zero plus-1-eq-Suc)
  have 25: i>0 $\longrightarrow$ $i < $ intlen (filter P xs)
   by (*simp add: assms(3)*)
  have 3: i>0 $\longrightarrow$ filter P (suffix (nth (nfilter P xs 0) (Suc i)) xs) = filter P (suffix x2a xs)
   using 23 24 assms(1) assms(3) **by** blast
  have 4: i>0 $\longrightarrow$ (nth (nfilter P xs 0) (Suc i)) = x2
  using assms **by** (*metis One-nat-def Suc-leI add-diff-cancel-left' nfilter-intlen*
  nfilter-nth-n-zero plus-1-eq-Suc)
  from 1 2 3 4 **show** ?thesis **by** auto
qed

lemma filter-suffixes-map-help-j:
assumes $j \le$ nth(nfilter P xs 0) (Suc i)

$i < intlen(filter\ P\ xs)$
  $nth(nfilter\ P\ xs\ 0)\ i < j$
 $\exists\ x \in set\ xs.\ P\ x$
shows $(filter\ P\ (suffix\ (nth(nfilter\ P\ xs\ 0)\ (Suc\ i))\ xs)) = (filter\ P\ \ (suffix\ j\ xs))$
using *assms*
proof (*induct xs arbitrary:j i*)
case (*St x*)
then show *?case* **by** *simp*
next
case (*Cons x1a xs*)
then show *?case*
  proof (*cases* ($\exists\ a \in set\ xs.\ P\ a$))
   show ($\bigwedge j\ i.\ j \le nth\ (nfilter\ P\ xs\ 0)\ (Suc\ i) \Longrightarrow$
        $i < intlen\ (filter\ P\ xs) \Longrightarrow$
        $nth\ (nfilter\ P\ xs\ 0)\ i < j \Longrightarrow$
        $\exists\ a \in set\ xs.\ P\ a \Longrightarrow$
        $filter\ P\ (suffix\ (nth\ (nfilter\ P\ xs\ 0)\ (Suc\ i))\ xs) = filter\ P\ (suffix\ j\ xs)) \Longrightarrow$
       $j \le nth\ (nfilter\ P\ (x1a \odot xs)\ 0)\ (Suc\ i) \Longrightarrow$
       $i < intlen\ (filter\ P\ (x1a \odot xs)) \Longrightarrow$
       $nth\ (nfilter\ P\ (x1a \odot xs)\ 0)\ i < j \Longrightarrow$
       $\exists\ a \in set\ (x1a \odot xs).\ P\ a \Longrightarrow$
       $\exists\ a \in set\ xs.\ P\ a \Longrightarrow$
       $filter\ P\ (suffix\ (nth\ (nfilter\ P\ (x1a \odot xs)\ 0)\ (Suc\ i))\ (x1a \odot xs)) =$
       $filter\ P\ (suffix\ j\ (x1a \odot xs))$
    proof (*cases P x1a*)
     show ($\bigwedge j\ i.\ j \le nth\ (nfilter\ P\ xs\ 0)\ (Suc\ i) \Longrightarrow$
          $i < intlen\ (filter\ P\ xs) \Longrightarrow$
          $nth\ (nfilter\ P\ xs\ 0)\ i < j \Longrightarrow$
          $\exists\ a \in set\ xs.\ P\ a \Longrightarrow$
          $filter\ P\ (suffix\ (nth\ (nfilter\ P\ xs\ 0)\ (Suc\ i))\ xs) = filter\ P\ (suffix\ j\ xs)) \Longrightarrow$
         $j \le nth\ (nfilter\ P\ (x1a \odot xs)\ 0)\ (Suc\ i) \Longrightarrow$
         $i < intlen\ (filter\ P\ (x1a \odot xs)) \Longrightarrow$
         $nth\ (nfilter\ P\ (x1a \odot xs)\ 0)\ i < j \Longrightarrow$
         $\exists\ a \in set\ (x1a \odot xs).\ P\ a \Longrightarrow$
         $\exists\ a \in set\ xs.\ P\ a \Longrightarrow$
         $P\ x1a \Longrightarrow$
         $filter\ P\ (suffix\ (nth\ (nfilter\ P\ (x1a \odot xs)\ 0)\ (Suc\ i))\ (x1a \odot xs)) =$
         $filter\ P\ (suffix\ j\ (x1a \odot xs))$
    using *filter-suffixes-map-help-j-a* **by** (*auto split: nat.split*) *fastforce*
     show ($\bigwedge j\ i.\ j \le nth\ (nfilter\ P\ xs\ 0)\ (Suc\ i) \Longrightarrow$
          $i < intlen\ (filter\ P\ xs) \Longrightarrow$
          $nth\ (nfilter\ P\ xs\ 0)\ i < j \Longrightarrow$
          $\exists\ a \in set\ xs.\ P\ a \Longrightarrow$
          $filter\ P\ (suffix\ (nth\ (nfilter\ P\ xs\ 0)\ (Suc\ i))\ xs) = filter\ P\ (suffix\ j\ xs)) \Longrightarrow$
         $j \le nth\ (nfilter\ P\ (x1a \odot xs)\ 0)\ (Suc\ i) \Longrightarrow$
         $i < intlen\ (filter\ P\ (x1a \odot xs)) \Longrightarrow$
         $nth\ (nfilter\ P\ (x1a \odot xs)\ 0)\ i < j \Longrightarrow$
         $\exists\ a \in set\ (x1a \odot xs).\ P\ a \Longrightarrow$
         $\exists\ a \in set\ xs.\ P\ a \Longrightarrow$
         $\neg\ P\ x1a \Longrightarrow$

738

$$\text{filter } P \; (\text{suffix } (nth \; (nfilter \; P \; (x1a \odot xs) \; 0) \; (Suc \; i)) \; (x1a \odot xs)) =$$
$$\text{filter } P \; (\text{suffix } j \; (x1a \odot xs))$$

    proof (*auto split: nat.split*)

     show $\bigwedge x \; x2 \; x2a.$

        $(\bigwedge j \; i. \; j \le nth \; (nfilter \; P \; xs \; 0) \; (Suc \; i) \implies$

          $i < intlen \; (filter \; P \; xs) \implies$

          $nth \; (nfilter \; P \; xs \; 0) \; i < j \implies$

          $filter \; P \; (suffix \; (nth \; (nfilter \; P \; xs \; 0) \; (Suc \; i)) \; xs) = filter \; P \; (suffix \; j \; xs)) \implies$

        $x2a \le x2 \implies$

        $i < intlen \; (filter \; P \; xs) \implies$

        $nth \; (nfilter \; P \; xs \; (Suc \; 0)) \; i < Suc \; x2a \implies$

        $\neg \; P \; x1a \implies$

        $x \in set \; xs \implies$

        $P \; x \implies$

        $nth \; (nfilter \; P \; xs \; (Suc \; 0)) \; (Suc \; i) = Suc \; x2 \implies$

        $j = Suc \; x2a \implies$

        $filter \; P \; (suffix \; x2 \; xs) = filter \; P \; (suffix \; x2a \; xs)$

       using *filter-suffixes-map-help-j-b*[*of P xs*]

  by *blast*

  qed

  qed

  show $(\bigwedge j \; i. \; j \le nth \; (nfilter \; P \; xs \; 0) \; (Suc \; i) \implies$

      $i < intlen \; (filter \; P \; xs) \implies$

      $nth \; (nfilter \; P \; xs \; 0) \; i < j \implies$

      $\exists \, a \in set \; xs. \; P \; a \implies$

      $filter \; P \; (suffix \; (nth \; (nfilter \; P \; xs \; 0) \; (Suc \; i)) \; xs) = filter \; P \; (suffix \; j \; xs)) \implies$

    $j \le nth \; (nfilter \; P \; (x1a \odot xs) \; 0) \; (Suc \; i) \implies$

    $i < intlen \; (filter \; P \; (x1a \odot xs)) \implies$

    $nth \; (nfilter \; P \; (x1a \odot xs) \; 0) \; i < j \implies$

    $\exists \, a \in set \; (x1a \odot xs). \; P \; a \implies$

    $\neg \; (\exists \, a \in set \; xs. \; P \; a) \implies$

    $filter \; P \; (suffix \; (nth \; (nfilter \; P \; (x1a \odot xs) \; 0) \; (Suc \; i)) \; (x1a \odot xs)) =$

    $filter \; P \; (suffix \; j \; (x1a \odot xs))$

   by *auto*

  qed

qed

lemma *filter-suffixes-map-help-j-aa*:

assumes $j \le nth(nfilter \; P \; (suffixes \; xs) \; 0) \; (Suc \; i)$

    $i < intlen(filter \; P \; (suffixes \; xs))$

     $nth(nfilter \; P \; (suffixes \; xs) \; 0) \; i < j$

   $\exists \; x \in set \; (suffixes \; xs). \; P \; x$

shows $(filter \; P \; (suffixes \; (suffix \; (nth(nfilter \; P \; (suffixes \; xs) \; 0) \; (Suc \; i)) \; xs))) =$

     $(filter \; P \; (suffixes \; (suffix \; j \; xs)))$

proof $-$

 have *1*: $nth(nfilter \; P \; (suffixes \; xs) \; 0) \; (Suc \; i) \le intlen \; (suffixes \; xs)$

  by (*metis Suc-leI add-cancel-right-left assms(2) assms(4) nfilter-intlen nfilter-upper-bound*)

 have *2*: $(suffixes \; (suffix \; (nth(nfilter \; P \; (suffixes \; xs) \; 0) \; (Suc \; i)) \; xs)) =$

     $(suffix \; (nth(nfilter \; P \; (suffixes \; xs) \; 0) \; (Suc \; i)) \; (suffixes \; xs))$

  using *1 suffix-suffixes* **by** *fastforce*

have 3: (suffixes (suffix j xs)) = (suffix j (suffixes xs))
   using 1 assms(1) le-trans suffix-suffixes **by** fastforce
show ?thesis
by (simp add: 2 3 assms(1) assms(2) assms(3) assms(4) filter-suffixes-map-help-j)
qed

lemma filter-suffixes-map:
assumes (Suc i) ≤ intlen (filter f (suffixes σ))
     ∃ x ∈ set(suffixes σ). f x
shows (suffix (Suc i) (map (λs. nth s 0) (filter f (suffixes σ)))) =
    (map (λs. nth s 0)
      (filter f (suffixes (suffix (Suc (nth (nfilter f (suffixes σ) 0) i)) σ)))))
proof −
 have 1: (suffix (Suc i) (map (λs. nth s 0) (filter f (suffixes σ)))) =
    (map (λs. nth s 0) (suffix (Suc i) (filter f (suffixes σ))))
 by (simp add: assms(1) map-suffix)
 have 2: (Suc (nth (nfilter f (suffixes σ) 0) i)) ≤ intlen(suffixes σ)
   by (metis length-filter-le Suc-leD assms(1) assms(2) diff-is-0-eq diff-zero
    filter-nfilter-suffix-1 interval-suffix-length not-less-eq-eq)
 have 3: (filter f (suffixes (suffix (Suc (nth (nfilter f (suffixes σ) 0) i)) σ))) =
    (filter f (suffix (Suc (nth (nfilter f (suffixes σ) 0) i)) (suffixes σ)))

   using 2 suffix-suffixes **by** fastforce
 have 4: (suffix (Suc i) (filter f (suffixes σ))) =
    (filter f (suffix (nth (nfilter f (suffixes σ) 0) (Suc i)) (suffixes σ)))

  by (simp add: assms(1) assms(2) filter-nfilter-suffix-1)
 have 5: (Suc (nth (nfilter f (suffixes σ) 0) i)) ≤ nth(nfilter f (suffixes σ) 0) (Suc i)
  by (simp add: Suc-leI Suc-le-lessD assms(1) assms(2) idx-nfilter-mono nfilter-intlen)
 have 6: i < intlen(filter f (suffixes σ))
  using Suc-le-eq assms(1) **by** blast
 have 7: nth (nfilter f (suffixes σ) 0) i < (Suc (nth (nfilter f (suffixes σ) 0) i))
  by simp
 have 8: (filter f (suffix (nth (nfilter f (suffixes σ) 0) (Suc i)) (suffixes σ))) =
    (filter f (suffix (Suc (nth (nfilter f (suffixes σ) 0) i)) (suffixes σ)))

 using 5 6 7
 filter-suffixes-map-help-j[of (Suc (nth (nfilter f (suffixes σ) 0) i)) f suffixes σ i]
  assms(2) **by** blast
 show ?thesis
 by (simp add: 1 3 4 8)
qed

lemma sfxfilter-suffix-intlen:
 assumes k≤intlen σ
   ∃x ∈ set (suffixes(suffix k σ)). f x
 shows intlen (filter f (suffixes (suffix k σ))) ≤ intlen (filter f (suffixes σ))
using assms
proof (induct k arbitrary: σ)
case 0

then show *?case* **by** *simp*
next
case (*Suc k*)
then show *?case*
  proof (*cases σ*)
  case (*St x1*)
  then show *?thesis*
  by *simp*
  next
  case (*Cons x21 x22*)
  then show *?thesis*
    proof *auto*
    show $\bigwedge y.$
        $σ = x21 \odot x22 \Longrightarrow$
        $f\ (x21 \odot x22) \Longrightarrow$
        osfx y x22 $\Longrightarrow$
        $f\ y \Longrightarrow$
        intlen (*filter f* (*suffixes* (*suffix k x22*))) $\leq$ *Suc* (*intlen* (*filter f* (*suffixes x22*)))
      by (*metis length-filter-le Suc.hyps Suc.prems*(*2*) *interval-suffix-length-code*
        interval-suffix-suc intlen-suffixes le-0-eq le-SucI less-imp-le-nat zero-less-Suc)
    show $σ = x21 \odot x22 \Longrightarrow$
      $f\ (x21 \odot x22) \Longrightarrow$
      $\forall x \in set$ (*suffixes x22*). $\neg f\ x \Longrightarrow$
      intlen (*filter f* (*suffixes* (*suffix k x22*))) $= 0$
        using *Suc.prems osfx-suffix* **by** *fastforce*
    show $\bigwedge y.$ $σ = x21 \odot x22 \Longrightarrow$
      $\neg f\ (x21 \odot x22) \Longrightarrow$
      osfx y x22 $\Longrightarrow$
      $f\ y \Longrightarrow$
      intlen (*filter f* (*suffixes* (*suffix k x22*))) $\leq$ *intlen* (*filter f* (*suffixes x22*))
      by (*metis length-filter-le Suc.hyps Suc.prems*(*2*) *interval-intlen-gr-zero*
        interval-suffix-length-code interval-suffix-suc intlen-suffixes le-0-eq)
    show $σ = x21 \odot x22 \Longrightarrow$
      $\neg f\ (x21 \odot x22) \Longrightarrow$
      $\forall x \in set$ (*suffixes x22*). $\neg f\ x \Longrightarrow$
      intlen (*filter f* (*suffixes* (*suffix k x22*))) $= 0$
    using *Suc.prems osfx-suffix* **by** *fastforce*
    qed
  qed
qed

lemma *sfxfilter-suffix-nth*:
 assumes $k \leq intlen\ σ$
     $\exists x \in set$ (*suffixes*(*suffix k σ*)). $f\ x$
     $j \leq$ *intlen* (*filter f* (*suffixes* (*suffix k σ*)))
 shows (*nth* (*filter f* (*suffixes* (*suffix k σ*))) *j*) $=$
     (*nth* (*suffix* (*intlen*(*filter f* (*suffixes σ*))$-$*intlen*((*filter f* (*suffixes* (*suffix k σ*))))))
       (*filter f* (*suffixes σ*))) *j*)
using *assms*
proof (*induct k arbitrary*: *σ*)

case 0
then show *?case* **by** *simp*
next
case $(Suc\ k)$
then show *?case*
 proof $(cases\ \sigma)$
 case $(St\ x1)$
 then show *?thesis*
 by *simp*
 next
 case $(Cons\ x21\ x22)$
 then show *?thesis*
  proof $(auto\ split{:}\ nat.split)$
  show $\bigwedge y.$
    $\sigma = x21 \odot x22 \Longrightarrow$
    $j = 0 \Longrightarrow$
    $Suc\ (intlen\ (filter\ f\ (suffixes\ x22))) \leq$
    $intlen\ (filter\ f\ (suffixes\ (suffix\ k\ x22))) \Longrightarrow$
    $f\ (x21 \odot x22) \Longrightarrow$
    $osfx\ y\ x22 \Longrightarrow$
    $f\ y \Longrightarrow$
    $nth\ (filter\ f\ (suffixes\ (suffix\ k\ x22)))\ 0 = x21 \odot x22$
    using *Suc.prems not-less-eq-eq sfxfilter-suffix-intlen* **by** *fastforce*
  show $\sigma = x21 \odot x22 \Longrightarrow$
    $j = 0 \Longrightarrow$
    $Suc\ (intlen\ (filter\ f\ (suffixes\ x22))) \leq$
    $intlen\ (filter\ f\ (suffixes\ (suffix\ k\ x22))) \Longrightarrow$
    $f\ (x21 \odot x22) \Longrightarrow$
    $\forall x \in set\ (suffixes\ x22).\ \neg\ f\ x \Longrightarrow$
    $nth\ (filter\ f\ (suffixes\ (suffix\ k\ x22)))\ 0 = x21 \odot x22$
    using *Suc.prems osfx-order.order.trans* **by** *fastforce*
 show $\bigwedge y.$
    $\sigma = x21 \odot x22 \Longrightarrow$
    $j = 0 \Longrightarrow$
    $Suc\ (intlen\ (filter\ f\ (suffixes\ x22))) \leq$
    $intlen\ (filter\ f\ (suffixes\ (suffix\ k\ x22))) \Longrightarrow$
    $\neg\ f\ (x21 \odot x22) \Longrightarrow$
    $osfx\ y\ x22 \Longrightarrow$
    $f\ y \Longrightarrow$
    $nth\ (filter\ f\ (suffixes\ (suffix\ k\ x22)))\ 0 = nth\ (filter\ f\ (suffixes\ x22))\ 0$
    using *Suc.hyps Suc.prems* **by** *auto*
  show $\sigma = x21 \odot x22 \Longrightarrow$
    $j = 0 \Longrightarrow$
    $Suc\ (intlen\ (filter\ f\ (suffixes\ x22))) \leq$
    $intlen\ (filter\ f\ (suffixes\ (suffix\ k\ x22))) \Longrightarrow$
    $\neg\ f\ (x21 \odot x22) \Longrightarrow$
    $\forall x \in set\ (suffixes\ x22).\ \neg\ f\ x \Longrightarrow$
    $nth\ (filter\ f\ (suffixes\ (suffix\ k\ x22)))\ 0 = x21 \odot x22$
    using *Suc.prems osfx-order.order.trans* **by** *fastforce*
  show $\bigwedge x2\ y.$

742

$\sigma = x21 \odot x22 \implies$

$j = 0 \implies$

$Suc\ (intlen\ (filter\ f\ (suffixes\ x22))) - intlen\ (filter\ f\ (suffixes\ (suffix\ k\ x22))) =$

$Suc\ x2 \implies$

$f\ (x21 \odot x22) \implies$

$osfx\ y\ x22 \implies$

$f\ y \implies$

$nth\ (filter\ f\ (suffixes\ (suffix\ k\ x22)))\ 0 = nth\ (filter\ f\ (suffixes\ x22))\ x2$

  using *Suc.hyps Suc.prems*

  by (*metis Suc-diff-diff Suc-le-mono add.right-neutral diff-zero interval-nth-suffix*
    interval-suffix-suc intlen.simps(2) le0 plus-1-eq-Suc)

show $\bigwedge x2.$

  $\sigma = x21 \odot x22 \implies$

  $j = 0 \implies$

  $Suc\ (intlen\ (filter\ f\ (suffixes\ x22))) - intlen\ (filter\ f\ (suffixes\ (suffix\ k\ x22))) =$

  $Suc\ x2 \implies$

  $f\ (x21 \odot x22) \implies$

  $\forall x \in set\ (suffixes\ x22).\ \neg\ f\ x \implies$

  $nth\ (filter\ f\ (suffixes\ (suffix\ k\ x22)))\ 0 = x21 \odot x22$

using *Suc.prems osfx-suffix* **by** *fastforce*

show $\bigwedge x2\ y.$

  $\sigma = x21 \odot x22 \implies$

  $j = 0 \implies$

  $Suc\ (intlen\ (filter\ f\ (suffixes\ x22))) - intlen\ (filter\ f\ (suffixes\ (suffix\ k\ x22))) =$

  $Suc\ x2 \implies$

  $\neg\ f\ (x21 \odot x22) \implies$

  $osfx\ y\ x22 \implies$

  $f\ y \implies$

  $nth\ (filter\ f\ (suffixes\ (suffix\ k\ x22)))\ 0 =$

  $nth\ (filter\ f\ (suffixes\ x22))$

  $(intlen\ (filter\ f\ (suffixes\ x22)) - intlen\ (filter\ f\ (suffixes\ (suffix\ k\ x22))))$

  using *Suc.hyps Suc.prems* **by** *auto*

show $\bigwedge x2.$

  $\sigma = x21 \odot x22 \implies$

  $j = 0 \implies$

  $Suc\ (intlen\ (filter\ f\ (suffixes\ x22))) - intlen\ (filter\ f\ (suffixes\ (suffix\ k\ x22))) =$

  $Suc\ x2 \implies$

  $\neg\ f\ (x21 \odot x22) \implies$

  $\forall x \in set\ (suffixes\ x22).\ \neg\ f\ x \implies$

  $nth\ (filter\ f\ (suffixes\ (suffix\ k\ x22)))\ 0 = x21 \odot x22$

  using *Suc.prems osfx-suffix* **by** *fastforce*

show $\bigwedge x2\ y.$

  $\sigma = x21 \odot x22 \implies$

  $j = Suc\ x2 \implies$

  $Suc\ (intlen\ (filter\ f\ (suffixes\ x22))) \leq$

  $intlen\ (filter\ f\ (suffixes\ (suffix\ k\ x22))) \implies$

  $f\ (x21 \odot x22) \implies$

  $osfx\ y\ x22 \implies$

  $f\ y \implies$

  $nth\ (filter\ f\ (suffixes\ (suffix\ k\ x22)))\ (Suc\ x2) = nth\ (filter\ f\ (suffixes\ x22))\ x2$

using *Suc.prems not-less-eq-eq sfxfilter-suffix-intlen* **by** *fastforce*

show $\bigwedge$*x2.*

$\sigma = x21 \odot x22 \Longrightarrow$

$j = Suc\ x2 \Longrightarrow$

Suc (*intlen* (*filter f* (*suffixes x22*))) $\leq$

intlen (*filter f* (*suffixes* (*suffix k x22*))) $\Longrightarrow$

f (*x21* $\odot$ *x22*) $\Longrightarrow$

$\forall x \in set$ (*suffixes x22*). $\neg\ f\ x \Longrightarrow$

nth (*filter f* (*suffixes* (*suffix k x22*))) (*Suc x2*) = *x21* $\odot$ *x22*

using *Suc.prems osfx-order.order.trans* **by** *fastforce*

show $\bigwedge$*x2 y.*

$\sigma = x21 \odot x22 \Longrightarrow$

$j = Suc\ x2 \Longrightarrow$

Suc (*intlen* (*filter f* (*suffixes x22*))) $\leq$

intlen (*filter f* (*suffixes* (*suffix k x22*))) $\Longrightarrow$

$\neg\ f$ (*x21* $\odot$ *x22*) $\Longrightarrow$

osfx y x22 $\Longrightarrow$

f y $\Longrightarrow$

nth (*filter f* (*suffixes* (*suffix k x22*))) (*Suc x2*) =

nth (*filter f* (*suffixes x22*)) (*Suc x2*)

using *Suc.prems not-less-eq-eq sfxfilter-suffix-intlen* **by** *fastforce*

show $\bigwedge$*x2.*

$\sigma = x21 \odot x22 \Longrightarrow$

$j = Suc\ x2 \Longrightarrow$

Suc (*intlen* (*filter f* (*suffixes x22*))) $\leq$

intlen (*filter f* (*suffixes* (*suffix k x22*))) $\Longrightarrow$

$\neg\ f$ (*x21* $\odot$ *x22*) $\Longrightarrow \forall x \in set$ (*suffixes x22*). $\neg\ f\ x \Longrightarrow$

nth (*filter f* (*suffixes* (*suffix k x22*))) (*Suc x2*) = *x21* $\odot$ *x22*

using *Suc.prems osfx-order.order.trans* **by** *fastforce*

show $\bigwedge$*x2 x2a y.*

$\sigma = x21 \odot x22 \Longrightarrow$

$j = Suc\ x2 \Longrightarrow$

Suc (*intlen* (*filter f* (*suffixes x22*))) $-$ *intlen* (*filter f* (*suffixes* (*suffix k x22*))) =

Suc x2a $\Longrightarrow$

f (*x21* $\odot$ *x22*) $\Longrightarrow$

osfx y x22 $\Longrightarrow$

f y $\Longrightarrow$

nth (*filter f* (*suffixes* (*suffix k x22*))) (*Suc x2*) =

nth (*suffix x2a* (*filter f* (*suffixes x22*))) (*Suc x2*)

using *Suc.hyps Suc.prems*

by (*metis Suc-diff-diff diff-zero interval-suffix-suc intlen.simps(2) not-less-eq-eq*

plus-1-eq-Suc)

show $\bigwedge$*x2 x2a.*

$\sigma = x21 \odot x22 \Longrightarrow$

$j = Suc\ x2 \Longrightarrow$

Suc (*intlen* (*filter f* (*suffixes x22*))) $-$ *intlen* (*filter f* (*suffixes* (*suffix k x22*))) =

Suc x2a $\Longrightarrow$

f (*x21* $\odot$ *x22*) $\Longrightarrow$

$\forall x \in set$ (*suffixes x22*). $\neg\ f\ x \Longrightarrow$

nth (*filter f* (*suffixes* (*suffix k x22*))) (*Suc x2*) = *x21* $\odot$ *x22*

using *Suc.prems osfx-suffix* **by** *fastforce*
show $\bigwedge$*x2 x2a y.*
$\sigma = x21 \odot x22 \implies$
$j = Suc\ x2 \implies$
Suc (intlen (filter f (suffixes x22))) − intlen (filter f (suffixes (suffix k x22))) =
Suc x2a $\implies$
$\neg f\ (x21 \odot x22) \implies$
osfx y x22 $\implies$
$f\ y \implies$
nth (filter f (suffixes (suffix k x22))) (Suc x2) =
nth (suffix (intlen (filter f (suffixes x22)) −
intlen (filter f (suffixes (suffix k x22))))
(filter f (suffixes x22)))
(Suc x2)
using *Suc.hyps Suc.prems* **by** *auto*
show $\bigwedge$*x2 x2a.*
$\sigma = x21 \odot x22 \implies$
$j = Suc\ x2 \implies$
Suc (intlen (filter f (suffixes x22))) −
intlen (filter f (suffixes (suffix k x22))) = Suc x2a $\implies$
$\neg f\ (x21 \odot x22) \implies$
$\forall x \in set\ (suffixes\ x22).\ \neg f\ x \implies$
nth (filter f (suffixes (suffix k x22))) (Suc x2) = x21 $\odot$ x22
using *Suc.prems osfx-suffix* **by** *fastforce*
qed
qed
qed

lemma *sfxfilter-suffix-suffix*:
assumes $k \leq intlen\ \sigma$
$\exists x \in set\ (suffixes(suffix\ k\ \sigma)).\ f\ x$
shows *(filter f (suffixes (suffix k σ))) =*
(suffix (intlen(filter f (suffixes σ))−intlen((filter f (suffixes (suffix k σ))))))
(filter f (suffixes σ)))
by (*simp add*: *assms(1) assms(2) interval-eq-nth-eq sfxfilter-suffix-intlen sfxfilter-suffix-nth*)

lemma *sfxfilter-suffix-suffix-a*:
assumes $jj \leq intlen\ (filter\ f\ (suffixes\ xs))$
$\exists x \in set\ (suffixes\ xs).\ f\ x$
shows *(suffix jj (filter f (suffixes xs))) =*
filter f (suffixes (suffix ((intlen xs) − intlen (nth (filter f (suffixes xs)) jj)) xs))

proof −
have *1*: *(suffix jj (filter f (suffixes xs))) =*
filter f (suffix (nth (nfilter f (suffixes xs) 0) jj) (suffixes xs))
using *filter-nfilter-suffix-1*
by (*simp add*: *filter-nfilter-suffix-1 assms(1) assms(2)*)
have *2*: *(nth (nfilter f (suffixes xs) 0) jj) $\leq$ intlen (suffixes xs)*
by (*metis add-cancel-right-left assms(1) assms(2) nfilter-intlen nfilter-upper-bound*)
have *3*: *(suffix (nth (nfilter f (suffixes xs) 0) jj) (suffixes xs)) =*

745

$(suffixes\ (suffix\ (nth\ (nfilter\ f\ (suffixes\ xs)\ 0)\ jj)\ xs))$
using $suffix\text{-}suffixes[of\ (nth\ (nfilter\ f\ (suffixes\ xs)\ 0)\ jj)\ xs\ ]\ 2$ **by** $blast$
have 4: $(nth\ (filter\ f\ (suffixes\ xs))\ jj) = nth\ (suffixes\ xs)\ (nth\ (nfilter\ f\ (suffixes\ xs)\ 0)\ jj)$
  by $(metis\ nfilter\text{-}map\text{-}filter\ assms(2)\ interval\text{-}nth\text{-}map)$
have 5: $intlen(suffixes\ xs) \leq\ intlen\ (xs)$
  by $simp$
have 6: $(nth\ (nfilter\ f\ (suffixes\ xs)\ 0)\ jj) \leq intlen\ (xs)$
 using $2\ 5\ le\text{-}trans$ **by** $blast$
have 7: $nth\ (suffixes\ xs)\ (nth\ (nfilter\ f\ (suffixes\ xs)\ 0)\ jj) =$
      $(suffix\ (nth\ (nfilter\ f\ (suffixes\ xs)\ 0)\ jj)\ xs)$
  by $(simp\ add\colon 6\ nth\text{-}suffixes)$
have 8: $(nth\ (nfilter\ f\ (suffixes\ xs)\ 0)\ jj) =$
      $((intlen\ xs) - intlen\ (nth\ (filter\ f\ (suffixes\ xs))\ jj))$
  by $(simp\ add\colon 4\ 6\ 7)$
show $?thesis$
using $1\ 3\ 8$ **by** $auto$
qed

lemma $sfx\text{-}suffix\text{-}upperbound$:
$(\forall\ jj<(intlen(filter\ f\ (suffixes\ \sigma)) - intlen\ (filter\ f\ (suffixes\ (suffix\ k\ \sigma)))).$
       $((intlen\ \sigma) - intlen\ (nth\ (filter\ f\ (suffixes\ \sigma))\ jj)) < k$
       $)$
proof
fix jj
show $jj < intlen\ (filter\ f\ (suffixes\ \sigma)) - intlen\ (filter\ f\ (suffixes\ (suffix\ k\ \sigma))) \longrightarrow$
      $intlen\ \sigma - intlen\ (nth\ (filter\ f\ (suffixes\ \sigma))\ jj) < k$
proof $(induct\ k\ arbitrary\colon \sigma\ jj)$
  show $\bigwedge \sigma\ jj.$
    $jj < intlen\ (filter\ f\ (suffixes\ \sigma)) - intlen\ (filter\ f\ (suffixes\ (suffix\ 0\ \sigma))) \longrightarrow$
    $intlen\ \sigma - intlen\ (nth\ (filter\ f\ (suffixes\ \sigma))\ jj) < 0$
   by $simp$
  show $\bigwedge k\ \sigma\ jj.$
    $(\bigwedge \sigma\ jj.$
      $jj < intlen\ (filter\ f\ (suffixes\ \sigma)) - intlen\ (filter\ f\ (suffixes\ (suffix\ k\ \sigma))) \longrightarrow$
      $intlen\ \sigma - intlen\ (nth\ (filter\ f\ (suffixes\ \sigma))\ jj) < k) \Longrightarrow$
    $jj < intlen\ (filter\ f\ (suffixes\ \sigma)) - intlen\ (filter\ f\ (suffixes\ (suffix\ (Suc\ k)\ \sigma))) \longrightarrow$
    $intlen\ \sigma - intlen\ (nth\ (filter\ f\ (suffixes\ \sigma))\ jj) < Suc\ k$
  proof $(case\text{-}tac\ \sigma)$
   show $\bigwedge k\ \sigma\ jj\ x1.$
   $(\bigwedge \sigma\ jj.$
     $jj < intlen\ (filter\ f\ (suffixes\ \sigma)) - intlen\ (filter\ f\ (suffixes\ (suffix\ k\ \sigma))) \longrightarrow$
     $intlen\ \sigma - intlen\ (nth\ (filter\ f\ (suffixes\ \sigma))\ jj) < k) \Longrightarrow$
   $\sigma = \langle x1 \rangle \Longrightarrow$
   $jj < intlen\ (filter\ f\ (suffixes\ \sigma)) - intlen\ (filter\ f\ (suffixes\ (suffix\ (Suc\ k)\ \sigma))) \longrightarrow$
   $intlen\ \sigma - intlen\ (nth\ (filter\ f\ (suffixes\ \sigma))\ jj) < Suc\ k$
    by $simp$
   show $\bigwedge k\ \sigma\ jj\ x21\ x22.$
   $(\bigwedge \sigma\ jj.$
     $jj < intlen\ (filter\ f\ (suffixes\ \sigma)) - intlen\ (filter\ f\ (suffixes\ (suffix\ k\ \sigma))) \longrightarrow$
     $intlen\ \sigma - intlen\ (nth\ (filter\ f\ (suffixes\ \sigma))\ jj) < k) \Longrightarrow$

$\sigma = x21 \odot x22 \Longrightarrow$
$jj < intlen\ (filter\ f\ (suffixes\ \sigma)) - intlen\ (filter\ f\ (suffixes\ (suffix\ (Suc\ k)\ \sigma))) \longrightarrow$
$intlen\ \sigma - intlen\ (nth\ (filter\ f\ (suffixes\ \sigma))\ jj) < Suc\ k$
  proof (*case-tac jj*)
  show $\bigwedge k\ \sigma\ jj\ x21\ x22.$
$(\bigwedge \sigma\ jj.$
    $jj < intlen\ (filter\ f\ (suffixes\ \sigma)) - intlen\ (filter\ f\ (suffixes\ (suffix\ k\ \sigma))) \longrightarrow$
    $intlen\ \sigma - intlen\ (nth\ (filter\ f\ (suffixes\ \sigma))\ jj) < k) \Longrightarrow$
$\sigma = x21 \odot x22 \Longrightarrow$
$jj = 0 \Longrightarrow$
$jj < intlen\ (filter\ f\ (suffixes\ \sigma)) - intlen\ (filter\ f\ (suffixes\ (suffix\ (Suc\ k)\ \sigma))) \longrightarrow$
$intlen\ \sigma - intlen\ (nth\ (filter\ f\ (suffixes\ \sigma))\ jj) < Suc\ k$
      by *auto*
        (*metis Suc-diff-le Suc-mono in-set-suffixes interval-intlen-gr-zero*
         sfxfilter-nth-bound zero-less-diff)
   show $\bigwedge k\ \sigma\ jj\ x21\ x22\ nat.$
$(\bigwedge \sigma\ jj.$
    $jj < intlen\ (filter\ f\ (suffixes\ \sigma)) - intlen\ (filter\ f\ (suffixes\ (suffix\ k\ \sigma))) \longrightarrow$
    $intlen\ \sigma - intlen\ (nth\ (filter\ f\ (suffixes\ \sigma))\ jj) < k) \Longrightarrow$
$\sigma = x21 \odot x22 \Longrightarrow$
$jj = Suc\ nat \Longrightarrow$
$jj < intlen\ (filter\ f\ (suffixes\ \sigma)) - intlen\ (filter\ f\ (suffixes\ (suffix\ (Suc\ k)\ \sigma))) \longrightarrow$
$intlen\ \sigma - intlen\ (nth\ (filter\ f\ (suffixes\ \sigma))\ jj) < Suc\ k$
   using *Suc-diff-le less-Suc-eq-0-disj* **by** *auto*
  qed
  qed
  qed
qed

end

21   Until and Since operator

theory *UntilSince*
imports *Semantics Fuse Theorems TimeReversal*
begin

This theory introduces the weak and strong versions of the until and since operators. The theorems from [11] are proven in a mostly deductive style.

21.1   Definitions

definition *until-d* :: ($'a$ :: *world*) *formula* $\Rightarrow$ $'a$ *formula* $\Rightarrow$ $'a$ *formula*
 where *until-d F G* $\equiv \lambda s.$ (($\exists\ k \leq intlen\ s.$ (($suffix\ k\ s$) $\models G$) $\wedge$
                    ($\forall j.\ j < k \longrightarrow$ (($suffix\ j\ s$) $\models F$))))

definition *suntil-d* :: ($'a$ :: *world*) *formula* $\Rightarrow$ $'a$ *formula* $\Rightarrow$ $'a$ *formula*
 where *suntil-d F G* $\equiv \lambda s.$ ($intlen\ s > 0 \wedge$ ($\exists\ k.\ 0 < k \wedge k \leq intlen\ s \wedge$ (($suffix\ k\ s$) $\models G$) $\wedge$

$$(\forall j.\ 0{<}j \wedge j < k \longrightarrow\ ((\textit{suffix } j\ s\ ) \models F))))$$

definition *since-d* :: $('a :: \textit{world})$ *formula* $\Rightarrow$ $'a$ *formula* $\Rightarrow$ $'a$ *formula*
 where *since-d F G* $\equiv \lambda s.\ (\ (\exists\ k \leq \textit{intlen } s.\ (\ (\textit{prefix } k\ s) \models G)\ \wedge$
$$(\forall\ j.\ k{<}\ j\ \wedge j \leq \textit{intlen } s \longrightarrow ((\textit{prefix } j\ s) \models F))))$$

definition *ssince-d* :: $('a :: \textit{world})$ *formula* $\Rightarrow$ $'a$ *formula* $\Rightarrow$ $'a$ *formula*
 where *ssince-d F G* $\equiv \lambda s.\ (\ \textit{intlen } s > 0 \wedge (\exists\ k\ .\ \ k < \textit{intlen } s \wedge (\ (\textit{prefix } k\ s) \models G)\ \wedge$
$$(\forall\ j.\ k{<}\ j \wedge j < \textit{intlen } s \longrightarrow ((\textit{prefix } j\ s) \models F))))$$

syntax
 -until-d :: $[\textit{lift},\textit{lift}] \Rightarrow \textit{lift}$ $\quad\quad ((-\ \mathcal{U}\ -)\ [84,84]\ 83)$
 -since-d :: $[\textit{lift},\textit{lift}] \Rightarrow \textit{lift}$ $\quad\quad ((-\ \mathcal{S}\ -)\ [84,84]\ 83)$
 -suntil-d :: $[\textit{lift},\textit{lift}] \Rightarrow \textit{lift}$ $\quad\quad ((-\ \mathcal{U}^s\ -)\ [84,84]\ 83)$
 -ssince-d :: $[\textit{lift},\textit{lift}] \Rightarrow \textit{lift}$ $\quad\quad ((-\ \mathcal{S}^s\ -)\ [84,84]\ 83)$

syntax (*ASCII*)
 -until-d :: $[\textit{lift},\textit{lift}] \Rightarrow \textit{lift}$ $\quad\quad ((-\ \textit{until}\ -)\ [84,84]\ 83)$
 -since-d :: $[\textit{lift},\textit{lift}] \Rightarrow \textit{lift}$ $\quad\quad ((-\ \textit{since}\ -)\ [84,84]\ 83)$
 -suntil-d :: $[\textit{lift},\textit{lift}] \Rightarrow \textit{lift}$ $\quad\quad ((-\ \textit{suntil}\ -)\ [84,84]\ 83)$
 -ssince-d :: $[\textit{lift},\textit{lift}] \Rightarrow \textit{lift}$ $\quad\quad ((-\ \textit{ssince}\ -)\ [84,84]\ 83)$

translations
 -until-d $\rightleftharpoons$ *CONST until-d*
 -since-d $\rightleftharpoons$ *CONST since-d*
 -suntil-d $\rightleftharpoons$ *CONST suntil-d*
 -ssince-d $\rightleftharpoons$ *CONST ssince-d*

definition *wait-d* :: $('a :: \textit{world})$ *formula* $\Rightarrow$ $'a$ *formula* $\Rightarrow$ $'a$ *formula*
 where *wait-d F G* $\equiv \textit{LIFT}(\Box\ F \vee F\ \mathcal{U}\ G)$

definition *pwait-d* :: $('a :: \textit{world})$ *formula* $\Rightarrow$ $'a$ *formula* $\Rightarrow$ $'a$ *formula*
 where *pwait-d F G* $\equiv \textit{LIFT}(\textit{bi } F \vee F\ \mathcal{S}\ G)$

definition *release-d* :: $('a :: \textit{world})$ *formula* $\Rightarrow$ $'a$ *formula* $\Rightarrow$ $'a$ *formula*
 where *release-d F G* $\equiv \textit{LIFT}(\neg((\neg\ F)\ \mathcal{U}\ (\neg\ G)))$

definition *prelease-d* :: $('a :: \textit{world})$ *formula* $\Rightarrow$ $'a$ *formula* $\Rightarrow$ $'a$ *formula*
 where *prelease-d F G* $\equiv \textit{LIFT}(\neg((\neg\ F)\ \mathcal{S}\ (\neg\ G)))$

syntax
 -wait-d :: $[\textit{lift},\textit{lift}] \Rightarrow \textit{lift}$ $\quad\quad ((-\ \mathcal{W}\ -)\ [84,84]\ 83)$
 -pwait-d :: $[\textit{lift},\textit{lift}] \Rightarrow \textit{lift}$ $\quad\quad ((-\ \mathcal{PW}\ -)\ [84,84]\ 83)$
 -release-d :: $[\textit{lift},\textit{lift}] \Rightarrow \textit{lift}$ $\quad\quad ((-\ \mathcal{R}\ -)\ [84,84]\ 83)$

-prelease-d :: [*lift*,*lift*] ⇒ *lift*   ((- $\mathcal{PR}$ -) [*84*,*84*] *83*)

syntax (*ASCII*)

-wait-d	:: [*lift*,*lift*] ⇒ *lift*	((- *wait* -) [*84*,*84*] *83*)
-pwait-d	:: [*lift*,*lift*] ⇒ *lift*	((- *pwait* -) [*84*,*84*] *83*)
-release-d	:: [*lift*,*lift*] ⇒ *lift*	((- *release* -) [*84*,*84*] *83*)
-prelease-d	:: [*lift*,*lift*] ⇒ *lift*	((- *prelease* -) [*84*,*84*] *83*)

translations

-wait-d	⇌ CONST *wait-d*
-pwait-d	⇌ CONST *pwait-d*
-release-d	⇌ CONST *release-d*
-prelease-d	⇌ CONST *prelease-d*

definition *srelease-d* ::   (′*a* :: *world*) *formula* ⇒ ′*a formula* ⇒ ′*a formula*
  where *srelease-d F G* ≡ *LIFT*(¬((¬ *F*) $\mathcal{W}$ (¬ *G*)))

definition *psrelease-d* ::   (′*a* :: *world*) *formula* ⇒ ′*a formula* ⇒ ′*a formula*
  where *psrelease-d F G* ≡ *LIFT*(¬((¬ *F*) $\mathcal{PW}$ (¬ *G*)))

syntax

-srelease-d	:: [*lift*,*lift*] ⇒ *lift*	((- $\mathcal{M}$ -) [*84*,*84*] *83*)
-psrelease-d	:: [*lift*,*lift*] ⇒ *lift*	((- $\mathcal{PM}$ -) [*84*,*84*] *83*)

syntax (*ASCII*)

-srelease-d	:: [*lift*,*lift*] ⇒ *lift*	((- *srelease* -) [*84*,*84*] *83*)
-psrelease-d	:: [*lift*,*lift*] ⇒ *lift*	((- *psrelease* -) [*84*,*84*] *83*)

translations

-srelease-d	⇌ CONST *srelease-d*
-psrelease-d	⇌ CONST *psrelease-d*

21.2   Semantic Lemmas

lemma *SUntilNextUntilsema*:
 assumes $\sigma \models f \; \mathcal{U}^s \; g$
 shows $\sigma \models \bigcirc (f \; \mathcal{U} \; g)$
proof −
 have *1*: $\sigma \models f \; \mathcal{U}^s \; g$
   using *assms* **by** *auto*
 have *2*: $0 < intlen \; \sigma \; \wedge$
       $(\exists k{>}0. \; k \leq intlen \; \sigma \wedge g \; (suffix \; k \; \sigma) \wedge (\forall j. \; 0 < j \wedge j < k \longrightarrow f \; (suffix \; j \; \sigma)))$
   using *1* **by** (*simp add*: *suntil-d-def*)
 have *3*: $(\exists k{>}0. \; k \leq intlen \; \sigma \wedge g \; (suffix \; k \; \sigma) \wedge (\forall j. \; 0 < j \wedge j < k \longrightarrow f \; (suffix \; j \; \sigma)))$
   using *2* **by** *auto*

obtain k **where** 4: $(Suc\ k) > 0 \land (Suc\ k) \leq intlen\ \sigma \land g\ (suffix\ (Suc\ k)\ \sigma) \land$
$\quad (\forall j.\ 0 < j \land j < (Suc\ k) \longrightarrow f\ (suffix\ j\ \sigma))$
$\quad$ **using** 3 **by** (*metis Suc-pred*)
have 5: $k \leq intlen\ (suffix\ (Suc\ 0)\ \sigma)$
$\quad$ **using** 4 **by** *auto*
have 6: $g\ (suffix\ (Suc\ k)\ \sigma)$
$\quad$ **using** 4 **by** *auto*
have 7: $(\forall j < k.\ f\ (suffix\ (Suc\ j)\ \sigma))$
$\quad$ **using** 4 **by** *blast*
have 8: $(\exists k \leq intlen\ (suffix\ (Suc\ 0)\ \sigma).\ g\ (suffix\ (Suc\ k)\ \sigma) \land (\forall j < k.\ f\ (suffix\ (Suc\ j)\ \sigma)))$
$\quad$ **using** $4\ 5$ **by** *blast*
have 9: $0 < intlen\ \sigma\ \land$
$\quad\quad (\exists k \leq intlen\ (suffix\ (Suc\ 0)\ \sigma).\ g\ (suffix\ (Suc\ k)\ \sigma) \land (\forall j < k.\ f\ (suffix\ (Suc\ j)\ \sigma)))$
$\quad\quad$ **using** $2\ 8$ **by** *blast*
from 9 **show** $?thesis$ **by** (*auto simp add*: *next-defs until-d-def*)
qed

lemma *SUntilNextUntilsemb*:
$\quad$ **assumes** $\sigma \models \bigcirc\ (f\ \mathcal{U}\ g)$
$\quad$ **shows** $\quad \sigma \models f\ \mathcal{U}^s\ g$
proof $-$
$\quad$ **have** 1: $0 < intlen\ \sigma\ \land$
$\quad\quad (\exists k \leq intlen\ (suffix\ (Suc\ 0)\ \sigma).\ g\ (suffix\ (Suc\ k)\ \sigma) \land (\forall j < k.\ f\ (suffix\ (Suc\ j)\ \sigma)))$
$\quad\quad$ **using** *assms* **by** (*auto simp add*: *next-defs until-d-def*)
$\quad$ **have** 2: $(\exists k \leq intlen\ (suffix\ (Suc\ 0)\ \sigma).\ g\ (suffix\ (Suc\ k)\ \sigma) \land (\forall j < k.\ f\ (suffix\ (Suc\ j)\ \sigma)))$
$\quad\quad$ **using** 1 **by** *auto*
$\quad$ **obtain** k **where** 3: $k \leq intlen\ (suffix\ (Suc\ 0)\ \sigma) \land g\ (suffix\ (Suc\ k)\ \sigma) \land$
$\quad\quad (\forall j < k.\ f\ (suffix\ (Suc\ j)\ \sigma))$
$\quad\quad$ **using** 2 **by** *auto*
$\quad$ **have** 4: $(Suc\ k) > 0$
$\quad$ **by** *simp*
$\quad$ **have** 5: $g\ (suffix\ (Suc\ k)\ \sigma)$
$\quad\quad$ **using** 3 **by** *auto*
$\quad$ **have** 6: $(Suc\ k) \leq intlen\ \sigma$
$\quad\quad$ **using** $1\ 3$ **by** *auto*
$\quad$ **have** 7: $(\forall j.\ 0 < j \land j < (Suc\ k) \longrightarrow f\ (suffix\ j\ \sigma))$
$\quad\quad$ **using** 3 *less-Suc-eq-0-disj* **by** *auto*
$\quad$ **have** 8: $(\exists k > 0.\ k \leq intlen\ \sigma \land g\ (suffix\ k\ \sigma) \land (\forall j.\ 0 < j \land j < k \longrightarrow f\ (suffix\ j\ \sigma)))$
$\quad\quad$ **using** $3\ 6\ 7$ **by** *blast*
$\quad$ **have** 9: $0 < intlen\ \sigma\ \land$
$\quad\quad (\exists k > 0.\ k \leq intlen\ \sigma \land g\ (suffix\ k\ \sigma) \land (\forall j.\ 0 < j \land j < k \longrightarrow f\ (suffix\ j\ \sigma)))$
$\quad\quad$ **using** $1\ 8$ **by** *blast*
$\quad$ **from** 9 **show** $?thesis$ **by** (*simp add*: *suntil-d-def*)
qed

lemma *SUntilNextUntilsem*:
$\sigma \models f\ \mathcal{U}^s\ g = \bigcirc\ (f\ \mathcal{U}\ g)$
using *SUntilNextUntilsema SUntilNextUntilsemb unl-lift2* **by** *blast*

lemma *SSincePrevSincesema*:
 assumes $\sigma \models f\ \mathcal{S}^s\ g$
 shows $\sigma \models prev\ (f\ \mathcal{S}\ g)$
proof $-$
 have *1*: $0 < intlen\ \sigma\ \wedge$
        $(\exists\, k{<}intlen\ \sigma.\ g\ (prefix\ k\ \sigma) \wedge (\forall j.\ k < j \wedge j < intlen\ \sigma \longrightarrow f\ (prefix\ j\ \sigma)))$
   using *assms* **by** (*simp add*: *ssince-d-def*)
 have *2*: $(\exists\, k{<}intlen\ \sigma.\ g\ (prefix\ k\ \sigma) \wedge (\forall j.\ k < j \wedge j < intlen\ \sigma \longrightarrow f\ (prefix\ j\ \sigma)))$
   using *1* **by** *auto*
 obtain *k* **where** *3*: $k{<}intlen\ \sigma \wedge g\ (prefix\ k\ \sigma) \wedge (\forall j.\ k < j \wedge j < intlen\ \sigma \longrightarrow f\ (prefix\ j\ \sigma))$
   using *2* **by** *auto*
  have *4*: $k{\leq}intlen\ \sigma - Suc\ 0$
   using *3* **by** *linarith*
  have *5*: $g\ (prefix\ k\ (prefix\ (intlen\ \sigma - Suc\ 0)\ \sigma))$
   by (*simp add*: *1 3 interval-pref-pref-help*)
  have *6*: $(\forall j.\ k < j \wedge j \leq intlen\ \sigma - Suc\ 0 \longrightarrow f\ (prefix\ j\ (prefix\ (intlen\ \sigma - Suc\ 0)\ \sigma)))$
   using *3 interval-pref-pref-help* **by** *fastforce*
  have *7*: $(\exists\, k{\leq}intlen\ \sigma - Suc\ 0.$
     $g\ (prefix\ k\ (prefix\ (intlen\ \sigma - Suc\ 0)\ \sigma)) \wedge$
   $(\forall j.\ k < j \wedge j \leq intlen\ \sigma - Suc\ 0 \longrightarrow f\ (prefix\ j\ (prefix\ (intlen\ \sigma - Suc\ 0)\ \sigma))))$
   using *4 5 6* **by** *blast*
  have *8*: $0 < intlen\ \sigma\ \wedge$
   $(\exists\, k{\leq}intlen\ \sigma - Suc\ 0.$
     $g\ (prefix\ k\ (prefix\ (intlen\ \sigma - Suc\ 0)\ \sigma)) \wedge$
   $(\forall j.\ k < j \wedge j \leq intlen\ \sigma - Suc\ 0 \longrightarrow f\ (prefix\ j\ (prefix\ (intlen\ \sigma - Suc\ 0)\ \sigma))))$
   using *1 7* **by** *blast*
 from *8* **show** *?thesis* **by** (*simp add*: *min.absorb1 prev-defs since-d-def*)
qed

lemma *SSincePrevSincesemb*:
 assumes $\sigma \models prev\ (f\ \mathcal{S}\ g)$
 shows $\sigma \models f\ \mathcal{S}^s\ g$
proof $-$
 have *1*: $0 < intlen\ \sigma\ \wedge$
   $(\exists\, k{\leq}intlen\ \sigma - Suc\ 0.$
     $g\ (prefix\ k\ (prefix\ (intlen\ \sigma - Suc\ 0)\ \sigma)) \wedge$
   $(\forall j.\ k < j \wedge j \leq intlen\ \sigma - Suc\ 0 \longrightarrow f\ (prefix\ j\ (prefix\ (intlen\ \sigma - Suc\ 0)\ \sigma))))$
  using *assms* **by** (*simp add*: *min.absorb1 prev-defs since-d-def*)
 have *2*: $(\exists\, k{\leq}intlen\ \sigma - Suc\ 0.$
     $g\ (prefix\ k\ (prefix\ (intlen\ \sigma - Suc\ 0)\ \sigma)) \wedge$
   $(\forall j.\ k < j \wedge j \leq intlen\ \sigma - Suc\ 0 \longrightarrow f\ (prefix\ j\ (prefix\ (intlen\ \sigma - Suc\ 0)\ \sigma))))$
   using *1* **by** *auto*
 obtain *k* **where** *3*: $k{\leq}intlen\ \sigma - Suc\ 0 \wedge g\ (prefix\ k\ (prefix\ (intlen\ \sigma - Suc\ 0)\ \sigma)) \wedge$
   $(\forall j.\ k < j \wedge j \leq intlen\ \sigma - Suc\ 0 \longrightarrow f\ (prefix\ j\ (prefix\ (intlen\ \sigma - Suc\ 0)\ \sigma)))$
   using *2* **by** *auto*
 have *4*: $k{<}intlen\ \sigma$
   using *1 3* **by** *linarith*
 have *5*: $g\ (prefix\ k\ \sigma)$
   using *3 4 interval-pref-pref-help* **by** *force*

have *6*: $(\forall j.\ k < j \land j < intlen\ \sigma \longrightarrow f\ (prefix\ j\ \sigma))$
  using *3 interval-pref-pref-help* **by** *fastforce*
have *7*: $(\exists k{<}intlen\ \sigma.\ g\ (prefix\ k\ \sigma) \land (\forall j.\ k < j \land j < intlen\ \sigma \longrightarrow f\ (prefix\ j\ \sigma)))$
  using *4 5 6* **by** *blast*
have *8*: $0 < intlen\ \sigma\ \land$
      $(\exists k{<}intlen\ \sigma.\ g\ (prefix\ k\ \sigma) \land (\forall j.\ k < j \land j < intlen\ \sigma \longrightarrow f\ (prefix\ j\ \sigma)))$
  using *1 7* **by** *blast*
from *8* **show** *?thesis* **by** (*simp add*: *ssince-d-def*)
qed

lemma *SSincePrevSincesem*:
$\sigma \models\ f\ \mathcal{S}^s\ g = prev\ (\ f\ \mathcal{S}\ g)$
using *SSincePrevSincesema SSincePrevSincesemb unl-lift2* **by** *blast*

lemma *UntilSUntilsem*:
$\sigma \models f\ \mathcal{U}\ g = (g \lor (f \land f\ \mathcal{U}^s\ g))$
proof (*auto simp add*: *suntil-d-def until-d-def*)
  show $\bigwedge k.\ k \leq intlen\ \sigma \implies g\ (suffix\ k\ \sigma) \implies \forall j{<}k.\ f\ (suffix\ j\ \sigma) \implies \neg\ g\ \sigma \implies f\ \sigma$
  by *fastforce*
  show $\bigwedge k.\ k \leq intlen\ \sigma \implies g\ (suffix\ k\ \sigma) \implies \forall j{<}k.\ f\ (suffix\ j\ \sigma) \implies \neg\ g\ \sigma \implies 0 < intlen\ \sigma$
  using *gr0I* **by** *force*
  show $\bigwedge k.\ k \leq intlen\ \sigma \implies$
     $g\ (suffix\ k\ \sigma) \implies$
     $\forall j{<}k.\ f\ (suffix\ j\ \sigma) \implies$
     $\neg\ g\ \sigma \implies$
     $\exists k{>}0.\ k \leq intlen\ \sigma \land g\ (suffix\ k\ \sigma) \land (\forall j.\ 0 < j \land j < k \longrightarrow f\ (suffix\ j\ \sigma))$
  by (*metis interval-suffix-zero neq0-conv*)
  show $\bigwedge k.\ f\ \sigma \implies$
     $0 < k \implies$
     $k \leq intlen\ \sigma \implies$
     $g\ (suffix\ k\ \sigma) \implies$
     $\forall j.\ 0 < j \land j < k \longrightarrow f\ (suffix\ j\ \sigma) \implies$
     $\exists k{\leq}intlen\ \sigma.\ g\ (suffix\ k\ \sigma) \land (\forall j{<}k.\ f\ (suffix\ j\ \sigma))$
  by (*metis Suc-pred interval-suffix-zero less-Suc-eq-0-disj*)
qed

lemma *SinceSSincesem*:
$\sigma \models f\ \mathcal{S}\ g = (\ g \lor (f \land f\ \mathcal{S}^s\ g))$
proof (*auto simp add*: *ssince-d-def since-d-def*)
  show $\bigwedge k.\ k \leq intlen\ \sigma \implies$
     $g\ (prefix\ k\ \sigma) \implies$
     $\forall j.\ k < j \land j \leq intlen\ \sigma \longrightarrow f\ (prefix\ j\ \sigma) \implies$
     $\neg\ g\ \sigma \implies$
     $f\ \sigma$
  using *le-eq-less-or-eq* **by** *auto*
  show $\bigwedge k.\ k \leq intlen\ \sigma \implies$
     $g\ (prefix\ k\ \sigma) \implies$
     $\forall j.\ k < j \land j \leq intlen\ \sigma \longrightarrow f\ (prefix\ j\ \sigma) \implies$
     $\neg\ g\ \sigma \implies$
     $0 < intlen\ \sigma$

by (*metis gr0I interval-prefix-intlen le-zero-eq*)
show $\bigwedge k.\ k \leq$ *intlen* $\sigma \Longrightarrow$
 g (*prefix* $k\ \sigma$) $\Longrightarrow$
 $\forall j.\ k < j \wedge j \leq$ *intlen* $\sigma \longrightarrow f$ (*prefix* $j\ \sigma$) $\Longrightarrow$
 $\neg\ g\ \sigma \Longrightarrow$
 $\exists k <$ *intlen* $\sigma.\ g$ (*prefix* $k\ \sigma$) $\wedge$ ($\forall j.\ k < j \wedge j <$ *intlen* $\sigma \longrightarrow f$ (*prefix* $j\ \sigma$))
 using *le-eq-less-or-eq* **by** *auto*
show $\bigwedge k.\ f\ \sigma \Longrightarrow$
 $k <$ *intlen* $\sigma \Longrightarrow$
 g (*prefix* $k\ \sigma$) $\Longrightarrow$
 $\forall j.\ k < j \wedge j <$ *intlen* $\sigma \longrightarrow f$ (*prefix* $j\ \sigma$) $\Longrightarrow$
 $\exists k \leq$ *intlen* $\sigma.\ g$ (*prefix* $k\ \sigma$) $\wedge$ ($\forall j.\ k < j \wedge j \leq$ *intlen* $\sigma \longrightarrow f$ (*prefix* $j\ \sigma$))
 by (*metis antisym-conv2 interval-prefix-intlen less-imp-le-nat*)
qed

lemma *UntilAndDistsem*:
$\sigma \models (f \wedge g)\ \mathcal{U}\ h = ((f\ \mathcal{U}\ h) \wedge (g\ \mathcal{U}\ h))$
by (*auto simp add*: *until-d-def*)
 (*metis dual-order.strict-trans linorder-cases*)

lemma *UntilOrDistsem*:
$\sigma \models f\ \mathcal{U}\ (g \vee h) = (f\ \mathcal{U}\ g \vee f\ \mathcal{U}\ h)$
by (*auto simp add*: *until-d-def*)

lemma *NextUntilsema*:
 assumes $(\sigma \models \bigcirc(f\ \mathcal{U}\ g))$
 shows $(\sigma \models (\bigcirc\ f)\ \mathcal{U}\ (\bigcirc\ g))$
proof $-$
 have 0: $0 <$ *intlen* $\sigma \wedge$
 ($\exists k \leq$ *intlen* (*suffix* (*Suc* 0) σ). g (*suffix* (*Suc* k) σ) $\wedge$ ($\forall j < k.\ f$ (*suffix* (*Suc* j) σ)))
 using *assms* **by** (*auto simp add*: *next-defs until-d-def*)
 have 1: $0 <$ *intlen* σ
 using 0 **by** *auto*
 have 2: ($\exists k \leq$ *intlen* (*suffix* (*Suc* 0) σ). g (*suffix* (*Suc* k) σ) $\wedge$ ($\forall j < k.\ f$ (*suffix* (*Suc* j) σ)))
 using 0 **by** *auto*
 obtain k **where** 3: $k \leq$ *intlen* (*suffix* (*Suc* 0) σ) $\wedge g$ (*suffix* (*Suc* k) σ) $\wedge$
 ($\forall j < k.\ f$ (*suffix* (*Suc* j) σ))
 using 2 **by** *auto*
 have 4: g (*suffix* (*Suc* k) σ)
 using 3 **by** *auto*
 have 5: $k \leq$ *intlen* σ
 using $3\ 0$ **by** *auto*
 have 6: $0 <$ *intlen* (*suffix* $k\ \sigma$)
 using $1\ 3$ **by** *auto*
 have 7: ($\forall j < k.\ 0 <$ *intlen* (*suffix* $j\ \sigma$) $\wedge f$ (*suffix* (*Suc* j) σ))
 using $3\ 5$ **by** *force*
 have 8: $\exists k \leq$ *intlen* σ.
 $0 <$ *intlen* (*suffix* $k\ \sigma$) $\wedge$
 g (*suffix* (*Suc* k) σ) $\wedge$ ($\forall j < k.\ 0 <$ *intlen* (*suffix* $j\ \sigma$) $\wedge f$ (*suffix* (*Suc* j) σ))

753

using *3 5 6 7* **by** *blast*
from *8* **show** *?thesis* **by** (*simp add*: *next-defs until-d-def*)
qed

lemma *NextUntilsemb*:
assumes $(\sigma \models (\bigcirc f) \, \mathcal{U} \, (\bigcirc g))$
shows $(\sigma \models \bigcirc(f \, \mathcal{U} \, g))$
proof $-$
have *1*: $\exists k{\le}intlen \, \sigma.$
    $0 < intlen \, (suffix \, k \, \sigma) \, \wedge$
    $g \, (suffix \, (Suc \, k) \, \sigma) \wedge (\forall j{<}k. \; 0 < intlen \, (suffix \, j \, \sigma) \wedge f \, (suffix \, (Suc \, j) \, \sigma))$
  using *assms* **by** (*auto simp add*: *next-defs until-d-def*)
obtain *k* **where** *2*: $k{\le}intlen \, \sigma \wedge 0 < intlen \, (suffix \, k \, \sigma) \wedge$
    $g \, (suffix \, (Suc \, k) \, \sigma) \wedge (\forall j{<}k. \; 0 < intlen \, (suffix \, j \, \sigma) \wedge f \, (suffix \, (Suc \, j) \, \sigma))$
  using *1* **by** *auto*
have *3*: $0 < intlen \, \sigma$
  using *2* **by** *auto*
have *4*: $k{\le}intlen \, (suffix \, (Suc \, 0) \, \sigma)$
   using *2 interval-suffix-length-good* **by** *auto*
have *5*: $g \, (suffix \, (Suc \, k) \, \sigma)$
  using *2* **by** *auto*
have *6*: $(\forall j{<}k. \; f \, (suffix \, (Suc \, j) \, \sigma))$
  using *2* **by** *blast*
have *7*: $0 < intlen \, \sigma \wedge$
    $(\exists k{\le}intlen \, (suffix \, (Suc \, 0) \, \sigma). \; g \, (suffix \, (Suc \, k) \, \sigma) \wedge (\forall j{<}k. \; f \, (suffix \, (Suc \, j) \, \sigma)))$
 using *2 3 4* **by** *blast*
 from *7* **show** *?thesis* **by** (*auto simp*: *next-defs util-d-def*)
qed

lemma *NextUntilsem*:
$\sigma \models \bigcirc(f \, \mathcal{U} \, g) = (\bigcirc f) \, \mathcal{U} \, (\bigcirc g)$
using *NextUntilsema NextUntilsemb* **using** *unl-lift2* **by** *blast*

lemma *UntilUntilsem*:
$\sigma \models f \, \mathcal{U} \, g = f \, \mathcal{U} \, (f \, \mathcal{U} \, g)$
proof (*auto simp add*: *until-d-def*)
show $\bigwedge k. \; k \le intlen \, \sigma \Longrightarrow$
    $g \, (suffix \, k \, \sigma) \Longrightarrow$
    $\forall j{<}k. \; f \, (suffix \, j \, \sigma) \Longrightarrow$
    $\exists k{\le}intlen \, \sigma.$
     $(\exists ka{\le}intlen \, \sigma - k.$
      $g \, (suffix \, (ka + k) \, \sigma) \wedge (\forall j{<}ka. \; f \, (suffix \, (j + k) \, \sigma))) \wedge (\forall j{<}k. \; f \, (suffix \, j \, \sigma))$
  by *force*
show $\bigwedge k \, ka.$
    $k \le intlen \, \sigma \Longrightarrow$
    $\forall j{<}k. \; f \, (suffix \, j \, \sigma) \Longrightarrow$
    $ka \le intlen \, \sigma - k \Longrightarrow$
    $g \, (suffix \, (ka + k) \, \sigma) \Longrightarrow$
    $\forall j{<}ka. \; f \, (suffix \, (j + k) \, \sigma) \Longrightarrow \exists k{\le}intlen \, \sigma. \; g \, (suffix \, k \, \sigma) \wedge (\forall j{<}k. \; f \, (suffix \, j \, \sigma))$
  by (*metis lel le-add-diff-inverse2 less-diff-conv2*

754

       ordered-cancel-comm-monoid-diff-class.le-diff-conv2)

qed

lemma *LFPUntilsem1*:
assumes $\forall\, n \leq intlen\ \sigma.$
         $(g\ (suffix\ n\ \sigma) \longrightarrow h\ (suffix\ n\ \sigma)) \wedge$
         $(f\ (suffix\ n\ \sigma) \wedge n < intlen\ \sigma \wedge h\ (suffix\ (Suc\ n)\ \sigma) \longrightarrow$
         $h\ (suffix\ n\ \sigma))$
       $k \leq intlen\ \sigma$
       $g\ (suffix\ k\ \sigma)$
       $\forall\, j {<} k.\ f\ (suffix\ j\ \sigma)$
shows $h\ \sigma$
using *assms*
proof (*induct k arbitrary*: σ)
case *0*
then show *?case* **by** *auto*
next
case (*Suc k*)
then show *?case*
  proof (*cases* σ)
  case (*St x1*)
  then show *?thesis* **using** *Suc.prems Suc.hyps* **by** (*metis suffix.simps(1)*)
  next
  case (*Cons x21 x22*)
  then show *?thesis*
     using *Suc.prems Suc.hyps*
     by (*metis Suc-less-eq interval-suffix-suc interval-suffix-zero*
        intlen.simps(2) le-eq-less-or-eq not-less-eq-eq plus-1-eq-Suc zero-less-Suc)
  qed
qed

lemma *LFPUntilsem*:
 $\sigma \models \Box((g \vee (f \wedge \bigcirc h)) \longrightarrow h) \longrightarrow (f\ \mathcal{U}\ g \longrightarrow h)$
using *LFPUntilsem1* **by** (*simp add*: *always-defs next-defs until-d-def* , *blast*)

lemma *RevUntilsema*:
assumes $\sigma \models (f\ \mathcal{U}\ g)^r$
shows $\sigma \models (\ (f^r)\ \mathcal{S}\ (g^r)\ )$
proof $-$
 have *1*: $\sigma \models (f\ \mathcal{U}\ g)^r$
  using *assms* **by** *auto*
 have *2*: $\exists\, k \leq intlen\ \sigma.$
    $g\ (suffix\ k\ (intrev\ \sigma)) \wedge (\forall\, j {<} k.\ f\ (suffix\ j\ (intrev\ \sigma)))$
  using *1* **by** (*simp add*: *until-d-def reverse-d-def*)
 obtain *k* **where** *3*: $k \leq intlen\ \sigma \wedge g\ (suffix\ k\ (intrev\ \sigma)) \wedge$
   $(\forall\, j {<} k.\ f\ (suffix\ j\ (intrev\ \sigma)))$
  using *2* **by** *auto*
 have *4*: $g\ (intrev\ (prefix\ (intlen\ \sigma - k)\ \sigma))$

by (*simp add*: *3 interval-intrev-prefix*)
have *5*: $(\forall j < k.\ f\ (intrev\ (prefix\ (intlen\ \sigma - j)\ \sigma)))$
  by (*simp add*: *3 interval-intrev-prefix*)
have *6*: $(intlen\ \sigma - k) \leq intlen\ \sigma$
  using *diff-le-self* **by** *blast*
have *7*: $(\forall j.\ (intlen\ \sigma - k) < j \land j \leq intlen\ \sigma \longrightarrow f\ (intrev\ (prefix\ j\ \sigma)))$
  by (*simp add*: *3 interval-intrev-prefix less-diff-conv2*)
have *8*: $\exists k \leq intlen\ \sigma.\ g\ (intrev\ (prefix\ k\ \sigma)) \land$
      $(\forall j.\ k < j \land j \leq intlen\ \sigma \longrightarrow f\ (intrev\ (prefix\ j\ \sigma)))$
  using *4 6 7* **by** *blast*
have *10*: $\sigma \models (\ (f^r)\ \mathcal{S}\ (g^r)\ )$
  using *8* **by** (*simp add*: *since-d-def reverse-d-def*)
show *?thesis* **by** (*simp add*: *10*)
qed

lemma *RevUntilsemb*:
assumes $\sigma \models (\ (f^r)\ \mathcal{S}\ (g^r)\ )$
shows $\sigma \models (f\ \mathcal{U}\ g)^r$
proof −
have *1*: $\sigma \models (\ (f^r)\ \mathcal{S}\ (g^r)\ )$
 using *assms* **by** *auto*
have *2*: $\exists k \leq intlen\ \sigma.\ g\ (intrev\ (prefix\ k\ \sigma)) \land$
      $(\forall j.\ k < j \land j \leq intlen\ \sigma \longrightarrow f\ (intrev\ (prefix\ j\ \sigma)))$
 using *1* **by** (*simp add*: *since-d-def reverse-d-def*)
obtain *k* **where** *3*: $k \leq intlen\ \sigma \land g\ (intrev\ (prefix\ k\ \sigma)) \land$
      $(\forall j.\ k < j \land j \leq intlen\ \sigma \longrightarrow f\ (intrev\ (prefix\ j\ \sigma)))$
  using *2* **by** *auto*
have *4*: $g\ (suffix\ (intlen\ \sigma - k)\ (intrev\ \sigma))$
   using *3 interval-intrev-prefix* **by** *fastforce*
have *5*: $(\forall j.\ j < intlen\ \sigma - k \longrightarrow f\ (suffix\ j\ (intrev\ \sigma)))$
  by (*metis 3 add.commute diff-le-self dual-order.trans interval-intrev-intlen*
     interval-intrev-suffix interval-rev-rev-ident less-diff-conv less-imp-le-nat)
have *6*: $\exists k \leq intlen\ \sigma.\ g\ (suffix\ k\ (intrev\ \sigma)) \land$
      $(\forall j < k.\ f\ (suffix\ j\ (intrev\ \sigma)))$
 using *4 5 diff-le-self* **by** *blast*
have *7*: $\sigma \models (f\ \mathcal{U}\ g)^r$
  using *6* **by** (*simp add*: *until-d-def reverse-d-def*)
show *?thesis*
using *7* **by** *blast*
qed

lemma *RevUntilsem*:
 $\sigma \models (f\ \mathcal{U}\ g)^r = (\ (f^r)\ \mathcal{S}\ (g^r)\ )$
using *RevUntilsema RevUntilsemb* **using** *unl-lift2* **by** *blast*

lemma *UntilRightAndsem*:
assumes $(\sigma \models f\ \mathcal{U}\ (g \land h))$
shows $(\sigma \models (f\ \mathcal{U}\ g)\ \mathcal{U}\ h)$
proof −
have *1*: $\exists k \leq intlen\ \sigma.\ g\ (suffix\ k\ \sigma) \land h\ (suffix\ k\ \sigma) \land (\forall j < k.\ f\ (suffix\ j\ \sigma))$

using *assms* **by** (*simp add*: *until-d-def*)
obtain *k* **where** *2*: *k*≤*intlen* σ ∧ *g* (*suffix k* σ) ∧ *h* (*suffix k* σ) ∧ (∀*j*<*k*. *f* (*suffix j* σ))
  using *1* **by** *auto*
have *3*: *h* (*suffix k* σ)
 using *2* **by** *auto*
have *4*: *k*≤*intlen* σ
 using *2* **by** *auto*
have *5*: (∀*j*<*k*.
    ∃*ka*≤*intlen* (*suffix j* σ). *g* (*suffix* (*ka* + *j*) σ) ∧ (∀*ja*<*ka*. *f* (*suffix* (*ja* + *j*) σ)))
  proof
    fix *j*
    show *j* < *k* ⟶
       (∃*ka*≤*intlen* (*suffix j* σ). *g* (*suffix* (*ka* + *j*) σ) ∧ (∀*ja*<*ka*. *f* (*suffix* (*ja* + *j*) σ)))
     proof
      assume *a0*: *j* < *k*
      show (∃*ka*≤*intlen* (*suffix j* σ). *g* (*suffix* (*ka* + *j*) σ) ∧ (∀*ja*<*ka*. *f* (*suffix* (*ja* + *j*) σ)))
       proof −
        have *51*: *k*−*j* ≤ *intlen* (*suffix j* σ)
          using *4 a0* **by** *auto*
        have *52*: *g* (*suffix* ((*k*−*j*) + *j*) σ)
         by (*simp add*: *2 a0 less-imp-le-nat*)
         have *53*: (∀*ja*<(*k*−*j*). *f* (*suffix* (*ja* + *j*) σ))
          using *2 less-diff-conv* **by** *blast*
        show *?thesis*
        using *51 52 53* **by** *blast*
      qed
    qed
    qed
have *6*: ∃*k*≤*intlen* σ.
   h (*suffix k* σ) ∧
   (∀*j*<*k*. ∃*k*≤*intlen* (*suffix j* σ). *g* (*suffix* (*k* + *j*) σ) ∧ (∀*ja*<*k*. *f* (*suffix* (*ja* + *j*) σ)))
 using *2 5* **by** *blast*
 from *6* **show** *?thesis* **by** (*simp add*: *until-d-def*)
qed

lemma *interval-suf-first*:
assumes (∃*i*≤*intlen xs*. *f* (*suffix i xs*))
shows (∃*i*≤*intlen xs*. *f* (*suffix i xs*) ∧
     (∀*j*. *j*<*i* ⟶ ¬ (*f* (*suffix j xs*)))))
using *assms interval-suf-first-upto*[*of intlen xs* +*1 f xs*] **by** (*simp add*: *discrete*)

lemma *NotSuffixFirst*:
assumes (∃*n*≤*intlen xs*. ¬ *f* (*suffix n xs*))
shows (∃*n* ≤ *intlen xs*. ¬ *f* (*suffix n xs*) ∧ (∀*k*. *k*<*n* ⟶ *f* (*suffix k xs*)))
using *assms interval-suf-first*[*of xs LIFT*(¬ *f*)] **by** *auto*

lemma *NotSuffixFirst-upto*:
assumes (∃*i*<*k*. ¬ *f* (*suffix i xs*))
    k ≤ *intlen xs* +*1*
shows (∃*i*<*k*. ¬*f* (*suffix i xs*) ∧

$(\forall j < i \;.\; (f \; (suffix \; j \; xs))))$
using *assms interval-suf-first-upto*[*of k LIFT*(¬ *f*) *xs*] **by** *auto*

lemma *WaitNotDistUntilsem1*:
assumes $(\sigma \models \neg(f \; \mathcal{W} \; g))$
shows $(\sigma \models ((\neg \; g) \; \mathcal{U} \; ((\neg \; f) \wedge (\neg g))))$
proof −
 have *1*: $(\forall k. \; g \; (suffix \; k \; \sigma) \longrightarrow k \leq intlen \; \sigma \longrightarrow (\exists j < k. \; \neg \; f \; (suffix \; j \; \sigma))) \wedge$
  $(\exists n \leq intlen \; \sigma. \; \neg \; f \; (suffix \; n \; \sigma))$
  using *assms* **by** (*simp add*: *wait-d-def until-d-def always-defs*)
 have *2*: $(\forall k. \; k \leq intlen \; \sigma \longrightarrow \neg \; g \; (suffix \; k \; \sigma) \vee (\exists j < k. \; \neg \; f \; (suffix \; j \; \sigma)))$
  using *1* **by** *auto*
 have *3*: $(\exists n \leq intlen \; \sigma. \; \neg \; f \; (suffix \; n \; \sigma))$
  using *1* **by** *auto*
 obtain *n* **where** *4*: $n \leq intlen \; \sigma \wedge \neg \; f \; (suffix \; n \; \sigma) \wedge$
         $(\forall \; k < n \;.\; f \; (suffix \; k \; \sigma))$
  using *3* **using** *NotSuffixFirst* **by** *blast*
 have *16*: $n \leq intlen \; \sigma$
  by (*simp add*: *4*)
 have *17*: $\neg \; g \; (suffix \; n \; \sigma)$
  using *1 4* **by** *blast*
 have *18*: $(\forall j < n. \; \neg \; g \; (suffix \; j \; \sigma))$
  by (*meson 2 4 le-eq-less-or-eq less-le-trans*)
 have *19*: $\exists k \leq intlen \; \sigma. \; \neg \; f \; (suffix \; k \; \sigma) \wedge \neg \; g \; (suffix \; k \; \sigma) \wedge (\forall j < k. \; \neg \; g \; (suffix \; j \; \sigma))$
  using *16 17 18 4* **by** *blast*
 have *20*: $(\sigma \models ((\neg \; g) \; \mathcal{U} \; (\neg \; f \wedge \neg g)))$
  using *19* **by** (*simp add*: *until-d-def*)
 show *?thesis* **using** *20* **by** *auto*
qed

lemma *WaitNotDistUntilsem2*:
assumes $(\sigma \models ((\neg \; g) \; \mathcal{U} \; ((\neg \; f) \wedge (\neg g))))$
shows $(\sigma \models \neg(f \; \mathcal{W} \; g))$
using *assms not-less-iff-gr-or-eq* **by** (*auto simp add*: *always-defs wait-d-def until-d-def*)

lemma *WaitNotDistUntilsem*:
$(\sigma \models (\neg(f \; \mathcal{W} \; g)) \; = \; ((\neg \; g) \; \mathcal{U} \; ((\neg \; f) \wedge (\neg g))))$
using *WaitNotDistUntilsem1 WaitNotDistUntilsem2 unl-lift2* **by** *blast*

lemma *SUntilNextUntil*:
$\vdash f \; \mathcal{U}^s \; g = \bigcirc \; (f \; \mathcal{U} \; g)$
using *SUntilNextUntilsem Valid-def* **by** *blast*

lemma *SSincePrevSince*:
$\vdash f \; \mathcal{S}^s \; g = prev \; (f \; \mathcal{S} \; g)$
 using *SSincePrevSincesem Valid-def* **by** *blast*

lemma *UntilSUntil*:
$\vdash f \; \mathcal{U} \; g = (g \lor (f \land f \; \mathcal{U}^s \; g))$
using *UntilSUntilsem Valid-def* **by** *blast*

lemma *SinceSSince*:
$\vdash f \; \mathcal{S} \; g = (g \lor (f \land f \; \mathcal{S}^s \; g))$
using *SinceSSincesem Valid-def* **by** *blast*

lemma *UntilAndDist*:
$\vdash (f \land g) \; \mathcal{U} \; h = ((f \; \mathcal{U} \; h) \land (g \; \mathcal{U} \; h))$
using *UntilAndDistsem Valid-def* **by** *blast*

lemma *UntilOrDist*:
$\vdash f \; \mathcal{U} \; (g \lor h) = (f \; \mathcal{U} \; g \lor f \; \mathcal{U} \; h)$
using *UntilOrDistsem Valid-def* **by** *blast*

lemma *NextUntil*:
$\vdash \bigcirc(f \; \mathcal{U} \; g) = (\bigcirc f) \; \mathcal{U} \; (\bigcirc g)$
using *NextUntilsem Valid-def* **by** *blast*

lemma *UntilUntil*:
$\vdash f \; \mathcal{U} \; g = f \; \mathcal{U} \; (f \; \mathcal{U} \; g)$
using *UntilUntilsem Valid-def* **by** *blast*

lemma *LFPUntil*:
$\vdash \Box((g \lor (f \land \bigcirc h)) \longrightarrow h) \longrightarrow (f \; \mathcal{U} \; g \longrightarrow h)$
using *LFPUntilsem Valid-def* **by** *blast*

lemma *RevUntil*:
$\vdash (f \; \mathcal{U} \; g)^r = ((f^r) \; \mathcal{S} \; (g^r))$
using *RevUntilsem Valid-def* **by** *blast*

lemma *UntilEqvUntil*:
 assumes $\vdash f0 = f1$
      $\vdash g0 = g1$
 shows $\vdash f0 \; \mathcal{U} \; g0 = f1 \; \mathcal{U} \; g1$
using *assms* **by** (*simp add*: *until-d-def Valid-def*)

lemma *UntilImpUntil*:
 assumes $\vdash f0 \longrightarrow f1$
      $\vdash g0 \longrightarrow g1$
 shows $\vdash f0 \; \mathcal{U} \; g0 \longrightarrow f1 \; \mathcal{U} \; g1$
using *assms* **by** (*auto simp add*: *until-d-def Valid-def*)

lemma *SinceEqvSince*:
 assumes $\vdash f0 = f1$
      $\vdash g0 = g1$
 shows $\vdash f0 \; \mathcal{S} \; g0 = f1 \; \mathcal{S} \; g1$

using *assms* **by** (*simp add*: *since-d-def Valid-def*)

lemma *SinceImpSince*:
 assumes ⊢ *f0* ⟶ *f1*
         ⊢ *g0* ⟶ *g1*
 shows   ⊢ *f0* 𝒮 *g0* ⟶ *f1* 𝒮 *g1*
using *assms* **by** (*auto simp add*: *since-d-def Valid-def*)

lemma *UntilLeftDistAnd*:
⊢ *f* 𝒰 (*g* ∧ *h*) ⟶ *f* 𝒰 *g* ∧ *f* 𝒰 *h*
by (*auto simp add*: *Valid-def until-d-def*)

lemma *UntilRightDistOr*:
⊢ *f* 𝒰 *h* ∨ *g* 𝒰 *h* ⟶ (*f* ∨ *g*) 𝒰 *h*
by (*auto simp add*: *Valid-def until-d-def*)

lemma *UntilNotImp*:
⊢ *f* 𝒰 *g* ∧ (¬ *g*) 𝒰 *h* ⟶ *f* 𝒰 *h*
by (*auto simp add*: *Valid-def until-d-def*)
  (*metis less-trans linorder-cases*)

lemma *UntilRightOr*:
⊢ *f* 𝒰 (*g* 𝒰 *h*) ⟶ (*f* ∨ *g*) 𝒰 *h*
by (*auto simp add*: *Valid-def until-d-def*)
  (*metis Nat.le-diff-conv2 leI le-add-diff-inverse2 less-diff-conv2*)

lemma *DiamondEqvTrueUntil*:
⊢ ◇ *f* = #*True* 𝒰 *f*
by (*simp add*: *Valid-def sometimes-defs until-d-def*)

lemma *UntilRightAnd*:
⊢ *f* 𝒰 (*g* ∧ *h*) ⟶ (*f* 𝒰 *g*) 𝒰 *h*
using *UntilRightAndsem Valid-def* **by** *auto*

lemma *WaitNotDistUntil*:
⊢ (¬(*f* 𝒲 *g*)) = ((¬ *g*) 𝒰 (¬ *f* ∧ ¬*g*))
using *WaitNotDistUntilsem Valid-def* **by** *blast*

lemma *UntilAlwaysAndDist*:
⊢ □ *f* ∧ *g* 𝒰 *h* ⟶ (*f* ∧ *g*) 𝒰 (*f* ∧ *h*)
by (*auto simp add*: *Valid-def always-defs until-d-def*)

lemma *UntilRightMono*:
⊢ □(*f* ⟶ *g*) ⟶ (*h* 𝒰 *f* ⟶ *h* 𝒰 *g*)
by (*auto simp add*: *Valid-def always-defs until-d-def*)

lemma *UntilLeftMono*:
⊢ □ (*f* ⟶ *g*) ⟶ (*f* 𝒰 *h* ⟶ *g* 𝒰 *h*)
by (*auto simp add*: *Valid-def always-defs until-d-def*)

lemma *UntilInduction-help*:

$\vdash \Box \, (f \longrightarrow \neg \, g \wedge \bigcirc f) \longrightarrow \Box(g \vee \# \mathit{True} \wedge \bigcirc \, (\neg \, f) \longrightarrow \neg \, f)$

by (*auto simp add*: *Valid-def always-defs next-defs*)

lemma *UntilInduction-a-help*:

$\vdash (f \longrightarrow ((\bigcirc f) \wedge g) \vee h) \longrightarrow (\, ((\neg g \wedge \neg h) \vee (\neg h \wedge \bigcirc(\neg \, f)))\longrightarrow \neg f)$

by (*auto simp add*: *Valid-def next-defs*)

lemma *UntilInduction-b-help*:

$\vdash \Box(f \longrightarrow (\bigcirc f) \vee g) \longrightarrow \quad \Box(\, ((\neg \, f \wedge \neg \, g) \vee (\neg g \wedge \bigcirc \, (\neg \, f))) \longrightarrow \neg \, f)$

by (*auto simp add*: *Valid-def always-defs next-defs*)

lemma *UntilImpNot*:

$\vdash f \, \mathcal{U} \, g \longrightarrow (f \wedge \neg g) \, \mathcal{U} \, g$

by (*auto simp add*: *until-d-def Valid-def*)
  (*metis* (*full-types*) *interval-suf-first leI less-le-trans*)

lemma *WaitAndRule*:

$\vdash f \, \mathcal{W} \, g = (f \wedge \neg \, g) \, \mathcal{W} \, g$

proof (*auto simp add*: *Valid-def wait-d-def until-d-def always-defs*)

 show $\bigwedge w \, n.$

      $\forall k. \; g \, (\mathit{suffix} \, k \, w) \longrightarrow k \leq \mathit{intlen} \, w \longrightarrow (\exists j{<}k. \, f \, (\mathit{suffix} \, j \, w) \longrightarrow g \, (\mathit{suffix} \, j \, w)) \Longrightarrow$
      $n \leq \mathit{intlen} \, w \Longrightarrow$
      $\forall n{\leq}\mathit{intlen} \, w. \, f \, (\mathit{suffix} \, n \, w) \Longrightarrow$
      $g \, (\mathit{suffix} \, n \, w) \Longrightarrow$
      False

  by (*metis* (*full-types*) *dual-order.order-iff-strict interval-suf-first less-le-trans*)

 show $\bigwedge w \, n \, k.$

    $\forall k. \; g \, (\mathit{suffix} \, k \, w) \longrightarrow k \leq \mathit{intlen} \, w \longrightarrow (\exists j{<}k. \, f \, (\mathit{suffix} \, j \, w) \longrightarrow g \, (\mathit{suffix} \, j \, w)) \Longrightarrow$
    $n \leq \mathit{intlen} \, w \Longrightarrow$
    $k \leq \mathit{intlen} \, w \Longrightarrow$
    $g \, (\mathit{suffix} \, k \, w) \Longrightarrow$
    $\forall j{<}k. \, f \, (\mathit{suffix} \, j \, w) \Longrightarrow$
    $f \, (\mathit{suffix} \, n \, w)$

  by (*metis* (*full-types*) *interval-suf-first leI less-le-trans*)

 show $\bigwedge w \, n \, k.$

    $\forall k. \; g \, (\mathit{suffix} \, k \, w) \longrightarrow k \leq \mathit{intlen} \, w \longrightarrow (\exists j{<}k. \, f \, (\mathit{suffix} \, j \, w) \longrightarrow g \, (\mathit{suffix} \, j \, w)) \Longrightarrow$
    $n \leq \mathit{intlen} \, w \Longrightarrow$
    $k \leq \mathit{intlen} \, w \Longrightarrow$
    $g \, (\mathit{suffix} \, k \, w) \Longrightarrow$
    $\forall j{<}k. \, f \, (\mathit{suffix} \, j \, w) \Longrightarrow$
    $g \, (\mathit{suffix} \, n \, w) \Longrightarrow$
    False

   by (*metis* (*full-types*) *interval-suf-first less-le-trans not-le-imp-less*)

qed

lemma *WaitLeftDistAnd*:

$\vdash f \, \mathcal{W} \, (g \wedge h) \longrightarrow f \, \mathcal{W} \, g \wedge f \, \mathcal{W} \, h$

by (*auto simp add*: *Valid-def wait-d-def until-d-def always-defs*)

lemma *WaitRightDistAnd*:
⊢ $(f \land g)$ $\mathcal{W}$ $h = (f$ $\mathcal{W}$ $h \land g$ $\mathcal{W}$ $h)$
proof (*auto simp add*: *Valid-def wait-d-def until-d-def always-defs*)
 show $\bigwedge w\ n\ k\ ka.$
   $\forall k.\ h$ (*suffix k w*) $\longrightarrow k \le intlen\ w \longrightarrow (\exists j{<}k.\ f$ (*suffix j w*) $\longrightarrow \neg\ g$ (*suffix j w*)) $\Longrightarrow$
   $n \le intlen\ w \Longrightarrow$
   $k \le intlen\ w \Longrightarrow$
   h (*suffix k w*) $\Longrightarrow$
  $\forall j{<}k.\ f$ (*suffix j w*) $\Longrightarrow$
   $ka \le intlen\ w \Longrightarrow$
   h (*suffix ka w*) $\Longrightarrow$
   $\forall j{<}ka.\ g$ (*suffix j w*) $\Longrightarrow$
   f (*suffix n w*)
  by (*metis less-trans linorder-cases*)
 show $\bigwedge w\ n\ k\ ka.$
   $\forall k.\ h$ (*suffix k w*) $\longrightarrow k \le intlen\ w \longrightarrow (\exists j{<}k.\ f$ (*suffix j w*) $\longrightarrow \neg\ g$ (*suffix j w*)) $\Longrightarrow$
   $n \le intlen\ w \Longrightarrow$
   $k \le intlen\ w \Longrightarrow$
   h (*suffix k w*) $\Longrightarrow$
   $\forall j{<}k.\ f$ (*suffix j w*) $\Longrightarrow$
    $ka \le intlen\ w \Longrightarrow$
    h (*suffix ka w*) $\Longrightarrow$
    $\forall j{<}ka.\ g$ (*suffix j w*) $\Longrightarrow$
   g (*suffix n w*)
  by (*metis less-trans linorder-cases*)
qed

lemma *WaitRightDistImp*:
⊢ $(f \longrightarrow g)$ $\mathcal{W}$ $h \longrightarrow (f$ $\mathcal{W}$ $h \longrightarrow g$ $\mathcal{W}$ $h)$
by (*auto simp add*: *Valid-def wait-d-def until-d-def always-defs*)
  (*metis less-trans linorder-cases*)

lemma *WaitImpRule*:
⊢ $(f \longrightarrow g)$ $\mathcal{W}$ f
by (*auto simp add*: *Valid-def wait-d-def until-d-def always-defs*)
  (*metis interval-suf-first*)

lemma *WaitInductionc-help*:
 ⊢ $\Box(f \longrightarrow \bigcirc f) \longrightarrow \Box\ (f \longrightarrow wnext\ f)$
 by (*simp add*: *ImpBoxRule intI next-defs wnext-defs*)

lemma *WaitInductiond-help*:
 ⊢ $\Box(f \longrightarrow g \land \bigcirc f) \longrightarrow \Box\ (f \longrightarrow\ wnext\ f)$
  by (*simp add*: *Valid-def always-defs next-defs wnext-defs*)

lemma *WaitOrder-help*:
 ⊢ $(\Box\ (\neg\ f) \lor \Box\ (\neg\ g)) \lor \Diamond(f \lor g)$
by (*auto simp add*: *always-defs sometimes-defs Valid-def*)

21.3 Lemmas

lemma *NextFalseSUntil*:
$\vdash \bigcirc g = \#False\ \mathcal{U}^s\ g$
 by (*metis SUntilNextUntil UntilSUntil int-simps*(19) *int-simps*(25) *inteq-reflection*)

lemma *PrevFalseSSince*:
$\vdash prev\ g = \#False\ \mathcal{S}^s\ g$
 by (*metis SSincePrevSince SinceSSince int-simps*(19) *int-simps*(25) *inteq-reflection*)

lemma *UntilUnrol*:
$\vdash f\ \mathcal{U}\ g = (g \vee (f \wedge \bigcirc(f\ \mathcal{U}\ g)))$
by (*metis SUntilNextUntil UntilSUntil inteq-reflection*)

lemma *WNextUntil*:
$\vdash wnext(f\ \mathcal{U}\ g) = (empty \vee (\bigcirc f)\ \mathcal{U}\ (\bigcirc g))$
by (*meson NextUntil Prop06 WnextEqvEmptyOrNext*)

lemma *UntilRelease*:
$\vdash f\ \mathcal{R}\ g = (\neg\ (\ (\neg f)\ \mathcal{U}\ (\neg g)))$
by (*simp add*: *release-d-def*)

lemma *SReleaseWait*:
$\vdash f\ \mathcal{M}\ g = (\neg\ (\neg f)\ \mathcal{W}\ (\neg g))$
by (*simp add*: *srelease-d-def*)

lemma *ReleaseUntil*:
$\vdash f\ \mathcal{U}\ g = (\neg\ (\ (\neg f)\ \mathcal{R}\ (\neg g)))$
by (*simp add*: *release-d-def*)

lemma *WaitSRelease*:
$\vdash f\ \mathcal{W}\ g = (\neg\ (\neg f)\ \mathcal{M}\ (\neg g))$
by (*simp add*: *srelease-d-def*)

lemma *NotUntilRelease*:
$\vdash \neg(f\ \mathcal{U}\ g) = (\neg f)\ \mathcal{R}\ (\neg g)$
by (*simp add*: *ReleaseUntil*)

lemma *NotWaitSRelease*:
$\vdash \neg(f\ \mathcal{W}\ g) = (\neg f)\ \mathcal{M}\ (\neg g)$
by (*simp add*: *WaitSRelease*)

lemma *NotReleaseUntil*:
$\vdash \neg(f\ \mathcal{R}\ g) = (\neg f)\ \mathcal{U}\ (\neg g)$
by (*simp add*: *UntilRelease*)

lemma *NotSReleaseWait*:
$\vdash \neg(f\ \mathcal{M}\ g) = (\neg f)\ \mathcal{W}\ (\neg g)$
by (*simp add*: *SReleaseWait*)

lemma *BoxEqvFalseRelease*:

$\vdash \Box f = \#False \; \mathcal{R} \; f$
by (*metis DiamondEqvTrueUntil EqvReverseReverse always-d-def int-simps*(3) *inteq-reflection release-d-def*)

lemma *RevSince*:
$\vdash (f \; \mathcal{S} \; g)^r = ((f^r) \; \mathcal{U} \; (g^r))$
proof −
have 1: $\vdash (f^r \; \mathcal{U} \; g^r)^r = ((f^r)^r \; \mathcal{S} \; (g^r)^r)$
  by (*simp add*: *RevUntil*)
show *?thesis*
by (*metis 1 EqvReverseReverse inteq-reflection*)
qed

lemma *LFPSince*:
$\vdash bi \; ((g \lor (f \land prev \; h)) \longrightarrow h) \longrightarrow (f \; \mathcal{S} \; g \longrightarrow h)$
by (*metis* (*no-types, lifting*) *LFPUntil RBiEqvBox RPrevEqvNext RevSince ReverseEqv all-rev-eq*(3) *inteq-reflection*)

lemma *UntilTrue*:
$\vdash f \; \mathcal{U} \; \#True$
using *UntilSUntil* **by** *fastforce*

lemma *NotUntilFalse*:
$\vdash \neg \; (f \; \mathcal{U} \; \#False)$
by (*simp add*: *intI until-d-def*)

lemma *UntilIdempotent*:
$\vdash f \; \mathcal{U} \; f = f$
using *UntilSUntil* **by** *fastforce*

lemma *UntilRightDistImp*:
$\vdash (f \longrightarrow g) \; \mathcal{U} \; h \longrightarrow (f \; \mathcal{U} \; h \longrightarrow g \; \mathcal{U} \; h)$
proof −
have 1: $\vdash (f \longrightarrow g) \; \mathcal{U} \; h \longrightarrow (f \; \mathcal{U} \; h \longrightarrow g \; \mathcal{U} \; h) =$
        $((f \longrightarrow g) \; \mathcal{U} \; h \land f \; \mathcal{U} \; h \longrightarrow g \; \mathcal{U} \; h)$
    by *auto*
have 2: $\vdash ((f \longrightarrow g) \; \mathcal{U} \; h \land f \; \mathcal{U} \; h) = ((f \longrightarrow g) \land f) \; \mathcal{U} \; h$
  by (*simp add*: *UntilAndDist int-iffD1 int-iffD2 int-iffI*)
have 3: $\vdash ((f \longrightarrow g) \land f) = (f \land g)$
  by *auto*
have 4: $\vdash h = h$
  by *auto*
have 5: $\vdash ((f \longrightarrow g) \land f) \; \mathcal{U} \; h = (f \land g) \; \mathcal{U} \; h$
 using *3 4* **using** *UntilEqvUntil* **by** *blast*
have 6: $\vdash (f \land g) \; \mathcal{U} \; h = (f \; \mathcal{U} \; h \land g \; \mathcal{U} \; h)$
  by (*simp add*: *UntilAndDist*)
show *?thesis*
 using *2 5 6* **by** *fastforce*
qed

lemma *FalseUntil*:
$\vdash \#False \, \mathcal{U} \, g = g$
by (*metis Prop10 Prop12 TrueW UntilUnrol int-simps*(14) *int-simps*(21) *int-simps*(25) *int-simps*(3)
  inteq-reflection)

lemma *UntilExclMid*:
$\vdash f \, \mathcal{U} \, g \lor f \, \mathcal{U} \, (\neg \, g)$
using *UntilOrDist UntilTrue* **by** *fastforce*

lemma *NotUntilImp*:
$\vdash (\neg \, f) \, \mathcal{U} \, (g \, \mathcal{U} \, h) \land f \, \mathcal{U} \, h \longrightarrow g \, \mathcal{U} \, h$
proof −
 have 1: $\vdash (\neg \, f) \, \mathcal{U} \, (g \, \mathcal{U} \, h) \longrightarrow (\neg \, f \lor g) \, \mathcal{U} \, h$
  by (*simp add*: *UntilRightOr*)
 have 2: $\vdash (\neg \, f \lor g) = (f \longrightarrow g)$
  by *auto*
 have 3: $\vdash h = h$
  by *auto*
 have 4: $\vdash (\neg \, f \lor g) \, \mathcal{U} \, h = (f \longrightarrow g) \, \mathcal{U} \, h$
  by (*simp add*: 2 *UntilEqvUntil*)
 have 5: $\vdash (f \longrightarrow g) \, \mathcal{U} \, h \longrightarrow (f \, \mathcal{U} \, h \longrightarrow g \, \mathcal{U} \, h)$
  by (*simp add*: *UntilRightDistImp*)
 have 6: $\vdash (\neg \, f) \, \mathcal{U} \, (g \, \mathcal{U} \, h) \longrightarrow (f \, \mathcal{U} \, h \longrightarrow g \, \mathcal{U} \, h)$
  using 1 4 5 **by** *fastforce*
 from 6 **show** *?thesis* **by** *auto*
qed

lemma *UntilNotImpa*:
$\vdash f \, \mathcal{U} \, ((\neg \, g) \, \mathcal{U} \, h) \land g \, \mathcal{U} \, h \longrightarrow f \, \mathcal{U} \, h$
proof −
 have 1: $\vdash f \, \mathcal{U} \, ((\neg \, g) \, \mathcal{U} \, h) \longrightarrow (f \lor (\neg \, g)) \, \mathcal{U} \, h$
  by (*simp add*: *UntilRightOr*)
 have 2: $\vdash (f \lor (\neg \, g)) = (g \longrightarrow f)$
  by *auto*
 have 3: $\vdash h = h$
  by *auto*
 have 4: $\vdash (f \lor (\neg \, g)) \, \mathcal{U} \, h = (g \longrightarrow f) \, \mathcal{U} \, h$
  by (*simp add*: 2 *UntilEqvUntil*)
 have 5: $\vdash (g \longrightarrow f) \, \mathcal{U} \, h \longrightarrow (g \, \mathcal{U} \, h \longrightarrow f \, \mathcal{U} \, h)$
  by (*simp add*: *UntilRightDistImp*)
 have 6: $\vdash f \, \mathcal{U} \, ((\neg \, g) \, \mathcal{U} \, h) \longrightarrow (g \, \mathcal{U} \, h \longrightarrow f \, \mathcal{U} \, h)$
  using 1 4 5 **by** *fastforce*
 from 6 **show** *?thesis* **by** *auto*
qed

lemma *UntilNotUntilImp*:
$\vdash f \, \mathcal{U} \, g \land (\neg \, g) \, \mathcal{U} \, f \longrightarrow f$
proof −
 have 1: $\vdash f \, \mathcal{U} \, g \land (\neg \, g) \, \mathcal{U} \, f \longrightarrow f \, \mathcal{U} \, f$
  using *UntilNotImp* **by** *auto*

have 2: ⊢ f 𝒰 f = f
 using UntilIdempotent **by** auto
 from 1 2 **show** ?thesis **by** fastforce
qed

lemma AndNotUntilImp:
⊢ f ∧ (¬ f) 𝒰 g ⟶ g
proof −
 have 1: ⊢ f = f 𝒰 f
   by (simp add: UntilIdempotent int-iffD1 int-iffD2 int-iffI)
 have 2: ⊢ g = #False 𝒰 g
  by (meson FalseUntil Prop11)
 have 3: ⊢ f 𝒰 f ∧ (¬ f) 𝒰 g ⟶ #False 𝒰 g
  by (metis 1 FalseUntil UntilNotImp inteq-reflection)
 from 1 2 3 **show** ?thesis **by** fastforce
qed

lemma UntilImpOr:
⊢ f 𝒰 g ⟶ f ∨ g
 proof −
 have ⊢ f ∧ f 𝒰ˢ g ⟶ f ∨ g
 by force
 then show ?thesis
 by (metis (no-types) FalseUntil Prop02 Prop03 UntilSUntil inteq-reflection)
 qed

lemma UntilIntro:
⊢ g ⟶ f 𝒰 g
proof −
 have 1: ⊢ g = #False 𝒰 g
  by (meson FalseUntil Prop11)
 have 2: ⊢ #False ⟶ f
  by auto
 have 3: ⊢ g ⟶ g
  by auto
 have 4: ⊢ #False 𝒰 g ⟶ f 𝒰 g
  by (simp add: UntilImpUntil)
 from 1 4 **show** ?thesis **by** fastforce
qed

lemma OrImpUntil:
⊢ f ∧ g ⟶ f 𝒰 g
by (simp add: Prop01 Prop05 UntilIntro)

lemma UntilAbsorp-a:
⊢ (f ∨ f 𝒰 g) = (f ∨ g)
proof −
 have 1: ⊢ (f ∨ f 𝒰 g) ⟶ f ∨ g
  using UntilImpOr **by** fastforce
 have 2: ⊢ f ∨ g ⟶ (f ∨ f 𝒰 g)

766

   using *UntilIntro* **by** *fastforce*
 from *1 2* **show** *?thesis* **by** *fastforce*
qed

lemma *UntilAbsorp-b*:
⊢ $(f \; \mathcal{U} \; g \lor g) = f \; \mathcal{U} \; g$
using *UntilSUntil* **by** *fastforce*

lemma *UntilAbsorp-c*:
⊢ $(f \; \mathcal{U} \; g \land g) = g$
using *UntilIntro* **by** *fastforce*

lemma *UntilAbsorp-d*:
⊢ $(f \; \mathcal{U} \; g \lor (f \land g)) = f \; \mathcal{U} \; g$
using *UntilSUntil* **by** *fastforce*

lemma *UntilAbsorp-e*:
⊢ $(f \; \mathcal{U} \; g \land (f \lor g)) = f \; \mathcal{U} \; g$
by (*meson Prop10 Prop11 UntilImpOr*)

lemma *LeftUntilAbsorp*:
⊢ $f \; \mathcal{U} \; (f \; \mathcal{U} \; g) = f \; \mathcal{U} \; g$
by (*meson Prop11 UntilUntil*)

lemma *RightUntilAbsorp*:
⊢ $(f \; \mathcal{U} \; g) \, \mathcal{U} \; g = f \; \mathcal{U} \; g$
by (*metis Prop11 UntilAbsorp-b UntilAbsorp-c UntilImpOr UntilRightAnd UntilUntil inteq-reflection*)

lemma *UntilAbsorpAndDiamond*:
⊢ $(f \; \mathcal{U} \; g \land \diamondsuit \, g) = f \; \mathcal{U} \; g$
by (*metis DiamondEqvTrueUntil Prop11 Prop12 UntilAbsorp-c UntilRightAnd int-simps*(*17*)
    inteq-reflection)

lemma *UntilAbsorpOrDiamond*:
⊢ $(f \; \mathcal{U} \; g \lor \diamondsuit \, g) = \diamondsuit \, g$
using *UntilAbsorpAndDiamond* **by** *fastforce*

lemma *UntilAbsorpDiamond*:
⊢ $f \; \mathcal{U} \; (\diamondsuit \, g) = \diamondsuit \, g$
using *DiamondDiamondEqvDiamond UntilAbsorpOrDiamond UntilAbsorp-b* **by** *fastforce*

lemma *UntilImpDiamond*:
⊢ $f \; \mathcal{U} \; g \longrightarrow \diamondsuit \, g$
using *UntilAbsorpAndDiamond* **by** *fastforce*

lemma *UntilInduction-a*:
⊢ $\Box(f \longrightarrow ((\bigcirc f) \land g) \lor h) \longrightarrow (f \longrightarrow \Box \, g \lor g \; \mathcal{U} \; h)$
proof −
 have *1*: ⊢ $(\Box \, g \lor g \; \mathcal{U} \; h) = g \; \mathcal{W} \; h$

by (*auto simp add*: *wait-d-def*)
have *2*: ⊢ (*f* ⟶ □ *g* ∨ *g* 𝒰 *h*) = ((¬ *h*) 𝒰 (¬ *g* ∧ ¬*h*) ⟶ ¬ *f*)
  using *1 WaitNotDistUntil* **by** *fastforce*
have *3*: ⊢ □(((¬*g* ∧ ¬*h*) ∨ (¬*h* ∧ ○(¬ *f*)))⟶ ¬*f*) ⟶ ((¬ *h*) 𝒰 (¬ *g* ∧ ¬*h*) ⟶ ¬ *f*)
  using *LFPUntil* **by** *blast*
have *4*: ⊢ (*f* ⟶ ((○ *f*) ∧ *g*) ∨ *h*) ⟶ (((¬*g* ∧ ¬*h*) ∨ (¬*h* ∧ ○(¬ *f*)))⟶ ¬*f*)
  using *UntilInduction-a-help* **by** *simp*
have *5*: ⊢ □(*f* ⟶ ((○ *f*) ∧ *g*) ∨ *h*) ⟶ □(((¬*g* ∧ ¬*h*) ∨ (¬*h* ∧ ○(¬ *f*)))⟶ ¬*f*)
  using *4* **by** (*rule ImpBoxRule*)
show *?thesis*
using *2 3 5* **by** *fastforce*
qed

lemma *UntilInduction-b*:
⊢ □(*f* ⟶ (○*f*) ∨ *g*) ⟶ (*f* ⟶ □ *f* ∨ *f* 𝒰 *g*)
proof −
have *1*: ⊢ (□ *f* ∨ *f* 𝒰 *g*) = *f* 𝒲 *g*
  by (*auto simp add*: *wait-d-def*)
have *2*: ⊢ (*f* ⟶ □ *f* ∨ *f* 𝒰 *g*) = ((¬ *g*) 𝒰 (¬ *f* ∧ ¬ *g*) ⟶ ¬ *f*)
   using *1 WaitNotDistUntil* **by** *fastforce*
have *3*: ⊢ □ (((¬ *f* ∧ ¬ *g*) ∨ (¬*g* ∧ ○ (¬ *f*))) ⟶ ¬ *f*) ⟶ ((¬ *g*) 𝒰 (¬ *f* ∧ ¬ *g*) ⟶ ¬ *f*)
  using *LFPUntil* **by** *blast*
have *4*: ⊢ □(*f* ⟶ (○*f*) ∨ *g*) ⟶   □(((¬ *f* ∧ ¬ *g*) ∨ (¬*g* ∧ ○ (¬ *f*))) ⟶ ¬ *f*)
  using *UntilInduction-b-help* **by** *simp*
show *?thesis*
using *2 3 4* **by** *fastforce*
qed

lemma *AlwaysImpNotUntilNot*:
⊢ □ *f* ⟶ ¬(*g* 𝒰 (¬ *f*))
by (*simp add*: *UntilImpDiamond always-d-def*)

lemma *UntilAndImp*:
⊢ □ *f* ∧ ◇ *g* ⟶ *f* 𝒰 *g*
proof −
have *1*: ⊢ ◇ *g* = #*True* 𝒰 *g*
  by (*simp add*: *DiamondEqvTrueUntil*)
have *2*: ⊢ □ *f* ∧ #*True* 𝒰 *g* ⟶ (*f* ∧ #*True*) 𝒰 (*f* ∧ *g*)
  using *UntilAlwaysAndDist* **by** *blast*
have *3*: ⊢ (*f* ∧ #*True*) 𝒰 (*f* ∧ *g*) = *f* 𝒰 (*f* ∧ *g*)
  by *simp*
have *4*: ⊢ *f* 𝒰 (*f* ∧ *g*) ⟶ (*f* 𝒰 *f*) 𝒰 *g*
  by (*simp add*: *UntilRightAnd*)
have *5*: ⊢ (*f* 𝒰 *f*) = *f*
  by (*simp add*: *UntilIdempotent*)
have *6*: ⊢ (*f* 𝒰 *f*) 𝒰 *g* = *f* 𝒰 *g*
  by (*simp add*: *5 UntilEqvUntil*)
show *?thesis*
by (*metis 1 2 3 4 5 inteq-reflection lift-imp-trans*)
qed

lemma *UntilCatRule*:

⊢ □ ((f ⟶ g 𝒰 h) ∧ (h ⟶ g 𝒰 i)) ⟶ (f ⟶ (g 𝒰 i))

proof −

 have *1*: ⊢ □ ((f ⟶ g 𝒰 h) ∧ (h ⟶ g 𝒰 i)) ⟶ □ (f ⟶ g 𝒰 h)

  by (*metis BoxElim BoxEqvBoxBox BoxImpBoxRule Prop12 inteq-reflection*)

 have *2*: ⊢ □ ((f ⟶ g 𝒰 h) ∧ (h ⟶ g 𝒰 i)) ⟶ □ (h ⟶ g 𝒰 i)

   by (*metis BoxElim BoxEqvBoxBox BoxImpBoxRule Prop12 inteq-reflection*)

 have *3*: ⊢ □ (h ⟶ g 𝒰 i) ⟶ □ (g 𝒰 h ⟶ g 𝒰 (g 𝒰 i))

   by (*metis BoxEqvBoxBox BoxImpBoxRule UntilRightMono inteq-reflection*)

 have *4*: ⊢ □ (g 𝒰 h ⟶ g 𝒰 (g 𝒰 i)) ⟶ □(g 𝒰 h ⟶ g 𝒰 i)

   by (*metis BoxEqvBoxBox UntilUntil int-iffD1 inteq-reflection*)

 have *5*: ⊢ □ (f ⟶ g 𝒰 h) ⟶ (f ⟶ g 𝒰 h)

   by (*simp add*: *BoxElim*)

 have *6*: ⊢ □(g 𝒰 h ⟶ g 𝒰 i) ⟶ (g 𝒰 h ⟶ g 𝒰 i)

   by (*simp add*: *BoxElim*)

 have *7*: ⊢ (f ⟶ g 𝒰 h) ∧ (g 𝒰 h ⟶ g 𝒰 i) ⟶ (f ⟶ g 𝒰 i)

   by *auto*

 have *8*: ⊢ □ ((f ⟶ g 𝒰 h) ∧ (h ⟶ g 𝒰 i)) ⟶

        (f ⟶ g 𝒰 h) ∧ (g 𝒰 h ⟶ g 𝒰 i)

   using *1 2 3 4 5 6* **by** *fastforce*

 from *7 8* **show** *?thesis* **by** *auto*

qed

lemma *UntilStrengthen*:

⊢ □ ((f ⟶ h) ∧ (g ⟶ i)) ⟶ (f 𝒰 g ⟶ h 𝒰 i)

proof −

 have *1*: ⊢ □ ((f ⟶ h) ∧ (g ⟶ i)) ⟶ (f 𝒰 g ⟶ h 𝒰 g)

  by (*metis BoxImpBoxRule Prop01 UntilLeftMono int-simps(12) int-simps(29) int-simps(9)*

     inteq-reflection lift-imp-trans)

 have *2*: ⊢ □ ((f ⟶ h) ∧ (g ⟶ i)) ⟶ (h 𝒰 g ⟶ h 𝒰 i)

  by (*metis BoxImpBoxRule Prop01 Prop05 UntilIdempotent UntilIntro UntilRightMono inteq-reflection*

     lift-imp-trans)

 have *3*: ⊢ □ ((f ⟶ h) ∧ (g ⟶ i)) ⟶ (f 𝒰 g ⟶ h 𝒰 g) ∧ (h 𝒰 g ⟶ h 𝒰 i)

   using *1 2* **by** *fastforce*

 have *4*: ⊢ (f 𝒰 g ⟶ h 𝒰 g) ∧ (h 𝒰 g ⟶ h 𝒰 i) ⟶ (f 𝒰 g ⟶ h 𝒰 i)

   by *auto*

 from *3 4* **show** *?thesis* **by** *auto*

qed

lemma *UntilInduction*:

⊢ □ (f ⟶ ¬ g ∧ ○ f) ⟶ (f ⟶ ¬(h 𝒰 g))

proof −

 have *1*: ⊢ □ (¬ g) ⟶ ¬(h 𝒰 g)

  by (*simp add*: *UntilImpDiamond always-d-def*)

 have *2*: ⊢ □ (f ⟶ ¬ g ∧ ○ f) ⟶ □(g ∨ #True ∧ ○ (¬ f) ⟶ ¬ f)

   using *UntilInduction-help* **by** *simp*

 have *3*: ⊢ □ (f ⟶ ¬ g ∧ ○ f) ⟶ (#True 𝒰 g ⟶ ¬ f)

769

  using *2 LFPUntil*[*of g LIFT*(#*True*) *LIFT*(¬ *f*)]
 by *fastforce*
 have *4*: ⊢ (#*True* 𝒰 *g* ⟶ ¬ *f*) ⟶ (*f* ⟶ ¬(#*True* 𝒰 *g*))
   by *auto*
 have *5*: ⊢ ¬(#*True* 𝒰 *g*) = □ (¬ *g*)
  using *BoxEqvFalseRelease NotUntilRelease inteq-reflection* **by** *fastforce*
 from *5 4 3 1* **show** *?thesis* **by** *fastforce*
qed

lemma *UntilBoxImphelp1*:
 ⊢ *f* 𝒰 □*g* ⟶ *f* 𝒰 *g*
by (*meson BoxElim BoxGen MP UntilRightMono*)

lemma *UntilBoxImphelp2*:
 ⊢ *more* ∧ *f* 𝒰 □*g* ⟶ ○ (*f* 𝒰 □*g*)
proof −
 have *f4*: ⊢ *wnext* (*f* 𝒰 □*g*) = (*empty* ∨ ○ (*f* 𝒰 □*g*))
  by (*meson WnextEqvEmptyOrNext*)
 have *f5*: ⊢ □ *g* = (*g* ∧ *wnext* (□*g*))
  by (*metis* (*no-types*) *BoxEqvAndWnextBox*)
 have *f6*: ⊢*f* 𝒰 □*g* = (□*g* ∨ *f* ∧ ○ (*f* 𝒰 □*g*))
  by (*meson UntilUnrol*)
 have ⊢ *g* ∧ *wnext* (□*g*) ⟶ *empty* ∨ ○ (*f* 𝒰 □*g*)
  by (*metis NextUntil Prop01 Prop05 Prop08 UntilIntro WnextEqvEmptyOrNext int-iffD1*
    inteq-reflection)
 then have ⊢ *more* ∧ *f* 𝒰 □*g* ⟶ *empty* ∨ ○ (*f* 𝒰 □*g*)
  using *f6 f5* **by** *fastforce*
 then show *?thesis*
  using *f4* **using** *WnextAndMoreEqvNext* **by** *fastforce*
qed

lemma *UntilBoxImp*:
 ⊢ *f* 𝒰 (□ *g*) ⟶ □(*f* 𝒰 *g*)
using *BoxIntro*[*of LIFT*(*f* 𝒰 (□ *g*)) *LIFT*(*f* 𝒰 *g*)]
by (*simp add*: *UntilBoxImphelp1 UntilBoxImphelp2*)

lemma *UntilBoxEqvBox*:
 ⊢ *f* 𝒰 (□ *f*) = □ *f*
proof −
 have *1*: ⊢ *f* 𝒰 (□ *f*) ⟶ □(*f* 𝒰 *f*)
  using *UntilBoxImp*[*of f f*] **by** *auto*
 have *2*: ⊢ □(*f* 𝒰 *f*) = □ *f*
  by (*simp add*: *BoxEqvBox UntilIdempotent*)
 have *3*: ⊢ □ *f* ⟶ *f* 𝒰 (□ *f*)
  by (*simp add*: *UntilIntro*)
 from *1 2 3* **show** *?thesis* **by** *fastforce*
qed

lemma *UntilRightStrengthen*:

$\vdash f \mathcal{U} (g \wedge h) \longrightarrow f \mathcal{U} (g \mathcal{U} h)$
by (*meson BoxGen MP OrImpUntil UntilRightMono*)

lemma *UntilLeftStrengthen*:
$\vdash (f \wedge g) \mathcal{U} h \longrightarrow (f \mathcal{U} g) \mathcal{U} \ h$
 by (*simp add*: *OrImpUntil UntilImpUntil*)

lemma *UntilLeftAndOrder*:
$\vdash (f \wedge g) \mathcal{U} h \longrightarrow f \mathcal{U} (g \mathcal{U} h)$
by (*metis Prop05 Prop07 ReleaseUntil RightUntilAbsorp UntilAbsorp-c UntilAndDist*
  UntilRightStrengthen inteq-reflection)

lemma *UntilFrameNext*:
$\vdash \Box f \longrightarrow (\bigcirc g \longrightarrow \bigcirc (f \mathcal{U} g))$
by (*simp add*: *NextImpNext Prop01 Prop05 Prop09 UntilIntro*)

lemma *UntilFrameDiamond*:
$\vdash \Box f \longrightarrow (\Diamond g \longrightarrow \Diamond (f \mathcal{U} g))$
by (*meson NowImpDiamond Prop09 UntilAndImp lift-imp-trans*)

lemma *UntilFrameBox*:
$\vdash \Box f \longrightarrow (\Box g \longrightarrow \Box (f \mathcal{U} g))$
by (*simp add*: *BoxAndBoxImpBoxRule OrImpUntil Prop09*)

lemma *UntilAndRule*:
$\vdash f \mathcal{U} g = (f \wedge \neg g) \mathcal{U} g$
proof $-$
 have *1*: $\vdash (f \wedge \neg g) \mathcal{U} g \longrightarrow f \mathcal{U} g$
  using *UntilAndDist* **by** *fastforce*
 show *?thesis* **by** (*simp add*: *1 UntilImpNot int-iffI*)
qed

lemma *UntilWait*:
$\vdash f \mathcal{U} g = (f \mathcal{W} g \wedge \Diamond g)$
proof $-$
 have *1*: $\vdash f \mathcal{U} g \longrightarrow f \mathcal{W} g \wedge \Diamond g$
  by (*simp add*: *Prop05 Prop12 UntilImpDiamond wait-d-def*)
 have *2*: $\vdash (f \mathcal{W} g \wedge \Diamond g) = ((\Box f \vee f \mathcal{U} g) \wedge \Diamond g)$
  by (*auto simp add*: *wait-d-def*)
 have *3*: $\vdash ((\Box f \vee f \mathcal{U} g) \wedge \Diamond g) = ((\Box f \wedge \Diamond g) \vee (f \mathcal{U} g \wedge \Diamond g))$
  by *auto*
 have *4*: $\vdash (\Box f \wedge \Diamond g) \longrightarrow f \mathcal{U} g$
  by (*simp add*: *UntilAndImp*)
 have *5*: $\vdash (f \mathcal{U} g \wedge \Diamond g) \longrightarrow f \mathcal{U} g$
  by *auto*
 show *?thesis*
 using *1 2 4* **by** *fastforce*
qed

lemma *WaitUntilb*:

$\vdash f \; \mathcal{W} \; g = (\Box \; (\; f \wedge \neg \; g) \vee f \; \mathcal{U} \; g)$
proof $-$
 have 1: $\vdash f \; \mathcal{W} \; g = (f \wedge \neg \; g) \; \mathcal{W} \; g$
  by (*simp add*: *WaitAndRule*)
 have 2: $\vdash (f \wedge \neg \; g) \; \mathcal{W} \; g = (\Box \; (f \wedge \neg \; g) \vee (f \wedge \neg \; g) \; \mathcal{U} \; g)$
  by (*auto simp add*: *wait-d-def*)
 have 3: $\vdash (f \wedge \neg \; g) \; \mathcal{U} \; g = f \; \mathcal{U} \; g$
  by (*meson Prop11 UntilAndRule*)
 show *?thesis*
 using $1 \; 2 \; 3$ **by** *fastforce*
qed

lemma *UntilNotDistWait*:
$\vdash (\neg \; (f \; \mathcal{U} \; g)) = (\neg \; g) \; \mathcal{W} \; (\neg \; f \wedge \neg \; g)$
proof $-$
 have 1: $\vdash (\neg \; ((\neg \; g) \; \mathcal{W} \; (\neg \; f \wedge \neg \; g))) = (\neg(\neg \; f \wedge \neg \; g)) \; \mathcal{U} \; (\; \neg \; (\neg \; g) \wedge \neg \; (\neg \; f \wedge \neg \; g))$
  using *WaitNotDistUntil* **by** *blast*
 have 2: $\vdash (\neg(\neg \; f \wedge \neg \; g)) = (f \vee g)$
   by *auto*
 have 3: $\vdash (\; \neg \; (\neg \; g) \wedge \neg \; (\neg \; f \wedge \neg \; g)) = g$
  by *auto*
 have 4: $\vdash (\neg(\neg \; f \wedge \neg \; g)) \; \mathcal{U} \; (\; \neg \; (\neg \; g) \wedge \neg \; (\neg \; f \wedge \neg \; g)) =$
       $(f \vee g) \; \mathcal{U} \; g$
  using $2 \; 3$ *UntilEqvUntil* **by** *blast*
 have 5: $\vdash (f \vee g) \; \mathcal{U} \; g = ((f \vee g) \wedge \neg g) \; \mathcal{U} \; g$
  by (*simp add*: *UntilAndRule*)
 have 6: $\vdash ((f \vee g) \wedge \neg g) = (f \wedge \neg \; g)$
  by *auto*
 have 7: $\vdash ((f \vee g) \wedge \neg g) \; \mathcal{U} \; g = (f \wedge \neg g) \; \mathcal{U} \; g$
  using 6 *inteq-reflection* **by** *fastforce*
 have 8: $\vdash (f \wedge \neg g) \; \mathcal{U} \; g = f \; \mathcal{U} \; g$
   by (*meson Prop11 UntilAndRule*)
 have 9: $\vdash f \; \mathcal{U} \; g = (\neg \; ((\neg \; g) \; \mathcal{W} \; (\neg \; f \wedge \neg \; g)))$
   using $1 \; 4 \; 5 \; 7 \; 8$ **by** *fastforce*
 show *?thesis* **using** 9 **by** *auto*
qed

lemma *UntilImpWait*:
$\vdash f \; \mathcal{U} \; g \longrightarrow f \; \mathcal{W} \; g$
by (*meson Prop03 WaitUntilb*)

lemma *WaitAndDist*:
$\vdash (\Box \; f \wedge g \; \mathcal{W} \; h) \longrightarrow (f \wedge g) \; \mathcal{W} \; (f \wedge h)$
proof $-$
 have 1: $\vdash (\Box \; f \wedge g \; \mathcal{W} \; h) = (\Box \; f \wedge (\Box \; g \vee g \; \mathcal{U} \; h))$
  by (*auto simp add*: *wait-d-def*)
 have 2: $\vdash (\Box \; f \wedge (\Box \; g \vee g \; \mathcal{U} \; h)) = ((\Box f \wedge \Box g) \vee (\Box \; f \wedge g \; \mathcal{U} \; h))$
  by *auto*
 have 3: $\vdash (\Box f \wedge \Box g) = \Box(f \wedge g)$
  by (*simp add*: *BoxAndBoxEqvBoxRule*)

have *4*: ⊢ (□ *f* ∧ *g* 𝒰 *h*) ⟶ (*f* ∧ *g*) 𝒰 (*f* ∧ *h*)
  by (*simp add*: *UntilAlwaysAndDist*)
have *5*: ⊢ ((□*f* ∧ □*g*) ∨ (□ *f* ∧ *g* 𝒰 *h*)) ⟶ □(*f* ∧ *g*) ∨ (*f* ∧ *g*) 𝒰 (*f* ∧ *h*)
  using *3 4* **by** *fastforce*
have *6*: ⊢ (□(*f* ∧ *g*) ∨ (*f* ∧ *g*) 𝒰 (*f* ∧ *h*)) = (*f* ∧ *g*) 𝒲 (*f* ∧ *h*)
  by (*auto simp add*: *wait-d-def*)
show *?thesis*
using *1 5 6* **by** *fastforce*
qed

lemma *WaitDiamondOr*:
⊢ *f* 𝒲 (◇ *g*) = (□ *f* ∨ ◇ *g*)
proof −
have *1*: ⊢ *f* 𝒲 (◇ *g*) = (□ *f* ∨ *f* 𝒰 (◇ *g*))
 by (*auto simp add*: *wait-d-def*)
have *2*: ⊢ *f* 𝒰 (◇ *g*) = ◇ *g*
 by (*simp add*: *UntilAbsorpDiamond*)
show *?thesis* **using** *1 2 Prop06* **by** *blast*
qed

lemma *WaitBoxImp*:
⊢ *f* 𝒲 (□ *g*) ⟶ □ (*f* 𝒲 *g*)
proof −
have *1*: ⊢ *f* 𝒲 (□ *g*) = (□ *f* ∨ *f* 𝒰 (□ *g*))
by (*auto simp add*: *wait-d-def*)
have *2*: ⊢ □ *f* = □ (□ *f*)
  by (*simp add*: *BoxEqvBoxBox*)
have *3*: ⊢ *f* 𝒰 (□ *g*) ⟶ □(*f* 𝒰 *g*)
 by (*simp add*: *UntilBoxImp*)
have *4*: ⊢ (□ *f* ∨ *f* 𝒰 (□ *g*)) ⟶ (□ (□ *f*) ∨ □(*f* 𝒰 *g*))
  using *2 3* **by** *fastforce*
have *5*: ⊢ □ (□ *f*) ⟶ □(□ *f* ∨ *f* 𝒰 *g*)
  by (*metis BoxImpBoxRule Prop08 UntilIdempotent UntilIntro int-simps*(*11*) *int-simps*(*25*)
     inteq-reflection)
have *6*: ⊢ □(*f* 𝒰 *g*) ⟶ □(□ *f* ∨ *f* 𝒰 *g*)
  by (*metis BoxImpBoxRule UntilImpWait wait-d-def*)
have *7*: ⊢ (□ (□ *f*) ∨ □(*f* 𝒰 *g*)) ⟶ □(□ *f* ∨ *f* 𝒰 *g*)
   using *5 6* **by** *fastforce*
have *6*: ⊢ □(□ *f* ∨ *f* 𝒰 *g*) = □ (*f* 𝒲 *g*)
   by (*simp add*: *wait-d-def*)
show *?thesis*
by (*metis 4 7 lift-imp-trans wait-d-def*)
qed

lemma *WaitAbsorptionBox*:
⊢ *f* 𝒲 (□ *f*) = □ *f*
by (*metis Prop02 Prop11 UntilBoxEqvBox UntilImpWait inteq-reflection wait-d-def*)

lemma *BoxImpWait*:

⊢ □ f ⟶ f 𝒲 g
by (*auto simp add*: *wait-d-def*)

lemma *WaitDistNext*:
⊢ ○ (f 𝒲 g) = (○ f) 𝒲 (○ g)
— nitpick finds counterexample
oops

lemma *WnextAlwaysEqvAlwaysWnext*:
⊢ wnext (□ f) = □(wnext f)
  by (*metis* (*no-types*, *lifting*) *NextDiamondEqvDiamondNext always-d-def int-eq int-simps*(*4*)
     wnext-d-def)

lemma *WaitExpand*:
⊢ f 𝒲 g = (g ∨ (f ∧ ○(f 𝒲 g)))
— nitpick finds counterexample
oops

lemma *WaitExpand*:
⊢ f 𝒲 g = (g ∨ (f ∧ wnext(f 𝒲 g)))
proof −
 have 1: ⊢ f 𝒲 g = (□ f ∨ f 𝒰 g)
  by (*simp add*: *wait-d-def*)
 have 2: ⊢ □ f = (f ∧ wnext(□ f))
  by (*simp add*: *BoxEqvAndWnextBox*)
 have 3: ⊢ f 𝒰 g = (g ∨ (f ∧ ○ (f 𝒰 g)))
   using *UntilUnrol* **by** *blast*
 have 4: ⊢ (f ∧ wnext(□ f)) = (f ∧ (empty ∨ ○ (□ f)))
   using 2 *BoxEqvAndEmptyOrNextBox* **by** *fastforce*
 have 5: ⊢ wnext(f 𝒲 g) = (empty ∨ ○ (f 𝒲 g))
   using *WnextEqvEmptyOrNext* **by** *blast*
 have 6: ⊢ (f ∧ (empty ∨ ○ (□ f))) = ((f ∧ empty) ∨ (f ∧ ○ (□ f)))
   by *auto*
 have 7: ⊢ (((f ∧ empty) ∨ (f ∧ ○ (□ f))) ∨
         (g ∨ (f ∧ ○ (f 𝒰 g)))) =
         (g ∨ (f ∧ (empty ∨ ○ (□f) ∨ ○ (f 𝒰 g))))
     by *auto*
 have 8: ⊢ (○ (□f) ∨ ○ (f 𝒰 g)) = ○(□f ∨ f 𝒰 g)
   by (*metis ChopOrEqv Prop11 next-d-def*)
 show *?thesis*
 by (*metis 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 inteq-reflection*)
qed

lemma *WaitExclMid*:
⊢ f 𝒲 g ∨ f 𝒲 (¬ g)
using *WaitExpand*
proof −
 have 1: ⊢ f 𝒲 g = (g ∨ f ∧ wnext (f 𝒲 g))
  by (*simp add*: *WaitExpand*)
 have 2: ⊢ f 𝒲 (¬ g) = ((¬ g) ∨ f ∧ wnext (f 𝒲 (¬ g)))

by (*simp add*: *WaitExpand*)
 have *3*: ⊢ (*f* 𝒲 *g* ∨ *f* 𝒲 (¬ *g*)) =
          ((*g* ∨ *f* ∧ *wnext* (*f* 𝒲 *g*)) ∨ ((¬ *g*) ∨ *f* ∧ *wnext* (*f* 𝒲 (¬ *g*))))
 using *1 2* **by** *fastforce*
 from *3* **show** *?thesis* **by** *fastforce*
qed

lemma *WaitleftZero*:
 ⊢ #*True* 𝒲 *g*
 by (*meson BoxGen BoxImpWait MP TrueW*)

lemma *WaitLeftDistOr*:
 ⊢ *f* 𝒲 (*g* ∨ *h*) = (*f* 𝒲 *g* ∨ *f* 𝒲 *h*)
 proof −
 have *1*: ⊢ *f* 𝒲 (*g* ∨ *h*) = (□ *f* ∨ *f* 𝒰 (*g* ∨ *h*))
   by (*simp add*: *wait-d-def*)
 have *2*: ⊢ (*f* 𝒲 *g* ∨ *f* 𝒲 *h*) = ((□ *f* ∨ *f* 𝒰 *g*) ∨ (□ *f* ∨ *f* 𝒰 *h*))
  by (*simp add*: *wait-d-def*)
 have *3*: ⊢ *f* 𝒰 (*g* ∨ *h*) = (*f* 𝒰 *g* ∨ *f* 𝒰 *h*)
   by (*simp add*: *UntilOrDist*)
 from *1 2 3* **show** *?thesis* **by** *fastforce*
qed

lemma *WaitRightDistOr*:
 ⊢ *f* 𝒲 *h* ∨ *g* 𝒲 *h* ⟶ (*f* ∨ *g*) 𝒲 *h*
 proof −
 have *0*:⊢ □ *g* ⟶ □ (*f* ∨ *g*)
   by (*simp add*: *BoxImpBoxRule intI*)
 have *1*: ⊢ □*f* ⟶ □ (*f* ∨ *g*)
   by (*simp add*: *BoxImpBoxRule intI*)
 have *11*: ⊢ □ *f* ∨ □ *g* ⟶ □ (*f* ∨ *g*)
   using *0 1 Prop02* **by** *blast*
 have *2*: ⊢ *f* 𝒲 *h* = (□ *f* ∨ *f* 𝒰 *h*)
   by (*simp add*: *wait-d-def*)
 have *3*:⊢ (*f* ∨ *g*) 𝒲 *h* = (□ (*f* ∨ *g*) ∨ (*f* ∨ *g*) 𝒰 *h*)
   by (*simp add*: *wait-d-def*)
 have *4*: ⊢ *g* 𝒲 *h* = (□*g* ∨ *g* 𝒰 *h*)
   by (*simp add*: *wait-d-def*)
 have *5*: ⊢ *f* 𝒰 *h* ∨ *g* 𝒰 *h* ⟶ (*f* ∨ *g*) 𝒰 *h*
   using *UntilRightDistOr* **by** *simp*
 have *6*:⊢ (*f* 𝒲 *h* ∨ *g* 𝒲 *h*) = ((□ *f* ∨ □ *g*) ∨ (*f* 𝒰 *h* ∨ *g* 𝒰 *h*))
   using *2 4* **by** *fastforce*
 from *11 5 6 3* **show** *?thesis*
 using *BoxImpWait* **by** *fastforce*
qed

lemma *WaitOrRule*:
$\vdash f \; \mathcal{W} \; g = (f \vee g) \; \mathcal{W} \; g$
proof $-$
 have *1*: $\vdash f \; \mathcal{W} \; g \longrightarrow (f \vee g) \; \mathcal{W} \; g$
  by (*metis* (*no-types*, *lifting*) *Prop03 Prop10 UntilAbsorp-a WaitNotDistUntil int-iffD1 int-simps*(*14*)
    int-simps(*32*) *int-simps*(*33*) *inteq-reflection*)
 have *2*: $\vdash (f \vee g) \; \mathcal{W} \; g \longrightarrow f \; \mathcal{W} \; g$
  by (*metis* (*no-types*, *lifting*) *Prop03 Prop10 WaitNotDistUntil int-iffD2 int-simps*(*14*)
    int-simps(*32*) *int-simps*(*33*) *inteq-reflection*)
 from *1 2* **show** *?thesis* **by** *fastforce*
qed

lemma *UntilOrRule*:
$\vdash f \; \mathcal{U} \; g = (f \vee g) \; \mathcal{U} \; g$
by (*metis UntilWait WaitOrRule inteq-reflection*)

lemma *WaitRule*:
$\vdash (\neg f) \; \mathcal{W} \; f$
by (*metis BoxGen BoxImpWait MP WaitOrRule int-eq-true int-simps*(*29*) *inteq-reflection*)

lemma *UntilRule*:
$\vdash (\neg f) \; \mathcal{U} \; f = \Diamond \; f$
using *DiamondEqvTrueUntil UntilOrRule inteq-reflection* **by** *fastforce*

lemma *DiamondUntilImpRule*:
$\vdash \Diamond \; f \longrightarrow (f \longrightarrow g) \; \mathcal{U} \; f$
using *UntilWait WaitImpRule* **by** *fastforce*

lemma *WaitNotDist*:
$\vdash (\neg (f \; \mathcal{W} \; g)) = (f \wedge \neg g) \; \mathcal{U} \; (\neg f \wedge \neg g)$
proof $-$
 have *1*: $\vdash (\neg (f \; \mathcal{W} \; g)) = (\neg g) \; \mathcal{U} \; (\neg f \wedge \neg g)$
  using *WaitNotDistUntil* **by** *blast*
 have *2*: $\vdash (\neg g) \; \mathcal{U} \; (\neg f \wedge \neg g) = (\neg g \wedge \neg(\neg f \wedge \neg g)) \; \mathcal{U} \; (\neg f \wedge \neg g)$
  using *UntilAndRule* **by** *blast*
 have *3*: $\vdash (\neg g \wedge \neg(\neg f \wedge \neg g)) = (f \wedge \neg g)$
  by *auto*
 have *4*: $\vdash (\neg g \wedge \neg(\neg f \wedge \neg g)) \; \mathcal{U} \; (\neg f \wedge \neg g) = (f \wedge \neg g) \; \mathcal{U} \; (\neg f \wedge \neg g)$
  using *3 inteq-reflection* **by** *force*
 show *?thesis* **using** *1 2 4* **by** *fastforce*
qed

lemma *UntilNotDist*:
$\vdash (\neg (f \; \mathcal{U} \; g)) = (f \wedge \neg g) \; \mathcal{W} \; (\neg f \wedge \neg g)$
proof $-$
 have *1*: $\vdash (\neg (f \; \mathcal{U} \; g)) = (\neg g) \; \mathcal{W} \; (\neg f \wedge \neg g)$
  using *UntilNotDistWait* **by** *blast*
 have *2*: $\vdash (\neg g) \; \mathcal{W} \; (\neg f \wedge \neg g) = (\neg g \wedge \neg(\neg f \wedge \neg g)) \; \mathcal{W} \; (\neg f \wedge \neg g)$
  by (*simp add*: *WaitAndRule*)

776

have *3*: $\vdash (\neg\, g \wedge \neg(\neg\, f \wedge \neg\, g)) = (f \wedge \neg\, g)$
  by *auto*
have *4*: $\vdash (\neg\, g \wedge \neg(\neg\, f \wedge \neg\, g))\ \mathcal{W}\ (\neg\, f \wedge \neg\, g) = (f \wedge \neg\, g)\ \mathcal{W}\ (\neg\, f \wedge \neg\, g)$
  using *3 inteq-reflection* **by** *force*
 show *?thesis* **using** *1 2 4* **by** *fastforce*
qed

lemma *UntilDuala*:
$\vdash (\neg\, (\, (\neg\, f)\ \mathcal{U}\ (\neg\, g))) = g\ \mathcal{W}\ (f \wedge g)$
proof $-$
 have *1*: $\vdash (\neg\, (\, (\neg\, f)\ \mathcal{U}\ (\neg\, g))) = (\neg\, f \wedge \neg\, (\neg\, g))\ \mathcal{W}\ (\, \neg(\neg\, f) \wedge \neg\, (\neg\, g))$
  using *UntilNotDist* **by** *blast*
 have *2*: $\vdash (\neg\, f \wedge g)\ \mathcal{W}\ (\, f \wedge g) = g\ \mathcal{W}\ (\, f \wedge g)$
  using *1 UntilNotDistWait int-eq* **by** *fastforce*
 show *?thesis*
 using *1 2* **by** *fastforce*
qed

lemma *UntilDualb*:
$\vdash (\neg\, (\, (\neg\, f)\ \mathcal{U}\ (\neg\, g))) = (\neg\, f \wedge g)\ \mathcal{W}\ (f \wedge g)$
proof $-$
 have *1*: $\vdash (\neg\, (\, (\neg\, f)\ \mathcal{U}\ (\neg\, g))) = (\neg\, f \wedge \neg\, (\neg\, g))\ \mathcal{W}\ (\, \neg(\neg\, f) \wedge \neg\, (\neg\, g))$
  using *UntilNotDist* **by** *blast*
 show *?thesis*
 using *1* **by** *auto*
qed

lemma *WaitDuala*:
$\vdash (\neg\, (\, (\neg\, f)\ \mathcal{W}\ (\neg\, g))) = g\ \mathcal{U}\ (f \wedge g)$
proof $-$
 have *1*: $\vdash (\neg\, (\, (\neg\, f)\ \mathcal{W}\ (\neg\, g))) = (\neg\, f \wedge \neg\, (\neg\, g))\ \mathcal{U}\ (\, \neg(\neg\, f) \wedge \neg\, (\neg\, g))$
  using *WaitNotDist* **by** *blast*
 have *2*: $\vdash (\neg\, f \wedge g)\ \mathcal{U}\ (\, f \wedge g) = g\ \mathcal{U}\ (\, f \wedge g)$
  using *1 WaitNotDistUntil int-eq* **by** *fastforce*
 show *?thesis*
 using *1 2* **by** *fastforce*
qed

lemma *WaitDualb*:
$\vdash (\neg\, (\, (\neg\, f)\ \mathcal{W}\ (\neg\, g))) = (\neg\, f \wedge g)\ \mathcal{U}\ (f \wedge g)$
proof $-$
 have *1*: $\vdash (\neg\, (\, (\neg\, f)\ \mathcal{W}\ (\neg\, g))) = (\neg\, f \wedge \neg\, (\neg\, g))\ \mathcal{U}\ (\, \neg(\neg\, f) \wedge \neg\, (\neg\, g))$
  using *WaitNotDist* **by** *blast*
 show *?thesis* **using** *1* **by** *auto*
qed

lemma *WaitIdempotent*:
$\vdash f\ \mathcal{W}\ f = f$
by (*meson BoxElim Prop02 Prop12 UntilIdempotent UntilImpWait UntilIntro WaitUntilb int-iffD1*
  int-iffI lift-imp-trans)

lemma *WaitRightZero*:

⊢ *f* 𝒲 #*True*

by (*meson MP TrueW UntilImpWait UntilIntro*)

lemma *WaitLeftIdentity*:

⊢ #*False* 𝒲 *g* = *g*

by (*metis* (*no-types, lifting*) *UntilAbsorp-c UntilNotDistWait WaitDuala WaitIdempotent WaitSRelease int-eq int-simps*(*17*) *int-simps*(*3*) *srelease-d-def*)

lemma *WaitImpOr*:

⊢ *f* 𝒲 *g* ⟶ *f* ∨ *g*

by (*metis Prop03 WaitIdempotent WaitLeftDistOr WaitOrRule inteq-reflection*)

lemma *BoxOrImpWait*:

⊢ □(*f* ∨ *g*) ⟶ *f* 𝒲 *g*

using *BoxImpWait WaitOrRule* **by** *fastforce*

lemma *BoxImpImpWait*:

⊢ □(¬ *g* ⟶ *f*) ⟶ *f* 𝒲 *g*

proof −

have *1*: ⊢ (¬ *g* ⟶ *f*) = (*f* ∨ *g*)

　　by *auto*

have *2*: ⊢ □ (¬ *g* ⟶ *f*) = □(*f* ∨ *g*)

　　using *1 BoxEqvBox* **by** *blast*

　show ?*thesis* **using** *2 BoxOrImpWait* **by** *fastforce*

qed

lemma *WaitInsertion*:

⊢ *g* ⟶ *f* 𝒲 *g*

by (*simp add*: *Prop05 UntilIntro wait-d-def*)

lemma *WaitFrameNext*:

⊢ □ *f* ⟶ (○ *g* ⟶ ○ (*f* 𝒲 *g*))

by (*simp add*: *NextImpNext Prop01 Prop05 Prop09 WaitInsertion*)

lemma *WaitFrameDiamond*:

⊢ □ *f* ⟶ (◇ *g* ⟶ ◇ (*f* 𝒲 *g*))

by (*simp add*: *DiamondImpDiamond Prop01 Prop05 Prop09 WaitInsertion*)

lemma *WaitFrameBox*:

⊢ □ *f* ⟶ (□ *g* ⟶ □ (*f* 𝒲 *g*))

by (*meson BoxAndBoxImpBoxRule OrImpUntil Prop09 UntilImpWait lift-imp-trans*)

lemma *WaitInductiona*:

⊢ □ (*f* ⟶ (○ *f* ∧ *g*) ∨ *h*) ⟶ (*f* ⟶ *g* 𝒲 *h*)

by (*simp add*: *UntilInduction-a wait-d-def*)

lemma *WaitInductionb*:

$\vdash \square (f \longrightarrow \bigcirc f \vee g) \longrightarrow (f \longrightarrow f \mathcal{W} g)$
by (*simp add*: *UntilInduction-b wait-d-def*)

lemma *WaitInductionc*:
$\vdash \square(f \longrightarrow \bigcirc f) \longrightarrow (f \longrightarrow f \mathcal{W} g)$
by (*meson WaitInductionc-help BoxImpWait BoxInduct Prop09 lift-imp-trans*)

lemma *WaitInductiond*:
$\vdash \square (f \longrightarrow g \wedge \bigcirc f) \longrightarrow (f \longrightarrow f \mathcal{W} g)$
by (*meson WaitInductiond-help BoxImpWait BoxInduct Prop09 lift-imp-trans*)

lemma *WaitAbsorptiona*:
$\vdash (f \vee f \mathcal{W} g) = (f \vee g)$
proof $-$
have *1*: $\vdash (f \vee f \mathcal{W} g) \longrightarrow (f \vee g)$
  using *WaitImpOr* **by** *fastforce*
have *2*: $\vdash f \vee g \longrightarrow f \vee f \mathcal{W} g$
  using *WaitInsertion* **by** *fastforce*
show *?thesis* **using** *1 2 int-iffI* **by** *blast*
qed

lemma *WaitAbsorptionb*:
$\vdash (f \mathcal{W} g \vee g) = f \mathcal{W} g$
by (*metis* (*no-types*, *lifting*) *BoxEqvBoxBox UntilAbsorp-a UntilAbsorp-b WaitAbsorptiona*
    WaitLeftDistOr WaitOrRule inteq-reflection wait-d-def)

lemma *WaitAbsorptionc*:
$\vdash (f \mathcal{W} g \wedge g) = g$
using *WaitInsertion* **by** *fastforce*

lemma *WaitAbsorptiond*:
$\vdash (f \mathcal{W} g \wedge (f \vee g)) = f \mathcal{W} g$
by (*meson Prop10 Prop11 WaitImpOr*)

lemma *WaitAbsorptione*:
$\vdash (f \mathcal{W} g \vee (f \wedge g)) = f \mathcal{W} g$
by (*metis* (*no-types*, *lifting*) *BoxEqvBoxBox UntilAbsorp-a UntilAbsorp-d WaitAbsorptiona*
    WaitLeftDistOr WaitOrRule inteq-reflection wait-d-def)

lemma *WaitLeftAbsorption*:
$\vdash f \mathcal{W} (f \mathcal{W} g) = f \mathcal{W} g$
by (*metis* (*no-types*, *lifting*) *BoxEqvBoxBox UntilUntil WaitAbsorptionBox WaitAbsorptiona*
    WaitLeftDistOr inteq-reflection wait-d-def)

lemma *WaitRightAbsorption*:
$\vdash (f \mathcal{W} g) \mathcal{W} g = f \mathcal{W} g$
by (*metis* (*no-types*, *lifting*) *LeftUntilAbsorp Prop10 WaitInsertion WaitNotDistUntil int-iffD1*
    int-iffI int-simps(*32*) *inteq-reflection*)

lemma *WaitBox*:

779

$\vdash \square\, f = f\ \mathcal{W}\ \#False$
by (*metis* (*no-types*, *lifting*) *BoxGen DiamondNotEqvNotBox UntilAbsorpAndDiamond UntilAbsorp-c
int-eq-true int-simps*(*2*) *int-simps*(*25*) *inteq-reflection wait-d-def*)

lemma *WaitDiamond*:
$\vdash \diamond\, f = (\neg(\,(\neg\, f)\ \mathcal{W}\ \#False))$
using *DiamondNotEqvNotBox WaitBox* **by** *fastforce*

lemma *WaitImp*:
$\vdash f\ \mathcal{W}\ g \longrightarrow \square\, f \vee \diamond\, g$
by (*metis Prop08 UntilImpDiamond WaitAbsorptionb WaitImpOr WaitRightAbsorption int-eq wait-d-def*)

lemma *WaitRightUntilAbsorption*:
$\vdash f\ \mathcal{W}\ (f\ \mathcal{U}\ g) = f\ \mathcal{W}\ g$
by (*metis UntilUntil WaitOrRule inteq-reflection wait-d-def*)

lemma *WaitLeftUntilAbsorption*:
$\vdash (f\ \mathcal{U}\ g)\ \mathcal{W}\ g = f\ \mathcal{U}\ g$
by (*metis Prop11 RightUntilAbsorp UntilAbsorp-b UntilImpWait WaitImpOr inteq-reflection*)

lemma *UntilRightWaitAbsorption*:
$\vdash f\ \mathcal{U}\ (f\ \mathcal{W}\ g) = f\ \mathcal{W}\ g$
using *UntilImpWait UntilIntro WaitLeftAbsorption* **by** *fastforce*

lemma *UntilLeftWaitAbsorption*:
$\vdash (f\ \mathcal{W}\ g)\ \mathcal{U}\ g = f\ \mathcal{U}\ g$
by (*metis UntilWait WaitRightAbsorption inteq-reflection*)

lemma *WaitDiamondAbsorption*:
$\vdash (\diamond\, g)\ \mathcal{W}\ g = \diamond\, g$
by (*metis DiamondEqvTrueUntil WaitLeftUntilAbsorption inteq-reflection*)

lemma *WaitAndBoxAbsorption*:
$\vdash (\square\, f \wedge f\ \mathcal{W}\ g) = \square\, f$
by (*meson BoxImpWait NotDiamondNotEqvBox Prop04 Prop10*)

lemma *WaitOrBoxAbsorption*:
$\vdash (\square\, f \vee f\ \mathcal{W}\ g) = f\ \mathcal{W}\ g$
by (*metis UntilRightWaitAbsorption WaitLeftAbsorption inteq-reflection wait-d-def*)

lemma *WaitAndBoxImpBox*:
$\vdash f\ \mathcal{W}\ g \wedge \square\, (\neg\, g) \longrightarrow \square\, f$
by (*metis* (*no-types*, *hide-lams*) *Prop02 Prop05 Prop07 Prop08 UntilIdempotent UntilImpDiamond
UntilIntro always-d-def int-simps*(*25*) *int-simps*(*4*) *inteq-reflection wait-d-def*)

lemma *BoxImpUntilOrBox*:
$\vdash \square\, f \longrightarrow f\ \mathcal{U}\ g \vee \square\, (\neg\, g)$
proof −
 have *1*: $\vdash (\square\, f \longrightarrow f\ \mathcal{U}\ g \vee \square\, (\neg\, g)) =$
  $((\square\, f \wedge \diamond\, g)\ \longrightarrow f\ \mathcal{U}\ g)$

by (*auto simp add*: *always-d-def*)
have *2*: ⊢ (□ *f* ∧ ◇ *g*) ⟶ *f* 𝒰 *g*
  using *UntilAndImp* **by** *blast*
 show *?thesis*
 using *1 2* **by** *fastforce*
qed

lemma *NotBoxAndWaitImpDiamond*:
⊢ ¬(□ *f*) ∧ *f* 𝒲 *g* ⟶ ◇ *g*
using *WaitImp* **by** *fastforce*

lemma *DiamondImpNotBoxOrUntil*:
⊢ ◇ *g* ⟶ ¬ (□ *f*) ∨ *f* 𝒰 *g*
proof −
have *1*: ⊢ ◇ *g* ∧ □ *f* ⟶ *f* 𝒰 *g*
  using *UntilAndImp* **by** *fastforce*
 show *?thesis* **using** *1* **by** *auto*
qed

lemma *WaitLeftMono*:
⊢ □ (*f* ⟶ *g*) ⟶ (*f* 𝒲 *h* ⟶ *g* 𝒲 *h*)
by (*meson BoxImpWait WaitRightDistImp lift-imp-trans*)

lemma *WaitRightMono*:
⊢ □ (*f* ⟶ *g*) ⟶ (*h* 𝒲 *f* ⟶ *h* 𝒲 *g*)
proof −
have *1*: ⊢ □ (*f* ⟶ *g*) ⟶ (*h* 𝒰 *f* ⟶ *h* 𝒰 *g*)
  by (*simp add*: *UntilRightMono*)
have *2*: ⊢ □ (*f* ⟶ *g*) ⟶ (□ *h* ⟶ □ *h* ∨ *h* 𝒰 *g*)
  by *auto*
have *3*: ⊢ □ (*f* ⟶ *g*) ⟶ (*h* 𝒰 *f* ⟶ □ *h* ∨ *h* 𝒰 *g*)
  using *1* **by** *auto*
have *4*: ⊢ □ (*f* ⟶ *g*) ⟶ (□ *h* ∨ *h* 𝒰 *f* ⟶ □ *h* ∨ *h* 𝒰 *g*)
  using *2 3* **by** *fastforce*
from *4* **show** *?thesis* **by** (*simp add*: *wait-d-def*)
qed

lemma *WaitStrengthen*:
⊢ □((*f* ⟶ *h*) ∧ (*g* ⟶ *i*)) ⟶ (*f* 𝒲 *g* ⟶ *h* 𝒲 *i*)
proof −
have *1*: ⊢ □((*f* ⟶ *h*) ∧ (*g* ⟶ *i*)) ⟶ (*f* 𝒲 *g* ⟶ *h* 𝒲 *g*)
by (*meson BoxAndBoxEqvBoxRule Prop01 Prop05 Prop11 WaitLeftMono lift-and-com lift-imp-trans*)
have *2*: ⊢ □((*f* ⟶ *h*) ∧ (*g* ⟶ *i*)) ⟶ (*h* 𝒲 *g* ⟶ *h* 𝒲 *i*)
by (*metis BoxImpBoxRule Prop01 Prop05 TrueW WaitRightMono int-simps(13) inteq-reflection*
   lift-imp-trans)
have *3*: ⊢ □ ((*f* ⟶ *h*) ∧ (*g* ⟶ *i*)) ⟶ (*f* 𝒲 *g* ⟶ *h* 𝒲 *g*) ∧ (*h* 𝒲 *g* ⟶ *h* 𝒲 *i*)
  using *1 2* **by** *fastforce*
have *4*: ⊢ (*f* 𝒲 *g* ⟶ *h* 𝒲 *g*) ∧ (*h* 𝒲 *g* ⟶ *h* 𝒲 *i*) ⟶ (*f* 𝒲 *g* ⟶ *h* 𝒲 *i*)
  by *auto*
from *3 4* **show** *?thesis* **by** *auto*

qed

lemma *WaitCatRule*:
$\vdash \Box((f \longrightarrow g \; \mathcal{W} \; h) \wedge (h \longrightarrow g \; \mathcal{W} \; i)) \longrightarrow (f \longrightarrow g \; \mathcal{W} \; i)$
proof $-$
 have *1*: $\vdash \Box \; ((f \longrightarrow g \; \mathcal{W} \; h) \wedge (h \longrightarrow g \; \mathcal{W} \; i)) \longrightarrow \Box \; (f \longrightarrow g \; \mathcal{W} \; h)$
  by (*metis BoxElim BoxEqvBoxBox BoxImpBoxRule Prop12 inteq-reflection*)
 have *2*: $\vdash \Box \; ((f \longrightarrow g \; \mathcal{W} \; h) \wedge (h \longrightarrow g \; \mathcal{W} \; i)) \longrightarrow \Box \; (h \longrightarrow g \; \mathcal{W} \; i)$
  by (*metis BoxElim BoxEqvBoxBox BoxImpBoxRule Prop12 inteq-reflection*)
 have *3*: $\vdash \Box \; (h \longrightarrow g \; \mathcal{W} \; i) \longrightarrow \Box \; (g \; \mathcal{W} \; h \longrightarrow g \; \mathcal{W} \; (g \; \mathcal{W} \; i))$
  by (*metis BoxEqvBoxBox BoxImpBoxRule WaitRightMono inteq-reflection*)
 have *4*: $\vdash \Box \; (g \; \mathcal{W} \; h \longrightarrow g \; \mathcal{U} \; (g \; \mathcal{W} \; i)) \longrightarrow \Box(g \; \mathcal{W} \; h \longrightarrow g \; \mathcal{W} \; i)$
  by (*metis BoxBoxImpBox BoxEqvBoxBox UntilRightWaitAbsorption inteq-reflection*)
 have *5*: $\vdash \; \Box \; (f \longrightarrow g \; \mathcal{W} \; h) \longrightarrow (f \longrightarrow g \; \mathcal{W} \; h)$
  by (*simp add*: *BoxElim*)
 have *6*: $\vdash \Box(g \; \mathcal{W} \; h \longrightarrow g \; \mathcal{W} \; i) \longrightarrow (g \; \mathcal{W} \; h \longrightarrow g \; \mathcal{W} \; i)$
  by (*simp add*: *BoxElim*)
 have *7*: $\vdash (f \longrightarrow g \; \mathcal{W} \; h) \wedge (g \; \mathcal{W} \; h \longrightarrow g \; \mathcal{W} \; i) \longrightarrow (f \longrightarrow g \; \mathcal{W} \; i)$
  by *auto*
 have *8*: $\vdash \Box \; ((f \longrightarrow g \; \mathcal{W} \; h) \wedge (h \longrightarrow g \; \mathcal{W} \; i)) \longrightarrow$
      $(f \longrightarrow g \; \mathcal{W} \; h) \wedge (g \; \mathcal{W} \; h \longrightarrow g \; \mathcal{W} \; i)$
  using *1 2 3 4 5 6*
  by (*metis Prop12 WaitLeftAbsorption inteq-reflection lift-imp-trans*)
 from *7 8* **show** *?thesis* **by** *auto*
qed

lemma *LeftUntilWaitImp*:
$\vdash (f \; \mathcal{U} \; g) \; \mathcal{W} \; h \longrightarrow (f \; \mathcal{W} \; g) \; \mathcal{W} \; h$
 by (*meson BoxGen MP UntilImpWait WaitLeftMono*)

lemma *RightWaitUntilImp*:
$\vdash f \; \mathcal{W} \; (g \; \mathcal{U} \; h) \longrightarrow f \; \mathcal{W} \; (g \; \mathcal{W} \; h)$
by (*meson BoxGen MP UntilImpWait WaitRightMono*)

lemma *RightUntilUntilImp*:
$\vdash f \; \mathcal{U} \; (g \; \mathcal{U} \; h) \longrightarrow f \; \mathcal{U} \; (g \; \mathcal{W} \; h)$
by (*meson BoxGen MP UntilImpWait UntilRightMono*)

lemma *LeftUntilUntilImp*:
$\vdash (f \; \mathcal{U} \; g) \; \mathcal{U} \; h \longrightarrow (f \; \mathcal{W} \; g) \; \mathcal{U} \; h$
by (*simp add*: *UntilImpUntil UntilImpWait*)

lemma *LeftUntilOrStrengthen*:
$\vdash (f \; \mathcal{U} \; g) \; \mathcal{U} \; h \longrightarrow (f \vee g) \; \mathcal{U} \; h$
by (*simp add*: *UntilImpOr UntilImpUntil*)

lemma *LeftWaitOrStrengthen*:
$\vdash (f \; \mathcal{W} \; g) \; \mathcal{W} \; h \longrightarrow (f \vee g) \; \mathcal{W} \; h$
by (*meson BoxGen MP WaitImpOr WaitLeftMono*)

lemma *RightWaitOrStrengthen*:
⊢ *f* 𝒲 (*g* 𝒲 *h*) ⟶ *f* 𝒲 (*g* ∨ *h*)
by (*meson BoxGen MP WaitImpOr WaitRightMono*)

lemma *BoxImpBoxOr*:
⊢ □ *f* ⟶ □(*f* ∨ *g*)
by (*metis BoxImpWait BoxIntro UntilBoxEqvBox UntilBoxImphelp2 WaitImpOr inteq-reflection*
  lift-imp-trans)

lemma *RightWaitOrOrder*:
⊢ *f* 𝒲 (*g* 𝒲 *h*) ⟶ (*f* ∨ *g*) 𝒲 *h*
proof −
 have 1: ⊢ *f* 𝒲 (*g* 𝒲 *h*) = (□ *f* ∨ *f* 𝒰 (□ *g* ∨ *g* 𝒰 *h*))
   by (*simp add*: *wait-d-def*)
 have 2: ⊢ (*f* ∨ *g*) 𝒲 *h* = (□ (*f* ∨ *g*) ∨ (*f* ∨ *g*) 𝒰 *h*)
   by (*simp add*: *wait-d-def*)
 have 3: ⊢ □ *f* ⟶ (□ (*f* ∨ *g*) ∨ (*f* ∨ *g*) 𝒰 *h*)
   using *BoxImpBoxOr* **by** *fastforce*
 have 4: ⊢ *f* 𝒰 (□ *g* ∨ *g* 𝒰 *h*) = (*f* 𝒰 (□ *g*) ∨ *f* 𝒰 (*g* 𝒰 *h*))
  using *UntilOrDist* **by** *blast*
 have 5: ⊢ *f* 𝒰 (*g* 𝒰 *h*) ⟶ (□ (*f* ∨ *g*) ∨ (*f* ∨ *g*) 𝒰 *h*)
   by (*simp add*: *Prop05 UntilRightOr*)
 have 6: ⊢ *f* 𝒰 (□ *g*) ⟶ (□ (*f* ∨ *g*) ∨ (*f* ∨ *g*) 𝒰 *h*)
   by (*metis BoxImpBoxRule BoxImpWait UntilBoxImp UntilImpOr lift-imp-trans wait-d-def*)
 have 7: ⊢ (*f* 𝒰 (□ *g*) ∨ *f* 𝒰 (*g* 𝒰 *h*)) ⟶ (□ (*f* ∨ *g*) ∨ (*f* ∨ *g*) 𝒰 *h*)
   using 5 6 **by** *fastforce*
 show ?*thesis*
 using 1 2 3 4 7 **by** *fastforce*
qed

lemma *RightWaitAndOrder*:
⊢ *f* 𝒲 (*g* ∧ *h*) ⟶ *f* 𝒲 (*g* 𝒲 *h*)
by (*metis Prop03 WaitAbsorptione WaitLeftDistOr inteq-reflection*)

lemma *UntilOrder*:
⊢ ((¬ *f*) 𝒰 *g* ∨ (¬ *g*) 𝒰 *f*) = ◇(*f* ∨ *g*)
proof −
 have 1: ⊢ ((¬ *f*) 𝒰 *g* ∨ (¬ *g*) 𝒰 *f*) ⟶ ◇(*f* ∨ *g*)
   using *UntilAbsorpAndDiamond UntilOrDist* **by** *fastforce*
 have 2: ⊢ ◇(*f* ∨ *g*) = #*True* 𝒰 (*f* ∨ *g*)
   by (*metis DiamondEqvTrueUntil*)
 have 3: ⊢ #*True* 𝒰 (*f* ∨ *g*) = (¬ (*f* ∨ *g*)) 𝒰 (*f* ∨ *g*)
   using 2 *UntilRule* **by** *fastforce*
 have 4: ⊢ (¬ (*f* ∨ *g*)) 𝒰 (*f* ∨ *g*) = (¬*f* ∧ ¬*g*) 𝒰 (*f* ∨ *g*)
   by (*metis UntilAbsorp-c int-eq int-simps(14) int-simps(33)*)
 have 5: ⊢ ◇(*f* ∨ *g*) ⟶ (¬ *g*) 𝒰 *f* ∨ (¬ *f*) 𝒰 *g*
  by (*metis 2 3 4 UntilAndRule UntilOrDist int-iffD1 inteq-reflection lift-and-com*)
 have 6: ⊢ ((¬ *g*) 𝒰 *f* ∨ (¬ *f*) 𝒰 *g*) = ((¬ *f*) 𝒰 *g* ∨ (¬ *g*) 𝒰 *f*)

by *fastforce*
 show *?thesis* **using** *1 5 6* **by** *fastforce*
qed

lemma *WaitOrder*:
$\vdash (\neg f) \, \mathcal{W} \, g \lor (\neg g) \, \mathcal{W} \, f$
proof $-$
 have *1*: $\vdash (\neg f) \, \mathcal{W} \, g = (\Box (\neg f) \lor (\neg f) \, \mathcal{U} \, g)$
  by (*simp add*: *wait-d-def*)
 have *2*: $\vdash (\neg g) \, \mathcal{W} \, f = (\Box (\neg g) \lor (\neg g) \, \mathcal{U} \, f)$
  by (*simp add*: *wait-d-def*)
 have *3*: $\vdash ((\Box (\neg f) \lor (\neg f) \, \mathcal{U} \, g) \lor (\Box (\neg g) \lor (\neg g) \, \mathcal{U} \, f)) =$
        $((\Box (\neg f) \lor \Box (\neg g)) \lor ((\neg f) \, \mathcal{U} \, g \lor (\neg g) \, \mathcal{U} \, f))$
    by *auto*
 have *4*: $\vdash ((\neg f) \, \mathcal{U} \, g \lor (\neg g) \, \mathcal{U} \, f) = \Diamond(f \lor g)$
  using *UntilOrder* **by** *blast*
 show *?thesis*
 using *1 2 4 WaitOrder-help* **by** *fastforce*
qed

lemma *WaitImpOrder*:
$\vdash f \, \mathcal{W} \, g \land (\neg g) \, \mathcal{W} \, h \longrightarrow f \, \mathcal{W} \, h$
proof $-$
 have *1*: $\vdash f \, \mathcal{W} \, g = (\Box f \lor f \, \mathcal{U} \, g)$
   by (*simp add*: *wait-d-def*)
 have *2*: $\vdash f \, \mathcal{W} \, h = (\Box f \lor f \, \mathcal{U} \, h)$
   by (*simp add*: *wait-d-def*)
 have *3*: $\vdash (\neg g) \, \mathcal{W} \, h = (\Box (\neg g) \lor (\neg g) \, \mathcal{U} \, h)$
  by (*simp add*: *wait-d-def*)
 have *4*: $\vdash \Box f \land (\Box (\neg g) \lor (\neg g) \, \mathcal{U} \, h) \longrightarrow (\Box f \lor f \, \mathcal{U} \, h)$
   by *auto*
 have *5*: $\vdash (\Box f \lor f \, \mathcal{U} \, g) \land \Box (\neg g) \longrightarrow (\Box f \lor f \, \mathcal{U} \, h)$
   using *1 WaitAndBoxImpBox* **by** *fastforce*
 have *6*: $\vdash f \, \mathcal{U} \, g \land (\neg g) \, \mathcal{U} \, h \longrightarrow (\Box f \lor f \, \mathcal{U} \, h)$
  by (*simp add*: *Prop05 UntilNotImp*)
 have *7*: $\vdash \Box f \land (\neg g) \, \mathcal{U} \, h \longrightarrow (\Box f \lor f \, \mathcal{U} \, h)$
   by *auto*
 have *8*: $\vdash (\Box f \lor f \, \mathcal{U} \, g) \land (\neg g) \, \mathcal{U} \, h \longrightarrow (\Box f \lor f \, \mathcal{U} \, h)$
  using *6 7* **by** *fastforce*
 have *9*: $\vdash (\Box f \lor f \, \mathcal{U} \, g) \land (\Box (\neg g) \lor (\neg g) \, \mathcal{U} \, h) \longrightarrow (\Box f \lor f \, \mathcal{U} \, h)$
  using *5 8* **by** *fastforce*
 show *?thesis* **by** (*simp add*: *9 wait-d-def*)
qed

end

22   Pi operator

theory *Pi*
imports *IntervalFilter UntilSince*

begin

This theory introduces the Pi operator [10, 7]. The Pi operator is defined in terms of the filter operator introduced in IntervalFilter.thy. We prove the soundness of the rules and axiom system. The until operator from UntilSince.thy is used as there is a striking similarity of the expressiveness of the until and the Pi operator [7].

22.1   Definitions

definition *sfxfilt* :: *'a interval* $\Rightarrow$ (*'a*:: *world*) *formula* $\Rightarrow$ *'a interval interval*
 where *sfxfilt xs f* = (*filter* (λ *ys. f ys*) (*suffixes xs*))

definition *pifilt* :: *'a interval* $\Rightarrow$ (*'a*:: *world*) *formula* $\Rightarrow$ *'a interval*
 where *pifilt xs f* = (*map* (λ*s. nth s 0*) (*sfxfilt xs f*))

definition *pi-d* :: (*'a*:: *world*) *formula* $\Rightarrow$ *'a formula* $\Rightarrow$ *'a formula*
where *pi-d F G* $\equiv$ λ*s.* (($\exists$ *i* $\leq$ *intlen s.* (*suffix i s*) $\models$ *F*) $\wedge$ ((*pifilt s F*) $\models$ *G*))

syntax
 -pi-d   :: [*lift*,*lift*] $\Rightarrow$ *lift*   ((- Π -) [*84*,*84*] *83*)

syntax (*ASCII*)
 -pi-d   :: [*lift*,*lift*] $\Rightarrow$ *lift*   ((- *PI* -) [*84*,*84*] *83*)

translations
 -pi-d $\rightleftharpoons$ *CONST pi-d*

definition *upi-d* :: (*'a*:: *world*) *formula* $\Rightarrow$ *'a formula* $\Rightarrow$ *'a formula*
where *upi-d F G* $\equiv$ *LIFT*($\neg$(*F* Π ($\neg$ *G*)))

syntax
 -upi-d   :: [*lift*,*lift*] $\Rightarrow$ *lift*   ((- Π^u -) [*84*,*84*] *83*)

syntax (*ASCII*)
 -upi-d   :: [*lift*,*lift*] $\Rightarrow$ *lift*   ((- *UPI* -) [*84*,*84*] *83*)

translations
 -upi-d $\rightleftharpoons$ *CONST upi-d*

22.2   Semantic Lemmas

lemma *sfxfilter-help*:
 ($\exists$ *ys* $\in$ *set* (*suffixes xs*) *. f ys*) = ($\exists$ *i* $\leq$ *intlen xs. f* (*suffix i xs*))
using *set-suffixes-sfx* **by** *auto*

lemma *pifiltinit-help*:

$(\exists\ y \in set\ (xs).\ w\ \langle y\rangle) = (\exists\ i \le intlen\ xs.\ w\ \langle nth\ xs\ i\rangle)$
by (*metis interval-nth-and-set*)

lemma *sfxfilt-state*:
  sfxfilt $\langle x\rangle\ f = \langle\langle x\rangle\rangle$
by (*auto simp:sfxfilt-def*)

lemma *pifilt-state*:
 pifilt $\langle x\rangle\ f = \langle x\rangle$
by (*auto simp: pifilt-def sfxfilt-state*)

lemma *sfxfilt-cons*:
shows (*sfxfilt* $(x\odot xs)\ f) =$
  (*if* $(\exists\ i \le intlen\ xs.\ f\ (suffix\ i\ xs))$ *then*
        (*if f* $(x\odot xs)$ *then*
          $(x\odot xs)\odot$ (*sfxfilt* $(xs)\ f$)
        else (*sfxfilt xs f*))
      else $\langle x\odot xs\rangle$)
proof $-$
 have *1*: (*sfxfilt* $(x\odot xs)\ f$) $=$
     filter ($\lambda ys.\ f\ ys$) (*suffixes* $(x\odot xs)$)

   by (*simp add: sfxfilt-def*)
 have *2*: *suffixes* $(x\odot xs) = (x\odot xs)\odot$ *suffixes xs*
  by *simp*
 have *3*: *filter* ($\lambda ys.\ f\ ys$) (*suffixes* $(x\odot xs)$) $=$
     (*if* $(\exists\ ys \in set\ (suffixes\ xs).\ f\ ys)$ *then*
      (*if f* $(x\odot xs)$ *then* $(x\odot xs)\odot$ (*filter* ($\lambda ys.\ f\ ys$) (*suffixes* (xs)))
            else (*filter* ($\lambda ys.\ f\ ys$) (*suffixes* (xs))))
     else $\langle x\odot xs\rangle$)

   by *auto*
 have *4*: (*if* $(\exists\ ys \in set\ (suffixes\ xs).\ f\ ys)$ *then*
     (*if f* $(x\odot xs)$ *then* $(x\odot xs)\odot$ (*filter* ($\lambda ys.\ f\ ys$) (*suffixes* (xs)))
            else (*filter* ($\lambda ys.\ f\ ys$) (*suffixes* (xs))))
     else $\langle x\odot xs\rangle$) $=$
     (*if* $(\exists\ i \le intlen\ xs.\ f\ (suffix\ i\ xs))$ *then*
       (*if f* $(x\odot xs)$ *then*
         $(x\odot xs)\odot$ (*sfxfilt* $(xs)\ f$)
       else (*sfxfilt xs f*))
     else $\langle x\odot xs\rangle$)

   by (*auto simp add: sfxfilt-def set-suffixes-sfx*)
  show *?thesis* **by** (*simp add: 1 4*)
qed

lemma *pifilt-cons*:
shows (*pifilt* $(x\odot xs)\ f) =$
  (*if* $(\exists\ i \le intlen\ xs.\ f\ (suffix\ i\ xs))$ *then*
        (*if f* $(x\odot xs)$ *then*

$$(x) \odot (pifilt\ (xs)\ f)$$
$$else\ \ (pifilt\ xs\ f\ )\ )$$
$$else\ \langle x \rangle)$$

proof $-$
 have 1: $(pifilt\ (x \odot xs)\ f\ ) = map\ (\lambda s.\ (nth\ s\ 0))\ (sfxfilt\ (x \odot xs)\ f\ )$
  by $(simp\ add\colon\ pifilt\text{-}def\ )$
 have 2: $map\ (\lambda s.\ (nth\ s\ 0))\ (sfxfilt\ (x \odot xs)\ f\ ) =$
$$(if\ (\exists\ i \leq intlen\ xs.\ f\ (suffix\ i\ xs))\ then$$
$$(if\ f\ (x \odot xs)\ then$$
$$map\ (\lambda s.\ (nth\ s\ 0))((x \odot xs) \odot (sfxfilt\ (xs)\ f\ ))$$
$$else\ \ map\ (\lambda s.\ (nth\ s\ 0))\ (sfxfilt\ xs\ f\ )\ )$$
$$else\ map\ (\lambda s.\ (nth\ s\ 0))\ \langle x \odot xs \rangle)$$

  using 1
  by $(metis\ sfxfilt\text{-}cons)$
 have 3: $map\ (\lambda s.\ (nth\ s\ 0))((x \odot xs) \odot (sfxfilt\ (xs)\ f\ )) =$
$$(x) \odot (pifilt\ (xs)\ f\ )$$

  by $(simp\ add\colon\ pifilt\text{-}def\ )$
 have 4: $map\ (\lambda s.\ (nth\ s\ 0))\ \langle x \odot xs \rangle = \langle x \rangle$
  by $simp$
 have 5: $map\ (\lambda s.\ (nth\ s\ 0))\ (sfxfilt\ xs\ f\ ) = (pifilt\ (xs)\ f\ )$
  by $(simp\ add\colon\ pifilt\text{-}def\ )$
 have 6: $(if\ (\exists\ i \leq intlen\ xs.\ f\ (suffix\ i\ xs))\ then$
$$(if\ f\ (x \odot xs)\ then$$
$$map\ (\lambda s.\ (nth\ s\ 0))((x \odot xs) \odot (sfxfilt\ (xs)\ f\ ))$$
$$else\ \ map\ (\lambda s.\ (nth\ s\ 0))\ (sfxfilt\ xs\ f\ )\ )$$
$$else\ map\ (\lambda s.\ (nth\ s\ 0))\ \langle x \odot xs \rangle) =$$
$$(if\ (\exists\ i \leq intlen\ xs.\ f\ (suffix\ i\ xs))\ then$$
$$(if\ f\ (x \odot xs)\ then$$
$$(x) \odot (pifilt\ (xs)\ f\ )$$
$$else\ \ (pifilt\ xs\ f\ )\ )$$
$$else\ \langle x \rangle)$$
  using 5 **by** $auto$
 show $?thesis$ **by** $(simp\ add\colon\ 1\ 2\ 6)$
qed

lemma $sfxfilt\text{-}nth\text{-}cons$:
 $nth\ (sfxfilt\ (x \odot xs)\ f\ )\ j =$
$$(if\ (\exists\ i \leq intlen\ xs.\ f\ (suffix\ i\ xs))\ then$$
$$(if\ f\ (x \odot xs)\ then$$
$$(if\ j = 0\ then\ \ (x \odot xs)\ else\ nth\ (sfxfilt\ (xs)\ f\ )\ (j-1))$$
$$else\ \ nth\ (sfxfilt\ (xs)\ f\ )\ j)$$
$$else\ (x \odot xs))$$
by $(metis\ Interval.nth.simps(1)\ add.commute\ add.left\text{-}neutral\ add\text{-}diff\text{-}inverse\text{-}nat\ diff\text{-}0\text{-}eq\text{-}0$
  $interval\text{-}nth\text{-}cons\text{-}a\ interval\text{-}nth\text{-}zero\ sfxfilt\text{-}cons)$

lemma $pifilt\text{-}nth\text{-}cons$:
 $nth\ (pifilt\ (x \odot xs)\ f\ )\ i =$
$$(if\ (\exists\ i \leq intlen\ xs.\ f\ (suffix\ i\ xs))\ then$$

```
                (if f (x⊙xs) then
                  (if i =0 then  x else nth (pifilt (xs) f) (i−1))
                  else  nth (pifilt (xs) f) i)
              else x)
by (metis Interval.nth.simps(1) One-nat-def Suc-pred interval-nth-Suc interval-nth-zero not-gr0
    pifilt-cons)
```

lemma *sfxfilt-nth*:
assumes $(\exists\ i \leq intlen\ \sigma.\ (suffix\ i\ \sigma) \models f)$
$\quad\quad i{\leq}intlen\ (sfxfilt\ \sigma\ f)$
shows $(nth\ (sfxfilt\ \sigma\ f)\ i) \models f$
using *assms in-set-suffixes osfx-suffix sfxfilter-nth*
by (*simp add*: *sfxfilt-def*, *blast*)

lemma *pifilt-exists*:
 assumes $(\exists\, i{\leq}intlen\ \sigma.\ f\ (suffix\ i\ \sigma)\ )$
 shows $(\exists i \leq intlen(sfxfilt\ \sigma\ f).\ (nth\ (sfxfilt\ \sigma\ f)\ i) \models f)$
using *assms*
using *sfxfilt-nth* **by** *blast*

lemma *sfxfilt-pifilt-intlen*:
assumes $(\exists\ i \leq intlen\ \sigma.\ (suffix\ i\ \sigma) \models f)$
shows $intlen\ (pifilt\ \sigma\ f) = intlen\ (map\ (\lambda s.\ nth\ s\ 0)\ (sfxfilt\ \sigma\ f))$
using *assms* **by** (*simp add*: *pifilt-def*)

lemma *sfxfilt-pifilt-nth*:
assumes $(\exists\ i \leq intlen\ \sigma.\ (suffix\ i\ \sigma) \models f)$
$\quad\quad j{\leq}\ intlen\ (pifilt\ \sigma\ f)$
shows $nth\ (pifilt\ \sigma\ f)\ j = nth\ (map\ (\lambda s.\ nth\ s\ 0)\ (sfxfilt\ \sigma\ f))\ j$
using *assms*
by (*simp add*: *pifilt-def*)

lemma *sfxfilt-pifilt*:
assumes $(\exists\ i \leq intlen\ \sigma.\ (suffix\ i\ \sigma) \models f)$
shows $(map\ (\lambda s.\ nth\ s\ 0)\ (sfxfilt\ \sigma\ f)) = pifilt\ \sigma\ f$
using *assms* **by** (*simp add*: *pifilt-def*)

lemma *sfxfilt-intlen-bound*:
assumes $(\exists\, i{\leq}intlen\ xs.\ f\ (suffix\ i\ xs))$
shows $intlen\ (sfxfilt\ xs\ f) \leq intlen\ xs$
using *assms*
by (*simp add*: *sfxfilt-def*)
  (*metis IntervalFilter.length-filter-le intlen-suffixes*)

lemma *pifilt-intlen-bound*:
assumes $(\exists\, i{\leq}intlen\ \sigma.\ f\ (suffix\ i\ \sigma))$
shows $intlen\ (pifilt\ \sigma\ f) \leq intlen\ \sigma$
using *assms* **by** (*simp add*: *pifilt-def sfxfilt-intlen-bound*)

lemma *sfxfilt-intlen-nth-bound*:
assumes $(\exists\, i \leq intlen\ \sigma.\ f\ (suffix\ i\ \sigma))$
   $j \leq intlen\ (sfxfilt\ \sigma\ f)$
shows $intlen\ (nth\ (sfxfilt\ \sigma\ f)\ j) \leq intlen\ \sigma$
using *assms*
by (*simp add*: *sfxfilt-def sfxfilter-help sfxfilter-nth-bound*)

lemma *sfxfilt-pifilt-nth-suffix*:
assumes $(\exists\ i \leq intlen\ \sigma.\ (suffix\ i\ \sigma) \models f)$
   $j \leq intlen\ (pifilt\ \sigma\ f)$
shows $nth\ (sfxfilt\ \sigma\ f)\ j = suffix\ (intlen\ \sigma - (intlen(\ nth\ (sfxfilt\ \sigma\ f)\ j)))\ \sigma$
proof $-$
 have *1*: $nth\ (sfxfilt\ \sigma\ f)\ j = nth\ (filter\ f\ (suffixes\ \sigma))\ j$
 by (*simp add*: *sfxfilt-def*)
 have *2*: $suffix\ (intlen\ \sigma - intlen\ (nth\ (filter\ f\ (suffixes\ \sigma))\ j))\ \sigma =$
   $suffix\ (intlen\ \sigma - (intlen(nth\ (sfxfilt\ \sigma\ f)\ j)))\ \sigma$
 by (*simp add*: *sfxfilt-def*)
 have *3*: $j \leq intlen\ (filter\ f\ (suffixes\ \sigma))$
 using *assms* **by** (*simp add*: *pifilt-def sfxfilt-def*)
 have *4*: $(\exists\, i \leq intlen\ \sigma.\ f\ (suffix\ i\ \sigma))$
  using *assms* **by** *auto*
 have *5*: $(\exists\ ys \in set\ (suffixes\ \sigma).\ f\ ys)$
 using *4*
 using *in-set-suffixes osfx-suffix* **by** *blast*
 have *6*: $nth\ (filter\ f\ (suffixes\ \sigma))\ j =$
   $suffix\ (intlen\ \sigma - intlen\ (nth\ (filter\ f\ (suffixes\ \sigma))\ j))\ \sigma$

 using *3 5 sfxfilter-nth-suffix*[*of* σ *f j*] **by** *blast*
 show *?thesis* **using** *1 6* **by** *auto*
qed

lemma *pifilt-nth*:
 assumes $(\exists\, i \leq intlen\ \sigma.\ f\ (suffix\ i\ \sigma))$
   $i \leq intlen\ (pifilt\ \sigma\ f)$
shows $(\exists\ k \leq intlen\ \sigma.\ nth\ (pifilt\ \sigma\ f)\ i = nth\ \sigma\ k)$
using *assms sfxfilt-pifilt-nth-suffix*[*of* σ *f i*]
by (*simp add*: *pifilt-def*)
 (*metis diff-le-self interval-intfirst-suffix interval-intlen-gr-zero interval-nth-map*
  interval-suffix-zero)

lemma *sfxfilt-intlen-imp*:
 assumes $(\exists\, i \leq intlen\ \sigma.\ f\ (suffix\ i\ \sigma) \wedge g\ (suffix\ i\ \sigma))$
 shows $intlen\ (sfxfilt\ \sigma\ (LIFT(f \wedge g))) \leq intlen\ (sfxfilt\ \sigma\ f)$
 proof $-$
 have *1*: $intlen\ (sfxfilt\ \sigma\ (LIFT(f \wedge g))) =$
   $intlen\ (filter\ (\lambda ys.\ f\ ys \wedge g\ ys)\ (suffixes\ \sigma))$
 by (*simp add*: *sfxfilt-def*)
 have *2*: $intlen\ (filter\ f\ (suffixes\ \sigma)) = intlen\ (sfxfilt\ \sigma\ f)$
 by (*simp add*: *sfxfilt-def*)
 have *3*: $\exists\ x \in set\ (suffixes\ \sigma).\ f\ x \wedge g\ x$

```
    using assms by auto
  have 4: intlen (filter (λx. f x ∧ g x) (suffixes σ)) ≤ intlen (filter f (suffixes σ))
    using 3 filter-intlen-imp[of suffixes σ f g] by auto
  show ?thesis using 1 2 4 by auto
qed


lemma pifilt-intlen-imp:
  assumes (∃ i≤intlen σ. f (suffix i σ) ∧ g (suffix i σ))
  shows    intlen (pifilt σ (LIFT(f ∧ g))) ≤ intlen (pifilt σ f)
using assms
by (simp add: sfxfilt-intlen-imp pifilt-def )


lemma interval-set-sfxfilt [simp]:
  assumes (∃ i ≤ intlen xs. f (suffix i xs))
  shows (set (sfxfilt xs f )) = {ys. ys ∈ set (suffixes xs) ∧ f (ys)}
using assms
proof −
  have 1: set (sfxfilt xs f ) = set (filter f (suffixes xs))
    by (simp add: sfxfilt-def )
  have 2: ∃ x ∈ set (suffixes xs). f x
    using assms using in-set-suffixes osfx-suffix by blast
  have 3: set (filter f (suffixes xs)) =
        {ys. ys ∈ set (suffixes xs) ∧ f ys}

    using 2 set-filter[of suffixes xs f ] by auto
  show ?thesis by (simp add: 1 3)
qed



lemma interval-subset-sfxfilt [simp]:
  assumes (∃ i ≤ intlen xs. f (suffix i xs))
  shows (set (sfxfilt xs f )) ≤ (set (sfxfilt xs (LIFT(f ∨ g))))
proof −
  have 1: ∃ x ∈ set (suffixes xs). f x
    using assms using in-set-suffixes osfx-suffix by blast
  have 2: set (sfxfilt xs f ) = set (filter f (suffixes xs))
    by (simp add: sfxfilt-def )
  have 3:  set (sfxfilt xs (LIFT(f ∨ g))) = set (filter (λx. f x ∨ g x) (suffixes xs))
    by (simp add: sfxfilt-def )
  have 4: set (filter f (suffixes xs)) ≤ set (filter (λx. f x ∨ g x) (suffixes xs))
    using 1  subset-filter[of suffixes xs f g] by auto
  show ?thesis using 2 3 4 by blast
qed



lemma interval-set-pifilt [simp]:
  assumes (∃ i ≤ intlen xs. f (suffix i xs))
  shows (set (pifilt xs f )) = {(nth ys 0)| ys. ys ∈ set(suffixes xs) ∧ f (ys)}
proof −
  have 1: (set (pifilt xs f )) = (set (map (λs. nth s 0) (sfxfilt xs f )))
```

by (*simp add*: *pifilt-def*)
 have *2*: (*set* (*map* (λ*s*. *nth s 0*) (*sfxfilt xs f*))) =
          {(*nth ys 0*) | *ys*. *ys* $\in$ *set* (*sfxfilt xs f*)}
    by (*induction xs*) *auto*
 have *3*: {(*nth ys 0*) | *ys*. *ys* $\in$ *set* (*sfxfilt xs f*)} =
          {(*nth ys 0*)| *ys*. *ys* $\in$ *set*(*suffixes xs*) $\land$ *f* (*ys*)}

    using *assms* **by** *auto*
 show *?thesis* **using** *1 2 3* **by** *auto*
qed

lemma *interval-nth-sfxfilt-in-set*:
x $\in$ *set* (*sfxfilt* σ (*LIFT*(*f* $\lor$ *g*))) =
($\exists$ *i* $\leq$ *intlen* (*sfxfilt* σ (*LIFT*(*f* $\lor$ *g*))). *x* =(*nth* (*sfxfilt* σ (*LIFT*(*f* $\lor$ *g*))) *i*))
by (*metis interval-nth-and-set*)

lemma *sfxfilt-nth-or*:
assumes ($\exists$ *i* $\leq$ *intlen* σ. (*suffix i* σ) $\models$ *f*)
shows   ($\exists$ *i* $\leq$ *intlen* (*sfxfilt* σ (*LIFT*(*f* $\lor$ *g*))). (*nth* (*sfxfilt* σ (*LIFT*(*f* $\lor$ *g*))) *i*) $\models$ *f*)
proof $-$
 have *1*: $\exists$ *x* $\in$ *set* (*suffixes* σ). *f x*
   using *assms* **by** *auto*
 have *2*: *sfxfilt* σ (*LIFT*(*f* $\lor$ *g*)) = *filter* (λ *x*. *f x* $\lor$ *g x*) (*suffixes* σ)
   by (*simp add*: *sfxfilt-def*)
 have *3*: $\exists$ *x* $\in$ *set*(*filter* (λ *x*. *f x* $\lor$ *g x*) (*suffixes* σ)). *f x*
   using *1 filter-nth-or*[*of suffixes* σ *f g*] **by** *auto*
 from *2 3* **show** *?thesis* **by** (*metis interval-nth-sfxfilt-in-set*)
qed

lemma *NotPiFalse*:
 σ $\models$ $\neg$ ((#*False*) Π *f*)
by (*simp add*: *pi-d-def*)

lemma *pifilt-true*:
  pifilt σ (*LIFT*(#*True*)) = σ
by (*simp add*: *pifilt-def sfxfilt-def IntervalFilter*.*filter-True*)

lemma *pifilt-init-state*:
 (*pifilt* $\langle x \rangle$ (*LIFT*(*init w*))) = $\langle x \rangle$
by (*auto simp add*: *pifilt-def sfxfilt-def*)

lemma *pifilt-init-cons*:
 (*pifilt* (*x$\odot$xs*) (*LIFT*(*init w*))) =
  (*if* ($\exists$*i*$\leq$*intlen xs*. *w* $\langle$*nth xs i*$\rangle$) *then*
        (*if* *w* $\langle x \rangle$ *then x* $\odot$ (*pifilt xs* (*LIFT*(*init w*)))
                     else (*pifilt xs* (*LIFT*(*init w*))))
       else $\langle x \rangle$)
by (*simp add*: *pifilt-def sfxfilt-def init-defs*)
   (*metis interval-nth-and-set interval-nth-map intlen-suffixes map-first-suffixes*)

lemma *PiStatesem*:
 $(\sigma \models (init\ w)\ \Pi\ f) =$
 $((\exists i \le intlen\ \sigma.\ w\ \langle nth\ \sigma\ i \rangle) \wedge f\ (pifilt\ \sigma\ (LIFT(init\ w))))$
by (*simp add*: *pi-d-def init-defs*)

22.3 Soundness of Axioms

22.3.1 PiK

lemma *PiKsem*:
 $\sigma \models f1\ \Pi^u\ (\ f \longrightarrow g) \longrightarrow (\ f1\ \Pi^u\ f \longrightarrow f1\ \Pi^u\ g\ )$
by (*simp add*: *upi-d-def init-defs pi-d-def*) *auto*

lemma *PiK*:
 $\vdash f1\ \Pi^u\ (f \longrightarrow g) \longrightarrow (\ f1\ \Pi^u\ f \longrightarrow f1\ \Pi^u\ g\ )$
using *PiKsem Valid-def* **by** *blast*

22.3.2 PiDc

lemma *PiDcsem*:
 $\sigma \models f\ \Pi\ g \longrightarrow f\ \Pi^u\ g$
by (*simp add*: *upi-d-def init-defs pi-d-def*)

lemma *PiDc*:
 $\vdash f\ \Pi\ g \longrightarrow f\ \Pi^u\ g$
using *PiDcsem Valid-def* **by** *blast*

22.3.3 PiN

lemma *PiN*:
 assumes $\vdash g$
 shows $\vdash f\ \Pi^u\ g$
using *assms* **by** (*simp add*: *Valid-def pi-d-def upi-d-def*)

22.3.4 PiTrueEqvDiamond

lemma *PiTrueEqvDiamond*:
 $\vdash f\ \Pi\ \#True = \Diamond\ f$
by (*simp add*: *Valid-def pi-d-def sometimes-defs*)

22.3.5 PiOr

lemma *PiOr*:
 $\vdash f\ \Pi\ (g1 \vee g2) = (f\ \Pi\ g1 \vee f\ \Pi\ g2)$
by (*simp add*: *Valid-def pi-d-def*) *blast*

22.3.6 UPiFalseEqvBoxNot:

lemma *UPiFalseEqvBoxNot*:
 $\vdash f\ \Pi^u\ \#False = \Box\ (\neg f)$
by (*simp add*: *Valid-def upi-d-def pi-d-def always-defs*)

22.3.7 BoxEqvImpPiEqv

lemma *BoxEqvImpPiEqvsem*:
assumes $(\sigma \models \Box\ (f1 = f2))$
shows $(\sigma \models (f1\ \Pi\ g = f2\ \Pi\ g))$
proof −
 show $(\sigma \models (f1\ \Pi\ g = f2\ \Pi\ g))$
 proof −
 have *1*: $\forall\ n \leq intlen\ \sigma.\ f1\ (suffix\ n\ \sigma) = f2\ (suffix\ n\ \sigma)$
  using *assms* **by** (*simp add*: *always-defs*)
 have *2*: $(\sigma \models (f1\ \Pi\ g)) = ((\exists\ i \leq intlen\ \sigma.\ f1\ (suffix\ i\ \sigma)) \wedge g\ (pifilt\ \sigma\ f1))$
  by (*simp add*: *pi-d-def*)
 have *3*: $(\exists\ i \leq intlen\ \sigma.\ f1\ (suffix\ i\ \sigma)) = (\exists\ i \leq intlen\ \sigma.\ f2\ (suffix\ i\ \sigma))$
  using *1* **by** *blast*
 have *4*: $(sfxfilt\ \sigma\ f1) = (sfxfilt\ \sigma\ f2)$
   using *1*
 proof (*induct* σ)
 case (*St x*)
 then show *?case* **by** (*simp add*: *sfxfilt-state*)
 next
 case (*Cons x1a* σ)
 then show *?case*
  proof −
    have *41*: $(sfxfilt\ (x1a \odot \sigma)\ f1) =$
     $(if\ (\exists\ i \leq intlen\ \sigma.\ (suffix\ i\ \sigma) \models f1)\ then$
      $(if\ ((x1a \odot \sigma) \models f1)\ then\ (x1a \odot \sigma) \odot (sfxfilt\ \sigma\ f1)$
                      $else\ (sfxfilt\ \sigma\ f1))$
      $else\ \langle x1a \odot \sigma \rangle)$
     using *sfxfilt-cons* **by** *blast*
    have *42*: $sfxfilt\ \sigma\ f1 = sfxfilt\ \sigma\ f2$
     by (*metis Cons.hyps Cons.prems Suc-le-mono interval-suffix-suc intlen.simps*(*2*)
        plus-1-eq-Suc)
    have *43*: $((x1a \odot \sigma) \models f1) = ((x1a \odot \sigma) \models f2)$
     using *Cons.prems* **by** *auto*
    have *44*: $(\exists\ i \leq intlen\ \sigma.\ (suffix\ i\ \sigma) \models f1) =$
           $(\exists\ i \leq intlen\ \sigma.\ (suffix\ i\ \sigma) \models f2)$
     by (*metis Suc-le-mono interval-suffix-suc intlen.simps*(*2*) *local.Cons*(*2*) *plus-1-eq-Suc*)
    have *45*: $(if\ (\exists\ i \leq intlen\ \sigma.\ (suffix\ i\ \sigma) \models f1)\ then$
      $(if\ ((x1a \odot \sigma) \models f1)\ then\ (x1a \odot \sigma) \odot (sfxfilt\ \sigma\ f1)$
                      $else\ (sfxfilt\ \sigma\ f1))$
      $else\ \langle x1a \odot \sigma \rangle) =$
        $(if\ (\exists\ i \leq intlen\ \sigma.\ (suffix\ i\ \sigma) \models f2)\ then$
      $(if\ ((x1a \odot \sigma) \models f2)\ then\ (x1a \odot \sigma) \odot (sfxfilt\ \sigma\ f2)$
                      $else\ (sfxfilt\ \sigma\ f2))$
      $else\ \langle x1a \odot \sigma \rangle)$
     using *42 43 44* **by** *auto*
    have *46*: $(if\ (\exists\ i \leq intlen\ \sigma.\ (suffix\ i\ \sigma) \models f2)\ then$
      $(if\ ((x1a \odot \sigma) \models f2)\ then\ (x1a \odot \sigma) \odot (sfxfilt\ \sigma\ f2)$
                      $else\ (sfxfilt\ \sigma\ f2))$
      $else\ \langle x1a \odot \sigma \rangle) = (sfxfilt\ (x1a \odot \sigma)\ f2)$
     by (*simp add*: *sfxfilt-cons*)

show *?thesis*
           using *41 45 46* **by** *presburger*
         qed
      qed
   have *47*: (*pifilt σ f1*) = (*pifilt σ f2*)
       by (*simp add*: *4 pifilt-def*)
   have *5*: ((∃ *i*≤*intlen σ*. *f1* (*suffix i σ*)) ∧ *g* (*pifilt σ f1*)) =
             ((∃ *i*≤*intlen σ*. *f2* (*suffix i σ*)) ∧ *g* (*pifilt σ f2*))
       by (*simp add*: *3 47*)
   show *?thesis* **by** (*simp add*: *5 pi-d-def*)
   qed
qed

lemma *BoxEqvImpPiEqv*:
⊢ □ (*f1* = *f2*) ⟶ (*f1* ∏ *g* = *f2* ∏ *g*)
using *BoxEqvImpPiEqvsem* **by** (*simp add*: *Valid-def*,*auto*)

22.3.8   PiDiamondImpDiamond

lemma *PiDiamondImpDiamondsem*:
σ ⊨ *f* ∏ (◇ (*init w*)) ⟶ ◇ (*init w*)
using *pifilt-nth* **by** (*simp add*: *Valid-def pi-d-def sometimes-defs init-defs*) *fastforce*

lemma *PiDiamondImp*:
⊢ *f* ∏ (◇ (*init w*)) ⟶ ◇ (*init w*)
 using *PiDiamondImpDiamondsem Valid-def* **by** *blast*

22.3.9   PiAssoc

lemma *PiAssocsem1*:
assumes *i* ≤ *intlen σ*
        f (*suffix i σ*)
        ia ≤ *intlen* (*pifilt σ f*)
        w ⟨*nth* (*pifilt σ f*) *ia*⟩
shows ∃ *i*≤*intlen σ*. *f* (*suffix i σ*) ∧ *w* ⟨*nth* (*suffix i σ*) *0*⟩
proof −
 have *1*: (*nth* (*pifilt σ f*) *ia*) = (*nth* (*map* (*λs. nth s 0*) (*sfxfilt σ f*)) *ia*)
   using *assms(1) assms(2) assms(3) sfxfilt-pifilt-nth* **by** *blast*
 have *2*: (*nth* (*map* (*λs. nth s 0*) (*sfxfilt σ f*)) *ia*) =
         (*λs. nth s 0*) (*nth* (*sfxfilt σ f*) *ia*)
  using *interval-nth-map* **by** *auto*
 have *3*: *f* (*nth* (*sfxfilt σ f*) *ia*)
    using *sfxfilt-nth*
    by (*metis assms(1) assms(2) assms(3) interval-intlen-map pifilt-def*)
 have *4*: *nth* (*sfxfilt σ f*) *ia* = *suffix* (*intlen σ* − *intlen* (*nth* (*sfxfilt σ f*) *ia*)) *σ*
   using *sfxfilt-pifilt-nth-suffix assms(1) assms(2) assms(3)* **by** *blast*
 have *5*: *w* ⟨*nth* (*suffix* (*intlen σ* − *intlen* (*nth* (*sfxfilt σ f*) *ia*)) *σ*) *0*⟩
   using *1 2 4 assms(4)* **by** *auto*
 show *?thesis*
 by (*metis 3 4 5 diff-le-self*)
qed

lemma *PiAssocsem2*:
 assumes $i \leq$ *intlen* σ
       f (*suffix i* σ)
        w $\langle$*Interval.nth* σ *i*$\rangle$
shows $\exists j \leq$*intlen* (*pifilt* σ *f*). *w* $\langle$*nth* (*pifilt* σ *f*) *j*$\rangle$
proof $-$
 have *1*: $\exists j \leq$*intlen* (*sfxfilt* σ *f*). *f* (*nth* (*sfxfilt* σ *f*) *j*)
    using *assms pifilt-exists* **by** *blast*
 have *2*: (*LIFT* (*init w*)) (*suffix i* σ)
   by (*simp add*: *assms init-defs*)
 have *3*: $\exists j \leq$*intlen* (*sfxfilt* σ (*LIFT*(*init w*))). (*LIFT*(*init w*)) (*nth* (*sfxfilt* σ (*LIFT*(*init w*))) *j*)
   using *pifilt-exists 2 assms* **by** *blast*
 have *4*: (*LIFT* (*f* $\wedge$ *init w*)) (*suffix i* σ)
   by (*simp add*: *assms init-defs*)
 have *5*: $\exists j \leq$*intlen* (*sfxfilt* σ (*LIFT*(*f* $\wedge$ *init w*))).
         (*LIFT*(*f* $\wedge$ *init w*)) (*nth* (*sfxfilt* σ (*LIFT*(*f* $\wedge$ *init w*))) *j*)
   using *pifilt-exists 4 assms* **by** *blast*
 have *6*: $\exists i \leq$*intlen* σ. *suffix i* $\sigma \models f \wedge$ *init w*
   using *4 assms* **by** *blast*
 have *7*: $\exists j \leq$*intlen* (*sfxfilt* σ (*LIFT*((*f* $\wedge$ *init w*) $\vee$ (*f* $\wedge \neg$ (*init w*))))).
         (*LIFT*(*f* $\wedge$ *init w*)) (*nth* (*sfxfilt* σ (*LIFT*((*f* $\wedge$ *init w*) $\vee$ (*f* $\wedge \neg$(*init w*))))) *j*)
  using *6 sfxfilt-nth-or*[*of* σ *LIFT*(*f* $\wedge$ *init w*) *LIFT*(*f* $\wedge \neg$(*init w*))]
     by *auto*
 have *8*: $\bigwedge \sigma$. ($\sigma \models$ ((*f* $\wedge$ *init w*) $\vee$ (*f* $\wedge \neg$ (*init w*)))) = ($\sigma \models f$)
   by *auto*
 have *9*: (*sfxfilt* σ (*LIFT*((*f* $\wedge$ *init w*) $\vee$ (*f* $\wedge \neg$ (*init w*))))) =
         (*sfxfilt* σ *f*)
     using *8* **by** (*simp add*: *sfxfilt-def*)
 have *10*: $\exists j \leq$*intlen* (*sfxfilt* σ *f*).
         (*LIFT*(*f* $\wedge$ *init w*)) (*nth* (*sfxfilt* σ *f*) *j*)
   using *7 9* **by** *auto*
 have *11*: *intlen* (*sfxfilt* σ *f*) = *intlen* (*pifilt* σ *f*)
    by (*simp add*: *pifilt-def*)
 have *12*: $\exists j \leq$*intlen* (*pifilt* σ *f*).
         (*LIFT*(*init w*)) (*nth* (*sfxfilt* σ *f*) *j*)
   using *10 11* **by** *auto*
 from *12 11* **show** *?thesis*
  by (*simp add*: *init-defs*)
    (*metis interval-nth-map pifilt-def*)
qed

lemma *PiAssocsema*:
 (($\exists i \leq$*intlen* σ. *f* (*suffix i* σ)) $\wedge$
   ($\exists i \leq$*intlen* (*pifilt* σ *f*). *w* $\langle$*nth* (*suffix i* (*pifilt* σ *f*)) *0*$\rangle$)) =
 ($\exists i \leq$*intlen* σ. *f* (*suffix i* σ) $\wedge$ *w* $\langle$*nth* (*suffix i* σ) *0*$\rangle$)
using *PiAssocsem1 PiAssocsem2* **by** *fastforce*

lemma *PiAssocsemb*:
 (($\exists i \leq$*intlen* σ. *f* (*suffix i* σ)) $\wedge$

$(\exists\, i{\le}intlen\ (pifilt\ \sigma\ f).\ (LIFT(init\ w))\ (suffix\ i\ (pifilt\ \sigma\ f))\ )) =$
$(\exists\, i{\le}intlen\ \sigma.\ (LIFT(f \wedge init\ w))\ (suffix\ i\ \sigma))$
using *PiAssocsem1 PiAssocsem2*
by (*simp add*: *init-defs*) *fastforce*

lemma *pifilt-state-help*:
$(\exists\ x \in set\ (suffixes\ xs)\ .\ (LIFT(init\ w))\ x) = (\exists\ x \in set\ xs.\ w\ (\langle x \rangle))$
proof (*auto simp add*: *init-defs*)
 show $\bigwedge x.\ osfx\ x\ xs \implies w\ \langle Interval.nth\ x\ 0 \rangle \implies \exists x{\in}interval.set\ xs.\ w\ \langle x \rangle$
 using *in-set-suffixes interval-sfx-1* **by** *blast*
 show $\bigwedge x.\ x \in interval.set\ xs \implies w\ \langle x \rangle \implies \exists x{\in}interval.set\ (suffixes\ xs).\ w\ \langle Interval.nth\ x\ 0 \rangle$
 by (*metis in-set-suffixes interval-intfirst-suffix interval-nth-and-set interval-nth-zero-intfirst*
     osfx-suffix)
qed

lemma *pifilt-init*:
 assumes $(\exists\, i{\le}intlen\ xs.\ (LIFT(init\ w))\ (suffix\ i\ xs))$
 shows $(pifilt\ xs\ (LIFT(init\ w))) = filter\ (\lambda y.\ w\ (\langle y \rangle))\ xs$
using *assms*
proof (*induct xs*)
case (*St x*)
then show *?case*
by (*simp add*: *pifilt-init-state*)
next
case (*Cons x1a xs*)
then show *?case*
 proof $-$
 have *1*: $pifilt\ (x1a{\odot}xs)\ (LIFT(init\ w)) =$
        $map\ (\lambda xs\ .\ (nth\ xs\ 0))\ (sfxfilt\ (x1a{\odot}xs)\ (LIFT(init\ w)))$

     using *sfxfilt-pifilt* **by** (*simp add*: *pifilt-def*)
 have *2*: $sfxfilt\ (x1a{\odot}xs)\ (LIFT(init\ w)) =$
        $(filter\ (\lambda\ ys.\ (LIFT(init\ w))\ ys)\ (suffixes\ (x1a\ {\odot}xs)))$

     using *sfxfilt-def* **by** *blast*
 have *3*: $suffixes\ (x1a\ {\odot}xs) = (x1a{\odot}xs){\odot}\ (suffixes\ xs)$
     by *simp*
 have *4*: $(filter\ (\lambda\ ys.\ (LIFT(init\ w))\ ys)\ (suffixes\ (x1a\ {\odot}xs))) =$
        $(if\ (\exists\ x \in set\ (suffixes\ xs)\ .\ (LIFT(init\ w))\ x)\ then$
           $(if\ (LIFT(init\ w))\ (x1a{\odot}xs)\ then\ (x1a{\odot}xs){\odot}\ (filter\ (LIFT(init\ w))\ (suffixes\ xs))$
              $else\ (filter\ (LIFT(init\ w))\ (suffixes\ xs)))$
          $else\ \langle x1a \odot xs \rangle)$

     by *simp*
 have *5*: $map\ (\lambda xs\ .\ (nth\ xs\ 0))\ (filter\ (\lambda\ ys.\ (LIFT(init\ w))\ ys)\ (suffixes\ (x1a\ {\odot}xs))) =$
        $(if\ (\exists\ x \in set\ (suffixes\ xs)\ .\ (LIFT(init\ w))\ x)\ then$
           $(if\ (LIFT(init\ w))\ (x1a{\odot}xs)$
             $then\ (x1a)\ \odot\ map\ (\lambda xs\ .\ (nth\ xs\ 0))\ (filter\ (LIFT(init\ w))\ (suffixes\ xs))$
             $else\ map\ (\lambda xs\ .\ (nth\ xs\ 0))\ (filter\ (LIFT(init\ w))\ (suffixes\ xs)))$

$$\text{else } \langle x1a \rangle)$$

by *auto*

have *6*: *filter* $(\lambda y.\ w\ \langle y \rangle)\ (x1a \odot xs) =$
$$(\textit{if } (\exists\ x \in \textit{set } xs.\ w\ (\langle x \rangle))\ \textit{then}$$
$$(\textit{if } w\ (\langle x1a \rangle)\ \textit{then } x1a \odot (\textit{filter } (\lambda y.\ w\ \langle y \rangle)\ xs)\ \textit{else } (\textit{filter } (\lambda y.\ w\ \langle y \rangle)\ xs))$$
$$\textit{else } \langle x1a \rangle)$$

by *simp*

have *61*: $(\exists\ x \in \textit{set } (\textit{suffixes } xs)\ .\ (\textit{LIFT}(\textit{init } w))\ x) =$
$$(\exists\ x \in \textit{set } xs.\ w\ (\langle x \rangle))$$

by (*auto simp: init-defs interval-sfx-1*)
(*metis init-defs interval-prefix-zero-intfirst pifilt-state-help*)

have *62*: $(\textit{LIFT}(\textit{init } w))\ (x1a \odot xs) = w\ (\langle x1a \rangle)$
by (*simp add: init-defs*)

have *63*: $(\exists\ x \in \textit{set } xs.\ w\ (\langle x \rangle)) \longrightarrow$
$$\textit{map } (\lambda xs\ .\ (\textit{nth } xs\ 0))\ (\textit{filter } (\textit{LIFT}(\textit{init } w))\ (\textit{suffixes } xs)) =$$
$$(\textit{filter } (\lambda y.\ w\ \langle y \rangle)\ xs)$$

by (*auto simp add: interval-nth-and-set*)
(*metis Cons.hyps interval.distinct*(*1*) *pifilt-cons pifilt-def pifilt-init-cons sfxfilt-def*)

have *7*: (*if* $(\exists\ x \in \textit{set } (\textit{suffixes } xs)\ .\ (\textit{LIFT}(\textit{init } w))\ x)$ *then*
$$(\textit{if } (\textit{LIFT}(\textit{init } w))\ (x1a \odot xs)$$
$$\textit{then } (x1a) \odot \textit{map } (\lambda xs\ .\ (\textit{nth } xs\ 0))\ (\textit{filter } (\textit{LIFT}(\textit{init } w))\ (\textit{suffixes } xs))$$
$$\textit{else } \textit{map } (\lambda xs\ .\ (\textit{nth } xs\ 0))\ (\textit{filter } (\textit{LIFT}(\textit{init } w))\ (\textit{suffixes } xs)))$$
$$\textit{else } \langle x1a \rangle) =$$
$$(\textit{if } (\exists\ x \in \textit{set } xs.\ w\ (\langle x \rangle))\ \textit{then}$$
$$(\textit{if } w\ (\langle x1a \rangle)\ \textit{then } x1a \odot (\textit{filter } (\lambda y.\ w\ \langle y \rangle)\ xs)\ \textit{else } (\textit{filter } (\lambda y.\ w\ \langle y \rangle)\ xs))$$
$$\textit{else } \langle x1a \rangle)$$

by (*simp add: 61 62 63*)
show *?thesis* **using** *1 2 5 7* **by** *auto*
qed
qed

lemma *pifilt-pifilt* :
assumes $(\exists\ i \leq \textit{intlen } xs.\ f\ (\textit{suffix } i\ xs))$
$$(\exists\ i \leq \textit{intlen } (\textit{pifilt } xs\ f).\ w\ \langle \textit{nth } (\textit{suffix } i\ (\textit{pifilt } xs\ f))\ 0 \rangle)$$
shows $(\textit{pifilt } (\textit{pifilt } xs\ f)\ (\textit{LIFT}(\textit{init } w))) = \textit{pifilt } xs\ (\textit{LIFT}(f \wedge \textit{init } w))$
proof $-$
have *1*: $\exists\ i \leq \textit{intlen } (\textit{pifilt } xs\ f).\ (\textit{LIFT}(\textit{init } w))\ (\textit{suffix } i\ (\textit{pifilt } xs\ f))$
using *assms* **by** (*simp add: init-defs*)
have *2*: $(\textit{pifilt } (\textit{pifilt } xs\ f)\ (\textit{LIFT}(\textit{init } w))) =$
$$\textit{filter } (\lambda y.\ w\ (\langle y \rangle))\ (\textit{pifilt } xs\ f)$$

using *1 pifilt-init*[*of* (*pifilt xs f*) *w*] **by** *auto*
have *3*: $(\textit{pifilt } xs\ f) =$
$$\textit{map } (\lambda s.\ \textit{nth } s\ 0)\ (\textit{sfxfilt } xs\ f)$$
by (*simp add: assms sfxfilt-pifilt*)
have *4*: $(\textit{sfxfilt } xs\ f) = \textit{filter } (\lambda\ ys.\ f\ ys)\ (\textit{suffixes } xs)$

    using *sfxfilt-def* **by** *blast*

have 5: (*pifilt xs f*) = *map* (λ*s. nth s 0*) (*filter* (λ *ys. f ys*) (*suffixes xs*))
    by (*simp add*: *3 4*)

have 6: *filter* (λ*y. w* (⟨*y*⟩)) (*pifilt xs f*) =
      filter (λ*y. w* (⟨*y*⟩)) (*map* (λ*s. nth s 0*) (*filter* (λ *ys. f ys*) (*suffixes xs*)))

  using 5 **by** *simp*

have 7: *filter* (λ*y. w* (⟨*y*⟩)) (*map* (λ*s. nth s 0*) (*filter* (λ *ys. f ys*) (*suffixes xs*))) =
    map (λ*s. nth s 0*) (*filter* ((λ*y. w* (⟨*y*⟩))∘(λ*s. nth s 0*)) (*filter* (λ *ys. f ys*) (*suffixes xs*)))

    using *assms* **by** (*metis 3 4 filter-map in-set-suffixes interval-sfx-1 osfx-suffix*)

have 8: ∃*x*∈*interval.set* (*filter* (λ *ys. f ys*) (*suffixes xs*)). ((λ*y. w* (⟨*y*⟩))∘(λ*s. nth s 0*)) *x*
    using *assms 3 4*
    by *simp-all*
      (*metis interval-intlen-map interval-nth-map interval-nth-zero-intfirst nth-set*)

have 9: ∃*x*∈*interval.set* (*suffixes xs*). (λ *ys. f ys*) *x*
    using *assms in-set-suffixes osfx-suffix* **by** *blast*

have 10: ∃*x*∈*interval.set* (*suffixes xs*). ((λ*y. w* (⟨*y*⟩))∘(λ*s. nth s 0*)) *x* ∧ (λ *ys. f ys*) *x*
    using 8 9 **by** *auto*

have 11: *filter* ((λ*y. w* (⟨*y*⟩))∘(λ*s. nth s 0*)) (*filter* (λ *ys. f ys*) (*suffixes xs*)) =
      filter (λ *zs.* ((λ*y. w* (⟨*y*⟩))∘(λ*s. nth s 0*)) *zs* ∧ (λ *ys. f ys*) *zs*) (*suffixes xs*)

    using *filter-filter*[*of* (λ *ys. f ys*) (*suffixes xs*) ((λ*y. w* (⟨*y*⟩))∘(λ*s. nth s 0*))]
      8 10 **by** *blast*

have 12: ∃*i*≤*intlen xs.* (λ *zs.* ((λ*y. w* (⟨*y*⟩))∘(λ*s. nth s 0*)) *zs* ∧ (λ *ys. f ys*) *zs*) (*suffix i xs*)
    by (*metis PiAssocsem1 assms comp-apply interval-intfirst-suffix interval-suffix-zero le0*)

have 13: (*filter* (λ *zs.* ((λ*y. w* (⟨*y*⟩))∘(λ*s. nth s 0*)) *zs* ∧ (λ *ys. f ys*) *zs*) (*suffixes xs*))
      = (*sfxfilt xs* (λ *zs.* ((λ*y. w* (⟨*y*⟩))∘(λ*s. nth s 0*)) *zs* ∧ (λ *ys. f ys*) *zs*))

    by (*simp add*: *sfxfilt-def*)

have 14: ∃*i*≤*intlen xs.* (λ *zs.* ((λ*y. w* (⟨*y*⟩))∘(λ*s. nth s 0*)) *zs* ∧ (λ *ys. f ys*) *zs*) (*suffix i xs*)
    using 12 **by** *blast*

have 15: *map* (λ*s. nth s 0*)
      ((*sfxfilt xs* (λ *zs.* ((λ*y. w* (⟨*y*⟩))∘(λ*s. nth s 0*)) *zs* ∧ (λ *ys. f ys*) *zs*))) =
    pifilt xs (λ *zs.* ((λ*y. w* (⟨*y*⟩))∘(λ*s. nth s 0*)) *zs* ∧ (λ *ys. f ys*) *zs*)

    using 14 **by** (*simp add*: *sfxfilt-pifilt*)

have 16: ⋀*xs* . (λ *zs.* ((λ*y. w* (⟨*y*⟩))∘(λ*s. nth s 0*)) *zs* ∧ (λ *ys. f ys*) *zs*) *xs* =
      (*LIFT*(*f* ∧ *init w*)) *xs*

    by (*auto simp add*: *init-defs*)

have 17: *pifilt xs* (λ *zs.* ((λ*y. w* (⟨*y*⟩))∘(λ*s. nth s 0*)) *zs* ∧ (λ *ys. f ys*) *zs*) =
      pifilt xs (*LIFT*(*f* ∧ *init w*))

    using 16 **by** *presburger*

show *?thesis*
 using *11 13 15 17 2 3 4 7* **by** *auto*

qed

lemma *PiAssocsem*:

$\sigma \models f \ \Pi \ ((init \ w) \ \Pi \ g) = \ (f \land (init \ w)) \ \Pi \ g$
proof (*auto simp add*: *pi-d-def init-defs*)
 show $\bigwedge i \ ia.$
   $g \ (pifilt \ (pifilt \ \sigma \ f) \ (LIFT(init \ w))) \implies$
   $i \le intlen \ \sigma \implies$
   $f \ (suffix \ i \ \sigma) \implies$
   $ia \le intlen \ (pifilt \ \sigma \ f) \implies$
   $w \ \langle Interval.nth \ (pifilt \ \sigma \ f) \ ia \rangle \implies$
   $\exists i \le intlen \ \sigma. \ f \ (suffix \ i \ \sigma) \land w \ \langle Interval.nth \ \sigma \ i \rangle$
  using *PiAssocsem1* **by** *fastforce*
 show $\bigwedge i \ ia.$
   $g \ (pifilt \ (pifilt \ \sigma \ f) \ (LIFT(init \ w))) \implies$
   $i \le intlen \ \sigma \implies$
   $f \ (suffix \ i \ \sigma) \implies$
   $ia \le intlen \ (pifilt \ \sigma \ f) \implies$
   $w \ \langle Interval.nth \ (pifilt \ \sigma \ f) \ ia \rangle \implies$
   $g \ (pifilt \ \sigma \ (LIFT(f \land init \ w)))$
  by (*metis interval-intfirst-suffix interval-nth-zero-intfirst pifilt-pifilt*)
 show $\bigwedge i. \ g \ (pifilt \ \sigma \ (LIFT(f \land init \ w))) \implies$
    $i \le intlen \ \sigma \implies f \ (suffix \ i \ \sigma) \implies$
    $w \ \langle Interval.nth \ \sigma \ i \rangle \implies$
    $\exists i \le intlen \ (pifilt \ \sigma \ f). \ w \ \langle Interval.nth \ (pifilt \ \sigma \ f) \ i \rangle$
  by (*metis PiAssocsem2*)
 show $\bigwedge i. \ g \ (pifilt \ \sigma \ (LIFT(f \land init \ w))) \implies$
    $i \le intlen \ \sigma \implies$
    $f \ (suffix \ i \ \sigma) \implies$
    $w \ \langle Interval.nth \ \sigma \ i \rangle \implies$
    $g \ (pifilt \ (pifilt \ \sigma \ f) \ (LIFT(init \ w)))$
  by (*metis PiAssocsem2 interval-intfirst-suffix interval-nth-zero-intfirst pifilt-pifilt*)
qed

lemma *PiAssoc*:
$\vdash f \ \Pi \ ((init \ w) \ \Pi \ g) = \ (f \land (init \ w)) \ \Pi \ g$
 using *PiAssocsem Valid-def* **by** *blast*

22.3.10   PiNotEqvDiamondAndNotPi

lemma *PiNotEqvDiamondAndNotPisem*:
$\sigma \models f \ \Pi \ (\neg \ g) = (\Diamond f \land \neg(f \ \Pi \ g))$
by (*simp add*: *pi-d-def sometimes-defs*) *blast*

lemma *PiNotEqvDiamondAndNotPi*:
$\vdash f \ \Pi \ (\neg \ g) = (\Diamond f \land \neg(f \ \Pi \ g))$
using *PiNotEqvDiamondAndNotPisem Valid-def* **by** *blast*

22.3.11   PiChopDist

lemma *set-fuse*:
 assumes *intlast xs = intfirst ys*
 shows   *set (fuse xs ys) = set xs $\cup$ set ys*

799

using *assms*
proof (*induction xs arbitrary*: *ys*)
case (*St x*)
then show *?case*
by (*metis fuse-St interval-fuse-rightneutral interval-intapp-assoc interval-set-intapp*
    opfx-code(*1*) *opfx-def sup.idem*)
next
case (*Cons x1a xs*)
then show *?case* **by** *simp*
qed

lemma *filter-chop*:
assumes *intlast xs = intfirst ys ∧ P* (*intlast xs*)
      (∃ *x* ∈ *set* (*fuse xs ys*). *P x*)
      (∃ *x* ∈ *set xs*. *P x*)
      (∃ *x* ∈ *set ys*. *P x*)
shows *filter P* (*fuse xs ys*) = *fuse* (*filter P xs*) (*filter P ys*)
using *assms*
proof (*induction xs arbitrary*: *ys*)
case (*St x*)
then show *?case*
by *simp*
next
case (*Cons x1a xs*)
then show *?case*
  proof (*cases* (∃ *x* ∈ *set xs*. *P x*))
  case *True*
  then show *?thesis*
    using *Cons.IH Cons.prems*(*1*) *Cons.prems*(*4*) *set-fuse* **by** *fastforce*
  next
  case *False*
  then show *?thesis*
    using *Cons.prems*(*1*) *nth-set order-refl* **by** *force*
  qed
qed

lemma *filter-chop1*:
assumes *n≤ intlen xs ∧ P* (*intlast* (*prefix n xs*))
      (∃ *x* ∈ *set xs*. *P x*)
      (∃ *x* ∈ *set* (*prefix n xs*). *P x*)
      (∃ *x* ∈ *set* (*suffix n xs*). *P x*)
shows *filter P xs = fuse* (*filter P* (*prefix n xs*)) (*filter P* (*suffix n xs*))
proof −
 have *1*: (∃ *x* ∈ *set xs*. *P x*) =
      (∃ *x* ∈ *set* (*fuse* (*prefix n xs*) (*suffix n xs*)). *P x*)

    by (*simp add*: *assms*(*1*) *interval-fuse-prefix-suffix*)
 have *2*: *intlast* (*prefix n xs*) = *intfirst* (*suffix n xs*)
   using *Interval.interval-intlast-intfirst* **by** *blast*
 have *3*: *xs = fuse* (*prefix n xs*) (*suffix n xs*)

by (*simp add*: *assms*(*1*) *interval-fuse-prefix-suffix*)
 have *4*: *filter P* (*fuse* (*prefix n xs*) (*suffix n xs*)) =
        fuse (*filter P* (*prefix n xs*)) (*filter P* (*suffix n xs*))
  using *assms 2 3 filter-chop*[*of* (*prefix n xs*) (*suffix n xs*) *P*]
  by *auto*
 show *?thesis* **using** *3 4* **by** *auto*
qed

lemma *filter-chop1-prefix*:
assumes *n*≤ *intlen xs*
        P (*intlast* (*prefix n xs*))
        (∃ *x* ∈ *set xs. P x*)
        (∃ *x* ∈ *set* (*prefix n xs*). *P x*)
        (∃ *x* ∈ *set* (*suffix n xs*). *P x*)
shows *prefix* (*intlen* (*filter P* (*prefix n xs*))) (*filter P xs*) =
      (*filter P* (*prefix n xs*))
proof −
 have *2*: *filter P xs* = *fuse* (*filter P* (*prefix n xs*)) (*filter P* (*suffix n xs*))
   using *assms filter-chop1* **by** *blast*
 have *3*: *intlast* (*filter P* (*prefix n xs*)) = *intfirst* (*filter P* (*suffix n xs*))
   using *assms* **by** (*metis Interval.interval-intlast-intfirst filter-intfirst filter-intlast*)
 have *4*: *prefix* (*intlen* (*filter P* (*prefix n xs*)))
             (*fuse* (*filter P* (*prefix n xs*)) (*filter P* (*suffix n xs*))) =
       (*filter P* (*prefix n xs*))

     using *interval-prefix-fuse* **using** *3* **by** *blast*
 show *?thesis* **by** (*simp add*: *2 4*)
qed

lemma *filter-chop1-suffix*:
assumes *n*≤ *intlen xs*
        P (*intlast* (*prefix n xs*))
        (∃ *x* ∈ *set xs. P x*)
        (∃ *x* ∈ *set* (*prefix n xs*). *P x*)
        (∃ *x* ∈ *set* (*suffix n xs*). *P x*)
shows *suffix* (*intlen* (*filter P* (*prefix n xs*))) (*filter P xs*) =
      (*filter P* (*suffix n xs*))
proof −
 have *1*: *filter P xs* = *fuse* (*filter P* (*prefix n xs*)) (*filter P* (*suffix n xs*))
   using *assms filter-chop1* **by** *blast*
 have *3*: *intlast* (*filter P* (*prefix n xs*)) = *intfirst* (*filter P* (*suffix n xs*))
   using *assms* **by** (*metis Interval.interval-intlast-intfirst filter-intfirst filter-intlast*)
 have *4*: *suffix* (*intlen* (*filter P* (*prefix n xs*)))
             (*fuse* (*filter P* (*prefix n xs*)) (*filter P* (*suffix n xs*))) =
        (*filter P* (*suffix n xs*))

     using *interval-suffix-fuse* **using** *3* **by** *blast*
  show *?thesis* **by** (*simp add*: *1 4*)
qed

lemma *PiChopDistsema*:
 assumes $(\sigma \models (init\ w)\ \Pi\ (g;h))$
 shows $(\sigma \models ((init\ w)\ \Pi\ g);((init\ w) \wedge ((init\ w)\ \Pi\ h)))$
 proof $-$
 have *1*: $(\sigma \models (init\ w)\ \Pi\ (g;h))$
  using *assms* **by** *auto*
 have *2*: $((\exists\ i \leq intlen\ \sigma.\ (LIFT(init\ w))\ (suffix\ i\ \sigma)) \wedge$
        $((pifilt\ \sigma\ (LIFT(init\ w))) \models g;h)$
         $)$
   using *1* **by** *(simp add: pi-d-def)*
 have *3*: $(\exists\ i \leq intlen\ \sigma.\ (LIFT(init\ w))\ (suffix\ i\ \sigma))$
  using *2* **by** *auto*
 have *4*: $((pifilt\ \sigma\ (LIFT(init\ w))) \models g;h)$
  using *2* **by** *auto*
 have *5*: $filter\ (\lambda y.\ w\ (\langle y \rangle))\ \sigma \models g;h$
   using *pifilt-init*
   using *2* **by** *fastforce*
 have *6*: $\exists\ n \leq intlen\ (filter\ (\lambda y.\ w\ \langle y \rangle)\ \sigma).$
        $g\ (prefix\ n\ (filter\ (\lambda y.\ w\ \langle y \rangle)\ \sigma)) \wedge$
        $h\ (suffix\ n\ (filter\ (\lambda y.\ w\ \langle y \rangle)\ \sigma))$
  using *5* **by** *(simp add: chop-defs)*
 obtain *n* **where** *7*: $n \leq intlen\ (filter\ (\lambda y.\ w\ \langle y \rangle)\ \sigma) \wedge$
        $g\ (prefix\ n\ (filter\ (\lambda y.\ w\ \langle y \rangle)\ \sigma)) \wedge$
         $h\ (suffix\ n\ (filter\ (\lambda y.\ w\ \langle y \rangle)\ \sigma))$
   using *6* **by** *auto*
 have *8*: $\exists\ i \leq intlen\ \sigma.\ w\ \langle nth\ \sigma\ i \rangle$
  using *3* **by** *(auto simp add: init-defs)*
 have *9*: $\exists\ x \in set\ \sigma.\ w\ \langle x \rangle$
   using *8 nth-set* **by** *blast*
 have *10*: $(prefix\ n\ (filter\ (\lambda y.\ w\ \langle y \rangle)\ \sigma)) =$
        $(filter\ (\lambda y.\ w\ \langle y \rangle)\ (prefix\ ((nth\ (nfilter\ (\lambda y.\ w\ \langle y \rangle)\ \sigma\ 0)\ n)\ )\ \sigma)\ )$
    by *(simp add: 7 9 filter-nfilter-prefix-1)*
 have *11*: $(suffix\ n\ (filter\ (\lambda y.\ w\ \langle y \rangle)\ \sigma)) =$
        $(filter\ (\lambda y.\ w\ \langle y \rangle)\ (suffix\ ((nth\ (nfilter\ (\lambda y.\ w\ \langle y \rangle)\ \sigma\ 0)\ n)\ )\ \sigma)\ )$
   by *(simp add: 7 9 filter-nfilter-suffix-1)*
 have *12*: $g\ (filter\ (\lambda y.\ w\ \langle y \rangle)\ (prefix\ ((nth\ (nfilter\ (\lambda y.\ w\ \langle y \rangle)\ \sigma\ 0)\ n)\ )\ \sigma)\ )$
  using *10 7* **by** *auto*
 have *13*: $h\ (filter\ (\lambda y.\ w\ \langle y \rangle)\ (suffix\ ((nth\ (nfilter\ (\lambda y.\ w\ \langle y \rangle)\ \sigma\ 0)\ n)\ )\ \sigma)\ )$
   using *11 7* **by** *auto*
 have *14*: $((nth\ (nfilter\ (\lambda y.\ w\ \langle y \rangle)\ \sigma\ 0)\ n)\ ) \leq intlen\ \sigma$
  by *(metis 7 9 add-cancel-right-left nfilter-intlen nfilter-upper-bound)*
 have *15*: $w\ \langle nth\ (suffix\ ((nth\ (nfilter\ (\lambda y.\ w\ \langle y \rangle)\ \sigma\ 0)\ n)\ )\ \sigma)\ 0 \rangle$
   by *(metis (no-types, lifting) 14 7 9 filter-nth-aa interval-intfirst-suffix*
      interval-suffix-zero nfilter-filter nfilter-intlen nfilter-nth-n-zero zero-le)
 have *16*: $(\exists\ i \leq intlen\ (prefix\ ((nth\ (nfilter\ (\lambda y.\ w\ \langle y \rangle)\ \sigma\ 0)\ n)\ )\ \sigma).$
        $w\ \langle nth\ (suffix\ i\ (prefix\ ((nth\ (nfilter\ (\lambda y.\ w\ \langle y \rangle)\ \sigma\ 0)\ n)\ )\ \sigma))\ 0 \rangle)$
   using *14 15* **by** *auto*
 have *17*: $(\exists\ i \leq intlen\ (suffix\ ((nth\ (nfilter\ (\lambda y.\ w\ \langle y \rangle)\ \sigma\ 0)\ n)\ )\ \sigma).$

$w \langle nth \ (suffix \ (i \ + \ ((nth \ (nfilter \ (\lambda y. \ w \ \langle y \rangle) \ \sigma \ 0) \ n) \ )) \ \sigma) \ 0 \rangle)$
    using *15* **by** *auto*
have *18*: $(\exists \, n {\leq} intlen \ \sigma.$
    $(\exists \, i {\leq} intlen \ (prefix \ n \ \sigma). \ w \ \langle nth \ (suffix \ i \ (prefix \ n \ \sigma)) \ 0 \rangle) \ \wedge$
    $g \ (filter \ (\lambda y. \ w \ (\langle y \rangle)) \ (prefix \ n \ \sigma)) \ \wedge$
    $w \ \langle nth \ (suffix \ n \ \sigma) \ 0 \rangle \ \wedge$
    $(\exists \, i {\leq} intlen \ (suffix \ n \ \sigma). \ w \ \langle nth \ (suffix \ (i \ + \ n) \ \sigma) \ 0 \rangle) \ \wedge$
    $h \ (filter \ (\lambda y. \ w \ (\langle y \rangle)) \ (suffix \ n \ \sigma)) \ )$
    using *12 13 14 15 16 17* **by** *blast*
have *19*: $(\exists \, n {\leq} intlen \ \sigma.$
    $(\exists \, i {\leq} intlen \ (prefix \ n \ \sigma). \ w \ \langle nth \ (suffix \ i \ (prefix \ n \ \sigma)) \ 0 \rangle) \ \wedge$
    $g \ (pifilt \ (prefix \ n \ \sigma) \ (LIFT(init \ w))) \ \wedge$
    $w \ \langle nth \ (suffix \ n \ \sigma) \ 0 \rangle \ \wedge$
    $(\exists \, i {\leq} intlen \ (suffix \ n \ \sigma). \ w \ \langle nth \ (suffix \ (i \ + \ n) \ \sigma) \ 0 \rangle) \ \wedge$
    $h \ (pifilt \ (suffix \ n \ \sigma) \ (LIFT(init \ w))))$
  by (*metis 18 init-defs interval-prefix-zero-intfirst interval-suffix-suffix pifilt-init*)
have *20*: $((\exists \, n {\leq} intlen \ \sigma.$
    $(\exists \, i {\leq} intlen \ (prefix \ n \ \sigma). \ (LIFT(init \ w)) \ (suffix \ i \ (prefix \ n \ \sigma))) \ \wedge$
    $g \ (pifilt \ (prefix \ n \ \sigma) \ (LIFT(init \ w))) \ \wedge$
    $(LIFT(init \ w)) \ (suffix \ n \ \sigma) \ \wedge (\exists \, i {\leq} intlen \ (suffix \ n \ \sigma). \ (LIFT(init \ w)) \ (suffix \ (i \ + \ n) \ \sigma))$
    $\wedge \ h \ (pifilt \ (suffix \ n \ \sigma) \ (LIFT(init \ w))))))$
  by (*metis 19 init-defs interval-prefix-zero-intfirst*)
have *21*: $(\sigma \models ((init \ w) \ \Pi \ g);((init \ w) \ \wedge \ ((init \ w) \ \Pi \ h)))$
    using *20* **by** (*simp add: chop-defs pi-d-def*)
show *?thesis*
using *21* **by** *auto*
qed

lemma *PiChopDistsemb*:
 assumes $(\sigma \models ((init \ w) \ \Pi \ g);((init \ w) \ \wedge \ ((init \ w) \ \Pi \ h)))$
 shows $(\sigma \models (init \ w) \ \Pi \ (g;h))$
proof $-$
 have *1*: $(\sigma \models ((init \ w) \ \Pi \ g);((init \ w) \ \wedge \ ((init \ w) \ \Pi \ h)))$
  using *assms* **by** *auto*
 have *2*: $\exists \ n \ \leq \ intlen \ \sigma.$
     $((prefix \ n \ \sigma) \models ((init \ w) \ \Pi \ g)) \ \wedge$
     $((suffix \ n \ \sigma) \models ((init \ w) \ \wedge \ ((init \ w) \ \Pi \ h)))$

  using *assms chop-defs* **by** *blast*
 obtain *n* **where** *3*: $n \ \leq \ intlen \ \sigma \ \wedge \ ((prefix \ n \ \sigma) \models ((init \ w) \ \Pi \ g)) \ \wedge$
     $((suffix \ n \ \sigma) \models ((init \ w) \ \wedge \ ((init \ w) \ \Pi \ h)))$
  using *2* **by** *auto*
 have *4*: $((\exists \, i {\leq} intlen \ (prefix \ n \ \sigma). \ (LIFT(init \ w)) \ (suffix \ i \ (prefix \ n \ \sigma))) \ \wedge$
     $((pifilt \ (prefix \ n \ \sigma) \ (LIFT(init \ w))) \models g)$
     $)$
  by (*meson 3 pi-d-def*)
 have *5*: $(\exists \, i {\leq} intlen \ (prefix \ n \ \sigma). \ (LIFT(init \ w)) \ (suffix \ i \ (prefix \ n \ \sigma)))$
  using *4* **by** *auto*
 have *6*: $g \ (pifilt \ (prefix \ n \ \sigma) \ (LIFT(init \ w)))$

using *4* **by** *auto*
have *7*: *g* (*filter* (λy. *w* ($\langle y \rangle$))) (*prefix n σ*))
  using *5 6 pifilt-init* **by** (*metis*)
have *8*: (($\exists\, i \leq intlen$ (*suffix n σ*). (*LIFT*(*init w*)) (*suffix i* (*suffix n σ*))) $\wedge$
     ((*pifilt* (*suffix n σ*) (*LIFT*(*init w*))) $\models$ *h*)
)
  by (*metis 3 intensional-rews*(*3*) *pi-d-def*)
have *9*: ($\exists\, i \leq intlen$ (*suffix n σ*). (*LIFT*(*init w*)) (*suffix i* (*suffix n σ*)))
  using *8* **by** *auto*
have *10*: *h* (*pifilt* (*suffix n σ*) (*LIFT*(*init w*)))
  using *8* **by** *auto*
have *11*: *h* (*filter* (λy. *w* ($\langle y \rangle$))) (*suffix n σ*))
  using *10 9 pifilt-init* **by** *metis*
have *12*: (λy. *w* ($\langle y \rangle$)) (*intlast* (*prefix n σ*))
  by (*metis 3 init-defs intensional-rews*(*3*) *interval-intfirst-suffix interval-intlast-prefix*
    interval-nth-zero-intfirst interval-prefix-zero-intfirst)
have *13*: $\exists\, x \in set\ \sigma$. ($\lambda y$. *w* ($\langle y \rangle$)) *x*
  using *12 3 nth-set* **using** *interval-intlast-prefix* **by** *fastforce*
have *14*: $\exists\, x \in set$ (*prefix n σ*) . (λy. *w* ($\langle y \rangle$)) *x*
  using *12 nth-set* **by** (*metis interval-nth-intlen-intlast order-refl*)
have *15*: $\exists\, x \in set$ (*suffix n σ*) . (λy. *w* ($\langle y \rangle$)) *x*
  by (*metis 12 3 interval-intfirst-suffix interval-intlast-prefix interval-intlen-gr-zero*
    interval-nth-zero-intfirst nth-set)
have *16*: (*filter* (λy. *w* ($\langle y \rangle$)) (*prefix n σ*)) =
    prefix (*intlen* (*filter* (λy. *w* ($\langle y \rangle$)) (*prefix n σ*))) (*filter* (λy. *w* ($\langle y \rangle$)) σ)

  using *12 13 14 15 3*
    filter-chop1-prefix[*of n σ* (λy. *w* $\langle y \rangle$)] **by** *auto*
have *17*: (*filter* (λy. *w* ($\langle y \rangle$)) (*suffix n σ*)) =
    suffix (*intlen* (*filter* (λy. *w* ($\langle y \rangle$)) (*prefix n σ*))) (*filter* (λy. *w* ($\langle y \rangle$)) σ)

  using *12 13 14 15 3*
    filter-chop1-suffix[*of n σ* (λy. *w* $\langle y \rangle$)] **by** *auto*
have *18*: $\exists\, n \leq intlen$ (*filter* (λy. *w* $\langle y \rangle$) σ).
    g (*prefix n* (*filter* (λy. *w* $\langle y \rangle$) σ)) $\wedge$
    h (*suffix n* (*filter* (λy. *w* $\langle y \rangle$) σ))
   by (*metis 11 16 17 7 interval-pref-intlen-bound*)
have *19*: *filter* (λy. *w* ($\langle y \rangle$)) $\sigma \models$ *g*;*h*
  by (*simp add*: *18 chop-defs*)
have *20*: ((*pifilt σ* (*LIFT*(*init w*))) $\models$ *g*;*h*)
  by (*metis* (*mono-tags, lifting*) *18 3 Interval.interval-intlast-intfirst intensional-rews*(*3*)
    interval-chop-fuse interval-fuse-prefix-suffix pifilt-init)
have *21*: ($\exists\, i \leq intlen\ \sigma$. (*LIFT*(*init w*)) (*suffix i σ*))
  using *3* **by** *auto*
show *?thesis*
by (*simp add*: *20 21 pi-d-def*)
qed

lemma *PiChopDistsem*:
$\sigma \models$ (*init w*) Π (*g*;*h*) = ((*init w*) Π *g*);((*init w*) $\wedge$ ((*init w*) Π *h*))

using *PiChopDistsema PiChopDistsemb unl-lift2* **by** *blast*

lemma *PiChopDist*:
$\vdash (\mathit{init}\ w)\ \Pi\ (g;h) = ((\mathit{init}\ w)\ \Pi\ g);((\mathit{init}\ w) \wedge ((\mathit{init}\ w)\ \Pi\ h))$
using *PiChopDistsem Valid-def* **by** *blast*

22.3.12   PiProp

lemma *Pistate*:
$(\sigma \models (\mathit{init}\ w)\ \Pi\ f) =$
 $((\exists\ x \in set\ \sigma.\ w\ \langle x \rangle) \wedge (\ (\mathit{filter}\ (\lambda y.\ w\ \langle y \rangle)\ \sigma) \models f)\ )$
proof $-$
 have *1*: $(\sigma \models (\mathit{init}\ w)\ \Pi\ f) =$
        $(\ (\exists\ i \leq \mathit{intlen}\ \sigma.\ w\ \langle nth\ \sigma\ i \rangle) \wedge ((\mathit{pifilt}\ \sigma\ (\mathit{LIFT}(\mathit{init}\ w))) \models f)\ )$
  by (*auto simp add*: *pi-d-def init-defs*)
 have *2*: $(\exists\ i \leq \mathit{intlen}\ \sigma.\ (\mathit{LIFT}(\mathit{init}\ w))\ (\mathit{suffix}\ i\ \sigma)) =$
        $(\exists\ i \leq \mathit{intlen}\ \sigma.\ w\ \langle nth\ \sigma\ i \rangle)$
  by (*auto simp add*: *init-defs*)
 have *3*: $(\exists\ i \leq \mathit{intlen}\ \sigma.\ w\ \langle nth\ \sigma\ i \rangle) \longrightarrow$
        $(\mathit{pifilt}\ \sigma\ (\mathit{LIFT}(\mathit{init}\ w))) = (\mathit{filter}\ (\lambda y.\ w\ \langle y \rangle)\ \sigma)$
   using *pifilt-init* **using** *2* **by** *blast*
 have *4*: $(\exists\ i \leq \mathit{intlen}\ \sigma.\ w\ \langle nth\ \sigma\ i \rangle) = (\exists\ x \in set\ \sigma.\ w\ \langle x \rangle)$
    using *interval-nth-and-set* **by** *force*
 show *?thesis*
 using *1 3 4* **by** *auto*
qed

lemma *PiPropsem1a*:
$(\sigma \models f\ \Pi\ \$p) =$
  $((\exists\ i \leq \mathit{intlen}\ \sigma.\ f\ (\mathit{suffix}\ i\ \sigma)) \wedge p\ (nth\ \sigma\ (nth\ (\mathit{nfilter}\ f\ (\mathit{suffixes}\ \sigma)\ 0)\ 0))\ )$

using *interval-nth-map*[*of* $(\lambda s.\ nth\ s\ 0)$ $(\mathit{filter}\ f\ (\mathit{suffixes}\ \sigma))$ *0*]
using *nfilter-filter*[*of suffixes* σ *f 0 0*]
by (*simp add*: *pi-d-def current-val-d-def pifilt-def sfxfilt-def*)
  (*metis in-set-suffixes interval-nth-map map-first-suffixes osfx-suffix*)

lemma *PiPropsem2a*:
 $(\sigma \models (\neg\ f)\ \mathcal{U}\ (f \wedge \$p)) =$
  $(\exists\ k \leq \mathit{intlen}\ \sigma.\ f\ (\mathit{suffix}\ k\ \sigma) \wedge p\ (nth\ \sigma\ k) \wedge (\forall\ j < k.\ \neg\ f\ (\mathit{suffix}\ j\ \sigma)))$
by (*simp add*: *until-d-def current-val-d-def*)

lemma *PiPropsem3a*:
 assumes $(\sigma \models f\ \Pi\ \$p)$
 shows $(\sigma \models (\neg\ f)\ \mathcal{U}\ (f \wedge \$p))$
proof $-$
 have *1*: $((\exists\ i \leq \mathit{intlen}\ \sigma.\ f\ (\mathit{suffix}\ i\ \sigma)) \wedge p\ (nth\ \sigma\ (nth\ (\mathit{nfilter}\ f\ (\mathit{suffixes}\ \sigma)\ 0)\ 0))\ )\ )$
   using *assms PiPropsem1a* **by** *auto*
 have *2*: $\exists\ x \in set(\mathit{suffixes}\ \sigma).\ f\ x$
  using *1 in-set-suffixes osfx-suffix* **by** *blast*

have *3*: $\forall\ x \in set(nfilter\ f\ (suffixes\ \sigma)\ 0).\ f\ (nth\ (suffixes\ \sigma)\ x)$
  by (*simp add*: *2*)
have *4*: $f\ (suffix\ (nth\ (nfilter\ f\ (suffixes\ \sigma)\ 0)\ 0)\ \sigma)$
  by (*metis 2 3 add.left-neutral interval-intlen-gr-zero nfilter-upper-bound nth-set*
    nth-suffixes)
have *5*: $(\forall j< (nth\ (nfilter\ f\ (suffixes\ \sigma)\ 0)\ 0).\ \neg\ f\ (suffix\ j\ \sigma))$
  using *nfilter-not-before*[*of suffixes* σ *f*] *2*
  proof $-$
  have *f1*: $\forall n.\neg\ n < Interval.nth\ (nfilter\ f\ (suffixes\ \sigma)\ 0)\ 0 \lor \neg\ f\ (Interval.nth\ (suffixes\ \sigma)\ n)$
  using $\langle \bigwedge i.\ [\![\exists x\in set\ (suffixes\ \sigma).\ f\ x;\ i < nth\ (nfilter\ f\ (suffixes\ \sigma)\ 0)\ 0]\!] \implies$
    $\neg\ f\ (nth\ (suffixes\ \sigma)\ i)\rangle\ \langle\exists x\in set\ (suffixes\ \sigma).\ f\ x\rangle$ **by** *blast*
  obtain *ii* :: $'a\ interval$ **where**
  f2: $ii \in set\ (suffixes\ \sigma) \land f\ ii$
  using $\langle\exists x\in set\ (suffixes\ \sigma).\ f\ x\rangle$ **by** *blast*
  obtain *nn* :: $'a\ interval\ interval \Rightarrow 'a\ interval \Rightarrow nat$ **where**
  $\forall x0\ x1.\ (\exists v2\leq intlen\ x0.\ nth\ x0\ v2 = x1) = (nn\ x0\ x1 \leq intlen\ x0 \land nth\ x0\ (nn\ x0\ x1) = x1)$
  by *moura*
  then have $nn\ (suffixes\ \sigma)\ ii \leq intlen\ (suffixes\ \sigma) \land nth\ (suffixes\ \sigma)\ (nn\ (suffixes\ \sigma)\ ii) = ii$
  using *f2* **by** (*meson interval-nth-and-set*)
  then have $nth\ (nfilter\ f\ (suffixes\ \sigma)\ 0)\ 0 \leq intlen\ (suffixes\ \sigma)$
  using *f2 f1* **by** (*metis (no-types) dual-order.trans le-less-linear*)
  then show *?thesis*
  using *f1* **by** (*metis (no-types) dual-order.trans less-or-eq-imp-le nth-suffixes*)
  qed
have *6*: $(\exists k\leq intlen\ \sigma.\ f\ (suffix\ k\ \sigma) \land p\ (nth\ \sigma\ k) \land (\forall j<k.\ \neg\ f\ (suffix\ j\ \sigma)))$
  by (*metis 1 4 5 interval-suf-first neqE*)
show *?thesis* **using** *6 PiPropsem2a* **by** *metis*
qed

lemma *PiPropsem3b*:
 assumes $(\sigma \models (\neg\ f)\ \mathcal{U}\ (f \land \$p))$
 shows $(\sigma \models f\ \Pi\ \$p)$
proof $-$
 have *1*: $(\exists k\leq intlen\ \sigma.\ f\ (suffix\ k\ \sigma) \land p\ (nth\ \sigma\ k) \land (\forall j<k.\ \neg\ f\ (suffix\ j\ \sigma)))$
  using *assms PiPropsem2a* **by** *auto*
 obtain *k* **where** *2*: $k\leq intlen\ \sigma \land f\ (suffix\ k\ \sigma) \land p\ (nth\ \sigma\ k) \land (\forall j<k.\ \neg\ f\ (suffix\ j\ \sigma))$
  using *1* **by** *auto*
 have *3*: $(\exists i\leq intlen\ \sigma.\ f\ (suffix\ i\ \sigma))$
  using *2* **by** *blast*
 have *31*: $\exists x \in set(suffixes\ \sigma).\ f\ x$
  using *2* **by** *auto*
 have *32*: $f\ (suffix\ (nth\ (nfilter\ f\ (suffixes\ \sigma)\ 0)\ 0)\ \sigma)$
  using *nfilter-holds*[*of suffixes* σ *f 0*] *nfilter-not-before*[*of suffixes* σ *f*]
   by (*metis 31 diff-zero interval-intlen-gr-zero nfilter-upper-bound nth-set nth-suffixes*
    ordered-cancel-comm-monoid-diff-class.add-diff-inverse)
 have *4*: $p\ (nth\ \sigma\ (nth\ (nfilter\ f\ (suffixes\ \sigma)\ 0)\ 0)\ )$
  by (*metis 1 31 32 intlen-suffixes linorder-neqE-nat nfilter-not-before nth-suffixes*)
 show *?thesis* **using** *4 3* **by** (*simp add*: *PiPropsem1a*)
qed

lemma *PiPropsema*:
 $\sigma \models f \; \Pi \; \$p = (\neg \; f) \; \mathcal{U} \; (f \wedge \$p)$
using *PiPropsem3a PiPropsem3b unl-lift2* **by** *blast*

lemma *PiProp*:
 $\vdash f \; \Pi \; \$p = (\neg \; f) \; \mathcal{U} \; (f \wedge \$p)$
using *PiPropsema Valid-def* **by** *blast*

22.3.13 PiNext

lemma *PiNextsem1*:
 $(\sigma \models f \; \Pi \; (\bigcirc \; g)) =$
  $((\exists \, i{\leq}intlen \; \sigma. \; f \; (suffix \; i \; \sigma)) \; \wedge$
   $0 < intlen \; (filter \; f \; (suffixes \; \sigma)) \; \wedge$
   $g \; (suffix \; (Suc \; 0) \; (map \; (\lambda s. \; nth \; s \; 0) \; (filter \; f \; (suffixes \; \sigma)))))$
by (*simp add*: *pi-d-def next-defs pifilt-def sfxfilt-def*)

lemma *PiNextsem2*:
 $(\sigma \models (\neg \; f) \; \mathcal{U} \; (f \wedge \bigcirc(f \; \Pi \; g))) =$
  $(\exists \, k{\leq}intlen \; \sigma.$
     $f \; (suffix \; k \; \sigma) \; \wedge$
     $k < intlen \; \sigma \; \wedge$
     $(\exists \, i{\leq}intlen \; \sigma - Suc \; k. \; f \; (suffix \; (Suc \; (i + k)) \; \sigma)) \; \wedge$
     $g \; (map \; (\lambda s. \; nth \; s \; 0) \; (filter \; f \; (suffixes \; (suffix \; (Suc \; k) \; \sigma)))) \; \wedge \; (\forall \, j{<}k. \; \neg \; f \; (suffix \; j \; \sigma)))$

by (*simp add*: *until-d-def next-defs pi-d-def pifilt-def sfxfilt-def*)

lemma *PiNextsem3*:
 assumes $(\sigma \models f \; \Pi \; (\bigcirc \; g))$
 shows $(\sigma \models (\neg \; f) \; \mathcal{U} \; (f \wedge \bigcirc(f \; \Pi \; g)))$
proof $-$
 have *1*: $((\exists \, i{\leq}intlen \; \sigma. \; f \; (suffix \; i \; \sigma)) \; \wedge$
   $0 < intlen \; (filter \; f \; (suffixes \; \sigma)) \; \wedge$
   $g \; (suffix \; (Suc \; 0) \; (map \; (\lambda s. \; nth \; s \; 0) \; (filter \; f \; (suffixes \; \sigma)))))$
   using *assms PiNextsem1* **by** *auto*
 have *2*: $\exists \; x \in set(suffixes \; \sigma). \; f \; x$
   using *1 in-set-suffixes osfx-suffix* **by** *blast*
 have *3*: $\forall \; x \in set(nfilter \; f \; (suffixes \; \sigma) \; 0). \; f \; (nth \; (suffixes \; \sigma) \; x)$
   by (*simp add*: *2*)
 have *4*: $f \; (suffix \; (nth \; (nfilter \; f \; (suffixes \; \sigma) \; 0) \; 0) \; \sigma)$
  by (*metis 2 add.left-neutral interval-intlen-gr-zero nfilter-filter nfilter-nth-n-zero*
      nfilter-upper-bound nth-suffixes sfxfilter-nth)
 have *41*: $(nth \; (nfilter \; f \; (suffixes \; \sigma) \; 0) \; 1) \in set(nfilter \; f \; (suffixes \; \sigma) \; 0)$
  by (*metis 1 2 One-nat-def Suc-leI nfilter-intlen nth-set*)
 have *42*: $(nth \; (nfilter \; f \; (suffixes \; \sigma) \; 0) \; 1) \leq intlen \; (suffixes \; \sigma)$
   by (*metis 1 2 One-nat-def Suc-leI add-cancel-right-left nfilter-intlen nfilter-upper-bound*)
 have *5*: $f \; (suffix \; (nth \; (nfilter \; f \; (suffixes \; \sigma) \; 0) \; 1) \; \sigma)$
   using *3 41 42 nth-suffixes* **by** *fastforce*

have 6: *(nth (nfilter f (suffixes σ) 0) 0) < (nth (nfilter f (suffixes σ) 0) 1)*
  by *(simp add: 1 2 idx-nfilter-mono nfilter-intlen)*
have 7: *Suc (nth (nfilter f (suffixes σ) 0) 0) ≤ intlen σ*
  by *(metis 1 3 IntervalFilter.length-filter-le Suc-diff-Suc diff-is-0-eq′*
     filter-nfilter-suffix interval-intlen-gr-zero interval-suffix-length intlen-suffixes
     not-less-eq-eq nth-set)
have 8: *0 < intlen (nfilter f (suffixes σ) 0)*
  by *(simp add: 1 2 nfilter-intlen)*
have 9: *(nth (nfilter f (suffixes σ) 0) 1) ≤ intlen σ*
  using *nfilter-upper-bound[of suffixes σ f 1 0]*
  by *(simp add: 2 8 Suc-leI)*
have 10: *(Suc (nth (nfilter f (suffixes σ) 0) 0)) ≤ (nth (nfilter f (suffixes σ) 0) 1)*
  using *6 Suc-leI* **by** *blast*
have 11: *(nth (nfilter f (suffixes σ) 0) 1) =*
     (nth (nfilter f (suffixes σ) 0) 1) − (Suc (nth (nfilter f (suffixes σ) 0) 0)) +
      (Suc (nth (nfilter f (suffixes σ) 0) 0))

    using *10* **by** *auto*
 have 12: *(nth (nfilter f (suffixes σ) 0) 1) − (Suc (nth (nfilter f (suffixes σ) 0) 0)) ≤*
     intlen σ − Suc (nth (nfilter f (suffixes σ) 0) 0)
  using *9 diff-le-mono* **by** *blast*
have 13: *∃ i ≤ intlen σ − Suc (nth (nfilter f (suffixes σ) 0) 0).*
     (nth (nfilter f (suffixes σ) 0) 1) = (Suc (i + (nth (nfilter f (suffixes σ) 0) 0)))
 using *11 12* **by** *auto*
have 14: *(∃i≤intlen σ − Suc (nth (nfilter f (suffixes σ) 0) 0).*
    f (suffix (Suc (i + (nth (nfilter f (suffixes σ) 0) 0))) σ))
  using *13 5* **by** *auto*
have 15: *(suffix (Suc 0) (map (λs. nth s 0) (filter f (suffixes σ)))) =*
    (map (λs. nth s 0)
      (filter f (suffixes (suffix (Suc (nth (nfilter f (suffixes σ) 0) 0)) σ))))
  using *2 8* **by** *(simp add: 1 Suc-leI filter-suffixes-map)*
have 16: *g (map (λs .nth s 0)*
      (filter f (suffixes (suffix (Suc (nth (nfilter f (suffixes σ) 0) 0)) σ))))
  using *1 15* **by** *auto*
have 17: *∀ j< (nth (nfilter f (suffixes σ) 0) 0). ¬ f (suffix j σ)*
  by *(metis 7 Suc-leD Suc-leI in-set-suffixes intlen-suffixes le-trans nfilter-not-before*
    nth-suffixes osfx-suffix)
have 18: *(∃k≤intlen σ.*
   f (suffix k σ) ∧
   k < intlen σ ∧
   (∃i≤intlen σ − Suc k. f (suffix (Suc (i + k)) σ)) ∧
   g (map (λs. nth s 0) (filter f (suffixes (suffix (Suc k) σ))))) ∧ (∀j<k. ¬ f (suffix j σ)))
 using *14 16 17 4 7 Suc-leD Suc-le-lessD* **by** *blast*
show *?thesis* **using** *18* **by** *(simp add: PiNextsem2)*
qed

lemma *PiNextsem4*:
 assumes *(σ ⊨ (¬ f) 𝒰 (f ∧ ○(f Π g)))*
 shows   *(σ ⊨ f Π (○ g))*

proof $-$
 have 1: $(\exists\,k{\leq}intlen\ \sigma.$
     $f\ (suffix\ k\ \sigma)\ \wedge$
     $k < intlen\ \sigma\ \wedge$
     $(\exists\,i{\leq}intlen\ \sigma - Suc\ k.\ f\ (suffix\ (Suc\ (i\ +\ k))\ \sigma))\ \wedge$
     $g\ (map\ (\lambda s.\ nth\ s\ 0)\ (filter\ f\ (suffixes\ (suffix\ (Suc\ k)\ \sigma))))) \wedge (\forall\,j{<}k.\ \neg\ f\ (suffix\ j\ \sigma)))$
   using $assms$ **by** $(simp\ add{:}\ PiNextsem2)$
 obtain k **where** 2: $k{\leq}intlen\ \sigma\ \wedge\ f\ (suffix\ k\ \sigma)\ \wedge\ k < intlen\ \sigma\ \wedge\ (\exists\,i{\leq}intlen\ \sigma - Suc\ k.$
             $f\ (suffix\ (Suc\ (i\ +\ k))\ \sigma))\ \wedge$
     $g\ (map\ (\lambda s.\ nth\ s\ 0)\ (filter\ f\ (suffixes\ (suffix\ (Suc\ k)\ \sigma))))) \wedge (\forall\,j{<}k.\ \neg\ f\ (suffix\ j\ \sigma))$
   using 1 **by** $auto$
 have 3: $\exists\ x \in set\ (suffixes\ \sigma).\ f\ x$
   using 1 $in\text{-}set\text{-}suffixes\ osfx\text{-}suffix$ **by** $blast$
 have 4: $\forall\ x \in set(nfilter\ f\ (suffixes\ \sigma)\ 0).\ f\ (nth\ (suffixes\ \sigma)\ x)$
   by $(simp\ add{:}\ 3)$
 have 5: $f\ (suffix\ (nth\ (nfilter\ f\ (suffixes\ \sigma)\ 0)\ 0)\ \ \sigma)$
  by $(metis\ 3\ 4\ add.left\text{-}neutral\ interval\text{-}intlen\text{-}gr\text{-}zero\ nfilter\text{-}upper\text{-}bound\ nth\text{-}set$
    $nth\text{-}suffixes)$
 have 6: $0 < intlen\ (filter\ f\ (suffixes\ \sigma))$
  using $filter\text{-}length\text{-}zero\text{-}conv\text{-}a[of\ suffixes\ \sigma\ f]$
  by $(metis\ 2\ 3\ Nat.le\text{-}diff\text{-}conv2\ Suc\text{-}leI\ Suc\text{-}n\text{-}not\text{-}le\text{-}n\ add\text{-}Suc\text{-}right\ intlen\text{-}suffixes\ le\text{-}add2$
    $neq0\text{-}conv\ nth\text{-}suffixes)$
 have 61: $(nth\ (nfilter\ f\ (suffixes\ \sigma)\ 0)\ 1) \in set(nfilter\ f\ (suffixes\ \sigma)\ 0)$
   by $(metis\ 3\ 6\ One\text{-}nat\text{-}def\ Suc\text{-}leI\ nfilter\text{-}intlen\ nth\text{-}set)$
 have 62: $(nth\ (nfilter\ f\ (suffixes\ \sigma)\ 0)\ 1) \leq intlen\ (suffixes\ \sigma)$
   by $(metis\ 3\ 6\ One\text{-}nat\text{-}def\ Suc\text{-}leI\ add\text{-}cancel\text{-}right\text{-}left\ nfilter\text{-}intlen\ nfilter\text{-}upper\text{-}bound)$
 have 7: $f\ (suffix\ (nth\ (nfilter\ f\ (suffixes\ \sigma)\ 0)\ 1)\ \ \sigma)$
   using 4 61 62 $nth\text{-}suffixes$ **by** $fastforce$
 have 8: $(\exists\,i{\leq}intlen\ \sigma.\ f\ (suffix\ i\ \sigma))$
    using 1 **by** $blast$
 have 9: $g\ (suffix\ (Suc\ 0)\ (map\ (\lambda s.\ nth\ s\ 0)\ (filter\ f\ (suffixes\ \sigma))))$
  by $(metis\ 2\ 3\ 5\ 6\ Suc\text{-}leI\ intlen\text{-}suffixes\ linorder\text{-}neqE\text{-}nat$
    $nfilter\text{-}not\text{-}before\ nth\text{-}suffixes\ filter\text{-}suffixes\text{-}map)$
 have 10: $((\exists\,i{\leq}intlen\ \sigma.\ f\ (suffix\ i\ \sigma))\ \wedge$
         $0 < intlen\ (filter\ f\ (suffixes\ \sigma))\ \wedge$
         $g\ (suffix\ (Suc\ 0)\ (map\ (\lambda s.\ nth\ s\ 0)\ (filter\ f\ (suffixes\ \sigma)))))$
  using 6 8 9 **by** $blast$
 show $?thesis$ **using** 10
 by $(simp\ add{:}\ PiNextsem1)$
qed

lemma $PiNextsem$:
 $(\sigma \models f\ \Pi\ (\bigcirc\ g) = (\neg\ f)\ \mathcal{U}\ (f\ \wedge\ \bigcirc(f\ \Pi\ g)))$
using $PiNextsem3\ PiNextsem4$
using $unl\text{-}lift2$ **by** $blast$

lemma $PiNext$:
 $\vdash f\ \Pi\ (\bigcirc\ g) = (\neg\ f)\ \mathcal{U}\ (f\ \wedge\ \bigcirc(f\ \Pi\ g))$

using *PiNextsem Valid-def* **by** *blast*

22.3.14 PiUntil

lemma *PiUntilDistsem1*:
 $(\sigma \models f\ \Pi\ (g\ \mathcal{U}\ h)) =$
  $((\exists\, i \leq intlen\ \sigma.\ f\ (suffix\ i\ \sigma))\ \wedge$
   $(\exists\, k \leq intlen\ (filter\ f\ (suffixes\ \sigma)).$
     $h\ (suffix\ k\ (map\ (\lambda s.\ nth\ s\ 0)\ (filter\ f\ (suffixes\ \sigma))))\ \wedge$
     $(\forall\, j < k.\ g\ (suffix\ j\ (map\ (\lambda s.\ nth\ s\ 0)\ (filter\ f\ (suffixes\ \sigma)))))))))$
by (*simp add*: *pi-d-def pifilt-def sfxfilt-def until-d-def*)

lemma *PiUntilDistsem2*:
 $(\sigma \models (\ f\ \Pi\ g\ )\ \mathcal{U}\ (\ f\ \Pi\ h\ )\ ) =$
 $(\exists\, k \leq intlen\ \sigma.$
   $(\exists\, i \leq intlen\ \sigma - k.\ f\ (suffix\ (i + k)\ \sigma))\ \wedge$
   $h\ (map\ (\lambda s.\ nth\ s\ 0)\ (filter\ f\ (suffixes\ (suffix\ k\ \sigma))))\ \wedge$
   $(\forall\, j < k.\ (\exists\, i \leq intlen\ \sigma - j.\ f\ (suffix\ (i + j)\ \sigma))\ \wedge$
     $g\ (map\ (\lambda s.\ nth\ s\ 0)\ (filter\ f\ (suffixes\ (suffix\ j\ \sigma))))))))$
by (*simp add*: *until-d-def pi-d-def pifilt-def sfxfilt-def*)

lemma *cover*:
 assumes $(\exists\, i < k.\ ff(i) < (j{::}nat) \wedge j \leq ff(Suc\ i))$
      $(\forall\, i < k.\ ff(i) < ff(Suc\ i))$
 shows $ff(0) < j \wedge j \leq ff(k)$
using *assms*
proof (*induct k arbitrary*:*j*)
case *0*
then show *?case* **by** *simp*
next
case (*Suc k*)
then show *?case*
 proof $-$
  have *1*: $(\exists\, i < k.\ ff(i) < (j{::}nat) \wedge j \leq ff(Suc\ i)) \vee (ff\ (k) < j \wedge j \leq ff(Suc\ k))$
   using *Suc.prems(1) less-SucE* **by** *blast*
  have *2*: $(\forall\, i < k.\ ff(i) < ff(Suc\ i))$
   using *Suc.prems(2)* **by** *auto*
  have *3*: $ff\ k < ff\ (Suc\ k)$
  by (*simp add*: *Suc.prems(2)*)
  have *4*: $(ff(0) < j \wedge j \leq ff(k)) \vee (ff\ (k) < j \wedge j \leq ff(Suc\ k))$
   using *1 2 Suc.hyps* **by** *blast*
  have *41*: $ff(0) < j$
   by (*metis 2 4 Suc.hyps less-antisym less-le-trans less-or-eq-imp-le zero-less-Suc*)
  have *42*: $j \leq ff(Suc\ k)$
   using *3 4* **by** *linarith*
  have *5*: $ff(0) < j \wedge j \leq ff(Suc\ k)$
   by (*simp add*: *41 42*)
  show *?thesis*

by (*simp add*: *5*)
qed
qed

lemma *cover-a*:
 assumes ($\forall$ *j*.
        ($j \leq$ *ff 0*) $\lor$ ($\exists\, i < k$. *ff*(*i*) $<$(j::*nat*) $\land$ $j \leq$ *ff*(*Suc i*))
          $\longrightarrow$ *gg j*)

        ($\forall\, i < k$. *ff*(*i*)$<$*ff*(*Suc i*))
 shows   ($\forall$ *j*$<$ *ff k*. *gg j*)
proof $-$
 have *1*: ($\forall$ *j*$<$ *ff 0*. *gg j*)
  by (*simp add*: *assms*(*1*))
 have *2*: ($\forall$ *j*. *ff 0* $<$ *j* $\land$ *j*$\leq$ *ff k* $\longrightarrow$ *gg j*)
   proof
     fix *j*
     show *ff 0* $<$ *j* $\land$ *j* $\leq$ *ff k* $\longrightarrow$ *gg j*
      using *assms*
       proof (*induct k arbitrary*: *j*)
       case *0*
       then show *?case* **by** *simp*
       next
       case (*Suc k*)
       then show *?case*
        proof $-$
         have *21*: ($\forall$ *j*.
         ($j \leq$ *ff 0*) $\lor$ ($\exists\, i < k$. *ff*(*i*) $<$(j::*nat*) $\land$ $j \leq$ *ff*(*Suc i*))
           $\lor$ (*ff k* $<$ *j* $\land$ *j* $\leq$ *ff* (*Suc k*)   )
           $\longrightarrow$ *gg j*)
            using *Suc.prems*(*1*) *less-SucI* **by** *blast*
         have *22*: ($\forall\, i < k$. *ff*(*i*)$<$*ff*(*Suc i*))
           using *Suc.prems*(*2*) **by** *auto*
         have *23*: *ff k* $<$ *ff* (*Suc k*)
            by (*simp add*: *Suc.prems*(*2*))
         have *24*: ($\forall$ *j*.
                ($j \leq$ *ff 0*) $\lor$ (*ff 0* $<$*j* $\land$ *j* $\leq$ *ff k*)
                 $\lor$ (*ff k* $<$ *j* $\land$ *j* $\leq$ *ff* (*Suc k*)   )
                 $\longrightarrow$ *gg j*)
           using *21 22 Suc.hyps* **by** *blast*
         have *25*: *ff 0* $<$ *j* $\land$ *j* $\leq$ *ff* (*Suc k*) $\longrightarrow$ *gg j*
               using *21 22 Suc.hyps not-le* **by** *blast*
          show *?thesis* **using** *25* **by** *blast*
        qed
      qed
   qed
 show *?thesis*
  by (*metis 2 assms*(*1*) *less-or-eq-imp-le linorder-neqE-nat*)
qed

lemma *PiUntilDistsem3*:
 assumes $(\sigma \models f \, \Pi \, (g \, \mathcal{U} \, h))$
 shows $(\sigma \models (\, f \, \Pi \, g \,) \, \mathcal{U} \, (\, f \, \Pi \, h \,) \,)$
 proof $-$
  have *1*: $((\exists \, i \leq intlen \, \sigma. \, f \, (suffix \, i \, \sigma)) \, \wedge$
   $(\exists \, k \leq intlen \, (filter \, f \, (suffixes \, \sigma)).$
     $h \, (suffix \, k \, (map \, (\lambda s. \, nth \, s \, 0) \, (filter \, f \, (suffixes \, \sigma)))) \, \wedge$
     $(\forall \, j < k. \, g \, (suffix \, j \, (map \, (\lambda s. \, nth \, s \, 0) \, (filter \, f \, (suffixes \, \sigma)))))))$
   using *assms PiUntilDistsem1* **by** *blast*
  have *2*: $\exists \, x \in set(suffixes \, \sigma). \, f \, x$
    using *1 in-set-suffixes osfx-suffix* **by** *blast*
  have *3*: $\forall \, x \in set(nfilter \, f \, (suffixes \, \sigma) \, 0). \, f \, (nth \, (suffixes \, \sigma) \, x)$
  by *(simp add*: *2)*
  have *4*: $(\exists \, k \leq intlen \, (filter \, f \, (suffixes \, \sigma)).$
     $h \, (suffix \, k \, (map \, (\lambda s. \, nth \, s \, 0) \, (filter \, f \, (suffixes \, \sigma)))) \, \wedge$
     $(\forall \, j < k. \, g \, (suffix \, j \, (map \, (\lambda s. \, nth \, s \, 0) \, (filter \, f \, (suffixes \, \sigma))))))$
   using *1* **by** *auto*
  obtain *k* **where** *5*: $k \leq intlen \, (filter \, f \, (suffixes \, \sigma)) \, \wedge$
               $h \, (suffix \, k \, (map \, (\lambda s. \, nth \, s \, 0) \, (filter \, f \, (suffixes \, \sigma)))) \, \wedge$
               $(\forall \, j < k. \, g \, (suffix \, j \, (map \, (\lambda s. \, nth \, s \, 0) \, (filter \, f \, (suffixes \, \sigma)))))$
   using *4* **by** *auto*
  have *6*: $f \, (suffix \, (nth \, (nfilter \, f \, (suffixes \, \sigma) \, 0) \, k) \, \sigma)$
   by *(metis (no-types, lifting) 2 3 5 add.left-neutral nfilter-intlen nfilter-upper-bound*
      nth-set nth-suffixes)
  have *7*: $k{=}0 \longrightarrow (\exists \, i \leq intlen \, \sigma - k. \, f \, (suffix \, (i + k) \, \sigma)) \, \wedge$
    $h \, (map \, (\lambda s. \, nth \, s \, 0) \, (filter \, f \, (suffixes \, (suffix \, k \, \sigma)))) \, \wedge$
    $(\forall \, j < k. \, (\exists \, i \leq intlen \, \sigma - j. \, f \, (suffix \, (i + j) \, \sigma)) \, \wedge$
        $g \, (map \, (\lambda s. \, nth \, s \, 0) \, (filter \, f \, (suffixes \, (suffix \, j \, \sigma)))))$
   using *1 5* **by** *auto*
  have *71*: $k{>}0 \longrightarrow (nth \, (nfilter \, f \, (suffixes \, \sigma) \, 0) \, (k - 1)) \in set(nfilter \, f \, (suffixes \, \sigma) \, 0)$
   by *(metis 2 5 diff-le-self le-trans nfilter-intlen nth-set)*
  have *8*: $k{>}0 \longrightarrow f \, (suffix \, (nth \, (nfilter \, f \, (suffixes \, \sigma) \, 0) \, (k - 1)) \, \sigma)$
  by *(metis 2 3 71 add.left-neutral interval-nth-and-set nfilter-upper-bound nth-suffixes)*
  have *9*: $k{>}0 \longrightarrow (nth \, (nfilter \, f \, (suffixes \, \sigma) \, 0) \, (k - 1)) < (nth \, (nfilter \, f \, (suffixes \, \sigma) \, 0) \, k)$
    by *(metis 2 5 One-nat-def Suc-diff-Suc Suc-le-lessD diff-zero idx-nfilter-mono*
       nfilter-intlen)
  have *10*: $k{>}0 \longrightarrow (nth \, (nfilter \, f \, (suffixes \, \sigma) \, 0) \, (k - 1)) \leq intlen \, \sigma$
   using *nfilter-upper-bound[of suffixes σ f k−1 0]*
   by *(simp add*: *2 5 Suc-leD nfilter-intlen)*
   have *11*: $k{>}0 \longrightarrow (nth \, (nfilter \, f \, (suffixes \, \sigma) \, 0) \, k) \leq intlen \, \sigma$
   using *nfilter-upper-bound[of suffixes σ f k 0]*
   by *(simp add*: *2 5 nfilter-intlen)*
  have *12*: $k{>}0 \longrightarrow$
          $h \, (map \, (\lambda s. \, nth \, s \, 0)$
               $(filter \, f \, (suffixes \, (suffix \, (nth \, (nfilter \, f \, (suffixes \, \sigma) \, 0) \, k) \, \sigma))))$
    by *(metis 11 5 6 filter-nfilter-suffix intlen-suffixes map-suffix nth-suffixes*
       suffix-suffixes)
  have *121*: $k{>}0 \longrightarrow$

$\quad$ h $(map$ $(\lambda s.\ nth\ s\ 0)$
$\qquad$ $(filter\ f\ (suffixes\ (suffix\ (Suc\ (nth\ (nfilter\ f\ (suffixes\ \sigma)\ 0)\ (k{-}1)))\ \sigma)))))$
$\quad$ **by** $(metis\ 2\ 5\ Suc\text{-}diff\text{-}1\ filter\text{-}suffixes\text{-}map)$

have 13: $k{>}0\ \longrightarrow\ (\exists\,i{\leq}intlen\ \sigma\ -\ (nth\ (nfilter\ f\ (suffixes\ \sigma)\ 0)\ k).$
$\qquad$ $f\ (suffix\ (i\ +\ (nth\ (nfilter\ f\ (suffixes\ \sigma)\ 0)\ k))\ \sigma))$
$\quad$ **using** 6 **by** $auto$

have 131: $k{>}0\ \longrightarrow\ (\exists\,i{\leq}intlen\ \sigma\ -\ (Suc\ (nth\ (nfilter\ f\ (suffixes\ \sigma)\ 0)\ (k{-}1))).$
$\qquad$ $f\ (suffix\ (i\ +\ (Suc\ (nth\ (nfilter\ f\ (suffixes\ \sigma)\ 0)\ (k{-}1))))\ \sigma))$
$\quad$ **using** $11\ 6\ 9\ diff\text{-}le\text{-}mono$ **by** $fastforce$

have 14: $k{>}0\ \longrightarrow\ (\forall\ j{<}\ (nth\ (nfilter\ f\ (suffixes\ \sigma)\ 0)\ k).$
$\qquad$ $(\exists\,i{\leq}intlen\ \sigma\ -\ j.\ f\ (suffix\ (i\ +\ j)\ \sigma)))$
$\quad$ **using** $11\ 6\ diff\text{-}le\text{-}mono$ **by** $fastforce$

have 141: $k{>}0\ \longrightarrow\ (\forall\ j{<}\ (Suc\ (nth\ (nfilter\ f\ (suffixes\ \sigma)\ 0)\ (k{-}1))).$
$\qquad$ $(\exists\,i{\leq}intlen\ \sigma\ -\ j.\ f\ (suffix\ (i\ +\ j)\ \sigma)))$
$\quad$ **using** $14\ 9$ **by** $auto$

have 15: $(\forall j{<}k.\ g\ (suffix\ j\ (map\ (\lambda s.\ nth\ s\ 0)\ (filter\ f\ (suffixes\ \sigma)))))$
$\quad$ **using** 5 **by** $blast$

have 151: $(\forall j{<}k.\ g\ (map\ (\lambda s.\ nth\ s\ 0)\ (suffix\ j\ (filter\ f\ (suffixes\ \sigma)))))$
$\ $ **by** $(simp\ add:\ 5\ less\text{-}le\text{-}trans\ less\text{-}or\text{-}eq\text{-}imp\text{-}le\ map\text{-}suffix)$

have 152: $(\forall j{<}k.\ (suffix\ j\ (filter\ f\ (suffixes\ \sigma)))\ =$
$\qquad$ $(filter\ f\ (suffix\ (nth\ (nfilter\ f\ (suffixes\ \sigma)\ 0)\ j)\ (suffixes\ \sigma))))$
$\quad$ **by** $(meson\ 2\ 5\ filter\text{-}nfilter\text{-}suffix\text{-}1\ le\text{-}trans\ less\text{-}imp\text{-}le\text{-}nat)$

have 16: $(\forall j{<}k.\ g\ (map\ (\lambda s.\ nth\ s\ 0)$
$\qquad$ $(filter\ f\ (suffix\ (nth\ (nfilter\ f\ (suffixes\ \sigma)\ 0)\ j)\ (suffixes\ \sigma)))))$
using $151\ 152\ filter\text{-}nfilter\text{-}suffix$ **by** $simp$

have 1610: $(nth\ (nfilter\ f\ (suffixes\ \sigma)\ 0)\ k)\ \leq\ intlen(suffixes\ \sigma)$
$\ $ **by** $(metis\ 2\ 5\ diff\text{-}zero\ interval\text{-}intlen\text{-}gr\text{-}zero\ nfilter\text{-}intlen$
$\quad$ $nfilter\text{-}upper\text{-}bound\ ordered\text{-}cancel\text{-}comm\text{-}monoid\text{-}diff\text{-}class.add\text{-}diff\text{-}inverse)$

have 1611: $(\forall j{<}k.\ (nth\ (nfilter\ f\ (suffixes\ \sigma)\ 0)\ j)\ <\ (nth\ (nfilter\ f\ (suffixes\ \sigma)\ 0)\ k))$
$\ $ **by** $(simp\ add:\ 2\ 5\ idx\text{-}nfilter\text{-}gr\ nfilter\text{-}intlen)$

have 1612: $(\forall j{<}k.\ (nth\ (nfilter\ f\ (suffixes\ \sigma)\ 0)\ j)\ \leq\ intlen(suffixes\ \sigma))$
$\quad$ **using** $1610\ 1611$ **by** $auto$

have 161: $(\forall j{<}k.\ (\ (suffix\ (nth\ (nfilter\ f\ (suffixes\ \sigma)\ 0)\ j)\ (suffixes\ \sigma)))\ =$
$\qquad$ $(\ (suffixes\ (suffix\ (nth\ (nfilter\ f\ (suffixes\ \sigma)\ 0)\ j)\ \sigma))))$
$\quad$ **using** $suffix\text{-}suffixes$ **using** 1612 **by** $blast$

have 17: $(\forall j{<}k.\ g\ (map\ (\lambda s.\ nth\ s\ 0)$
$\qquad$ $(filter\ f\ (suffixes\ (suffix\ (nth\ (nfilter\ f\ (suffixes\ \sigma)\ 0)\ j)\ \sigma))))))$
$\quad$ **using** $16\ 161$ **by** $auto$

have 18: $k{>}0\ \longrightarrow$
$\quad$ $g\ (map\ (\lambda s.\ nth\ s\ 0)$
$\qquad$ $(filter\ f\ (suffixes\ (suffix\ (nth\ (nfilter\ f\ (suffixes\ \sigma)\ 0)\ (k{-}1))\ \sigma))))$
using 17 **by** $simp$

have 19: $k{>}0\ \longrightarrow$
$\quad$ $g\ (map\ (\lambda s.\ nth\ s\ 0)$
$\qquad$ $(filter\ f\ (suffixes\ (suffix\ (nth\ (nfilter\ f\ (suffixes\ \sigma)\ 0)\ 0)\ \sigma))))$
$\quad$ **using** 17 **by** $blast$

have 20: $k{>}0\ \longrightarrow\ (filter\ f\ (suffixes\ (suffix\ (nth\ (nfilter\ f\ (suffixes\ \sigma)\ 0)\ 0)\ \sigma)))\ =$
$\qquad$ $(filter\ f\ (suffix\ (nth\ (nfilter\ f\ (suffixes\ \sigma)\ 0)\ 0)\ (suffixes\ \sigma)))$
$\quad$ **using** 161 **by** $auto$

have 21: $k{>}0\ \longrightarrow\ (\forall\ j{\leq}\ (nth\ (nfilter\ f\ (suffixes\ \sigma)\ 0\ )\ 0).$

$((suffixes (suffix j \sigma))) =$
$((suffix j (suffixes \sigma))))$

using *1612 le-trans suffix-suffixes* **by** *fastforce*

have *22*: $k>0 \longrightarrow (\forall \ j\leq (nth \ (nfilter \ f \ (suffixes \ \sigma) \ 0 \ ) \ 0).$
$(filter \ f \ (suffixes \ (suffix \ j \ \sigma))) =$
$(filter \ f \ (suffix \ j \ (suffixes \ \sigma))))$

using *21* **by** *auto*

have *23*: $k>0 \longrightarrow$
$(\forall \ j\leq (nth \ (nfilter \ f \ (suffixes \ \sigma) \ 0 \ ) \ 0).$
$(map \ (\lambda s. \ nth \ s \ 0)$
$(filter \ f \ (suffixes \ (suffix \ (nth \ (nfilter \ f \ (suffixes \ \sigma) \ 0) \ 0) \ \sigma)))) =$
$(map \ (\lambda s. \ nth \ s \ 0) \ (filter \ f \ (suffixes \ (suffix \ j \ \sigma))))$
$)$

by ($simp \ add$: *2 21 filter-suffixes-map-help-0*)

have *24*: $k>0 \longrightarrow$
$(\forall \ j\leq (nth \ (nfilter \ f \ (suffixes \ \sigma) \ 0 \ ) \ 0).$
$g \ (map \ (\lambda s. \ nth \ s \ 0)$
$(filter \ f \ (suffixes \ (suffix \ (nth \ (nfilter \ f \ (suffixes \ \sigma) \ 0) \ 0) \ \sigma)))) =$
$g \ (map \ (\lambda s. \ nth \ s \ 0) \ (filter \ f \ (suffixes \ (suffix \ j \ \sigma))))$
$)$

using *23* **by** *auto*

have *241*: $k>0 \longrightarrow (\forall \ j\leq (nth \ (nfilter \ f \ (suffixes \ \sigma) \ 0 \ ) \ 0).$
$g \ (map \ (\lambda s. \ nth \ s \ 0) \ (filter \ f \ (suffixes \ (suffix \ j \ \sigma)))) \ )$

using *19 24* **by** *blast*

have *25*: $k>0 \longrightarrow (\forall \ i < k -1.$
$(\forall \ l. \ l\leq \ (nth \ (nfilter \ f \ (suffixes \ \sigma) \ 0) \ (Suc \ i) \ ) \wedge$
$(nth \ (nfilter \ f \ (suffixes \ \sigma) \ 0) \ i \ ) < l \longrightarrow$
$(filter \ f \ (suffix \ (nth(nfilter \ f \ (suffixes \ \sigma) \ 0) \ (Suc \ i)) \ (suffixes \ \sigma)) =$
$(filter \ f \ (suffix \ l \ (suffixes \ \sigma))) \quad) \ ) \ )$

proof
assume $k>0$
show $(\forall \ i < k -1.$
$(\forall \ l. \ l\leq \ (nth \ (nfilter \ f \ (suffixes \ \sigma) \ 0) \ (Suc \ i) \ ) \wedge$
$(nth \ (nfilter \ f \ (suffixes \ \sigma) \ 0) \ i \ ) < l \longrightarrow$
$(filter \ f \ (suffix \ (nth(nfilter \ f \ (suffixes \ \sigma) \ 0) \ (Suc \ i)) \ (suffixes \ \sigma)) =$
$(filter \ f \ (suffix \ l \ (suffixes \ \sigma))) \quad) \ ) \ )$

proof
fix i
show $i < k - 1 \longrightarrow$
$(\forall l. \ l \leq nth \ (nfilter \ f \ (suffixes \ \sigma) \ 0) \ (Suc \ i) \wedge$
$nth \ (nfilter \ f \ (suffixes \ \sigma) \ 0) \ i < l \longrightarrow$
$filter \ f \ (suffix \ (nth \ (nfilter \ f \ (suffixes \ \sigma) \ 0) \ (Suc \ i)) \ (suffixes \ \sigma)) =$
$filter \ f \ (suffix \ l \ (suffixes \ \sigma)))$

proof $-$
have *251*: $k=1 \longrightarrow i < k - 1 \longrightarrow$
$(\forall l. \ l \leq nth \ (nfilter \ f \ (suffixes \ \sigma) \ 0) \ (Suc \ i) \wedge$
$nth \ (nfilter \ f \ (suffixes \ \sigma) \ 0) \ i < l \longrightarrow$
$filter \ f \ (suffix \ (nth \ (nfilter \ f \ (suffixes \ \sigma) \ 0) \ (Suc \ i)) \ (suffixes \ \sigma)) =$
$filter \ f \ (suffix \ l \ (suffixes \ \sigma)))$

by *auto*

814

have *252*: $k>1 \longrightarrow i < k - 1 \longrightarrow$
$\qquad (\forall\, l.\ l \leq nth\ (nfilter\ f\ (suffixes\ \sigma)\ 0)\ (Suc\ i)\ \wedge$
$\qquad\quad nth\ (nfilter\ f\ (suffixes\ \sigma)\ 0)\ i < l \longrightarrow$
$\qquad\quad filter\ f\ (suffix\ (nth\ (nfilter\ f\ (suffixes\ \sigma)\ 0)\ (Suc\ i))\ (suffixes\ \sigma)) =$
$\qquad\quad filter\ f\ (suffix\ l\ (suffixes\ \sigma)))$

  proof
   assume $k>1$
   show $i < k - 1 \longrightarrow$
$\qquad (\forall\, l.\ l \leq nth\ (nfilter\ f\ (suffixes\ \sigma)\ 0)\ (Suc\ i)\ \wedge$
$\qquad\quad nth\ (nfilter\ f\ (suffixes\ \sigma)\ 0)\ i < l \longrightarrow$
$\qquad\quad filter\ f\ (suffix\ (nth\ (nfilter\ f\ (suffixes\ \sigma)\ 0)\ (Suc\ i))\ (suffixes\ \sigma)) =$
$\qquad\quad filter\ f\ (suffix\ l\ (suffixes\ \sigma))$
$\qquad\quad)$

   proof
    assume $i < k - 1$
    show $(\forall\, l.\ l \leq nth\ (nfilter\ f\ (suffixes\ \sigma)\ 0)\ (Suc\ i)\ \wedge$
$\qquad\quad nth\ (nfilter\ f\ (suffixes\ \sigma)\ 0)\ i < l \longrightarrow$
$\qquad\quad filter\ f\ (suffix\ (nth\ (nfilter\ f\ (suffixes\ \sigma)\ 0)\ (Suc\ i))\ (suffixes\ \sigma)) =$
$\qquad\quad filter\ f\ (suffix\ l\ (suffixes\ \sigma)))$

    proof
    fix l
     show $l \leq nth\ (nfilter\ f\ (suffixes\ \sigma)\ 0)\ (Suc\ i)\ \wedge$
$\qquad\quad nth\ (nfilter\ f\ (suffixes\ \sigma)\ 0)\ i < l \longrightarrow$
$\qquad\quad filter\ f\ (suffix\ (nth\ (nfilter\ f\ (suffixes\ \sigma)\ 0)\ (Suc\ i))\ (suffixes\ \sigma)) =$
$\qquad\quad filter\ f\ (suffix\ l\ (suffixes\ \sigma))$
     using *filter-suffixes-map-help-j*[*of l f suffixes* σ *i*]
      using *2 5* ⟨$i < k - 1$⟩ **by** *linarith*
    qed
   qed
  qed
 show *?thesis*
 by (*simp add*: *252*)
qed
qed
qed
have *261*: $k>0 \longrightarrow (\forall\ i < k -1.$
$\qquad (\forall\ l.\ l \leq\ (nth\ (nfilter\ f\ (suffixes\ \sigma)\ 0)\ (Suc\ i)\ )\ \wedge$
$\qquad\quad (nth\ (nfilter\ f\ (suffixes\ \sigma)\ 0)\ i\ ) < l \longrightarrow$
$\qquad\quad l \leq intlen\ (suffixes\ \sigma)\ ))$

  by (*metis 1612 Suc-diff-1 Suc-mono le-eq-less-or-eq less-le-trans*)
have *262*: $k>0 \longrightarrow (\forall\ i < k -1.$
$\qquad (\forall\ l.\ l \leq\ (nth\ (nfilter\ f\ (suffixes\ \sigma)\ 0)\ (Suc\ i)\ )\ \wedge$
$\qquad\quad (nth\ (nfilter\ f\ (suffixes\ \sigma)\ 0)\ i\ ) < l \longrightarrow$
$\qquad\quad (suffix\ l\ (suffixes\ \ \sigma)) = (suffixes\ (suffix\ l\ \sigma))\ ))$
 using *261 suffix-suffixes* **by** *blast*
have *26*: $k>0 \longrightarrow (\forall\ i < k -1.$
$\qquad (\forall\ l.\ l \leq\ (nth\ (nfilter\ f\ (suffixes\ \sigma)\ 0)\ (Suc\ i)\ )\ \wedge$
$\qquad\quad (nth\ (nfilter\ f\ (suffixes\ \sigma)\ 0)\ i\ ) < l \longrightarrow$
$\qquad (map\ (\lambda s.\ nth\ s\ 0)$

$$(filter\ f\ (suffix\ (nth(nfilter\ f\ (suffixes\ \sigma)\ 0)\ (Suc\ i))\ (suffixes\ \sigma))))=$$
$$(map\ (\lambda s.\ nth\ s\ 0)\ (filter\ f\ (suffixes\ (suffix\ l\ \sigma)))\quad)\ )\ )$$

   using *25 262* **by** *auto*

have *27*: $k>0 \longrightarrow (\forall\ i < k - 1.$
$$(\forall\ l.\ l \le\ (nth\ (nfilter\ f\ (suffixes\ \sigma)\ 0)\ (Suc\ i)\ )\ \wedge$$
$$(nth\ (nfilter\ f\ (suffixes\ \sigma)\ 0)\ i\ )\ < l \longrightarrow$$
$$g\ (map\ (\lambda s.\ nth\ s\ 0)$$
$$(filter\ f$$
$$(suffix\ (nth(nfilter\ f\ (suffixes\ \sigma)\ 0)\ (Suc\ i))\ (suffixes\ \sigma))))))$$

   by (*simp add*: *16*)

have *28*: $k>0 \longrightarrow (\forall\ i < k - 1.$
$$(\forall\ l.\ l \le\ (nth\ (nfilter\ f\ (suffixes\ \sigma)\ 0)\ (Suc\ i)\ )\ \wedge$$
$$(nth\ (nfilter\ f\ (suffixes\ \sigma)\ 0)\ i\ )\ < l \longrightarrow$$
$$g\ (map\ (\lambda s.\ nth\ s\ 0)\ (filter\ f\ (suffixes\ (suffix\ l\ \sigma)))\quad)))$$

  using *25 262 27* **by** *auto*

have *281*: $k>0 \longrightarrow$
$$(\forall j.$$
$$(j \le (nth\ (nfilter\ f\ (suffixes\ \sigma)\ 0)\ 0\ )\ \vee$$
$$(\exists\ i.\ i < k - 1\ \wedge$$
$$j \le\ (nth\ (nfilter\ f\ (suffixes\ \sigma)\ 0)\ (Suc\ i)\ )\ \wedge$$
$$(nth\ (nfilter\ f\ (suffixes\ \sigma)\ 0)\ i\ )\ < j)\ )$$
$$\longrightarrow\ g\ (map\ (\lambda s.\ nth\ s\ 0)\ (filter\ f\ (suffixes\ (suffix\ j\ \sigma)))\quad))$$

    using *241 28* **by** *blast*

have *282*: $k>0 \longrightarrow\ (\forall i<k - 1.$
$$nth\ (nfilter\ f\ (suffixes\ \sigma)\ 0)\ i\ <\ nth\ (nfilter\ f\ (suffixes\ \sigma)\ 0)\ (Suc\ i))$$

  by (*metis 2 5 Suc-diff-1 Suc-leI idx-nfilter-gr le-SucI le-trans lessI nfilter-intlen*)

have *29*: $k>0 \longrightarrow (\forall j< (Suc\ (nth\ (nfilter\ f\ (suffixes\ \sigma)\ 0)\ (k-1))).$
$$g\ (map\ (\lambda s.\ nth\ s\ 0)\ (filter\ f\ (suffixes\ (suffix\ j\ \sigma)))))$$

  using *281 282 cover-a*[*of* $\lambda\ x.\ nth\ (nfilter\ f\ (suffixes\ \sigma)\ 0)\ x\ \ k-1$
$$(\lambda\ j.\ g\ (map\ (\lambda s.\ nth\ s\ 0)\ (filter\ f\ (suffixes\ (suffix\ j\ \sigma))))))]$$

   using *18 less-antisym* **by** *blast*

have *30*: $k>0 \longrightarrow (\exists\ k \le intlen\ \sigma.$
$$(\exists\ i \le intlen\ \sigma - k.\ f\ (suffix\ (i + k)\ \sigma))\ \wedge$$
$$h\ (map\ (\lambda s.\ nth\ s\ 0)\ (filter\ f\ (suffixes\ (suffix\ k\ \sigma))))\ \wedge$$
$$(\forall\ j<k.\ (\exists\ i \le intlen\ \sigma - j.\ f\ (suffix\ (i + j)\ \sigma))\ \wedge$$
$$g\ (map\ (\lambda s.\ nth\ s\ 0)\ (filter\ f\ (suffixes\ (suffix\ j\ \sigma)))))))$$

  using *29 121 131 141*

  by (*meson 11 9 Suc-leI less-le-trans*)

have *31*: $(\exists\ k \le intlen\ \sigma.$
$$(\exists\ i \le intlen\ \sigma - k.\ f\ (suffix\ (i + k)\ \sigma))\ \wedge$$
$$h\ (map\ (\lambda s.\ nth\ s\ 0)\ (filter\ f\ (suffixes\ (suffix\ k\ \sigma))))\ \wedge$$
$$(\forall\ j<k.\ (\exists\ i \le intlen\ \sigma - j.\ f\ (suffix\ (i + j)\ \sigma))\ \wedge$$
$$g\ (map\ (\lambda s.\ nth\ s\ 0)\ (filter\ f\ (suffixes\ (suffix\ j\ \sigma)))))))$$

 using *30 7* **by** *blast*

 show *?thesis* **using** *31*

 by (*simp add*: *PiUntilDistsem2*)

qed

lemma *PiUntilDistsem4*:
assumes $(\sigma \models (f \sqcap g) \, \mathcal{U} \, (f \sqcap h))$
shows $(\sigma \models f \sqcap (g \, \mathcal{U} \, h))$
proof $-$
have *1*: $(\exists \, k \leq intlen \, \sigma.$
$(\exists \, i \leq intlen \, \sigma - k. \, f \, (suffix \, (i + k) \, \sigma)) \wedge$
$h \, (map \, (\lambda s. \, nth \, s \, 0) \, (filter \, f \, (suffixes \, (suffix \, k \, \sigma)))) \wedge$
$(\forall \, j < k. \, (\exists \, i \leq intlen \, \sigma - j. \, f \, (suffix \, (i + j) \, \sigma)) \wedge$
$g \, (map \, (\lambda s. \, nth \, s \, 0) \, (filter \, f \, (suffixes \, (suffix \, j \, \sigma))))))$
using *assms* **by** (*simp add*: *PiUntilDistsem2*)
obtain *k* **where** *2*: $k \leq intlen \, \sigma \wedge (\exists \, i \leq intlen \, \sigma - k. \, f \, (suffix \, (i + k) \, \sigma)) \wedge$
$h \, (map \, (\lambda s. \, nth \, s \, 0) \, (filter \, f \, (suffixes \, (suffix \, k \, \sigma)))) \wedge$
$(\forall \, j < k. \, (\exists \, i \leq intlen \, \sigma - j. \, f \, (suffix \, (i + j) \, \sigma)) \wedge$
$g \, (map \, (\lambda s. \, nth \, s \, 0) \, (filter \, f \, (suffixes \, (suffix \, j \, \sigma)))))$
using *1* **by** *auto*
have *3*: $(\exists \, i \leq intlen \, \sigma - k. \, f \, (suffix \, (i + k) \, \sigma))$
using *2* **by** *auto*
have *4*: $k \leq intlen \, \sigma$
using *2* **by** *auto*
obtain *i* **where** *5*: $i \leq intlen \, \sigma - k \wedge f \, (suffix \, (i + k) \, \sigma)$
using *3* **by** *auto*
have *6*: $i + k \leq intlen \, \sigma$
using *4 5 Nat.le-diff-conv2* **by** *blast*
have *61*: $\exists \, x \in set \, (suffixes \, (suffix \, k \, \sigma)). \, f \, x$
by (*metis 4 5 in-set-suffixes interval-suffix-length-good interval-suffix-suffix osfx-suffix*)
have *7*: $(\exists \, i \leq intlen \, \sigma. \, f \, (suffix \, i \, \sigma))$
using *5 6* **by** *blast*
have *71*: $\exists \, x \in set \, (suffixes \, \sigma). \, f \, x$
using *5 6 in-set-suffixes osfx-suffix* **by** *blast*
have *72*: $\exists \, x \in set(nfilter \, f \, (suffixes \, \sigma) \, 0). \, f \, (nth \, (suffixes \, \sigma) \, x)$
by (*metis 5 6 cancel-comm-monoid-add-class.diff-cancel intlen-suffixes le-refl*
nfilter-holds-not-a nth-set nth-suffixes
ordered-cancel-comm-monoid-diff-class.add-diff-inverse)
have *73*: $f \, (suffix \, (nth \, (nfilter \, f \, (suffixes \, (suffix \, k \, \sigma)) \, 0) \, 0) \, (suffix \, k \, \sigma))$
using *nfilter-holds*[*of suffixes* $(suffix \, k \, \sigma) \, f \, 0$]
by (*metis 61 diff-zero interval-intlen-gr-zero nfilter-upper-bound nth-set nth-suffixes*
ordered-cancel-comm-monoid-diff-class.add-diff-inverse)
have *74*: $f \, (suffix \, ((nth \, (nfilter \, f \, (suffixes \, (suffix \, k \, \sigma)) \, 0) \, 0) + k) \, \sigma)$
using *73* **by** *auto*
have *75*: $f \, (suffix \, k \, (suffix \, (nth \, (nfilter \, f \, (suffixes \, (suffix \, k \, \sigma)) \, 0) \, 0) \, \sigma))$
by (*metis 73 add.commute interval-suffix-suffix*)
have *8*: $h \, (map \, (\lambda s. \, nth \, s \, 0) \, (filter \, f \, (suffixes \, (suffix \, k \, \sigma))))$
using *2* **by** *auto*
have *9*: $(filter \, f \, (suffixes \, (suffix \, k \, \sigma))) = (filter \, f \, (suffix \, k \, (suffixes \, \sigma)))$
by (*simp add*: *4 suffix-suffixes*)
have *10*: $h \, (map \, (\lambda s. \, nth \, s \, 0)$
$(suffix \, (intlen(filter \, f \, (suffixes \, \sigma)) - intlen \, (filter \, f \, (suffixes \, (suffix \, k \, \sigma))))$
$(filter \, f \, (suffixes \, \sigma))))$
using *2 61 sfxfilter-suffix-suffix* **by** *fastforce*
have *11*: $h \, (suffix \, (intlen(filter \, f \, (suffixes \, \sigma)) - intlen \, (filter \, f \, (suffixes \, (suffix \, k \, \sigma))))$

$(map\ (\lambda s.\ nth\ s\ 0)\ (filter\ f\ (suffixes\ \sigma))))$
by (*metis 10 diff-le-self map-suffix*)
have *12*: $(\forall j{<}k.\ (\exists i{\leq}intlen\ \sigma - j.\ f\ (suffix\ (i + j)\ \sigma)) \wedge$
$\qquad g\ (map\ (\lambda s.\ nth\ s\ 0)\ (filter\ f\ (suffixes\ (suffix\ j\ \sigma)))))$
using *2* **by** *blast*
have *13*: $(\forall j{<}k.\ (\exists x \in set(suffixes\ (suffix\ j\ \sigma)).\ f\ x) \wedge$
$\qquad g\ (map\ (\lambda s.\ nth\ s\ 0)\ (filter\ f\ (suffixes\ (suffix\ j\ \sigma)))))$
by (*metis 2 interval-suffix-length interval-suffix-suffix intlen-suffixes nth-set nth-suffixes*)
have *14*: $(\forall j{<}k.\ (\exists x \in set(suffixes\ (suffix\ j\ \sigma)).\ f\ x) \wedge$
$\qquad g\ (map\ (\lambda s.\ nth\ s\ 0)$
$\qquad\quad (suffix\ (intlen(filter\ f\ (suffixes\ \sigma)) - intlen\ (filter\ f\ (suffixes\ (suffix\ j\ \sigma))))$
$\qquad\qquad (filter\ f\ (suffixes\ \sigma)))))$
using *13 sfxfilter-suffix-suffix*
by (*metis* (*no-types, lifting*) *2 dual-order.strict-iff-order less-le-trans*)
have *15*: $(\forall j{<}k.\ (\exists x \in set(suffixes\ (suffix\ j\ \sigma)).\ f\ x) \wedge$
$\qquad g\ (suffix\ (intlen(filter\ f\ (suffixes\ \sigma)) - intlen\ (filter\ f\ (suffixes\ (suffix\ j\ \sigma))))$
$\qquad\qquad (map\ (\lambda s.\ nth\ s\ 0)\ (filter\ f\ (suffixes\ \sigma)))))$
by (*metis 14 diff-le-self map-suffix*)
have *150*: $k{=}0 \longrightarrow(\forall jj{<}(intlen(filter\ f\ (suffixes\ \sigma)) - intlen\ (filter\ f\ (suffixes\ (suffix\ k\ \sigma)))).$
$\qquad g\ (suffix\ jj\ (map\ (\lambda s.\ nth\ s\ 0)\ (filter\ f\ (suffixes\ \sigma)))))$
by *auto*
have *151*: $(\forall jj{<}(intlen(filter\ f\ (suffixes\ \sigma)) - intlen\ (filter\ f\ (suffixes\ (suffix\ k\ \sigma)))).$
$\qquad (suffix\ jj\ (filter\ f\ (suffixes\ \sigma))) =$
$\qquad filter\ f\ (suffixes\ (suffix\ ((intlen\ \sigma) - intlen\ (nth\ (filter\ f\ (suffixes\ \sigma))\ jj))\ \sigma))$
$\qquad)$
by (*simp add: 71 sfxfilter-suffix-suffix-a*)
have *153*: $(\forall jj{<}(intlen(filter\ f\ (suffixes\ \sigma)) - intlen\ (filter\ f\ (suffixes\ (suffix\ k\ \sigma)))).$
$\qquad g\ (map\ (\lambda s.\ nth\ s\ 0)$
$\qquad\quad (filter\ f$
$\qquad\qquad (suffixes\ (suffix\ ((intlen\ \sigma) - intlen\ (nth\ (filter\ f\ (suffixes\ \sigma))\ jj))\quad \sigma))))$
$\qquad)$
using *13 sfx-suffix-upperbound* **by** *blast*
have *1530*: $(\forall jj{<}(intlen(filter\ f\ (suffixes\ \sigma)) - intlen\ (filter\ f\ (suffixes\ (suffix\ k\ \sigma)))).$
$\qquad g\ (map\ (\lambda s.\ nth\ s\ 0)\ (suffix\ jj\ (filter\ f\ (suffixes\ \sigma)))))$
by (*simp add: 151 153*)
have *1531*: $(\forall jj{<}(intlen(filter\ f\ (suffixes\ \sigma)) - intlen\ (filter\ f\ (suffixes\ (suffix\ k\ \sigma)))).$
$\qquad g\ (suffix\ jj\ (map\ (\lambda s.\ nth\ s\ 0)\ (filter\ f\ (suffixes\ \sigma)))))$
by (*metis 1530 diff-le-self interval-suffix-length interval-suffix-length-code less-le-trans*
less-numeral-extra(*3*) *map-suffix zero-less-diff*)
have *154*: $((\exists i{\leq}intlen\ \sigma.\ f\ (suffix\ i\ \sigma)) \wedge$
$(\exists k{\leq}intlen\ (filter\ f\ (suffixes\ \sigma)).$
$\qquad h\ (suffix\ k\ (map\ (\lambda s.\ nth\ s\ 0)\ (filter\ f\ (suffixes\ \sigma)))) \wedge$
$\qquad (\forall j{<}k.\ g\ (suffix\ j\ (map\ (\lambda s.\ nth\ s\ 0)\ (filter\ f\ (suffixes\ \sigma)))))))$
using *11 1531 7 diff-le-self* **by** *blast*
show *?thesis*
by (*simp add: 154 PiUntilDistsem1*)
qed

lemma *PiUntilDistsem*:
$\sigma \models\ f\ \Pi\ (g\ \mathcal{U}\ h) = (\ f\ \Pi\ g\ )\ \mathcal{U}\ (\ f\ \Pi\ h\ )$

using *PiUntilDistsem3 PiUntilDistsem4* **using** *unl-lift2* **by** *blast*

lemma *PiUntilDist*:
 ⊢ *f* Π (*g U h*) = (*f* Π *g*) *U* (*f* Π *h*)
using *PiUntilDistsem Valid-def* **by** *blast*

22.3.15 PiChopstar

lemma *wnextboxnotstatesem*:
assumes *k* ≤ *intlen σ*
 shows (∀ *j* ≤ *intlen σ*. *k*<*j* ⟶ ¬ (λ*y*. *w* ⟨*y*⟩) (*nth σ j*)) =
         (*LIFT*(*wnext* (□ (*init* (¬ *w*))))) (*suffix k σ*)

using *assms*
 proof (*auto simp add*: *always-defs init-defs wnext-defs*)
  show ⋀*j*. *j* ≤ *intlen σ* ⟹ *k* < *j* ⟹ *w* ⟨*nth σ j*⟩ ⟹
      ∀ *n*≤*intlen σ* − *Suc k*. ¬ *w* ⟨*nth σ* (*Suc* (*n* + *k*))⟩ ⟹ *False*
   proof (*cases k*)
    show ⋀*j*. *j* ≤ *intlen σ* ⟹ *k* < *j* ⟹ *w* ⟨*nth σ j*⟩ ⟹
        ∀ *n*≤*intlen σ* − *Suc k*. ¬ *w* ⟨*nth σ* (*Suc* (*n* + *k*))⟩ ⟹ *k* = 0 ⟹ *False*
     by *simp*
        (*metis Suc-le-mono Suc-pred less-le-trans*)
    show ⋀*j nat*. *j* ≤ *intlen σ* ⟹ *k* < *j* ⟹ *w* ⟨*nth σ j*⟩ ⟹
        ∀ *n*≤*intlen σ* − *Suc k*. ¬ *w* ⟨*nth σ* (*Suc* (*n* + *k*))⟩ ⟹ *k* = *Suc nat* ⟹ *False*
     proof −
     fix *j* :: *nat* **and** *nat* :: *nat*
     assume *a1*: *k* < *j*
     assume *a2*: ∀ *n*≤*intlen σ* − *Suc k*. ¬ *w* ⟨*Interval.nth σ* (*Suc* (*n* + *k*))⟩
     assume *a3*: *j* ≤ *intlen σ*
     assume *a4*: *w* ⟨*nth σ j*⟩
     have *Suc* (*k* + (*j* − *Suc k*)) = *j*
     using *a1* **by** *simp*
     then show *False*
     using *a4 a3 a2* **by** (*metis diff-add-inverse2 diff-le-mono*
                    linordered-semidom-class.add-diff-inverse not-add-less2)
    qed
  qed
qed

lemma *NotStateUntilStateAndsem*:
 (*σ* ⊨ (*init* (¬ *w*)) *U* ((*init w*) ∧ *f*)) =
  (∃ *k*≤*intlen σ*. *w* ⟨*nth σ k*⟩ ∧ *f* (*suffix k σ*) ∧ (∀ *j*<*k*. ¬ *w* ⟨*nth σ j*⟩))

by (*auto simp add*: *until-d-def init-defs*)

lemma *StateUntilEqvWPrevChopsem*:
 σ ⊨ (*init w*) *U f* = (*wprev* (□ (*init w*)));*f*
by (*auto simp add*: *min.absorb1 until-d-def wprev-defs always-defs init-defs chop-defs*)

lemma *StateUntilEqvWPrevChop*:
⊢ (*init w*) $\mathcal{U}$ *f* = (*wprev* (□ (*init w*)));*f*
using *StateUntilEqvWPrevChopsem Valid-def* **by** *blast*

lemma *UntilChopDist*:
⊢ (*init w*) $\mathcal{U}$ (*g*;*h*) = ((*init w*) $\mathcal{U}$ *g*) ;*h*
by (*metis ChopAssoc StateUntilEqvWPrevChop inteq-reflection*)

lemma *PiEmptysem*:
σ ⊨ (*init w*) ∏ *empty* = (*init* (¬ *w*)) $\mathcal{U}$ ((*init w*) ∧ *wnext* (□ (*init* (¬ *w*))))
proof −
have *1*: (σ ⊨ (*init w*) ∏ *empty*) =
   ((∃*x*∈*interval.set* σ. *w* ⟨*x*⟩) ∧ *intlen* (*IntervalFilter*.*filter* (λ*y*. *w* ⟨*y*⟩) σ) = 0)
 by (*simp add*: *init-defs empty-defs Pistate*)
have *2*: ((∃*x*∈*interval.set* σ. *w* ⟨*x*⟩) ∧ *intlen* (*IntervalFilter*.*filter* (λ*y*. *w* ⟨*y*⟩) σ) = 0) =
   (∃ *k* ≤ *intlen* σ . (λ*y*. *w* ⟨*y*⟩) (*nth* σ *k*) ∧
     (∀ *j*. *j*<*k* ⟶ ¬ (λ*y*. *w* ⟨*y*⟩) (*nth* σ *j*)) ∧
     (∀ *j* ≤ *intlen* σ. *k*<*j* ⟶ ¬ (λ*y*. *w* ⟨*y*⟩) (*nth* σ *j*)))

   by (*simp add*: *filter-length-zero-conv-2*)
have *3*: (∃ *k* ≤ *intlen* σ . (λ*y*. *w* ⟨*y*⟩) (*nth* σ *k*) ∧
     (∀ *j*. *j*<*k* ⟶ ¬ (λ*y*. *w* ⟨*y*⟩) (*nth* σ *j*)) ∧
     (∀ *j* ≤ *intlen* σ. *k*<*j* ⟶ ¬ (λ*y*. *w* ⟨*y*⟩) (*nth* σ *j*))) =
     (∃*k*≤*intlen* σ.
   w ⟨*nth* σ *k*⟩ ∧
   (*LIFT*(*wnext* (□ (*init* (¬ *w*))))) (*suffix k* σ) ∧
   (∀*j*<*k*. ¬ *w* ⟨*nth* σ *j*⟩))
     using *wnextboxnotstatesem*
   by *metis*
have *4*: (∃*k*≤*intlen* σ.
   w ⟨*nth* σ *k*⟩ ∧
   (*LIFT*(*wnext* (□ (*init* (¬ *w*))))) (*suffix k* σ) ∧
   (∀*j*<*k*. ¬ *w* ⟨*nth* σ *j*⟩)) =
     (σ ⊨ (*init* (¬ *w*)) $\mathcal{U}$ ((*init w*) ∧ *wnext* (□ (*init* (¬ *w*)))))

 by (*simp add*: *NotStateUntilStateAndsem*)
from *1 2 3 4* **show** *?thesis* **by** *auto*
qed

lemma *PiEmpty*:
⊢ (*init w*) ∏ *empty* = (*init* (¬ *w*)) $\mathcal{U}$ ((*init w*) ∧ *wnext* (□ (*init* (¬ *w*))))
using *PiEmptysem Valid-def* **by** *blast*

lemma *StatePiBoxStatesem*:
σ ⊨ (*init w*) ∏ *f* = (*init w*) ∏ (*f* ∧ □ (*init w*))

820

proof −
 have *1*: $(\sigma \models (init\ w)\ \Pi\ f) =$
          $((\exists\ x \in set\ \sigma.\ w\ \langle x \rangle) \wedge\ (\ (filter\ (\lambda y.\ w\ \langle y \rangle)\ \sigma) \models\ f))$

   by (*metis Pistate*)
 have *2*: $(\sigma \models (init\ w)\ \Pi\ (f \wedge \Box\ (init\ w))) =$
          $((\exists\ x \in set\ \sigma.\ w\ \langle x \rangle) \wedge\ (\ (filter\ (\lambda y.\ w\ \langle y \rangle)\ \sigma) \models f \wedge \Box\ (init\ w)))$

   by (*metis Pistate*)
 have *3*: $(\ (filter\ (\lambda y.\ w\ \langle y \rangle)\ \sigma) \models f \wedge \Box\ (init\ w))$
        $= (f\ (filter\ (\lambda y.\ w\ \langle y \rangle)\ \sigma) \wedge$
    $(\forall\ n{\leq}intlen\ (filter\ (\lambda y.\ w\ \langle y \rangle)\ \sigma).\ w\ \langle nth\ (filter\ (\lambda y.\ w\ \langle y \rangle)\ \sigma)\ n \rangle))$
     by (*simp add*: *always-defs init-defs*)
 have *4*: $((\exists\ x \in set\ \sigma.\ w\ \langle x \rangle) \wedge (f\ (filter\ (\lambda y.\ w\ \langle y \rangle)\ \sigma) \wedge$
    $(\forall\ n{\leq}intlen\ (filter\ (\lambda y.\ w\ \langle y \rangle)\ \sigma).\ w\ \langle nth\ (filter\ (\lambda y.\ w\ \langle y \rangle)\ \sigma)\ n \rangle)))) =$
        $((\exists\ x \in set\ \sigma.\ w\ \langle x \rangle) \wedge f\ (filter\ (\lambda y.\ w\ \langle y \rangle)\ \sigma))$

   by (*meson filter-nth-aa*)
 show *?thesis* **using** *1 2 3 4* **by** *auto*
qed

lemma *StatePiBoxState*:
 ⊢ $(init\ w)\ \Pi\ f = (init\ w)\ \Pi\ (f \wedge \Box\ (init\ w))$
using *StatePiBoxStatesem Valid-def* **by** *blast*

lemma *StatePiUntil1*:
 ⊢ $(\ (init\ (\neg\ w))\ \mathcal{U}\ (\ (init\ w) \wedge (init\ w)\ \Pi\ f\ )) =$
        $(wprev\ (\Box\ (init\ (\neg\ w))));(\ (init\ w) \wedge (init\ w)\ \Pi\ f\ )$
using *StateUntilEqvWPrevChop* **by** *blast*

lemma *StatePiUntilsem2*:
 $(\sigma \models (wprev\ (\Box\ (init\ (\neg\ w))));(\ (init\ w) \wedge (init\ w)\ \Pi\ f\ )) =$
        $(\sigma \models ((wprev\ (\Box\ (init\ (\neg\ w)))); ((init\ w) \wedge empty))\ ; ((init\ w) \wedge (init\ w)\ \Pi\ f\ )\ )$
by (*auto simp add*: *chop-defs init-defs empty-defs min.absorb1*)
   fastforce

lemma *StatePiUntil2*:
 ⊢ $(wprev\ (\Box\ (init\ (\neg\ w))));(\ (init\ w) \wedge (init\ w)\ \Pi\ f\ ) =$
        $(\ ((wprev\ (\Box\ (init\ (\neg\ w)))); ((init\ w) \wedge empty))\ ; ((init\ w) \wedge (init\ w)\ \Pi\ f\ )\ )$
by (*simp add*: *StatePiUntilsem2 Valid-def*)

lemma *StatePiUntil3*:
 ⊢ $((wprev\ (\Box\ (init\ (\neg\ w)))); ((init\ w) \wedge empty)) =$
        $(\ (((init\ (\neg\ w))\ \mathcal{U}\ ((init\ w) \wedge wnext\ (\Box\ (init\ (\neg\ w)))))\ ; ((init\ w) \wedge empty))$
proof −
 have *1*: ⊢ $(wprev\ (\Box\ (init\ (\neg\ w)))); ((init\ w) \wedge empty) =$
          $(init\ (\neg\ w))\ \mathcal{U}\ ((init\ w) \wedge empty)$
     by (*meson Prop11 StateUntilEqvWPrevChop*)
 have *2*: ⊢ $((init\ w) \wedge empty) = ((init\ w) \wedge wnext\ (\Box\ (init\ (\neg\ w))));((init\ w) \wedge empty)$
   by (*auto simp add*: *min.absorb1 Valid-def init-defs empty-defs wnext-defs always-defs chop-defs*)

(*metis Suc-pred eq-imp-le interval-intlen-gr-zero le-neq-trans*)
 show *?thesis* **by** (*metis 1 2 UntilChopDist inteq-reflection*)
qed

lemma *StatePiUntilsem4*:
$(\sigma \models ((init (\neg w)) \, \mathcal{U} \, ((init \; w) \wedge wnext (\Box \, (init \, (\neg w))))) \, ; \, ((init \; w) \wedge empty)) =$
       $(\sigma \models ((init \; w) \, \Pi \, empty); ((init \; w) \wedge empty))$
 by (*metis PiEmpty inteq-reflection*)

lemma *StatePiUntil4*:
 $\vdash ((init (\neg w)) \, \mathcal{U} \, ((init \; w) \wedge wnext (\Box \, (init \, (\neg w))))) \, ; \, ((init \; w) \wedge empty) =$
       $(\, ((init \; w) \, \Pi \, empty); ((init \; w) \wedge empty))$
by (*simp add: StatePiUntilsem4 Valid-def*)

lemma *StatePiUntilsem*:
 $\sigma \models (init \; w) \, \Pi \, f = (init (\neg w)) \, \mathcal{U} \, (\, (init \; w) \wedge (init \; w) \, \Pi \, f \,)$
 proof $-$
 have *2*: $(\sigma \models (init (\neg w)) \, \mathcal{U} \, (\, (init \; w) \wedge (init \; w) \, \Pi \, f \,)) =$
       $(\sigma \models (wprev (\Box \, (init \, (\neg w))));(\, (init \; w) \wedge (init \; w) \, \Pi \, f \,))$

   using *StateUntilEqvWPrevChopsem*[*of LIFT*(¬ *w*) *LIFT*((*init w*) ∧ (*init w*) *Π f*) *σ*]
     by *simp*
 have *7*: $(\sigma \models (wprev (\Box \, (init \, (\neg w))));(\, (init \; w) \wedge (init \; w) \, \Pi \, f \,)) =$
       $(\sigma \models (((init \; w) \, \Pi \, empty); ((init \; w) \wedge empty));(\, (init \; w) \wedge (init \; w) \, \Pi \, f \,))$

     by (*metis PiEmpty StatePiUntil2 StatePiUntil3 inteq-reflection*)
 have *8*: $(\sigma \models (((init \; w) \, \Pi \, empty); ((init \; w) \wedge empty));(\, (init \; w) \wedge (init \; w) \, \Pi \, f \,)) =$
       $(\sigma \models (((init \; w) \, \Pi \, empty));(\, (init \; w) \wedge (init \; w) \, \Pi \, f \,))$

   by (*auto simp add: chop-defs init-defs empty-defs min.absorb1*)
     fastforce
 have *9*: $(\sigma \models (((init \; w) \, \Pi \, empty));(\, (init \; w) \wedge (init \; w) \, \Pi \, f \,)) =$
       $(\sigma \models (init \; w) \, \Pi \, (empty;f))$

   using *PiChopDistsema PiChopDistsemb* **by** *blast*
 have *10*: $(\sigma \models (init \; w) \, \Pi \, (empty;f)) = (\sigma \models (init \; w) \, \Pi \, f)$
     by (*metis chop-defs empty-defs interval-prefix-length-good interval-suffix-zero pi-d-def*
       zero-order(*1*))
 show *?thesis*
 by (*simp add: 10 2 7 8 9*)
qed

lemma *StatePiUntil*:
 $\vdash (init \; w) \, \Pi \, f = (init (\neg w)) \, \mathcal{U} \, (\, (init \; w) \wedge (init \; w) \, \Pi \, f \,)$
using *StatePiUntilsem* **by** *blast*

lemma *StateAndPiEmpty*:
 $\vdash \, ((init \; w) \wedge (init \; w) \, \Pi \, empty) = (w \wedge empty) \, ; \, (wnext (\Box \, (init \, (\neg w))))$

proof −
 have 1: ⊢ ((init w) ∧ (init w) ∏ empty) =
           ((init w) ∧ (init (¬ w))) 𝒰 ((init w) ∧ (wnext (□ (init (¬ w))))))
   using PiEmpty **by** fastforce
 have 2: ⊢ ((init w) ∧ (init (¬ w))) 𝒰 ((init w) ∧ (wnext (□ (init (¬ w))))))
           = ((init w) ∧ (wnext (□ (init (¬ w)))))
    by (auto simp add: until-d-def Valid-def init-defs)
      force
 have 3: ⊢ ((init w) ∧ (wnext (□ (init (¬ w))))) = (w ∧ empty) ; (wnext (□ (init (¬ w))))
   by (metis InitAndEmptyEqvAndEmpty StateAndEmptyChop inteq-reflection)
 show ?thesis
 using 1 2 3 **by** fastforce
qed

lemma PiPowerExpandsem:
 (σ ⊨ (∃ k. (init w) ∏ (power f k))) =

   (σ ⊨ (init w) ∏ empty ∨ (∃ k. (init w) ∏ (f;(power f (k))))))
proof −
 have 1: (σ ⊨ (∃ k. (init w) ∏ (power f k))) =
        (∃ k. (σ ⊨ (init w) ∏ (power f k)))
    by simp
 have 2: (∃ k. (σ ⊨ (init w) ∏ (power f k))) =
        ((σ ⊨ (init w) ∏ (power f 0)) ∨   (∃ k. 1 ≤ k ∧ (σ ⊨ (init w) ∏ (power f (k)))))
       by (metis One-nat-def diff-Suc-1 le-SucE le-add1 plus-1-eq-Suc)
 have 3: (σ ⊨ (init w) ∏ (power f 0)) = (σ ⊨ (init w) ∏ empty)
   by simp
 have 4: (∃ k. 1 ≤ k ∧ (σ ⊨ (init w) ∏ (power f (k)))) =
        (∃ k. (σ ⊨ (init w) ∏ (power f (Suc k))))
    by (metis le-add1 ordered-cancel-comm-monoid-diff-class.add-diff-inverse plus-1-eq-Suc)
 have 5: (∃ k. (σ ⊨ (init w) ∏ (power f (Suc k)))) =
        (σ ⊨ (∃ k. (init w) ∏ (power f (Suc k))))

     by simp
 have 6: ((σ ⊨ (init w) ∏ empty) ∨ (σ ⊨ (∃ k. (init w) ∏ (power f (Suc k))))) =
        (σ ⊨ (init w) ∏ empty ∨ (∃ k. (init w) ∏ (f;power f (k))))
   by auto
 show ?thesis
 using 1 2 3 4 5 6 **by** blast
qed

lemma PiPowerExpandsem1:
 ∀ σ. σ ⊨ (∃ k. (init w) ∏ (power f k)) =
   ((init w) ∏ empty ∨ (∃ k. (init w) ∏ (power f (Suc k))))
proof
 fix σ
 show σ ⊨ (∃ k. (init w) ∏ (power f k)) =
           ((init w) ∏ empty ∨ (∃ k. (init w) ∏ (power f (Suc k))))
  proof −

823

have *1*: $(\sigma \models (\exists\,k.\ (init\ w)\ \Pi\ (power\ f\ k)) =$
$((init\ w)\ \Pi\ empty\ \lor (\exists\,k.\ (init\ w)\ \Pi\ (power\ f\ (Suc\ k))\ )\ ))$
$= ((\sigma \models\ (\exists\,k.\ (init\ w)\ \Pi\ (power\ f\ k))) =$
$(\sigma \models (init\ w)\ \Pi\ empty\ \lor (\exists\,k.\ (init\ w)\ \Pi\ (power\ f\ (Suc\ k))\ )\ )\ )$

by *auto*
have *2*: $((\sigma \models\ (\exists\,k.\ (init\ w)\ \Pi\ (power\ f\ k))) =$
$(\sigma \models (init\ w)\ \Pi\ empty\ \lor (\exists\,k.\ (init\ w)\ \Pi\ (power\ f\ (Suc\ k))\ )\ )\ )$
using *PiPowerExpandsem*[*of w f* σ] **by** *simp*
show *?thesis*
using *1 2* **by** *blast*
qed
qed

lemma *PiPowerExpand*:
$\vdash\ (\exists\,k.\ (init\ w)\ \Pi\ (power\ f\ k)) =$
$((init\ w)\ \Pi\ empty\ \lor (\exists\,k.\ (init\ w)\ \Pi\ (power\ f\ (Suc\ k))\ )\ )$
using *PiPowerExpandsem1*[*of w f*] **by** (*auto simp add*: *Valid-def PiPowerExpandsem1*)

lemma *exists-expand-sem*:
$(\sigma \models (\exists\,k.\ (power\ ((init\ w)\ \Pi\ f\ \land\ fin\ w)\ k))) =$
$((\sigma \models (power\ ((init\ w)\ \Pi\ f\ \land\ fin\ w)\ 0))\ \lor$
$(\sigma \models (\exists\,k.\ (power\ ((init\ w)\ \Pi\ f\ \land\ fin\ w)\ (Suc\ k)))))$
by (*metis* (*no-types*, *lifting*) *not0-implies-Suc unl-Rex*)

lemma *exists-expand*:
$\vdash\ (\exists\,k.\ (power\ ((init\ w)\ \Pi\ f\ \land\ fin\ w)\ k)) =$
$((power\ ((init\ w)\ \Pi\ f\ \land\ fin\ w)\ 0) \lor (\exists\,k.\ (power\ ((init\ w)\ \Pi\ f\ \land\ fin\ w)\ (Suc\ k))))$
using *exists-expand-sem Valid-def* **by** *fastforce*

22.3.16 TruePiEqv

lemma *TruePiEqvsem*:
$\sigma \models \#True\ \Pi\ f = f$
by (*simp add*: *pi-d-def pifilt-true*) *auto*

lemma *TruePiEqv*:
$\vdash (\#True)\ \Pi\ f = f$
using *TruePiEqvsem* **by** (*auto simp add*: *Valid-def*)

22.3.17 BoxImpEqvPi

lemma *BoxImpEqvPi*:
$\vdash \Box\ f \longrightarrow g = f\ \Pi\ g$
by (*simp add*: *Valid-def always-defs pi-d-def pifilt-def sfxfilt-def*)
  (*metis filter-True interval-intlen-gr-zero interval-nth-and-set intlen-suffixes*
    map-first-suffixes nth-suffixes)

22.3.18 PiEqvDiamondUPi

lemma *PiEqvDiamondUPi*:
⊢ f Π g = (◇ f ∧ f Π^u g)
by (*simp add*: *Valid-def upi-d-def sometimes-defs pi-d-def ,blast*)

22.3.19 PiEqvUntilPi

lemma *PiEqvUntilPi*:
⊢ (*init w*) Π g = (*init* (¬ w)) 𝒰 ((*init w*) Π g)
by (*metis StatePiUntil UntilUntilsem Valid-def inteq-reflection*)

22.3.20 UPiEqvBoxOrPi

lemma *UPiEqvBoxOrPi*:
⊢ f Π^u g = (□ (¬ f) ∨ f Π g)
by (*simp add*: *Valid-def upi-d-def always-defs pi-d-def ,blast*)

22.4 Theorems

lemma *UPiImpRule*:
 assumes ⊢ g1 ⟶ g2
 shows ⊢ f Π^u g1 ⟶ f Π^u g2
using *assms*
by (*meson MP PiK PiN*)

lemma *UPiEqvRule*:
 assumes ⊢ g1 = g2
 shows ⊢ f Π^u g1 = f Π^u g2
proof −
 have *1*: ⊢ g1 ⟶ g2
   using *assms* **by** (*simp add*: *int-iffD1*)
 have *2*: ⊢ f Π^u g1 ⟶ f Π^u g2
   using *1 UPiImpRule* **by** *blast*
 have *3*: ⊢ g2 ⟶ g1
   using *assms* **by** (*simp add*: *int-iffD2*)
 have *4*: ⊢ f Π^u g2 ⟶ f Π^u g1
   using *3 UPiImpRule* **by** *blast*
 from *3 4* **show** *?thesis*
 by (*simp add*: *2 int-iffI*)
qed

lemma *PiEqvNotUPiNot*:
⊢ f Π g = (¬ (f Π^u (¬ g)))
by (*simp add*: *upi-d-def*)

lemma *NotPiEqvNotUPi*:
⊢ f Π (¬ g) = (¬ (f Π^u g))
by (*simp add*: *upi-d-def*)

lemma *UPiEqvNotPiNot*:

$\vdash f \ \Pi^u \ g = (\neg \ (f \ \Pi \ (\neg \ g)))$
by (*simp add*: *upi-d-def*)

lemma *NotUPiEqvNotPi*:
$\vdash f \ \Pi^u \ (\neg g) = (\neg \ (f \ \Pi \ g))$
by (*simp add*: *upi-d-def*)

lemma *PiImpRule*:
 assumes $\vdash g1 \longrightarrow g2$
 shows $\vdash f \ \Pi \ g1 \longrightarrow f \ \Pi \ g2$
proof $-$
 have $1: \vdash \neg \ g2 \longrightarrow \neg \ g1$
  by (*simp add*: *assms*)
 have $2: \vdash f \ \Pi^u \ (\neg \ g2) \longrightarrow f \ \Pi^u \ (\neg g1)$
   using 1 *UPiImpRule* **by** *blast*
 have $3: \vdash \neg(f \ \Pi^u \ (\neg g1)) \longrightarrow \neg(f \ \Pi^u \ (\neg g2))$
  using 2 **by** *fastforce*
 from 3 **show** *?thesis* **using** *PiEqvNotUPiNot* **by** *fastforce*
qed

lemma *PiEqvRule*:
 assumes $\vdash g1 = g2$
 shows $\vdash f \ \Pi \ g1 = f \ \Pi \ g2$
proof $-$
 have $1: \vdash g1 \longrightarrow g2$
  using *assms* **by** (*simp add*: *int-iffD1*)
 have $2: \vdash f \ \Pi \ g1 \longrightarrow f \ \Pi \ g2$
  using 1 *PiImpRule* **by** *blast*
 have $3: \vdash g2 \longrightarrow g1$
  using *assms* **by** (*simp add*: *int-iffD2*)
 have $4: \vdash f \ \Pi \ g2 \longrightarrow f \ \Pi \ g1$
  using 3 *PiImpRule* **by** *blast*
 from $2 \ 4$ **show** *?thesis* **by** (*simp add*: *int-iffI*)
qed

lemma *UPiAndPiImpPiAnd*:
$\vdash f1 \ \Pi^u \ f \wedge f1 \ \Pi \ (\neg \ g) \longrightarrow f1 \ \Pi \ (f \wedge \neg g)$
proof $-$
 have $1: \vdash (\neg(f \longrightarrow g)) = (f \wedge \neg g)$
  by *fastforce*
 have $2: \vdash (\neg \ (f1 \ \Pi^u \ (f \longrightarrow g))) = f1 \ \Pi \ (\neg(f \longrightarrow g))$
  by (*simp add*: *NotPiEqvNotUPi int-iffD1 int-iffD2 int-iffI*)
 have $3: \vdash \neg(\ f1 \ \Pi^u \ f \longrightarrow f1 \ \Pi^u \ g \ ) \longrightarrow \neg \ (f1 \ \Pi^u \ (f \longrightarrow g))$
  by (*simp add*: *PiK*)
 have $4: \vdash (\neg(\ f1 \ \Pi^u \ f \longrightarrow f1 \ \Pi^u \ g \ )) = (f1 \ \Pi^u \ f \wedge f1 \ \Pi \ (\neg \ g))$
  using *NotPiEqvNotUPi*[*of f1 g*] **by** *fastforce*
 have $5: \vdash f1 \ \Pi \ (\neg(f \longrightarrow g)) = f1 \ \Pi \ (f \wedge \neg g)$
   using 1 **by** (*simp add*: *PiEqvRule*)
 from $1 \ 2 \ 3 \ 4 \ 5$ **show** *?thesis* **by** *fastforce*

qed

lemma *UPiAndPiImpPiAndA*:
$\vdash f1 \; \Pi^u \; f \wedge f1 \; \Pi \; g \longrightarrow f1 \; \Pi \; (f \wedge g)$
using *UPiAndPiImpPiAnd*[*of f1 f LIFT*($\neg g$)] **by** *fastforce*

lemma *PiAndPiImpPiAnd*:
$\vdash f1 \; \Pi \; f \wedge f1 \; \Pi \; g \longrightarrow f1 \; \Pi \; (f \wedge g)$
proof $-$
 have 1: $\vdash \; f1 \; \Pi^u \; f \wedge f1 \; \Pi \; g \longrightarrow f1 \; \Pi \; (f \wedge g)$
   using *UPiAndPiImpPiAndA* **by** *fastforce*
 have 2: $\vdash f1 \; \Pi \; f \longrightarrow f1 \; \Pi^u \; f$
  using *PiDc* **by** *blast*
 from 1 2 **show** *?thesis* **by** *fastforce*
qed

lemma *PiAnd*:
$\vdash f \; \Pi \; (g1 \wedge g2) = (f \; \Pi \; g1 \wedge f \; \Pi \; g2)$
proof $-$
 have 1: $\vdash f \; \Pi \; (g1 \wedge g2) \longrightarrow f \; \Pi \; g1$
   by (*meson PiImpRule Prop12 int-iffD1 lift-and-com*)
 have 2: $\vdash f \; \Pi \; (g1 \wedge g2) \longrightarrow f \; \Pi \; g2$
   by (*meson PiImpRule Prop12 int-iffD1 lift-and-com*)
 have 3: $\vdash f \; \Pi \; (g1 \wedge g2) \longrightarrow f \; \Pi \; g1 \wedge f \; \Pi \; g2$
   using 1 2 **by** *fastforce*
 have 4: $\vdash f \; \Pi \; g1 \wedge f \; \Pi \; g2 \longrightarrow f \; \Pi \; (g1 \wedge g2)$
   by (*simp add*: *PiAndPiImpPiAnd*)
 from 3 4 **show** *?thesis* **by** *fastforce*
qed

lemma *UPiAnd*:
$\vdash f \; \Pi^u \; (g1 \wedge g2) = (f \; \Pi^u \; g1 \wedge f \; \Pi^u \; g2)$
proof $-$
 have 1: $\vdash f \; \Pi \; (\neg g1 \vee \neg \; g2) = (f \; \Pi \; (\neg g1) \vee f \; \Pi \; (\neg \; g2))$
  by (*simp add*: *PiOr*)
 have 2: $\vdash (\neg(f \; \Pi \; (\neg g1 \vee \neg \; g2))) = (\neg(f \; \Pi \; (\neg g1) \vee f \; \Pi \; (\neg \; g2)))$
   using 1 **by** *fastforce*
 have 3: $\vdash (\neg(f \; \Pi \; (\neg g1 \vee \neg \; g2))) = f \; \Pi^u \; (\neg(\neg g1 \vee \neg \; g2))$
   by (*meson NotUPiEqvNotPi Prop11*)
 have 4: $\vdash (\neg(\neg g1 \vee \neg \; g2)) = (g1 \wedge g2)$
   by *fastforce*
 have 5: $\vdash f \; \Pi^u \; (\neg(\neg g1 \vee \neg \; g2)) = f \; \Pi^u \; (g1 \wedge g2)$
  using 4 **by** (*simp add*: *UPiEqvRule*)
 have 6: $\vdash (\neg(f \; \Pi \; (\neg g1) \vee f \; \Pi \; (\neg \; g2))) = (\neg(f \; \Pi \; (\neg g1)) \wedge \neg(f \; \Pi(\neg g2)))$
   by *fastforce*

827

have *7*: ⊢¬(*f* Π (¬*g1*)) = *f* Π*ᵘ g1*
  by (*simp add*: *NotPiEqvNotUPi*)
have *8*: ⊢ ¬(*f* Π (¬*g2*)) = *f* Π*ᵘ g2*
  by (*simp add*: *NotPiEqvNotUPi*)
have *9*: ⊢ (¬(*f* Π (¬*g1*)) ∧ ¬(*f* Π(¬*g2*))) = (*f* Π*ᵘ g1* ∧ *f* Π*ᵘ g2*)
  using *6 7 8* **by** *fastforce*
from *2 3 5 6 9* **show** *?thesis* **by** *fastforce*
qed

lemma *UpiAndImp*:
⊢ *f* Π*ᵘ* (*g1* ⟶ *g2*) ∧ *f* Π *g1* ⟶ *f* Π *g2*
proof −
have *2*: ⊢ *f* Π*ᵘ* ((¬*g2*) ⟶ (¬*g1*)) ⟶ (*f* Π*ᵘ* (¬ *g2*) ⟶ *f* Π*ᵘ* (¬ *g1*))
  using *PiK* **by** *blast*
have *3*: ⊢ (*f* Π*ᵘ* (¬*g2*) ⟶ *f* Π*ᵘ* (¬*g1*)) = ((¬ (*f* Π*ᵘ* (¬*g1*))) ⟶ (¬(*f* Π*ᵘ* (¬*g2*))))
  by *auto*
have *4*: ⊢ (¬ (*f* Π*ᵘ* (¬*g2*))) = *f* Π *g2*
  by (*simp add*: *upi-d-def*)
have *5*: ⊢ (¬ (*f* Π*ᵘ* (¬*g1*))) = *f* Π *g1*
  by (*simp add*: *upi-d-def*)
have *6*: ⊢ *f* Π*ᵘ* ((¬*g2*) ⟶ (¬*g1*)) = *f* Π*ᵘ* (*g1* ⟶ *g2*)
  by *simp*
have *7*: ⊢ (*f* Π*ᵘ* (*g1* ⟶ *g2*) ∧ *f* Π *g1* ⟶ *f* Π *g2*) =
        (*f* Π*ᵘ* (*g1* ⟶ *g2*) ⟶ (*f* Π *g1* ⟶ *f* Π *g2*))
  by *auto*
show *?thesis*
using *2 4 5* **by** *fastforce*
qed

lemma *BoxImpUPiBox*:
⊢ □ (*init w*) ⟶ *f* Π*ᵘ* (□ (*init w*))
proof −
have *1*: ⊢ *f* Π (◇ (*init* (¬*w*))) ⟶ ◇ (*init* (¬*w*))
  by (*simp add*: *PiDiamondImp*)
have *2*: ⊢ ¬ ◇ (*init* (¬*w*)) ⟶ ¬ (*f* Π (◇ (*init* (¬*w*))))
  using *1* **by** *auto*
have *3*: ⊢ (¬ ◇ (*init* (¬*w*))) = □ (*init w*)
  by (*metis 2 Initprop*(*2*) *Prop10 always-d-def inteq-reflection*)
have *4*: ⊢ (¬ (*f* Π (◇ (*init* (¬*w*))))) = *f* Π*ᵘ* (□ (*init w*))
  by (*simp add*: *upi-d-def*)
    (*metis 3 int-simps*(*4*) *inteq-reflection*)
show *?thesis*
using *2 3 4* **by** *fastforce*
qed

lemma *WPrevPi*:
⊢ (*init w*) Π *f* = (*wprev* (□ (*init* (¬ *w*)))); ((*init w*) ∧ (*init w*) Π *f*)
  using *StatePiUntil StatePiUntil1* **by** *fastforce*

lemma *PiChopstarhelp2a*:
$\vdash$ $(w \wedge empty);(power ((f;(w \wedge empty)) \wedge more) k)$ =
$\quad\quad$ $(power (((w \wedge empty);f) \wedge more) k) ;(w \wedge empty)$
proof ($induction\ k$)
case *0*
then show *?case*
by (*metis ChopEmpty EmptyChop inteq-reflection pow-0*)
next
case ($Suc\ k$)
then show *?case*
$\quad$ **proof** $-$
$\quad$ **have** *1*: $\vdash$ $(w \wedge empty);power (f;(w \wedge empty) \wedge more) (Suc\ k)$ =
$\quad\quad\quad$ $(w \wedge empty);((f;(w \wedge empty) \wedge more); power (f;(w \wedge empty) \wedge more) k)$
$\quad\quad$ **by** *simp*
$\quad$ **have** *11*: $\vdash$ $(f \wedge more);(w \wedge empty) = (fin\ w \wedge (f \wedge more))$
$\quad\quad\quad$ **by** (*metis FinEqvTrueChopAndEmpty TrueChopAndEmptyEqvChopAndEmpty inteq-reflection*)
$\quad$ **have** *12*: $\vdash$ $(f;(w \wedge empty)) = (fin\ w \wedge f)$
$\quad\quad\quad\quad$ **by** (*meson AndFinEqvChopAndEmpty Prop04 lift-and-com*)
$\quad$ **have** *13*: $\vdash$ $(f;(w \wedge empty) \wedge more) = ((fin\ w \wedge f) \wedge more)$
$\quad\quad$ **using** *12* **by** *auto*
$\quad$ **have** *14*: $\vdash$ $((fin\ w \wedge f) \wedge more) = (fin\ w \wedge (f \wedge more))$
$\quad\quad$ **by** *fastforce*
$\quad$ **have** *2*: $\vdash$ $(f;(w \wedge empty) \wedge more) = (f \wedge more);(w \wedge empty)$
$\quad\quad\quad$ **using** *11 13* **by** *fastforce*
$\quad$ **have** *21*: $\vdash$ $((f;(w \wedge empty) \wedge more); power (f;(w \wedge empty) \wedge more) k)$ =
$\quad\quad\quad$ $((f \wedge more); (power ((w \wedge empty);f \wedge more) k;(w \wedge empty)))$
$\quad\quad$ **by** (*metis 2 ChopAssocB Suc.IH int-eq*)
$\quad$ **have** *3*: $\vdash$ $(w \wedge empty);((f;(w \wedge empty) \wedge more); power (f;(w \wedge empty) \wedge more) k)$ =
$\quad\quad\quad$ $(w \wedge empty);((f \wedge more); (power ((w \wedge empty);f \wedge more) k;(w \wedge empty)))$
$\quad\quad$ **by** (*simp add: 21 RightChopEqvChop*)
$\quad$ **have** *4*: $\vdash$ $(w \wedge empty);((f \wedge more); (power ((w \wedge empty);f \wedge more) k;(w \wedge empty)))$ =
$\quad\quad\quad$ $((w \wedge empty);(f \wedge more)); ((power ((w \wedge empty);f \wedge more) k;(w \wedge empty)))$
$\quad\quad$ **using** *ChopAssoc* **by** *blast*
$\quad$ **have** *5*: $\vdash$ $(w \wedge empty);(f \wedge more) = ((w \wedge empty);f \wedge more)$
$\quad\quad$ **by** (*auto simp add: Valid-def chop-defs empty-defs more-defs*)
$\quad$ **have** *6*: $\vdash$ $((w \wedge empty);(f \wedge more)); ((power ((w \wedge empty);f \wedge more) k;(w \wedge empty)))$ =
$\quad\quad\quad$ $((w \wedge empty);f \wedge more); ((power ((w \wedge empty);f \wedge more) k;(w \wedge empty)))$
$\quad\quad$ **using** *5 LeftChopEqvChop* **by** *blast*
$\quad$ **have** *7*: $\vdash$ $((w \wedge empty);f \wedge more); ((power ((w \wedge empty);f \wedge more) k;(w \wedge empty)))$ =
$\quad\quad\quad$ $((power ((w \wedge empty);f \wedge more) (Suc\ k);(w \wedge empty)))$
$\quad\quad$ **by** (*simp add: ChopAssoc*)
$\quad$ **show** *?thesis*
$\quad$ **by** (*metis 1 3 4 5 7 int-eq*)
$\quad$ **qed**
qed

lemma *PiChopstarhelp2*:
$\vdash$ $(w \wedge empty);(f;(w \wedge empty))^\star = ((w \wedge empty);f)^\star;(w \wedge empty)$
proof $-$

have *1*: ⊢ (*w* ∧ *empty*);(*f*;(*w* ∧ *empty*))* = (*w* ∧ *empty*);(∃ *k*. power ((*f*;(*w* ∧ *empty*)) ∧ *more*) *k*)
  by (*simp add*: *chopstar-d-def powerstar-d-def*)
have *2*: ⊢ (*w* ∧ *empty*);(∃ *k*. power ((*f*;(*w* ∧ *empty*)) ∧ *more*) *k*) =
      (∃ *k*. (*w* ∧ *empty*);(power ((*f*;(*w* ∧ *empty*)) ∧ *more*) *k*))
  using *ChopExist* **by** *fastforce*
have *3*: ⊢ (∃ *k*. (*w* ∧ *empty*);(power ((*f*;(*w* ∧ *empty*)) ∧ *more*) *k*)) =
      (∃ *k*. (power ((*w* ∧ *empty*);*f* ∧ *more*) *k*);(*w* ∧ *empty*))

  by (*simp add*: *ExEqvRule PiChopstarhelp2a*)
have *4*: ⊢ (∃ *k*. (power ((*w* ∧ *empty*);*f* ∧ *more*) *k*);(*w* ∧ *empty*)) =
      (∃ *k*. (power ((*w* ∧ *empty*);*f* ∧ *more*) *k*));(*w* ∧ *empty*)
  using *ExistChop* **by** *fastforce*
have *5*: ⊢ (∃ *k*. (power ((*w* ∧ *empty*);*f* ∧ *more*) *k*));(*w* ∧ *empty*) =
      ((*w* ∧ *empty*);*f*)*;(*w* ∧ *empty*)
  by (*simp add*: *chopstar-d-def powerstar-d-def*)
show *?thesis*
using *1 2 3 4 5* **by** *fastforce*
qed

lemma *PiPowerSuca*:
⊢ (*init w*) Π (*power f* (*Suc k*)) = ((*init w*) Π *f*);((*init w*) ∧ (*init w*) Π (*power f k*))
by (*simp add*: *PiChopDist*)

lemma *PiPowerSucb*:
⊢ (*init w*) Π (*power f* (*Suc k*)) = ((*init w*) Π *f* ∧ *fin w*);((*init w*) ∧ (*init w*) Π (*power f k*))
by (*metis* (*no-types*, *lifting*) *AndFinChopEqvStateAndChop ChopAssoc ChopImpDiamond FinChopEqvDiamond*
  FinEqvTrueChopAndEmpty InitAndEmptyEqvAndEmpty PiPowerSuca Prop10 StateAndEmptyChop
  inteq-reflection)

lemma *PiPowerSucc*:
 ⊢ (*init w*) Π (*power f* (*Suc k*)) =
   (*power* ((*init w*) Π *f* ∧ *fin w*) (*Suc k*));((*init w*) ∧ (*init w*) Π *empty*)
proof (*induction k*)
case *0*
then show *?case*
by (*metis ChopEmpty PiPowerSucb inteq-reflection pow-0 pow-Suc*)
next
case (*Suc k*)
then show *?case*
by (*metis AndFinChopEqvStateAndChop AndFinEqvChopAndEmpty ChopAssocB InitAndEmptyEqvAndEmpty*
  PiPowerSuca inteq-reflection pow-Suc)
qed

lemma *PiPowerSucd*:
 ⊢ (*init w*) Π (*power f* (*Suc k*)) = (*power* ((*init w*) Π *f* ∧ *fin w*) (*Suc k*));((*init w*) Π *empty*)
proof (*induction k*)
case *0*
then show *?case*
by (*metis* (*no-types*, *lifting*) *AndFinChopEqvStateAndChop AndFinEqvChopAndEmpty*

InitAndEmptyEqvAndEmpty PiPowerSuca int-eq pow-0 pow-Suc)
next
case (*Suc k*)
then show *?case*
by (*metis* (*no-types*, *lifting*) *ChopAssoc PiPowerSucc inteq-reflection pow-Suc*)
qed

lemma *PiChopstar*:
⊢ (*init w*) Π (*f**) = (*init* (¬ *w*)) $\mathcal{U}$ ((*init w*) ∧ (((*init w*) Π *f*) ∧ *fin w*)*;*wnext*(□ (*init* (¬ *w*))))
proof −
 have *1*: ⊢ (*init w*) Π (*f**) = (*init w*) Π (∃ *k*. *power f k*)
  by (*metis ChopstarEqvPowerstar PiEqvRule powerstar-d-def*)
 have *2*: ⊢ (*init w*) Π (∃ *k*. *power f k*) = (∃ *k*. (*init w*) Π (*power f k*))
  by (*simp add*: *Valid-def pi-d-def*)
 have *3*: ⊢ (∃ *k*. (*init w*) Π (*power f k*)) =
        ((*init w*) Π *empty* ∨ (∃ *k*. (*init w*) Π (*power f* (*Suc k*))))
  using *PiPowerExpand* **by** *auto*
 have *4*: ⊢ (∃ *k*. (*init w*) Π (*power f* (*Suc k*))) =
        (∃ *k*. (*power* ((*init w*) Π *f* ∧ *fin w*) (*Suc k*));((*init w*) Π *empty*))
  by (*meson ExEqvRule PiPowerSucd*)
 have *5*: ⊢ (*init w*) Π *empty* = *empty* ; ((*init w*) Π *empty*)
  by (*simp add*: *EmptyChop int-iffD1 int-iffD2 int-iffI*)
 have *6*: ⊢ (∃ *k*. (*power* ((*init w*) Π *f* ∧ *fin w*) (*Suc k*));((*init w*) Π *empty*)) =
        (∃ *k*. (*power* ((*init w*) Π *f* ∧ *fin w*) (*Suc k*)));((*init w*) Π *empty*)
  by (*simp add*: *Semantics.ExistChop*)
 have *7*: ⊢ ((*init w*) Π *empty* ∨ (∃ *k*. (*power* ((*init w*) Π *f* ∧ *fin w*) (*Suc k*));((*init w*) Π *empty*)))=
        (*empty*; ((*init w*) Π *empty*) ∨
         (∃ *k*. (*power* ((*init w*) Π *f* ∧ *fin w*) (*Suc k*)));((*init w*) Π *empty*))
      using *5 6* **by** *fastforce*
 have *8*: ⊢ (*empty*; ((*init w*) Π *empty*) ∨
         (∃ *k*. (*power* ((*init w*) Π *f* ∧ *fin w*) (*Suc k*)));((*init w*) Π *empty*)) =
        (*empty* ∨ (∃ *k*. (*power* ((*init w*) Π *f* ∧ *fin w*) (*Suc k*))));((*init w*) Π *empty*)
   by (*meson OrChopEqv Prop11*)
 have *9*: ⊢ *empty* = (*power* ((*init w*) Π *f* ∧ *fin w*) *0*)
     by *simp*
 have *10*: ⊢ (*empty* ∨ (∃ *k*. (*power* ((*init w*) Π *f* ∧ *fin w*) (*Suc k*)))) =
         ((*power* ((*init w*) Π *f* ∧ *fin w*) *0*) ∨ (∃ *k*. (*power* ((*init w*) Π *f* ∧ *fin w*) (*Suc k*))))
  by *simp*
 have *11*: ⊢ ((*power* ((*init w*) Π *f* ∧ *fin w*) *0*) ∨ (∃ *k*. (*power* ((*init w*) Π *f* ∧ *fin w*) (*Suc k*)))) =
        (∃ *k*. (*power* ((*init w*) Π *f* ∧ *fin w*) *k*))
   using *exists-expand*[*of w f*] **by** *fastforce*
 have *12*: ⊢ ((*init w*) Π *empty* ∨
         (∃ *k*. (*power* ((*init w*) Π *f* ∧ *fin w*) (*Suc k*));((*init w*) Π *empty*))) =
        (∃ *k*. (*power* ((*init w*) Π *f* ∧ *fin w*) (*k*))); ((*init w*) Π *empty*)
  by (*metis 11 7 8 9 inteq-reflection*)
 have *13*: ⊢ (∃ *k*. (*power* ((*init w*) Π *f* ∧ *fin w*) (*k*))); ((*init w*) Π *empty*) =
        ((*init w*) Π *f* ∧ *fin w*)* ; ((*init w*) Π *empty*)
  by (*metis ChopstarEqvPowerstar LeftChopEqvChop inteq-reflection powerstar-d-def*)
 have *14*: ⊢ ((*init w*) Π *f* ∧ *fin w*)* ; ((*init w*) Π *empty*) =
        ((((*init w*) Π *empty*) ∨

$$(((init\ w)\ \Pi\ f \wedge fin\ w);((init\ w)\ \Pi\ f \wedge fin\ w)^\star);((init\ w)\ \Pi\ empty))$$
  using *CSChopEqvOrChopPlusChop* **by** *blast*

have *15*: $\vdash ((init\ w)\ \Pi\ empty) = (init\ (\neg\ w))\ \mathcal{U}\ (\ (init\ w) \wedge wnext\ (\Box\ (init\ (\neg\ w))))$
  by (*simp add*: *PiEmpty*)

have *16*: $\vdash (((init\ w)\ \Pi\ f \wedge fin\ w);((init\ w)\ \Pi\ f \wedge fin\ w)^\star);((init\ w)\ \Pi\ empty) =$
      $(((init\ w)\ \Pi\ f \wedge fin\ w);((init\ w)\ \Pi\ f \wedge fin\ w)^\star);\ wnext\ (\Box\ (init\ (\neg\ w)))$
  by (*metis* (*no-types*, *lifting*) *AndFinEqvChopAndEmpty ChopAssoc InitAndEmptyEqvAndEmpty*
      PiChopstarhelp2 StateAndEmptyChop StateAndPiEmpty inteq-reflection)

have *17*: $\vdash ((init\ w)\ \Pi\ f \wedge fin\ w) = ((init\ w)\ \Pi\ f);((init\ w) \wedge empty)$
  by (*metis AndFinEqvChopAndEmpty InitAndEmptyEqvAndEmpty inteq-reflection*)

have *18*: $\vdash ((init\ w)\ \Pi\ f) = wprev(\Box\ (init\ (\neg\ w)));((init\ w) \wedge (init\ w)\ \Pi\ f)$
  by (*simp add*: *WPrevPi*)

have *19*: $\vdash wprev(\Box\ (init\ (\neg\ w)));((init\ w) \wedge (init\ w)\ \Pi\ f) =$
      $wprev(\Box\ (init\ (\neg\ w)));(((init\ w) \wedge empty)\ ;\ ((init\ w)\ \Pi\ f))$
  by (*metis RightChopEqvChop StateAndEmptyChop inteq-reflection*)

have *20*: $\vdash wprev(\Box\ (init\ (\neg\ w)));(((init\ w) \wedge empty)\ ;\ ((init\ w)\ \Pi\ f)) =$
      $(init\ (\neg\ w))\ \mathcal{U}\ (\ (init\ w) \wedge ((init\ w)\ \Pi\ f))$
  using *18 19 StatePiUntil* **by** *fastforce*

have *21*: $\vdash (((init\ w)\ \Pi\ f \wedge fin\ w);((init\ w)\ \Pi\ f \wedge fin\ w)^\star);\ wnext\ (\Box\ (init\ (\neg\ w))) =$
      $(init\ (\neg\ w))\ \mathcal{U}\ ($
            $(init\ w) \wedge$
            $((((init\ w)\ \Pi\ f) \wedge fin\ w);((init\ w)\ \Pi\ f \wedge fin\ w)^\star);wnext\ (\Box\ (init\ (\neg\ w)))$
                $)$
  by (*metis 17 18 19 20 StateAndChop UntilChopDist inteq-reflection*)

have *22*: $\vdash ((init\ (\neg\ w))\ \mathcal{U}\ (\ (init\ w) \wedge wnext\ (\Box\ (init\ (\neg\ w))))) \vee$
      $(init\ (\neg\ w))\ \mathcal{U}\ ($
            $(init\ w) \wedge$
            $((((init\ w)\ \Pi\ f) \wedge fin\ w);((init\ w)\ \Pi\ f \wedge fin\ w)^\star);wnext\ (\Box\ (init\ (\neg\ w)))$
                $)\ ) =$
      $(init\ (\neg\ w))\ \mathcal{U}\ ($
    $((init\ w) \wedge wnext\ (\Box\ (init\ (\neg\ w)))) \vee$
    $(\ (init\ w) \wedge ((((init\ w)\ \Pi\ f) \wedge fin\ w);((init\ w)\ \Pi\ f \wedge fin\ w)^\star);wnext\ (\Box\ (init\ (\neg\ w))))$
                $)$
    using *UntilOrDist* **by** *fastforce*

have *23*: $\vdash ($
    $((init\ w) \wedge wnext\ (\Box\ (init\ (\neg\ w)))) \vee$
    $(\ (init\ w) \wedge ((((init\ w)\ \Pi\ f) \wedge fin\ w);((init\ w)\ \Pi\ f \wedge fin\ w)^\star);wnext\ (\Box\ (init\ (\neg\ w))))$
        $) =$
      $(\ (init\ w) \wedge (((init\ w)\ \Pi\ f) \wedge fin\ w)^\star;wnext(\Box\ (init\ (\neg\ w))))$
  by (*metis* (*no-types*, *lifting*) *CSChopEqvOrChopPlusChop ChopOrEqv StateAndEmptyChop*
    inteq-reflection)

have *24*: $\vdash (init\ w)\ \Pi\ (f^\star) = (\ (init\ w)\ \Pi\ empty \vee (\exists\ k.\ (init\ w)\ \Pi\ (power\ f\ (Suc\ k))))$
  using *1 2 3* **by** *fastforce*

have *25*: $\vdash (\ (init\ w)\ \Pi\ empty \vee (\exists\ k.\ (init\ w)\ \Pi\ (power\ f\ (Suc\ k)))) =$
      $(\ (init\ w)\ \Pi\ empty \vee (\exists\ k.\ (power\ ((init\ w)\ \Pi\ f \wedge fin\ w)\ (Suc\ k));((init\ w)\ \Pi\ empty)))$
  using *4* **by** *fastforce*

have *26*: $\vdash (\ (init\ w)\ \Pi\ empty \vee$
          $(\exists\ k.\ (power\ ((init\ w)\ \Pi\ f \wedge fin\ w)\ (Suc\ k));((init\ w)\ \Pi\ empty))) =$
      $((init\ w)\ \Pi\ f \wedge fin\ w)^\star\ ;\ ((init\ w)\ \Pi\ empty)$
  using *12 13* **by** *fastforce*

have *27*:⊢ ((*init w*) ⊓ *f* ∧ *fin w*)* ; ((*init w*) ⊓ *empty*) =
        (*init* (¬ *w*)) $\mathcal{U}$ ((*init w*) ∧ (((*init w*) ⊓ *f*) ∧ *fin w*)*;*wnext*(□ (*init* (¬ *w*))))
  by (*metis 14 15 16 21 22 23 inteq-reflection*)
from *24 25 26 27* **show** *?thesis* **by** *fastforce*
qed

end

23   Interval Temporal Algebra

theory *ITA*
imports *Fuse Semantics TimeReversal*
begin

23.1   Definition of Set of intervals and Operations on them

type-synonym *'a intervals* = *'a interval set*

definition *lan*:: (*'a*:: *world*) *formula* ⇒ *'a intervals*
where *lan f* = { σ . (σ ⊨ *f*) }

definition *fusion* :: *'a intervals* ⇒ *'a intervals* ⇒ *'a intervals* (**infixl** · *70*)
where *X·Y* = {*fuse σ1 σ2*| *σ1 σ2*. *σ1* ∈ *X* ∧ *σ2* ∈ *Y* ∧ *intlast σ1* = *intfirst σ2*}

definition *reverse* :: *'a intervals* ⇒ *'a intervals* ((*SRev* -) [*85*] *85*)
where (*SRev X*) = {*intrev σ* |σ. σ ∈ *X* }

definition *sempty* :: *'a intervals* (*SEmpty*)
where
  SEmpty ≡ *range St*

definition *smore* :: *'a intervals* (*SMore*)
where
  SMore ≡ − *SEmpty*

definition *sskip* :: *'a intervals* (*SSkip*)
where
  SSkip ≡ −(*SEmpty* ∪ (*SMore·SMore*))

definition *sfalse* :: *'a intervals* (*SFalse*)
where
  SFalse ≡ {}

definition *strue* :: *'a intervals* (*STrue*)
where
  STrue ≡ −{}

definition *sinit* :: *'a intervals* ⇒ *'a intervals* ((*SInit* -) [85] 85)
where
  SInit X ≡ (*X* ∩ *SEmpty*)·*STrue*

definition *sfin* :: *'a intervals* ⇒ *'a intervals* ((*SFin* -) [85] 85)
where
  SFin X ≡ *STrue*·(*X* ∩ *SEmpty*)

definition *ssometime* :: *'a intervals* ⇒ *'a intervals* ((*SSometime* -) [85] 85)
where
  SSometime X ≡ *STrue*·*X*

definition *salways* :: *'a intervals* ⇒ *'a intervals* ((*SAlways* -) [85] 85)
where
  SAlways X ≡ −(*SSometime* (−*X*))

definition *sdi* :: *'a intervals* ⇒ *'a intervals* ((*SDi* -) [85] 85)
where
  SDi X ≡ *X*·*STrue*

definition *sbi* :: *'a intervals* ⇒ *'a intervals* ((*SBi* -) [85] 85)
where
  SBi X ≡ −(*SDi* (−*X*))

definition *sda* :: *'a intervals* ⇒ *'a intervals* ((*SDa* -) [85] 85)
where
  SDa X ≡ *STrue*·*X*·*STrue*

definition *sba* :: *'a intervals* ⇒ *'a intervals* ((*SBa* -) [85] 85)
where
  SBa X ≡ −(*SDa* (−*X*))

definition *snext* :: *'a intervals* ⇒ *'a intervals* ((*SNext* -) [85] 85)
where
  SNext X ≡ *SSkip*·*X*

definition *swnext* :: *'a intervals* ⇒ *'a intervals* ((*SWnext* -) [85] 85)
where
  SWnext X ≡ (−(*SSkip*·(−*X*)))

definition *sprev* :: *'a intervals* ⇒ *'a intervals* ((*SPrev* -) [85] 85)
where
  SPrev X ≡ *X*·*SSkip*

definition *swprev* :: *'a intervals* ⇒ *'a intervals* ((*SWprev* -) [85] 85)
where

SWprev X ≡ (−((−X)·SSkip))

primrec *spower* :: *'a intervals ⇒ nat ⇒ 'a intervals ((SPower - -) [88,88] 87)*
where
  pwr-0 : SPower X 0   = SEmpty
| pwr-Suc: SPower X (Suc n) = ((X ∩ SMore)·(SPower X n))

definition *sstar* :: *'a intervals ⇒ 'a intervals ((SStar -) [85] 85)*
where
  SStar X ≡ (⋃ n. SPower X n)

23.2   Simplification Lemmas

lemma *snot-elim* :
 x ∈ −X ⟷ x ∉ X
by *simp*

lemma *sor-elim* :
 x∈ (X ∪ Y) ⟷ (x ∈ X ∨ x∈Y)
by *simp*

lemma *sand-elim* :
  x∈ (X ∩ Y) ⟷ (x ∈ X ∧ x∈Y)
by *simp*

lemma *sfalse-elim* :
 σ ∉ SFalse
by (*simp add*: *sfalse-def*)

lemma *strue-elim* :
 σ ∈ STrue
by (*simp add*: *strue-def*)

lemma *sempty-elim* :
    σ ∈ SEmpty ⟷ intlen σ = 0
by (*simp add*: *image-iff interval-st-intlen sempty-def*)

lemma *smore-elim* :
    σ ∈ SMore ⟷ intlen σ > 0
by (*simp add*: *sempty-elim smore-def*)

lemma *fusion-iff* :
    σ ∈ X·Y ⟷ (∃σ1 σ2. σ = fuse σ1 σ2 ∧ σ1 ∈ X ∧ σ2 ∈ Y ∧ intlast σ1 = intfirst σ2)
by (*unfold fusion-def*) *auto*

lemma *fusion-iff-1* :
    σ ∈ X·Y ⟷ (∃ i≤ intlen σ. (prefix i σ)∈X ∧ (suffix i σ)∈Y)
by (*metis fusion-iff interval-fuse-intlen interval-fuse-prefix-suffix interval-intlast-intfirst*
   interval-prefix-fuse interval-suffix-fuse le-add1)

lemma *smore-fusion-smore* :
  $\sigma \in (SMore \cdot SMore) \longleftrightarrow intlen\ \sigma > 1$
using *fusion-iff-1*
by (*metis interval-prefix-length-good interval-suffix-length-good less-one*
        not-less not-less-iff-gr-or-eq smore-elim zero-less-diff)

lemma *sskip-elim* :
   $\sigma \in SSkip \longleftrightarrow intlen\ \sigma = 1$
using *sskip-def smore-fusion-smore*
by (*metis One-nat-def Suc-lessI Un-iff less-numeral-extra*(*4*) *sempty-elim smore-def smore-elim*
        snot-elim zero-neq-one)

lemma *spower-elim-zero* :
   $\sigma \in SPower\ X\ 0 \longleftrightarrow \sigma \in SEmpty$
by *simp*

lemma *spower-elim-suc* :
   $\sigma \in SPower\ X\ (Suc\ n) \longleftrightarrow \sigma \in (X \cap SMore) \cdot (SPower\ X\ n)$
by *simp*

lemma *spower-elim-suc-1* :
   $\sigma \in (X \cap SMore) \cdot (SPower\ X\ n) \longleftrightarrow$
   $(\exists\ \sigma 1\ \sigma 2.\ \sigma = fuse\ \sigma 1\ \sigma 2 \wedge \sigma 1 \in X \wedge intlen\ \sigma 1 > 0 \wedge \sigma 2 \in (SPower\ X\ n) \wedge$
        $intlast\ \sigma 1 = intfirst\ \sigma 2)$
by (*meson IntD1 IntD2 IntI smore-elim fusion-iff*)

lemma *sstar-elim* :
   $\sigma \in SStar\ X \longleftrightarrow (\exists\ n.\ \sigma \in SPower\ X\ n)$
by (*simp add*: *sstar-def*)

lemma *sstar-elim-1* :
   $(\exists\ n\ .\ \sigma \in SPower\ X\ n) \longleftrightarrow$
   $(\ \sigma \in SPower\ X\ 0 \vee (\exists\ n.\ \sigma \in SPower\ X\ (Suc\ n)))$
by (*metis not0-implies-Suc*)

lemma *spower-suc* :
   $(\exists\ n.\ \sigma \in SPower\ X\ (Suc\ n)) \longleftrightarrow$
   $(\exists\ n.\ \sigma \in (X \cap SMore) \cdot (SPower\ X\ n))$
by *simp*

lemma *spower-suc-1* :
   $(\exists\ n.\ \sigma \in (X \cap SMore) \cdot (SPower\ X\ n)) \longleftrightarrow$
   $\sigma \in (X \cap SMore) \cdot (SStar\ X)$
by (*metis fusion-iff sstar-elim*)

lemma *sstar-eqv* :
   $\sigma \in SStar\ X \longleftrightarrow$
   $(\ \sigma \in SEmpty \vee \sigma \in (X \cap SMore) \cdot (SStar\ X))$
by (*metis spower.simps*(*1*) *spower-elim-suc spower-suc-1 sstar-elim sstar-elim-1*)

lemma *spower-sskip-elim* :
 ($\sigma \in$ *SPower SSkip n*) $\longleftrightarrow$ *intlen* $\sigma = n$
proof (*induct n arbitrary*: σ)
case *0*
then show *?case* **by** (*auto simp add*: *sempty-elim*)
next
case (*Suc n*)
then show *?case*
  proof *auto*
  show ($\bigwedge\sigma$. ($\sigma \in$ *SPower SSkip n*) = (*intlen* $\sigma = n$)) $\Longrightarrow$
      $\sigma \in$ *SSkip* $\cap$ *SMore* $\cdot$ *SPower SSkip n* $\Longrightarrow$ *intlen* $\sigma =$ *Suc n*
   by (*metis Suc.hyps interval-fuse-intlen plus-1-eq-Suc spower-elim-suc-1 sskip-elim*)
  show ($\bigwedge\sigma$. ($\sigma \in$ *SPower SSkip n*) = (*intlen* $\sigma = n$)) $\Longrightarrow$
      intlen $\sigma =$ *Suc n* $\Longrightarrow$ $\sigma \in$ *SSkip* $\cap$ *SMore* $\cdot$ *SPower SSkip n*
  by (*metis Suc.hyps Compl-Un Int-commute add-diff-cancel-left' fusion-iff-1 inf-sup-aci*(*4*)
    interval-prefix-length-good interval-suffix-length-good le-add1 plus-1-eq-Suc smore-def
    sskip-def sskip-elim)
  qed
qed

lemma *srev-elim*:
 $\sigma \in$ (*SRev X*) $\longleftrightarrow$ *intrev* $\sigma \in X$
using *interval-rev-swap* **by** (*auto simp add*: *reverse-def*)

23.3 Algebraic Laws

23.3.1 Commutative Additive Monoid

lemma *UnionCommute*:
 (*X*::'*a intervals*) $\cup$ *Y* = *Y* $\cup$ *X*
by (*simp add*: *Un-commute*)

lemma *UnionSFalse*:
 X $\cup$ *SFalse* = *X*
by (*simp add*: *sfalse-def*)

lemma *UnionAssoc*:
 (*X*::'*a intervals*) $\cup$ (*Y* $\cup$ *Z*) = (*X* $\cup$ *Y*) $\cup$ *Z*
by (*simp add*: *sup-assoc*)

23.3.2 Boolean algebra

lemma *Huntington*:
 (*X*::'*a intervals*) = $-(-X \cup -Y) \cup -(-X \cup Y)$
by *auto*

lemma *Morgan*:
 (*X*::'*a intervals*) $\cap$ *Y* = $-(-X \cup -Y)$
by *auto*

— identities

lemma *STrueTop*:
  STrue = $X \cup -X$
by (*simp add*: *strue-def*)

lemma *SFalseBottom*:
  SFalse = $X \cap -X$
by (*simp add*: *sfalse-def*)

23.3.3   multiplicative monoid

lemma *FusionSEmptyL* :
   SEmpty · $X = X$
using *fusion-iff-1 set-eqI*[*of SEmpty·X X*]
by (*metis interval-intlen-gr-zero interval-prefix-length-good interval-suffix-zero sempty-elim*)

lemma *FusionSEmptyR* :
   X · *SEmpty* = X
using *fusion-iff-1 set-eqI*[*of X·SEmpty X*]
proof *auto*
 show $\bigwedge x.$ ($\bigwedge \sigma$ X Y. ($\sigma \in X$ · Y) = ($\exists\, i \leq intlen\ \sigma$. *prefix* i $\sigma \in X \wedge$ *suffix* i $\sigma \in Y$)) $\Longrightarrow$
      (($\bigwedge x.$ ($x \in X$ · *SEmpty*) = ($x \in X$)) $\Longrightarrow X$ · *SEmpty* = X) $\Longrightarrow x \in X$ · *SEmpty* $\Longrightarrow x \in X$
 by (*metis diff-diff-cancel diff-zero fusion-iff-1 interval-prefix-intlen*
      interval-suffix-length-good sempty-elim)
  show $\bigwedge x.$ ($\bigwedge \sigma$ X Y. ($\sigma \in X$ · Y) = ($\exists\, i \leq intlen\ \sigma$. *prefix* i $\sigma \in X \wedge$ *suffix* i $\sigma \in Y$)) $\Longrightarrow$
      (($\bigwedge x.$ ($x \in X$ · *SEmpty*) = ($x \in X$)) $\Longrightarrow X$ · *SEmpty* = X) $\Longrightarrow x \in X \Longrightarrow x \in X$ · *SEmpty*
   using *sempty-elim fusion-iff-1* **by** *fastforce*
qed

lemma *FusionAssocA*:
assumes $x \in X$ · (Y · Z)
shows $x \in (X$ · $Y)$ · Z
proof −
 have 1: ($\exists\, \sigma 1\ \sigma 2.\ x =$ *fuse* $\sigma 1\ \sigma 2 \wedge \sigma 1 \in X \wedge \sigma 2 \in Y$ · $Z \wedge$ *intlast* $\sigma 1 =$ *intfirst* $\sigma 2$)
  using *assms fusion-iff*[*of x X Y* · Z] **by** *auto*
 obtain $\sigma 1\ \sigma 2$ **where** 2: $x =$ *fuse* $\sigma 1\ \sigma 2 \wedge \sigma 1 \in X \wedge \sigma 2 \in Y$ · $Z \wedge$ *intlast* $\sigma 1 =$ *intfirst* $\sigma 2$
  using *1* **by** *auto*
 have 3: ($\exists\, \sigma 3\ \sigma 4.\ \sigma 2 =$ *fuse* $\sigma 3\ \sigma 4 \wedge \sigma 3 \in Y \wedge \sigma 4 \in Z \wedge$ *intlast* $\sigma 3 =$ *intfirst* $\sigma 4$)
  using *2 fusion-iff*[*of σ2 Y Z*] **by** *auto*
 obtain $\sigma 3\ \sigma 4$ **where** 4: $\sigma 2 =$ *fuse* $\sigma 3\ \sigma 4 \wedge \sigma 3 \in Y \wedge \sigma 4 \in Z \wedge$ *intlast* $\sigma 3 =$ *intfirst* $\sigma 4$
  using *3* **by** *auto*
 have 5: $x =$ *fuse* $\sigma 1$ (*fuse* $\sigma 3\ \sigma 4$)
  using *2 4* **by** *auto*
 have 6: $x=$ *fuse* (*fuse* $\sigma 1\ \sigma 3$) $\sigma 4$
  using *5 2 4 interval-FusionAssoc interval-intfirst-fuse* **by** *fastforce*
 show *?thesis*
  by (*metis 2 4 6 fusion-iff interval-intfirst-fuse interval-intlast-fuse*)
qed

lemma *FusionAssocB*:
assumes $x \in (X \cdot Y) \cdot Z$
shows $x \in X \cdot (Y \cdot Z)$
proof $-$
 have *1*: $(\exists \sigma 1\ \sigma 2.\ x = \text{fuse } \sigma 1\ \sigma 2 \wedge \sigma 1 \in X \cdot Y \wedge \sigma 2 \in Z \wedge \text{intlast } \sigma 1 = \text{intfirst } \sigma 2)$
  using *assms fusion-iff* [*of x X·Y Z*] **by** *auto*
 obtain $\sigma 1\ \sigma 2$ **where** *2*: $x = \text{fuse } \sigma 1\ \sigma 2 \wedge \sigma 1 \in X \cdot Y \wedge \sigma 2 \in Z \wedge \text{intlast } \sigma 1 = \text{intfirst } \sigma 2$
  using *1* **by** *auto*
 have *3*: $(\exists \sigma 3\ \sigma 4.\ \sigma 1 = \text{fuse } \sigma 3\ \sigma 4 \wedge \sigma 3 \in X \wedge \sigma 4 \in Y \wedge \text{intlast } \sigma 3 = \text{intfirst } \sigma 4)$
  using *2 fusion-iff* [*of $\sigma 1$ X Y*] **by** *auto*
 obtain $\sigma 3\ \sigma 4$ **where** *4*: $\sigma 1 = \text{fuse } \sigma 3\ \sigma 4 \wedge \sigma 3 \in X \wedge \sigma 4 \in Y \wedge \text{intlast } \sigma 3 = \text{intfirst } \sigma 4$
  using *3* **by** *auto*
 have *5*: $x = \text{fuse } (\text{fuse } \sigma 3\ \sigma 4)\ \sigma 2$
   using *2 4* **by** *auto*
 have *6*: $x = \text{fuse } \sigma 3\ (\text{fuse } \sigma 4\ \sigma 2)$
  using *2 4 interval-FusionAssoc interval-intlast-fuse* **by** *force*
 show *?thesis*
 by (*metis 2 4 6 fusion-iff interval-intfirst-fuse interval-intlast-fuse*)
qed

lemma *FusionAssoc* :
 $X \cdot (Y \cdot Z) = (X \cdot Y) \cdot Z$
using *set-eqI* [*of X·(Y·Z) (X·Y)·Z*]
FusionAssocA FusionAssocB **by** *blast*

— left and right distributivity

lemma *FusionUnionDistL*:
 $(X \cup Y) \cdot Z = (X \cdot Z) \cup (Y \cdot Z)$
using *fusion-iff set-eqI* [*of $(X \cup Y) \cdot Z$ $(X \cdot Z) \cup (Y \cdot Z)$*]
by (*metis (no-types, lifting) sor-elim*)

lemma *FusionUnionDistR*:
 $X \cdot (Y \cup Z) = (X \cdot Y) \cup (X \cdot Z)$
using *fusion-iff set-eqI* [*of $X \cdot (Y \cup Z)$ $(X \cdot Y) \cup (X \cdot Z)$*]
by (*metis (no-types, lifting) sor-elim*)

— left and right annihilation
lemma *SFalseFusion*:
 $SFalse \cdot X = SFalse$
by (*simp add: fusion-def sfalse-def*)

lemma *FusionSFalse*:
 $X \cdot SFalse = SFalse$
by (*simp add: fusion-def sfalse-def*)

— idempotency
lemma *UnionIdem*:
 $(X::'a\ intervals) \cup X = X$

by *simp*

23.3.4 Subsumption order

lemma *Subsumption*:
$((X :: 'a\ intervals) \subseteq Y) = (X \cup Y = Y)$
by *auto*

23.3.5 Helper lemmas

lemma *FusionRuleR*:
 assumes $X \subseteq Y$
 shows $Z \cdot X \subseteq Z \cdot Y$
using *assms FusionUnionDistR* **by** (*metis Subsumption*)

lemma *FusionRuleL*:
 assumes $X \subseteq Y$
 shows $X \cdot Z \subseteq Y \cdot Z$
using *assms* **by** (*metis FusionUnionDistL subset-Un-eq*)

lemma *spower-commutes*:
 $(X \cap SMore) \cdot (SPower\ X\ n) = (SPower\ X\ n) \cdot (X \cap SMore)$
proof (*induct n*)
case *0*
then show *?case* **by** (*simp add*: *FusionSEmptyL FusionSEmptyR*)
next
case (*Suc n*)
then show *?case* **by** (*simp add*: *FusionAssoc*)
qed

lemma *fusion-inductl*:
 assumes $Y \cup X \cdot Z \subseteq Z$
 shows $(SPower\ X\ n) \cdot Y \subseteq Z$
using *assms*
proof (*induct n*)
case *0*
then show *?case* **by** (*simp add*: *FusionSEmptyL*)
next
case (*Suc n*)
then show *?case*
proof $-$
have *f1*: $X \cdot (SPower\ X\ n \cdot Y) \cup X \cdot Z = X \cdot Z$
by (*metis FusionUnionDistR Suc.hyps assms subset-Un-eq*)
have $X \cdot SPower\ X\ n \cdot Y \cup X \cap SMore \cdot SPower\ X\ n \cdot Y = X \cdot (SPower\ X\ n \cdot Y)$
by (*metis* (*no-types*) *FusionAssoc FusionUnionDistL sup-inf-absorb*)
then have $SPower\ X\ (Suc\ n) \cdot Y \cup Z = X \cdot Z \cup (Y \cup Z)$
using *f1 assms* **by** *auto*
then show *?thesis*
using *assms* **by** *auto*
qed
qed

lemma *fusion-inductr*:
assumes $Y \cup Z \cdot X \subseteq Z$
 shows $Y \cdot (SPower\ X\ n) \subseteq Z$
using *assms*
proof (*induct n*)
case *0*
then show *?case* **by** (*simp add*: *FusionSEmptyR*)
next
case (*Suc n*)
then show *?case*
proof $-$
have *f1*: $Y \cdot SPower\ X\ n \cup Z = Z$
using *Suc.hyps assms* **by** *blast*
have $Y \cdot (X \cap SMore) \cdot SPower\ X\ n = Y \cdot (SPower\ X\ n \cdot (X \cap SMore))$
by (*metis* (*no-types*) *FusionAssoc spower-commutes*)
then have $Y \cdot (X \cap SMore) \cdot SPower\ X\ n \subseteq Z$
using *f1* **by** (*metis* (*no-types*) *FusionAssoc FusionUnionDistL FusionUnionDistR Un-subset-iff*
 assms sup-inf-absorb)
then show *?thesis*
by (*simp add*: *FusionAssoc*)
qed
qed

lemma *sstar-contlA*:
 assumes $x \in Y \cdot (SStar\ X)$
 shows $x \in (\bigcup n.\ Y \cdot (SPower\ X\ n))$
proof $-$
 have *1*: $(\exists \sigma 1\ \sigma 2.\ x = fuse\ \sigma 1\ \sigma 2 \wedge \sigma 1 \in Y \wedge \sigma 2 \in (SStar\ X) \wedge intlast\ \sigma 1 = intfirst\ \sigma 2)$
  using *assms* **by** (*simp add*: *fusion-iff*)
 obtain $\sigma 1\ \sigma 2$ **where** *2*: $x = fuse\ \sigma 1\ \sigma 2 \wedge \sigma 1 \in Y \wedge \sigma 2 \in (SStar\ X) \wedge intlast\ \sigma 1 = intfirst\ \sigma 2$
  using *1* **by** *auto*
 have *3*: $(\exists\ n.\ \sigma 2 \in SPower\ X\ n)$
  using *2 sstar-elim* **by** *blast*
 obtain *n* **where** *4*: $\sigma 2 \in SPower\ X\ n$
  using *3* **by** *auto*
 have *5*: $(\exists\ n.\ x \in Y \cdot SPower\ X\ n)$
   using *2 4 fusion-iff* **by** *blast*
 from *5* **show** *?thesis* **by** *blast*
qed

lemma *sstar-contlB*:
 assumes $x \in (\bigcup n.\ Y \cdot (SPower\ X\ n))$
 shows $x \in Y \cdot (SStar\ X)$
proof $-$
 have *1*: $\exists n.\ x \in Y \cdot (SPower\ X\ n)$
  using *assms* **by** *blast*
 obtain *n* **where** *2*: $x \in Y \cdot (SPower\ X\ n)$
  using *1* **by** *auto*
 have *3*: $(\exists \sigma 1\ \sigma 2.\ x = fuse\ \sigma 1\ \sigma 2 \wedge \sigma 1 \in Y \wedge \sigma 2 \in (SPower\ X\ n) \wedge intlast\ \sigma 1 = intfirst\ \sigma 2)$

using *2* **by** (*simp add*: *fusion-iff*)
 obtain $\sigma1\ \sigma2$ **where** *4*: $x = fuse\ \sigma1\ \sigma2 \wedge \sigma1 \in Y \wedge \sigma2 \in (SPower\ X\ n) \wedge intlast\ \sigma1 = intfirst\ \sigma2$
   using *3* **by** *auto*
  have *5*: $\sigma2 \in (SStar\ X)$
   using *4 sstar-elim* **by** *auto*
  from *5 4* **show** *?thesis* **using** *fusion-iff* **by** *blast*
 qed

 lemma *sstar-contl*:
   $Y \cdot (SStar\ X) = (\bigcup n.\ Y \cdot (SPower\ X\ n))$
 using *set-eqI*[*of* $Y \cdot (SStar\ X)$ $(\bigcup n.\ Y \cdot (SPower\ X\ n))$]
 by (*metis sstar-contlA sstar-contlB*)

 lemma *sstar-contrA*:
 assumes $x \in (SStar\ X) \cdot Y$
  shows $x \in (\bigcup n.\ (SPower\ X\ n) \cdot Y)$
 proof $-$
  have *1*: $(\exists \sigma1\ \sigma2.\ x = fuse\ \sigma1\ \sigma2 \wedge \sigma1 \in (SStar\ X)\ \wedge \sigma2 \in Y \wedge intlast\ \sigma1 = intfirst\ \sigma2)$
   using *assms* **by** (*simp add*: *fusion-iff*)
  obtain $\sigma1\ \sigma2$ **where** *2*: $x = fuse\ \sigma1\ \sigma2 \wedge \sigma1 \in (SStar\ X)\ \wedge \sigma2 \in Y \wedge intlast\ \sigma1 = intfirst\ \sigma2$
  using *1* **by** *auto*
  have *3*: $\exists n.\ \sigma1 \in (SPower\ X\ n)$
   using *2 sstar-elim* **by** *blast*
  obtain n **where** *4*: $\sigma1 \in (SPower\ X\ n)$
   using *3* **by** *auto*
  have *5*: $(\exists\ n.\ x \in (SPower\ X\ n) \cdot Y)$
  by (*metis 2 4 fusion-iff-1 interval-fuse-intlen interval-prefix-fuse interval-suffix-fuse*
      le-add1)
  from *5* **show** *?thesis* **by** *blast*
 qed

 lemma *sstar-contrB*:
 assumes $x \in (\bigcup n.\ (SPower\ X\ n) \cdot Y)$
  shows $x \in (SStar\ X) \cdot Y$
 proof $-$
  have *1*: $\exists n.\ x \in (SPower\ X\ n) \cdot Y$
   using *assms* **by** *blast*
  obtain n **where** *2*: $x \in (SPower\ X\ n) \cdot Y$
  using *1* **by** *auto*
  have *3*: $(\exists \sigma1\ \sigma2.\ x = fuse\ \sigma1\ \sigma2 \wedge \sigma1 \in (SPower\ X\ n) \wedge \sigma2 \in Y\ \wedge intlast\ \sigma1 = intfirst\ \sigma2)$
  using *2* **by** (*simp add*: *fusion-iff*)
  obtain $\sigma1\ \sigma2$ **where** *4*: $x = fuse\ \sigma1\ \sigma2 \wedge \sigma1 \in (SPower\ X\ n) \wedge \sigma2 \in Y \wedge intlast\ \sigma1 = intfirst\ \sigma2$
   using *3* **by** *auto*
  have *5*: $\sigma1 \in (SStar\ X)$
   using *4 sstar-elim* **by** *auto*
  from *5 4* **show** *?thesis* **using** *fusion-iff* **by** *blast*
 qed

lemma *sstar-contr*:
   $(SStar\ X)\cdot Y = (\bigcup n.\ (SPower\ X\ n)\cdot Y)$
using *set-eqI*[*of* $(SStar\ X)\cdot Y$ $(\bigcup n.\ (SPower\ X\ n)\cdot Y)$]
by (*metis sstar-contrA sstar-contrB*)

23.3.6   Kleene Algebra

— left unfold
lemma *UnfoldL*:
 $SEmpty \cup X\cdot(SStar\ X) = (SStar\ X)$
proof −
 have *1*: $(SStar\ X) = SEmpty \cup (X \cap SMore)\cdot(SStar\ X)$
    by (*meson Un-iff set-eqI sstar-eqv*)
 have *2*: $(X \cap SMore)\cdot(SStar\ X) \subseteq X\cdot(SStar\ X)$
    by (*simp add*: *FusionRuleL*)
 have *3*: $(SStar\ X) \subseteq SEmpty \cup X\cdot(SStar\ X)$
    using *1 2* **by** *blast*
 have *4*: $SEmpty \subseteq (SStar\ X)$
    using *1* **by** *auto*
 have *5*: $X \subseteq SEmpty \cup (X \cap SMore)$
    by (*simp add*: *Un-Int-distrib smore-def*)
 have *6*: $X\cdot(SStar\ X) \subseteq (SStar\ X) \cup (X \cap SMore)\cdot(SStar\ X)$
    using *5* **by** (*metis FusionRuleL FusionUnionDistL FusionSEmptyL*)
 have *7*: $(SStar\ X) \subseteq SEmpty \cup (X \cap SMore)\cdot(SStar\ X)$
    using *1* **by** *auto*
 have *8*: $X\cdot(SStar\ X) \subseteq SEmpty \cup (X \cap SMore)\cdot(SStar\ X)$
    using *6 7* **by** *blast*
 hence *9*: $X\cdot(SStar\ X) \subseteq (SStar\ X)$
    using *1* **by** *auto*
 have *10*: $SEmpty \cup X\cdot(SStar\ X) \subseteq (SStar\ X)$
    using *9 4* **by** *simp*
 from *3 10* **show** *?thesis* **by** *auto*
qed

— Left induction
lemma *SStarInductL*:
 assumes $Y \cup X\cdot Z \subseteq Z$
 shows $(SStar\ X)\cdot Y \subseteq Z$
by (*metis UN-least assms fusion-inductl sstar-contr*)

— Right induction
lemma *SStarInductR*:
 assumes $Y \cup Z\cdot X \subseteq Z$
 shows $Y\cdot(SStar\ X) \subseteq Z$
using *sstar-contl assms fusion-inductr* **by** *blast*

23.3.7   ITL specific Laws

lemma *PwrFusionInterL*:
 $(((((SPower\ SSkip\ n) \cap X)\cdot V) \cap (((SPower\ SSkip\ n) \cap Y)\cdot W)) =$

$((((SPower\ SSkip\ n) \cap X \cap Y) \cdot (V \cap W))$
using *set-eqI*[*of* $(((((SPower\ SSkip\ n) \cap X) \cdot V) \cap (((SPower\ SSkip\ n) \cap Y) \cdot W))$
$\qquad (((SPower\ SSkip\ n) \cap X \cap Y) \cdot (V \cap W))$]
by (*simp add*: *fusion-iff-1 spower-sskip-elim*)
  (*metis min.absorb1*)

lemma *PwrFusionInterR*:
 $((V \cdot ((SPower\ SSkip\ n) \cap X)) \cap ((W \cdot ((SPower\ SSkip\ n) \cap Y)))) =$
  $((V \cap W) \cdot ((SPower\ SSkip\ n) \cap X \cap Y))$
using *set-eqI*[*of* $((V \cdot ((SPower\ SSkip\ n) \cap X)) \cap ((W \cdot ((SPower\ SSkip\ n) \cap Y))))$
$\qquad ((V \cap W) \cdot ((SPower\ SSkip\ n) \cap X \cap Y))$]
by (*simp add*: *fusion-iff-1 spower-sskip-elim*)
  (*metis diff-diff-cancel*)

lemma *SSkipFusionImpSMore*:
  $SSkip \cdot STrue \subseteq SMore$
using *subsetI*[*of* $SSkip \cdot STrue\ SMore$]
by (*auto simp add*: *fusion-iff-1 sskip-elim smore-elim strue-elim*)

lemma *SStarSkip*:
  $(SStar\ SSkip) = STrue$
using *set-eqI*[*of* $(SStar\ SSkip)\ STrue$]
by (*simp add*: *strue-def spower-sskip-elim sstar-elim*)

23.4   Derived Laws

23.4.1   Helper Lemmas

lemma *B01*:
 assumes $(X:: {}'a\ intervals) \subseteq Y$
 shows $-Y \subseteq -X$
using *assms* **by** *auto*

lemma *B04*:
  $((X:: {}'a\ intervals) = Y\ ) \longleftrightarrow (X \subseteq Y) \wedge (Y \subseteq X)$
by *auto*

lemma *B09*:
 assumes $-X \cup Y = STrue$
 shows $(X:: {}'a\ intervals) \subseteq Y$
using *assms* **using** *strue-def* **by** *auto*

lemma *B20*:
 $(X:: {}'a\ intervals) \subseteq Y \cup Z \longleftrightarrow X \cap -Y \subseteq Z$
by *auto*

lemma *B28*:
  $((X:: {}'a\ intervals) \cap Y) \cup (X \cap Z) = X \cap (Y \cup Z)$
by *auto*

lemma *CH01*:
 STrue·STrue = *STrue*
by (*metis FusionSEmptyR FusionUnionDistR Int-commute SStarSkip STrueTop UnfoldL inf-sup-absorb*)

lemma *CH07*:
 (((*SSkip* ∩ *X*)·*V*) ∩ ((*SSkip* ∩ *Y*)·*W*)) = ((*SSkip* ∩ *X* ∩ *Y*)·(*V* ∩ *W*))
using *PwrFusionInterL*[*of 1 X V Y W*]
by (*simp add*: *FusionSEmptyR inf-commute smore-def sskip-def*)

lemma *CH08*:
 ((*V*·(*SSkip* ∩ *X*)) ∩ ((*W*·(*SSkip* ∩ *Y*)))) = ((*V* ∩ *W*)·(*SSkip* ∩ *X* ∩ *Y*))
using *PwrFusionInterR*[*of V 1 X W Y*]
by (*simp add*: *FusionSEmptyR inf-commute smore-def sskip-def*)

lemma *CH09*:
 (((*X* ∩ *SEmpty*)·*V*) ∩ ((*Y* ∩ *SEmpty*)·*W*)) = (((*X* ∩ *Y*) ∩ *SEmpty*)·(*V* ∩ *W*))
using *PwrFusionInterL*[*of 0 X V Y W*]
by (*metis* (*no-types, lifting*) *inf-assoc inf-commute pwr-0*)

lemma *CH10*:
 ((*V*·(*X* ∩ *SEmpty*)) ∩ ((*W*·(*Y* ∩ *SEmpty*)))) = ((*V* ∩ *W*)·((*X* ∩ *Y*) ∩ *SEmpty*))
using *PwrFusionInterR*[*of V 0 X W Y*]
by (*metis* (*no-types, lifting*) *inf-assoc inf-commute pwr-0*)

lemma *ST13*:
 ((*X* ∩ *SEmpty*)·*Z*) ∩ ((*Y* ∩ *SEmpty*)·*Z*) = ((*X* ∩ *Y*) ∩ *SEmpty*)·*Z*
by (*simp add*: *CH09*)

lemma *ST15*:
 (*SStar* (*X* ∩ *SEmpty*)) = *SEmpty*
by (*metis FusionSEmptyL inf .right-idem inf-le2 UnfoldL*
        SStarInductR sup.orderE sup-inf-absorb)

lemma *ST21*:
 ((−*X*) ∩ *SEmpty*) ∪ (*X* ∩ *SEmpty*) = *SEmpty*
by *blast*

lemma *ST24*:
 (*SInit X*) ∩ (*SInit Y*) = (*SInit* (*X* ∩ *Y*))
by (*simp add*: *ST13 sinit-def*)

lemma *ST25*:
 (*SInit STrue*) = *STrue*
by (*simp add*: *sinit-def strue-def FusionSEmptyL*)

lemma *ST26*:
 (*SInit* (−*X*)) ∪ (*SInit X*) = *STrue*
by (*metis Compl-disjoint2 ST21 ST25 Un-Int-distrib compl-bot-eq FusionUnionDistL*
        sinit-def strue-def sup-bot.right-neutral sup-top-right)

lemma *ST28*:
  $(SDi (SInit X)) = (SInit X)$
by (*metis compl-bot-eq FusionAssoc FusionUnionDistR FusionSEmptyR sdi-def
        sinit-def strue-def sup-top-right UnionCommute*)

lemma *ST33*:
  $(STrue \cap SEmpty) \cdot SEmpty = SEmpty$
by (*simp add*: *strue-def FusionSEmptyL*)

lemma *ST36*:
  $(SInit (-X)) \subseteq -(SInit X)$
by (*metis Compl-disjoint ST24 compl-bot-eq disjoint-eq-subset-Compl double-complement
        inf.coboundedI2 inf.orderE sfalse-def SFalseFusion sinit-def strue-def*)

lemma *ST37*:
  $-(SInit X) \subseteq (SInit (-X))$
using *B09 ST26* **by** *auto*

lemma *ST38*:
  $-(SInit X) = (SInit (-X))$
using *ST37 ST36* **by** *auto*

lemma *ST47*:
  $X \cup Y \cdot X = (SEmpty \cup Y) \cdot X$
by (*simp add*: *FusionUnionDistL FusionSEmptyL*)

lemma *SStar01*:
 assumes $X \cdot (SStar Y) \cup SEmpty \subseteq (SStar Y)$
 shows $(SStar X) \subseteq (SStar Y)$
using *assms*
by (*metis Un-commute FusionSEmptyR SStarInductL*)

lemma *SStar03*:
  $(SStar X) \cdot (SStar X) \subseteq (SStar X)$
by (*metis SStarInductL Un-absorb UnfoldL sup.absorb-iff1 sup.right-idem*)

lemma *SStar05*:
 assumes $((SStar X) \cdot (SStar X)) \cup SEmpty \subseteq (SStar X)$
 shows $(SStar (SStar X)) \subseteq (SStar X)$
using *assms*
by (*simp add*: *SStar01*)

lemma *SStar12*:
  $(SEmpty \cup (X \cdot (SStar X))) \subseteq (SStar X)$
using *UnfoldL* **by** *blast*

lemma *SStar06*:
 $((SStar X) \cdot (SStar X)) \cup SEmpty \subseteq (SStar X)$
using *SStar03 SStar12* **by** *force*

lemma *SStar07*:
  $(SStar\ X) \subseteq (SStar\ (SStar\ X))$
by (*metis FusionUnionDistR FusionSEmptyR Subsumption Un-commute UnfoldL ST47 sup.right-idem*)

lemma *SStar08*:
  $(SStar\ X) = (SStar\ (SStar\ X))$
by (*meson B04 SStar05 SStar06 SStar07*)

lemma *SStar15*:
  $SEmpty \subseteq (SStar\ SSkip)$
by (*simp add*: *SStarSkip strue-def*)

lemma *SStar16*:
  $SSkip \subseteq (SStar\ SSkip)$
by (*simp add*: *SStarSkip strue-def*)

lemma *SStar22*:
  $(SEmpty \cap X) \cdot (SStar\ (SEmpty \cap X)) = (SEmpty \cap X)$
by (*metis ST15 FusionSEmptyR inf-commute*)

lemma *SStar23*:
  $(SStar\ (SEmpty \cap X)) = SEmpty$
using *SStar22 UnfoldL* **by** *auto*

lemma *SStar25*:
  $(SStar\ STrue) = STrue$
by (*metis SStar08 SStarSkip*)

lemma *SStar28*:
  $(SStar\ X) \cdot X \subseteq X \cdot (SStar\ X)$
by (*metis B04 FusionUnionDistR FusionSEmptyR UnfoldL SStarInductL*)

lemma *SStar29*:
  $X \cdot (SStar\ X) \subseteq (SStar\ X) \cdot X$
by (*metis B04 SStar28 SStarInductR UnfoldL FusionRuleL ST47 sup.mono*)

lemma *SStar17*:
  $(SStar\ SSkip) \cdot SSkip \subseteq SSkip \cdot (SStar\ SSkip)$
by (*simp add*: *SStar28*)

lemma *SStar18*:
  $SSkip \cdot (SStar\ SSkip) \subseteq (SStar\ SSkip) \cdot SSkip$
by (*simp add*: *SStar29*)

lemma *SStar19*:
  $(SStar\ SSkip) \cdot SSkip = SSkip \cdot (SStar\ SSkip)$
using *SStar17 SStar18* **by** *auto*

lemma *SStar30*:
  $X \cdot (SStar\ X) = (SStar\ X) \cdot X$

using *SStar28 SStar29* **by** *auto*

lemma *SStar34*:
 assumes *SEmpty* ∪ (X ∪ Y)·((SStar X)·(SStar (Y·(SStar X)))) ⊆ (SStar X)·(SStar (Y·(SStar X)))
 shows (SStar (X ∪ Y)) ⊆ (SStar X)·(SStar (Y·(SStar X)))
by (*metis assms FusionSEmptyR SStarInductL*)

lemma *SStar35*:
 SEmpty ∪ (X ∪ Y)·((SStar X)·(SStar (Y·(SStar X)))) ⊆ (SStar X)·(SStar (Y·(SStar X)))
by (*simp add*: *FusionAssoc FusionUnionDistL ST47 UnfoldL UnionAssoc UnionCommute*)

lemma *SStar36*:
 (SStar (X ∪ Y)) ⊆ (SStar X)·(SStar (Y·(SStar X)))
using *SStar34 SStar35* **by** *blast*

lemma *SStar46*:
 (SStar X)·(SStar (Y·(SStar X))) ⊆ (SStar (X ∪ Y))
proof −
 have (SEmpty ∪ SStar (X ∪ Y) · Y) · SStar X ⊆ SStar (X ∪ Y)
 by (*metis* (*no-types*) *FusionUnionDistR SStar12 SStar30 SStarInductR sup.bounded-iff*)
 then show *?thesis* **by** (*simp add*: *SStarInductR ST47 FusionAssoc*)
qed

lemma *SStar47*:
 (SStar Z) = (SStar (Z ∩ SMore))
proof −
 have *1*: (SStar Z) = (SStar ((SEmpty ∩ Z) ∪ (SMore ∩ Z)))
    by (*metis Int-Un-distrib2 compl-bot-eq inf-top.left-neutral smore-def strue-def STrueTop*)
 have *2*: (SStar ((SEmpty ∩ Z) ∪ (SMore ∩ Z))) =
        (SStar (SEmpty ∩ Z))·(SStar ((SMore ∩ Z)·(SStar (SEmpty ∩ Z))))
    by (*simp add*: *SStar36 SStar46 subset-antisym*)
 have *3*: (SStar (SEmpty ∩ Z))·(SStar ((SMore ∩ Z)·(SStar (SEmpty ∩ Z)))) =
        (SStar (Z ∩ SMore))
    by (*simp add*: *FusionSEmptyL FusionSEmptyR SStar23 inf-commute*)
 from *1 2 3* **show** *?thesis* **by** *auto*
qed

lemma *SStar48*:
 (SStar SMore) = STrue
by (*metis Compl-Un Compl-disjoint2 SStar25 SStar47 ST21 ST33 FusionSEmptyR*
        inf.right-idem smore-def strue-def)

lemma *SStar50*:
assumes *SSkip*·((−X) ∪ ((SStar SSkip)·(X ∩ (SSkip·(−X))))) ∪ (−X)
        ⊆ (−X) ∪ (SStar SSkip)·(X ∩ (SSkip·(−X)))
shows ((SStar SSkip)·(−X)) ⊆ ((−X) ∪ ((SStar SSkip)·(X ∩ (SSkip·(−X)))))
using *SStarInductL assms* **by** *blast*

lemma *SStar51*:
 SSkip·((−X) ∪ ((SStar SSkip)·(X ∩ (SSkip·(−X))))) ∪ (−X)

848

$\subseteq (-X) \cup (SStar\ SSkip)\cdot(X \cap (SSkip\cdot(-X)))$
by (*metis B20 FusionAssoc FusionUnionDistR Morgan ST47 UnfoldL UnionIdem inf .idem inf-commute le-sup-iff sup-ge1*)

lemma *SStar52*:
  $(SStar\ X) \subseteq SEmpty \cup (X \cap SMore)\cdot(SStar\ X)$
by (*metis B04 SStar47 UnfoldL*)

lemma *SStar53*:
  $SEmpty \cup (X \cap SMore)\cdot(SStar\ X) \subseteq (SStar\ X)$
by (*metis SStar12 SStar47*)

lemma *BD45*:
 $(SBi\ ((-X) \cup X1)) \cap (X\cdot Y) \subseteq X1\cdot Y$
proof $-$
 have *1*: $(SBi\ ((-X) \cup X1)) = -(-((-X) \cup X1)\cdot(Y \cup (-Y)))$
     by (*metis sbi-def sdi-def STrueTop*)
 have *2*: $-(-((-X) \cup X1)\cdot(Y \cup (-Y))) \cap (X\cdot Y) \subseteq$
          $-(-((-X) \cup X1)\cdot(Y)) \cap (X\cdot Y)$
      using *FusionUnionDistR* **by** *fastforce*
 have *3*: $-(-((-X) \cup X1)\cdot(Y)) \cap (X\cdot Y) \subseteq (((-X) \cup X1) \cap X)\cdot Y$
     by (*metis (no-types, hide-lams) B20 FusionRuleL FusionUnionDistL Morgan UnionCommute double-compl order-refl*)
 have *4*: $(((-X) \cup X1) \cap X)\cdot Y \subseteq X1\cdot Y$
     by (*metis B20 double-compl FusionRuleL inf .right-idem inf-le1*)
 from *1 2 3 4* **show** *?thesis* **by** *blast*
qed

lemma *BD46*:
 $(SAlways\ ((-Y) \cup Y1)) \cap (X1\cdot Y) \subseteq (X1\cdot Y1)$
proof $-$
 have *1*: $(SAlways\ ((-Y) \cup Y1)) = -((X1 \cup (-X1))\cdot(-((-Y) \cup Y1\ )\ )\ )$
     by (*metis salways-def ssometime-def STrueTop*)
 have *2*: $-((X1 \cup (-X1))\cdot(-((-Y) \cup Y1\ )\ )\ ) \cap (X1\cdot Y) \subseteq$
         $-(X1\cdot(-((-Y) \cup Y1\ )\ )\ ) \cap (X1\cdot Y)$
     using *FusionUnionDistL* **by** *fastforce*
 have *3*: $-(X1\cdot(-((-Y) \cup Y1\ )\ )\ ) \cap (X1\cdot Y) \subseteq X1\cdot(((-Y) \cup Y1) \cap Y)$
      by (*metis (no-types, lifting) B20 B04 compl-inf FusionUnionDistR Huntington Morgan Subsumption sup-ge1 UnionCommute*)
 have *4*: $X1\cdot(((-Y) \cup Y1) \cap Y) \subseteq (X1\cdot Y1)$
     by (*metis B20 double-compl FusionRuleR inf .right-idem inf-le1*)
 from *1 2 3 4* **show** *?thesis* **by** *blast*
qed

23.4.2 ITL Axioms derived

lemma *SBoxGen*:
 assumes $X=STrue$
 shows $(SAlways\ X) = STrue$
using *assms*

by (*metis double-compl FusionSFalse salways-def sfalse-def ssometime-def strue-def*)

lemma *SBiGen*:
 assumes $X = STrue$
 shows $(SBi\ X) = STrue$
using *assms*
by (*metis double-compl sbi-def sdi-def sfalse-def SFalseFusion strue-def*)

lemma *SMP*:
 assumes $X \subseteq Y$
 assumes $X = STrue$
 shows $Y = STrue$
using *assms(1) assms(2)*
using *strue-def* **by** *blast*

lemma *SChopAssoc*:
 $X \cdot (Y \cdot Z) = (X \cdot Y) \cdot Z$
by (*simp add: FusionAssoc*)

lemma *SOrChopImp*:
 $(X \cup Y) \cdot Z \subseteq (X \cdot Z) \cup (Y \cdot Z)$
by (*simp add: FusionUnionDistL*)

lemma *SChopOrImp*:
 $X \cdot (Y \cup Z) \subseteq (X \cdot Y) \cup (X \cdot Z)$
by (*simp add: FusionUnionDistR*)

lemma *SEmptyChop*:
 $SEmpty \cdot X = X$
by (*simp add: FusionSEmptyL*)

lemma *SChopEmpty*:
 $X \cdot SEmpty = X$
by (*simp add: FusionSEmptyR*)

lemma *SStateImpBi*:
 $(SInit\ X) \subseteq (SBi\ (SInit\ X))$
by (*simp add: ST28 ST38 sbi-def*)

lemma *SNextImpNotNextNot*:
 $(SNext\ X) \subseteq -(SNext\ (-X))$
proof $-$
 have *1*: $((SNext\ X) \subseteq -(SNext\ (-X))) = (((SNext\ X) \cap (SNext\ (-X))) \subseteq SFalse)$
     by (*simp add: disjoint-eq-subset-Compl sfalse-def*)
 have *2*: $((SNext\ X) \cap (SNext\ (-X))) = SSkip \cdot (X \cap (-X))$
     by (*metis CH07 SStar16 inf.orderE snext-def*)
 have *3*: $(SSkip) \cdot (X \cap (-X)) = SSkip \cdot SFalse$
     by (*simp add: sfalse-def*)
 have *4*: $SSkip \cdot SFalse = SFalse$ **by** (*simp add: FusionSFalse*)
 from *1 2 3 4* **show** *?thesis* **by** *auto*

qed

lemma *SBiBoxChopImpChop*:
  $(SBi\ ((-X) \cup X1)) \cap (SAlways\ ((-Y) \cup Y1))\ \cap (X \cdot Y) \subseteq (X1 \cdot Y1)$
using *BD45 BD46* **by** *blast*

lemma *SBoxInduct*:
 $(SAlways\ (-X \cup (SWnext\ X))) \cap X \subseteq (SAlways\ X)$
proof $-$
 have *1*: $((SAlways\ (-X \cup (SWnext\ X))) \cap X \subseteq (SAlways\ X)) =$
        $((SSometime\ (-X)) \subseteq ((-X) \cup (SSometime\ (X \cap (SNext\ (-X)))\ )))$
    by (*simp add*: *salways-def snext-def swnext-def*)
      blast
 have *2*: $((SSometime\ (-X)) \subseteq ((-X) \cup (SSometime\ (X \cap (SNext\ (-X)))\ ))) =$
        $(\ ((SStar\ SSkip) \cdot (-X)) \subseteq ((-X) \cup ((SStar\ SSkip) \cdot (X \cap (SSkip \cdot (-X)))))\ )$
    by (*simp add*: *SStarSkip snext-def ssometime-def*)
 have *3*: $(\ ((SStar\ SSkip) \cdot (-X)) \subseteq ((-X) \cup ((SStar\ SSkip) \cdot (X \cap (SSkip \cdot (-X)))))\ )$
    using *SStar51 SStar50* **by** *blast*
 from *1 2 3* **show** *?thesis* **by** *auto*
qed

lemma *SChopstarEqv*:
  $(SStar\ X) = SEmpty \cup (X \cap SMore) \cdot (SStar\ X)$
using *SStar52 SStar53* **by** *blast*

23.5   Extra Laws

23.5.1   Boolean Laws

lemma *B02*:
 assumes $-Y \subseteq -X$
 shows $(X :: {}'a\ intervals) \subseteq Y$
using *assms* **by** *auto*

lemma *B03*:
 $((X :: {}'a\ intervals) = Y\ ) \longleftrightarrow (-X = -Y)$
by *auto*

lemma *B05*:
 assumes $(X :: {}'a\ intervals) \cup Y \subseteq Z$
 shows $X \subseteq Z \wedge Y \subseteq Z$
using *assms* **by** *auto*

lemma *B06*:
 assumes $X \subseteq Z \wedge Y \subseteq Z$
 shows $(X :: {}'a\ intervals) \cup Y \subseteq Z$
using *assms* **by** *auto*

lemma *B07*:
 $(X :: {}'a\ intervals) \cup Y \subseteq Z \longleftrightarrow$
  $X \subseteq Z \wedge Y \subseteq Z$

by *auto*

lemma *B08*:
 assumes $(X:: {'}a\ intervals) \subseteq Y$
 shows $-X \cup Y = STrue$
using *assms*
using *strue-def* **by** *auto*

lemma *B10*:
 $(X:: {'}a\ intervals) \subseteq Y \longleftrightarrow -X \cup Y = STrue$
using *strue-def* **by** *auto*

lemma *B11*:
 assumes $(X:: {'}a\ intervals) \subseteq Y$
 shows $X \cap -Y = SFalse$
using *assms sfalse-def* **by** *auto*

lemma *B12*:
 assumes $X \cap -Y = SFalse$
 shows $(X:: {'}a\ intervals) \subseteq Y$
using *assms sfalse-def* **by** *auto*

lemma *B13*:
 $(X:: {'}a\ intervals) \subseteq Y \longleftrightarrow X \cap -Y = SFalse$
using *sfalse-def* **by** *auto*

lemma *B14*:
 assumes $(X:: {'}a\ intervals) \subseteq Y$
 shows $X \cap Y = X$
using *assms* **by** *auto*

lemma *B15*:
 assumes $(X:: {'}a\ intervals) \subseteq Y \cap Z$
 shows $X \subseteq Y \wedge X \subseteq Z$
using *assms* **by** *auto*

lemma *B16*:
 assumes $X \subseteq Y \wedge X \subseteq Z$
 shows $(X:: {'}a\ intervals) \subseteq Y \cap Z$
using *assms* **by** *auto*

lemma *B17*:
 $(X:: {'}a\ intervals) \subseteq Y \cap Z \longleftrightarrow X \subseteq Y \wedge X \subseteq Z$
by *auto*

lemma *B18*:
 assumes $(X:: {'}a\ intervals) \subseteq Y \cup Z$
 shows $X \cap -Y \subseteq Z$
using *assms* **by** *auto*

lemma *B19*:
 assumes $X \cap -Y \subseteq Z$
 shows $(X:: \ 'a \ intervals) \subseteq Y \cup Z$
using *assms* **by** *auto*

lemma *B21*:
 $(X:: \ 'a \ intervals) \cup (Y \cap Z) \subseteq (X \cup Y) \cap (X \cup Z) \longleftrightarrow$
 $X \cup (Y \cap Z) \subseteq (X \cup Y) \wedge X \cup (Y \cap Z) \subseteq (X \cup Z)$
by *auto*

lemma *B22*:
 $(X:: \ 'a \ intervals) \cup (Y \cap Z) \subseteq X \cup Y$
by *auto*

lemma *B23*:
 $(X:: \ 'a \ intervals) \cup (Y \cap Z) \subseteq X \cup Z$
by *auto*

lemma *B24*:
 $((X:: \ 'a \ intervals) \cup Y) \cap (X \cup Z) \subseteq X \cup (Y \cap Z) \longleftrightarrow$
 $((X \cup Y) \cap (X \cup Z)) \cap -X \subseteq Y \cap Z$
by *auto*

lemma *B25*:
 $(((X:: \ 'a \ intervals) \cup Y) \cap (X \cup Z)) \cap -X \subseteq Y \cap Z \longleftrightarrow$
 $((X \cup Y) \cap (X \cup Z)) \cap -X \subseteq Y \ \wedge$
 $((X \cup Y) \cap (X \cup Z)) \cap -X \subseteq Z$
by *auto*

lemma *B26*:
 $(((X:: \ 'a \ intervals) \cup Y) \cap (X \cup Z)) \cap -X \subseteq Y$
by *auto*

lemma *B27*:
 $(((X:: \ 'a \ intervals) \cup Y) \cap (X \cup Z)) \cap -X \subseteq Z$
by *auto*

lemma *B29*:
 $(X:: \ 'a \ intervals) \cup (Y \cap Z) = (X \cup Y) \cap (X \cup Z)$
by *auto*

23.5.2 Chop

lemma *CH02*:
 $X \cdot Y \cap -(X \cdot Z) \subseteq X \cdot (Y \cap -Z)$
by (*metis B20 FusionRuleR FusionUnionDistR inf.right-idem inf-le1*)

lemma *CH03*:
 $X \cdot Y \cap -(Z \cdot Y) \subseteq (X \cap -Z) \cdot Y$
by (*metis B20 FusionRuleL FusionUnionDistL inf.right-idem inf-le1*)

lemma *CH04*:
  $X \cdot Y \cap -(X \cdot -Z) \subseteq X \cdot (Y \cap Z)$
using *CH02* **by** *fastforce*

lemma *CH05*:
  $X \cdot Y \cap -(-Z \cdot Y) \subseteq (X \cap Z) \cdot Y$
using *CH03* **by** *fastforce*

lemma *CH06*:
 assumes $X \subseteq X1$
       $Y \subseteq Y1$
 shows $X \cdot Y \subseteq X1 \cdot Y1$
using *assms*
by (*metis FusionRuleL FusionRuleR order-trans*)

lemma *CH11*:
  $((X \cap (SPower\ SSkip\ n)) \cdot STrue) \cap ((SPower\ SSkip\ n) \cdot Y) = (X \cap (SPower\ SSkip\ n)) \cdot Y$
using *PwrFusionInterL*[*of n X STrue STrue Y*]
by (*simp add*: *inf-commute strue-def*)

lemma *CH12*:
  $(STrue \cdot (X \cap (SPower\ SSkip\ n))) \cap (Y \cdot (SPower\ SSkip\ n)) = (Y \cdot (X \cap (SPower\ SSkip\ n)))$
using *PwrFusionInterR*[*of STrue n X Y STrue*]
by (*metis STrueTop inf-commute inf-sup-absorb*)

lemma *CH13*:
  $(SPower\ SSkip\ n) \cdot (SPower\ SSkip\ m) = (SPower\ SSkip\ (n+m))$
proof
 (*induct n arbitrary*: *m*)
 case *0*
 then show *?case* **by** (*simp add*: *FusionSEmptyL*)
 next
 case (*Suc n*)
 then show *?case*
 by (*metis FusionAssoc add-Suc pwr-Suc*)
qed

23.5.3   Next

lemma *N01*:
  $(SNext\ SEmpty) = SSkip$
by (*simp add*: *FusionSEmptyR snext-def*)

lemma *N02*:
  $(SNext\ SFalse) = SFalse$
by (*simp add*: *FusionSFalse snext-def*)

lemma *N03*:
  $(SNext\ X) \cdot Y = (SNext\ (X \cdot Y))$

by (*simp add*: *snext-def FusionAssoc*)

lemma *N04*:
$(SNext\ (X \cup Y)) = (SNext\ X) \cup (SNext\ Y)$
by (*simp add*: *FusionUnionDistR snext-def*)

lemma *N05*:
$(SNext\ (X \cap Y)) = (SNext\ X) \cap (SNext\ Y)$
by (*metis CH07 SStar16 inf.orderE snext-def*)

lemma *N06*:
 assumes $X \subseteq Y$
 shows $(SNext\ X) \subseteq (SNext\ Y)$
using *assms*
by (*metis FusionUnionDistR Subsumption snext-def*)

lemma *N07*:
$(SNext\ ((-X) \cup Y)) = (SNext\ (-X)) \cup (SNext\ Y)$
by (*simp add*: *N04*)

lemma *N08*:
 $SMore \subseteq SSkip{\cdot}STrue$
by (*simp add*: *smore-def*)
 (*metis B10 SStarSkip UnfoldL double-complement*)

lemma *N23*:
 $(SWprev\ X) \subseteq (SEmpty \cup (SPrev\ X))$
proof $-$
have $X \cdot SSkip \cup - X \cdot SSkip = SStar\ SSkip \cdot SSkip$
by (*metis* (*no-types*) *Compl-empty-eq FusionUnionDistL SStarSkip strue-def sup-compl-top*)
then have $-\ SWprev\ X \cup (SEmpty \cup SPrev\ X) = STrue$
by (*metis* (*no-types*) *SStar19 SStarSkip UnfoldL UnionAssoc double-compl sprev-def sup-commute*
    swprev-def)
then show *?thesis*
by (*meson B09*)
qed

lemma *N24*:
 $(SEmpty) \subseteq (SWprev\ X)$
by (*metis B10 B02 FusionRuleL SSkipFusionImpSMore SStar30 SStarSkip UnfoldL*
        compl-bot-eq double-compl smore-def strue-def subset-antisym swprev-def top-greatest)

lemma *N25*:
 $(SPrev\ X) \subseteq (SWprev\ X)$
proof $-$
 have *1*: $((SPrev\ X) \subseteq (SWprev\ X)\ ) = (((SPrev\ X) \cap (SPrev\ (-X)))\subseteq SFalse\ )$
    by (*simp add*: *B10 sfalse-def sprev-def swprev-def*)
 have *2*: $((SPrev\ X) \cap (SPrev\ (-X))) = (X \cap (-X)){\cdot}SSkip$
    by (*metis CH08 SStar16 inf.orderE sprev-def*)
 have *3*: $(X \cap (-X)){\cdot}SSkip = SFalse{\cdot}SSkip$

by (*simp add*: *sfalse-def*)
have *4*: *SFalse·SSkip = SFalse*
    by (*simp add*: *SFalseFusion*)
from *1 2 3 4* **show** *?thesis* **by** *auto*
qed

lemma *N26*:
(*SWprev X*) = (*SEmpty* ∪ (*SPrev X*))
using *N23 N24 N25* **by** *blast*

lemma *N09*:
  SSkip ∪ *SMore·SSkip* ⊆ *SMore*
proof −
 have *1*: *SSkip* ⊆ *SMore* **by** (*simp add*: *smore-def sskip-def*)
 have *2*: *SMore·SSkip* ⊆ *SMore*
 by (*metis N26 UnionCommute compl-le-swap1 smore-def sup-ge2 swprev-def*)
 from *1 2* **show** *?thesis* **by** *auto*
qed

lemma *N10*:
 assumes *SSkip* ∪ *SMore·SSkip* ⊆ *SMore*
 shows   *SSkip·*(*SStar SSkip*) ⊆ *SMore*
using *assms*
using *SStarInductR N09* **by** *blast*

lemma *N11*:
  SSkip · STrue ⊆ *SMore*
by (*metis SStarSkip N09 N10*)

lemma *N12*:
(*SNext X*) = −(*SWnext* (−*X*))
by (*simp add*: *snext-def swnext-def*)

lemma *N13*:
  SMore·STrue = SMore
by (*metis FusionAssoc N11 N08 SStar48 SStarSkip ST47 UnfoldL subset-antisym sup.right-idem*)

lemma *N14*:
  STrue·SSkip ⊆ *SMore*
by (*metis N11 SStar19 SStarSkip*)

lemma *N15*:
  SMore ⊆ *STrue·SSkip*
by (*metis N08 SStar19 SStarSkip*)

lemma *N19*:
(*SWnext X*) ⊆ (*SEmpty* ∪ (*SNext X*))
 proof −
have *SSkip·X* ∪ *SSkip·*(−*X*) = *SSkip·SStar SSkip*
  using *FusionUnionDistR*[*of SSkip X* −*X*] *SStarSkip*

by (*metis STrueTop*)
then have $- SWnext\ X \cup (SEmpty \cup SNext\ X) = STrue$
  using *B08 N08 SStarSkip smore-def snext-def swnext-def* **by** *fastforce*
then show *?thesis* **by** (*simp add*: *B09*)
qed

lemma *N20*:
 $(SEmpty\ ) \subseteq (SWnext\ X)$
proof $-$
 have *1*: $((SEmpty\ ) \subseteq (SWnext\ X)\ ) = (-(SWnext\ X) \subseteq SMore)$
   by (*simp add*: *smore-def*)
 have *2*: $(-(SWnext\ X) \subseteq SMore) = ((SNext\ (-X)) \subseteq SMore)$
   by (*simp add*: *snext-def swnext-def*)
 have *3*: $(SNext\ (-X)) \subseteq SSkip{\cdot}STrue$
   by (*metis FusionUnionDistR STrueTop snext-def sup.orderI sup.right-idem*)
 hence *4*: $(SNext\ (-X)) \subseteq SMore$ **using** *SSkipFusionImpSMore* **by** *auto*
 from *1 2 4* **show** *?thesis* **by** *auto*
qed

lemma *N21*:
 $(SEmpty \cup (SNext\ X)) \subseteq (SWnext\ X)$
using *N20*
by (*metis B06 SNextImpNotNextNot snext-def swnext-def*)

lemma *N22*:
 $(SWnext\ X) = (SEmpty \cup (SNext\ X))$
using *N21 N19* **by** *blast*

lemma *N16*:
 $(SNext\ X) = SMore \cap (SWnext\ X)$
using *N12 N22 smore-def* **by** *blast*

lemma *N17*:
 $(SWnext\ (X \cap Y)) = (SWnext\ X) \cap (SWnext\ Y)$
by (*simp add*: *N05 N22 Un-Int-distrib*)

lemma *N18*:
 $(SWnext\ (X \cup Y)) = (SWnext\ X) \cup (SWnext\ Y)$
by (*simp add*: *swnext-def*)
 (*metis* (*no-types*, *lifting*) *CH07 SStar16 compl-inf inf.orderE*)

lemma *N27*:
 $(SNext\ ((-X) \cup Y)) \subseteq (-(SNext\ X) \cup (SNext\ Y))$
by (*metis N12 N16 N18 Un-Int-distrib double-compl sup-ge2 sup-left-idem*)

lemma *N28*:
 $(SPrev\ ((-X) \cup Y)) \subseteq (\ -(SPrev\ X) \cup (SPrev\ Y))$
by (*metis B01 B05 B06 FusionUnionDistL Huntington N25 double-compl sprev-def sup-ge2 swprev-def*)

lemma *N29*:

$(SPrev\ X) = -(SWprev\ (-X)\ )$
by (*simp add*: *sprev-def swprev-def*)

23.5.4 SInit

lemma *ST01*:
$(X \cap SEmpty) \cdot Y \subseteq Y$
by (*metis Int-commute FusionUnionDistL FusionSEmptyL le-iff-sup sup-inf-absorb UnionCommute*)

lemma *ST02*:
$(X \cap SEmpty) \cdot Y \subseteq (X \cap SEmpty) \cdot STrue$
by (*simp add*: *FusionRuleR strue-def*)

lemma *ST03*:
$(X \cap SEmpty) \cdot (X \cap SEmpty) \subseteq (X \cap SEmpty)$
using *ST01* **by** *auto*

lemma *ST04*:
$(X \cap SEmpty) \subseteq (X \cap SEmpty) \cdot (X \cap SEmpty)$
by (*metis B04 Int-commute FusionSEmptyL FusionSEmptyR inf.right-idem*
        inf-top.right-neutral CH10)

lemma *ST05*:
$(X \cap SEmpty) \subseteq -((-X) \cap SEmpty)$
by *blast*

lemma *ST06*:
$((-X) \cap SEmpty) \subseteq -(X \cap SEmpty)$
by *auto*

lemma *ST07*:
$(X \cap SEmpty) \cap (Y \cap SEmpty) \subseteq (X \cap SEmpty) \cdot STrue$
using *ST02 FusionSEmptyR* **by** *blast*

lemma *ST08*:
$(X \cap SEmpty) \cap (Y \cap SEmpty) \subseteq (STrue \cap SEmpty) \cdot (Y \cap SEmpty)$
by (*metis FusionSEmptyL FusionSEmptyR ST33 inf.cobounded2*)

lemma *ST09*:
$((X \cap SEmpty) \cdot STrue) \cap (STrue \cap SEmpty) \cdot (Y \cap SEmpty) \subseteq (X \cap SEmpty) \cdot (Y \cap SEmpty)$
by (*metis compl-bot-eq eq-refl FusionAssoc FusionSEmptyR inf.commute inf-top.left-neutral*
        CH09 strue-def)

lemma *ST10*:
$(X \cap SEmpty) \cdot (Y \cap SEmpty) \subseteq (X \cap SEmpty)$
by (*metis FusionRuleR FusionSEmptyR inf-le2*)

lemma *ST11*:
$(X \cap SEmpty) \cdot (Y \cap SEmpty) \subseteq (Y \cap SEmpty)$
using *ST01* **by** *blast*

lemma *ST12*:
 $(X \cap SEmpty) \cap (Y \cap SEmpty) = (X \cap SEmpty){\cdot}SEmpty \cap (Y \cap SEmpty){\cdot}SEmpty$
by (*simp add*: *FusionSEmptyR*)

lemma *ST14*:
 $((X \cap Y) \cap SEmpty){\cdot}SEmpty = ((X \cap Y) \cap SEmpty)$
by (*simp add*: *FusionSEmptyR*)

lemma *ST16*:
 $(X \cap SEmpty) \cap (Y \cap SEmpty) \subseteq SEmpty$
by (*simp add*: *le-infI2*)

lemma *ST17*:
 $(X \cap SEmpty){\cdot}(Y \cap SEmpty) \subseteq SEmpty$
using *ST10* **by** *auto*

lemma *ST18*:
 $-((X \cap SEmpty) \cup (Y \cap SEmpty)) = -(X \cap SEmpty) \cap -(Y \cap SEmpty)$
by *auto*

lemma *ST19*:
 $(X \cap SEmpty){\cdot}((-X) \cap SEmpty) \subseteq (X \cap SEmpty)$
using *ST10* **by** *blast*

lemma *ST20*:
 $(X \cap SEmpty){\cdot}((-X) \cap SEmpty) \subseteq ((-X) \cap SEmpty)$
using *ST01* **by** *auto*

lemma *ST22*:
 $((X \cap SEmpty){\cdot}SSkip){\cdot}(Y \cap SEmpty) \subseteq (X \cap SEmpty){\cdot}SSkip$
using *FusionRuleR FusionSEmptyR* **by** *blast*

lemma *ST23*:
 $((X \cap SEmpty){\cdot}SSkip){\cdot}(Y \cap SEmpty) \subseteq SSkip{\cdot}(Y \cap SEmpty)$
by (*simp add*: *ST01 FusionRuleL*)

lemma *ST27*:
 $(SInit\ X) \cap (Y{\cdot}Z) \subseteq ((SInit\ X) \cap Y){\cdot}Z$
by (*metis B04 compl-bot-eq FusionAssoc FusionSEmptyL inf-commute inf-top.left-neutral*
        CH09 sinit-def strue-def)

lemma *ST29*:
 $(SInit\ X){\cdot}Y \subseteq (SInit\ X)$
using *ST02 FusionAssoc sinit-def* **by** *fastforce*

lemma *ST30*:
 $(SInit\ X) \cap (SDi\ Y) = (SDi\ ((SInit\ X) \cap Y))$
by (*metis FusionAssoc FusionSEmptyL CH09 compl-bot-eq inf-top.left-neutral*
        sdi-def sinit-def strue-def)

lemma *ST31*:
 $(X \cdot (STrue \cap SEmpty)) \cap (STrue \cdot (Y \cap SEmpty)) = X \cdot (Y \cap SEmpty)$
by (*metis Int-commute compl-bot-eq inf-top.right-neutral CH10 strue-def*)

lemma *ST32*:
 $(STrue \cap SEmpty) \cdot SEmpty \cap (SInit\ X) = (X \cap SEmpty)$
by (*metis Compl-empty-eq Int-commute CH09 ST14 inf-top.right-neutral*
        sinit-def strue-def)

lemma *ST34*:
 $((X \cap SEmpty) \cdot Y) = (SInit\ X) \cap Y$
by (*metis FusionSEmptyL Int-commute CH09 compl-bot-eq inf-top-right sinit-def strue-def*)

lemma *ST35*:
 $((SInit\ X) \cap Y) \cdot Z \subseteq (SInit\ X) \cap (Y \cdot Z)$
by (*metis B04 ST34 FusionAssoc*)

lemma *ST39*:
 $SEmpty \cap (SInit\ X) \subseteq (X \cap SEmpty)$
using *ST32* **by** *blast*

lemma *ST40*:
 $(X \cap SEmpty) \subseteq SEmpty \cap (SInit\ X)$
using *ST32* **by** *auto*

lemma *ST41*:
 $SEmpty \cap (SInit\ X) = (X \cap SEmpty)$
using *ST40 ST39* **by** *auto*

lemma *ST42*:
 $(X \cap SEmpty) \subseteq ((X \cup Y) \cap SEmpty)$
by *blast*

lemma *ST43*:
 $(Y \cap SEmpty) \subseteq ((X \cup Y) \cap SEmpty)$
by *blast*

lemma *ST44*:
 $(X \cap SEmpty) \cap ((-X) \cap SEmpty) = SFalse$
by (*simp add: sfalse-def*)

lemma *ST45*:
 $((X \cup Y) \cap SEmpty) \subseteq (X \cap SEmpty) \cup (Y \cap SEmpty)$
by *auto*

lemma *ST46*:
 $(SInit\ X) \cup (SInit\ Y) = (SInit\ (X \cup Y))$
by (*simp add: Int-Un-distrib2 FusionUnionDistL sinit-def*)

lemma *ST48*:
 $-(STrue \cdot (X \cap SEmpty)) \subseteq STrue \cdot ((-X) \cap SEmpty)$
by (*metis B09 FusionSEmptyR FusionUnionDistR ST21 double-compl*)

lemma *ST49*:
 $STrue \cdot ((-X) \cap SEmpty) \subseteq -(STrue \cdot (X \cap SEmpty))$
by (*metis CH10 Compl-disjoint2 FusionSEmptyR FusionSFalse ST33 disjoint-eq-subset-Compl*
       inf-compl-bot-left2 sfalse-def strue-def)

lemma *ST50*:
 $-(STrue \cdot (X \cap SEmpty)) = STrue \cdot ((-X) \cap SEmpty)$
using *ST48 ST49* **by** *blast*

23.5.5 SStar

lemma *SStar02*:
 assumes $X \subseteq Y$
 shows $X \cdot (SStar\ Y) \cup SEmpty \subseteq (SStar\ Y)$
using *assms*
by (*metis FusionUnionDistL Int-lower1 SStar15 Un-commute Un-mono UnfoldL inf .orderE sup.orderE*
   sup.orderI)

lemma *SStar04*:
 $(SStar\ X) \subseteq (SStar\ X) \cdot (SStar\ X)$
by (*metis Un-absorb UnfoldL UnionAssoc ST47 sup.absorb-iff2*)

lemma *SStar09*:
 assumes $(X \cdot (SEmpty \cup (X \cdot (SStar\ X)))) \cup SEmpty \subseteq (SEmpty \cup (X \cdot (SStar\ X)))$
 shows $(SStar\ X) \subseteq SEmpty \cup (X \cdot (SStar\ X))$
using *assms*
by (*simp add*: *UnfoldL*)

lemma *SStar10*:
 $(X \cdot (SEmpty \cup (X \cdot (SStar\ X)))) \subseteq (SEmpty \cup (X \cdot (SStar\ X)))$
by (*metis UnfoldL sup-ge2*)

lemma *SStar11*:
 $SEmpty \subseteq (SEmpty \cup (X \cdot (SStar\ X)))$
by *auto*

lemma *SStar13*:
 $(SStar\ SSkip) = STrue$
by (*simp add*: *SStarSkip*)

lemma *SStar14*:
 $(SSometime\ X) = (SStar\ SSkip) \cdot X$
by (*simp add*: *SStarSkip ssometime-def*)

lemma *SStar20*:

$(SStar\ SEmpty) = SEmpty$
by (*metis FusionSEmptyR ST15 ST33*)

lemma *SStar21*:
  $(SStar\ (SEmpty \cap X)) \cdot (SEmpty \cap X) = (SEmpty \cap X)$
by (*metis ST15 FusionSEmptyL inf-commute*)

lemma *SStar24*:
  $(SStar\ SFalse) = SEmpty$
by (*metis SStar20 SStar47 inf-compl-bot sfalse-def smore-def*)

lemma *SStar26*:
  $X \subseteq (SStar\ X)$
by (*metis FusionSEmptyR FusionUnionDistR SStar08 UnCI UnfoldL subsetI subset-iff*)

lemma *SStar27*:
  $SEmpty \subseteq (SStar\ X)$
using *UnfoldL* **by** *blast*

lemma *SStar31*:
  assumes $X \cup (X \cdot Y) \cdot (X \cdot (SStar\ (Y \cdot X))) \subseteq X \cdot (SStar\ (Y \cdot X))$
  shows $(SStar\ (X \cdot Y)) \cdot X \subseteq X \cdot (SStar\ (Y \cdot X))$
using *assms SStarInductL* **by** *blast*

lemma *SStar32*:
  $X \cup (X \cdot Y) \cdot (X \cdot (SStar\ (Y \cdot X))) \subseteq X \cdot (SStar\ (Y \cdot X))$
by (*metis B06 SStar10 SStar11 FusionAssoc FusionRuleR FusionSEmptyR UnfoldL*)

lemma *SStar33*:
  $(SStar\ (X \cdot Y)) \cdot X \subseteq X \cdot (SStar\ (Y \cdot X))$
using *SStar31 SStar32* **by** *blast*

lemma *SStar37*:
  assumes $X \cdot Z \subseteq Z \cdot Y$
  shows $(SStar\ X) \cdot Z \subseteq Z \cdot (SStar\ Y)$
proof $-$
have $Z \cdot SStar\ Y = Z \cdot SEmpty \cup Z \cdot (Y \cdot SStar\ Y)$
by (*metis FusionUnionDistR UnfoldL*)
then have $Z \cdot SStar\ Y \cup (Z \cup X \cdot (Z \cdot SStar\ Y)) = Z \cup Z \cdot Y \cdot SStar\ Y \cup X \cdot Z \cdot SStar\ Y$
using *FusionAssoc FusionSEmptyR* **by** *blast*
then have $Z \cdot SStar\ Y \cup (Z \cup X \cdot (Z \cdot SStar\ Y)) = Z \cdot SStar\ Y$
by (*metis* (*no-types*) *FusionAssoc FusionSEmptyR FusionUnionDistL FusionUnionDistR UnfoldL UnionAssoc assms sup.absorb-iff1*)
then show *?thesis*
by (*meson SStarInductL sup.absorb-iff1*)
qed

lemma *SStar38*:
  assumes $Z \cdot X \subseteq Y \cdot Z$
  shows $Z \cdot (SStar\ X) \subseteq (SStar\ Y) \cdot Z$

using *assms*
proof −
have *f1*: $Z \cup SStar\ Y \cdot Y \cdot Z = SStar\ Y \cdot Z$
by (*metis* (*no-types*) *SStar30 ST47 UnfoldL*)
have $SStar\ Y \cdot Y \cdot Z = SStar\ Y \cdot Z \cdot X \cup SStar\ Y \cdot Y \cdot Z$
by (*metis FusionAssoc FusionUnionDistR assms subset-Un-eq*)
then have $Z \cup SStar\ Y \cdot Z \cdot X \subseteq SStar\ Y \cdot Z$
using *f1* **by** *blast*
then show *?thesis*
by (*simp add*: *SStarInductR*)
qed

lemma *SStar39*:
  $Y \cdot (SStar\ ((SStar\ X) \cdot Y)) \subseteq (SStar\ (Y \cdot (SStar\ X))) \cdot Y$
by (*simp add*: *SStar38 FusionAssoc*)

lemma *SStar40*:
  $(SStar\ (Y \cdot (SStar\ X))) \cdot Y \subseteq Y \cdot (SStar\ ((SStar\ X) \cdot Y))$
by (*simp add*: *SStar33*)

lemma *SStar41*:
  $Y \cdot (SStar\ ((SStar\ X) \cdot Y)) = (SStar\ (Y \cdot (SStar\ X))) \cdot Y$
using *SStar39 SStar40* **by** *blast*

lemma *SStar42*:
  $Z \cdot (SStar\ (Y \cdot Z)) \subseteq (SStar\ (Z \cdot Y)) \cdot Z$
by (*simp add*: *SStar38 FusionAssoc*)

lemma *SStar43*:
  $(SStar\ (Z \cdot Y)) \cdot Z \subseteq Z \cdot (SStar\ (Y \cdot Z))$
by (*simp add*: *SStar33*)

lemma *SStar44*:
  $Z \cdot (SStar\ (Y \cdot Z)) = (SStar\ (Z \cdot Y)) \cdot Z$
using *SStar42 SStar43* **by** *blast*

lemma *SStar49*:
  $(SStar\ X) = SEmpty \cup (SStar\ X) \cdot X$
using *SStar30 UnfoldL* **by** *blast*

23.5.6   Box and Diamond

lemma *BD01*:
  $(SSometime\ SEmpty) = STrue$
by (*simp add*: *ssometime-def FusionSEmptyR*)

lemma *BD02*:
  $X \subseteq (SSometime\ X)$
by (*metis FusionUnionDistL SEmptyChop STrueTop Subsumption Un-absorb semigroup.assoc*

ssometime-def sup.semigroup-axioms)

lemma *BD03*:
  (*SNext* (*SSometime X*)) ⊆ (*SSometime X*)
by (*metis FusionUnionDistL N03 SStar16 SStar03 SStar04 SStarSkip snext-def ssometime-def*
       sup.absorb-iff2 sup.orderE)

lemma *BD04*:
  (*SSometime* (*SNext X*)) ⊆ (*SSometime X*)
by (*metis CH01 FusionAssoc FusionUnionDistL FusionUnionDistR SStar16 SStarSkip*
       snext-def ssometime-def sup.absorb-iff2)

lemma *BD05*:
  (*SSometime X*) ∪ (*SSometime Y*) = (*SSometime* (*X* ∪ *Y*))
by (*simp add*: *FusionUnionDistR ssometime-def*)

lemma *BD06*:
  (*SSometime STrue*) = *STrue*
by (*simp add*: *CH01 ssometime-def*)

lemma *BD07*:
  (*SSometime* (*X* ∩ *Y*)) ⊆ (*SSometime X*) ∩ (*SSometime Y*)
by (*simp add*: *FusionRuleR ssometime-def*)

lemma *BD08*:
  (*SAlways STrue*) = *STrue*
by (*simp add*: *SBoxGen*)

lemma *BD09*:
  −(*SAlways X*) = (*SSometime* (−*X*))
by (*simp add*: *salways-def*)

lemma *BD10*:
  (*SAlways X*) ⊆ (*SSometime X*)
by (*metis B02 BD02 BD09 set-rev-mp subsetI*)

lemma *BD11*:
  (*SSometime* (*SSometime X*)) = (*SSometime X*)
by (*simp add*: *CH01 ssometime-def FusionAssoc*)

lemma *BD12*:
  (*SAlways X*) ⊆ *X*
by (*simp add*: *B02 BD02 BD09*)

lemma *BD13*:
  (*SDi STrue*) = *STrue*
by (*simp add*: *CH01 sdi-def*)

lemma *BD14*:
  (*SDi SEmpty*) = *STrue*

by (*simp add*: *sdi-def FusionSEmptyL*)

lemma *BD15*:
  (*SBi STrue*) = *STrue*
by (*simp add*: *SBiGen*)

lemma *BD16*:
  (*SDi* (*X* ∪ *Y*)) = (*SDi X*) ∪ (*SDi Y*)
by (*simp add*: *FusionUnionDistL sdi-def*)

lemma *BD17*:
 assumes *X* ⊆ *Y*
 shows (*SDi X*) ⊆ (*SDi Y*)
using *assms*
by (*metis FusionUnionDistL Subsumption sdi-def*)

lemma *BD18*:
  (*SDi* (*SDi X*)) = (*SDi X*)
by (*metis CH01 FusionAssoc sdi-def*)

lemma *BD19*:
  (*SDa SEmpty*) = *STrue*
by (*simp add*: *CH01 sda-def FusionSEmptyR*)

lemma *BD20*:
  (*SDa STrue*) = *STrue*
by (*simp add*: *CH01 sda-def*)

lemma *BD21*:
  (*SBa STrue*) = *STrue*
by (*metis BD15 BD08 BD09 sba-def sbi-def sda-def sdi-def ssometime-def*)

lemma *BD22*:
  (*SDa* (*X* ∪ *Y*)) = (*SDa X*) ∪ (*SDa Y*)
by (*simp add*: *FusionUnionDistL FusionUnionDistR sda-def*)

lemma *BD23*:
 assumes *X* ⊆ *Y*
 shows (*SDa X*) ⊆ (*SDa Y*)
using *assms*
by (*metis BD22 Subsumption*)

lemma *BD24*:
 assumes *X* ⊆ *Y*
 shows (*SDa* (−*Y*)) ⊆ (*SDa* (−*X*))
using *assms*
by (*simp add*: *BD23*)

lemma *BD25*:
  (*SDi X*) ⊆ (*SDa X*)

by (*metis BD02 FusionAssoc sda-def sdi-def ssometime-def*)

lemma *BD26*:
  $(SSometime\ X) \subseteq (SDa\ X)$
by (*metis BD01 BD02 FusionSEmptyR FusionUnionDistR SStar14 le-iff-sup sda-def*)

lemma *BD27*:
  $(SBa\ X) \subseteq (SBi\ X)$
by (*simp add*: *BD25 sba-def sbi-def*)

lemma *BD28*:
  $(SBa\ X) \subseteq (SAlways\ X)$
by (*simp add*: *B02 BD26 BD09 sba-def*)

lemma *BD29*:
  $(SAlways\ X) \cap (SAlways\ Y) = (SAlways\ (X \cap Y))$
by (*metis BD05 BD09 Morgan compl-inf salways-def*)

lemma *BD30*:
  $(SAlways\ X) \cup (SAlways\ Y) \subseteq (SAlways\ (X \cup Y))$
using *BD07*
by (*metis B02 BD09 compl-sup*)

lemma *BD31*:
  $(SDi\ (X \cap Y)) \subseteq (SDi\ X) \cap (SDi\ Y)$
by (*simp add*: *BD17*)

lemma *BD32*:
  $(SBi\ X) \cup (SBi\ Y) \subseteq (SBi\ (X \cup Y))$
using *BD31*
by (*metis* (*mono-tags*, *lifting*) *B02 compl-sup double-compl sbi-def*)

lemma *BD33*:
  $(SDa\ (X \cap Y)) \subseteq (SDa\ X) \cap (SDa\ Y)$
by (*simp add*: *BD23*)

lemma *BD34*:
  $(SBa\ X) \cup (SBa\ Y) \subseteq (SBa\ (X \cup Y))$
using *BD33*
by (*metis* (*mono-tags*, *lifting*) *B02 compl-sup double-compl sba-def*)

lemma *BD35*:
  $(SAlways\ SEmpty) = SEmpty$
by (*metis N13 SStar14 SStar30 SStar48 SStarSkip double-complement salways-def smore-def*)

lemma *BD36*:
  $(SBi\ SEmpty) = SEmpty$
using *N13 sbi-def sdi-def smore-def* **by** *fastforce*

lemma *BD37*:

$(SBa\ SEmpty) = SEmpty$
by (*metis N13 SStar30 SStar48 double-complement sba-def sda-def smore-def*)

lemma *BD38*:
 assumes $X \subseteq Y$
 shows $(SAlways\ X) \subseteq (SAlways\ Y)$
using *assms*
by (*simp add*: *BD29 inf.absorb-iff2*)

lemma *BD39*:
 assumes $X \subseteq Y$
 shows $(SBi\ X) \subseteq (SBi\ Y)$
using *assms*
by (*simp add*: *BD17 sbi-def*)

lemma *BD40*:
 assumes $X \subseteq Y$
 shows $(SBa\ X) \subseteq (SBa\ Y)$
using *assms*
by (*simp add*: *BD24 sba-def*)

lemma *BD41*:
 $(SBi\ (SBi\ X)) = (SBi\ X)$
by (*simp add*: *BD18 sbi-def*)

lemma *BD42*:
 $(SAlways\ (SAlways\ X)) = (SAlways\ X)$
by (*simp add*: *BD11 salways-def*)

lemma *BD43*:
 $(SDa\ (SDa\ X)) = (SDa\ X)$
by (*metis CH01 FusionAssoc sda-def*)

lemma *BD44*:
 $(SBa\ (SBa\ X)) = (SBa\ X)$
by (*simp add*: *BD43 sba-def*)

lemma *BD47*:
 $(SAlways\ (\ (-X) \cup Y)) \subseteq (\ -(SAlways\ X) \cup (SAlways\ Y))$
by (*metis B20 BD12 BD29 BD38 BD42 double-compl*)

lemma *BD48*:
 $(SAlways\ X) \subseteq X \cap (SWnext\ (SAlways\ X))$
by (*metis B02 B16 BD03 BD09 BD12 N12 salways-def*)

lemma *BD49*:
 $(SBi\ (\ (-X) \cup Y)) \subseteq (-(SBi\ X) \cup (SBi\ Y)\ )$
by (*metis B20 BD45 Un-commute double-complement sbi-def sdi-def*)

lemma *BD50*:

$(SPrev\ (SDi\ X)) \subseteq (SDi\ X)$
by (*metis B04 FusionAssoc FusionUnionDistR N08 SSkipFusionImpSMore SStar19 SStarSkip*
   STrueTop sdi-def smore-def sprev-def sup-ge2)

lemma *BD51*:
$-(SBi\ X) = (SDi\ (-X))$
by (*simp add*: *sbi-def*)

lemma *BD52*:
$X \subseteq (SDi\ X)$
by (*metis FusionSEmptyR FusionUnionDistR ST33 Subsumption UnionCommute sdi-def sup-inf-absorb*)

lemma *BD53*:
$(SBi\ X) \subseteq X$
by (*simp add*: *B02 BD51 BD52*)

lemma *BD54*:
$(SBi\ X) \subseteq X \cap (SWprev\ (SBi\ X))$
by (*metis B02 B16 BD50 BD51 BD53 N29 sbi-def*)

lemma *BD55*:
$(SBi\ (SMore \cup X)) = (SInit\ X)$
by (*metis* (*no-types, lifting*) *ST38 compl-sup double-complement inf-commute sbi-def sdi-def*
   sinit-def smore-def)

lemma *BD56*:
$(SAlways\ (SMore \cup X)) = STrue{\cdot}(X \cap SEmpty)$
by (*simp add*: *SStar14 SStarSkip ST50 UnionCommute salways-def smore-def*)

23.6   Time Reversal

23.6.1   Time Reversal Axioms

lemma *SRevSEmpty*:
$(SRev\ SEmpty) = SEmpty$
using *set-eqI*[*of* (*SRev SEmpty*) *SEmpty*]
by (*simp add*: *sempty-elim srev-elim*)

lemma *SRevSNot*:
$(SRev\ (-\ X)) = (-\ (SRev\ X))$
using *set-eqI*[*of* (*SRev* (− *X*)) (− (*SRev X*))]
by (*simp add*: *srev-elim*)

lemma *SRevFusion*:
$(SRev\ (X{\cdot}Y)) = (SRev\ Y){\cdot}(SRev\ X)$
using *set-eqI*[*of* (*SRev* (*X·Y*)) (*SRev Y*)·(*SRev X*)]
by (*simp add*: *fusion-iff-1 srev-elim*)
(*metis diff-diff-cancel interval-intrev-prefix interval-intrev-suffix interval-suffix-intlen-bound*
  interval-suffix-length)

lemma *SRevUnion*:
 (*SRev* (*X* ∪ *Y*)) = (*SRev X*) ∪ (*SRev Y*)
using *set-eqI*[*of* (*SRev* (*X* ∪ *Y*)) (*SRev X*) ∪ (*SRev Y*)]
using *srev-elim* **by** *auto*

lemma *SRevSPower*:
  (*SRev* (*SPower X n*)) = (*SPower* (*SRev X*) *n*)
proof (*induct n*)
 case *0*
 then show *?case* **by** (*simp add*: *SRevSEmpty*)
 next
 case (*Suc n*)
 then show *?case*
  proof −
   have *SRev X* ∩ *SMore* = *SRev* (*X* ∩ *SMore*)
   by (*metis* (*no-types*) *Morgan SRevSEmpty SRevSNot SRevUnion smore-def*)
   then show *?thesis*
   by (*simp add*: *SRevFusion Suc.hyps spower-commutes*)
  qed
qed

lemma *SRevSStar*:
 (*SRev* (*SStar X*)) = (*SStar* (*SRev X*))
proof −
 have *1*: (*SRev* (*SStar X*)) = (*SRev* (⋃ *n*. *SPower X n*)) **by** (*simp add*: *sstar-def*)
 have *2*: (*SRev* (⋃ *n*. *SPower X n*)) = (⋃ *n*. *SPower* (*SRev X*) *n*)
     using *set-eqI*[*of* (*SRev* (⋃ *n*. *SPower X n*)) (⋃ *n*. *SPower* (*SRev X*) *n*)]
     by (*metis* (*mono-tags*, *lifting*) *SRevSPower UN-iff srev-elim*)
 have *3*: (⋃ *n*. *SPower* (*SRev X*) *n*) = (*SStar* (*SRev X*)) **by** (*simp add*: *sstar-def*)
 from *1 2 3* **show** *?thesis* **by** *auto*
qed

lemma *SRevSRev*:
  (*SRev* (*SRev X*)) = *X*
using *set-eqI*[*of* (*SRev* (*SRev X*)) *X*]
by (*simp add*: *srev-elim*)

23.6.2   Time Reversal Laws

lemma *TR01*:
 (*SRev SMore*) = *SMore*
by (*simp add*: *SRevSEmpty SRevSNot smore-def*)

lemma *TR02*:
 (*SRev SSkip*) = *SSkip*
by (*metis SRevFusion SRevSEmpty SRevSNot SRevUnion TR01 sskip-def*)

lemma *TR03*:
 (*SRev STrue*) = *STrue*
by (*metis SRevSStar SStarSkip TR02*)

lemma *TR04*:
 (*SRev SFalse*) = *SFalse*
by (*metis Compl-eq-Compl-iff SRevSNot TR03 sfalse-def strue-def*)

lemma *TR05*:
 (*SRev* (*SSometime X*)) = (*SDi* (*SRev X*))
by (*simp add*: *SRevFusion TR03 sdi-def ssometime-def*)

lemma *TR06*:
 (*SRev* (*SAlways X*)) = (*SBi* (*SRev X*))
by (*simp add*: *SRevSNot TR05 salways-def sbi-def*)

lemma *TR07*:
 (*SRev* (*SDi X*)) = (*SSometime* (*SRev X*))
by (*simp add*: *SRevFusion TR03 sdi-def ssometime-def*)

lemma *TR08*:
 (*SRev* (*SBi X*)) = (*SAlways* (*SRev X*))
by (*metis SRevSRev TR06*)

lemma *TR09*:
 (*SRev* (*SNext X*)) = (*SPrev* (*SRev X*))
by (*simp add*: *SRevFusion TR02 snext-def sprev-def*)

lemma *TR10*:
 (*SRev* (*SWnext X*)) = (*SWprev* (*SRev X*))
by (*simp add*: *SRevFusion SRevSNot TR02 swnext-def swprev-def*)

lemma *TR11*:
 (*SRev* (*SPrev X*)) = (*SNext* (*SRev X*))
by (*simp add*: *SRevFusion TR02 snext-def sprev-def*)

lemma *TR12*:
 (*SRev* (*SWprev X*)) = (*SWnext* (*SRev X*))
by (*metis SRevSRev TR10*)

lemma *TR13*:
 (*SRev* (*SDa X*)) = (*SDa* (*SRev X*))
by (*simp add*: *SRevFusion TR03 sda-def FusionAssoc*)

lemma *TR14*:
 (*SRev* (*SBa X*)) = (*SBa* (*SRev X*))
by (*simp add*: *SRevSNot TR13 sba-def*)

lemma *TR15*:
 (*SRev* (*SPower SSkip n*)) = (*SPower SSkip n*)
by (*simp add*: *SRevSPower TR02*)

lemma *TR16*:

assumes $X \subseteq Y$
shows $(SRev\ X) \subseteq (SRev\ Y)$
using *assms* **by** (*metis SRevUnion le-iff-sup*)

lemma *TR17*:
assumes $X = Y$
shows $(SRev\ X) = (SRev\ Y)$
using *assms TR16* **by** *auto*

23.7   Link between Set of Intervals and ITL

lemma *interval-lan* [*simp*]:
$\sigma \in (lan\ f) \longleftrightarrow (\sigma \models f)$
by (*simp add*: *lan-def*)

lemma *valid-lan-eqv* :
$(\ (lan\ f) = (lan\ g)\ ) \longleftrightarrow (\ \vdash f = g\ )$
using *interval-lan lan-def Valid-def* **by** *fastforce*

lemma *valid-lan-imp* :
$(\ (lan\ f) \subseteq (lan\ g)\ ) \longleftrightarrow (\vdash f \longrightarrow g)$
using *interval-lan lan-def Valid-def*
by (*simp add*: *Valid-def lan-def Collect-mono-iff*)

lemma *valid-strue* :
$(\ (lan\ f) = STrue) \longleftrightarrow (\vdash f)$
using *strue-def* **by** *fastforce*

lemma *strue-true*:
$\sigma \in STrue \longleftrightarrow (\sigma \models \#True)$
by (*simp add*: *strue-elim*)

lemma *strue-true-1*:
$STrue = (lan\ (LIFT\ \#True))$
using *lan-def strue-true* **by** *fastforce*

lemma *sfalse-false*:
$\sigma \in SFalse \longleftrightarrow (\sigma \models \#False)$
by (*simp add*: *sfalse-def*)

lemma *sfalse-false-1*:
$SFalse = (lan\ (LIFT(\#False)))$
using *sfalse-false* **using** *lan-def* **by** *fastforce*

lemma *not-negation*:
$\sigma \in (-(lan\ f)) \longleftrightarrow (\sigma \models \neg\ f)$
by *simp*

lemma *not-negation-1*:
$-(lan\ f\ ) = (lan\ (LIFT(\neg\ f)))$

871

using *interval-lan lan-def* **by** *fastforce*

lemma *inter-and*:
$(\sigma \in ((lan\ f) \cap (lan\ g))) \longleftrightarrow (\sigma \models f \wedge g)$
by (*simp add*: *lan-def*)

lemma *inter-and-1*:
$((lan\ f) \cap (lan\ g)) = (lan\ (LIFT(f \wedge g)))$
using *inter-and lan-def* **by** *fastforce*

lemma *union-or*:
$(\sigma \in ((lan\ f) \cup (lan\ g))) \longleftrightarrow (\sigma \models f \vee g)$
by (*simp add*: *lan-def*)

lemma *union-or-1*:
$((lan\ f) \cup (lan\ g)) = (lan\ (LIFT(f \vee g)))$
using *union-or lan-def* **by** *fastforce*

lemma *subset-impl*:
$(\sigma \in (-(lan\ f) \cup (lan\ g))) \longleftrightarrow (\sigma \models f \longrightarrow g)$
by *simp*

lemma *subset-impl-1*:
$(-(lan\ f) \cup (lan\ g)) = (lan\ (LIFT(f \longrightarrow g)))$
using *subset-impl lan-def* **by** *fastforce*

lemma *fusion-chop*:
$(\sigma \in ((lan\ f) \cdot (lan\ g))) \longleftrightarrow (\sigma \models f ; g)$
by (*metis fusion-iff interval-chop-fuse interval-lan*)

lemma *fusion-chop-1*:
$((lan\ f) \cdot (lan\ g)) = (lan\ (LIFT(f ; g)))$
using *fusion-chop lan-def* **by** *blast*

lemma *sempty-empty*:
$\sigma \in SEmpty \longleftrightarrow (\sigma \models empty)$
by (*simp add*: *empty-defs sempty-elim*)

lemma *sempty-empty-1*:
$SEmpty = (lan\ (LIFT\ empty))$
using *sempty-empty lan-def* **by** *fastforce*

lemma *smore-more*:
$\sigma \in SMore \longleftrightarrow (\sigma \models more)$
by (*simp add*: *more-defs smore-elim*)

lemma *smore-more-1*:
$SMore = (lan\ (LIFT\ more))$
using *smore-more lan-def* **by** *fastforce*

lemma *sskip-skip*:
$\sigma \in SSkip = (\sigma \models skip)$
by (*simp add*: *skip-defs sskip-elim*)

lemma *sskip-skip-1*:
$SSkip = (lan\ (LIFT\ skip))$
using *sskip-skip lan-def* **by** *fastforce*

lemma *snext-next*:
$\sigma \in (SNext\ (lan\ f)) \longleftrightarrow (\sigma \models \bigcirc f)$
by (*metis snext-def fusion-chop next-d-def sskip-skip-1*)

lemma *snext-next-1*:
$(SNext\ (lan\ f)) = (lan\ (LIFT(\bigcirc\ f)))$
using *snext-next lan-def* **by** *fastforce*

lemma *swnext-wnext*:
$\sigma \in (SWnext\ (lan\ f)) \longleftrightarrow (\sigma \models wnext\ f)$
by (*simp add*: *swnext-def fusion-chop-1 next-d-def not-negation-1 sskip-skip-1 wnext-d-def*)

lemma *swnext-wnext-1*:
$(SWnext\ (lan\ f)) = (lan\ (LIFT(wnext\ f)))$
using *swnext-wnext lan-def* **by** *fastforce*

lemma *sprev-prev*:
$\sigma \in (SPrev\ (lan\ f)) \longleftrightarrow (\sigma \models prev\ f)$
by (*metis fusion-chop prev-d-def sprev-def sskip-skip-1*)

lemma *sprev-prev-1*:
$(SPrev\ (lan\ f)) = (lan\ (LIFT(prev\ f)))$
using *sprev-prev lan-def* **by** *fastforce*

lemma *swprev-wprev*:
$\sigma \in (SWprev\ (lan\ f)) \longleftrightarrow (\sigma \models wprev\ f)$
by (*simp add*: *fusion-chop-1 not-negation-1 prev-d-def sskip-skip-1 swprev-def wprev-d-def*)

lemma *swprev-wprev-1*:
$(SWprev\ (lan\ f)) = (lan\ (LIFT(wprev\ f)))$
using *swprev-wprev lan-def* **by** *fastforce*

lemma *sinit-init*:
$\sigma \in SInit\ (lan\ f) \longleftrightarrow (\sigma \models init\ f)$
by (*simp add*: *Int-commute fusion-chop-1 init-d-def inter-and-1 sempty-empty-1 sinit-def strue-true-1*)

lemma *sinit-init-1*:
$SInit\ (lan\ f) = (lan\ (LIFT(init\ f)))$
using *sinit-init lan-def* **by** *fastforce*

lemma *and-inter-more*:
$\sigma \in (((lan\ f) \cap SMore)) \longleftrightarrow (\sigma \models (f \wedge more))$

using *smore-more inter-and* **by** *auto*

lemma *and-inter-more-1*:
  $\sigma \in (((lan\ f) \cap SMore)) \longleftrightarrow (\sigma \in (lan\ (LIFT(f \wedge more))\ ))$
using *and-inter-more lan-def* **by** (*simp add*: *smore-more-1*)

lemma *and-inter-more-2*:
  $((lan\ f) \cap SMore) = (lan\ (LIFT(f \wedge more))\ )$
using *and-inter-more-1* **by** *blast*

lemma *and-chop*:
  $\sigma \in (((lan\ f) \cap SMore)\cdot (lan\ g)) \longleftrightarrow (\sigma \models (f \wedge more);g)$
by (*metis fusion-chop inter-and-1 smore-more-1*)

lemma *and-chop-1*:
  $(((lan\ f) \cap SMore)\cdot (lan\ g)) = (lan\ (LIFT((f \wedge more);g)))$
using *and-chop lan-def* **by** *blast*

lemma *spower-chop-power*:
  $(SPower\ (lan\ f)\ n) = (lan\ (LIFT(power\ (f \wedge more)\ n)))$
proof (*induct n*)
case *0*
then show *?case* **by** (*simp add*: *sempty-empty-1*)
next
case (*Suc n*)
then show *?case* **by** (*metis and-chop-1 pow-Suc pwr-Suc*)
qed

lemma *sstar-spower*:
  $\sigma \in SStar\ (lan\ f) \longleftrightarrow (\exists\ n.\ \sigma \in SPower\ (lan\ f)\ n)$
by (*simp add*: *sstar-def*)

lemma *sstar-chopstar*:
  $\sigma \in (SStar\ (lan\ f)) \longleftrightarrow \sigma \in (lan\ (LIFT(f^\star)))$
proof $-$
 have *1*: $\sigma \in (SStar\ (lan\ f)) = (\exists n.\ \sigma \in SPower\ (lan\ f)\ n)$
  using *sstar-spower* **by** *blast*
 have *2*: $(\exists n.\ \sigma \in SPower\ (lan\ f)\ n) =$
       $(\exists n.\ \sigma \in lan\ (LIFT(power\ (f \wedge more)\ n)))$
  using *spower-chop-power* **by** *blast*
 have *3*: $(\exists n.\ \sigma \in lan\ (LIFT(power\ (f \wedge more)\ n))) =$
       $(\exists n.\ (LIFT(power\ (f \wedge more)\ n))\ \sigma\ )$
  using *interval-lan* **by** *simp*
 have *4*: $(\exists n.\ (LIFT(power\ (f \wedge more)\ n))\ \sigma\ ) =$
       $(\sigma \in (lan\ (LIFT(f^\star))))$
   by (*simp add*: *chopstar-d-def powerstar-d-def*)
 show *?thesis* **by** (*simp add*: *1 2 4*)
qed

lemma *chopstar-sstar-1*:

$(SStar\ (lan\ f)) = (lan\ (LIFT(f^\star)))$
using *sstar-chopstar lan-def* **by** *blast*

lemma *chopstar-seqv*:
  $\sigma \in (lan\ (LIFT(f^\star))) \longleftrightarrow$
  $\sigma \in (lan\ (LIFT(empty \lor (f \land more); f^\star)))$
by (*metis Un-iff and-chop-1 chopstar-sstar-1 sempty-empty-1 sstar-eqv union-or-1*)

lemma *chopstar-seqv-1*:
  $(lan\ (LIFT(f^\star))) = (lan\ (LIFT(empty \lor (f \land more); f^\star)))$
using *chopstar-seqv lan-def* **by** *blast*

lemma *srev-rev*:
  $\sigma \in (SRev\ (lan\ f)) \longleftrightarrow \sigma \in (lan\ (LIFT(f^r)))$
by (*simp add*: *reverse-d-def srev-elim*)

lemma *srev-rev-1*:
  $(SRev\ (lan\ f)) = (lan\ (LIFT(f^r)))$
using *srev-rev lan-def* **by** *blast*

end

References

[1] A. Cau, B. Moszkowski, and D. Smallwood. The deep embedding of ITL in Isabelle/HOL, 2018. http://antonio-cau.co.uk/ITL/itlhomepagese6.html.

[2] G. Grov and S. Merz. A Definitional Encoding of TLA* in Isabelle/HOL. *Archive of Formal Proofs*, 2011. https://www.isa-afp.org/entries/TLA.html, Formal proof development.

[3] S. Merz. An Encoding of TLA in Isabelle. http://www.pst.informatik.uni-muenchen.de/~merz/isabelle/. Part of the Isabelle distribution., 1998.

[4] B. Moszkowski. Compositional reasoning about projected and infinite time. In *Proceedings of the First IEEE Int'l Conf. on Engineering of Complex Computer Systems (ICECCS'95)*, pages 238–245. IEEE Computer Society Press, 1995. Download pdf.

[5] B. Moszkowski. A Hierarchical Completeness Proof for Propositional Interval Temporal Logic with Finite Time. *Journal of Applied Non-Classical Logics*, 14(1–2):55–104, 2004.

[6] B. Moszkowski. Compositional reasoning using intervals and time reversal. *Annals of Mathematics and Artificial Intelligence*, 71(1-3):175–250, 2014.

[7] B. Moszkowski and D. Guelev. An application of temporal projection to interleaving concurrency. *Formal Aspects of Computing*, 29(4):705–750, July 2017.

[8] B. C. Moszkowski. Imperative reasoning in interval temporal logic. Technical report, University of Newcastle upon Tyne, 1996.

[9] B. C. Moszkowski. A Complete Axiom System for Propositional Interval Temporal Logic with Infinite Time. *Logical Methods in Computer Science Journal*, 8(3), 2012.

[10] B. C. Moszkowski and D. P. Guelev. An Application of Temporal Projection to Interleaving Concurrency. In *Dependable Software Engineering: Theories, Tools, and Applications - First International Symposium, SETTA 2015, Nanjing, China, November 4-6, 2015, Proceedings*, pages 153–167, 2015.

[11] J. S. Warford, D. Vega, and S. M. Staley. A Calculational Deductive System for Linear Temporal Logic. https://www.cslab.pepperdine.edu/warford/Papers/Vega-Paper.pdf, 2019.

[12] M. Wildmoser and T. Nipkow. Certifying Machine Code Safety: Shallow versus Deep Embedding. In K. Slind, A. Bunker, and G. Gopalakrishnan, editors, *Theorem Proving in Higher Order Logics (TPHOLs 2004)*, volume 3223 of *LNCS*, pages 305–320. Springer, 2004.